New tardigrade records for the Baltic states with a description of Minibiotus formosus sp. n. (Eutardigrada, Macrobiotidae)
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Abstract
In sixteen moss, lichen and mixed (moss/lichen) samples, collected from Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania, 291 specimens, 48 simplexes, including one exuvium with 6 eggs, and 8 free-laid eggs of eutardigrades were found. In total, 17 species, together with one new to science, were identified (all are new records for the Baltic states): Astatumen bartosi, Diphascon (Adropion) prorsirostre, D. (D. )rugosum, Hypsibius convergens, H. dujardini, H. cf. scabropygus, Isohypsibius ronsisvallei, I. sattleri, Macrobiotus harmsworthi harmsworthi, M. hufelandi hufelandi, Milnesium asiaticum, Milnesium tardigradum tardigradum, Minibiotus formosus sp. n. and Paramacrobiotus richteri. The new species is most similar to Minibiotus gumersindoi, but differs from it mainly by the presence of two types of cuticular pores, the absence of a triangular or pentagonal arrangement of pores above a single large pore on legs, the presence of granulation on all legs and a different macroplacoid length sequence. In this paper we also provide photographs and morphometrics of H. cf. scabropygus.
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Introduction

The Baltic States, i.e. Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania, are located on the eastern coast of the Baltic Sea, and fall within the Palearctic ecozone (Holt et al. 2012). The topography of the three countries is dominated by lowlands with the highest peaks at ca. 300 m asl. The temperate climate is intermediate between maritime and continental. Even though the phylum Tardigrada is cosmopolitan and currently comprises ca. 1,200 species (Degma et al. 2013), so far only six tardigrade taxa have been reported from the Baltic States. Specifically, two from Estonia: Eremobiotus alicatai (Binda, 1969) and Isohypsibius cf. marcellinoi (Binda & Pilato, 1971), two from Latvia: Paramacrobiotus richtersi group and Macrobiotus hufelandi group and two from Lithuania: Macrobiotus sp. and Ramazzottius sp. (Šatkauskienė and Vosyliūtė 2010, Zawierucha and Kaźmierski 2012, Ziemelis et al. 2012).

In this study we report seventeen tardigrade species, which are all new records for the Baltic States. Moreover, one of these species is also new to science. The new species belongs to the genus Minibiotus R.O. Schuster, 1980, that until 1988 contained only a single species, Minibiotus intermedius (Plate, 1888). In 1988 Pilato and Claxton (1988) described Minibiotus maculartus, and within the last decade fourteen new Minibiotus species have been described. Several species have also been transferred to Minibiotus from the genus Macrobiotus based on characters defined by Claxton (1998) and later supplemented by Guidetti et al. (2007) (Michalczyk and Kaczmarek 2003a, Pilato et al. 2003, Michalczyk and Kaczmarek 2004, Guil and Guidetti 2005, Michalczyk et al. 2005, Pilato and Lisi 2006b, Li et al. 2008, Fontoura et al. 2009a, b, Rossi et al. 2009, Meyer and Hinton 2009, Meyer and Domingue 2011, Meyer et al. 2011 Meyer 2012). Currently, the total number of Minibiotus species amounts to as many as forty seven.

In addition to the description of the new species, we also provide morphometric data and photographs of Hypsibius cf. scabropygus, a rare species that belongs to a large group of hypsibiids with at least partially sculptured dorsal cuticle and pharynx with two macroplacoids and without the microplacoid.

Material and methods

Sixteen moss, lichen and mixed (moss/lichen) samples from trees, soil and stones were collected from 15 localities in Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania between the 29 April and the 5 May 2012 by the third author (more details below). Samples were collected and examined for tardigrades using standard methods (see Dastych 1980). After extraction,
animals were mounted on microscope slides in Hoyer's medium. All specimens were examined measured and photographed using Phase Contrast Microscopy (PCM) or Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM). In total 358 specimens (including 47 simplexes), one exuvium with 6 eggs, and 8 free-laid eggs were examined.

All measurements are given in micrometers [μm]. Structures were measured only if their orientation was appropriate. Body length was measured from the anterior extremity to the end of the body, excluding the hind legs. Buccal tube length and the level of the stylet support insertion point were measured according to Pilato (1981). Buccal tube width was measured as the external diameter at the level of the stylet support insertion point. Lengths of the claw branches were measured from the base of the claw to the top of the branch including accessory points for Minibiotus and according to Beasley et al. (2008) for Hypsibius. The pt ratio is the ratio of the length of a given structure to the length of the buccal tube expressed as a percentage (Pilato 1981). Macroplacoid length sequence is given according to Kaczmarek et al. (2014b), i.e., macroplacoids are listed from the shortest to the longest and their relative sizes are denoted with appropriate inequality, approximation and/or equality signs (<, ≲, ≈, =). Morphometric data were handled using the ‘Macrobiotoidea’ ver. 1.1 template available from the Tardigrada Register (www.tardigrada.net/register, Michalczyk and Kaczmarek 2013).

For species identification and differentiation, keys in Claxton (1998), Fontoura and Pilato (2007), Kaczmarek et al. (2011), Michalczyk et al. 2012a, b and Ramazzotti and Maucci (1983), and original descriptions and redescriptions (Ehrenberg 1859, Ramazzotti 1959, 1962, Horning et al. 1978, Bertolani and Rebecchi 1993, Dastych 1988, 1990, Binda and Pilato 1992, Michalczyk and Kaczmarek 2004, Michalczyk et al. 2005, Miller et al. 2005, Fontoura et al. 2009a, b, Meyer and Hinton 2009, Meyer et al. 2011) as well as for insertion of the stylet muscles Pilato (2013) were used. Tardigrade taxonomy is presented according to Marley et al. (2011). Only specimens determined to species level are provided in the list of species (we omitted all specimens determined only to the species group level, e.g. the hufelandi group or the oberhaeuseri group). In the species list Roman numbers indicate sample codes (see sampling localities) and Arabic numbers indicate the number of specimens, exuvia/simplexes and eggs.

