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#### Abstract

Four new erythroneurine leafhopper species, Empoascanara aparaoides Wang \& Song, sp. nov., Motaga mengyangensis Wang \& Song, sp. nov., Motaga acicularis Wang \& Song, sp. nov., and Tautoneura qingxiuensis Wang \& Song, sp. nov. from karst areas in Southwestern China, are described and illustrated.
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## Introduction

Erythroneurini is the largest tribe of Typhlocybinae (Yuan et al. 2014). Erythroneurine leafhoppers are rich in diversity and have a body length of less than 5 mm . There are approximately 2,000 species worldwide, which are difficult to identify (Song and Li 2014). They feed on the leaf parenchyma cell contents and can cause harm to agricultural crops and forest trees of economic importance (Lu et al. 2021).

The genus Empoascanara was established by Distant (1918) with Empoascanara prima Distant, 1918 as its type species. Subsequently, other researchers have described many new species. There are currently 92 Empoascanara species known, most of which are found in the Australian, Afrotropical, and Oriental regions. The genus Motaga was established by Dworakowska (1979) with Motaga rokfa Dworakowska, 1979 as its type species. Only five species are known, and the genus is currently known only from the Oriental region. The genus Tautoneura was established by Anufriev (1969) with Tautoneura tricolor Anufriev, 1969 as its type species. It contains 64 species, of which 22 were previously known from China until now.

As part of this work, some interesting erythroneurine leafhopper materials from karst areas of Southwestern China were collected. Following examination and comparison of these materials, four new species, Empoascanara aparaoides Wang \& Song, sp. nov., Motaga mengyangensis Wang \& Song, sp. nov., Motaga acicularis Wang \& Song, sp. nov., and Tautoneura qingxiuensis Wang \& Song, sp. nov., were discovered, and these are described and illustrated in this paper.

## Materials and methods

Specimens were collected by sweeping-net method. Male genitalia and abdominal apodemes were dissected and cleared in a $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution. Morphological terminology used in this study follows Dietrich (2005) and Song and Li (2013). The specimens were observed and drawn under Olympus SZX16 and Olympus BX53 microscopes, respectively. A Keyence VHX-5000 digital microscope was used for photography. The length of erythroneurine leafhoppers was measured from the apex of the head to the tip of the folded forewing. All specimens examined are deposited in the collection of the School of Karst Science, Guizhou Normal University, China (GZNU).

## Taxonomy

Empoascanara (Empoascanara) Distant, 1918

Empoascanara Distant, 1918: 94.

Type species. Empoascanara prima Distant, 1918, by original designation.
Description. Dorsum yellow, white, pale red or brown. Crown broadly rounded medially. Vertex unicolorous, with a single dark median apical spot or a pair of spots. Crown nearly equal, slightly wider or narrower than widest part of pronotum. Pronotum pale, with darker posterior margin. Forewings with or without markings.

Male genitalia. Pygofer microtrichia well developed. Pygofer lobe with caudal margin rounded or angulate. Dorsal pygofer appendage movably articulated, with or without ventral pygofer appendage. Subgenital plate expanded subbasally, with 2-4 basal macrosetae and numerous short stout setae along upper margin in lateral view. Style with preapical lobe prominent. Aedeagus with dorsal apodeme not or slightly expanded in lateral view. Aedeagal shaft usually symmetrical, slender in lateral view. Aedeagus with or without apical, subapical, or basal processes, and with or without preatrial ventral process or processes. Connective with median anterior lobe and arms short.

Distribution. Oriental, Afrotropical, and Australian regions.

## Empoascanara (Empoascanara) aparaoides Wang \& Song, sp. nov.

 https://zoobank.org/33509E60-DC24-4BDD-9B8E-6ECDA5536AEE Figs 1-12Diagnosis. The new species can be distinguished from other species by the aedeagal shaft with one pair of longer subapical processes and one pair of shorter apical processes; the aedeagus without any basal process; the subgenital plate provided with three macrosetae on lateral surface; the pygofer dorsal appendage tapering towards apex; the connective with body strong, but lateral arms and central lobe short.

Description. Body small, ochraceous with brown markings. Vertex ochre-yellow; with one large, irregular, brown spot in middle of anterior margin (Figs 1, 3 ). Crown nearly equal to widest part of pronotum. Pronotum with anterior part


Figures 1-4. Empoascanara (Empoascanara) aparaoides Wang \& Song, sp. nov. 1 habitus, dorsal view $\mathbf{2}$ habitus, lateral view 3 head and thorax, dorsal view 4 face.
ochraceous and brownish posterior part; posterior margin concave (Figs 1, 3). Crown with coronal suture short. Eyes black. Mesonotum ochraceous. Face milky yellow (Figs 2, 4). Forewing hyaline with brownish tinge (Figs 1, 3).

Male abdominal apodemes small, not exceeding $3^{\text {rd }}$ sternite (Fig. 12).
Male genitalia. Pygofer lobe with numerous microsetae distributed densely at ventrolateral area and caudal part; three peg-like setae located on subdorsal area (Fig. 9). Dorsal pygofer appendage long, tapering towards apex (Fig. 10). Style slim (Fig. 5). Subgenital plate subbasally broadened, with three macrosetae on lateral surface, several peg-like setae distributed at subbase and apex; several microsetae scattered on apical part (Fig. 8). Aedeagal shaft long, provided with longer pair of subapical processes and a shorter apical pair of processes. Gonopore located at about mid-length of shaft on ventral surface (Figs 6, 7). Connective Y-shaped, with robust central lobe and two short lateral arms (Fig. 11).

Specimens examined. Holotype: ${ }^{\lambda}$; CHINA, Yunnan Prov., Jinghong; 6 August 2021; Jinqiu Wang leg.; GZNU-2021-YN-JH-11-001. Paratypes: 18 ふ̋ ${ }^{\text {on }}, 24$ q $q$; same data as holotype; GZNU-2021-YN-JH-11-002 to 043.

Measurements. Male length 2.3-2.4 mm, female length 2.4-2.5 mm.
Remarks. This species is similar to Empoascanara apara Dworakowska, 1979, but can be distinguished by its differently shaped pygofer dorsal process


Figures 5-12. Empoascanara (Empoascanara) aparaoides Wang \& Song, sp. nov. 5 style 6 aedeagus, ventral view 7 aedeagus, lateral view 8 subgenital plate 9 pygofer lobe 10 dorsal pygofer appendage, lateral view 11 connective 12 abdominal apodemes.
and an aedeagal shaft with one pair of long and one pair of short apical processes compared to only one pair of long processes in E. apara; also, the aedeagal shaft in $E$. aparaoides is without the medial hook-like process of $E$. apara.

Etymology. The new species is named from the similar species, E. apara, the Greek suffix-oides denotes the similarity of the new species species to $E$. apara.

## Motaga Dworakowska, 1979

Motaga Dworakowska, 1979: 12.
Type species. Motaga rokfa Dworakowska, 1979, by original designation.

Description. Body gray to brown, without or with markings. Eyes gray to black. Crown fore margin weakly produced, broadly rounded apically. Pronotum usually without conspicuous pits. Mesonotum grayish brown. Forewing transparent or semitransparent. Peripheral vein at costal margin of hind wing absent.

Male genitalia. Pygofer lobe broad, sparse setae on outer surface. Pygofer dorsal appendage curved ventrally in lateral view. Pygofer ventral appendage absent. Subgenital plate with 2-4 basal macrosetae; numerous short and stout setae forming continuous row from subbase to apex; several microsetae scattered on apical disc. Style apex truncated or expanded, foot-like. Connective with central lobe large. Aedeagus with dorsal apodeme expanded in lateral view; aedeagal shaft slender, curved dorsad in lateral view, with paired processes arising from base and shorter than shaft.

Distribution. Oriental region.

## Motaga mengyangensis Wang \& Song, sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/F4C1D37F-9AA3-4108-B407-937E4BCC7DBF
Figs 13-24

Diagnosis. The new species can be distinguished from other species by the aedeagal shaft bifurcated at apex, crab claw-like, with one pair $1 / 2$ length of aedeagal shaft basal processes; pygofer dorsal appendage expanded at base and tapering towards apex; subgenital plate with row of four macrosetae medially on outer surface; connective with central lobe broad and stem well developed.

Description. Body brown (Figs 13, 16). Head slightly narrower than pronotum (Fig. 15). Crown fore margin strongly produced, with two irregular, medial, am-ber-colored patches (Figs 13, 15). Anterior part of pronotum light brown; posterior margin slightly darkened, with one nearly V-shaped, milky-white stripe. Coronal suture well developed. Eyes black (Figs 13, 14). Mesonotum brown. Forewing grayish brown (Fig. 14).

Male abdominal apodemes broad, extending to anterior margin of $4^{\text {th }}$ sternite (Fig. 24).

Male genitalia. Pygofer lobe broad, with numerous microtrichia scattered along caudal edge and dorsal margin (Fig. 21). Dorsal pygofer appendage with wide base and sharp apex (Fig. 22). Subgenital plate with a row of four macrosetae in middle and with marginal peg-like setae from subbase to apex forming continuous row (Fig. 20). Style long and slender (Fig. 17). Connective with lateral arms strong, central lobe broad and stem well developed (Fig. 23). Aedeagal shaft long, straight in ventral view, curved dorsad in lateral view, bifurcated at apex; crab claw-like and with pair of basal long processes; gonopore located at $1 / 2$ height of aedeagal shaft, ventrad (Figs 18, 19).

Specimens examined. Holotype: ð; CHINA, Yunnan Prov., Jinghong City, Mnegyang Town; 2 August 2021; Tianyi Pu leg.; GZNU-2021-YN-JH-6-001. Paratypes: 41 ふた

Measurements. Male length 2.3-2.4 mm, female length 2.4-2.5 mm (including wings).

Remarks. This species is very similar to Motaga fara Dworakowska, 1980, but it differs from M. fara in having the dorsal pygofer process with a stouter base, the length of the aedeagal shaft proportionally longer compared to the


Figures 13-16. Motaga mengyangensis Wang \& Song, sp. nov. 13 habitus, dorsal view 14 habitus, lateral view 15 head and thorax, dorsal view 16 face.
basal processes, and the gonopore located at about halfway along the length of the aedeagal shaft.

Etymology. The new species is named after its type locality, Mengyang Town.

## Motaga acicularis Wang \& Song, sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/4F5E5783-D358-4D31-82CE-C3E376DF6E64
Figs 25-36

Diagnosis. The new species can be distinguished from other Motaga species by its extremely long and slender in lateral view aedeagal shaft, which has a pair of short basal processes that are not bifurcated at apex; the pygofer dorsal appendage, which tapers to the apex and is bent ventrad and hook-like apically; the connective with two long arms; the subgenital plate with four macrosetae; and the very small male abdominal apodemes.

Description. Vertex light brown (Figs 25, 27). Crown fore margin strongly produced, median length of crown slightly less than width between eyes (Figs 25,27 ). Crown nearly equal to width of pronotum. Pronotum and mesonotum


Figures 17-24. Motaga mengyangensis Wang \& Song, sp. nov. 17 style 18 aedeagus, ventral view 19 aedeagus, lateral view $\mathbf{2 0}$ subgenital plate $\mathbf{2 1}$ pygofer lobe $\mathbf{2 2}$ dorsal pygofer appendage, lateral view $\mathbf{2 3}$ connective $\mathbf{2 4}$ abdominal apodemes.
brownish yellow, posterior margin of pronotum almost straight (Figs 25, 27). Eyes black (Fig. 26). Forewings without spots, semitransparent (Figs 25, 26).

Male abdominal apodemes extremely small, not exceeding $3^{\text {rd }}$ sternite (Fig. 36).

Male genitalia. Pygofer lobe broad, with numerous microtrichia; several peglike setae scattered on middle area and hind edge (Fig. 33). Dorsal pygofer appendage with base expanded, with hook-like apex (Fig. 34). Subgenital plate with four macrosetae medially on lateral margin and numerous microsetae distributed along upper margin (Fig. 32). Style apex truncate and slightly expanded (Fig. 29). Connective with lateral arms robust, with obvious central lobe (Fig. 35). Aedeagal shaft long, slender, with paired processes at base (Figs 30, 31). Preatrium short; dorsal apodeme well developed, with apex bifurcate; gonopore located at basal $1 / 3$ of aedeagal shaft (Figs 30, 31).


Figures 25-28. Motaga acicularis Wang \& Song, sp. nov. 25 habitus, dorsal view 26 habitus, lateral view 27 head and thorax, dorsal view 28 face.

Specimens examined. Holotype: đं; CHINA, Guangxi Prov., Liuzhou; 18 July 2021; Ni Zhang leg.; GZNU-2021-GX-LZ-8-001. Paratypes: 96 ふた, 144 + ¢ ; same data as holotype; GZNU-2021-GX-LZ-8-002 to 241.

Measurements. Male length $2.3-2.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, female length $2.4-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ (including wings).

Remarks. This species is very similar to Motaga rokfa Dworakowska, 1979 but can be distinguished by having the aedeagal shaft without a bifurcated apex, the preatrium expanded but short, and the paired basal processes approximately $1 / 3$ length of aedeagal shaft.

Etymology. The species epithet is the Latin word acicularis, which means slender, as a needle and refers to the needle-like aedeagal shaft.

## Tautoneura Anufriev, 1969

Tautoneura Anufriev, 1969: 186. Type species: Tautoneura tricolor Anufriev, 1969.

Erythroneura (Balila) Dworakowska, 1970: 347. Type species: Chlorita mori Matsumura, 1906.
Havelia Ahmed, 1971: 277. Type species: Havelia alba Ahmed, 1971.

Description. Body white to yellow. Crown fore margin strongly produced medially, and slightly narrower or slightly wider than pronotum. Pronotum broad, with or without irregular spots. Mesonotum white to yellow, with basal triangles dark or indistinct. Forewing transparent, usually with single or multiple patches.

Male genitalia. Pygofer lobe rounded, usually with several macrosetae at basal ventral angle and few peg-like setae at distal part on inner surface. Pygofer dorsal appendage slender and apically tapering, ventral appendage absent or present. Subgenital plate lateral margin distinctly widened subbasally, with 2-4 basal macrosetae. Style preapical lobe prominent, apex slender or truncate and expanded or with three points. Connective M- or Y-shaped, with slender median anterior lobe. Aedeagus dorsal apodeme usually expanded in lateral view; aedeagal shaft usually with single or paired processes apically and of variable length.

Distribution. Palaearctic and Oriental regions.


Figures 29-36. Motaga acicularis Wang \& Song, sp. nov. 29 style 30 aedeagus, ventral view 31 aedeagus, lateral view 32 subgenital plate $\mathbf{3 3}$ pygofer lobe $\mathbf{3 4}$ dorsal pygofer appendage, lateral view $\mathbf{3 5}$ connective $\mathbf{3 6}$ abdominal apodemes.

Tautoneura qingxiuensis Wang \& Song, sp. nov. https://zoobank.org/F0B7D9BC-841C-4816-A9A2-0BD3B59BC2A7 Figs 37-48

Diagnosis. The new species can be distinguished from other Tautoneura species by subapically broadened the aedeagal shaft in ventral view, with one pair of processes at apex; the extremely short preatrium; the apical gonopore; the dorsal pygofer appendage with base expanded; and the Y -shaped connective, with long, slim stem.

Description. Body milky-yellow (Figs 37, 40). Vertex milky-yellow, with pair of gray-yellow spots on either side of coronal suture (Figs 37, 39). Crown yellowish, with fore margin strongly produced medially (Figs 37, 39). Eyes gray. Face milkywhite, with base of antenna yellow (Fig. 40). Pronotum milky-yellow, with posterior margin whitish gray (Figs 37,39). Mesonotum with basal triangles brownish yellow, but inside milky yellow (Fig. 39). Forewing transparent (Fig. 38).

Male abdominal apodemes narrow and extending to midlength of $4^{\text {th }}$ sternite (Fig. 48).


Figures 37-40. Tautoneura qingxiuensis Wang \& Song, sp. nov. 37 habitus, dorsal view 38 habitus, lateral view 39 head and thorax, dorsal view 40 face.


Figures 41-48. Tautoneura qingxiuensis Wang \& Song, sp. nov. 41 style 42 aedeagus, ventral view 43 aedeagus, lateral view 44 subgenital plate 45 pygofer lobe 46 dorsal pygofer appendage, lateral view 47 connective 48 abdominal apodemes.

Male genitalia. Pygofer lobe with a few fine setae scattered on lateral surfac; pygofer microtrichia conspicuous, well developed; dorsal pygofer appendage distally tapered and basally expanded (Figs 45, 46). Subgenital plate with two macrosetae near middle aera of outer margin and some stout setae scattered near apex (Fig. 44). Style with prominent preapical lobe (Fig. 41). Connective Y -shaped, with long stem, two lateral arms strong, and central lobe rather small (Fig. 47). Aedeagal shaft nearly straight, subapically broadened in ventral view, with pair of processes at apex; gonopore near apex on ventral surface; dorsal apodeme slightly broadened in lateral view; preatrium extremely short (Figs 42, 43).

Specimens examined. Holotype: © China, Guangxi Prov., Nanning, Qingxiu Mountain; 21 July 2021; Wenming Xu leg.; GZNU-2021-GX-NN-3-001. Para-


Measurements. Male length 2.1-2.2 mm, female length 2.2-2.3 mm (including wings).

Remarks. This species closely resembles Tautoneura maculosa Sohi, Mann \& Shenhmar, 1987, but it can be distinguished by the absence of prominent, dark markings on head, pronotum, and mesonotum (present in $T$. maculosa), the subgenital plate bearing two macrosetae (vs three), and the much stouter aedeagus than in $T$. maculosa.

Etymology. The new species is named after its type locality, Qingxiu Mountain.
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#### Abstract

The diversity of nemerteans along the Pacific coast of the United States is regarded as well characterized, but there remain many cryptic, undescribed, and "orphan" species (those known only in their larval form). Recent sampling of nemerteans in Oregon and Washington has begun to fill in these taxonomic gaps, but nemertean diversity in California has received relatively little attention over the past 60 years. During the summers of 2019 and 2020, nemertean specimens were collected from 20 locations in the Bodega Bay region of northern California, USA, including rocky intertidal shores, sandy beaches, mudflats, and other habitats. Based on morphological assessment and DNA sequence analysis (partial Cytochrome Oxidase I and 16S rRNA genes), our surveys identified 34 nemertean species. Only 13 of these ( $38 \%$ ) can be confidently assigned to described species. Another 11 represent species that are new to science, including members of the genera Riserius, Nipponnemertes, Poseidonemertes, Zygonemertes, Nemertellina, Oerstedia, and three species of uncertain affiliation. The remaining ten species include undescribed or cryptic species of uncertain status that have been found previously along the Pacific Coast of North America. Our surveys also document extensions of known geographic ranges for multiple species, including the first records in California of Antarctonemertes phyllospadicola, Cephalothrix hermaphroditica, and Maculaura oregonensis. This is the first report of the genus Nemertellina in the northeast Pacific and Riserius in California. Overall, our findings highlight how much remains to be learned about the diversity and distribution of nemerteans in the northeast Pacific.


Key words: Biodiversity, cryptic species, Hoplonemertea, invertebrate, marine, Palaeonemertea, Pilidiophora

## Introduction

Nemerteans, or ribbon worms, are a phylum of approximately 1300 species (Gibson 1995; Kajihara et al. 2008; WoRMS Editorial Board 2023) of soft-bodied, non-segmented worms with an eversible proboscis housed within a flu-id-filled rhynchocoel. They are found in all the world's oceans, with most species known from benthic marine habitats, although some have adapted to pelagic, fresh-water, and terrestrial environments as well. Most nemerteans
are predators that feed on annelids, crustaceans, and mollusks (McDermott and Roe 1985), some throughout their life cycle, i.e., even in the plankton as larvae (Maslakova and Hiebert 2014; von Dassow et al. 2022; Maslakova et al. 2024). Some nemertean species impact commercially important crab, clam, and eel fisheries (e.g., Kuris and Wickham 1987; Bourque et al. 2001; Park et al. 2019), and some have been shown to produce compounds with biomedical potential (Kem et al. 2006; Whelan et al. 2014; Göransson et al. 2019; Verdes et al. 2022). Despite their ecological and applied importance, nemerteans remain understudied as a group, and the vast majority of the phylum's diversity has yet to be characterized (e.g., Mahon et al. 2010; Chernyshev and Lutaenko 2011; Kajihara 2017; Maslakova et al. 2022).

Nemerteans are challenging to identify given their relatively small number of external features, many of which do not fall into discrete character states (e.g., color and shape of body), and the fact that many species have been described and are known only from histological study of formalin-preserved material. The phylum is known to possess large numbers of cryptic species, that is, morphologically indistinguishable, but nevertheless distinct, species (e.g., Chen et al. 2010; Leasi and Norenburg 2014; Hao et al. 2015; Hiebert and Maslakova 2015a). Given that morphological approaches often fail to distinguish between look-alikes, DNA-based approaches are increasingly used to identify and delimit species, resolve phylogenies, and infer biogeographical patterns (e.g., Chernyshev et al. 2021a; Mendes et al. 2021; Kajihara et al. 2022a, 2022b).

The nemertean fauna of the Cold Temperate Northern Pacific province (as per Spalding et al. 2007) is among the best-characterized in the world, as it has been studied by several nemertinologists during the course of the past century and a half (e.g., Stimpson 1857; Griffin 1898; Coe 1901, 1904, 1905, 1940; Corrêa 1964). However, new nemertean species are routinely discovered by researchers working in this region as molecular techniques are applied, and as new locations, life stages, habitats and depths are sampled and living specimens studied (e.g., Maslakova and von Dassow 2012; Hiebert et al. 2013; Hao et al. 2015; Hiebert and Maslakova 2015a, 2015b; Hunt and Maslakova 2017; Chernyshev et al. 2021b). Roe et al. (2007) report 65 intertidal nemertean species for the Oregonian Biogeographic Province (Oregon to Central California). However, recent sampling and DNA-barcoding of adults and planktonic larvae increased the known number of nemertean species in Oregon alone to more than a hundred (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022). In contrast, the diversity of nemerteans in California has received relatively little attention during the past 60 years, and molecular methods have not been applied broadly to the nemertean fauna of California.

The Bodega Bay region in northern California supports biodiverse ecosystems including rocky shores, kelp forests, sandy beaches, seagrass beds, and mudflats. The diversity of nemerteans in the Bodega Bay region has received some attention historically. A student report by Tamura (1957) identified 12 nemertean species in this region. Corrêa (1964) surveyed the southern end of Bodega Bay, including Dillon Beach, Tomales Point, and Tomales Bay, and identified 18 nemertean species. A pair of surveys conducted of Bodega Harbor (Standing et al. 1975) and the open coast of Bodega Marine Reserve (Ristau et al. 1978) identified seven nemertean species. Collectively, these previous studies identified a total of 21 different species of nemerteans in this region. The goal of this study was to extend the geographic scope of recent investigations
of nemertean diversity in the northeast Pacific (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022) to include northern California. In particular, we collected nemerteans from a variety of habitats in the Bodega Bay region and used DNA barcoding to examine this fauna for the first time.

## Materials and methods

## Specimen collection and preservation

During the summers of 2019 and 2020, we collected samples from 20 locations around Bodega Bay, California (Fig. 1, Tables 1, 2).

We selected the locations to encompass a variety of habitat types, including rocky substrate and soft sediments in both wave-exposed/outer coast and wave-protected, estuarine/harbor environments. Most of our collections were from the intertidal zone, but some subtidal collections were made as well. In the field, we haphazardly searched for visible nemerteans and additionally collected complex habitat material to extract small worms in the laboratory. Complex material (e.g., sedentary/colonial animals, algal holdfasts, surfgrass roots, sand, mud, etc.) was collected in the field and placed into sealed plastic bags. In the laboratory, we transferred these materials into clear aquaria, and covered the material with seawater. These samples were left for several days and checked regularly to remove any nemerteans observed crawling on the walls or water's surface. After several days, we broke apart and sorted through complex habitat material to remove hidden worms. Colonial ascidians and other invertebrates from samples of benthic communities tended to deteriorate rapidly in the laboratory and caused any nemertean specimens to become unusable, so these materials were sorted soon after collection.


Figure 1. Map of collection stations (1-20) within Bodega Bay, California, USA.

Table 1. Nemertean collection locations in the Bodega Bay region. Locations \#8 and \#11-\#18 are within the Bodega Marine Reserve.

| Station | GPS Coordinates | Site Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $38.2573,-122.9713$ | Dillon Beach: wave-exposed, intertidal habitat with boulders and rocky outcrops |
| 2 | $38.3055,-123.0171$ | Pinnacle Gulch: wave-exposed, intertidal habitat with boulders and rocky outcrops |
| 3 | $38.3064,-123.0514$ | Doran Beach: subtidal, soft sediment habitat |
| 4 | $38.3059,-123.0524$ | Bodega Harbor North Jetty: intertidal jetty with boulders |
| 5 | $38.3106,-123.0556$ | Bodega Harbor: intertidal flats with soft sediments |
| 6 | $38.3131,-123.0514$ | Bodega Harbor, near Coast Guard dock: intertidal breakwater with boulders |
| 7 | $38.3141,-123.0515$ | Bodega Harbor, near Coast Guard dock: intertidal breakwater with boulders |
| 8 | $38.3135,-123.0543$ | Bodega Harbor, Gaffney Point: intertidal flats with soft sediments |
| 9 | $38.3289,-123.0573$ | Bodega Harbor, Spud Point Marina: subtidal fouling community on floating docks |
| 10 | $38.3002,-123.0617$ | Bodega Head, south end: wave-exposed, rocky intertidal habitat with shaded caves |
| 11 | $38.3150,-123.0712$ | Bodega Head, Horseshoe Cove: subtidal holdfasts of bull kelp (Nereocystis luetkeana) |
| 12 | $38.3159,-123.0693$ | Bodega Head, south side of Horseshoe Cove: rocky intertidal benches, among the roots of surfgrass <br> (Phyllospadix sp.) |
| 13 | $38.3162,-123.0691$ | Bodega Head, Horseshoe Cove Beach: intertidal sandy beach with coarse sediments |
| 14 | $38.3168,-123.0709$ | Bodega Head, north side of Horseshoe Cove: rocky intertidal benches, among the roots of surfgrass <br> (Phyllospadix sp.) |
| 15 | $38.3161,-123.0719$ | Bodega Head, Horseshoe Cove Point: wave-exposed rocky intertidal benches at northern edge of the cove |
| 16 | $38.3185,-123.0740$ | Bodega Head: wave-exposed rocky intertidal benches |
| 17 | $38.3235,-123.0785$ | Bodega Head, Mussel Point: wave-exposed rocky intertidal benches |
| 18 | $38.3231,-123.0766$ | Bodega Head: rocky intertidal benches south of Salmon Creek Beach |
| 19 | $38.3631,-123.0709$ | Coleman Beach: wave-exposed, intertidal habitat with boulders and rocky outcrops |
| 20 | $38.3747,-123.0789$ | Schoolhouse Beach: wave-exposed, intertidal habitat with boulders and rocky outcrops |

Table 2. Species identity, collection location, and accession numbers of nemertean specimens from Bodega Bay, CA. "Station" refers to collection location (Fig. 1, Table 1), USNM (United States National Museum) numbers refers to vouchers deposited at the Smithsonian Institution's National Museum of Natural History.

| Specimen ID | OTU (ASAP subset) | Species ID | Station | BOLD process ID | GenBank accession number | USNM voucher |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B01 | B0BA001 | Maculaura oregonensis Hiebert \& Maslakova, 2015a | 6 | NONEP001-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075685 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075747 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673940 |
| B02 | BOBA009 | Paranemertes sp. BOBA009 | 6 | NONEP002-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075698 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075759 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673941 |
| B03 | B0BA011 | Nemertellina sp. BOBA011 | 6 | NONEP003-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075690 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075753 } \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
| B04 | BOBA029 | Tetrastemma sp. BOBA029 | 6 | NONEP004-21 | COI: OQ075707 | N/A |
| B05 | BOBA012 | Zygonemertes sp. BOBA012 | 6 | NONEP005-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075710 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075767 } \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
| B06 | B0BA012 | Zygonemertes sp. BOBA012 | 6 | NONEP006-21 | COI: OQ075709 | N/A |
| B07 | B0BA014 | Zygonemertes sp. BOBA014 | 6 | NONEP007-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075721 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075778 } \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
| B08 | B0BA014 | Zygonemertes sp. BOBA014 | 6 | NONEP008-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075717 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075774 } \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
| B09 | B0BA012 | Zygonemertes sp. B0BA012 | 6 | NONEP009-21 | 16S: 0Q075773 | N/A |
| B10 | B0BA031 | Tubulanus sexlineatus (Griffin, 1898) | 10 | NONEP010-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075708 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075766 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673942 |
| B11 | BOBA007 | Riserius sp. BOBA007 | 13 | NONEP011-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075703 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075764 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673943 |
| B12 | B0BA025 | Cephalothrix simula (Iwata, 1952) | 9 | NONEP012-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075671 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075732 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673944 |
| B13 | BOBA026 | Cephalothrix hermaphroditica (Gibson, Sánchez \& Méndez, 1990) | 15 | NONEP013-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075668 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075729 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673945 |


| Specimen ID | OTU (ASAP subset) | Species ID | Station | BOLD process ID | GenBank accession number | USNM voucher |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B14 | B0BA010 | Poseidonemertes sp. B0BA010 | 3 | NONEP014-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075700 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075761 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673946 |
| B15 | BOBA016 | Eumonostilifera sp. BOBA016 | 9 | NONEP015-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075687 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075750 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673947 |
| B16 | BOBA027 | Emplectonema viride Stimpson, 1857 | 1 | NONEP016-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075674 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075735 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673948 |
| B18 | B0BA021 | Tetrastemma bilineatum Coe, 1904 | 1 | NONEP017-21 | COI: OQ075705 | USNM 1673949 |
| B19 | B0BA006 | Maculaura cerebrosa Hiebert \& Maslakova, 2015 | 16 | NONEP018-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075682 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075743 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673950 |
| B20 | B0BA017 | Amphiporus sp. B0BA017 | 16 | NONEP019-21 | COI: OQ075662 16S: OQ075723 | USNM 1673951 |
| B21 | B0BA011 | Nemertellina sp. B0BA011 | 9 | NONEP020-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075691 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075754 } \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
| BON01 | B0BA019 | Tetrastemma nigrifrons Coe, 1904 | 17 | NONEP021-21 | COI: OQ075706 | USNM 1673952 |
| BON02 | BOBA002 | Micrura verrilli | 18 | NONEP022-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075686 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075748 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673953 |
| BON03 | BOBA003 | Kulikovia sp. BOBA003 | 10 | NONEP023-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075675 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075736 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673954 |
| BON04 | BOBA026 | Cephalothrix hermaphroditica (Gibson, Sánchez \& Méndez, 1990) | 10 | NONEP024-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075670 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075731 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673955 |
| BON06 | BOBA005 | Lineus flavescens Coe, 1904 | 6 | NONEP025-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075679 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075740 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673956 |
| BON10 | BOBA001 | Maculaura oregonensis Hiebert \& Maslakova, 2015a | 8 | NONEP026-21 | 16S: OQ075746 | USNM 1673957 |
| BON11 | BOBA005 | Lineus flavescens Coe, 1904 | 8 | NONEP027-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075681 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075742 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673958 |
| BON13 | BOBA028 | Nipponnemertes sp. BOBA028 | 7 | NONEP028-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075693 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075756 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673959 |
| BON16 | BOBA018 | Amphiporus sp. B0BA018 | 19 | NONEP029-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075660 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075722 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673960 |
| BON24 | BOBA032 | Carinomella lactea Coe, 1905 | 8 | NONEP030-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075667 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075728 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673961 |
| BON27 | B0BA013 | Zygonemertes sp. B0BA013 | 7 | NONEP031-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075716 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075772 } \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
| BON32 | BOBA022 | Oerstedia sp. BOBA022 | 4 | NONEP032-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075694 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075757 } \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
| BON33 | BOBA022 | Oerstedia sp. BOBA022 | 4 | NONEP033-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075695 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075758 } \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
| BON35 | BOBA033 | Poseidonemertes sp. BOBA033 | 8 | NONEP034-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075699 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075760 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673962 |
| BON36 | BOBA024 | Amphiporus sp. BOBA024 | 18 | NONEP035-21 | COI: OQ075658 | USNM 1673963 |
| BON38 | B0BA026 | Cephalothrix hermaphroditica (Gibson, Sánchez \& Méndez, 1990) | 18 | NONEP036-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075669 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075730 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673964 |
| BON40 | BOBA005 | Lineus flavescens Coe, 1904 | 2 | NONEP037-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075680 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075741 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673965 |
| BON41 | B0BA034 | Micrura wilsoni (Coe, 1904) | 7 | NONEP038-21 | 16S: 0Q075749 | USNM 1673966 |
| BON44 | B0BA018 | Amphiporus sp. B0BA018 | 18 | NONEP039-21 | COI: OQ075663 | USNM 1673967 |
| BON47 | B0BA017 | Amphiporus sp. B0BA017 | 18 | NONEP040-21 | COI: OQ075661 | USNM 1673968 |
| BON50 | BOBA005 | Lineus flavescens Coe, 1904 | 2 | NONEP041-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075676 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075737 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673969 |
| BON51 | BOBA025 | Cephalothrix simula (Iwata, 1952) | 9 | NONEP042-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075672 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075733 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673970 |
| BON59 | BOBA004 | Siphonenteron gen. sp. BOBA004 | 15 | NONEP043-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075704 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075765 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673971 |
| BON60 | BOBA005 | Lineus flavescens Coe, 1904 | 15 | NONEP044-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075677 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075738 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673972 |
| BON61 | BOBA024 | Amphiporus sp. BOBA024 | 14 | NONEP045-21 | COI: OQ075659 | USNM 1673973 |
| BON62 | B0BA015 | Zygonemertes sp. B0BA015 | 14 | NONEP046-21 | COI: OQ075715 | USNM 1673974 |