Raw data underlying the description of Minibiotus formosus sp. n. are deposited in the Tardigrada Register (Michalczyk and Kaczmarek 2013) under www.tardigrada.net/register/0012.htm.

Sampling localities

I. 56°03’08”N; 24°24’10”E, ca. 33 m asl: Lithuania, Panevėžys county, Pasvalys district municipality, along the road E67, 0.5 km before the turning to Pasvalys, moss from tree and soil (slide code: LT 2422), date: 29.04.2012.

II. 55°25’59”N; 24°13’32”E, ca. 59 m asl: Lithuania, Kaunas county, Kėdainiai district municipality, Truskava city, near a church, lichens from tree and moss from soil (slide code: LT 2423), date: 29.04.2012.
III. 55°17'12"N; 23°58'57"E, ca. 30 m asl: Lithuania, Kaunas county, Kėdainiai district municipality, Kėdainiai city, Kranto II street; moss from wall (slide code: LT 2424), date: 29.04.2012.

IV. 55°17'13"N; 23°58'56"E, ca. 30 m asl: Lithuania, Kaunas county, Kėdainiai district municipality, Kėdainiai city, Paeismilgio street; moss from stone (slide code: LT 2425), date: 29.04.2012.

V. 55°43'35"N; 24°21'30"E, ca. 62 m asl: Lithuania, Panevėžys county, Panevėžys district municipality, Panevėžys city, Garden Street near Holy Trinity Rector; moss from tree (slide code: LT 2440), date: 05.05.2012.

VI. 56°38'53"N; 23°43'18"E, ca. 7 m asl: Latvia, Zemgale region, Jelgava municipality, Jelgava city, City Park; moss from soil (slide code: ŁO 2426), date: 29.04.2012.

VII. 57°10'33"N; 24°50'32"E, ca. 45 m asl: Latvia, Vidzeme region, Sigulda municipality, Gutmana Cave in the Gauja National Park; moss from rocks (slide code: ŁO 2427), date: 30.04.2012.

VIII. 56°23'55"N; 24°07'33"E, ca. 25 m asl: Latvia, Zemgale region, Bauska municipality, along Road No P103, 0.5 km from Saulaine; lichens from tree (slide code: ŁO 2428) date: 29.04.2012.

IX. 57°09'55"N; 24°51'03"E, ca. 73 m asl: Latvia, Vidzeme region, Sigulda municipality, Turaida city, Turaida Castle; moss from stone (slide code: ŁO 2429), date: 30.04.2012.

X. 56°54'32"N; 24°08'45"E, ca. 10 m asl: Latvia, Riga Region, boundary of Ķekava municipality, along road no A2; moss from tree (slide code: ŁO 2431), date: 30.04.2012.

XI. 57°09'59"N; 24°50'59"E, ca. 91 m asl: Latvia, Vidzeme region, Sigulda municipality, Sigulda city, Sigulda Castle; moss from stone (slide code: ŁO 2432), date: 30.04.2012.

XII. 56°41'22"N; 23°47'43"E, ca. 4 m asl: Latvia, Zemgale region, Ozolnieki municipality, Ozolnieki city, about 100 m from the Ozolnieki Lake; moss from soil (slide code: ŁO 2433), date: 29.04.2012.

XIII. 57°45'43"N; 24°20'59"E, ca. 3 m asl: Latvia, Vidzeme region, Salacgriva municipality, Salacgriva city; moss from soil, near the beach (slide code: ŁO 2434), date: 01.05.2012.

XIV. 59°10'44"N; 24°30'06"E, ca. 59 m asl: Republic of Estonia, Harju county, Kernu Parish municipality, Road No 4, moss from tree (slide code: ES 2420), date: 04.05.2012.

XV. 59°10'44"N; 24°30'06"E, ca. 59 m asl: Republic of Estonia, Harju county, Kernu Parish municipality, Road No 4, moss from tree (slide code: ES 2421), date: 04.05.2012.

XVI. 58°48'47"N; 24°24'46"E, ca. 32 m asl: Republic of Estonia, Rapla County, Määrmaa municipality, forest near Konuvere village, moss from tree (slide codes: ES 2487), date: 29.04.2012.
Results

Taxonomic accounts of species found in the study

Phylum: Tardigrada (Spallanzani, 1777)
Class: Eutardigrada Richters, 1926
Family: Milnesiidae Ramazzotti, 1962
Genus: Milnesium Doyère, 1840

Milnesium asiaticum Tumanov, 2006

Localities and specimen numbers. XV: 1 specimen.

Remarks. Our specimen corresponds perfectly to the original description. Milnesium asiaticum was originally described from Kirghizstan and subsequently found in the Svalbard archipelago (Tumanov 2006, Kaczmarek et al. 2012).

Milnesium tardigradum tardigradum Doyère, 1840

Localities and specimen numbers. VIII: 31 specimens (including 6 simplexes) + 1 exuvium with 6 eggs.

Remarks. Specimens correspond perfectly with the redescription by Michalczyk et al. (2012a, b). This species was reported from many localities throughout the World, however records prior to Michalczyk et al. (2012a, b) need to be verified. So far, all confirmed localities are exclusively European (Michalczyk et al. 2012a, b).

Order: Parachela Schuster, Nelson, Grigarick & Christensen, 1980
Superfamily: Hypsibiioidea Pilato, 1969 (in Marley et al. 2011)
Family: Hypsibiidae Pilato, 1969
Subfamily: Diphasconinae Dastych, 1992
Genus: Diphascon Plate, 1888
Subgenus: Diphascon (Diphascon) Pilato, 1987

Diphascon (Diphascon) bullatum Murray, 1905

Localities and specimen numbers. XIII: 1 specimen.

Remarks. Specimens correspond well with the limited original description (Murray 1905) and also with later descriptions (Argue 1974 and Dastych 1980, 1988). This species is very similar to D. (D.) patanei (Binda & Pilato, 1971) and, as suggested by Dastych (1988), these two species could be synonymous. Thus, to clarify the taxonomic status of D. (D.) patanei, a re-description of D. (D.) bullatum is necessary based on material from locus typicus in Scotland (the type material probably does not exist) (Dastych 1988).
Diphascon (Diphascon) pingue pingue (Marcus, 1936)

Localities and specimen numbers. XV: 11 specimens.

Remarks. Although we have found only 11 specimens, we were confident in identifying them to *D. (D.) pingue* because they corresponded perfectly to the partial redescriptions by Pilato and Binda (1997/1998, 1999) and we also identified them with the key by Fontoura and Pilato (2007). The species belongs to the *pingue* group and has been previously recorded from numerous localities throughout the World, however the majority of records should be verified based on the modern taxonomy (Pilato and Binda 1997/1998, 1999). Currently, exclusively verified localities of this species are only from Europe and North America (Pilato and Binda 1997/1998).

Diphascon (Diphascon) recamieri Richters, 1911

Localities and specimen numbers. XV: 1 specimen.

Remarks. The species has previously been found in many localities, mostly in the Holarctic (McInnes 1994).

Diphascon (Diphascon) rugosum (Bartoš, 1935)

Localities and specimen numbers. II: 3 specimens.

Remarks. The species has previously been found in many localities in the Holarctic (McInnes 1994).

Genus: Diphascon Pilato, 1987

Subgenus Diphascon (Adropion) prorsirostre Thulin, 1928

Localities and specimen numbers. XIV: 2 specimens, XV: 2 specimens.

Remarks. The species has been previously found in many localities, mostly in the Holarctic (McInnes 1994).

Subfamily: Hypsibiinae Pilato, 1969
Genus: Hypsibius Ehrenberg, 1848

Hypsibius convergens (Urbanowicz, 1925)

Localities and specimen numbers. IX: 6 specimens (including 2 simplexes).

Hypsibius dujardini (Doyère, 1840)

Localities and specimen numbers. XIV: 2 specimens (including 1 simplex), XV: 5 specimens.

Remarks. *H. dujardini* belongs to the cosmopolitan *convergens-dujardini* complex of species (McInnes 1994, Miller et al. 2005, Kaczmarek and Michalczyk 2009a, Kaczmarek et al. 2014a), and used to be considered cosmopolitan, but it is most likely a complex of similar (possibly cryptic) species found throughout the world. Due to the limited original description, *H. dujardini* needs a modern redescription. Nevertheless, the examined specimens correspond perfectly with the original description and the *H. dujardini* characteristics reviewed by Miller et al. (2005).

Hypsibius cf. scabropygus Cuénot, 1929

Table 1, Figs 1–7

Localities and specimen numbers. XI: 1 simplex, XII: 1 specimen, XIV: 34 specimens (including 4 simplexes), XV: 24 specimens (including 6 simplexes).

Description (measurements in Table 1). Adults. Body transparent/white (after preparation), eyes present in 6 of 15 (40%) specimens mounted in Hoyer’s medium (Fig. 1). Dorsal cuticle sculptured: from head to legs II without tubercles but clearly thickened, from legs II to the caudal end of the body (including legs IV) with irregular tubercles and platelets. Tubercles increasing in size from the anterior to the posterior part of the body, reaching maximum dimensions between legs III and IV, where tubercles sometimes merge and form irregular platelets (Figs 2–5). Irregular tubercles 1.0–6.0 μm in diameter. Ventral cuticle smooth (i.e. without sculpturing). Gibbosities and cuticular pores absent.

Bucco-pharyngeal apparatus of the *Hypsibius* type, without the ventral lamina, and with forked apophyses for stylet muscles (Fig. 6). Peribuccal lamellae absent. Teeth in the oral cavity armature absent or not visible under PCM. Pharyngeal bulb with apophyses and with two granular macroplacoids (both, without constrictions). Macroplacoid length sequence 2<1. Microplacoid and septulum absent.

Claws of the *Hypsibius* type, internal claws much smaller and of a different shape than the external claws (Fig. 7). All main branches with large accessory points. Smooth, indistinct areoles under claws usually visible only on posterior claws IV. Cuticular bars under claws I–III absent but a small bar is present near the posterior claw IV (Fig. 7, arrow).

Eggs. Unknown.
Remarks. *Hypsibius scabropygus* has been recorded from many localities, mostly in the Holarctic (McInnes 1994). In general, our specimens correspond to the original description by Cuénot (1929, 1932) and later descriptions by Marcus (1930) (=*Hypsibius callimerus* spec. nov.), and by Ramazzotti and Maucci (1983). However, importantly, none of the above mentioned descriptions reported a bar between anterior and posterior claws IV, which is present in all our specimens. Given the bar is small, it is possible that it was overlooked by Cuénot and later authors. If, however, *H. scabropygus* does not have the bar, then our specimens should probably be classified as a new species. Thus, until

H. *scabropygus* is redescribed, our Latvian and Estonian records should be regarded as *H. cf. scabropygus*. As there is a possibility of our specimens belonging to a new species, we provide standard morphometrics (Table 1) and photographs (Figs 1–7).

**Subfamily: Itaquasconinae Rudescu, 1964**

**Genus: Astatumen Pilato, 1997**

*Astatumen bartosi* (Węglarska, 1959)

**Localities and specimen numbers.** XIV: 1 specimen.

**Remarks.** Our specimen corresponds perfectly with characters of *A. bartosi* proposed by Dastych (1988) with the main difference between *A. bartosi* and *A. trinacriae* being the absence/presence of cuticular bars on legs II–III. Due to the notorious difficulties in differentiating the two species, the actual distribution of *A. bartosi* cannot currently be described with confidence. McInnes (1994) cited this species from several localities in Europe and from single African, Asian and South American sites.