| Specimen ID | OTU (ASAP subset) | Species ID | Station | BOLD process ID | GenBank accession number | USNM voucher |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BON63 | B0BA015 | Zygonemertes sp. B0BA015 | 14 | NONEP047-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075714 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075771 } \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
| BON64 | B0BA007 | Riserius sp. BOBA007 | 13 | NONEP048-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075701 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075762 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673975 |
| BON65 | B0BA007 | Riserius sp. BOBA007 | 13 | NONEP049-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075702 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075763 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673976 |
| BON67 | BOBA023 | Antarctonemertes phyllospadicola (Stricker, 1985) | 14 | NONEP050-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075664 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075724 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673977 |
| BON68 | BOBA023 | Antarctonemertes phyllospadicola (Stricker, 1985) | 14 | NONEP051-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075665 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075725 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673978 |
| BON69 | B0BA011 | Nemertellina sp. B0BA011 | 14 | NONEP052-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075689 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075752 } \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
| BON70 | BOBA008 | Cerebratulus sp. BOBA008 | 5 | NONEP053-21 | COI: OQ075673 16S: OQ075734 | USNM 1673979 |
| BON75 | BOBA020 | Tetrastemma sp. BOBA020 | 13 | NONEP054-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075688 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075751 } \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
| BON76 | B0BA013 | Zygonemertes sp. BOBA013 | 10 | NONEP055-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075718 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075775 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673986 |
| BON77 | BOBA030 | Ototyphlonemertes sp. BOBA030 | 13 | NONEP056-21 | COI: OQ075696 | N/A |
| BON78 | BOBA030 | Ototyphlonemertes sp. BOBA030 | 13 | NONEP057-21 | COI: OQ075697 | N/A |
| BON80 | B0BA013 | Zygonemertes sp. B0BA013 | 10 | NONEP058-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075719 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075776 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673980 |
| BON81 | B0BA015 | Zygonemertes sp. BOBA015 | 10 | NONEP059-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075720 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075777 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673981 |
| BON83 | BOBA006 | Maculaura cerebrosa Hiebert \& Maslakova, 2015a | 12 | NONEP060-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075684 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075745 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673982 |
| BON85 | BOBA006 | Maculaura cerebrosa Hiebert \& Maslakova, 2015a | 12 | NONEP061-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075683 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075744 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673983 |
| BON86 | BOBA005 | Lineus flavescens Coe, 1904 | 10 | NONEP062-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075678 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075739 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673984 |
| BON87 | B0BA013 | Zygonemertes sp. BOBA013 | 11 | NONEP063-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075711 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075768 } \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
| BON88 | B0BA014 | Zygonemertes sp. BOBA014 | 6 | NONEP064-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075712 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075769 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673985 |
| BON91 | BOBA014 | Zygonemertes sp. BOBA014 | 6 | NONEP065-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075713 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075770 } \end{aligned}$ | N/A |
| BON93 | BOBA032 | Carinomella lactea Coe, 1905 | 8 | NONEP066-21 | 16S: 0Q075726 | USNM 1673987 |
| BON94 | BOBA032 | Carinomella lactea Coe, 1905 | 8 | NONEP067-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075666 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075727 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673988 |
| BON95 | BOBA028 | Nipponnemertes sp. BOBA028 | 7 | NONEP068-21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COI: OQ075692 } \\ & \text { 16S: OQ075755 } \end{aligned}$ | USNM 1673989 |

Photographs and videos of worms were taken using a Leica MC170HD digital camera mounted to a Leica M125 dissecting microscope or a Leica DM1000 compound microscope, with accompanying software (Leica Application Suite v. 4.4). For hoplonemerteans, the stylet and basis of living specimens were photographed, when possible, using a compound microscope. We made initial species identifications using available morphological keys and geographically relevant inventories of Nemertea (Coe 1905; Roe et al. 2007; Hiebert 2016). Tissue samples were then preserved in $95 \%$ ethanol for DNA extraction, and some specimens were preserved in $4 \%$ formalin as morphological vouchers. Specimens collected in 2019 were identified with numbers preceded by the letter "B" and those collected in 2020 were given identifiers beginning with the label "BON." Morphological and tissue vouchers have been deposited in the National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C. (Table 2, see also BOLD dataset https://dx.doi.org/10.5883/DS-NEMBBCA).

## DNA extraction, PCR, sequence analysis, and species delimitation

We extracted DNA from 76 individuals using DNEasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol. We attempted to PCR-amplify portions of two mitochondrial genes, cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) and 16 S rRNA, from each individual, using universal and nemertean-specific primers (Table 3).

Each PCR was performed in a $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume, with 1 unit per reaction of Go Taq Polymerase (Promega) with supplied buffer, $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ dNTPs, and 500 nM of each primer. We used the following thermocycle profile: $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{~min} ; 34$ cycles of: 95 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 40 \mathrm{~s}, 45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (COI) $48-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (16S) 40 s , and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{~min}$; followed by final extension for $2 \mathrm{~min}\left(72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Some DNA extracts required dilution ( $\sim 1: 20$ ) for PCR success. PCR products were assessed with gel electrophoresis, purified with Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean Up Kit (Promega), and sequenced at Sequetech (Mountain View, CA) in both directions using PCR primers. We used Geneious Prime for sequence analysis. Sequences with initial HQ < $50 \%$ were discarded. Each sequence was manually trimmed to eliminate primers and low-quality end regions. Forward and reverse strands were aligned, proofread against each other using quality PHRED scores and chromatograms, and contigs used to generate consensus sequences. Nucleotides with combined PHRED score of less than 20 in consensus sequences were trimmed off or converted to " N ". We translated each COI nucleotide sequence into amino acids using the Invertebrate Mitochondrial translation table and checked for the presence of stop codons.

Consensus sequences were checked against the NCBI database (GenBank) using nucleotide BLAST to screen for contamination and to aid with specimen identification. A 4\% p-distance divergence was previously identified as appropriate for species delineation in large scale COI-barcoding studies of nemerteans (e.g., Kvist et al. 2014; Maslakova et al. 2022), and thus was used here as a criterion for selection of conspecific reference sequences. When available, conspecific sequences from GenBank were added to the alignment. Reference sequences from closely related species were added where no conspecific reference sequences were available (Suppl. material 1). All newly generated sequences have been deposited in BOLD and GenBank (Table 2, see also BOLD dataset https://dx.doi.org/10.5883/DS-NEMBBCA). We aligned sequences using the MAFFT plug-in within Geneious, using default parameters, visually inspected alignments for gaps and irregularities, then used them to construct unrooted neighbor-joining trees (Tamura Nei substitution model). Final COI alignment

Table 3. PCR primers used in this study.

\left.| Locus | Primer name | Primer sequence | Reference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COI | LCO1490 | 5' GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG |  |
| HCO2198 | 5' TAAACTTCAGGGTGACCAAAAAATCA |  |  |$\right)$ Folmer et al. 1994

was trimmed to 658 bp and contained a total of 107 sequences (including 64 generated in this study), and 16S alignment was 568 bp long, and contained 93 sequences ( 57 generated in this study). We used ASAP (Assembling Species by Automatic Partitioning) analysis (Puillandre et al. 2021) of the COI data to partition the dataset into Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs), putative species. The 16 S rRNA sequences were used to verify species identity, where we lacked COI sequence data, but were not used for species delineation, because they are more conservative and can fail to differentiate between closely related species. Below we refer to reference sequences by either GenBank accession number or BOLD Process ID, where accession numbers are not available.

## Results

Of the 76 specimens from which DNA was extracted, we were able to successfully obtain sequences from 68; a total of 64 COI sequences and 57 16S sequences (see Table 2, BOLD dataset https://dx.doi.org/10.5883/DS-NEMBBCA). Results of the ASAP analysis of the COI data suggested the presence of a barcoding gap (i.e., the separation between the maximum intraspecific and the minimum interspecific variation) between $3-5 \%$, similar to previous large-scale DNA-barcoding studies of nemerteans (e.g., Kvist et al. 2014; Sundberg et al. 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022). Using 0.038 simple p-distance as the threshold, ASAP partitioned the dataset into 52 OTUs, 33 of which contained all our samples (Table 4). BOLD species delineation algorithm partitioned our sequences into 34 barcode index numbers (BINs, Table 4), splitting Zygonemertes sp. BOBA015 into two separate BINs. Of the 34 BINs, ten are unique, meaning they lacked representation in BOLD (likely not previously sequenced). We were not able to obtain a COI sequence from specimen BON41, but its identity was determined morphologically as Micrura wilsoni (Coe, 1904) and was confirmed by the 16 S rRNA sequence data. There is no evidence of cryptic species of $M$. wilsoni in the region thus far. Hence, we report a total of 34 putative species of nemerteans from Bodega Bay, CA (Table 4). COI sequences were obtained for 33/34 species, and 16 S sequences for $28 / 34$ species. Neighbor-Joining trees of the COI and 16 S rRNA sequence alignments are shown on Figs 2,3 , respectively.

Because of the ubiquitous presence of cryptic species among nemerteans, species distributions listed below refer to reports verified by DNA sequence data, unless otherwise noted. Undescribed species, as well as species of uncertain status, were assigned temporary alphanumeric OTU codes (e.g., BOBAOXX) for tracking purposes, until their taxonomy is resolved.

## Class PALAEONEMERTEA

Order Archinemertea Iwata, 1960
Family Cephalotrichidae McIntosh, 1873
Genus Cephalothrix Örsted, 1843

A species-rich genus of mostly white, thread-like worms, which have a long pre-oral region and lack ocelli as adults. Given their general lack of distinguishing features, the species therein are difficult to differentiate morphologically

Table 4. Nemertean species identified from Bodega Bay, California. The term "unresolved" refers to cryptic species of uncertain taxonomic status.

| Class | Species | Status | OTU code (this study) | BOLD Barcode Identification Number (BIN) | Maslakova et al. (2022) OTU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Palaeonemertea | Cephalothrix hermaphroditica (Gibson, Sánchez \& Méndez, 1990) | described | BOBA026 | BOLD:ADM3467 | - |
| Palaeonemertea | Cephalothrix simula (Iwata, 1952) | described | BOBA025 | BOLD:AAM5519 | - |
| Palaeonemertea | Carinomella lactea Coe, 1905 | described | BOBA032 | BOLD:AEJ8707 | - |
| Palaeonemertea | Tubulanus sexlineatus (Griffin, 1898) | described | B0BA031 | BOLD:ADM0945 | OTU 83 |
| Pilidiophora | Cerebratulus sp. BOBA008 | previously reported, undescribed | BOBA008 | BOLD:AAE9633 | OTU 62 |
| Pilidiophora | Kulikovia sp. BOBA003 | previously reported, undescribed | BOBA003 | BOLD:ADX1401 | OTU 49 |
| Pilidiophora | Maculaura cerebrosa Hiebert \& Maslakova, 2015a | described | BOBA006 | BOLD:AAP1201 | OTU 54 |
| Pilidiophora | Maculaura oregonensis Hiebert \& Maslakova, 2015a | described | BOBA001 | BOLD:ADM2641 | OTU 61 |
| Pilidiophora | Riserius sp. BOBA007 | new to science | BOBA007 | BOLD:AEJ1230 | - |
| Pilidiophora | Lineus flavescens Coe, 1904 | described | BOBA005 | BOLD:ADS0049 | OTU 45 |
| Pilidiophora | Micrura verrilli Coe, 1901 | described | B0BA002 | BOLD:ADW4746 | OTU 65 |
| Pilidiophora | Micrura wilsoni (Coe, 1904) | described | BOBA034 | BOLD:ADW9830 | OTU 90 |
| Pilidiophora | Siphonenteron gen. sp. BOBA004 | new to science | BOBA004 | BOLD:ADR9817 | - |
| Hoplonemertea | Nipponnemertes sp. BOBA028 | new to science | BOBA028 | BOLD:AEJ7531 | - |
| Hoplonemertea | Amphiporus sp. BOBA024 | new to science | BOBA024 | BOLD:AEI5687 | - |
| Hoplonemertea | Amphiporus sp. B0BA017 | previously reported, undescribed | B0BA017 | BOLD:ADR7530 | OTU 6 |
| Hoplonemertea | Amphiporus sp. BOBA018 | previously reported, undescribed | BOBA018 | BOLD:AEA1922 | OTU 5 |
| Hoplonemertea | Emplectonema viride Stimpson, 1857 | described | BOBA027 | BOLD:AAP1200 | OTU 17 |
| Hoplonemertea | Ototyphlonemertes sp. BOBA030 | previously reported, unresolved | BOBA030 | BOLD:ADM3126 | - |
| Hoplonemertea | Paranemertes sp. BOBA009 | previously reported, undescribed | BOBA009 | BOLD:ADM0221 | OTU 10 |
| Hoplonemertea | Poseidonemertes sp. B0BA010 | new to science | B0BA010 | BOLD:AEK1697 | - |
| Hoplonemertea | Poseidonemertes sp. BOBA033 | new to science | B0BA033 | BOLD:AEK1698 | - |
| Hoplonemertea | Tetrastemma nigrifrons Coe, 1904 | described | B0BA019 | BOLD:ADX0572 | OTU 18 |
| Hoplonemertea | Tetrastemma sp. BOBA029 | previously reported, undescribed | BOBA029 | BOLD:ADW8618 | OTU 20 |
| Hoplonemertea | Tetrastemma sp. BOBA020 | new to science | BOBA020 | BOLD:AEJ7493 | - |
| Hoplonemertea | Zygonemertes sp. BOBA012 | previously reported, unresolved | BOBA012 | BOLD:ADL9636 | OTU 23 |
| Hoplonemertea | Zygonemertes sp. BOBA013 | previously reported, unresolved | B0BA013 | BOLD:ADW7912 | OTU 26 |
| Hoplonemertea | Zygonemertes sp. B0BA014 | new to science | B0BA014 | BOLD:AEK0256 | - |
| Hoplonemertea | Zygonemertes sp. B0BA015 | new to science | B0BA015 | BOLD:AEJ0120, BOLD:ADR7155 | - |
| Hoplonemertea | Eumonostilifera sp. BOBA016 | previously reported, undescribed | B0BA016 | BOLD:AEJ6897 | OTU 13 |
| Hoplonemertea | Antarctonemertes phyllospadicola (Stricker, 1985) | described | BOBA023 | BOLD:ACH3602 | - |
| Hoplonemertea | Nemertellina sp. BOBA011 | new to science | B0BA011 | BOLD:AEJ4336 | - |
| Hoplonemertea | Oerstedia sp. BOBA022 | new to science | BOBA022 | BOLD:AEJ2779 | - |
| Hoplonemertea | Tetrastemma bilineatum Coe, 1904 | described | BOBA021 | BOLD:ADW8130 | OTU 29 |



Figure 2. Neighbor-joining tree of COI sequences. Sequences generated in this study are shown in blue. BOBA numbers reflect subsets (OTUs) from the ASAP analysis.
(e.g., Chen et al. 2010; Leasi and Norenburg 2014; Kajihara 2019; Sagorny et al. 2019). COI sequences are available for at least ten species from Oregon and California, most of which have not been described and some are only known in their larval form (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022).

## Cephalothrix hermaphroditica (Gibson, Sánchez \& Méndez, 1990)

Procephalothrix hermaphroditicus Gibson et al., 1990: 279, figs 1-15; Sundberg and Hylbom 1994: 358; McDermott 2001: 12; Carroll et al. 2003: 52; Sundberg et al. 2003: 281.
Cephalothrix hermaphroditicus: Paule et al. 2021: 5.


Figure 3. Neighbor-joining tree of 16 S sequences. Sequences generated in this study are shown in blue. BOBA numbers reflect subsets (OTUs) from ASAP analysis on COI data.

BIN. BOLD:ADM3467.
Material examined. B13, BON4, BON38.
Morphology. Filiform body, 15-51 mm long. Body color orange with translucent margins, somewhat paler ventrally, with a deeper orange anterior tip (Fig. 4A). Preoral region relatively shorter than in other Cephalothrix species.

Identification. Our specimens share high sequence similarity (99-100\% COI) with specimens reported as C. hermaphroditicus from Chile (KU840171), France (MH681952), Spain (KM230034), and Argentina (KM230037). The type locality of the species is Cocholgue, Chile, but given the comparatively low haplotype diversity in Chile, and high haplotype diversity in France, Sagorny et al. (2019) suggested the species may have been introduced to Chile from Europe.


Figure 4. Palaeonemerteans of Bodega Bay A Cephalothrix hermaphroditica, individual B13 B Cephalothrix simula, individual BON51 C Carinomella lactea, individual BON24 D Tubulanus sexlineatus, individual B10.

COI barcodes for the Bodega Bay specimens are identical to the Chilean ones, consistent with the idea of a single introduction to the Pacific from the Atlantic.

Habitat. Collected from wave-exposed, rocky intertidal habitats, among colonial ascidians. Stations 10, 15, 18 (Fig. 1, Table 1).

Distribution. Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study); Coquimbo, Chile (Sundberg et al. 2016); Camarones Bay, Argentina; Vilan Cape, Spain (Fernández-Álvarez et al., unpublished); Roscoff, France (Sagorny et al. 2019; Paule et al. 2021).

Notes. The only available sequences of Cephalothrix hermaphroditica from Chile were collected $\sim 1,000 \mathrm{~km}$ north of its type locality. Given that biogeographical patterns among nemertean species are variable, we tentatively include the species as synonymous with the species we encountered in our surveys. This is the first report of the species in the Cold Temperate Northern Pacific, and first 16S barcode for the species. Cephalothrix hermaphroditica provides the only known example of hermaphrodism among the Palaeonemertea. Reproductive features were not observed by us.

## Cephalothrix simula (Iwata, 1952)

Procephalothrix simulus Iwata, 1952: 132.
Cephalothrix simula: Kajihara et al. 2013: 987, figs 2-11 (see publication for full synonymy up through 2013).
Cephalothrix simula: Chernyshev and Polyakova 2021: 586.
Cephalothrix sp.: Nam and Rhee 2020: 2012.

BIN. BOLD:AAM5519.
Material examined. B12, BON51.

Morphology. Filiform body, ~ 50 mm long, pale yellowish to orange, color brighter in the head and foregut regions, paler and somewhat translucent posteriorly (Fig. 4B).

Identification. Our specimens share high ( $99.6 \% \mathrm{COI}$ ) sequence similarity to the topogenetype of $C$. simula (GU726622), and other $C$. simula sequences, as defined by Kajihara et al. (2013). The type locality of $C$. simula is Japan, but it has since been reported from the Pacific coast of the U.S., the Atlantic, and the Mediterranean. Remarkably, and in contrast to many other reported cases of such widespread distribution among nemertean species, these reports refer to the same species, as assessed by genetic data (Chen et al. 2010; Kajihara et al. 2013; Sagorny et al. 2019). This suggests that $C$. simula has been broadly introduced to many geographic regions.

Habitat. Collected among fouling organisms on marina docks (station 9).
Distribution. Changdao, China (Chen et al. 2010, 2011); South Korea (Chen et al. 2010; Nam and Rhee 2020; Chernyshev and Polyakova 2021); Japan: Fukue, Hiroshima, Oshoro, Seto, Shimoda (Thollesson and Norenburg 2003; Chen et al. 2010; Kajihara et al. 2013); Iturup Island, Russia (Chernyshev and Polyakova 2021); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study); San Diego, CA, USA (Chen et al. 2010); Spain: Aramar, Blanes, Cap de Creus, Islares, San Vicente do Mar (Fernández-Álvarez and Machordom 2013; Sagorny et al. 2019); France: Concarneau, Roscoff (Sagorny et al. 2019); Italy: Giglio, Trieste (Chen et al. 2010; Sagorny et al. 2019); Netherlands: Sint Annaland, Zierikzee (Faasse and Turbeville 2015).

Notes. Previously reported from southern California (Chen et al. 2010), this is the first report of the species from northern California. Following the detection of $C$. simula in the Mediterranean, the species was identified as non-native (Fernández-Álvarez and Machordom 2013), likely introduced to locations outside of East Asia in the $21^{\text {st }}$ century via transport of larvae and juveniles in the ballast water of ships (Chernyshev 2014) or with oyster aquaculture (e.g., Ruesink et al. 2005). Apart from being one of the few known cases of species introductions amongst the nemerteans (but see Moore et al. 2001), C. simula has attracted interest for the high levels of neurotoxin tetrodotoxin (TTX) found in its tissues, sparking concern about potential contamination of shellfish (e.g., Kajihara et al. 2013; Turner et al. 2018).

Order Tubulaniformes Chernyshev, 1995 Family Carinomellidae Chernyshev, 1995 Genus Carinomella Coe, 1905

A monotypic genus. Morphology resembles Tubulanus; likely related to Carinella, Parahubrechtia and other unpigmented tubulanids (Chernyshev et al. 2021b).

## Carinomella lactea Coe, 1905

Carinomella lactea Coe, 1905: 127, pls V-XI, figs 45-61, 63-72.

BIN. BOLD:AEJ8707.
Material examined. BON24, BON93, BON94.

Morphology. Eyeless white worm 65-87 mm long (Fig. 4C); spatulate head demarcated from the body by width and an indistinct transverse furrow; the mouth a small slit just posterior to the furrow on the ventral side. With large, oblong, conspicuous lateral sense organs located posterior to the tubulanid ring.

Identification. Specimens at hand most resemble Carinomella lactea described by Coe (1905) from San Pedro, California and, to a lesser extent, Tubulanus pellucidus (Coe, 1895). The latter was described from the coast of New England, but later also reported from Monterey Bay, San Pedro, and San Diego, California by Coe (1905). In his description of the species, Coe (1905) notes that $T$. pellucidus often co-occurs with $C$. lactea in southern California, but the former is smaller in size ( $10-25 \mathrm{~mm}$ long). The species encountered here did not locate any close sequence matches within GenBank, and there are no previously published sequences of $C$. lactea. A COI sequence (OK081469) derived from a small unpigmented tubulanid reported from Oregon by Maslakova et al. (2022: OTU 89), is distinct from those of Bodega Bay specimens, and so is the only available COI sequence (HQ848625) purported to belong to an Atlantic T. pellucidus, from North Carolina (Andrade et al. 2012). The 16 S sequences place Bodega Bay specimens within a clade of other unpigmented tubulanids including species of Tubulanus, Carinella, and Parahubrechtia (Fig. 3).

Habitat. Mudflats. Collected among polychaete tubes on intertidal mudflats, just below the surface of the sediments (station 8).

Distribution. Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study). The species has been reported from the Atlantic (Corrêa 1961), but it is unlikely this report refers to the same species.

Notes. Species not previously sequenced.
Family Tubulanidae sensu Chernyshev, 2022 Genus Tubulanus Renier, 1804

## Tubulanus sexlineatus (Griffin, 1898)

Carinella sexlineata Griffin, 1898: 201, fig. 15; Coe 1904: 118; 1905: 85, pl. I, figs 2, 3 . Carinella dinema Coe, 1901: 15, pl. I, figs 2, 3.

BIN. BOLD:ADM0945.
Material examined. B10.
Morphology. Slender reddish brown worm with elaborate white markings (Fig. 4D), including a series of transverse rings, six longitudinal lines (one mid-dorsal, originating from the first ring; two paired lateral and one mid-ventral originating from the second ring), and small white dots. Paler ventrally. Spatulate head well demarcated from the body by width and a pair of transverse cerebral organ furrows; with tubulanid ring and lateral sense organs. No ocelli.

Identification. Morphology agrees with Tubulanus sexlineatus, a species described from Puget Sound, Washington and Alaska, and DNA sequences show high percent similarity to previously published sequences of $T$. sexlineatus. Also resembles T. punctatus (Takakura, 1898) from Japan, and T. superbus (Kölliker, 1845) from the Mediterranean. Two described Tubulanus species from
the northeast Pacific with similar coloration have no published sequences but can be differentiated from T. sexlineatus by pattern: T. cingulatus (Coe, 1904) is deep brown, with four dorsal longitudinal white lines, and T. capistratus (Coe, 1901) is brown with many narrow white rings, and only three white longitudinal lines (Coe 1901; Corrêa 1964).

Habitat. Relatively common in the Bodega Bay region. Collected from the wave-exposed, rocky intertidal zone, among colonial ascidians (stations 2, 10, 15), and observed among surfgrass roots (stations 12, 14), kelp holdfasts (station 7), and on the underside of rocks in pale cellophane-like tubes of its own secretion (station 2, 7).

Distribution. Discovery Island, BC, Canada (QHAK2597-22, QHAK2649-22 in BOLD); Puget Sound, WA, USA (Thollesson and Norenburg 2003; Andrade et al. 2012; Charleston, OR, USA (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study); Malibu, CA, USA (DISA603-19 in BOLD).

## Class PILIDIOPHORA Thollesson \& Norenburg, 2003

Order Heteronemertea Bürger, 1892
Family Lineidae
Genus Cerebratulus Renier, 1804

Cerebratulus is one of three non-monophyletic mega-genera in the Class Pilidiophora, with Lineus and Micrura (e.g., see Kajihara et al. 2022b). The morphological diagnosis of the genus is based on combinations of non-unique characters (Schwartz 2009). However, since Cerebratulus marginatus is the type species of the genus, and the species below is closely related (Verdes et al. 2021), it will likely retain the generic affiliation.

## Cerebratulus sp. BOBA008

Cerebratulus marginatus: Andrade et al. 2012: 6; Thollesson and Norenburg 2003: 408; Roe et al. 2007: 224, pl. 88, figs C, D.
Cerebratulus cf. marginatus: Hiebert 2016: 48; Verdes et al. 2021: 898; Maslakova et al. 2022.

BIN. BOLD:AAE9633.
Material examined. BON70.
Morphology. Large ( 25 cm long), dorsoventrally flattened dull reddish brown worm with lateral margins distinct both in color (pale) and shape (flattened). Spade-shaped head bordered by deep cephalic slits, with a long slit-like mouth located posterior to their endings on the ventral side (Fig. 5C). Capable of swimming. Young individuals have a pair of inconspicuous ocelli near the anterior tip of the head.

Identification. A common intertidal mudflat species, previously reported from Washington and Oregon as Cerebratulus marginatus or Cerebratulus cf. marginatus (Thollesson and Norenburg 2003; Roe et al. 2007; Andrade et al. 2012; Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022). The type locality of $C$. marginatus is Naples, Italy, but the closest available sequenced specimens are from Spain. Although the nominal species $C$. marginatus is reported from the eastern and


Figure 5. Pilidiophoran nemerteans of Bodega Bay A, B Riserius sp. BOBA007, a new species, individual BON064 B close up of head in transmitted light showing ocelli (arrowhead) C Cerebratulus sp. BOBA008, individual BON070, ventrolateral view of head D Maculaura cerebrosa, individual BON085 E Maculaura oregonensis, individual B1 F Kulikovia sp. BOBA003, anterior end of individual BON003 G Micrura wilsoni, individual BON041 H Micrura verrilli, individual BON002 I, J Siphonenteron gen. sp. BOBA004, a new species, individual BON059 J close up of anterior end, showing ocelli (arrowhead) K-M Lineus flavescens K, L individual BON040 L close up of head showing ocelli (arrowhead) M individual BON006, a color morph with a white anterior patch and reddish ocelli. Abbreviations: cg - cerebral ganglia, cs - cephalic slit, $m$ - mouth, pp - proboscis pore.
western Pacific and Atlantic oceans, and the Baltic, North, and Mediterranean seas, it has been shown to constitute a large cryptic species complex with at least six lineages in Europe (Verdes et al. 2021). The seventh, NE Pacific, lineage most certainly represents an undescribed species. At least one closely related look-alike, Cerebratulus sp. "spade head", occurs in southern Oregon (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 63) and another, recently re-described as Cerebratulus orochi Kajihara, 2020, in the Sea of Japan.

Habitat. Intertidal mudflats in areas with sandy sediments; often > 30 cm below the surface (station 5).

Distribution. Discovery Islands, BC, Canada (QHAK2554-22 in BOLD), Puget Sound, WA, USA (Thollesson and Norenburg 2003; Andrade et al. 2012; Verdes et al. 2021); Charleston, OR, USA (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

## Genus Kulikovia Chernyshev et al. 2018

## Kulikovia sp. BOBA003

Lineus sp.: Maslakova et al. 2022.
Lineus sp. 2: Hiebert 2016: 54, fig. 4.16.

BIN. BOLD:ADX1401.
Material examined. BON3.
Morphology. Body 27 cm long, reddish brown with a white anterior tip (Fig. 5F).
Identification. Resembles Kulikovia spp., and several other species in the Siphonenteron clade (see Lineidae incertae sedis below). COI sequences show that it is conspecific ( $99-100 \%$ similarity) with one of two sister lineages previously reported from southern Oregon in their larval form as Lineus sp. 2 by Hiebert (2016) and as Lineus sp. by Maslakova et al. (2022: OTU 49) in both larval and adult form. Phylogenetic analysis based on five genetic markers places this species within the Siphonenteron clade, more specifically within the genus Kulikovia (Chernyshev et al. 2018; Kajihara et al. 2022b). Two other members of the genus are reported from the northeast Pacific: K. montgomeryi from Kachemak, Alaska and San Juan Island, Washington (as Cerebratulus montgomeryi in Schwartz 2009; Hiebert 2016), and an undescribed species reported from southern Oregon as Lineus sp. 'red' by Hiebert and Maslakova (2015b) and as Kulikovia sp. by Maslakova et al. (2022: OTU 59).

Habitat. Collected from a sandy, low intertidal pool, under stones (station 10).
Distribution. Charleston, OR, USA (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

Notes. This is the first record of the species in California.

## Genus Maculaura Hiebert \& Maslakova, 2015a

The genus Maculaura was erected for five cryptic species occurring in NE Pacific that were previously recognized under the name Micrura alaskensis Coe, 1901. A phylogenetic analysis by Kajihara et al. (2022b) shows Maculaura as a well-supported lineid clade.

## Maculaura cerebrosa Hiebert \& Maslakova, 2015a

Maculaura cerebrosa Hiebert \& Maslakova, 2015a: 628, fig. 4G-J.

BIN. BOLD:AAP1201.
Material examined. B19, BON83, BON85.

Morphology. Body 15-18 mm long. Anterior tip white, with lateral cephalic slits, no ocelli, and pink cerebral ganglia visible through the body wall (Fig. 5D). One individual quite pink, with color beginning near the brain, another white throughout the foregut region, with brown coloration beginning in the intestinal area.

Identification. Bodega Bay individuals conform to the morphological description of Maculaura spp., and COI sequences exhibit 99-100\% similarity to those of M. cerebrosa from Oregon (Hiebert and Maslakova 2015a; Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 54).

Habitat. Collected from the open coast among the roots of surfgrass (Phyllospadix sp., station 12) and in mid-intertidal mussel beds (station 16). In southern Oregon, this species has also been found within estuaries, especially under rocks at the edges of mudflats (Hiebert and Maslakova 2015a).

Distribution. Wrangell, AK, USA (MOBIL9484-19 in BOLD); Bamfield, BC, Canada (OPQCS038-10 in BOLD); Charleston, OR, USA; Crescent City, CA, USA (Hiebert and Maslakova 2015a; Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

## Maculaura oregonensis Hiebert \& Maslakova, 2015a

Maculaura oregonensis Hiebert \& Maslakova, 2015a: 630, 4L-Q.

BIN. BOLD:ADM2641.
Material examined. B1.
Morphology. Body ~ 18 mm long; anterior tip white, eyeless, with lateral cephalic slits, the rest of the body pink. Anterior tip rounded in extension, head not demarcated from the body. Cerebral ganglia rosy and visible through the body wall (Fig. 5E). Body somewhat transparent. Posterior end with translucent, somewhat thickened caudal cirrus.

Identification. Bodega Bay individuals conform to the morphological description of Maculaura spp., and COI sequences exhibit > 99\% similarity to those of M. oregonensis from Oregon (Hiebert and Maslakova 2015a; Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 61).

Habitat. Collected from Bodega Harbor within the holdfast of subtidal Giant Kelp (Macrocystis pyrifera) at a depth of 3-4 m (station 6). In Oregon, individuals have also been found intertidally in sand and mud (Hiebert and Maslakova 2015a).

Distribution. Charleston, OR, USA (Hiebert and Maslakova 2015a; Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

Notes. This is the first record of the species in California.

Genus Riserius Norenburg, 1993

Riserius is the only known genus of Pilidiophora to exhibit a mesopsammic lifestyle, i.e., living interstitially among sand grains. Its members display a curious suite of features: lack of cutis, cerebral organs opening via lateral pits rather than longitudinal slits, and a transverse cephalic furrow that encircles the body in front of the mouth. Possesses a unique sock-like pilidium recurvatum larva (Hiebert et al. 2013). A single species, Riserius pugetensis Norenburg, 1993, is described from coarse marine sediments of Puget Sound, Washington. Two additional, undescribed species, $R$. sp. "eyes" and $R$. sp. "no eyes," are known from southern Oregon, and two others, $R$. sp. 3 and $R$. sp. 4, from the Sea of Japan
(Vostok Bay, Russia), all collected exclusively in larval form, but some raised in the laboratory to sexual maturity (Hiebert et al. 2013; Hiebert 2016). Similar larval forms are reported from other parts of the world, but sequence data are lacking (reviewed in Hiebert et al. 2013). Phylogenetic analysis by Kajihara et al. (2022b) suggests Riserius is a derived lineid that lost the lateral cephalic slits.

## Riserius sp. BOBA007

BIN. BOLD:AEJ1230.
Material examined. B11, BON64, BON65.
Morphology. Thread-like cream-colored worm, 50-100 mm long (Fig. 5A), with a long and narrow pointed head not well demarcated from the body, except by the cerebral organ pits. With four ocelli in a small cluster on each side of the head just in front of the pink tinged cerebral ganglia (Fig. 5B). Cerebral organs just posterior to the brain, opening via conspicuous lateral pits. With a V-shaped transverse furrow located posteriorly $\sim 3 / 4$ of the length from the tip of the head to the cerebral organs.

Identification. Morphology of our specimens agrees with that of Riserius spp. (Norenburg 1993; Hiebert et al. 2013), though specimens observed here are much longer than $R$. pugetensis, which is $\sim 15 \mathrm{~mm}$. Sequences from Bodega Bay specimens are distinct from those of the previously reported species, and 16S rRNA tree places these individuals within a monophyletic Riserius clade (Fig. 3). Specimens from Bodega Bay represent a new species of Riserius.

Habitat. Collected on wave-exposed, sandy beaches from among very coarse sand in the low intertidal zone at $\sim-0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ to +0.30 m above Mean Lower Low Water (MLLW, station 13). Small numbers of individuals were also observed on other local beaches among very coarse sand (station 20).

Distribution. Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).
Notes. Species new to science. First record of the genus in California.

## LINEIDAE incertae sedis

Lineus and Micrura are non-monophyletic mega-genera within the family Lineidae (e.g., see Kajihara et al. 2022b). The morphological diagnoses of these genera are based on combinations of non-unique characters (Schwartz 2009). Here we use names Lineus and Micrura as taxonomic artifacts, and not to imply shared common ancestry.

Lineus flavescens Coe, 1904

Lineus flavescens Coe, 1904: 184, pl. XVII, figs 3, 4.

BIN. BOLD:ADS0049.
Material examined. BON6, BON11, BON40, BON50, BON60, BON86.
Morphology. Body 8-52 mm long, with significant variation in color: pale (semi-transparent), tan, reddish brown, and rosy-orange varieties observed (Fig. 5K), with lighter coloration ventrally, and smaller individuals appearing paler in color. Head not demarcated from the body, with pale margins, and 3-7
red, purple, or black ocelli arranged closely in a single row on each side of the anterior tip along the head margin (Fig. 5L, M). In some individuals, there is an irregular transverse band of white pigment granules just posterior to and between the two rows of ocelli (Fig. 5M). Cerebral ganglia are rosy, but this is faint in some individuals. Mouth just posterior to the end of the lateral cephalic slits on the ventral side. Body tapers posteriorly.

Identification. The six individuals included here match closely (99-100\% similarity, COI) to Lineus flavescens reported from southern Oregon (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 45) and agree with Coe's description of the species. A closely related species (5-6\% divergence, COI) is reported from Dutch Harbor, Alaska (OR590584), Puget Sound, Washington (BBPS027-19 in BOLD) and Charleston, Oregon (Fig. 2; Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 46). The two species closely resemble each other, but one is known to occur from Alaska to Oregon, while the other from Puget Sound, Washington to Long Beach, California. Because the type locality of L. flavescens Coe, 1904 is San Pedro, California, we assume that we encountered the "real" L. flavescens, and not its more northerly look-alike.

Habitat. Collected from among colonial ascidians, algae, and other low intertidal organisms on rocky intertidal shores (stations $2,6,10,15$ ). Also collected just below the surface on intertidal mudflats (station 8).

Distribution. Puget Sound, WA, USA (BBPS722-19 in BOLD); Charleston, OR, USA (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay (this study), Point Mugu (DISA800-19 in BOLD) and Long Beach, CA, USA (DISA619-19 in BOLD).

Notes. With Kulikovia sp. BOBA003 (above) and Siphonenteron gen. sp. BOBA004 (below), this species belongs to the Siphonenteron clade, defined by Chernyshev et al. (2018), also referred to as "lineid lineage N" by Kajihara et al. (2022b), and not closely related to the type species of the genus, Lineus longissimus (Gunnerus, 1770).

## Micrura verrilli Coe, 1901

Lineus striatus Griffin, 1898: 214.
Micrura verrilli Coe, 1901: 68, pl. V, figs 1-3.

BIN. BOLD:ADW4746.
Material examined. BON2.
Morphology. Body ~ 12 cm long, margins and ventral surface white, dorsally with an orange patch at the anterior tip, bordered posteriorly by white, and followed by a broad purple stripe, which is interrupted at intervals by thin, transverse white lines (Fig. 5H). With lateral cephalic slits and a slender caudal cirrus.

Identification. Morphologically, specimens from Bodega Bay resemble Lineus striatus briefly described by Griffin (1898) from Puget Sound, Washington and Micrura verrilli described by Coe (1901) from Prince William Sound, Alaska. In addition, three similar species have been reported from the western Pacific: Micrura bella (Stimpson, 1857), Micrura impressa (Stimpson, 1857), and Micrura festiva Takakura, 1898. Coe synonymized Griffin's taxon with his own (despite Griffin's having priority), and M. impressa and M. festiva and have been treated as synonyms of M. bella (Crandall and Norenburg 2001), although M. impressa
is still listed as an accepted species in WoRMS (WoRMS Editorial Board 2023). ASAP analysis of the COI data suggests that all available $M$. verrilli sequences from the west coast of USA and Canada comprise a single OTU (Fig. 2). A closely related species is reported by Chernyshev and Polyakova (2022) from the Bering Sea as Evelineus sp., but there is no mention of its appearance, and it has only been encountered at depths 350 m and below. COI sequences suggest that Bodega Bay specimens are conspecific (97-99\% similarity COI) with M. verrilli reported from Bamfield, BC, Canada (EF125007), Puget Sound, Washington (KF935508), and Charleston, Oregon (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 65). One additional available COI sequence (EF125001) of $M$. verrilli from Puget Sound, Washington contains many ambiguities, which causes some algorithms (e.g., Geneious Prime distance calculations) to interpret it as substantially different from the others, however ASAP analysis places it within the same OTU. A COI sequence of $M$. bella reported from the Sea of Japan (NC_027727) is $\sim 10 \%$ different from that of $M$. verrilli, and thus belongs to a separate species.

Crandall and Norenburg (2001) suggest the extent of the anterior orange patch may help differentiate the eastern (exclusively dorsal) and western (extends onto ventral side) Pacific forms, however one of the $M$. verrilli specimens found in Washington had pigmentation on both sides (Schwartz 2009).

Habitat. Collected from the low intertidal zone among colonial ascidians (station 18) and observed among kelp holdfasts washed ashore in the Bodega Bay region.

Distribution. Bamfield, BC, Canada (Schwartz 2009); Puget Sound, WA, USA (Kvist et al. 2014); Charleston, OR, USA (Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

Notes. The species reported here belongs to a clade of lineids with orange or magenta red anterior tip that may be synonymous with Evelineus (Schwartz 2009), also referred to as "lineid lineage A" (Kajihara et al. 2022b) and is not closely related to the type species of the genus, Micrura fasciolata Ehrenberg, 1828 (Chernyshev et al. 2018; Chernyshev and Polyakova 2019).

## Micrura wilsoni (Coe, 1904)

Lineus wilsoni Coe, 1904: 195, pl. XVI, figs 10, 11.

BIN. BOLD:ADW9830.
Material examined. BON41.
Morphology. Dark brown worm, ~ 45 mm long, slightly paler ventrally, cephalic lobe bordered by white at the anterior tip and along the lateral cephalic slits; thin white transverse bands at irregular intervals along most of the body length (Fig. 5G). No ocelli; rosy cerebral ganglia visible through the body wall. With a small white caudal cirrus.

Identification. Conforms to the description of Micrura wilsoni (Coe, 1904), described from Monterey and San Pedro, California. No look-alikes are currently known in the northeast Pacific. Although we were not able to obtain a high-quality COI sequence, 16 S rRNA sequence from the Bodega Bay individual is $99-100 \%$ identical to those of $M$. wilsoni reported by Hiebert (2016) from southern Oregon. These individuals correspond to the COI-delimited M. wilsoni of Maslakova et al. (2022: OTU 90).

Habitat. Collected from kelp holdfasts (Macrocystis pyrifera) in the subtidal (station 6) and very low intertidal zones (station 7), also among holdfasts of subtidal bull kelp (Nereocystis luetkeana) washed ashore. In southern Oregon found on the exposed rocky shore under boulders and in rock crevices of the low intertidal zone.

Distribution. British Columbia, Canada (Gustav Paulay et al., unpublished BOLD records), Charleston, OR, USA (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study). Records from San Juan Islands, WA (Maslakova, unpublished) and south to Mexico (Roe et al. 2007) are not currently substantiated by DNA data.

Notes. According to a recent phylogenetic analysis of the family Lineidae this species is not closely related to the type species of the genus, Micrura fasciolata, but is a member of a clade called "lineid lineage $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ by Kajihara et al. (2022b).

## Siphonenteron gen. sp. BOBA004

BIN. BOLD:ADR9817.
Material examined. BON59.
Morphology. Body 97 mm long, uniformly orange (Fig. 5I). Head the same width as the body, with pale margins, lateral cephalic slits. Ocelli arranged in two rows, one along each anterolateral margin (Fig. 5J), ~ 7 ocelli each, but it is difficult to know the true number as the pigment granules appear broken up and irregular.

Identification. BON59 resembles other species from the Siphonenteron clade (defined by Chernyshev et al. 2018), such as Lineus flavescens, Kulikovia spp. (Kajihara et al. 2022b; this study), and several undescribed representatives of the Siphonenteron clade from southern Oregon previously reported as Lineus sp. 1, Lineus sp. 2, Lineus sp. crescent, Lineus sp. red (Hiebert and Maslakova 2015b; Hiebert 2016) or Lineus sp. and Kulikovia sp. (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTUs 47, 49-51, 59). Among our collections from Bodega Bay, it most resembles Lineus flavescens and Kulikovia sp. BOBA003 (above). COI and 16S sequences do not have any species-level matches in GenBank; both place this species within the Siphonenteron clade (Figs 2, 3).

Habitat. Collected from a wave-exposed, rocky intertidal habitat among coIonial ascidians and coralline algae (station 15).

Distribution. Discovery Island, Canada (QHAK2948-23 in BOLD); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

Notes. Species new to science.

## Class HOPLONEMERTEA Hubrecht, 1879

Order Monostilifera Brinkmann, 1917

## Suborder Cratenemertea

Familial classification suspended as per Kajihara, 2021
Genus Nipponnemertes Friedrich, 1968

Of the seven Nipponnemertes species described from the northeast Pacific, N. bimaculata (Coe, 1901), N. drepanophoroides (Griffin, 1898), N. fernaldi Iwata, 2001, N. occidentalis (Coe, 1905), N. pacifica (Coe, 1905), N. punctatula (Coe, 1905), and N. rubella (Coe, 1905), only two have been reported and/or barcoded in recent
years, $N$. bimaculata and $N$. punctatula. The type locality of the latter species is southern California, but the only available barcodes are from Japan (Thollesson and Norenburg 2003; Hookabe et al. 2022), so the two may represent distinct species. An unknown species was reported from southern California (Andrade et al. 2012), from 360-390 m, but its identity and relationship to the above species is not yet known. Clearly, there is a diversity of Nipponnemertes species along the Pacific coast of North America, including several cryptic species.

Hookabe et al. (2022), in their revision of the genus that includes descriptions of ten new species, reported three clades of Nipponnemertes that are supported by molecular data as well as morphology: degree of head demarcation and presence/absence of a cephalic patch. The species we encountered at Bodega Bay most closely resembles N. bimaculata (Coe, 1901), which belongs to Clade B of Hookabe et al. (2022), with the northwest Pacific species N. jambio Hookabe et al., 2022, N. neonilae Hookabe et al., 2022, N. ojimaorum Hookabe et al., 2022, N. crypta Hookabe et al., 2022, and a potential trans-Pacific complex of species currently known as $N$. punctatula (Coe, 1905). Species in this complex vary in the degree of development of dorsal and cephalic pigmentation, but most have more or less distinct cephalic pigment patch(es).

## Nipponnemertes sp. BOBA028

BIN. BOLD:AEJ7531.
Material examined. BON13, BON95.
Morphology. Body 55-67 mm long, broad, reddish brown dorsally, much paler (almost white) ventrally. Head white, pointed, narrower than the body, with two maroon, triangular pigment patches placed symmetrically on either side of a mid-dorsal ridge (Fig. 6A). Numerous large ocelli lie in the space between the anterolateral margins and the pigment patches, $\sim 20$ per side. With two pairs of cephalic furrows. The anterior, cerebral organ furrows are equipped with numerous secondary furrows, as is characteristic of other members of the genus (Fig. 6A, inset). The posterior neck furrow forms a posteriorly directed V on the dorsal surface. Pink cerebral ganglia are discernible from the ventral side, just posterior to the cerebral organ furrows (Fig. 6A, inset). Proboscis and stylet apparatus not observed.

Identification. The specimens from Bodega Bay conform to the description of Nipponnemertes bimaculata (Coe, 1901) except for the shape of the cephalic patches, which are triangular in our specimens as opposed to oval in the original description. However, Coe (1905) later reported triangular patches in other specimens. COI sequences from Bodega Bay individuals form a separate OTU from those of the species previously reported as $N$. bimaculata from Washington and Oregon (Thollesson and Norenburg 2003; Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 28), as well as an additional species collected in Puget Sound, Washington by CIE (BHFL_5974, Fig. 2), which can be distinguished from other northeast Pacific look-alikes by mottling on the dorsum. The N. bimaculata reported from Washington and Oregon seems to have narrower cephalic patches than the species from Bodega Bay. We have not observed any individuals with oval cephalic patches. The type locality of N. bimaculata is Alaska and Puget Sound, Washington (Coe 1901), although the species was later reported south to Ensenada, Mexico (Coe 1940). We suggest to reserve the name $N$. bimaculata for the northern form with solid dorsal pigmentation (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 28), and to treat Bodega Bay specimens as a new species.


Figure 6. Hoplonemerteans of Bodega Bay A Nipponnemertes sp. BOBA028, a new species, individual BON95. Dorsal and ventral (inset) view of the anterior, showing cerebral organ furrows with numerous secondary furrows (arrowhead) and pink cerebral ganglia B Amphiporus sp. BOBA024, a new species, individual BON61. Inset a close up lateral view of the anterior, showing red blood vessels (arrowhead) C, D Amphiporus sp. BOBA018 individual BON44 D close up of head in transmitted light, showing pattern of ocelli E, F Amphiporus sp. BOBA017 individual B20 F close up of head in transmitted light, showing pattern of ocelli G Poseidonemertes sp. BOBA010, a new species, individual B14. Inset a close up of the head showing ocelli H Poseidonemertes sp. BOBA033, a new species, individual BON35, full body. Inset a close up of the head showing ocelli I Tetrastemma nigrifrons, anterior end of BON01 J Tetrastemma sp. BOBA029, close up of head of B04 in transmitted light K Zygonemertes sp. BOBA012, individual B09 L Zygonemertes sp. B0BA014, a new species, close up of anterior of BON88 in reflected light, showing post-cerebral ocelli (arrowheads) M, N Zygonemertes sp. BOBA013, individual BON87 N close up of anterior showing post cerebral ocelli (arrowheads) O Zygonemertes sp. BOBA015, a new species, individual BON63 P Antarctonemertes phyllospadicola, individual BON67 Q Nemertellina sp. BOBA011, new to science, individual BON69 R-S Oerstedia sp. BOBA022, new to science, showing differences in color pattern R individual BON32 S individual BON33 T Tetrastemma bilineatum, individual B18.

Habitat. Collected from the holdfasts of kelp (Macrocystis pyrifera) in the very low intertidal zone (station 7).

Distribution. Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).
Notes. Species new to science.

## Suborder EUMONOSTILIFERA Kajihara, 2021

Infraorder AMPHIPORINA Kajihara, 2021
Familial classification suspended as per Kajihara (2021)

## Genus Amphiporus Ehrenberg, 1831

A diverse and non-monophyletic genus of the class Hoplonemertea with 74 species listed in the WoRMS database, many more having been declared nomen dubium, or transferred to other genera (Gibson and Crandall 1989). We refer to the species below as Amphiporus merely to emphasize the close relationship to previously described species within the genus, not to imply that they constitute a monophyletic group.

## Amphiporus sp. BOBA024

BIN. BOLD:AEI5687.
Material examined. BON36, BON61.
Morphology. Body slender, 13 mm long, yellowish white (Fig. 6B). Red blood vessels show prominently through the body wall (Fig. 6B, inset). Head narrow, with a single row of $\sim 8$ ocelli on either side (Fig. 6B, inset). Very slender, cylindrical basis slightly longer than the central stylet (Fig. 7A), with two accessory stylet pouches.

Identification. Specimens from Bodega Bay resemble Amphiporus cruentatus Verrill, 1879, originally described from Vineyard Sound, Massachusetts, but later reported from Puget Sound, Washington to San Diego, California (Coe 1905, 1940), in having a small and slender pale yellow body, a single row of ocelli on each side of head, red blood, and a very slender basis of central stylet, with ratio of stylet length to basis length ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}$ ratio) close to 1 . DNA sequence data are not available for $A$. cruentatus from the Atlantic Coast of North America. Maslakova et al. (2022) published COI sequences of two other Pacific A. cruentatus look-alikes: one from southern Oregon (OTU 27), and another from the Bay of Panama (OTU 143), and a third from the Caribbean coast of Panama (OTU 274, as Monostilifera gen. sp.). Given this abundance of cryptic species, it seems likely that the Pacific forms are distinct from the originally described Atlantic A. cruentatus. Bodega Bay individuals form a separate OTU from the Oregon individuals (Fig. 2; Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 27).

Habitat. Collected from wave-exposed rocky shores among surfgrass roots and other low intertidal organisms (stations 14, 18).

Distribution. Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).
Notes. Species new to science.

## Amphiporus imparispinosus Griffin, 1898 species complex

## Amphiporus sp. BOBA017

Amphiporus imparispinosus: Maslakova et al. 2022.

BIN. BOLD:ADR7530.
Material examined. B20, BON47.
A





Figure 7. Stylets of Hoplonemerteans of Bodega Bay A Amphiporus sp. BOBA024, individual B0N61 B Amphiporus sp. BOBA018, individual BON16 C Amphiporus sp. BOBA017, individual B20 D Ototyphlonemertes sp. BOBA030, individual BON78 E Poseidonemertes sp. BOBA010, individual B14 F Tetrastemma nigrifrons, individual B0N01 G Zygonemertes sp. BOBA012, individual B06 H Zygonemertes sp. BOBA014, individual B07 I Zygonemertes sp. BOBA013, individual BON76 J Zygonemertes sp. BOBA015, individual BON62 K Antarctonemertes phyllospadicola, individual BON67 L Nemertellina sp. BOBA011, individual BON69 M Oerstedia sp. BOBA022, individual BON32 N Eumonostilifera sp. BOBA016, individual B15 0 Tetrastemma sp. BOBA020, individual BON75.

Morphology. Body 63 mm long, pale yellow to pale peach color (Fig. 6E). Head rounded and wider than the adjacent body, with 20-25 ocelli on each side, arranged as a row along the anterior margin, and another, more irregular grouping, medially (Fig. 6F). Cerebral ganglia pinkish in color. Basis pear-shaped, broadening posteriorly, S/B ~ 1 (Fig. 7C). With three accessory stylet pouches.

Identification. Specimens from Bodega Bay conform to the description of Amphiporus imparispinosus Griffin, 1898 from Port Townsend, Washington and Sitka, Alaska. Two similar species have been described from the northeast Pacific: Amphiporus leuciodus Coe, 1901, from Victoria, BC, Canada and New Metlakatla and Glacier Bay, Alaska, and Amphiporus similis Coe, 1905, from Monterey, California, though Coe later treated the former as a synonym (1905), and the latter as a variety (1940) of A. imparispinosus. Subsequent authors retained all three as valid species (Gibson and Crandall 1989; Crandall and Norenburg 2001; WoRMS; but see Roe et al. 2007). Coe (1905) notes that A. imparispinosus has a pink brain, small cerebral sense organs, three accessory stylet pouches, and is longer (to 75 mm ) than A. similis, which is $10-15 \mathrm{~mm}$, with a clear brain, large cerebral sense organs, fewer ocelli and two accessory stylet pouches. Griffin (1898) does not mention color of the cerebral ganglia in A. imparispinosus.

Maslakova et al. (2022) report, based on COI sequence data, three distinct A. imparispinosus-like species (OTUs 4-6) from the northeast Pacific. One of those (OTU 4) is distributed from Dutch Harbor, AK to Charleston, OR, and overlaps the original range of $A$. imparispinosus (including samples from Puget Sound, WA), so may represent the true A. imparispinosus. The other two OTUs have not been reported north of Oregon (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTUs 5 and 6). Another look-alike is reported from the Sea of Japan (Chernyshev and Polyakova 2019: MN211508). The pinkish color of cerebral ganglia and the three accessory stylet pouches in our specimens suggests that it is not $A$. similis, but an undescribed cryptic species.

Habitat. Collected from wave-exposed, rocky intertidal habitats (stations 16, 18), including on holdfasts of the kelp Egregia menziesii and within mid-intertidal mussel beds; among algal turf.

Distribution. Charleston, OR, USA (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 6); Bodega Bay (this study); Point Mugu, CA, USA (DISA798-19 in BOLD).

## Amphiporus sp. BOBA018

Amphiporus imparispinosus: Maslakova et al. 2022.

BIN. BOLD:AEA1922.
Material examined. BON16, BON44.
Morphology. Body $38-70 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, white. Head rounded and wider than body (Fig. 6C). Four clusters of ocelli; two rows following the anterolateral margins, and two more located posteriorly and medially, above the colorless cerebral ganglia (Fig. 6D). The posterior clusters of ocelli appear reddish, while the anterior rows appear brown in reflected light. The neck furrow is obvious and forms a dorsal V-shape posterior to the cerebral ganglia. Basis with rounded posterior margin and slight medial constriction. Central stylet equal in length to the basis (Fig. 7B). Proboscis with three accessory stylet pouches.

Identification. See Amphiporus sp. BOBA018 above. The presence of three pouches of accessory stylets and the length of the worms suggest that this is not $A$. similis, but an undescribed cryptic species.

Habitat. Collected from wave-exposed, rocky intertidal habitats (stations 18, 19), including on holdfasts of the kelp Egregia menziesii and crawling across other low intertidal surfaces.

Distribution. Charleston, OR, USA (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 5); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

Notes. This is the first record of the species in California.

## Genus Emplectonema Stimpson, 1857

## Emplectonema viride Stimpson, 1857

Emplectonema viride Stimpson, 1857: 163.
Emplectonema gracile: Coe 1901: 23, pl. VIII, fig. 3; 1905: 207, pl. I, figs 14, 15; 1940: 279, pl. XXX, fig. 40; Roe et al. 2007: 229, pl. 89, fig. I.

BIN. BOLD:AAP1200.
Material examined. B16.
Morphology. Body long and slender, green dorsally, cream-colored ventrally. Head not especially demarcated from the body. With numerous ocelli distributed along the colorless anterolateral margins of the head. Pink cerebral ganglia. Basis of central stylet much longer than the slightly curved central stylet.

Identification. Specimens from Bodega Bay conform to the description of Emplectonema viride Stimpson, 1857, as redescribed by Mendes et al. (2021). There are no known look-alikes in the northeast Pacific. For years, the species has been reported as a synonym of its Atlantic look-alike Emplectonema gracile (Johnston, 1837) (e.g., Roe et al. 2007 and references therein). The two species were recently shown to be distinct, the name $E$. viride resurrected, and the Pacific species redescribed (Mendes et al. 2021).

Habitat. Collected from a mid-intertidal mussel bed (station 1), and commonly observed in many intertidal habitats throughout the Bodega Bay region. Typically associated with acorn barnacles, which it preys upon.

Distribution: Amaknak (MZ580909) and Fox (MZ580901) Islands, AK, USA; Bamfield (MG423290) and Discovery Islands (QHAK2422-22, QHAK2449-22 in BOLD), BC, Canada; Charleston, OR, USA (Hiebert 2016; Mendes et al. 2021; Maslakova et al. 2022; von Dassow et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study). The species is reported as far south as Mexico (Roe et al. 2007), but so far, there are no DNA sequence data to confirm.

Notes. For photographs of this species, see Mendes et al. (2021: fig. 3)

## Genus Ototyphlonemertes Diesing, 1863

Species of Ototyphlonemertes are exclusively mesopsammic, living in the interstices of well-sorted, coarse marine sediments. They are easily distinguished from other small, slender, white eumonostiliferans by the presence of a pair of statocysts in the cerebral ganglia, and the lack of ocelli in adults, but most of the described species appear to represent cryptic species complexes (e.g., Leasi and Norenburg

2014; Leasi et al. 2016). Two species of Ototyphlonemertes with spirally sculpted stylets are described from the Pacific coast of the U.S.: 0 . americana Gerner, 1969 and 0 . spiralis Coe, 1940, and a third species, with a smooth stylet, was reported near San Francisco (Roe et al. 2007). However, the Ototyphlonemertes spp. of the Pacific coast are not well sampled, and "forms that key out to either of the known species have a reasonable probability of not being those species" (Roe et al. 2007).

## Ototyphlonemertes sp. BOBA030

Ototyphlonemertes lactea: Leasi et al. 2016.

BIN. BOLD:ADM3126.
Material examined. BON77, BON78.
Morphology. Body 3.5 mm long and less than 1 mm wide, white; foregut region transparent, intestinal region cream-colored. Head slightly demarcated from the body by a transverse cephalic groove. With a pair of statocysts, one in each of the two ventral cerebral ganglia. Statocysts of the polygranular type. Basis slender, irregularly cylindrical, and longer than the spirally sculpted central stylet (Fig. 7D). Proboscis diaphragm not especially long, middle chamber bulbous.

Identification. Specimens from Bodega Bay conform to the description of O. americana Gerner, 1969 from Puget Sound, Washington, but DNA sequence data are not available from Puget Sound region to confirm identification. COI sequences from Bodega Bay specimens in this study match closely (> 99\% similarity, COI) with a species previously reported from San Diego, California as Ototyphlonemetes lactea by Leasi et al. (2016: KU230123). O. lactea was described from Brazil (Corrêa 1954), but the name was later used to refer to a group of species with similar morphologies and presumed shared ancestry, called phylomorphs (Envall and Norenburg 2001). O. americana belongs to the lactea type, which was later synonymized with the macintoshi type (Kajihara et al. 2018), a decision supported by a multigene phylogenetic analysis (Leasi et al. 2016). Relative to macintoshi type worms, 0 . americana and 0 . lactea have a shorter proboscis diaphragm and a bulbous middle chamber, as opposed to a long, tubular one. Another OTU of a lactea type reported from Half Moon Bay, California by Leasi et al. (2016: KU230128) is likely to be confused with the Bodega Bay species. Sampling of Ototyphylonemertes in Puget Sound is needed to resolve the specific identity of these lineages.

Habitat. Collected from low intertidal, coarse marine sediments on a wave-exposed sandy beach (station 13).

Distribution. Wright's Beach, CA, USA (Leasi et al. 2016); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

## Genus Paranemertes Coe, 1901

## Paranemertes sp. BOBA009

Paranemertes peregrina: Hiebert 2016: 78.
Paranemertes sp.: Hao et al. 2015: 572, fig. 1J.

BIN. BOLD:ADM0221.
Material examined. B2.

Morphology. Body ~ 5 cm long, orangish purple dorsally, paler ventrally. Head slightly demarcated from the body, with red cerebral ganglia visible through the body wall. Stylet apparatus not observed.

Identification. Paranemertes peregrina is a cryptic species complex composed of at least seven distinct lineages (Hao et al. 2015). A single widely distributed lineage likely corresponding to the $P$. peregrina Coe, 1901 originally described from Alaska has been identified on the basis of proximity to the type locality and habitat, and is confirmed by DNA sequence data to occur from British Columbia, Canada to southern Oregon, USA on the northeast Pacific coast, and from Kuril Islands, Russia to Shandong, China on the northwest Pacific coast (Hao et al. 2015). The remaining six species, including the two previously reported from Bodega Bay, remain undescribed.

Habitat. Collected from kelp holdfasts in shallow subtidal zone within Bodega Harbor (station 6).

Distribution. Unalaska Island, AK, USA (DUTCH345-19 in BOLD); Discovery Islands, BC, Canada (QHAK177-20 in BOLD); Charleston, OR, USA (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 10); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (Hao et al. 2015; this study).

Notes. Hao et al. (2015) report that the two Paranemertes cf. peregrina OTUs from Bodega Bay are well-separated by habitat, with one occurring in mudflats and the other in rocky intertidal contexts, under stones, among algae, etc. Interestingly, the species encountered in this survey came from among kelp holdfasts but matches the one previously found in mudflats by Hao et al. (2015). This might not be as surprising as it appears at first because the kelp holdfasts collected by us (station 6) were from a small kelp bed within Bodega Harbor immediately adjacent to extensive mudflats. For photographs of this species, see Hao et al. (2015: fig. 1J).

## Genus Poseidonemertes Kirsteuer, 1967

## Poseidonemertes sp. B0BA010

BIN. BOLD:AEK1697.
Material examined. B14.
Morphology. Stout, pale, rust-colored worm with thick, clear margins; body widens posteriorly. Anterior end sharply pointed, with two ocelli near its tip (Fig. 6G). Basis cylindrical, slender, of a similar length as the central stylet (Fig. 7E). With two accessory stylet pouches.