**Superfamily: Isohypsibioidae Marley, McInnes & Sands, 2011**
**Family: Isohypsibiidae Marley, McInnes & Sands, 2011**

**Genus: Isohypsibius Thulin, 1928**

*Isohypsibius ronsisvallei* Binda & Pilato, 1969

**Localities and specimen numbers.** III: 1 specimen.

**Remarks.** The species has previously been reported from several, mostly European, localities in the Holarctic (McInnes 1994).
Table 1. Measurements and $pt$ values of selected morphological structures of *Hypsibius* cf. *scabropygus* Cuénot, 1929 mounted in Hoyer’s medium (N – number of specimens/structures measured, RANGE refers to the smallest and the largest structure among all measured specimens; SD – standard deviation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHARACTER</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>RANGE</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\mu m$</td>
<td>$pt$</td>
<td>$\mu m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body length</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>183 – 293</td>
<td>808 – 1132</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccopharyngeal tube</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccal tube length</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22.0 – 28.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stylet support insertion point</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12.0 – 15.9</td>
<td>51.3 – 57.1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccal tube external width</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.5 – 2.0</td>
<td>6.5 – 8.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccal tube internal width</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6 – 0.9</td>
<td>2.1 – 3.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placoid lengths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroplacoid 1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.8 – 3.2</td>
<td>7.7 – 12.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroplacoid 2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.7 – 2.7</td>
<td>7.2 – 11.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroplacoid row</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.7 – 6.3</td>
<td>19.1 – 26.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claw 1 lengths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External base</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.2 – 4.5</td>
<td>9.1 – 17.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External primary branch</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.0 – 8.8</td>
<td>18.2 – 36.1</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External secondary branch</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.3 – 5.9</td>
<td>10.5 – 24.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal base</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.1 – 4.1</td>
<td>9.3 – 15.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal primary branch</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.8 – 5.9</td>
<td>16.0 – 23.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal secondary branch</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.4 – 4.3</td>
<td>9.9 – 16.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claw 2 lengths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External base</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.0 – 5.2</td>
<td>12.4 – 19.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External primary branch</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.7 – 10.4</td>
<td>29.7 – 43.7</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External secondary branch</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.3 – 6.7</td>
<td>19.0 – 27.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal base</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.4 – 4.5</td>
<td>10.9 – 18.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal primary branch</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.0 – 6.7</td>
<td>17.7 – 27.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal secondary branch</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.6 – 5.4</td>
<td>11.8 – 22.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claw 3 lengths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External base</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.7 – 6.2</td>
<td>11.9 – 23.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External primary branch</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.2 – 10.4</td>
<td>29.3 – 43.7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External secondary branch</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.6 – 6.5</td>
<td>12.8 – 27.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal base</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.3 – 4.1</td>
<td>10.5 – 17.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal primary branch</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.8 – 6.5</td>
<td>17.3 – 27.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal secondary branch</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.7 – 6.1</td>
<td>12.2 – 24.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claw 4 lengths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior base</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.3 – 5.6</td>
<td>12.8 – 20.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior primary branch</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.4 – 7.5</td>
<td>19.5 – 31.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior secondary branch</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.1 – 13.2</td>
<td>13.0 – 47.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior base</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.7 – 5.4</td>
<td>12.3 – 21.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior primary branch</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.9 – 14.9</td>
<td>22.0 – 60.6</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior secondary branch</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.0 – 6.5</td>
<td>15.4 – 25.6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
*Isohypsibius sattleri* (Richters, 1902)

**Localities and specimen numbers.** IX: 1 specimen, XI: 8 specimens (including 5 simplexes), XIV: 3 specimens, XV: 5 specimens, XVI: 1 specimen.

**Remarks.** The species has previously been reported from many localities throughout the World, thus it is considered cosmopolitan (McInnes 1994, Kaczmarek et al. 2014a).

**Superfamily:** Macrobiotoidea Thulin, 1928 in Marley et al. 2011  
**Family:** Macrobiotidae Thulin, 1928  
**Genus:** *Macrobiotus* C.A.S. Schultze, 1834

*Macrobiotus harmsworthi harmsworthi* Murray, 1907

**Localities and specimen numbers.** XI: 1 egg, XIV: 8 specimens, 1 egg.

**Remarks.** The species belongs to the *harmsworthi* group which is widely distributed across a broad range of ecosystems throughout the world (McInnes 1994, Kaczmarek et al. 2014a). In the last decade many new species within this group were described from a variety of localities (Michalczyk and Kaczmarek 2003b, Pilato et al. 2004, Tumanov 2005a, Pilato and Lisi 2006a, b, Pilato et al. 2006a, Kaczmarek et al. 2007, Kaczmarek and Michalczyk 2009b, Pilato and Lisi 2009a, Rossi et al. 2009, see also Kaczmarek et al. 2011 for the diagnostic key to the group). Due to many uncertain reports of *M. harmsworthi harmsworthi*, especially in older literature, the distribution of the species is currently unknown. Specimens found in the present study correspond well to the characters presented in Pilato et al. (2000) and were successfully identified with the key by Kaczmarek et al. (2011).