Identification. The two Poseidonemertes specimens from this study (B14 and BON35 listed below) resemble Poseidonemertes collaris Roe \& Wickham, 1984 described from Bodega Bay, California, and other light-colored members of the genus, e.g., Poseidonemertes maslakovae Chernyshev, 2002 and Poseidonemertes sp. 508 from the Sea of Japan. P. collaris is the only member of the genus previously reported from the Pacific coast of the U.S. COI sequence data suggest B14 represents a distinct OTU ( $8.2 \%$ divergent) from what was reported as P. collaris by Thollesson and Norenburg (2003) and from BON35 (described below, $19 \%$ divergent), or any other previously sequenced members of the genus.

Habitat. Collected just offshore, < 200 m from an open coast beach, among subtidal sand/mud sediments from a depth of 6-7 m (station 3).

Distribution. Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).
Notes. Species new to science.

## Poseidonemertes sp. BOBA033

BIN. BOLD:AEK1698.
Material examined. BON35.
Morphology. Stout, cream-colored worm, ~ 25 mm long, with branched intestinal diverticula, greenish in color, highly visible through the body wall (Fig. 6H). Body widens posteriorly. Head pointed, with two ocelli near its tip (Fig. 6H, inset). Stylet apparatus not observed.

Identification. See B14 above.
Habitat. Collected from an intertidal mudflat, just below the surface of the sediment, among polychaete tubes (station 8).

Distribution. Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).
Notes. Species new to science.

Genus Tetrastemma Ehrenberg, 1831

This non-monophyletic genus of small four eyed eumonostiliferans containing $>100$ species was recently redefined by Chernyshev et al. (2021a) based on a multigene phylogenetic analysis. Tetrastemma nigrifrons is part of the Tetrastemma clade sensu Chernyshev et al. 2021a. The other two species are included here tentatively.

Tetrastemma nigrifrons Coe, 1904

Tetrastemma nigrifrons Coe, 1904: 159, pl. XV, fig. 7, pl. XVI, figs 6-9, pl. XVII, fig. 1, pl. XX, fig. 16, pl. XXI, figs 15-23; Maslakova et al. 2022. Quasitetrastemma nigrifrons Chernyshev, 2004: 154; Chernyshev et al. 2021a.

BIN. BOLD:ADX0572.
Material examined. BON1.
Morphology. Body 27 mm long, brown dorsally, pale ventrally. Head rounded with colorless margins and a broad brown patch, differentiated only slightly from the body by the colorless transverse band (Fig. 6I). With four eyes; the anterior pair halfway between the anterior tip and the cerebral organ furrows, the posterior pair just below the posterior furrow. With bright red blood vessels. Cylindrical basis, slightly longer than the central stylet (Fig. 7F). With two accessory stylet pouches.

Identification. Fits the description of Tetrastemma nigrifrons Coe, 1904, described from Monterey Bay, California. A look-alike, Tetrastemma stimpsoni Chernyshev, 1992 occurs in the northwest Pacific and the Sea of Japan (Chernyshev et al. 2021a). A third, closely related species has been documented from Dutch Harbor, Alaska (6-7\% divergence, BIN: BOLD:AEC4254). No pictures are available, but the description ("brown dorsally, white band separates head, 2 prs eye spots") matches that of this species.

Habitat. Collected from the low intertidal zone among red algal blades and colonies of the kamptozoan Barentsia conferta (station 17). Similar specimens also observed intertidally among low zone tunicates and algae (station 2), kelp holdfasts (station 7), and surfgrass roots (station 14). Subtidally, among organisms on marina docks (station 9).

Distribution. Unalaska, AK, USA (DUTCH209-19 in BOLD); Canada (Chernyshev et al. 2021a); Charleston, OR, USA (Chernyshev et al. 2021a; Maslakova et al. 2022); CA, USA (Chernyshev et al. 2021a); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

Notes. This species has variable coloration, both in terms of pattern and the amount of pigmentation (Roe et al. 2007; Maslakova, unpublished). Some specimens are almost completely dark brown dorsally with a colorless transverse bar separating the cephalic patch from the dorsum, while others have much less dorsal pigment (e.g., it may be separated into two more or less continuous stripes by a pigment-less mid-dorsal region). Some specimens may be almost entirely devoid of pigment, except for the cephalic patch (which may be broken into two by a mid-dorsal gap). With four ocelli. Blood vessels are red, and clearly show through the body wall.

## Tetrastemma sp. BOBA029

Tetrastemma sp. 1: Hiebert 2016: 84, fig. 2.14.
Tetrastemma sp.: Maslakova et al. 2022.

BIN. BOLD:ADW8618.
Material examined. B4.
Morphology. Small and slender, transparent, fast-moving worm; internal structures appear yellowish through the body wall. Anterior tip with a small white patch. With four ocelli (Fig. 6J). Stylet apparatus not observed.

Identification. Anterior white patch and otherwise featureless body distinguishes this from other species of Tetrastemma reported from northeast Pacific (Roe et al. 2007). DNA sequences from the Bodega Bay specimen match those of Tetrastemma sp. 1 first reported from southern Oregon by Hiebert (2016) and Maslakova et al. (2022: OTU 19 as Tetrastemma sp.). Two other overall similar species lacking the anterior white patch occur in southern Oregon (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTUs 15 and 20).

Habitat. Collected from Bodega Harbor within the holdfasts of subtidal Giant Kelp (Macrocystis pyrifera) at a depth of 3-4 m (station 6). In Oregon, collected from among surfgrass (Phyllospadix spp.) in the rocky intertidal zone (Hiebert 2016).

Distribution. Charleston, OR, USA (Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

Notes. First record of the species in California. This species is very common in southern Oregon. Reproductive individuals were found in July in southern Oregon, and deposited egg masses in laboratory dishes upon collection, with crawl-away juveniles hatching a week or two later.

## Tetrastemma sp. BOBA020

BIN. BOLD:AEJ7493.
Material examined. BON75.
Morphology. Body 1.7 mm long, transparent, with an orange gut. With four eyes and two pairs of cephalic furrows: cerebral organ furrows at the level of the posterior pair of eyes, and a V-shaped neck furrow posteriorly, overlying the
anterior portion of the cerebral ganglia. Conical basis, significantly longer than the central stylet (Fig. 70).

Identification. Resembles other featureless species of Tetrastemma. COI sequences show it to be distinct from any previously sequenced species.

Habitat. Collected from low intertidal, coarse marine sediments on a wave-exposed sandy beach (station 13).

Distribution. Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).
Notes. Species new to science.

## Genus Zygonemertes Montgomery, 1897

Members of the genus Zygonemertes are distinct from other eumonostiliferans in having a single row of post cerebral ocelli on each side, along the lateral nerve cords, in addition to the more typical ocelli found in rows or groups on the head. In addition, all species we have had the opportunity to examine possess sickle-shaped microscopic inclusions in the epidermis, and most have a characteristically truncated basis of the central stylet.

Three species of Zygonemertes are reported from the northeast Pacific coast: Z. albida Coe, 1901, Z. thalassina Coe, 1901, and Z. virescens (Verrill, 1879). The first two were described by Coe from British Columbia and Alaska, respectively. Zygonemertes thallasina has never been reported outside its type locality, Z. albida was subsequently reported by Coe to occur as far south as Ensenada, Mexico (Coe 1944), and Z. virescens is reported to have a very wide geographic distribution including Pacific (British Columbia to Mexico), Atlantic (Maine to Florida) and Gulf coasts of North America, as well as Curaçao (Coe 1940; Gibson 1995; Roe et al. 2007), but clearly represents a large cryptic species complex (Maslakova, unpublished). The type locality of $Z$. virescens is New England. Presently we are aware of several genetically distinct Atlantic lookalikes (e.g., from Florida, Colombia, and Caribbean Panama); these are also distinct from several Pacific Z. virescens-like forms. Based on this, it seems most reasonable to exclude $Z$. virescens from the list of Pacific fauna, and to describe the Pacific forms as new species.

Zygonemertes thalassina was regarded as being extremely similar to $Z$. virescens, except often longer (to 60 mm ), darker in color (olive green), with a smaller S/B ratio, a shorter, stubbier central stylet, and with five stylets per accessory pouch, rather than two or three (Coe 1901, 1905). Zygonemertes albida was distinguished on the basis of its small size, lack of color, longer proboscis, and differences in the stylet apparatus, appearing similar to juveniles of the other two species. Among individuals of $Z$. virescens, Coe noted variation in color, number and arrangement of ocelli, and relative proportions of central stylet and basis. While some of these features may be variable (e.g., with age or environment), we consider it likely that he encountered more than one species, as there are at least four $Z$. virescens-like species in southern Oregon alone (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTUs 23-26), and we identified an additional two species in this study. The increasing number of Zygonemertes species uncovered with genetic data, and the lack of barcodes from type localities make it difficult to assign existing names to these species. For now, we refer to them as Zygonemertes spp. until formal descriptions are made. We consider reports of $Z$. albida from the Atlantic coast dubious (Zattara et al. 2019).

## Zygonemertes sp. BOBA012

Zygonemertes sp. 1: Hiebert 2016: 70.
Zygonemertes sp.: Maslakova et al. 2022.
Nemertea sp.: Leray and Paulay unpublished (MH242861).

BIN. BOLD:ADL9636.
Material examined. B5, B6, B9.
Morphology. Body somewhat transparent, greenish yellow with clear margins; 15-25 ocelli arranged in two irregular rows on each side of the head, with a single row of post cerebral ocelli on each side along the lateral nerve cords. Cerebral ganglia pink, visible through the body wall (Fig. 6K). With two pairs of cephalic furrows; cerebral organ furrows are simple ventrolateral arches located $1 / 2$ to $2 / 3$ of the way between the anterior tip and the neck furrow, which overlays the anterior portion of the cerebral ganglia. Specimens B5 and B9 had long slender basis with a slightly concave to flat posterior margin, B6 had a flared stylet basis similar to the illustration for Z. virescens in Roe et al. (2007). Central stylet shorter than basis, S/B ~ 0.5 (Fig. 7G); two accessory stylet pouches, with two stylets each.

Identification. See above on species of Zygonemertes. COI sequences from Bodega Bay specimens match those of Nemertea sp. from Puget Sound, Washington (Paulay and Leray, unpublished, MH242862) and those reported as Zygonemertes sp. 1 (Hiebert 2016) or Zygonemertes sp. (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 23) from southern Oregon.

Habitat. Collected from Bodega Harbor within the holdfasts of subtidal Giant Kelp (Macrocystis pyrifera) at a depth of 3-4 m (station 6).

Distribution. Puget Sound, WA, USA (MH242861; Maslakova, unpublished); Charleston, OR, USA (Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

Notes. Species not previously reported from California. In the first round of PCR with universal primers, we apparently amplified the gut contents of these worms, the barnacle Balanus glandula. Like another barnacle-eating nemertean, Emplectonema viride, some specimens of this species have a long, slender basis of central stylet. The basis in this species appears slightly narrower than in other species of Zygonemertes.

## Zygonemertes sp. BOBA013

Zygonemertes sp. 1: Hiebert 2016: 70.
Zygonemertes sp.: Maslakova et al. 2022; O'Mahoney et al. unpublished (MZ580839).

BIN. BOLD:ADW7912.
Material examined. BON27, BON76, BON80, BON87.
Morphology. Body 4-15 mm long; color ranging from white with a tinge of yellow to orange, sometimes with dark pigment spots along the sides of the body or at the posterior (Fig. 6M). 25-50+ ocelli arranged in four irregular rows on the head, a single row of $\sim 10$ post-cerebral ocelli along the lateral nerve cords (Fig. 6N). With two pairs of cephalic furrows: cerebral organ furrows are simple ventrolateral arches located $2 / 3$ of the distance from the tip of the head
to the V-shaped neck furrow, which overlies the cerebral ganglia. Basis slender, much longer than the central stylet, $S / B \sim 0.5-0.65$, sometimes with slight medial constriction posteriorly, and with flat or slightly concave posterior margin (Fig. 71). Two accessory stylet pouches with two or three stylets each.

Identification. See above on species of Zygonemertes. COI sequences from Bodega Bay specimens match a subset of those reported as Zygonemertes sp. 1 (Hiebert 2016) and Zygonemertes sp. (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 26) from southern Oregon, and two specimens from Dutch Harbor, Alaska. This species may correspond to $Z$. albida or a cryptic undescribed species.

Habitat. Collected from the low intertidal zone among colonial ascidians and polychaete worm tubes (stations 7,10). Collected subtidally from within holdfasts of bull kelp (Nereocystis luetkeana), station 11.

Distribution. Amaknak (MZ580839) and Unalaska Islands, AK, USA (MZ580813); San Juan Island, WA, USA (Maslakova, unpublished), Charleston, OR, USA (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

Notes. First record of the species in California. Reproductive individuals encountered in August in Bodega Bay.

## Zygonemertes sp. BOBA014

BIN. BOLD:AEK0256.
Material examined. B7, B8, BON88, BON91.
Morphology. Body up to 31 mm long, greenish yellow, digestive tract appearing bright reddish orange ventrally. With $\sim 15$ ocelli on each side of the head, arranged in four irregular rows, and a single row of post-cerebral ocelli ( $\sim 8$ ) along each lateral nerve cord (Fig. 6L). Cerebral organ furrows $2 / 3$ the distance between the anterior tip and the posterior V-shaped neck furrow. Cylindrical basis, longer than the central stylet, with truncated posterior margin (Fig. 7H). S/B ~ 0.5-0.65. Two accessory stylet pouches, with two or three stylets each.

Identification. See above on species of Zygonemertes.
Habitat. Collected from Bodega Harbor within the holdfasts of subtidal Giant Kelp (Macrocystis pyrifera) in the shallow subtidal zone (< 5 m depth, station 6).

Distribution. Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).
Notes. Species new to science. Closely related to Zygonemertes sp. BOBA015 (6\% divergence, COI).

## Zygonemertes sp. B0BA015

BIN. BOLD:AEJ0120, BOLD:ADR7155.
Material examined. BON62, BON63, BON81.
Morphology. Body 4-15 mm long, brownish, with numerous ocelli arranged in four irregular rows on the head, $\sim 25+$ on each side (Fig. 60). Basis quite massive compared to central stylet, in terms of length and width (Fig. 7J), though this is not as obvious in smaller specimens (BON63). S/B 0.4-0.75. One individual (BON81) had an unusual triangle-shaped basis, widening significantly posteriorly, with a flat posterior margin. Two accessory stylet pouches with two or three stylets each.

Identification. See above on species of Zygonemertes.
Habitat. Low intertidal zone among surfgrass roots (station 14) and colonial ascidians (station 10).

Distribution. Calvert Island, BC, Canada (BHAK2541-20 in BOLD). Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study); Point Mugu, CA, USA (DISA797-19 in BOLD).

Notes. Species new to science. Closely related to Zygonemertes sp. BOBA014 (6\% divergence, COI).

## Amphiporina incertae sedis

## Eumonostilifera sp. BOBA016

Monostilifera sp.: Maslakova et al. 2022.

BIN. BOLD:AEJ6897.
Material examined. B15.
Morphology. Body orange, with 15 ocelli on each side of the cephalic lobe. Basis nearly conical, rounded at the bottom, a bit shorter than the central stylet (Fig. 7N). Two accessory stylet pouches with two stylets each.

Identification. Resembles individuals of Amphiporus sp. BOBA017 and BOBA018 described above, but COI sequences do not match any previously sequenced species, and do not group closely with Amphiporus cf. imparispinosus. Taxonomic affiliation is uncertain until a more thorough phylogenetic analysis (with more conservative markers than COI and 16S) is carried out. Overall morphology and 16S tree (Fig. 3) suggest it belongs within Amphiporina.

Habitat. Among fouling organisms on marina docks (station 9).
Distribution. San Juan Island, WA, USA (Maslakova, unpublished); Charleston, OR, USA (Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

Notes. First record of the species in California. The eggs of this species (which have a polyhedral chorion) have been collected in the plankton in Charleston, OR (Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 13) and matching COI barcodes have been obtained from adults collected from Friday Harbor, WA (Maslakova, unpublished).

Infraorder Oerstediina Kajihara, 2021
Family Oerstediidae Chernyshev, 1993
Genus Antarctonemertes Friedrich, 1955

## Antarctonemertes phyllospadicola (Stricker, 1985)

Tetrastemma phyllospadicola Stricker, 1985: 682, figs 1-28; Stricker and Cavey 1986: 2188; McDermott 1997: 254; Stricker and Folsom 1997: 57; Stricker et al. 2001: 214.

BIN. BOLD:ACH3602.
Material examined. BON67, BON68.
Morphology. Body short and stout, pale yellow to pale peach color, 6-7 mm long (Fig. 6P), with four eyes occupying the corners of a square, and a prominent pointed snout (not apparent on Fig. 6P). Cephalic lobe at its widest at the level of cerebral organ furrow, between the first and second pairs of eyes. Cerebral organ furrows are limited to the ventral side. Transverse neck furrow posterior to the second pair of eyes. Rounded in cross section, proboscis extending
to posterior end of the body. Basis oval, widening a bit posteriorly, with a slender central stylet, S > B (Fig. 7K). With two accessory stylet pouches, with one or two stylets each.

Identification. Specimens from Bodega Bay conform to the description of Antarctonemertes phyllospadicola (Stricker, 1985) described from San Juan Island, Washington, and the COI sequences match those of A. phyllospadicola from San Juan Island, Washington (Thollesson and Norenburg 2003) and southern Oregon (Maslakova, unpublished).

Habitat. Collected with intertidal samples of surfgrass, Phyllospadix scouleri (station 14). In the San Juan Islands, WA this species is found on blades and inside female inflorescences of $P$. scouleri in the low intertidal zone.

Distribution. Bamfield Marine Science Centre, Canada (Chernyshev and Polyakova 2019); Puget Sound, WA, USA (Maslakova and von Döhren 2009); Charleston, OR (Maslakova, unpublished); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

Notes. This is the first record of this genus and species for California.

## Genus Nemertellina Friedrich, 1935

The genus Nemertellina has never been reported from the northeast Pacific and currently contains five valid species, three occurring in Kiel Bay, Germany, and one each in Madagascar and Japan. Members of this genus have four eyes, with the anterior and posterior pairs widely separated; small and simple cerebral organs located far anterior to the brain and opening ventrally near the tip of the head; short rhynchocoel; conical or pear-shaped basis, with 2-4 accessory stylet pouches. Nemertellina canea Friedrich, 1935b, N. minuta Friedrich, 1935a, N. oculata Friedrich, 1935b and N. tropica Kirsteuer, 1965 are reported to completely lack cephalic furrows, while N. yamaokai Kajihara, Gibson \& Mawatari, 2000 has two pairs.

## Nemertellina sp. BOBA011

BIN. BOLD:AEJ4336.
Material examined. B3, B21, BON69.
Morphology. Body small and slender, $\sim 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, cylindrical in cross-section (Fig. 6Q). Head the same width as adjacent body. Four eyes occupy the corners of a rectangle, the distance between the anterior and posterior pairs of eyes is considerably larger than the distance between the two eyes of each pair. With two pairs of cephalic furrows: cerebral organ furrows are just posterior to the anterior pair of eyes, and the posterior neck furrow overlies the anterior margin of the cerebral ganglia, which are translucent. Stylet basis cylindrical, rounded posteriorly, S/B ~ 1 (Fig. 7L); two accessory stylet pouches. The rhynchocoel extends $3 / 4$ of the body length.

Identification. The species encountered here is most similar to Nemertellina yamaokai in possessing two sets of cephalic furrows. The Bodega Bay specimens are $\sim 10 \%$ divergent (COI) from N. yamaokai, suggesting the presence of a sixth Nemertellina species, and the first reported from the northeast Pacific.

Habitat. Collected subtidally in Bodega Harbor from kelp holdfasts (station 6) and among fouling organisms on boat marina docks (station 9). Collected intertidally from rocky shores on the open coast among surfgrass roots (station 14).

Distribution. Charleston, OR, USA (Maslakova, unpublished); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

Notes. Species new to science, and new record of the genus for North America.

## Genus Oerstedia Quatrefages, 1846

Oerstedia sp. BOBA022

BIN. BOLD:AEJ2779.
Material examined. BON32, BON33.
Morphology. Short and stout cylindrical body, 3-6 mm long, with a head narrower than the body. One individual pale, with a bright orange gut (Fig. 6S), the other with the dorsal surface completely covered with blotches of various shades of brown (Fig. 6R). With four large eyes. Basis conical, rounded posteriorly, shorter than the slender central stylet (Fig. 7M). Two accessory stylet pouches, with three or four stylets each.

Identification. The only species of Oerstedia reported to occur in the northeast Pacific (from Washington to Mexico) is Oerstedia dorsalis (Abildgaard, 1806). The type locality of 0 . dorsalis is northern Europe, but the species has been reported throughout the northern hemisphere and is famously polymorphic. Sundberg et al. (2009) demonstrated that there are at least nine cryptic species within O. dorsalis in northern Europe alone, each exhibiting color polymorphism. Reports of Oerstedia on this coast likely refer to undescribed species. Bodega Bay specimens are sufficiently divergent from any previously sequenced Oerstedia, including a species occurring in southern Oregon (Maslakova, unpublished).

Habitat. Collected among low intertidal red algae on the rocky boulders of a breakwater (station 4).

Distribution. Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).
Notes. Species new to science.

Oerstediina incertae sedis

## Tetrastemma bilineatum Coe, 1904

Tetrastemma bilineatum Coe, 1904: 164, pl. XIV, fig. 6, pl. XXI, figs 13, 14, pl. XXII, fig. 4.

BIN. BOLD:ADW8130.
Material examined. B18.
Morphology. Small, slender worm with two dorsal longitudinal brown stripes, each $1 / 3$ of the body width, upon a cream-colored background (Fig. 6T). Brown stripes narrow and terminate towards the anterior tip. Head triangular in shape, with four eyes; the anterior pair located halfway between the tip of the head and the posterior pair.

Identification. Specimens from Bodega Bay conform to the description of Tetrastemma bilineatum Coe, 1904, originally from San Diego, California, though sequence data are not available from southern California. COI sequence of the Bodega Bay specimen matches those of T. bilineatum individuals reported from southern Oregon (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022: OTU 29).

Habitat. Collected from a wave-exposed mussel bed (station 1) and found in similar habitat in southern Oregon.

Distribution. Bamfield Marine Science Centre, Canada (Chernyshev et al. 2021a); Charleston, OR, USA (Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022); Bodega Bay, CA, USA (this study).

Notes. Coe's (1904) original record of the species from San Diego, California is not verified by DNA sequence data, but given the distinctiveness of this species, and the absence of known look-alikes on this coast, the reported distribution seems likely. According to a recent molecular phylogeny of Tetrastemma and its allies (Chernyshev et al. 2021a) this species does not belong to Tetrastemma sensu stricto or the infraorder Amphiporina, but instead is a member of Oerstediina. Its generic placement remains uncertain.

## Discussion

The geographic distributions and abundances of coastal species are changing in response to a variety of human impacts (O'Hara et al. 2021), including warming oceans (Sagarin et al. 1999; Sorte et al. 2010; Lonhart et al. 2019; Sanford et al. 2019). Evaluation of these ecological changes is hindered by our incomplete knowledge of the fauna and flora of coastal ecosystems, pointing to a critical need for assessment of biodiversity (Gray 1997). Currently, up to $90 \%$ of marine eukaryotic species are estimated to remain undescribed (Mora et al. 2011; Appeltans et al. 2012). Lesser-studied groups, such as nemerteans, have an especially high fraction of undescribed and undetected species (e.g., Hiebert 2016; Maslakova et al. 2022).

Our study extends the geographic focus of recent taxonomic work on nemerteans to include northern California where relatively little work has been done on nemertean diversity during the past 60 years. Notably, only 13 of the 34 species (38\%) we collected and barcoded can be unambiguously assigned to described species. This highlights that nemertean diversity remains poorly known in the northeast Pacific despite more than a century of study. That the majority of the observed diversity cannot be assigned to described species renders the few existing geographically relevant identification guides (e.g., Coe 1940; Corrêa 1964; Kozloff 1996; Roe et al. 2007) inadequate.

Eleven species (32\%) reported here are new to science, and ten (29\%) comprise previously reported undescribed species, or cryptic species whose taxonomic status cannot be resolved with data at hand (Table 4). Some of the newly discovered species were likely overlooked or set aside because of their relatively small size and evident cryptic morphology (e.g., Tetrastemma sp. BOBA020, Nemertellina sp. BOBA011). Others represent cryptic lineages of previously described species (e.g., Amphiporus cruentatus, Amphiporus imparispinosus, Lineus flavescens, Nipponnemertes bimaculata, Oerstedia dorsalis, Poseidonemertes collaris, Zygonemertes virescens). Yet others may have escaped notice due to their rarity or cryptic habits.

Twenty two of the 34 species have not been previously confirmed by DNA barcodes to occur in northern California. This includes two species that appear to have been introduced from other parts of the world (Cephalothrix simula from the northwest Pacific, and Cephalothrix hermaphroditica from European waters, possibly via Chile or another point of entry along the Pacific Coast of the Americas).

In fact, our study is the first to report $C$. hermaphroditica from the northeast Pacific. Introduction of $C$. simula may be of concern to aquaculture due to its association with oysters, and high levels of tetrodotoxin in its tissues (Kajihara et al. 2013; Turner et al. 2018). These are the first reports in California of two previously described species, Antarctonemertes phyllospadicola and Maculaura oregonensis, and six previously reported but undescribed species (Kulikovia sp. BOBA003, Amphiporus sp. BOBA018, Tetrastemma sp. BOBA029, Zygonemertes sp. BOBA012, 13, Eumonostilifera sp. BOBA016). This is also the first record of the genus Riserius in California and Nemertellina in the northeast Pacific.

Historical surveys of nemertean diversity in the Bodega Bay region (Tamura 1957; Corrêa 1964; Standing et al. 1975; Ristau et al. 1978) identified 21 different species. The majority of these species were also found in our surveys, although in some cases, taxonomic uncertainty makes a direct comparison difficult.

Several species recorded in historical surveys of the Bodega Bay region were absent from our surveys. For example, Corrêa (1964) reported a single specimen of Lineus pictifrons, a species we did not locate. Corrêa (1964) also reported several species (e.g., Tubulanus cingulatus, Cerebratulus longiceps) that were dredged with soft sediments at 6 m depth in Tomales Bay, a subtidal habitat that we did not survey. Perhaps the most conspicuous absence in our survey was the lack of Tubulanus ruber (Griffin, 1898), which was reported under the name Tubulanus polymorphus in three of the historical studies cited above and was described as "rather common" in the Bodega Bay region by Corrêa (1964). DNA barcodes suggest that T. ruber, originally described from Alaska, is distinct from Tubulanus polymorphus Renier, 1804, an Atlantic species with which it was previously synonymized by Coe (1940) (Hiebert 2016). The geographic range of $T$. ruber (as T. polymorphus) has been reported as San Luis Obispo, CA to Alaska (Morris et al. 1980), and from Monterey, CA to the Aleutian Islands (Roe et al. 2007). iNaturalist documents only two clear records of Tubulanus "polymorphus" from central California (San Mateo County, iNaturalist 19743045; and San Luis Obispo County, iNaturalist 84371695), and many records from northern California (Mendocino County) to Alaska. While seasonality could potentially explain the absence of some previously documented species in our surveys, one of us (ES) has conducted intertidal fieldwork in this region throughout the year for the past 20 years and has never observed T. ruber in Sonoma County. The scarcity of recent observations of this large and conspicuous red/orange ribbon worm from Bodega Bay and the southern portion of its geographic range is consistent with a geographic range contraction.

## Conclusions

Our findings demonstrate how much there is to learn about the diversity and distribution of nemerteans of the northeast Pacific, particularly among southern regions that have received the least amount of attention. Lack of baseline occurrence data (supported by DNA barcodes) hinders our ability to detect shifts in the distribution and abundance of these species. Further sampling and DNA barcoding along the west coast of North America is needed to obtain a more accurate picture of the diversity in this region. Sampling type localities of previously described species will help resolve some of the taxonomic ambiguities associated with species already encountered.
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#### Abstract

Four new species of Marphysa are described from Terengganu state on the east coast of Peninsular Malaysia, using morphological and molecular (cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) gene) data. These species belong to different groups of Marphysa: Marphysa kertehensis sp. nov. belongs to Group A (Mossambica), Marphysa merchangensis sp. nov. and Marphysa setiuense sp. nov. belong to Group B (Sanguinea) and Marphysa ibaiensis sp. nov. belongs to Group E (Gravelyi). Marphysa kertehensis sp. nov. is characterised by having only limbate chaetae, absence of subacicular hooks, three types of pectinate chaetae including wide, thick isodont with short and slender inner teeth, and pectinate branchiae with up to nine branchial filaments. Marphysa merchangensis $\mathbf{s p}$. nov. is characterised by the presence of eyes, unidentate subacicular hooks, four types of pectinate chaetae including wide, thick anodont pectinate chaetae with five long and thick inner teeth, and pectinate branchiae with up to six branchial filaments. Marphysa setiuense sp. nov. has mostly unidentate subacicular hooks (bidentate on several posterior chaetigers), four types of pectinate chaetae including wide, thick anodont pectinate chaetae with seven thick and long inner teeth, and pectinate branchiae with up to five branchial filaments. Marphysa ibaiensis sp. nov. has bidentate subacicular hooks throughout, five types of pectinate chaetae, including a heterodont with 12 short and slender inner teeth, and pectinate branchiae with up to eight branchial filaments. The designation of these new species based on morphology is fully supported by molecular data. Habitat descriptions of each species are also included.


Key words: Bloodworm, COI, identification key, mangrove bait worm, Marphysa, South China Sea

## Introduction

Marphysa de Quatrefages 1866, currently with 83 accepted species, is the second most speciose genus in the family Eunicidae, after the genus Eunice Cuvier, 1817 (Read and Fauchald 2023). Marphysa species inhabit a wide range of habitats, either in soft sediments or rocky ground, from intertidal to shallow subtidal depth, and are commonly found in estuarine or sheltered habitats (Abdullah et al. in review; Zanol et al. 2016; Martin et al. 2020). Three species have recently been described from the deep sea (Lavesque et al. 2023). Taxonomic studies of Marphysa species have increased considerably since the redescription of the type species, $M$. sanguinea (Montagu, 1813) and the designation of a neotype by Hutchings and Karageorgopoulos (2003); and later by molecular sequencing (Zanol et al. 2010). Since then, many more species have been described or previously synonymised species resurrected, using molecular data and additional morphological characters such as the types and distributions of chaetae.

According to Fauchald (1970) and Glasby and Hutchings (2010), Marphysa can be divided into five informal groups (Groups A-E) depending on their type of chaetae. Group A (Mossambica) without compound chaetae, Group B (Sanguinea) with only compound spinigers present, Group C (Aenea) with only compound falcigers present, Group D (Belli) with both spinigers and falcigers present, and Group E (Teretiuscula; renamed Gravelyi by Molina-Acevedo and Idris 2021) with only compound spinigers and subacicular chaetae in anterior parapodia and limbate chaetae throughout.

In Malaysia, Paxton and Chou (2000) suggested that the low number of polychaete species identified in Malaysia is an underestimate due to the limited sampling of polychaetes. Idris et al. (2014) described Marphysa moribidii Idris, Hutchings \& Arshad, 2014 from the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia. The species is currently the only Marphysa described from Malaysia, occurring in Rhizophora and Sonneratia spp. mangroves. Marphysa moribidii is regularly used as fishing bait by local fishermen. In addition, recent studies (Ee Pei et al. 2020; Rapi et al. 2020; Rosman et al. 2020) reported the potential applications of $M$. moribidii as a wound-healing agent and bio-catalyst of gold and silver nanoparticles. This study investigated Marphysa species from the mangrove forest on the east coast of Peninsular Malaysia, specifically Terengganu, as they may also have potential applications similar to M. moribidii. We found four new Marphysa species using an integrated approach to taxonomy, including morphological and molecular analyses.

## Materials and methods

## Study area and sampling

Marphysa specimens were collected from the rivers, lagoon and estuary of the Terengganu mangrove forests during spring low tides from September 2021 until March 2022. A total of four mangrove areas were chosen, i.e. Setiu wetlands, Kuala Ibai, Merchang, and Kerteh (Fig. 1A, B). At each site, sediments were dug using a shovel to approximately 30 cm depth at several points along the river (upper course to lower course) and carefully broken into small pieces
to search for the worms. Worms suspected to be Marphysa were fixed and preserved in $95 \%$ ethanol. Sediments where the Marphysa worms were found were also collected and kept in labelled plastic bags for sediment analysis. All material was collected by the first author.

## Morphological analyses

Preserved specimens were examined under AmScope SM-2 Series stereo and 120 Series compound microscopes. Additionally, the specimens were also examined under Leica M165 C stereo and Nikon Labophot-2 compound microscopes, and photographed with a Nikon D610 camera at the Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, USA (NHMLAC). Drawings of parapodia and pectinate chaetae were made using a Wacom Intuos Pro drawing tablet. Length at chaetiger 10 (L10) and width at chaetiger 10 (W10) without parapodia of all specimens were measured and recorded. Morphological terminology, including diagnostic features of Marphysa species, follows Molina-Acevedo and Carrera-Parra (2017). Terminology of pectinate chaetae is derived and modified from Carrera-Parra and Salazar-Vallejo (1998) for the relative length of outer and inner teeth, Zanol et al. $(2014,2016)$ for the thickness of the


Figure 1. Map showing sampling sites of four new Marphysa species in Terengganu mangrove forest, east coast of Peninsular Malaysia A location of Terengganu on the east of Peninsular Malaysia B symbols indicate each sampling site; Setiu Wetlands (red star), Kuala Ibai (red rhombus), Merchang (red triangle), and Kerteh (red oval).
blade and Glasby et al. (2019) for the size of the inner teeth: isodont means outer teeth much longer than inner teeth; anodont means outer teeth more or less the same length as inner teeth; heterodont refers to when one long and one short (same length as inner teeth) lateral tooth is present. The thickness of the shaft is thin when it is thinner than the limbate chaetae on the same parapodium, thick when the shaft is as thick or thicker than limbate chaetae on the same parapodium. The width of the pectinate blade is wide when the blade is $\geq 30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and narrow below this threshold; length of the inner teeth is long when they are $\geq 12 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and thick when $\geq 2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; below these thresholds, the teeth are defined as short and slender, respectively. Table 1 and Fig. 2 summarises and illustrates the types of pectinate chaetae present in species of Marphysa from Terengganu.

Terminology of maxillary apparatus followed Molina-Acevedo and Carre-ra-Parra (2015). Several parapodia from the anterior, median, and posterior regions were removed from the type material of each species, dehydrated in ethanol and hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS), coated with 20 nm of silver-gold, examined under the scanning electron microscope JEOL JSM-6360LA, and imaged with a secondary detector at SEM laboratories of Universiti Malaysia Terengganu and Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia.

## Repositories

Materials were deposited at the institution and museums listed below:

- South China Sea Repository and Reference Centre (RRC), Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Malaysia, as holotype (UMT) (UMTAnn 2149, UMTAnn 2177, UMTAnn 2179, UMTAnn 2181) and paratypes (UMTAnn 2150 to 2176, UMTAnn 2178, UMTAnn 2180, UMTAnn 2182 to 2193)
- Australian Museum, Sydney, Australia (AM) as paratypes (AM W. 54041 to W.54060)
- Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, USA (NHMLAC) as paratypes (LACM-AHF 13494 to 13505)
- Lee Kong Chian Natural History Museum, Singapore (LKCNHM) as paratypes (ZRC.ANN. 1604 to ZRC.ANN.1612, ZRC.ANN. 1614 to 1615)
- Iziko South African Museum, South Africa (ISAM) as paratypes (SAM-MB-A096021 to A096023)

Table 1. Type of pectinate chaetae present in Marphysa from Terengganu.

| Type of pectinate chaetae | Description |
| :--- | :---: |
| Type 1 | Thin, narrow isodont with short and slender inner teeth |
| Type 2 | Thin, wide isodont with short and slender inner teeth |
| Type 3 | Thin, narrow heterodont with short and slender inner teeth |
| Type 4 | Thick, wide isodont with short and slender inner teeth |
| Type 5 | Thick, wide isodont with long and slender inner teeth |
| Type 6 | Thick, narrow anodont with long and thick inner teeth |
| Type 7 | Thick, wide anodont with long and slender inner teeth |
| Type 8 | Thick, wide anodont with long and thick inner teeth |

## Molecular analyses

Molecular analyses were done at the Universiti Malaysia Terengganu (UMT) and the Australian Museum Research Institute, Australian Museum, Sydney (AMRI). At UMT, extractions of DNA were done using the xanthogenate method (Tillett and Neilan 2000). Approximately 600 bp of cytochrome oxidase subunit 1 (COI) gene were amplified using universal primer pair LCO1490 and HCO2198 (Folmer et al. 1994). Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) amplifications were carried out using $12.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of OneTaq Quick-Load Master mix, $9.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of biology grade water, $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of primers ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $1 \%$ bovine serum albumin (BSA) and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DNA template. The temperature profile was as follows: $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 180 \mathrm{~s}-$ $\left(94^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 20 \mathrm{~s}-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{~s}-72^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 60 \mathrm{~s}\right) * 35$ cycles and final extension time at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 300 \mathrm{~s}$. PCR success was verified by electrophoresis in a $1 \% \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{v}$ agarose gel stained with GelRed. Amplified products were sent to Apical Scientific Sdn. Bhd. for Sanger sequencing using forward primer (LCO1490).

Meanwhile, at AMRI, extractions of DNA were done with an ISOLATE II Genomic DNA kit (BIOLINE) following the protocol supplied by the manufacturers. Approximately 600 bp of COI gene were amplified using primers polyLCO and polyHCO (Carr et al. 2011). PCR was performed with Taq DNA Polymerase QIAGEN Kit in $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ mixtures containing: $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $10 \times$ CoralLoad PCR Buffer (final concentration of $1 \times$ ), $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{Mm})$ solution, $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of PCR nucleotide mix (final concentration of 0.2 mM each dNTP), $0.4 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each primer (final concentration of $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), $0.1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Taq DNA Polymerase ( $5 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ), $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ template DNA and $13.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of nuclease-free water. The temperature profile was as follows; $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 60 \mathrm{~s}-\left(94^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 40 \mathrm{~s}-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 40 \mathrm{~s}-72^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 60 \mathrm{~s}\right) * 5$ cycles $-(94$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 40 \mathrm{~s}-51^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 40 \mathrm{~s}-72^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 60 \mathrm{~s}\right) * 35$ cycles $-72^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 300 \mathrm{~s}$. PCR success was verified by electrophoresis in a $1 \% \mathrm{p} / v$ agarose gel stained with GelRed. Amplified products were sent to Macrogen Company for Sanger sequencing using the same set of primers used for PCR.

A total of 63 COI sequences were downloaded from GenBank or obtained during this study; 60 COI sequences of Marphysa species and three outgroup species from closely related genera in the order Eunicida (Table 2). All COI sequences were aligned in MEGA v. 11.0.10 using ClustalW plugin with default settings. The best DNA/Protein Models (ML) test was conducted, and the GTR model of molecular evolution was chosen as the best evolutionary model for the COI gene alignment. The phylogenetic analysis was performed in MEGA v. 11.0.10 (Tamura et al. 2021). The analysis was run for 1000 replicates. Pair-wise Kimura 2-parameter (K2P) genetic distance was performed using MEGA v. 11.0.10.

## Habitat description and sediment analyses

Habitats of identified Marphysa were described based on the observations made during sampling including mangrove vegetations and sediment analyses. The particle size of the sediments was determined using dry-sieving techniques. Sediments were oven-dried at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $\sim 72 \mathrm{~h}$. Then, 100 g of sub-samples were gently dry-sieved through a series of $4,2,1,0.5,0.25,0.125$, and 0.063 mm mesh openings of an Octagon D200 Digital mechanical shaker.

Table 2. Terminal taxa used in molecular part of the study (COI), with type localities, collection localities, GenBank accession numbers and references.

| Species | Type locality | Collection locality | GenBank accession number | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ophryotrocha marinae Zhang, Zhou, Yen, Hiley \& Rouse, 2023 | Gulf of California, Mexico | Hydrothermal vents of Pescadero and Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California, Mexico | OP561817 | Zhang et al. (2023) |
| Diopatra aciculata Knox \& Cameron, 1971 | Port Phillip Bay, Victoria, Australia | Port Phillip Bay, Victoria, Australia | AY838867 | Struck et al. (2006) |
| Oenone fulgida (Lamarck, 1818) | Coast of Red Sea, Egypt | Coast of Red Sea, Egypt | AY838872 | Struck et al. (2006) |
| Marphysa aegypti Elgetany, El-Ghobashy, Ghoneim \& Struck, 2018 | Suez Canal, Egypt | Suez Canal, Egypt | MF196968 | Elgetany et al. (2018) |
| Marphysa bifurcata Kott, 1951 | Western Australia, Australia | Queensland, Australia | KX172177 | Zanol et al. (2016) |
|  |  |  | KX172178 |  |
| Marphysa brevitentaculata Treadwell, 1921 | Tobago Island, Trinidad and Tobago | Quintana Roo, Mexico | GQ497548 | Zanol et al. (2010) |
| Marphysa californica Moore, 1909 | California, USA | California, USA | GQ497552 | Zanol et al. (2010) |
| Marphysa chirigota Martin, Gil \& Zanol, 2020 | Bay of Cadiz, Spain | Bay of Cadiz, Spain | MN816443 | Martin et al. (2020) |
| Marphysa davidattenboroughi Lavesque, Zanol, Daffe, Flaxman \& Hutchings, 2023 | Bass Strait, Australia | Bass Strait, Australia | 0Q622195 | Lavesque et al. (2023) |
|  |  |  | 0 O622196 |  |
| Marphysa disjuncta Hartman, 1961 | California, USA | California, USA | GQ497549 | Zanol et al. (2010) |
| Marphysa fauchaldi Glasby \& Hutchings, 2010 | Northern Territory, Australia | Northern Territory, Australia | KX172165 | Zanol et al. (2016) |
| Marphysa gaditana Martin, Gil \& Zanol, 2020 | Bay of Cadiz, Spain | Bay of Cadiz, Spain | MN816441 | Martin et al. (2020) |
| Marphysa hongkongensa Wang, Zhang \& Qiu, 2018 | Hong Kong | Hong Kong | MH598525 | Wang et al. (2018) |
|  |  |  | MH598526 |  |
| Marphysa ibaiensis sp. nov. | Kuala Ibai, Terengganu, Malaysia | Kuala Ibai Lagoon and estuary, Terengganu, Malaysia | OR995540 | This study |
|  |  |  | OR995541 |  |
|  |  |  | OR995542 |  |
|  |  |  | OR995543 |  |
|  |  |  | OR995544 |  |
|  |  |  | OR995545 |  |
| Marphysa iloiloensis Glasby, Mandario, Burghardt, Kupriyanova, Gunton \& Hutchings, 2019 | Iloilo, Philippines | Iloilo, Philippines | MN106279 | Glasby et al. (2019) |
|  |  |  | MN106280 |  |
| Marphysa kertehensis sp. nov. | Kerteh, Terengganu, Malaysia | Kerteh mangrove river, Terengganu, Malaysia | OR981603 | This study |
|  |  |  | OR981604 |  |
|  |  |  | OR981605 |  |
|  |  |  | OR995527 |  |
|  |  |  | OR995528 |  |
|  |  |  | OR995529 |  |
|  |  |  | OR995530 |  |
|  |  |  | OR995531 |  |
| Marphysa kristiani Zanol, da Silva \& Hutchings, 2016 | New South Wales, Australia | New South Wales, Australia | KX172160 | Zanol et al. (2016) |
|  |  |  | KX172161 |  |
| Marphysa madrasi Hutchings, Lavesque, Priscilla, Daffe, Malathi \& Glasby, 2020 | Chennai, India | Chennai, India | MT813506 | Hutchings et al. (2020) |
|  |  |  | MT813507 |  |
| Marphysa merchangensis sp. nov. | Merchang, Terengganu, Malaysia | Merchang mangrove estuary, Terengganu, Malaysia | OR995532 | This study |
|  |  |  | OR995533 |  |
|  |  |  | OR995534 |  |
|  |  |  | OR995535 |  |
| Marphysa mossambica (Peters, 1854) | Mozambique | Iloilo, Philippines | KX172164 | Zanol et al. (2016) |
| Marphysa mullawa Hutchings \& Karageorgopoulus, 2003 | Queensland, Australia | New South Wales, Australia | KX172166 | Zanol et al. (2016) |
|  |  |  | KX172167 |  |


| Species | Type locality | Collection locality | GenBank accession number | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marphysa papuaensis Lavesque, Daffe, Glasby, Hourdez \& Hutchings, 2022 | Solomon Sea, Papua New Guinea | Solomon Sea, Papua New Guinea | OP184050 | Lavesque et al. (2022) |
| Marphysa pseudosessiloa Zanol, da Silva \& Hutchings, 2017 | New South Wales, Australia | New South Wales, Australia | KY605405 | Zanol et al. (2010) |
|  |  |  | KY605406 |  |
| Marphysa regalis Verill, 1900 | Bermuda, British Overseas Territory | Ceara, Brazil (Lavesque et al. 2023) | GQ497562 | Zanol et al. (2010) |
| Marphysa sanguinea (Montagu, 1813) | Devon, UK | Callot Island, France | GQ497547 | Zanol et al. (2010) |
| Marphysa sanguinea (Montagu, 1813) | Devon, UK | Cornwall, UK | MK950853 | Lavesque et al. (2019) |
| Marphysa sanguinea (Montagu, 1813) | Arcachon Bay, France | Arcachon Bay, France | MK541904 | Lavesque et al. (2019) |
| Marphysa setiuense sp. nov. | Setiu Wetlands, Terengganu, Malaysia | Setiu Wetland estuary, Terengganu Malaysia | OR995536 | This study |
|  |  |  | OR995537 |  |
|  |  |  | OR995538 |  |
|  |  |  | OR995539 |  |
| Marphysa sherlockae Kara, MolinaAcevedo, Zanol, Simon \& Idris, 2020 | Durban, South Africa | Strand, South Africa | MT840349 | Kara et al. (2020) |
|  |  |  | MT840350 |  |
| Marphysa tripectinata Liu, Hutchings \& Sun, 2017 | Beihai, China | Beihai, China | MN106271 | Liu et al. (2017) |
|  |  |  | MN106272 |  |
| Marphysa victori Lavesque, Daffe, Bonifácio \& Hutchings, 2017 | Arcachon Bay, France | Arcachon Bay, France | MG384996 | Lavesque et al. (2017) |
| Marphysa victori Lavesque, Daffe, Bonifácio \& Hutchings, 2017 | Mangoku-ura Inlet, Japan | Mangoku-ura Inlet, Japan | LC467767 | Abe et al. (2019) |
| Marphysa victori Lavesque, Daffe, Bonifácio \& Hutchings, 2017 | Arcachon, France | Ena Bay, Japan | LC467772 | Abe et al. (2019) |
| Marphysa viridis Treadwell, 1917 | Florida, USA | Ceara, Brazil | GQ497553 | Zanol et al. (2010) |
| Marphysa zanolae Lavesque, Daffe, Glasby, Hourdez \& Hutchings, 2022 | Solomon Sea, Papua New Guinea | Solomon Sea, Papua New Guinea | OP184049 | Lavesque et al. (2023) |

Sediments retained on each sieve were weighed and recorded. Sediment grain size was classified according to grain size classifications by Blair and McPherson (1999), modified after Udden (1914) and Wentworth (1922). The percentage of particle size compositions was calculated, and the texture of sediments was determined based on the sediment textural classification scheme of Blair and McPherson (1999), modified after Folk et al. (1970).

Furthermore, total organic matter was determined using the loss on ignition (LOI) method which calculates the weight loss after combustion (Dean 1974). A total of 5 g of oven-dried sediments were placed in ceramic crucibles and ashed at $550^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for six h in a muffle furnace. Then, sediments were cooled in a desiccator and weighed. The percentage of total organic matter (TOM) was analysed by the percentage loss of weight on ignition at $550^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Results

## Molecular analyses

DNA sequences of $\mathrm{COI}(460 \mathrm{bp}$ ) (Fig. 3) were used for phylogenetic analysis based on the maximum likelihood (ML) method. Results based on the COI showed that the four Marphysa species from Terengganu were well separated from other sequences of Marphysa and formed four different clades. Nodal support ranges from $97-100 \%$, showing strong support for the clades. The interspecific divergence between these new species and all their sister taxa pair is high (pair-wise Kimura 2-parameter - COI K2P range from 6.14\%-19.16\%) (see Suppl. material 1).

## Ecological analyses

Particle size analyses of sediment from sampling sites in Terengganu mangrove forest estuary, lagoon and river are shown in Table 3. Generally, sediments from all sampling sites were mainly composed of sand. However, sites can be differentiated by the composition of different particle sizes, sediment texture, and percentage of organic matter content. Sediments collected from Setiu Wetlands, Kuala Ibai mangrove estuary, and Kerteh mangrove river were dominated by fine sand; meanwhile, sediments from Merchang mangrove estuary and Kuala Ibai lagoon were dominated by a mixture of fine pebble + granule and medium size sand, respectively.

All sampling sites were located less than 1 km from the river mouth except for Kerteh station, which is 3.12 km from the river mouth. The sediment texture of sampling sites in Terengganu mangrove forest was classified as slightly gravelly sand, gravelly sand, and gravelly muddy sand (Fig. 4, Table 4). Total organic matter content indicated in Table 4; ranges from $0.29 \pm 0.05 \%-$ $5.11 \pm 0.91 \%$.

## Taxonomic account

Family Eunicidae Berthold, 1827
Order Eunicida Dales, 1962

## Genus Marphysa Quatrefages, 1866

Type species. Nereis sanguinea Montagu, 1813.
Diagnosis (after Molina-Acevedo and Carrera-Parra 2017). Prostomium slightly or completely bilobed; five prostomial appendages without articulations; eyes present or absent. Peristomium without peristomial cirri. Maxillary apparatus with four pairs of maxillae, an unpaired on the left side; MI with falcal arch developed, extended, with the outer edge of the base arched; MIII curved, forming part of distal arc, with attachment lamella of rectangular or

Table 3. Particle size composition (\%) of sediments from four sampling sites in Terengganu mangrove forest. Asterisk (*) indicates the largest particle size composition.

| Particle size composition (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Setiu <br> Wetlands | Merchang <br> mangrove <br> estuary | Kuala Ibai |  | Mangrove estuary <br> Kerteh mangrove <br> river |
| Fine pebble + granule (gravel) | 3.06 | $* 24.59$ | 2.9 | 3.94 | 7.99 |
| Very coarse sand | 8.64 | 19.77 | 4.34 | 12.49 | 9.4 |
| Coarse sand | 15.21 | 21.33 | 7.59 | 23.89 | 8.24 |
| Medium sand | 26.29 | 17.6 | 21.28 | $* 46.03$ | 12.78 |
| Fine sand | $* 32.08$ | 7.05 | $* 49.92$ | 13.2 | $* 33.16$ |
| Very fine sand | 11.51 | 7.38 | 12.55 | 0.45 | 20.89 |
| Silt + clay (mud) | 3.21 | 2.28 | 1.42 | 0 | 7.54 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Percentage sand | 93.73 | 73.13 | 95.68 | 96.06 | 84.47 |

Table 4. Distance of sampling sites from river mouth, type of sediment textures and total organic matter content of sampling sites in Terengganu mangrove forest.

| Sampling sites | Distance from river mouth (km) | Type of sediment texture | Organic matter content (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Setiu Wetlands | 0.9 | Slightly gravelly sand | $1.02 \pm 0.17$ |
| Merchang mangrove estuary | 0.85 | Gravelly sand | $5.11 \pm 0.91$ |
| Kuala Ibai mangrove estuary | 0.83 | Slightly gravelly sand | $1.66 \pm 0.89$ |
| Kuala Ibai lagoon | 0.53 | Slightly gravelly sand | $1.97 \pm 0.29$ |
| Kerteh mangrove river | 3.12 | Gravelly muddy sand | $0.29 \pm 0.05$ |

irregular shape, situated at centre of posterior edge of maxilla; MIV with circular or rectangular attachment lamella. Branchiae distributed along entire body. Dorsal cirri without articulation; postchaetal lobe well developed in anterior region. Ventral cirri with swollen, oval, or circular base. All sub-aciculae dark. Supracicular chaetae include limbate, pectinate isodont chaetae with slender teeth, pectinate anodont chaetae with long teeth. Subacicular chaetae include compound falcigers or spinigers, or only limbate chaetae. Subacicular hook dark or translucent, bidentate or unidentate. Pygidium with two pairs of anal cirri, without articulation.

## Marphysa kertehensis sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/73FBB175-0342-4A83-AF27-36A1DCD5EB66
Figs 1, 2, 5-7

Material examined. Holotype. UMTAnn 2181, complete (regenerated posterior), antero-ventrally dissected, some parapodia removed and mounted for SEM.
Paratypes. AM W.54059, complete, some parapodia removed and mounted for SEM; LACM-AHF 13503 to 13505, complete, some parapodia removed; ZRC. ANN. 1614 to 1615, incomplete, some parapodia removed; SAM-MB-A096023, incomplete, some parapodia removed. All material was collected from the east coast of Peninsular Malaysia, Terengganu, Kerteh mangrove forest river ( $04^{\circ} 32.142^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 103^{\circ} 26.363^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), March 2022.

Diagnosis. Prostomium completely bilobed, five prostomial appendages without articulations; eyes absent. Peristomium without peristomial cirri. Maxillary apparatus with four pairs of maxillae, an unpaired one on the left side, MI with falcal arch extended at sub-right angle, basal outer edge arched, basal inner edge lacking curvature. MII with triangular teeth and without attachment lamella. MIII slightly curved, with equal-sized triangular teeth, without attachment lamella. MIV with dark and curved attachment lamella. Branchiae distributed along entire body. Dorsal cirri without articulations; postchaetal lobe well developed in anterior regions. Ventral cirri with swollen, inflated base. Sub-aciculae black, blunt and translucent at distal end, pale brown in posterior-most parapodia. Supra-acicular chaetae include limbate, pectinate thin, narrow and wide isodont with short and slender inner teeth, and pectinate thick, wide isodont with short and slender inner teeth. Subacicular chaetae include only limbate chaetae. Subacicular hook absent. Pygidium with two pairs of anal cirri, without articulation.


Figure 2. The schematic drawing of type of pectinate chaetae present in Marphysa from Terengganu A thin, narrow isodont with short and slender inner teeth (type 1) B thin, wide isodont with short and slender inner teeth (type 2) C thin, narrow heterodont with short and slender inner teeth (type 3) D thick, wide isodont with short and slender inner teeth (type 4) E thick, wide isodont with short and slender inner teeth (type 5) F thick, narrow anodont with long and thick inner teeth (type 6) $\mathbf{G}$ thick, wide anodont with long and slender inner teeth (type 7) $\mathbf{H}$ thick, wide anodont with long and thick inner teeth (type 8). Scale bars: $18 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{A}) ; 35 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{G}) ; 20 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{C}) ; 38 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (D); $32 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{E}) ; 13 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{F}) ; 30 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{H})$.

Description (based on holotype, with variation in parentheses for paratypes). Preserved specimens beige (Fig. 5A), with 518 (135-578) chaetigers, $\sim 413 \mathrm{~mm}(173-295)$ total length, $12 \mathrm{~mm}(6-10.8)$ in length to chaetiger 10 (L10), $4.8 \mathrm{~mm}(3.15-5.1 \mathrm{~mm})$ width at chaetiger 10 (W10), excluding parapodia. Body with dorsum convex and flat ventrum (Fig. 5A), without groove; body elongated, rounded in cross-section at anterior and median regions, and dorsoventrally flattened thereafter. Live specimens red (Fig. 7D).

Prostomium bilobed, anteriorly rounded with two dorsoventrally flattened lobes separated by an anterior notch between (Fig. 5B). Prostomial appendages in a semicircle, median antennae separated by a gap (Fig. 5B). Palps reach to first ring of peristomium; lateral and median antennae to second ring of peristomium. Palpophores and ceratophores are ring-shaped, short, thin; palpostyles and ceratostyles tapering and slender. Prostomial peduncles absent. Peristomium larger and wider than prostomium; first ring $3 \times$ longer than second ring, separation between rings distinct on all sides.

Maxillae dark (Fig. 5C), and maxillary formula (MF) as follows: 1+1, 5+5 (4-5), $8(7-8)+0,3(3-4)+9(8-9), 1+1$. Maxillary carrier $\sim 2.8 \times$ shorter than MI , rectangular anteriorly, triangular posteriorly. MI forceps-like, without


Figure 3. Phylogenetic tree generated by maximum likelihood (ML) method based on $\mathrm{COI}(460 \mathrm{bp})$. The sequences of the four new species of Marphysa obtained in this study are marked in red. Numbers beside the branches indicate ML bootstrap values of 80 (maximum: 100) based on 1000 bootstrap replications.


Figure 4. Sediment classification from sampling sites of Terengganu mangrove forest, according to sediment classification scheme by Blair and McPherson (1999), modified after Folk et al. (1970).
attachment lamellae, falcal arch extended at sub-right angle, basal outer edge arched, basal inner edge lacking a curvature. Closing system $\sim 4.2 \times$ shorter than MI. Ligament between MI and MII dark. MII without attachment lamella, teeth triangular, distributed on $<1 / 2$ of plate length. Ligament between MII and MIII dark. MIII single, longer than left MIV, slightly curved, with equalsized triangular teeth, without attachment lamella. Left MIV short (< $1 / 2$ the size of right MIV), attachment lamella dark, curved. Right MIV long, with teeth triangular, decreasing in size and teeth curved posteriorly; attachment lamella curved, dark. MV paired, longer than high. Mandible dark, longer than MI; cutting plates whitish (Fig. 5D).

First and second parapodia located ventrolaterally but gradually positioned dorsolaterally on subsequent segments. Chaetal lobes conical and directed to ventral cirri in anterior chaetigers, conical in median and posterior chaetigers (Fig. 5E-G). Prechaetal lobe shorter than chaetal lobe throughout body. Postchaetal lobe rounded and longer than chaetal lobe in anterior chaetigers, conical in mid-body onwards and absent in the posterior-most chaetigers. Dorsal cirri digitiform with slender and tapering tips longer than ventral cirri anteriorly, digitiform and slightly longer from mid-body, digitiform and approximately similar length in posterior-most chaetigers (Fig. 5E-G). Ventral cirri digitiform in first chaetigers, basally inflated with digitiform tip from chaetiger 15 onwards (Fig. 5E-G). Branchiae pectinate, from chaetiger 41 (27-58), branchial filaments $3 \times$ longer than dorsal cirri where best developed; number of filaments increasing from five anteriorly to nine in mid-body, decreasing to three in last several chaetigers. Black dot present at the base of dorsal cirri from median chaetigers toward posterior chaetigers (Fig. 5F-H).


Figure 5. Marphysa kertehensis sp. nov. Holotype UMTAnn 2181 (A-I). Light microscopy images and digital drawing A anterior end, lateral view B anterior end, dorsal view $\mathbf{C}$ maxillae, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ mandibles, dorsal view $\mathbf{E}$ parapodium, chaetiger 10 F parapodium, chaetiger 295 G parapodium, chaetiger 462 H median region, dorsal view. Arrows indicate black dot at the base of dorsal cirri I posterior segments and pygidium, ventral view. Abbreviations; $\mathrm{MI}-\mathrm{MV}$ : maxillae I-V, Ac: aciculae, Dc: dorsal cirrus, Vc: ventral cirrus, PCI: prechaetal lobe, Cl: chaetal lobe, PtCl: postchaetal lobe, Bf: branchial filament. Scale bars: $2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{I}) ; 1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{B}-\mathrm{D}) ; 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(E-G)$.


Figure 6. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) images of Marphysa kertehensis sp. nov. Holotype UMTAnn 2181 (B-E), paratype AM W. 54059 (A, F) A limbate chaetae, chaetiger 10 B parapodia, chaetiger 300 C-D pectinate chaetae, chaetiger 300 E pectinate chaetae, chaetiger 462 F pectinate chaetae, chaetiger 525 . Numbers denoted by arrows indicate the type of pectinate chaetae: 1. Thin, narrow isodont; 2. Thin, wide isodont; 4. Thick, wide isodont. Scale bars: $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{A})$; $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (B); $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{C}-\mathrm{D}) ; 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{E}-\mathbf{F})$.


Figure 7. Sampling site in Kerteh mangrove forest (river area) A habitat of Marphysa kertehensis sp. nov. B Marphysa kertehensis sp. nov. found inside driftwood and $\mathbf{C}$ in the sediment $\mathbf{D}$ live and complete $M$. kertehensis sp. nov.

Notoaciculae absent, neuroaciculae black, blunt, and translucent at distal end along most of body, pale brown in posterior-most parapodia; three or four per parapodium in anterior, one or two per parapodium in median and posterior chaetigers (Fig. 5E-G). Supra-acicular chaetae with limbate capillaries and pectinates, subacicular chaetae with limbate capillaries, compound chaetae absent (Fig. 6A, B). Three types of pectinate chaetae were identified (types 1, 2, 4; see Fig. 2): type 1: thin, narrow isodont with 28 short and slender inner teeth, outer teeth longer on one side, present only in the anterior body region (Fig. 6C); type 2: thin, wide isodont with ~30-32 short and slender teeth, present only in median and posterior region (Fig. 6C, D); type 4: thick, wide isodont with $\sim 23$ short and slender inner teeth, present only in posterior region (Fig. 6E, F). Anodont pectinate chaetae and subacicular hooks $(n=30)$ completely absent. Pygidium with crenulated margin, with two pairs of pygidial cirri attached (Fig. 5I).

Etymology. The new name denotes the type locality (Kerteh River) where the specimens were collected.

Type locality. South China Sea, Malaysia, east coast of Peninsular, Terengganu, Kerteh River (see Fig. 1).

Distribution. Known only from the type locality.

Habitat. Gravelly muddy sand (Table 4), burrowing inside driftwood, in mangroves, intertidal (Fig. 7A-C) with salinity $3.18 \%$ during spring low tide.

Remarks. With the presence of only limbate chaetae in both supra- and subacicular chaetae bundles, Marphysa kertehensis sp. nov. belongs to Marphysa Group A (Mossambica). Comparing Marphysa Group A from Malaysia's coastal water bodies, M. kertehensis sp. nov. is similar to M. moribidii (type locality: Morib, Malaysia) in lacking eyes. Table 5 lists the characteristics of Group A species, such as the presence or absence of peduncle in the prostomial appendages, the number of types of pectinate chaetae, chaetiger from where the branchiae commence and finish, number of branchial filaments and subacicular hooks and all differ from the new species. Marphysa kertehensis sp. nov. has three types of pectinate chaetae (types $1,2,4$ ) but lacks any wide anodont chaetae (types $6,7,8$ ), while M. moribidii has four types, including wide anodont (types 1, 4, 5, 8). Although they all have the same type of pectinate branchiae and the chaetiger where the branchiae emerge, M. moribidii (TL: 333 mm ) has a wider range variation of chaetiger where the branchiae emerge; they occur from chaetiger 35 (4-63) whereas in M. kertehensis sp. nov. (TL: 413 (173-295) $\mathrm{mm})$, the branchiae are present from chaetiger 41 (27-58). There are no subacicular hooks present in all specimens of $M$. kertehensis $s p$. nov., but there are

Table 5. Morphological features comparison between Marphysa Group A (Mossambica) described in this study and species occurring within Malaysian water bodies. The features for new species are based on holotype, with variation in parentheses for paratypes. Abbreviations: MF: maxillary formula, roman numerals refer to number of maxilla; PR-I: first peristomial ring; PR-II: second peristomial ring; p/a: present/absent; NIA: no information available. The major feature's differences between the species are mark with asterisk (*).

| Morphological feature | M. moribidii Idris, Hutchings \& Arshad, 2014 | M. kertehensis sp. nov. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source of Information | Paratypes AM W.38690; additional material (Idris et al. 2014) | Holotype UMTAnn 2181 (this study) |
| Size (mm): L10, W10 | 12.2-20, 6.3-8.2 | 12 (6-10.8) , 4.8 (3.15-5.1) |
| Prostomium: shape | Bilobed | Bilobed |
| Palps: reaching | PR-II | PR-I |
| Lateral antennae:reaching | PR-II or Chaetiger 1 | PR-II |
| Median antennae: reaching | Chaetiger 1 or 2 | PR-II |
| Peduncle in prostomial appendages* | Present | Absent |
| Eyes | Absent | Absent |
| MF: MII, MIII, MIV* | $5-6+4-6,7-8+0,6+8-10$ | $5+5(4-5), 8(7-8)+0,3(3-4)+9(8-9)$ |
| Branchiae: shaped | Pectinate | Pectinate |
| Branchiae: start chaetiger; last chaetiger before pygidium* | 27-39; 15-37 | 41 (27-58), until pygidium |
| Branchial filaments: numbers | 7-10 | 9 |
| Dorsal cirri: shaped | Conical | Digitiform |
| Prechaetal lobe: shaped | Transverse fold | Transverse fold |
| Chaetal lobe: shaped | Rounded | Conical and directed to ventral cirri, conical |
| Aciculae: shape; colour | Blunt, dark | Black, dark and translucent at distal end |
| Subacicular limbate chaetae: (p/a); distribution | Present; all chaetigers | Present; all chaetigers |
| Pectinate chaetae: number of type* | 4 | 3 |
| Subacicular hook: shape; colour* | Bidentate, translucent | No subacicular hook |
| Subacicular hook: start chaetiger* | 56-65 | No subacicular hook |
| Subacicular hook: distribution* | Scattered | No subacicular hook |

a few subacicular hooks present in the paratype of M. moribidii AM W. 38690. Additionally, M. kertehensis sp. nov. has a black dot at the base of dorsal cirri in median and posterior chaetigers, possibly a reservoir of blood to irrigate the branchiae, which is absent in M. moribidii. It is worth mentioning that comparisons between the two species were based only on morphological features as there is no sequence data published for M. moribidii. Furthermore, each species lives in a different habitat. Marphysa kertehensis sp. nov. was found in the driftwood within the mangrove area dominated by Exoecaria agallocha, meanwhile M. moribidii inhabits mangrove forest with Rhizophora spp., Avicennia alba and Sonneratia caseolaris (Idris et al. 2014).