*Macrobiotus hufelandi hufelandi* C.A.S. Schultze, 1833

**Localities and specimen numbers.** XIV: 4 specimens, 1 egg.

**Remarks.** The species belongs to the *hufelandi* group which is widely distributed across a broad range of ecosystems throughout the world (McInnes 1994, Kaczmarek et al. 2014a). In the last decade new species belonging to this group have been described from various localities (Pilato et al. 2003, Kaczmarek and Michalczyk 2004, Dastych 2002, 2005, Fontoura et al. 2008, Bartels et al. 2009, Kaczmarek and Michalczyk 2009b, Pilato and Lisi 2009b, Bertolani et al. 2011, Biserov et al. 2011, Pilato et al. 2012, Guidetti et al. 2013, see also Bertolani and Rebecchi 1993 for the diagnostic key to the group). Due to many uncertain reports of *M. hufelandi hufelandi*, especially in older literature, the distribution of the species is currently unknown. Specimens found in the present study correspond well with the redescription by Bertolani and Rebecchi (1993).

*Minibiotus formosus* sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/BDBE49B7-84CF-4FE2-BE55-A399A537DE77

http://species-id.net/wiki/Minibiotus_formosus

http://www.tardigrada.net/register/0012.htm

Tables 2–3, Figs 8–15

**Type material.** Holotype and 23 paratypes, 24 specimens (including 2 simplexes) and 3 unembryonated eggs).

**Type locality.** 57°10'33''N; 24°50'32''E, ca. 45 m asl: Latvia, Vidzeme region, Sigulda municipality, Gutmana Cave in the Gauja National Park; moss from rock (1 sample, slide codes: ŁO 2427/*, where the asterisk can be substituted by any of the following numbers: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12).

**Description (measurements in Table 2).** Body white/colourless (Fig. 8). Eyes present in 18 of 24 (75%) specimens mounted in Hoyer’s medium. Entire cuticle covered with small (0.4–1.1 μm) and large (1.9–2.5 μm) round or oval pores (Fig. 9). Pores arranged in 9–10 poorly defined transverse bands. Pores on the dorsal cuticle arranged more densely than on the ventral cuticle. A single large pore (diameter: 2.1–2.9 μm) present on external side of legs I–III (Fig. 12, arrow). A ring of pores around the mouth opening absent. Cuticle without granulation, except for legs which are all covered with fine and regular granulation (better developed on legs IV) visible only in larger specimens (Fig 12, arrowhead).

Mouth antero-ventral. Ten peribuccal papulae present. Bucco-pharyngeal apparatus of the *Minibiotus* type (Figs 10–11). Oral cavity armature absent or not visible under PCM. Buccal tube with a poorly visible ventral lamina and with an anterior and a posterior bend (both visible in lateral view only, Fig. 11). Buccal tube walls thickened just below the stylet supports insertion point. Pharyngeal apophyses triangular, very near to the first macroplacoid. Three granular macroplacoids and a minute microplacoid present in the pharyngeal bulb. All macroplacoids of similar but not identical sizes, the macroplacoid length sequence: 2<1<3. Septulum absent.

Claws of the *Macrobiotus* type (Figs 12–13). Primary branches of claws with thin, but obvious accessory points detaching at the apogee of the primary branch curve. Smooth lunules present on all legs, distinctly larger under external and posterior claws. Bars and other cuticular thickenings on legs absent.

**Eggs (measurements in Table 3).** White/transparent, laid freely (Fig. 14). Spherical, without areolation. Processes in the shape of short, smooth, slightly flexible cones (Fig. 15). Processes are distributed on the surface of the egg close one to another but never in contact. Surface between processes smooth under PCM (Fig. 15).

**Remarks.** Since ventral lamina is very poorly visible, the measurements of this structure are not included in Table 2. Three unembryonated eggs have been found alongside the described specimens. Given that *M. formosus* sp. n. was the only *Minibiotus* species in the
sample and because no *Ramazzottius* Binda & Pilato, 1986 was found in the samples, we assumed that these eggs belong to the new species.

**Etymology.** Given that we found the composition of small and large pores in the new species beautiful, we decided to name the animal after this impression (in Latin ‘*formosus*’ means ‘beautiful’).
Table 2. Measurements and pt values of selected morphological structures of *Minibiotus formosus* sp. n. mounted in Hoyer’s medium (N – number of specimens/structures measured, RANGE refers to the smallest and the largest structure among all measured specimens; SD – standard deviation, ? – trait oriented unsuitably for measurement).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHARACTER</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>RANGE</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Holotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>µm</td>
<td>µm</td>
<td>µm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pt</td>
<td>pt</td>
<td>pt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body length</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>113 – 236</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccal tube</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.7 – 22.9</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.5 – 12.2</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buccal tube</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.0 – 9.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal width</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.5 – 0.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placoid lengths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroplacoid 1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.3 – 1.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroplacoid 2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.2 – 1.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroplacoid 3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.4 – 2.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microplacoid</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.5 – 0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macropoid row</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.5 – 6.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placoid row</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.2 – 7.9</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claw 1 lengths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External primary branch</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.7 – 6.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External secondary branch</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.6 – 4.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal primary branch</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.7 – 6.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal secondary branch</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.1 – 4.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claw 2 lengths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External primary branch</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.2 – 6.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External secondary branch</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.3 – 4.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal primary branch</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.2 – 6.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal secondary branch</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.5 – 4.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claw 3 lengths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External primary branch</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.1 – 6.9</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External secondary branch</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.6 – 4.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal primary branch</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.1 – 6.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal secondary branch</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.1 – 4.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claw 4 lengths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior primary branch</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.0 – 8.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior secondary branch</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.0 – 6.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior primary branch</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.0 – 7.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior secondary branch</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.9 – 5.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Type depositaries. Holotype 23 paratypes and 3 eggs are deposited in the Department of Animal Taxonomy and Ecology at the Adam Mickiewicz University (Poznań, Poland).
Table 3. Measurements of selected morphological structures of *Minibiotus formosus* sp. n. eggs mounted in Hoyer’s medium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHARACTER</th>
<th>egg 1</th>
<th>egg 2</th>
<th>egg 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of egg without processes</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of egg with processes</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process height</td>
<td>4.5–5.2</td>
<td>4.8–5.2</td>
<td>4.6–5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process base width</td>
<td>2.8–3.4</td>
<td>2.8–3.1</td>
<td>2.4–2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process base/height ratio</td>
<td>57%–69%</td>
<td>54%–65%</td>
<td>47%–57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between processes</td>
<td>2.0–2.5</td>
<td>1.9–3.9</td>
<td>1.8–2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of processes on the egg circumference</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures 12–15. *Minibiotus formosus* sp. n.: 12 leg II with claws, granulation (arrowhead) and a single large pore (arrow) (holotype) 13 claws IV (paratype) 14 egg (mid-section) 15 egg surface with processes. All PCM.
Differential diagnosis