## Marphysa merchangensis sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/AD77E9BF-8D3D-458F-8AEA-BAC2E7AAFCB7
Figs 1, 2, 8-10

Material examined. Holotype. UMTAnn 2149, complete, antero-ventrally dissected, some parapodia mounted for SEM. Paratypes. AM W.54044, complete, some parapodia mounted for SEM. LACM-AHF 13494 to 13496, complete, some parapodia removed; ZRC.ANN. 1604 to 1606, complete, some parapodia removed; SAM-MB-A096021, complete, some parapodia removed. All material was collected from the east coast of Peninsular Malaysia, Terengganu, Merchang mangrove estuary ( $05^{\circ} 01.393^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 103^{\circ} 17.994^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), October 2021.

Diagnosis. Prostomium completely bilobed, five prostomial appendages without articulations; eyes present. Peristomium without peristomial cirri. Maxillary apparatus with four pairs of maxillae, an unpaired on the left side, MI with falcal arch extended at sub-right angle, basal outer edge arched, basal inner edge lacking curvature. MII with triangular teeth and without attachment lamella. MIII slightly curved, with equal-sized triangular teeth, without attachment lamella. MIV with rectangular and curved attachment lamella. Branchiae distributed along entire body. Dorsal cirri without articulations; postchaetal lobe well developed in anterior regions. Ventral cirri with swollen, inflated base. Sub-aciculae black, blunt, and translucent at distal end, pale brown in posterior-most parapodia. Supra-acicular chaetae include limbate, pectinate thin, narrow isodont with short and slender inner teeth, pectinate thick, wide isodont with short or long and slender inner teeth, and pectinate thick, narrow and wide anodont with long and thick inner teeth. Subacicular chaetae include only compound spinigers. Subacicular hook unidentate throughout chaetigers. Pygidium with two pairs of anal cirri, without articulation.

Description (based on holotype, with variation in parentheses for paratypes). Preserved specimen beige (Fig. 8A), 257 (165-294) chaetigers, $94 \mathrm{~mm}(37-144$ mm ) long, L10-5.25 mm (3.45-5.85 mm), W10-2.85 mm (1.95-3.15 mm), excluding parapodia. Anterior region of body with dorsum convex and flat ventrum, without groove (Fig. 8A); body depressed from chaetiger 25, elongated and tapering at distal end. Live specimens pink with red branchiae (Fig. 10D).

Prostomium bilobed, anteriorly rounded with two dorsoventrally flattened lobes with an anterior notch between them (Fig. 8A, B). Prostomial appendages in a semicircle, median antenna isolated by a gap (Fig. 8B). Palps reach middle of second peristomial ring; lateral antennae reaching chaetiger 2; medi-
an antenna reaching chaetiger 3. Palpophores and ceratophores ring-shaped, short, and thick; palpostyles and ceratostyles tapering, and slender. Prostomial appendage peduncles absent. A pair of faded brown eyes present at posterior base of prostomium, between palps and lateral antennae (Fig. 8B). Peristomium larger and wider than prostomium; first ring is $2.5 \times$ longer than second ring, separation between rings distinct on all sides.

Maxillae pale brown (Fig. 8C), and maxillary formula as follows: MF = 1+1, 5 $(4-5)+5(5-6), 7(6-7)+0,4(4-5)+8(5-8), 1+1$. Maxillary carrier $\sim 2.5 \times$ shorter than MI , rectangular anteriorly, triangular posteriorly. MI forceps-like, without attachment lamellae, falcal arch extended at sub-right angle, basal outer edge arched, basal inner edge lacking a curvature. Closing system $\sim 3 \times$ shorter than MI. Ligament between MI and MII pale brown. MII without attachment lamella, teeth triangular, distributed on $<1 / 2$ length of the plate. Ligament between MII and MIII pale brown. MIII single, longer than left MIV slightly curved, with equal-sized triangular teeth, without attachment lamella. Left MIV short (<1/2 the size of right MIV) with rectangular attachment lamellae. Right MIV long with curved attachment lamellae, teeth triangular, decreasing in size and teeth curved posteriorly. MV paired. Mandible pale brown, with concentric stripes, longer than MI; cutting plates whitish (Fig. 8D).


Figure 8. Marphysa merchangensis sp. nov. Holotype UMTAnn 2149 (B-H), paratype UMTAnn 2148 (A). Light microscopy images and digital drawing $\mathbf{A}$ anterior end, lateral view $\mathbf{B}$ anterior end, dorsal view. Arrows indicate eyes $\mathbf{C}$ maxillae, dorsal view D mandibles, dorsal view E parapodium, chaetiger 10 F parapodium, chaetiger 134 G parapodium, chaetiger 250 H posterior segments and pygidium, ventral view. Arrows show the short pair of pygidial cirri. Abbreviations; MI-MV: maxillae I-V, Ac: aciculae, Dc: dorsal cirrus, Vc: ventral cirrus, PCI: prechaetal lobe, Cl: chaetal lobe, PtCl: postchaetal lobe, Sah: subacicular hook, Bf: branchial filament. Scale bars: $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}-\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{H}) ; 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{E}-\mathbf{G})$.


Figure 9. SEM images of Marphysa merchangensis sp. nov. Holotype UMTAnn 2149 (A, B, E, H), paratype AM W. 54044 (C, D, F, G) A, B pectinate chaetae, chaetiger $10 \mathbf{C}$ pectinate chaetae, chaetiger $164 \mathbf{D}$ pectinate chaetae, chaetiger 245 E pectinate chaetae, chaetiger 250 F pectinate chaetae, chaetiger 265 G spiniger chaetae, chaetiger 10 H subacicular hook, chaetiger 128. Numbers denoted by arrows indicate the type of pectinate chaetae; 1. Thin, narrow isodont; 4, 5. Thick, wide isodont; 6. Thick, narrow anodont; 8. Thick, wide anodont. Scale bars: $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{- C}, \mathbf{E}) ; 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{D}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{H}) ; 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{G})$.

First few parapodia located ventrolaterally but gradually becoming dorsolateral in subsequent segments. Chaetal lobes rounded in anterior and posterior chaetigers, conical in median chaetigers (Fig. 8E-G). Prechaetal lobe shorter than chaetal lobe throughout body. Postchaetal lobe digitiform in first three chaetigers then rounded thereafter; longer than chaetal lobe in median chaetigers onwards, become shorter and absent in the posterior-most chaetigers. Dorsal cirri digitiform and slender, longer than ventral cirri anteriorly, slightly longer or similar from mid-body towards posterior-most chaetigers (Fig. 8EG). Ventral cirri thumb-shaped with rounded wide tips in first few chaetigers, basally inflated with digitiform tip from chaetiger 15, and gradually becoming conical posteriorly (Fig. 8E-G). Branchiae pectinate, from chaetiger 24 (16-27) and continuing to last $\sim 10$ chaetigers, branchial filaments $4 \times$ longer than dorsal cirri where best developed; number of filaments increasing from three anteriorly to six in mid-body, decreasing to one in last several chaetigers.

Notoaciculae absent, neuroaciculae black, blunt, and translucent at distal end along most of body, pale brown in posterior-most parapodia; 2 or 3 per parapodium in anterior, one per parapodium in median and posterior chaetigers (Fig. 8E-G). Supra-acicular chaetae with limbate capillaries and pectinates. Five types of pectinate chaetae present (types 1, 4, 5, 6, 8) (see Fig. 2): type 1: thin, narrow isodont with 7-12 short and slender inner teeth, outer teeth longer, but with varying lengths, present in anterior and median body


Figure 10. Sampling site in Merchang mangrove estuary A habitat of $M$. merchangensis sp . nov. B-C worm found in decayed root of Exoecaria agallocha (Malay: Bebuta) D live worms.
region (Fig. 9A, B); type 4: thick, wide isodont with 12-15 short and slender inner teeth, present only in median and posterior region (Fig. 9C); type 5: thick, wide isodont, with 15-18 long and slender inner teeth, only present in posterior region (Fig. 9D); type 6: thick, narrow anodont with 11 or 12 long thick teeth, only present in posterior region (Fig. 9E); type 8: thick, wide anodont, with five inner long and thick teeth, only present in the posterior region (Fig. 9F). Subacicular chaetae with compound spinigers (Fig. 9G). Subacicular hooks pale brown, translucent at distal end, emerge from chaetiger $37(26-42)$ and then present on all chaetigers, one per parapodium; subacicular hooks unidentate throughout chaetigers (Fig. 9H). Pygidium with crenulated margin, with two pairs of tapering pygidial cirri attached to ventral side of pygidium, dorsal pair $\sim 4 \times$ longer than ventral (Fig. 8H).

Etymology. The name denotes the type locality (Merchang estuary) where the specimens were collected.

Type locality. South China Sea, Malaysia, east coast of Peninsular, Terengganu, Merchang mangrove estuary (see Fig. 1).

Distribution. Known only from the type locality and Setiu Wetlands, Terengganu, Malaysia.

Habitat. Gravelly and slightly gravelly sand (Table 4), burrowing in decayed roots of the mangrove E. agallocha (Malay: Bebuta) (Fig. 10A-C), burrowing in the sediments within an area populated with Talipariti tiliaceum (Fig. 13C) with salinity $26 \%$ during spring low tide.

Remarks. With the presence of only compound spinigers along the whole body and branchiae along most of the body, Marphysa merchangensis sp . nov. belongs to the Marphysa Group B (Sanguinea). Other Marphysa species from Sanguinea-group occurring in the same water body (South China Sea) as $M$. merchangensis sp. nov. are M. setiuense sp. nov., M. hongkongensa Wang, Zhang \& Qiu, 2018 (type locality: Hong Kong), M. iloiloensis Glasby, Mandario, Burghardt, Kupriyanova, Gunton \& Hutchings, 2019 (type locality: Philippines), M. multipectinata Liu, Hutchings \& Sun, 2017 (type locality: Shimen, Taiwan of China), M. orientalis Treadwell, 1936 (type locality: Xiamen, China), M. tribranchiata Liu, Hutchings \& Sun, 2017 (type locality: Wanli, Taiwan of China), and M. tripectinata Liu, Hutchings \& Sun, 2017 (type locality: Beihai, China).

Marphysa merchangensis sp. nov. is similar to M. setiuense sp. nov. in having a pair of eyes and the absence of peduncle on the prostomial appendages. However, they can be differentiated by the number of types of pectinate chaetae, maxillary formula, chaetiger on which the branchiae and subacicular hooks occur, shape of dorsal cirri, chaetal lobes and subacicular hooks. Number of types of pectinate chaetae in $M$. merchangensis sp. nov. is five (types 1, 4, 5, 6, 8 ), whereas in $M$. setiuense sp. nov. there are four (types $1,2,7,8$ ), and they lack the thick, wide isodont pectinate chaetae (types 4,5). Marphysa merchangensis sp. nov. (L10: 5.25 (3.45-5.85) mm) has more denticles on MIII 7 (6-7)+0 compared to $M$. setiuense sp. nov. (L10: $2.7(2.85-4.8) \mathrm{mm}$ ) which has MIII: 5 (4-6)+0. Branchiae and subacicular hook of $M$. merchangensis sp. nov. occur later (chaetiger 24 (16-27) and 37 (26-42)), respectively) compared to M. setiuense sp. nov., where they occur from chaetiger 20 (15-25) and 25 (21-38), respectively. Marphysa merchangensis sp . nov. has digitiform dorsal cirri along the whole body, while $M$. setiuense sp. nov. has both thumb-shaped and digitiform dorsal cirri. Marphysa merchangensis sp . nov. has rounded shaped chaetal lobe in the anterior and posterior, and conical in the median region, whereas $M$. setiuense sp. nov. has rounded chaetal lobes on all parapodia. Finally, $M$. merchangensis sp. nov. has unidentate subacicular hook, whereas $M$. setiuense sp. nov. has unidentate and a few bidentate subacicular hooks present in posterior chaetigers.

Marphysa merchangensis sp. nov. and M. hongkongensa can be differentiated by the presence or absence of eyes, number of types of pectinate chaetae, maximum number of branchial filaments, and the shape of subacicular hooks. Marphysa merchangensis sp. nov. has a pair of eyes but they are absent in M. hongkongensa. Marphysa merchangensis sp. nov. has five types of pectinate chaetae (types $1,4,5,6,8$ ) compared to four types present in M. hongkongensa (types 1, 2, 7, 8). Marphysa hongkongensa lacks thick, wide isodont and thick, narrow anodont pectinate chaetae (types $4,5,6$ ) which are present in the new
species. The maximum number of branchial filaments in $M$. merchangensis sp. nov. (L10: $5.25(3.45-5.85) \mathrm{mm}$ ) is six and they begin from chaetiger 24 (16-27) whereas $M$. hongkongensa ( $\mathrm{L} 10: 3.3-7 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) has a maximum of ten branchial filaments, beginning from chaetiger 15-35. Finally, $M$. merchangensis sp . nov. only has unidentate subacicular hooks while both unidentate and bidentate subacicular hooks are present in $M$. hongkongensa.

Marphysa merchangensis sp. nov. is similar to M. iloiloensis and M. multipectinata in having a pair of eyes. However, they can be distinguished by the number of types of pectinate chaetae present, the chaetiger on which branchiae and subacicular hooks occur, number of branchial filaments, shape of subacicular hooks and the maxillae formula. Marphysa merchangensis sp. nov. has five types of pectinate chaetae (types $1,4,5,6,8$ ) whereas $M$. iloiloensis and $M$. multipectinata have three (types $1,4,6$ ) and four (types $1,4,7,8$ ) respectively. Marphysa merchangensis sp. nov. and M. iloiloensis have the same type of pectinate branchiae (beginning on the same chaetiger with different range of variation) (chaetiger 24 (16-27) for the new species, and chaetiger 19 (16-20) for M. iloiloensis). The maximum number of branchial filaments in M. merchangensis sp. nov. (TL: $94(37-144) \mathrm{mm}$ ) is six, while M. iloiloensis (TL: 99 (95-165+) mm) has a maximum of seven branchial filaments. Marphysa multipectinata (L10: 13.9 mm ) has palmate branchiae with maximum of five branchial filaments from chaetiger 32. Finally, all these species have different formulae for MII, MIII and MIV (see Table 6).

The other species from the Sanguinea complex, M. tribranchiata and M. tripectinata differ from $M$. merchangensis sp. nov. by the absence of eyes. Both M. tribranchiata and M. tripectinata have three types of pectinate chaetae, whereas M. merchangensis sp. nov. has five types. Marphysa tribranchiata lacks thick, wide isodont and thick, narrow anodont pectinate chaetae (types 4,6 ), while $M$. tripectinata lacks thin, narrow isodont pectinate chaetae (type 1) which are present in the new species (types $1,4,5,6,8$ ). While $M$. merchangensis sp . nov. and $M$. tripectinata only have unidentate subacicular hooks, they begin much later (chaetiger 170) in the latter species. Marphysa tribranchiata has both unidentate and bidentate subacicular hooks whereas only unidentate hooks are present in $M$. merchangensis sp. nov. The maximum number of branchiae filaments present in $M$. tribranchiata (L10: 8.7 mm ) and $M$ tripectinata (L10: 12.7 mm ) are three and eight respectively, differs from M. merchangensis sp. nov. (L10: 5.25 (3.45-5.85) mm), which has a maximum of six.

Finally, M. merchangensis sp. nov. is similar to M. orientalis by having unidentate subacicular hooks. Marphysa merchangensis sp. nov. has a pair of eyes and two pairs of anal cirri, while $M$. orientalis has no eyes and only one pair of anal cirri. Also, branchiae in $M$. merchangensis sp. nov. begin earlier from chaetiger 24 (16-27) compared to $M$. orientalis (chaetiger 45). The maximum number of branchial filaments in $M$. merchangensis sp. nov. is six, while $M$. orientalis has a maximum of three branchial filaments. Nevertheless, the original description of $M$. orientalis is incomplete and does not include certain important features such as the number and type of pectinate chaetae. Fresh material of $M$. orientalis should be collected and redescribed from the type locality at Gulf of Mannar, Sri Lanka.
Table 6. Morphological features comparison between Marphysa Group B (Sanguinea) described in this study and species occurring within Malaysian water bodies (South China Sea). The features for new species are based on the holotype, with variation in parentheses for paratypes. Abbreviations: MF: maxillary formula, roman numerals refer to number of maxilla; PR-I: first peristomial ring; PR-II: second peristomial ring; p/a: present/absent; NIA: no information available. The major differences between the species are marked with asterisk (*).

| Morphological feature | M. hongkongensa Wang, Zhang \& Qiu, 2018 | M. iloiloensis Glasby, Mandario, Burghardt, Kupriyanova, Gunton \& Hutchings, 2019 | M. multipectinata Liu, Hutchings \& Sun, 2017 | M. orientalis, Treadwell, 1936 | M. tribranchiata Liu, Hutchings \& Sun, 2017 | M. tripectinata Liu, Hutchings \& Sun, 2017 | M. merchangensis sp. nov. | M. setiuense sp. nov. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source of Information | Holotype: SWIMS- <br> ANN-18-012; <br> Paratypes: SWIMS-ANN-18-013- (Wang et al. 2018) | Holotype: NTM W29624; Paratypes: NTM W29619 NTM29623 (Glasby et al. 2019) | Holotype: ASIZW0000345-1 (Liu et al. 2017) | Type: USNM. No. 20114 (Treadwell 1936) | Holotype: ASIZW0000348-2 (Liu et al. 2017) | Holotype: AM W. 49069 (Liu et al. 2017) | Holotype: UMTAnn 2149 (this study) | Holotype: UMTAnn 2177 (this study) |
| Size (mm) : L10, W10 | 3.3-7.0, 2.2-5.3 | NIA, 2.6 | 13.9, 5.7 | NIA | 8.7, 3.9 | 12.7, 5.95 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.25(3.45-5.85), \\ & 2.85(1.95-3.15) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7(2.85-4.8), 1.8 \\ (1.65-2.55) \end{gathered}$ |
| Prostomium: shape | Bilobed | Bilobed | Bilobed | Bilobed | Bilobed | Bilobed | Bilobed | Bilobed |
| Palps: reaching | Chaetiger 1 | Chaetiger 1 | PR-I | NIA | Chaetiger 1 | PR-I | PR-II | Chaetiger 3 |
| Lateral antennae:reaching | Chaetiger 1 | Chaetiger 1 or 2 | PR-I | NIA | Chaetiger 2 | PR-II | Chaetiger 2 | Chaetiger 4 |
| Median antennae: reaching | Chaetiger 1 or 2 | Chaetiger 1 or 2 | PR-II | NIA | Chaetiger 3 | Chaetiger 1 | Chaetiger 3 | Chaetiger 5 |
| Peduncle in prostomial appendages | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| Eyes* | Absent | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent | Present | Present |
| MF: MII, MIII, MIV* | 5-6+5-6, 7+0, 4+8 | 4+5, 4-5+0, 3-4+5-6 | $3+3,4+0,4+5$ | $3+3,4+0,4+3$ | 4+4, 5+0, 4+8 | $5+5,5+0,4+8$ | $\begin{gathered} 5(4-5)+5(5-6), 7 \\ (6-7)+0,4(4-5)+8 \\ (5-8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5(4-5)+5(4-6), 5 \\ (4-6)+0,3(3-4)+6 \\ (7-8) \end{gathered}$ |
| Branchiae: shape | Pectinate | Pectinate | Palmate | NIA | Pectinate | Pectinate | Pectinate | Pectinate |
| Branchiae: start chaetiger; last chaetiger before pygidium | 15-35; until pygidium | 16-20; until pygidium | 32; end at chaetiger 281 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 45; end } \sim 30 \text { last } \\ & \text { chaetiger } \end{aligned}$ | 26 ; end at chaetiger 181 | 15 ; end at chaetiger 399 | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \text { (16-27); end ~10 } \\ & \quad \text { last chaetiger } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \text { (15-25); until } \\ & \text { pygidium } \end{aligned}$ |
| Branchial filaments: numbers | 5-10 | 6-7 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 5 |
| Dorsal cirri: shaped | Conical | Conical | NIA | Conical | NIA | NIA | Digitiform | Thumb-shape, digitiform |
| Prechaetal lobe: shaped | Transverse fold | Transverse fold | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA | Transverse fold | Transverse fold |
| Chaetal lobe: shaped | Rounded | Rounded | NIA | Rounded | NIA | NIA | Rounded and conical | Rounded |
| Aciculae: shape; colour | NIA; black with paler tips | Blunt; black with paler tips | NIA; brown | Blunt; black | NIA; brown | NIA; black | Blunt; black and translucent at distal end | Blunt; black and translucent at distal end |
| Subacicular limbate chaetae: (p/a); distribution | Absent; all chaetigers | Absent; all chaetigers | Absent; all chaetigers | Absent; all chaetigers | Absent; all chaetigers | Absent; all chaetigers | Absent; all chaetigers | Absent; all chaetigers |
| Pectinate chaetae: number of type* | 4 | 3 | 4 | NIA | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| Subacicular hook: shape; colour* | Unidentate and bidentate; amber | Unidentate; amber to black | Unidentate and bidentate; yellow | Unidentate; NIA | Unidentate and bidentate; brown | Unidentate | Unidentate; light brown and translucent at distal end | Unidentate and bidentate; light brown and translucent at distal end |
| Subacicular hook: start chaetiger* | 26-58 | 30-38 | 20 | Present in posterior region (No information on start chaetiger) | 20 | 170 | 37 (26-42) | 25 (21-38) |
| Subacicular hook: distribution | Continuous | Continuous | Continuous | NIA | Continuous | Continuous | Continuous | Continuous |

## Marphysa setiuense sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/46E5DB0B-5F17-45D6-A57E-56AD91799411
Figs 1, 2, 11-13

Material examined. Holotype. UMTAnn 2177, complete, antero-ventrally dissected, some parapodia mounted for SEM. Paratypes. AM W.54050, complete, some parapodia mounted for SEM. LACM-AHF 13497 to 13499, complete, some parapodia removed; ZRC.ANN. 1607 to 1609, complete, some parapodia removed. All material was collected from the east coast of Peninsular Malaysia, Terengganu, Setiu Wetlands ( $05^{\circ} 39.183^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 102^{\circ} 45.194^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), October 2021.

Diagnosis. Prostomium completely bilobed, five prostomial appendages without articulations; eyes present. Peristomium without Peristomial cirri. Maxillary apparatus with four pairs of maxillae, an unpaired on the left side, MI with falcal arch extended at sub-right angle, basal outer edge arched, basal inner edge lacking curvature. MII with triangular teeth and without attachment lamella. MIII slightly curved, with equal-sized triangular teeth, without attachment lamella, MIV with curved attachment lamella. Branchiae distributed along entire body. Dorsal cirri without articulations; postchaetal lobe well developed in anterior regions. Ventral cirri with swollen, inflated base. Sub-aciculae black, blunt, and translucent at distal end, pale brown in posterior-most parapodia. Su-pra-acicular chaetae include limbate, pectinate thin, narrow and wide isodont with short and slender inner teeth, and pectinate thick, wide anodont with long and slender or thick inner teeth. Subacicular chaetae include only compound spinigers. Subacicular hook unidentate, and a few bidentate present in posterior chaetigers. Pygidium with two pairs of anal cirri, without articulation.

Description (based on holotype, with variation in parentheses for paratypes). Preserved specimens beige (Fig. 11A), ~ 154 (141-259) chaetigers, ~ $51 \mathrm{~mm}(27-75 \mathrm{~mm})$ long, L10-2.7 mm (2.85-4.8 mm), W10-1.8 mm (1.65$2.55 \mathrm{~mm})$, excluding parapodia. Anterior region of the body with dorsum convex and flat ventrum, without groove; body depressed from chaetiger 11, elongated and tapering at the distal end. Live specimens pink (Fig. 13B, D).

Prostomium bilobed, anteriorly rounded with two dorsoventrally flattened lobes separated by an anterior notch (Fig. 11A, B). Prostomial appendages in a semicircle, median antenna isolated by a gap (Fig. 11B). Palps reaching chaetiger 3; lateral antennae reaching chaetiger 4; median antenna reaching chaetiger 5. Palpophores and ceratophores ring-shaped, short, and thick; palpostyles and ceratostyles tapering and slender. Prostomial appendage peduncles absent. Pair of faded brown eyes at posterior base of prostomium, between palps and lateral antennae. Peristomium similar in size (width and length) to prostomium; the first ring is $1.5 \times$ longer than second ring, and separation between rings distinct on all sides.

Maxillae dark brown (Fig. 11C) and maxillary formula as follows: MF $=1+1,5$ $(4-5)+5(4-6), 5(4-6)+0,3(3-4)+6(7-8), 1+1$. Maxillary carrier $\sim 2.4 \times$ shorter than MI , rectangular anteriorly, triangular posteriorly. MI forceps-like, without attachment lamellae, falcal arch extended at sub-right angle, basal outer edge arched, basal inner edge lacking a curvature. Closing system $\sim 5 \times$ shorter than MI. Ligament between MI and MII pale brown. MII without attachment lamella, teeth triangular, distributed along half of plate length. Ligament between MII and MIII pale brown. MIII single, longer than left MIV, slightly curved, with equal-sized triangular teeth, without attachment lamella. Left MIV short (< 1/2


Figure 11. Marphysa setiuense sp. nov. Holotype UMTAnn 2177 (A-J). Light microscopy images and digital drawing A anterior end, lateral view $\mathbf{B}$ anterior end, dorsal view. Arrows indicate eyes $\mathbf{C}$ maxillae, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ mandibles, dorsal view E unidentate hook, chaetiger 136 F bidentate hooded hook, chaetiger $140 \mathbf{G}$ parapodium, chaetiger 10 H parapodium, chaetiger 77 I parapodium, chaetiger 136 J posterior segments and pygidium, dorsal view. Arrows show the short pair of pygidial cirri. Abbreviations; MI-MV: maxillae I-V, Ac: aciculae, Dc: dorsal cirrus, Vc: ventral cirrus, PCI: prechaetal lobe, CI: chaetal lobe, PtCl: postchaetal lobe, Sah: subacicular hook, Bf: branchial filament. Scale bars: $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{J})$; $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{B}) ; 20 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{E}-\mathbf{F}) ; 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{I})$.
the size of right MIV) with curved attachment lamellae. Right MIV long, with teeth triangular with curved attachment lamellae, decreasing in size and teeth curved posteriorly. MV paired. Mandibles dark brown (Fig. 11D), with concentric stripes; longer than MI ; cutting plates whitish.

First parapodia occur ventrolaterally, gradually becoming dorsolateral in following segments. Chaetal lobes rounded in all chaetigers (Fig. 11G-I). Prechaetal lobe shorter than chaetal lobe along whole body. Postchaetal lobe digitiform in first three chaetigers and rounded thereafter; conical and longer than chaetal lobe in median and posterior chaetigers, becoming shorter and absent in the posterior-most chaetigers. Dorsal cirri thumb-shaped with digitiform tips, shorter than ventral cirri in anterior, digitiform with slender and tapering tips; slightly longer or similar length from mid-body onwards and shorter in posteri-or-most chaetigers (Fig. 11G-I). Ventral cirri thumb-shaped with digitiform tips in the first few chaetigers, basally inflated with digitiform tip from chaetiger 15 onwards, and gradually becoming conical posteriorly (Fig. 11G-I). Branchiae


Figure 12. SEM images of Marphysa setiuense sp. nov. Holotype UMTAnn 2177 (B, D-G), paratype AM W. 54050 (A, C, H) A pectinate chaetae, chaetiger 30 B pectinate chaetae, chaetiger 77 C pectinate chaetae, chaetiger 203 D pectinate chaetae, chaetiger 77 E, $F$ pectinate chaetae, chaetiger 136 G spiniger chaetae, chaetiger 25 H subacicular hook, chaetiger 183. Numbers denoted by arrows indicate the type of pectinate chaetae; 1 . Thin, narrow isodont; 2 . Thin, wide isodont; 7 , 8. Thick, wide anodont. Scale bars: $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{C}) ; 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{F}) ; 20 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H})$.
pectinate, from chaetiger $20(15-25)$ and continuing to near the end ( $\sim 8$ last chaetigers without branchiae), branchial filament $4 \times$ longer than dorsal cirri where best developed; number of filaments increasing from two anteriorly to five in mid-body, decreasing to one in last several chaetigers.

Notoaciculae absent, neuroaciculae black, blunt, and translucent at distal end on most of body, pale brown in posterior-most parapodia; 2 or 3 per parapodium in anterior, one per parapodium in median and posterior chaetigers (Fig. 11G-I). Supra-acicular chaetae with limbate capillaries and pectinates. Four types of pectinate chaetae were identified (types 1, 2, 7, 8) (see Fig. 2): type 1 : thin, narrow isodont with $\sim 18-22$ short and slender inner teeth, outer teeth longer, but of varying lengths, present in anterior and median body region (Fig. 12A, B); type 2: thin, wide isodont with 14-21 short and slender teeth, outer teeth same length as inner teeth, present only in anterior and posterior region (Fig. 12C, D); type 7: thick, wide anodont with 15-18 long and slender inner teeth, only present in posterior region (Fig. 12E, F); type 8: thick, wide anodont, with seven inner long and thick teeth, only present in posterior region (Fig. 12F). Subacicular chaetae with compound spinigers (Fig. 12G). Subacicular hooks unidentate (Figs 11E, 12H), pale brown, translucent at distal end, commencing from chaetiger $25(21-38)$ and then present on all subsequent chaetigers, one per parapodium and with a few bidentate hooks in posterior chaetigers (Fig. 11F). Pygidium with crenulated margin, with two pairs of tapering pygidial cirri attached to ventral side of pygidium, dorsal pair $\sim 4 \times$ longer than ventral one (Fig. 11J).


Figure 13. Sampling in Setiu Wetlands (river area) A, C habitat of $M$. setiuense sp . nov. and $M$. merchangensis sp . nov. within Talipariti tiliaceum B Marphysa setiuense sp. nov. in situ D live M. setiuense sp. nov.

Etymology. The name refers to the type locality Setiu Wetlands.
Type locality. South China Sea, Malaysia, east coast of Peninsular, Terengganu, Setiu Wetlands (see Fig. 1).

Distribution. Known only from the type locality.
Habitat. Slightly gravelly sand sediment (Table 4), burrowing in decayed roots of mangrove trees (Sonneratia spp.) and area within Talipariti tiliaceum (Fig. 13A-C), with salinity $26 \%$ during spring low tide.