The new species is most similar to *M. gumersindoi* Guil & Guidetti, 2005, but it differs from it by: the presence of two types of cuticular pores (small and large) in the new species *vs* pores of a uniform size in *M. gumersindoi*, the absence of a triangular or a pentagonal arrangement of pores placed above a single large pore on legs, the presence of granulation on legs, a different macroplacoid length sequence (2<1<3 in the new species *vs* 1=2=3 in *M. gumersindoi*), and by slightly larger macroplacoids (I: 1.3–1.9 μm; II: 1.2–1.7 μm; III: 1.4–2.2 μm in the new species *vs* 1.0 μm in *M. gumersindoi*).

Other species to which *M. formosus* sp. n. is similar by some characteristics of adult and/or egg morphology (e.g. pores in transverse bands, eggs with conical processes), include species listed below. The new species differs specifically from:

- **M. bisoctus** (Horning et al. 1978) by: the absence of trilobed and star-shaped pores (although their presence was not mentioned in the original description, they are clearly visible in Fig. 114 in Horning et al. (1978), and by stylet supports inserted in a more anterior position (*pt*=49.5–56.2 in the new species *vs* *pt*=60.3 in *M. bisoctus* (according to Claxton 1998)).

- **M. eichhorni** Michalczyk & Kaczmarek, 2004 by: a different arrangement of pores on the dorsal cuticle (9–10 transverse bands in the new species *vs* 8 bands in *M. eichhorni*), the absence of star-shaped pores, the absence of four pores around the mouth opening, the presence of a single large pore on lateral sides of legs I–III, slightly shorter buccal tube (17.7–22.9 μm in the new species *vs* 24.7–34.2 μm in *M. eichhorni*), stylet supports inserted in more anterior position (9.5–12.2 μm [*pt*=49.5–56.2] in the new species *vs* 16.2–23.8 μm [*pt*=65.4–70.6] in *M. eichhorni*), a different macroplacoid sequence (2<1<3 in the new species *vs* 2<3<1 μm in *M. eichhorni*), slightly shorter placoids, and by slightly smaller external claws I–IV (compare Table 2 below and Table 1 in Michalczyk and Kaczmarek 2004 for exact differences in dimensions of placoids and claws).

- **M. furcatus** (Ehrenberg, 1859) (according to Binda and Pilato 1992) by: the absence of tri- and quadrilobed cuticular pores, the presence of two types of cuticular pores (small and large in the new species *vs* uniformly small pores present in *M. furcatus*), the presence of a single large pore on each of legs I–III, the presence of granulation on legs, the absence of the oral cavity armature, stylet supports inserted in a more anterior position (*pt*=49.5–56.2 in the new species *vs* *pt*=68.4 in *M. furcatus*), a different macroplacoid length sequence (2<1<3 in the new species *vs* 2<3<1 μm in *M. furcatus*), and by egg processes without an obvious flexible portion (and never bifurcated).

- **M. harrylewisi** Meyer & Hinton, 2009 by: the absence of tri- and quadrilobed cuticular pores, the presence of two types of pores (small and large) over the entire cuticle in the new species *vs* small pores present only in the anterior part of the body and large pores present only in the posterior part of the body in *M. harrylewisi*, the presence of a single large pore on each of legs I–III, stylet supports inserted in
a more anterior position \(pt=49.5–56.2\) in the new species \(vs\ pt=61.4–67.6\) in \(M.\ \text{harrylewisi}\), a different macroplacoid length sequence \(2\leq 1< 3\) in the new species \(vs\ 2\leq 3< 1\ \text{μm}\) in \(M.\ \text{harrylewisi}\), a different shape of egg processes (short, single-tipped cones in the new species \(vs\) elongated, tapering cones with bulbous bases in \(M.\ \text{harrylewisi}\), a smaller diameter of eggs without and with processes \(44.1–45.7\ \text{μm}\) and \(55.1–55.6\ \text{μm}\) in the new species \(vs\ 66.1–80.0\ \text{μm}\) and \(78.2–101.9\ \text{μm}\) in \(M.\ \text{harrylewisi}\), a slightly lower number of processes on egg circumference \(29–30\) in the new species \(vs\ 32–41\) in \(M.\ \text{harrylewisi}\), and by smaller egg processes \(4.5–5.3\ \text{μm}\) in the new species \(vs\ 7.6–12.8\ \text{μm}\) in \(M.\ \text{harrylewisi}\).