Remarks. With the presence of only compound spiniger along the whole body and branchiae along most of the body, Marphysa setiuense sp. nov. belongs to Group B (Sanguinea). As mentioned earlier, there are seven other Sanguin-ea-group Marphysa species described from the South China Sea; M. merchangensis sp. nov., M. hongkongensa, M. iloiloensis, M. multipectinata, M. orientalis, M. tribranchiata and $M$. tripectinata. The most morphologically-similar species to $M$. setiuense sp. nov. is M. hongkongensa. Both species have four types of pectinate chaetae (two isodont and two anodont; types $1,2,7,8$ ) and have both unidentate and bidentate subacicular hooks in posterior chaetigers. However, they differ in the number of branchial filaments and the distribution of branchiae. Marphysa setiuense sp. nov. (L10: 2.7 (2.85-4.8) mm) has a maximum of five branchial filaments while $M$. hongkongensa (L10: 3.3-7 mm) has up to ten. Also, the species have different maxillae formulae. Marphysa setiuense sp. nov.
has fewer denticles on MIII (5 (4-6)+0) compared to M. hongkongensa which has MIII (7+0) (see Table 6).

Marphysa setiuense sp. nov. is similar to M. iloiloensis and M. multipectinata in having a pair of eyes, but they can be distinguished by the number of types of pectinate chaetae, the chaetiger on which branchiae and subacicular hooks begin, number of branchial filaments, shape of subacicular hooks and maxillae formula. Marphysa setiuense sp. nov. has four types of pectinate chaetae (types 1, 2, 7, 8) compared to three types present in M. iloiloensis (types 1, 4, 6). Marphysa multipectinata also has four types of pectinate chaetae (types 1, 4, 7, 8), but they are only present on median and posterior chaetigers, whereas in M . setiuense sp. nov., the pectinate chaetae are present throughout the body. The maximum number of branchial filament in $M$. setiuense sp. nov. (L10: 2.7 (2.854.8) mm ) is five, and up to seven for M. iloiloensis. Marphysa multipectinata (L10: 13.9 mm ) has palmate branchiae with maximum five branchial filaments and begin from chaetiger 32 whereas Marphysa setiuense sp. nov. also has a maximum of five branchial filaments but they begin from chaetiger 20 (15-25). Marphysa setiuense sp. nov. and M. multipectinata have unidentate and bidentate subacicular hooks from chaetiger $25(21-38)$ and chaetiger 20 , whereas M. iloiloensis has unidentate subacicular hooks only from chaetiger 30-38. All these species have different formulae for MII, MIII, and MIV (see Table 6).

The other two Marphysa species of the Sanguinea complex occurring within the South China Sea, M. tribranchiata and M. tripectinata differ from M. setiuense sp. nov. by having no eyes. They also can be differentiated by the number of types of pectinate chaetae. Marphysa tribranchiata and M. tripectinata have three types of pectinate chaetae, while M. setiuense sp. nov. has four (types 1, 2, 7, 8). Marphysa tribranchiata lacks thin, wide isodont (type 2), while M. tripectinata lacks thin, narrow isodont pectinate chaetae (type 1). Also, M. tripectinata (L10: 12.7 mm ) only has unidentate subacicular hooks, whereas M. tribranchiata (L10: 8.7 mm ) and $M$. setiuense sp. nov. (L10: 2.7 (2.85-4.8) mm) have both unidentate and bidentate subacicular hooks.

Marphysa setiuense sp. nov. and $M$. orientalis differ by the presence or absence of eyes, shape of subacicular hooks, pair of anal cirri, the chaetiger on which the branchiae begin and the maximum number of branchial filaments. Marphysa setiuense sp. nov. has a pair of eyes and two pairs of anal cirri, while $M$. orientalis has no eyes and only one pair of anal cirri. The new species has unidentate and bidentate subacicular hooks while $M$. orientalis has only unidentate subacicular hooks. Branchiae in $M$. setiuense sp. nov. begin from chaetiger 20 (15-25) whereas in M. orientalis they occur from chaetiger 45. The maximum number of branchial filaments in $M$. setiuense sp. nov. is five, while M. orientalis only has three branchial filaments.

## Marphysa ibaiensis sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/EFD572B1-A215-49FF-9C42-76DA2561E4D9
Figs 1, 2, 14-16

Material examined. Holotype. UMTAnn 2179, complete, antero-ventrally dissected, some parapodia mounted for SEM. Paratypes. AM W.54052, complete, some parapodia mounted for SEM. LACM-AHF 13500 to 13502, complete,
some parapodia removed; ZRC.ANN. 1610 to 1612, complete, some parapodia removed; SAM-MB-A096022, complete, some parapodia removed. All material was collected from the east coast of Peninsular Malaysia, Terengganu, Kuala Ibai lagoon ( $05^{\circ} 17.198^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 103^{\circ} 10.194^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) and estuary $\left(05^{\circ} 16.780^{\prime} \mathrm{N}\right.$, $103^{\circ} 10.137^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), October 2021.

Diagnosis. Prostomium completely bilobed, five prostomial appendages without articulations; eyes absent. Peristomium without Peristomial cirri. Maxillary apparatus with four pairs of maxillae, an unpaired on the left side, MI with falcal arch extended at sub-right angle, basal outer edge arched, basal inner edge lacking curvature. MII with triangular teeth and without attachment lamelIa. MIII slightly curved, with equal-sized triangular teeth, without attachment lamella. MIV with curved attachment lamella. Branchiae distributed along entire body. Dorsal cirri without articulations; postchaetal lobe well developed in anterior regions. Ventral cirri with swollen, inflated base. Sub-aciculae black, blunt, and translucent at distal end, pale brown in posterior-most parapodia. Supra-acicular chaetae include limbate, pectinate, thin, narrow isodont with short and slender inner teeth, pectinate thin, narrow heterodont with short and slender inner teeth, pectinate thick, wide isodont with long or short and slender inner teeth, and pectinate thick, wide anodont with long and slender inner teeth. Subacicular chaetae include limbate and compound spinigers. Subacicular hook bidentate. Pygidium with two pairs of anal cirri, without articulation.


Figure 14. Marphysa ibaiensis sp. nov. Holotype UMTAnn 2179 (A-I). Light microscopy images and digital drawing A anterior end, lateral view. Arrow shows shallow groove $\mathbf{B}$ anterior end, dorsal view $\mathbf{C}$ maxillae, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ mandibles, dorsal view $\mathbf{E}$ bidentate hook, chaetiger 97 F parapodium, chaetiger $10 \mathbf{G}$ parapodium, chaetiger $97 \mathbf{H}$ parapodium chaetiger 145 I posterior segments and pygidium, dorsal view. Arrows show the short pair of pygidial cirri. Abbreviations; MIMV: maxillae I-V, Ac: aciculae, Dc: dorsal cirrus, Vc: ventral cirrus, PCI: prechaetal lobe, Cl: chaetal lobe, PtCl: postchaetal lobe, Sah: subacicular hook, Bf: branchial filament. Scale bars: $2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{D}) ; 1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{I}) ; 20 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{E}), 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{F}-\mathbf{H})$.


Figure 15. SEM images of Marphysa ibaiensis sp. nov. Holotype UMTAnn 2179 (B, C, E, F), paratype AM W. 54052 (A, D, G) A pectinate chaetae, chaetiger 97 B pectinate chaetae, chaetiger 10 C pectinate chaetae, chaetiger 88 D pectinate chaetae, chaetiger 88 E-F pectinate chaetae, chaetiger 125 G serrations and projections on limbate chaetae, chaetiger 31. Numbers denoted by arrows indicate the type of pectinate chaetae; 1. Thin, narrow isodont; 3.Thin, narrow heterodont; 4, 5. Thick, wide isodont; 7. Thick, wide anodont. Scale bars: $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{D}) ; 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{F}-H) ; 20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (E).

Description (based on holotype, with variation in parentheses for paratypes). Preserved specimens beige (Fig. 14A), ~ 195 (66-401) chaetigers and $52 \mathrm{~mm}(20-91 \mathrm{~mm})$ long, L10: $4.5 \mathrm{~mm}(2.25-6.3 \mathrm{~mm})$, W10: $2.85 \mathrm{~mm}(1.2-$ 3.75 mm ), excluding parapodia. Anterior region of body cylindrical, with shallow groove until median chaetigers (Fig. 14A); body depressed from chaetiger 30, elongated, and tapering at distal end. Live specimens red (Fig. 16C).

Prostomium conically bilobed, with two dorsoventrally lobes separated by an anterior notch (Fig. 14A, B). Prostomial appendages in a semicircle, median antennae separated by a gap. Palps, lateral and median antennae reaching first peristomium. Palpophores and ceratophores ring-shaped, short, and thin; palpostyles and ceratostyles tapering and slender. Prostomial appendage peduncles absent. Peristomium wider than prostomium; first ring $3 \times$ longer than second ring, separation between rings distinct on all sides.

Maxillae pale brown (Fig. 14C) and maxillary formula as follows: $M F=1+1$, $6(5-6)+7(6-7), 7(7-8)+0,4+10(9-10), 1+1$. Maxillary carrier $\sim 2.2 \times$ shorter than MI , rectangular anteriorly, triangular posteriorly. MI forceps-like, without attachment lamellae, falcal arch extended at sub-right angle, basal outer edge arched, basal inner edge lacking a curvature. Closing system is $\sim 5.5 \times$ shorter than MI. Ligament between MI and MII pale brown. MII without attachment lamella, teeth triangular, present on $<1 / 2$ of plate length. Ligament between MII and MIII pale brown. MIII single, longer than left MIV, slightly curved, with equal-


Figure 16. Sampling site in Kuala Ibai (estuary and lagoon area) A habitat of Marphysa ibaiensis B found in sediment deposited inside driftwood C live M. ibaiensis sp. nov.
sized triangular teeth, without attachment lamella. Left MIV short (< $1 / 2$ the size of right MIV) with curved attachment lamellae. Right MIV long, with teeth triangular and curved attachment lamellae, decreasing in size and teeth curved posteriorly. MV paired. Mandibles whitish with pale brown core, longer than MI; cutting longer than MI; cutting plates whitish (Fig. 14D).

First few parapodia inserted ventrolaterally, but then becoming lateral in anterior region and dorsolaterally in subsequent segments. Chaetal lobes rounded on all chaetigers (Fig. 14F-H). Prechaetal lobe shorter than chaetal lobe along the entire body. Postchaetal lobe rounded and longer than chaetal lobe in anterior chaetigers and mid-body onwards (Fig. 14F-H), becoming shorter and absent in the posterior-most chaetigers. Dorsal cirri digitiform and slender, longer than ventral cirri anteriorly, as long as or shorter from mid-body and shorter in posterior chaetigers (Fig. 14F-H). Ventral cirri digitiform in first chaetigers, basally inflated with digitiform tip from chaetiger six onwards (Fig. 14F-H). Branchiae pectinate, starting from chaetiger 20 (11-65) and continuing to near end ( $\sim 13$ last chaetigers without branchiae), branchial filament $3 \times$ longer than dorsal cirri where best developed; number of filaments increasing from one anteriorly to eight in mid-body, decreasing to six in last several chaetigers. Pygidial cirri attached to ventral side of pygidium, dorsal pair $\sim 4 \times$ longer than ventral (Fig. 14I).

Notoaciculae absent. Neuroaciculae black, blunt, and translucent at distal end along most of body, pale brown in posterior-most parapodia; 3 or 4 per parapodium in anterior, one or two per parapodium in median and one per parapodium in posterior chaetigers (Fig. 14F-H). Supra-acicular chaetae with lim-
bate capillaries and pectinates. Five types of pectinate chaetae were identified (types 1, 3, 4, 5, 7) (see Fig. 2): type 1: thin, narrow isodont with 12-15 short and slender inner teeth, present in anterior and median region (Fig. 15A); type 3: thin, narrow heterodont with 12 short and slender inner teeth, outer teeth longer on one side, present in the anterior body region (Fig. 15B); type 4: thick, wide isodont with 18-29 short and slender teeth, outer teeth different length to inner teeth, only present in median and posterior region (Fig. 15C-F): type 5 : thick, wide isodont with $15-18$ long and slender inner teeth, present only posteriorly (Fig. 15E, F); type 7: thick, wide anodont with $\sim 15$ long and slender inner teeth, only present in posterior parapodia (Fig. 15F). Subacicular chaetae with compound spinigers and limbate capillaries in median and posterior chaetigers. Some limbate chaetae with inconspicuous serrations and numerous projections (Fig. 15G). Subacicular hooks pale brown, translucent at distal end, from chaetiger 22 (22-46), 1-3 per parapodium; subacicular hooks bidentate present throughout (Fig. 14E). Pygidium with crenulated margin, with two pairs of tapering pygidial cirri attached to ventral side of pygidium, dorsal pair $\sim 4 \times$ longer than ventral one (Fig. 14I).

Etymology. Name refers to the type locality Kuala Ibai River.
Type locality. South China Sea, Malaysia, east coast of Peninsular, Terengganu, Kuala Ibai river estuary and lagoon (see Fig. 1).

Distribution. Known only from the type locality.
Habitat. Slightly gravelly sand sediment (Table 4) associated with oyster clumps within Rhizophora spp. (Fig. 16A), burrowing in sediment deposited inside driftwood bark (Fig. 16B) with salinity $26 \%$ (estuary) and $18 \%$ (lagoon) during spring low tide.

Remarks. With the presence of subacicular limbate and compound spinigers in the median and posterior region, $M$. ibaiensis sp. nov. belongs to Group E (Gravelyi). There are four Marphysa species belonging to this group; M. borradailei Pillai, 1958 (type locality: Negombo Lagoon, Sri Lanka), M. fauchaldi Glasby \& Hutchings, 2010 (type locality: Ardatek Barrumundi farm, Darwin, Australia), M. gravelyi Southern, 1921 (type locality: Chilka Lake, India) and M. madrasi Hutchings, Lavesque, Priscilla, Daffe, Malathi \& Glasby, 2020 (type locality: Chennai, India). The morphological features of these species are given in Table 7.

Marphysa ibaiensis sp. nov. can be distinguished from M. borradailei by the number of branchial filaments, shape of the subacicular hooks, chaetiger where the branchiae and subacicular hook occur, and the shape of postchaetal lobe in the anterior region. Marphysa ibaiensis sp. nov. (TL: 52 (20-91) mm ) has a maximum of eight branchial filaments whereas M. borradailei (TL: 1-8 mm) has up to 20 branchial filaments. The subacicular hook of M. ibaiensis sp. nov. is bidentate and occurs from chaetiger 22 (22-46) onwards while $M$. borradailei has a strongly hooded unidentate hook that occur from chaetiger 50 onwards. Marphysa ibaiensis sp . nov. has rounded postchaetal lobe in anterior region, while M. borradailei has sub-conical shaped postchaetal lobes in the anterior region. The original description of $M$. borradailei makes it challenging to undertake a detailed morphological comparison and additional material from the type locality (Sri Lanka) needs to be collected and redescribed.

The new species can also be differentiated from M. gravelyi and M. madrasi by the absence of eyes, number of types of pectinate chaetae, number of branchial filaments, chaetiger where subacicular hooks begin and the length of the pygidial cirri. Marphysa ibaiensis sp. nov. has no eyes, while both M. gravelyi and M. madrasi have a pair of eyes. Marphysa ibaiensis sp. nov. has five types of pectinate chaetae (types $1,3,4,5,7$ ), whereas $M$. madrasi has only two (types 4, 5). While all these species have bidentate hooks, they begin on chaetiger 22 (22-46) in M. ibaiensis sp. nov. (L10: 4.5 (2.25-6.3) mm), 26-35 in M. gravelyi and 33-72 in M. madrasi (L10: $6(4-9) \mathrm{mm}$ ). Marphysa ibaiensis sp. nov. has short and long pairs of pygidial cirri attached to the pygidium, whereas M. madrasi only has one pair of short pygidial cirri.

Table 7. Morphological features comparison between Marphysa Group E (Gravelyi) described in this study and species occurring worldwide. The features for new species are based on the holotype, with variation in parentheses for paratypes. Abbreviations: MF: maxillary formula, roman numerals refer to number of maxilla; PR-I: first peristomial ring; PR-II: second peristomial ring; p/a: present/absent; NIA: no information available. The major differences between the species are marked with asterisk (*).

| Morphological feature | M. borradailei Pillai, 1958 | M. gravelyi Southern, 1921 | M. fauchaldi Glasby \& Hutchings, 2010 | M. madrasi Hutchings, Lavesque, Priscilla, Daffe, Malathi \& Glasby, 2020 | M. ibaiensis sp. nov. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source of Information | Lectotype BMNH 1960.3.13.6 (Glasby and Hutchings 2010) | Paratypes 1938.5.7.55 and the type description (Hutchings et al. 2020) | Holotype NTM W23040 (Glasby and Hutchings 2010) | Holotype NL-ENNORE_01 <br> (ZSI); Paratypes: ZSI-HQ/ GNC/AN6072/1 (Hutchings et al. 2020) | Holotype: UMTAnn 2179 (this study) |
| Size (mm): L10, W10 | NIA | NIA | NIA | 6 (4-9), 2.5 (2-3.9) | $\begin{gathered} 4.5(2.25-6.3), 2.85 \\ (1.2-3.75) \end{gathered}$ |
| Prostomium: shape | Bilobed | Bilobed | Bilobed | Bilobed | Bilobed |
| Palps: reaching | NIA | NIA | NIA | NIA | PR-I |
| Lateral antennae:reaching | NIA | PR-I | NIA | NIA | PR-I |
| Median antennae: reaching | NIA | PR-I | NIA | NIA | PR-I |
| Peduncle in prostomial appendages | NIA | Absent | Present | Absent | Absent |
| Eyes* | NIA | Present | Absent | Present | Absent |
| MF: MII, MIII, MIV* | 6, NIA | $5+6,12-13+0,4+8$ | $5+6,7+0,4+9$ | $8+9,10+0,7+11$ | $\begin{gathered} 6(5-6)+7(6-7), 7 \\ (7-8)+0,4+10(9-10) \end{gathered}$ |
| Branchiae: shape |  | Pectinate | Pectinate | Pectinate | Pectinate |
| Branchiae: start chaetiger; last chaetiger before pygidium | 7-60; end ~ 10 last chaetiger | 22-52; end ~ 20 last chaetiger | 31; end ~ 10 last chaetiger | 48-50, end ~ 10 last chaetiger | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \text { (11-65); end } \sim 13 \\ & \quad \text { last chaetiger } \end{aligned}$ |
| Branchial filaments: numbers | 20 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| Dorsal cirri: shaped | NIA | NIA | Conical | Digitiform | Digitiform |
| Prechaetal lobe: shaped | NIA | NIA | Ridge | Transverse fold | Transverse fold |
| Chaetal lobe: shaped | NIA | NIA | NIA | Rounded, conical | Rounded |
| Aciculae: shape; colour | NIA; black | NIA; black | NIA; oblique | Blunt, black with paler tips | Blunt; black and translucent at distal end |
| Subacicular limbate chaetae: ( $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{a}$ ); distribution | Present; NIA | Present; all chaetigers | Present; posterior chaetigers | Present; all chaetigers | Present; in median and posterior chaetigers |
| Pectinate chaetae: number of type* | NIA | NIA | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Subacicular hook: shape; colour* | Unidentate, strongly hooded; NIA | Bidentate; NIA | Bidentate, close-fitting hood; dark brown | Bidentate; NIA | Bidentate; light brown and translucent at distal end |
| Subacicular hook: start chaetiger* | 50 | 26-35 | 40 | 33-72 | 22 (22-46) |
| Subacicular hook: distribution | Continuous | Continuous | Continuous | Continuous | Continuous |

Marphysa ibaiensis sp. nov. differs from M. fauchaldi by the absence of peduncle in prostomial appendages, the chaetiger on which the branchiae and subacicular hook occur and the distribution of subacicular limbate chaetae. Subacicular hooks and branchiae of $M$. ibaiensis sp. nov. (TL: 52 (20-91) mm) have a wide range variation of chaetiger where they begin; from chaetiger 22 (22-46) and 20 (11-65), respectively compared to M. fauchaldi (TL: 190 (78-155) mm); they begin from chaetiger $40(31-50)$ and $31(22-32)$, respectively. The subacicular limbate chaetae in $M$. ibaiensis sp. nov. occur from mid-chaetigers onwards whereas in M. fauchaldi, they are restricted to posterior chaetigers.

## Key to Marphysa species occurring in coastal water bodies of Malaysia and nearby areas (South China Sea and Andaman Sea)

1 Compound chaetae present ..... 2

- Compound chaetae absent ..... 4
2 Two types of compound chaetae present; spinigers and falcigers
.Marphysa digitibranchia Hoagland, 1920
- One type of compound chaetae present; spinigers ..... 3
3 One pair of anal cirri M. orientalis Treadwell, 1936- Two pairs of anal cirri.5
4 Subacicular hook absent M. kertehensis sp. nov.
- Subacicular hook present ....M. moribidii Idris, Hutchings \& Arshad, 2014
5 Subacicular limbate chaetae present ..... 6
- Subacicular limbate chaetae absent .....  8
6 Eyes absent, branchiae pectinate with $\leq 8$ number of filaments.
M. ibaiensis sp. nov.
- Eyes present, branchiae pectinate with $\leq 9$ number of filaments ..... 7
7 Subacicular hook bidentate and emerge from chaetiger 26-35
M. gravelyi Southern, 1921
- Subacicular hook bidentate and emerge from chaetiger 33-72
M. madrasi Hutchings, Lavesque, Priscilla, Daffe, Malathi \& Glasby, 2020
8 Branchiae palmate M. multipectinata Liu, Hutchings \& Sun, 2017
- Branchiae pectinate ..... 9
9 Eyes present ..... 10
- Eyes absent ..... 12
10 Subacicular hook unidentate and bidentate M. setiuense sp. nov.
- Subacicular hook unidentate ..... 11
11 Maximum number of branchial filaments seven, three types of pectinate chaetae ..... M. iloiloen-
sis Glasby, Mandario, Burghardt, Kupriyanova, Gunton \& Hutchings, 2019
- Maximum number of branchial filaments five, five types of pectinate chae-taeM. merchangensis sp. nov.
12 Four types of pectinate chaetae
M. hongkongensa Wang, Zhang \& Qiu, 2018
- Three types of pectinate chaetae ..... 13
13 Maximum number of branchial filaments threeM. tribranchiata Liu, Hutchings \& Sun, 2017
- Maximum number of branchial filaments eightM. tripectinata Liu, Hutchings \& Sun, 2017


## Discussion

Prior to this study, a total of ten Marphysa species were described from Malaysia and nearby coastal waters (South China Sea and Andaman Sea) including one species from Group A (Mossambica) - Marphysa moribidii, six species from Group B (Sanguinea) - M. iloiloensis, M. hongkongensa, M. multipectinata, M. orientalis, M. tribranchiata, and M. tripectinata, one species from Group D (Belli) - M. digitibranchia Hoagland, 1920 (type locality: Hong Kong), and two species from Group E (Gravelyi) - M. madrasi and M. gravelyi. This study increases the number of Marphysa species from these water regions to 14.

Characteristics such as the distribution of different types of chaetae, including pectinate chaetae, branchial distribution and number of filaments, and jaw formula, allowed us to describe four new species. These characters have also been used recently by Lavesque et al. (2020) and Martin et al. (2020) in their studies of species of Marphysa.

All these new species occur in slightly different types of habitats, but share several general characteristics: all are found in mangrove areas, tolerate a wide range of salinity (euryhaline), and live in high percentage of sand. According to Glasby and Hutchings (2010), habitat type is a useful character to recognise species in a particular area. Therefore, describing a species' habitat is important for taxonomic studies and conservation strategy management.

Phylogenetic analysis from COI data placed $M$. merchangensis sp. nov. as sister to M. hongkongensa, M. setiuense sp. nov. as sister to M. iloiloensis, M. ibaiensis sp. nov. as sister to M. madrasi, and M. kertehensis sp. nov. as sister to M. mossambica (Peters, 1854). Nevertheless, the interspecific divergence between these new species and all their sister taxa pair is high (Pair-wise Kimura 2-parameter - COI K2P range $6.14 \%-19.16 \%$ (see Suppl. material 1), which clearly showed the distinct genetic separation. Additionally, obtaining sequence data for $M$. moribidii is imperative to investigate the genetic difference between M. moribidii and M. kertehensis sp. nov. as they possessed a few similar morphological features and occur within Malaysian water bodies. The molecular analysis in this study aligns with the morphological analysis and confirms the presence of four new Marphysa species in the Terengganu mangrove area.

## Conclusions

Four species of Marphysa from Terengganu mangrove forests (lagoon, river, and estuary) were described and confirmed by morphology and molecular data and can also be separated based on their habitat. This study increases the species in the genus Marphysa and the number of polychaetes described from Malaysia. In addition, data provided in this study can also provide insight for future research on the potential use of Marphysa species in Malaysia as the only described species in Malaysia, M. moribidii has revealed a wide potential application for commercial use.
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#### Abstract

Three new species of the genus Stenohya Beier, 1967 from China are described: Stenohya gibba sp. nov. and S. papillata sp. nov. from Hunan Province, and S. guangmingensis sp. nov. from Jiangxi Province. In addition to their sexually dimorphic pedipalp, these three new species also have a uniquely sexual dimorphic leg I, which has not been reported in other Stenohya species. Additionally, an updated key to the Chinese Stenohya species is provided.
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## Introduction

Stenohya Beier, 1967, originally placed in the family Hyidae (Beier 1967), was erected with the type species S. vietnamensis Beier, 1967 and transferred to the family Neobisiidae by Harvey (1991), based on the presence of venom apparatus only in the fixed chelal finger and the presence of a non-lanceolate trichobothrium $t$. Of the 23 Stenohya species known, 14 of them occur in China (WPC 2022; Li and Shi 2023). These 14 species mainly occur in the southern region of China, except for S. xiningensis, which is lives in the northern region. Stenohya mainly lives in leaf litter and soil, under rocks, bark, and fern fronds.

Sexual dimorphism is common in Stenohya species and is mainly reflected in the morphology of the pedipalps. Male pedipalps are distinctly thinner than the female ones in S. huangi Hu \& Zhang, 2012, S. martensi (Schawaller, 1987), and S. pengae Hu \& Zhang, 2012 (Schawaller 1987; Hu and Zhang 2012; Zhan et al. 2023). The shapes of pedipalpal femora differ in males and females in S. arcuata Guo, Zang \& Zhang, 2019 and S. tengchongensis Yang \& Zhang, 2013 (Yang and Zhang 2013; Guo et al. 2019). Male padipalpal chelal hands have special prominences near the base of the fingers in S. bicornuta Guo, Zang \& Zhang, 2019, S. curvata Zhao, Zhang \& Jia, 2011, S. hamata (Leclerc \& Mahnert, 1988), and S. meiacantha Yang \& Zhang, 2013 (Leclerc and Mahnert 1988; Zhao et al. 2011; Yang and Zhang 2013; Guo et al. 2019). The males of S. spinata Zhan, Feng \& Zhang, 2023 have spinous apophyses on the dorsal side of the median pedipalpal chelal hand, and
strong thorns on the femur and patella but these are absent in the females （Zhan et al．2023）．

In this study，three new Stenohya species with sexually dimorphic pedipalps and leg I are described from China：S．gibba sp．nov．，S．papillata sp．nov．，and S．guangmingensis sp．nov．

## Materials and methods

All specimens were preserved in $75 \%$ alcohol．Temporary slide mounts were prepared in glycerol．Detailed examinations were carried out with an Olympus BX53 general optical microscope．Photographs and measurements were taken using a Leica M205A stereomicroscope equipped with a Leica DFC550 cam－ era．Drawings were made using the Inkscape ver．1．0．2．0．Figures were edited and formatted using Adobe Photoshop 2022．The specimens were deposited in the Museum of Hebei University（MHBU），Baoding，China．

Terminology and measurements largely follow Chamberlin（1931），except for the nomenclature of the pedipalps and legs，and the terminology of trichobothria （Harvey 1992）；the term＂rallum＂（for flagellum）is adopted from Judson（2007）． The following abbreviations are used in the text for the trichobothria： $\boldsymbol{b}=$ basal； $\boldsymbol{s b}=$ sub－basal； $\boldsymbol{s t}=$ sub－terminal； $\boldsymbol{t}=$ terminal； $\boldsymbol{i b}=$ interior basal； is $\boldsymbol{=}$ interior sub－basal；ist＝interior sub－terminal；it＝interior terminal；eb＝exterior basal； $\boldsymbol{e s b}=$ exterior sub－basal；est＝exterior sub－terminal；et＝exterior terminal．

## Taxonomy

Family Neobisiidae Chamberlin， 1930
Subfamily Microcreagrinae Balzan， 1892
Genus Stenohya Beier， 1967

## Stenohya gibba sp．nov．

https：／／zoobank．org／96FB4752－3A00－430C－9F00－7EC80CA53888
Figs $1-6$
Chinese name．驼峰狭伪蝎
Type material．Holotype male（Ps．－MHBU－HN2023111901），China：Hunan Province，Suining County，Huangsang Nature Reserve in Nanshan National Park［ $\left.26^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime N}, 110^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 38^{\prime E} \mathrm{E}\right], 460 \mathrm{~m}$ a．s．l．， 19 November 2023，in leaf litter （Fig．2C，D），Jiaqi Zhao，Jianzhou Sun，Tao Zheng \＆Songtao Shi leg．Paratypes： three males（Ps．－MHBU－HN2023111902－04），four females（Ps．－MHBU－ HN2023013105－08），same data as for holotype．

Etymology．The specific name is derived from the Latin word＂gibbus＂，mean－ ing hump－shaped，which refers to the shape of the projections on the basitar－ sus and telotarsus of the male leg I．

Diagnosis．Carapace with four well－developed eyes，epistome triangular（Figs $3 \mathrm{~A}, 4 \mathrm{~A}, 5 \mathrm{~A}, 6 \mathrm{~A}$ ）．Male pedipalpal trochanter with a process and small frosted projections on the prolateral position（Figs 3G，4E）；femur with three projections （Figs 3G，4E）；patella with a small projection medially on the prolateral posi－ tion（Figs 3G，4E）；chelal hand concaved distally at the dorsal side，with 15－18 small，triangular apophyses on the dorsal side，extending into the dorsal face of
fixed finger (Figs 3H, 4C). Male leg I specialized, femur and patella enlarged, basitarsus and telotarsus semi-fused, the dividing line between the two limb segments visible, basitarsus and telotarsus each with a large columnar projection laterally (Figs 3I, K, 4F-H). Female pedipalpal movable chelal finger with 79-87 teeth; female pedipalpal chela (with pedicel) 4.67-4.98 times longer than wide.

Description. Adult male (holotype and male paratypes) (Figs 1A, 2A).
Carapace (Figs 3A, 4A). Carapace 1.30-1.36 times longer than broad, with a total of 30-32 setae, including six near anterior margin and 6-7 near posterior margin; eight lyrifissures near the eyes, four lyrifissures near posterior margin; epistome small, triangular, with rounded top; with four corneate eyes. Carapace divided into three parts by two transverse, shallow grooves, the anterior part uplifted, the median part smooth, the posterior part uplifted, and with microgrooves.

Chelicera (Figs 3B, 4B). Hand with 6-7 setae and two lyrifissures, movable finger with one seta; fixed finger with 13-15 teeth; movable finger with 7-9 teeth; serrula exterior with 44-46 lamellae; serrula interior with 36-37 lamellae; galea developed, divided into two main branches, one branch five, while the other two (Fig. 3D); rallum consisting of 7-8 blades, all with anteriorly directed spinules, the basal-most blade shortest (Fig. 3C).

Pedipalps (Figs 3G, H, 4C, E). Apex of pedipalpal coxa rounded, with seven long setae. Trochanter with a process on the median prolateral position, as well as some small frosted projections; femur with a curved cylindrical process on the median prolateral position, as well as a projection on the subdistal prolateral surface, and with a columnar process adjacent to this projection; patella with a small projection on the median prolateral position and two lyrifissures (Figs 3G, 4E); chelal hand deeply concaved at the dorsal side of distal half, with 15-18 small, triangular, spinous apophyses arranged in a row on the dorsal side, each spinous apophysis with a seta at the base, a few spinous apophyses extended into the dorsal face of fixed finger. On the posterior side, several small granular processes located at the distal of the hand and near the base of the fixed finger, at the ventral of the hand from the distal to two-thirds with shallow invagination. Fixed chelal finger slightly curved upward at median to distal part (Figs 3H, 4C). Trochanter 1.46-1.65, femur 3.96-4.37, patella 3.47-3.71, chela with pedicel 3.98-4.16, chela without pedicel 3.71-3.89 times longer than broad, movable finger 1.98-2.35 times longer than hand without pedicel. Fixed chelal finger with eight, movable chelal finger with four trichobothria: eb and esb situated on the base of hand, grouped very closely with ib and isb; est, et and it grouped distally; ist closer to est-et-it than to isb-ib-esb-eb in fixed chelal finger; $b$ and $s b$ situated closer to each other in basal half, st and $t$ close to each other in distal half of movable finger. Venom apparatus present only in fixed chelal finger, venom duct short. Fixed chelal finger with 117 pointed teeth, movable finger with 103-108 teeth, 47-51 rounded teeth at base, and 56-57 pointed teeth at distal position.