- \(M.\ \text{jonesorum}\) Meyer et al., 2011 \(by:\) the absence of trilobed and polygonal pores, the presence of two types of cuticular pores (small and large) in the new species \(vs\) small pores present only in the anterior part of the body, intermediate in size in the middle of the body and large pores in the posterior part of the body in \(M.\ \text{jonesorum}\), the presence of a single large pore on each of legs I-III, the presence of granulation on all legs, a slightly shorter buccal tube \(17.7–22.9\ \text{μm}\) in the new species \(vs\ 24.4–29.6\ \text{μm}\) in \(M.\ \text{jonesorum}\), stylet supports inserted in a more anterior position \(pt=49.5–56.2\) in the new species \(vs\ pt=63.0–65.6\) in \(M.\ \text{jonesorum}\), a different macroplacoid length sequence \(2< 1< 3\) in the new species \(vs 1< 2< 3\) in \(M.\ \text{jonesorum}\), slightly shorter macroplacoids II and III \(II: 1.2–1.7\ \text{μm} [pt=6.8–8.6];\) \(III: 1.4–2.2\ \text{μm} [pt=7.4–9.6]\) in the new species \(vs\ II: 1.9–2.3\ \text{μm} [pt=7.1–8.8];\) \(III: 2.4–2.6\ \text{μm} [pt=8.4–9.9]\) in \(M.\ \text{jonesorum}\), a slightly shorter macroplacoid row \(4.5–6.8\ \text{μm} [24.3–33.7]\) in the new species \(vs\ 7.0–8.4\ \text{μm} [pt=27.0–34.4]\) in \(M.\ \text{jonesorum}\), the presence of a microplacoid, and by slightly shorter primary and secondary branches of external claws I–IV (compare Table 2 below and Table 2 in Meyer et al. 2011).

- \(M.\ \text{keppelensis}\) Claxton, 1998 \(by:\) the lack of red pigment granules, the presence of two types of cuticular pores (small and large) in the new species \(vs\) pores uniform in size \(ca. 1.0\ \text{μm}\) in \(M.\ \text{keppelensis}\), the presence of a single large pore on each of legs I-III, a slightly shorter buccal tube \(17.7–22.9\ \text{μm}\) in the new species \(vs\ 24.9–28.4\ \text{μm}\) in \(M.\ \text{keppelensis}\), stylet supports inserted in a more anterior position \(pt=49.5–56.2\) in the new species \(vs\ pt=60.6\) in \(M.\ \text{keppelensis}\), a different macroplacoid length sequence \(2< 1< 3\) in the new species \(vs 2=3<1\) in \(M.\ \text{keppelensis}\), a slightly shorter macroplacoid row \(4.5–6.8\ \text{μm}\) in the new species \(vs\ 7.0–7.6\ \text{μm}\) in \(M.\ \text{keppelensis}\), the lack of a membrane around egg processes, a smaller diameter of eggs with processes \(55.1–55.6\ \text{μm}\) in the new species \(vs\ 65.0–85.0\ \text{μm}\) in \(M.\ \text{keppelensis}\), a larger number of processes on egg circumference \(29–30\) in the new species \(vs\ ca. 11\) in \(M.\ \text{keppelensis}\), smaller egg processes \(4.5–5.3\ \text{μm}\) in the new species \(vs\ 11.0–16.0\ \text{μm}\ in \(M.\ \text{keppelensis}\), narrower egg processes bases \(2.4–3.4\ \text{μm}\) in the new species \(vs\ 9.0–12.0\ \text{μm}\ in \(M.\ \text{keppelensis}\), and by slightly smaller distances between egg processes \(1.8–3.9\ \text{μm}\) in the new species \(vs\ 4.0–6.0\ \text{μm}\ in \(M.\ \text{keppelensis}\).
• **M. orthofasciatus** Fontoura et al., 2009 by: cuticular pores arranged in 9–10 transverse bands (11 transverse bands present in *M. orthofasciatus*), the absence of tri- and quadrilobed cuticular pores, the presence of two types of pores (small and large) in the new species *vs* all pores of similar size in *M. orthofasciatus*, the presence of a single large pore on each of legs I–III, the presence of granulation on all legs, stylet supports inserted in a more anterior position (*pt*=49.5–56.2 in the new species *vs* *pt*=66.5–67.8 in *M. orthofasciatus*), a different shape of egg processes (short, single tip cones without a membrane in the new species *vs* screw-like processes with a membrane and six areoles in *M. orthofasciatus*), a slightly larger number of processes on egg circumference (29–30 in the new species *vs* ca. 24 in *M. orthofasciatus*), and by smaller distances between egg processes (1.8–3.9 μm in the new species *vs* 6.4–6.9 μm in *M. orthofasciatus*).

• **M. poricinctus** Claxton, 1998 by: cuticular pores arranged in 9–10 transverse bands (8 transverse bands in *M. poricinctus*), the presence of two types of pores (small and large) in the new species *vs* uniform pore size in *M. poricinctus*, the presence of a single large pore on each of legs I–III, stylet supports inserted in a more anterior position (*pt*=49.5–56.2 in the new species *vs* *pt*=59.5 in *M. poricinctus*), a different macroplacoid length sequence (2<1<3 in the new species *vs* 2=3<1 in *M. poricinctus*), a different shape of egg processes (short, single-tipped cones without a membrane in the new species *vs* screw-like processes within a membrane in *M. poricinctus*), the absence of granulation on egg shell, a larger number of processes on egg circumference (29–30 in the new species *vs* 18–20 in *M. poricinctus*), slightly smaller egg processes (4.5–5.3 μm in the new species *vs* 6.5–7.0 μm in *M. poricinctus*), and by smaller distances between egg processes (1.8–3.9 μm in the new species *vs* 6.0–8.0 μm in *M. poricinctus*).

• **M. pustulatus** (Ramazzotti, 1959) by: the absence of triangular and polygonal pores, the presence of two types of cuticular pores (small and large) in the new species *vs* small pores present only in the anterior part of the body, intermediate in size in the middle of the body and the large pores in the posterior part of the body in *M. pustulatus*, the presence of a single large pore on each of legs I–III and, egg processes without a filiform bristle.

• **M. ramazzottii** Binda & Pilato, 1992 by: pores arranged in bands, the presence of two types of pores (small and large) in the new species *vs* universal pores size in *M. ramazzottii*, the presence of a single large pore on each of legs I–III, the absence of the oral cavity armature, stylet supports inserted in a more anterior position (*pt*=49.5–56.2 in the new species *vs* *pt*=68.2–68.3 in *M. ramazzottii*), a different macroplacoid length sequence (2<1<3 in the new species *vs* 3<2<1 in *M. ramazzottii*), and by a lower number of processes on egg circumference (29–30 in the new species *vs* ca. 34–41 in *M. ramazzottii*).

• **M. subintermedius** (Ramazzotti, 1962) by the presence of cuticular pores, the presence of granulation on all legs, and by fully developed lunules (only small open lunules present in *M. subintermedius*).

• **M. vinciguerrae** Binda & Pilato, 1992 by: pores arranged in bands, the absence of tri- and quadrilobed pores, the presence of two types of pores (small and large)
in the new species vs uniform pore size in *M. vinciguerrae*), the presence of a single large pore on each of legs I–III, the absence of the oral cavity armature, a larger mean body size (184 μm in the new species vs 380 μm in *M. vinciguerrae*), stylet supports inserted in a more anterior position (pt=49.5–56.2 in the new species vs pt=66.1–68.7 in *M. vinciguerrae*), a different macroplacoid length sequence (2<1<3 in the new species vs 2<3<1 μm in *M. vinciguerrae*), a smaller diameter of eggs without and with processes (44.1–45.7 μm and 55.1–55.6 μm in the new species vs ca. 76.4 μm and ca. 88.0 μm in *M. vinciguerrae*), a slightly larger number of processes on egg circumference (29–30 in the new species vs ca. 26 in *M. vinciguerrae*), egg processes without flexible filaments, smaller egg processes (4.5–5.3 μm in the new species vs 10.6–19.0 μm in *M. xavieri*), and by slightly narrower bases of egg processes (2.4–3.4 μm in the new species vs ca. 5.0 μm in *M. vinciguerrae*).

• *M. weglarskae* Michalczyk et al., 2005 by: the absence of bi-, trilobed and star-shaped pores, the presence of two types of pores (small and large) in the new species vs uniform pore size in *M. weglarskae*), the absence of 3–5 large triangular or irregularly shaped pores on the caudo-dorsal cuticle above hind legs, the presence of a single large pore on each of legs I–III, a different shape of egg processes (short, single tip cones without a membrane in the new species vs. screw-like processes within a membrane in *M. weglarskae*), a slightly larger number of processes on egg circumference (29–30 in the new species vs ca. 24 in *M. weglarskae*), and by slightly wider bases of egg processes (2.4–3.4 μm in the new species vs ca. 1.6–2.0 μm in *M. weglarskae*).

• *M. xavieri* Fontoura et al., 2009 by: the absence of trilobed pores, the presence of two types of pores (small and large) in the new species vs all pores of similar size in *M. xavieri*), the presence of a single large pore on each of legs I–III, the presence of granulation on all legs, a smaller body size (113–236 μm in the new species vs 275–410 μm in *M. xavieri*), stylet supports inserted in a more anterior position (pt=49.5–56.2 in the new species vs pt=66.1–67.9 in *M. xavieri*), a different macroplacoid length sequence (2<1<3 in the new species vs 2<3<1 in *M. xavieri*). shorter macroplacoids (I: 1.3–1.9 μm [pt=6.9–8.3]; II: 1.2–1.7 μm [pt=6.8–8.6] III: 1.4–2.2 μm [pt=7.4–9.6] in the new species vs I: 3.6–4.5 μm [12.7–13.8]; II: 2.9–3.6 μm [10.3–11.1] III: 3.0–3.9 μm [pt=10.9–11.9] in *M. xavieri*), a shorter microplacoid (0.5–0.9 μm [pt=2.4–4.7] in the new species vs 1.5–2.0 [5.0–6.2] in *M. xavieri*), a shorter macroplacoid row (4.5–6.8 μm [pt=24.3–33.7] in the new species vs 9.8–12.6 μm [pt=35.6–38.5] in *M. xavieri*), a shorter placoid row (5.2–7.9 μm [pt=27.1–39.1] in the new species vs 10.9–13.9 μm [39.6–43.3] in *M. xavieri*), a different shape of egg processes (short, single-tipped cones in the new species vs long cones with bi- or multi-tipped tips in *M. xavieri*), egg shell and processes without granulation, a smaller diameter of eggs without and with processes (44.1–45.7 μm and 55.1–55.6 μm in the new species vs 56.0–79.0 μm and 80.0–99.2 μm in *M. xavieri*), a larger number of processes on egg circumference (29–30 in the new species vs 20–23 in *M. xavieri*), smaller egg processes (4.5–5.3 μm in the new species vs 10.6–19.0 μm in *M. xavieri*), and by slightly narrower bases of egg processes (2.4–3.4 μm in the new species vs 3.7–6.6 μm in *M. xavieri*).
Genus: *Paramacrobiotus* Guidetti, Schill, Bertolani, Dandekar & Wolf, 2009

*Paramacrobiotus richtersi* (Murray, 1911)

**Localities and specimen numbers.** XI: 2 specimens (including 1 simplex) and 1 egg.

**Remarks.** *Paramacrobiotus* species (until recently a collection of species within *Macrobiotus*) can be divided into three groups: *areolatus*, *huziori* and *richtersi*, with respect to the combination of two traits: the presence/absence of the microplacoid in the pharynx and the type of egg areolation. *Paramacrobiotus richtersi*, considered cosmopolitan, is recognised as the nominal species for a group of very similar taxa that require careful taxonomic examination of adults and egg morphology for correct identification. In the last decade many new species of this group have been described from various localities (e.g. Pilato et al. 2004, Kaczmarek et al. 2005, Tumanov 2005b, Michalczyk and Kaczmarek 2006a, b, Michalczyk et al. 2006, Pilato et al. 2006a, b, Degma et al. 2008, Bartels et al. 2009, Pilato et al. 2012), with more recent additions including molecular data (Guidetti et al. 2009, Schill et al. 2010).
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