Abdomen. Pleural membrane granulated. Tergites and sternites undivided, tergal chaetotaxy (I-XI): 4-5: 7-8: 7-11: 9-10: 9-10: 10-12: 11-12: 11-12: 11-12: 12: 11, sternal chaetotaxy (IV-XI): 22-28: 21-24: 19-24: 18-19: 19: 17-19: 12-15: 4, sternites VI-VIII with 3-6 medial scattered glandular setae, anal cone with two dorsal and two ventral setae. Genital area (Figs 3F, 4D): anterior genital sternite with 75-80 setae and two lyrifissures; posterior genital sternite with 55-59 setae and two lyrifissures.


Figure 1. Stenohya gibba sp. nov. A holotype male (dorsal view) B paratype female (dorsal view). Scale bars: 2 mm .

Legs (Figs 3I-K, 4F-I). Leg I specialized, femur and patella enlarged, basitarsus and telotarsus semi-fused, the dividing line between the two limb segments visible, basitarsus and telotarsus each with a large columnar projection on the lateral side (Figs 3I, K, 4F-H), femur with three lyrifissures. Leg IV generally typical, long, and sinewy, trochanter with three lyrifissures (Figs 3J, 4I). Leg I: trochanter 1.401.55, femur 2.06-2.24, patella 2.61-3.27, tibia 3.25-3.43, basitarsus 2.31-2.52, telotarsus 2.52-2.89 times longer than deep. Leg IV: trochanter 2.23-2.73, femur + patella 4.08-4.72, tibia 6.96-7.52, basitarsus 4.19-4.38, telotarsus 6.67-7.15 times longer than deep; tibia with two submedial tactile setae (TS $=0.60-0.67$, 0.96 ), basitarsus with two tactile setae ( $T S=0.12-0.14,0.82-0.83$ ), telotarsus with two tactile setae ( $\mathrm{TS}=0.20,0.55-0.58$ ); subterminal tarsal seta bifurcate (Fig. 3E). Arolium not divided, shorter than the slender and simple claws.

Adult female (paratype females) (Figs 1B, 2B): mostly same as males, except where noted.

Carapace (Figs 5A, 6A). Carapace 1.02-1.19 times longer than broad, with a total of 29-30 setae, including six near anterior margin and 4-5 near posterior margin; ten lyrifissures near the eyes, five lyrifissures near posterior margin; the front half of carapace uplifted, the back half smooth and with triangular invagination at $1 / 3$ and $2 / 3$ positions.

Chelicera (Figs 5B, 6B). Fixed finger with 14-15 teeth; movable finger with 6-7 teeth; serrula exterior with 45-51 lamellae; serrula interior with 38-40


Figure 2. Type locality and habitus of Stenohya gibba sp. nov. A male habitus B female habitus C, D litter layer inhabited by habitus.
lamellae; galea divided into two main branches, one branch five, while the other three (Fig. 5D); rallum consisting of 8-9 blades, all with anteriorly directed spinules, the basal-most blade shortest (Fig. 5C).

Pedipalps (Figs 5G, H, 6C, E). Apex of pedipalpal coxa with six long setae. Femur with a few tubercles prolaterally. Trochanter 1.87-1.94, femur 4.564.80, patella 3.15-3.71, chela with pedicel 4.67-4.98, chela without pedicel 3.94-4.14 times longer than broad, movable finger 1.60-1.72 times longer than hand without pedicel. Fixed chelal finger with 99-100 pointed teeth, movable finger with 79-87 teeth, 39-44 rounded teeth at base, and 40-43 pointed ones.

Abdomen. Tergal chaetotaxy (I-XI): 3-5: 7-8: 8-10: 9-10: 11: 10-11: 1013: 11-12: 11-12: 11-13: 8-10, sternal chaetotaxy (IV-XI): 22-24: 21-24: 18-20: 18-20: 16-18: 16-18: 13-14: 4-6, sternites VI-VIII with two medial scattered glandular setae; genital area (Figs 5F, 6D): sternite II with total of 29-34 setae and two lyrifissures; sternite III with a row of 31-34 setae and two lyrifissures along posterior margin.


Figure 3. Holotype male of Stenohya gibba sp. nov. A carapace, dorsal view B right chelicera, dorsal view C rallum D galea $\mathbf{E}$ subterminal tarsal seta $\mathbf{F}$ chaetotaxy of genital area, ventral view $\mathbf{G}$ right pedipalp, dorsal view $\mathbf{H}$ right chela, lateral view, showing trichobothriotaxy, teeth and venom apparatus I right leg I, lateral view J right leg IV, lateral view K right leg I (basitarsus and telotarsus), retrolateral view. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{K}) ; 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{E}) ; 1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{G}-\mathrm{J})$.


Figure 4. Holotype male of Stenohya gibba sp. nov. A carapace, dorsal view (red arrows showing two transverse grooves) B right chelicera, dorsal view $\mathbf{C}$ right chela, lateral view $\mathbf{D}$ genital area, ventral view $\mathbf{E}$ right pedipalp, dorsal view $\mathbf{F}$ right leg I (basitarsus and telotarsus), prolateral view $\mathbf{G}$ right leg I (basitarsus and telotarsus), retrolateral view. H right leg I, lateral view I right leg IV, lateral view. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}) ; 1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{I})$.


Figure 5. Paratype female of Stenohya gibba sp. nov. A carapace, dorsal view B right chelicera, dorsal view $\mathbf{C}$ rallum $\mathbf{D}$ galea $\mathbf{E}$ subterminal tarsal seta $\mathbf{F}$ chaetotaxy of genital area, ventral view $\mathbf{G}$ right pedipalp, dorsal view $\mathbf{H}$ right chela, lateral view, showing trichobothriotaxy, teeth and venom apparatus I right leg I, lateral view J right leg IV, lateral view. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{F}) ; 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{E}) ; 1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{J})$.


Figure 6. Paratype female of Stenohya gibba sp. nov. A carapace, dorsal view (red arrow showing transverse groove) B right chelicera, dorsal view $\mathbf{C}$ right chela, lateral view $\mathbf{D}$ genital area, ventral view $\mathbf{E}$ right pedipalp, dorsal view $\mathbf{F}$ right leg I, lateral view G right leg IV, lateral view. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{D}) ; 1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{E}-\mathbf{G})$.

Legs (Figs $5 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}, 6 \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{G}$ ). Leg I: trochanter 1.25-1.37, femur 3.64-3.96, patella 3.00-3.45, tibia 3.79-4.56, basitarsus 3.29-4.00, telotarsus 4.77-4.85 times longer than deep. Leg IV: trochanter 2.55-2.79, femur + patella 4.30-4.72, tibia 6.68-7.29, basitarsus 3.93-4.40, telotarsus 6.53-6.79 times longer than deep; tibia with two submedial tactile setae ( $T S=0.22-0.29,0.72-0.76$ ), basitarsus with two tactile setae ( $T S=0.12-0.13,0.80$ ), telotarsus with two tactile setae (TS = 0.20-0.22, 0.59).

Measurements (in mm; length/breadth or, for legs, length/depth). Male (holotype and paratypes). Body length 4.55-5.30. Carapace 1.84-1.90/1.40-1.42. Pedipalpal trochanter 0.76-0.86/0.48-0.57, femur 1.82-2.01/0.46, patella

1．56－1．67／0．45－0．46，chela with pedicel 3．80－3．87／0．92－0．99，chela without pedicel 3．58－3．67／0．92－0．99，hand without pedicel length 1．15－1．30，mov－ able finger length 2．58－2．70．Leg I：trochanter $0.56-0.59 / 0.38-0.40$ ，femur 1．03－1．14／0．50－0．52，patella $0.92-1.08 / 0.33-0.36$ ，tibia $0.78-0.83 / 0.23-$ 0.25 ，basitarsus $0.53-0.60 / 0.21-0.26$ ，telotarsus $0.55-0.58 / 0.19-0.23$ ．Leg IV：trochanter 0．69－0．81／0．26－0．31，femur＋patella 1．63－1．84／0．39－0．40， tibia 1．58－1．64／0．21－0．23，basitarsus 0．64－0．70／0．15－0．16，telotarsus 0．93－ 1．00／0．13－0．15．

Female（paratypes）．Body length 4．45－5．65．Carapace 1．48－1．64／1．24－ 1．55．Pedipalpal trochanter 0．83－0．91／0．43－0．47，femur 1．96－2．06／0．41－0．44， patella $1.45-1.66 / 0.41-0.48$ ，chela with pedicel $3.56-3.72 / 0.84-0.90$ ，chela without pedicel 3．38－3．55／1．39－1．52，hand without pedicel length 1．27－1．37， movable finger length $2.17-2.30$ ．Leg I：trochanter $0.41-0.46 / 0.30-0.36$ ，femur 0．95－1．02／0．24－0．28，patella 0．66－0．78／0．22－0．24，tibia 0．72－0．82／0．18－ 0.19 ，basitarsus $0.46-0.60 / 0.14-0.15$ ，telotarsus $0.58-0.63 / 0.12-0.13$ ．Leg IV：trochanter 0．74－0．87／0．29－0．34，femur＋patella 1．74－1．85／0．38－0．43， tibia 1．47－1．56／0．21－0．22，basitarsus 0．59－0．70／0．15－0．16，telotarsus 0．95－ 0．98／0．14－0．15．

Distribution．China（Hunan）．
Remarks．The male of this new species differs from all other species of the genus Stenohya by the presence of a large columnar projection on the lateral side of basitarsus and telotarsus．The female can be distinguished from other Stenohya species reported from China by the presence of 79－87 teeth on pedi－ palpal movable chelal finger（115－118 in S．arcuata； 68 in S．bomica；96－98 in S．hainanensis；46－51 in S．huangi；45－55 in S．pengae），the pedipalpal chela with pedicel 4．67－4．98 times longer than wide（ 4.20 in S．bicornuta；4．19－4．37 in S．curvata；4．16－4．27 in S．hainanensis； 3.56 in S．meiacantha；4．09－4．25 in S．pengae；4．02－4．10 in S．spinata；3．44－4．50 in S．tengchongensis）（Zhao and Zhang 2011；Zhao et al．2011；Hu and Zhang 2012；Yang and Zhang 2013；Guo and Zhang 2016；Guo et al．2019；Zhan et al．2023）．

## Stenohya papillata sp．nov．

https：／／zoobank．org／50230EC7－A562－4638－AA98－DA00F4B0F59A
Figs 7－12
Chinese name．乳突狭伪蝎

Type material．Holotype male（Ps．－MHBU－HN2023111909），China：Hunan Prov－ ince，Suining County，Ganchong Village［26²9＇59＂N， $\left.110^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}\right], 460 \mathrm{~m}$ a．s．I．， 19 November 2023，in leaf litter（Fig．8C，D），Jiaqi Zhao，Jianzhou Sun，Tao Zheng \＆Songtao Shi leg．Paratypes：two males（Ps．－MHBU－HN2023111910－11）， three females（Ps．－MHBU－HN2023111912－14），same data as for holotype．

Etymology．The specific name is derived from the Latin word＂papillatus＂and refers to the presence of a papillary projection on the ventral face of the pedi－ palpal chela hand in male．

Diagnosis．Carapace with four well－developed eyes，epistome triangular（Figs 9A，10A，11A，12A）．Male pedipalpal trochanter with a small process and small frosted projections on the median prolateral position；femur with several big tu－ bercles and a projection on the prolateral position，a few small tubercles at the
retrolateral surface; patella with a triangular protuberance on the prolateral position (Figs 9G, 10E); chelal hand concaved distally at the dorsal side, with 30-33 triangular spinous apophyses on the dorsal side and a papillary projection at the median of ventral side (Figs 9H, 10C). Male leg I femur and patella enlarged and basitarsus and telotarsus semi-fused (Figs 9I, 10F). Female pedipalpal fixed chelal finger with 99-102 teeth; pedipalpal femur 4.76-4.98 times longer than wide.

Description. Adult male (holotype and male paratypes) (Figs 7A, 8A).
Carapace (Figs 9A, 10A). Carapace 1.23-1.36 times longer than broad, with a total of 36-37 setae, including six near anterior margin and 6-7 near posterior margin; five lyrifissures near the eyes, four lyrifissures near posterior margin; epistome small, triangular, with rounded apex; four well-developed eyes; carapace divided into three parts by two transverse, shallow grooves, the anterior part uplifted, the median part with microgrooves, the posterior part uplifted and with microgrooves.

Chelicera (Figs 9B, 10B). Hand with 6-7 setae and two lyrifissures, movable finger with one seta; fixed finger with 16-17 teeth; movable finger with six teeth; serrula exterior with 48-55 lamellae; serrula interior with 39-42 lamellae; galea developed, one branch four, while the other three (Fig. 9D); rallum consisting of eight blades, all with anteriorly directed spinules, the basal-most blade shortest (Fig. 9C).

Pedipalps (Figs 9G, H, 10C, E). Apex of pedipalpal coxa rounded, with six long setae. Trochanter with a small process on the median prolateral position, as well as some small frosted projections; femur with several big tubercles on the prolateral position, as well as a projection on the subdistal prolateral surface, few small tubercles placed at the retrolateral surface; patella with a projection on the prolateral position and three lyrifissures (Figs 9G, 10E); chelal hand concaved at the dorsal side of distal half, and with 30-33 triangular-shaped, spinous apophyses on the dorsal side, every apophyse with a setae at the base (Figs 9H, 10C). A few spinous apophyses extended to the subbase of fixed finger. A papillary projection in the middle of the ventral aspect of the pedipalpal chelal hand. On the posterior side, a few small granular processes dispersedly located at the distal of the hand and near the base of the fingers. Fixed chelal finger slightly curved upward at median to distal part (Figs 9H, 10C). Trochanter 1.46-1.63, femur 4.48-5.02, patella 3.67-4.78, chela with pedicel 4.58-4.60, chela without pedicel 3.53-3.71 times longer than broad, movable finger 1.681.84 times longer than hand without pedicel. Fixed chelal finger with eight, movable chelal finger with four trichobothria: eb and esb situated on the base of hand, grouped very closely with ib and isb; est, et and it grouped distally; ist closer to est-et-ist than to isb-ib-esb-eb in fixed chelal finger; $b$ and sb situated closer to each other in basal half, st and $t$ close to each other in distal half of movable finger. Venom apparatus present only in fixed chelal finger, venom duct short. Fixed chelal finger with 93-105 pointed teeth, movable finger with 87-94 teeth, 34-42 rounded teeth at base, and 52-53 pointed ones.

Abdomen. Pleural membrane granulated. Tergites and sternites undivided, tergal chaetotaxy (I-XI): 5: 7: 9-10: 10-11: 10-11: 11: 11: 11-12: 12: 11-12: 12-13, sternal chaetotaxy (IV-XI): 26-30: 19-24: 17: 15-18: 16-18: 14-16: 11-14: 5, sternites VI-VIII with 11 medial scattered glandular setae, anal cone with two dorsal and two ventral setae. Genital area (Figs 9F, 10D): anterior genital sternite with 39-40 setae and two lyrifissures; posterior genital sternite with 31-37 setae and two lyrifissures.


Figure 7. Stenohya papillata sp. nov. A holotype male (dorsal view) B paratype female (dorsal view). Scale bars: 2 mm .

Legs (Figs 91, J, 10F, G). Leg I specialized, femur and patella enlarged, basitarsus and telotarsus semi-fused, the dividing line between the two limb segments visible. Leg IV generally typical, long, and sinewy. Leg I: trochanter 1.16-1.71, femur 2.56-3.28, patella 2.61-4.10, tibia 4.24-4.75, basitarsus 3.06-3.87, telotarsus 3.29-3.64 times longer than deep. Leg IV: trochanter 2.60-2.81, femur + patella 3.77-5.18, tibia 6.58-7.45, basitarsus 4.20-4.57, telotarsus 5.88-7.07 times longer than deep; tibia with two submedial tactile setae (TS $=0.68-0.74$, $0.96-1.03$ ), basitarsus with two tactile setae (TS $=0.09-0.11,0.53-0.56$ ), telotarsus with two tactile setae ( $T S=0.14-0.17,0.84-0.88$ ); subterminal tarsal seta bifurcate (Fig. 9E). Arolium not divided, shorter than the slender and simple claws.

Adult female (paratype females) (Figs 7B, 8B): mostly same as males, except where noted.

Carapace (Figs 11A, 12A). Carapace 1.04-1.13 times longer than broad, with a total of 39-42 setae, including 5-6 near anterior margin and 8-9 near posterior margin; three lyrifissures near the eyes, two lyrifissures near posterior margin; carapace divided into three parts by two transverse, shallow grooves, the anterior part uplifted, the median part smooth, the posterior part uplifted, and with microgrooves.

Chelicera (Figs 11B, 12B). Fixed finger with 12-15 teeth; movable finger with 6-7 teeth; serrula exterior with 49-51 lamellae; serrula interior with 36-44 lamellae.

Pedipalps (Figs 11G, H, 12C, E). Apex of pedipalpal coxa with eight long setae. Femur with several tubercles on the prolateral position, as well as a few small tubercles placed lateral surface. Trochanter 1.89-2.09, femur 4.76-4.98, patella 3.10-3.55, chela with pedicel 3.89-4.27, chela without pedicel 3.864.09 times longer than broad, movable finger 1.56-1.72 times longer than hand without pedicel. Fixed chelal finger with 99-102 pointed teeth, movable finger with 90-94 teeth, 43-49 rounded teeth at base, and 45-47 pointed ones.


Figure 8. Type locality and habitus of Stenohya papillata sp. nov. A male habitus B female habitus C, D litter layer inhabited by habitus.

Abdomen. Tergal chaetotaxy (I-XI): 6: 7-8: 10-11: 12: 11: 11-13: 12: 11-12: 11-12: 12-13: 12, sternal chaetotaxy (IV-XI): 22-24: 20-22: 18-19: 17-19: 17-19: 15-18: 12: 4-5, sternites VI-VIII with 2-3 medial scattered glandular setae; genital area (Figs 11F, 12D): sternite II with total of 27-35 setae and two lyrifissures; sternite III with a row of 35-38 setae and two lyrifissures along posterior margin.

Legs (Figs 11I, J, 12F, G). Leg I: trochanter 1.18-1.74, femur 3.06-4.35, patella 2.96-3.57, tibia 4.61-5.31, basitarsus 3.57-4.33, telotarsus 4.14-4.69 times longer than deep. Leg IV: trochanter 3.03-3.24, femur + patella 3.93-5.05, tibia 5.88-7.71, basitarsus 4.13-5.00, telotarsus 5.88-9.00 times longer than deep; tibia with three submedial tactile setae (TS = 0.20-0.30, 0.69-0.77, 0.98-1.06), basitarsus with two tactile setae ( $T S=0.14-0.15,0.83-0.88$ ), telotarsus with two tactile setae ( $T S=0.25-0.32,0.57-0.61$ ).


Figure 9. Holotype male of Stenohya papillata sp. nov. A carapace, dorsal view Bright chelicera, dorsal view $\mathbf{C}$ rallum $\mathbf{D}$ galea $\mathbf{E}$ subterminal tarsal seta $\mathbf{F}$ chaetotaxy of genital area, ventral view $\mathbf{G}$ right pedipalp, dorsal view $\mathbf{H}$ right chela, lateral view, showing trichobothriotaxy, teeth and venom apparatus I right leg I, lateral view J right leg IV, lateral view. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{F}) ; 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{E}) ; 1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{J})$.


Figure 10. Holotype male of Stenohya papillata sp. nov. A carapace, dorsal view (red arrows showing two transverse grooves) $\mathbf{B}$ right chelicera, dorsal view $\mathbf{C}$ right chela, lateral view $\mathbf{D}$ genital area, ventral view $\mathbf{E}$ right pedipalp, dorsal view F right leg I, lateral view G right leg IV, dorsal view. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathrm{D}) ; 1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{E}-\mathbf{G})$.

Measurements (in mm; length/breadth or, for legs, length/depth). Male (holotype and paratypes). Body length 4.00-4.53. Carapace 1.50-1.70/1.161.28. Pedipalpal trochanter 0.76-0.80/0.49-0.52, femur 2.02-2.06/0.41-0.46, patella 1.69-1.81/0.36-0.46, chela with pedicel 3.24-3.40/0.83-0.92, chela without pedicel 3.08-3.25/0.83-0.92, hand without pedicel length 1.13-1.30,


Figure 11. Paratype female of Stenohya papillata sp . nov. A carapace, dorsal view $\mathbf{B}$ right chelicera, dorsal view $\mathbf{C}$ rallum $\mathbf{D}$ galea $\mathbf{E}$ subterminal tarsal seta $\mathbf{F}$ chaetotaxy of genital area, ventral view $\mathbf{G}$ right pedipalp, dorsal view $\mathbf{H}$ right chela, lateral view, showing trichobothriotaxy, teeth and venom apparatus I left leg I, lateral view J right leg IV, lateral view. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) ; 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}-E) ; 1 \mathrm{~mm}(F-J)$.


Figure 12. Paratype female of Stenohya papillata sp. nov. A carapace, dorsal view (red arrows showing two transverse grooves) B right chelicera, dorsal view $\mathbf{C}$ right chela, lateral view $\mathbf{D}$ genital area, ventral view $\mathbf{E}$ right pedipalp, dorsal view F left leg I, lateral view $\mathbf{G}$ right leg IV, lateral view. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) ; 1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{G})$.
movable finger length 2．08－2．23．Leg I：trochanter 0．50－0．59／0．31－0．43，femur 1．05－1．17／0．32－0．43，patella 0．86－1．09／0．21－0．39，tibia 0．87－0．95／0．20－ 0.21 ，basitarsus $0.49-0.58 / 0.15-0.17$ ，telotarsus $0.46-0.51 / 0.14$ ．Leg IV： trochanter $0.78-0.87 / 0.25-0.31$ ，femur＋patella $1.74-1.86 / 0.34-0.47$ ，tib－ ia $1.47-1.64 / 0.21-0.24$ ，basitarsus $0.63-0.73 / 0.14-0.17$ ，telotarsus $0.94-$ 0．99／0．14－0．16．

Female（paratypes）．Body length 3．77－6．12．Carapace 1．41－1．56／1．33－ 1．46．Pedipalpal trochanter $0.83-0.92 / 0.44-0.47$ ，femur $2.00-2.14 / 0.42-0.43$ ， patella 1．56－1．71／0．44－0．51，chela with pedicel $3.59-3.70 / 0.85-0.89$ ，chela without pedicel $3.40-3.48 / 0.85-0.89$ ，hand without pedicel length $1.36-1.40$ ， movable finger length $2.18-2.34$ ．Leg I：trochanter $0.45-0.49 / 0.27-0.38$ ，femur $0.95-1.04 / 0.23-0.31$ ，patella $0.77-0.82 / 0.23-0.26$ ，tibia $0.81-0.85 / 0.16-$ 0.18 ，basitarsus $0.50-0.52 / 0.12-0.14$ ，telotarsus $0.58-0.61 / 0.13-0.14$ ．Leg IV：trochanter 0．81－0．91／0．25－0．29，femur＋patella 1．81－1．92／0．37－0．46， tibia 1．53－1．62／0．21－0．26，basitarsus $0.65-0.70 / 0.14-0.16$ ，telotarsus $0.96-$ 1．00／0．11－0．17．

Distribution．China（Hunan）．
Remarks．Similar to S．gibba in having specialized leg I in male，this new species can be distinguished by the morphology of the pedipalpal chelal hand and leg I．The male of this new species has 30－33 dentate convex on the dorsal side，a papillary protuberance on the ventral side of chelal hand，and lacks the projection on the basitarsus and telotarsus of leg I，but the male S．gibba has 15－18 dentate convex，which arranged in a row on the dorsal side of chelal hand and a large columnar projection on the basitarsus and telotarsus in leg I． Female of this new species can be easily distinguished from the other Steno－ hya species in having 99－102 teeth on pedipalpal fixed chelal finger（124－129 in S．arcuata； 76 in S．bomica；85－90 in S．curvata；88－89 in S．hainanensis； 63－69 in S．huangi； 84 in S．meiacantha；66－79 in S．pengae；82－91 in S．spina－ ta；81－89 in S．tengchongensis），and pedipalpal femur 4．76－4．98 times longer than wide（4．23－4．45 in S．arcuata； 5.37 in S．bicornuta；5．00－5．24 in S．curvata； 6．07－6．32 in S．huangi； 5.13 in S．meiacantha；5．18－5．83 in S．pengae；4．00－ 4.13 in S ．tengchongensis），and pedipalpal chela with pedicel $3.89-4.27$ times longer than wide（3．50－3．74 in S．arcuata；4．19－4．37 in S．curvata；4．67－4．98 in S．gibba； 3.56 in S．meiacantha）（Zhao et al．2011；Zhao and Zhang 2011；Hu and Zhang 2012；Yang and Zhang 2013；Guo and Zhang 2016；Guo et al．2019； Zhan et al．2023）．

## Stenohya guangmingensis sp．nov．

https：／／zoobank．org／61EEA057－CB4E－48D9－A9BF－98FD1D9AE0C4
Figs 13－18
Chinese name．光明狭伪蝎
Type material．Holotype male（Ps．－MHBU－JX2023013101），China：Jiangxi Province，Jinggangshan City，Guangming Township， 868 County Road ［ $\left.26^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime N}, 114^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime E}\right]$ ， 305 m a．s．l．， 31 January 2023，in leaf litter and un－ der rocks（Fig．14C，D），Xiangbo Guo，Jianzhou Sun，Tao Zheng \＆Songtao Shi leg．Paratypes：four males（Ps．－MHBU－JX2023013102－05），three females （Ps．－MHBU－JX2023013106－08），same data as for holotype．


Figure 13. Stenohya guangmingensis sp. nov. A holotype male (dorsal view) B paratype female (dorsal view). Scale bars: 2 mm .

Etymology. The specific name refers to the type locality.
Diagnosis. Carapace with four well-developed eyes, epistome triangular (Figs 15A, 16A, 17A, 18A). Male pedipalpal femur with a large tubercle in the median area, two subdistal projections on the prolateral surface; patella smooth; chelal hand with 23 small, triangular, spinous apophyses on the dorsal side (Figs 15G, H, 16C, E). Male leg I femur with an inward depression at the distal part, patella enlarged (Figs 15I, 16F). Female carapace 1.02-1.10 times longer than broad; carapace with a total of 29-30 setae; apex of pedipalpal coxa with six long setae; pedipalpal patella 3.39-3.46; pedipalpal movable chelal finger with 92-94 teeth; pedipalpal fixed chelal finger with 95-98 teeth.

Description. Adult male (holotype and male paratypes) (Figs 13A, 14B).
Carapace (Figs 15A, 16A). Carapace 1.08-1.16 times longer than broad, with a total of 30-32 setae, including 5-6 near anterior margin and six near posterior margin; with six lyrifissures near the anterior eyes, four lyrifissures near posterior margin; epistome small, triangular, with rounded top; with four corneate eyes; the anterior half of the carapace uplifted and protruded to the sides, the front half significantly wider than the back part.

Chelicera (Figs 15B, 16B). Hand with seven setae and two lyrifissures; movable finger with one seta; fixed finger with 13-15 teeth; movable finger with 5-6 teeth; serrula exterior with 40-44 lamellae; serrula interior with 36-38 lamellae; galea developed, divided into three main branches, two main branches consisting of two forks each, and another with three forks (Fig. 15D); rallum consisting of eight blades, all with anteriorly directed spinules, the basal-most blade shortest (Fig. 15C).

Pedipalps (Figs 15G, H, 16C, E). Apex of pedipalpal coxa rounded, with 6-7 long setae. Femur with a tubercle in the median area, a big projection on the subdistal prolateral surface, as well as a hook-shaped process near the base of big projection (Figs 15G, 16E); patella smooth (Figs 15G, 16E); chelal hand


Figure 14. Type locality and habitus of Stenohya guangmingensis sp . nov. A male habitus $\mathbf{B}$ female habitus C-D litter layer inhabited by habitus.
with 17-19 small triangular, spinous apophyses at the dorsal side of distal half, each spinous apophysis with a seta at the base; on the posterior side, few small granular processes dispersedly located at the distal of the hand and near the base of fingers, and a few dentate bulges at the basal of the fixed finger; fixed chelal finger slightly curved upward at median to distal part (Figs 15H, 16C). Trochanter 1.52-1.83, femur 3.89-5.70, patella 3.53-4.05, chela with pedicel 4.67-4.98, chela without pedicel 4.50-4.80 times longer than broad, movable finger 1.74-2.02 times longer than hand without pedicel. Fixed chelal finger with eight, movable chelal finger with four trichobothria: eb and esb situated on the base of hand, grouped very closely with ib and isb; est, et and it grouped distally; ist situated midway between isb and it; $b$ and sb situated closer to each other in basal half, st and $t$ close to each other in distal half of movable finger. Venom apparatus present only in fixed chelal finger, venom duct short. Fixed chelal finger with 97-99 pointed teeth, movable finger with 91-95 teeth, 45-57 rounded teeth at base, and 38-46 pointed ones.


Figure 15. Holotype male of Stenohya guangmingensis sp. nov. A carapace, dorsal view B right chelicera, dorsal view $\mathbf{C}$ rallum $\mathbf{D}$ galea $\mathbf{E}$ subterminal tarsal seta $\mathbf{F}$ chaetotaxy of genital area, ventral view $\mathbf{G}$ right pedipalp, dorsal view $\mathbf{H}$ right chela, lateral view, showing trichobothriotaxy, teeth and venom apparatus I right leg I, lateral view $\mathbf{J}$ right leg IV, lateral view. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}) ; 0.2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{B}) ; 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{E}) ; 1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H})$.


Figure 16. Holotype and paratype male of Stenohya guangmingensis sp. nov. A-H holotype male I paratype male A carapace, dorsal view $\mathbf{B}$ right chelicera, dorsal view $\mathbf{C}$ right chela, lateral view $\mathbf{D}$ genital area, ventral view $\mathbf{E}$ right pedipalp, dorsal view $\mathbf{F}$ right leg I, lateral view $\mathbf{G}$ right leg IV, lateral view $\mathbf{H}$ right leg I (basitarsus and telotarsus), lateral view I right leg I (basitarsus and telotarsus), lateral view (paratype). Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{F}-\mathbf{I}) ; 0.2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{B}) ; 1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{E})$.


Figure 17. Paratype female of Stenohya guangmingensis sp. nov. A carapace, dorsal view B right chelicera, dorsal view $\mathbf{C}$ rallum $\mathbf{D}$ galea $\mathbf{E}$ subterminal tarsal seta $\mathbf{F}$ chaetotaxy of genital area, ventral view $\mathbf{G}$ right pedipalp, dorsal view $\mathbf{H}$ right chela, lateral view, showing trichobothriotaxy, teeth and venom apparatus I right leg I, lateral view J right leg IV, lateral view. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{F}-\mathbf{H}) ; 0.2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{B}) ; 1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{E})$.


Figure 18. Paratype female of Stenohya guangmingensis sp. nov. A carapace, dorsal view B right chelicera, dorsal view $\mathbf{C}$ genital area, ventral view $\mathbf{D}$ right pedipalp, dorsal view $\mathbf{E}$ right chela, lateral view $\mathbf{F}$ right leg $\mathbf{I}$, lateral view $\mathbf{G}$ right leg IV , lateral view. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}) ; 0.2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{B}) ; 1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E})$.

Abdomen. Pleural membrane granulated. Tergites and sternites undivided, tergal chaetotaxy (I-XI): 4-5: 8-9: 9-11: 9-11: 10-11: 9-11: 9-12: 11-13: 11-12: 8-10: 8-10, sternal chaetotaxy (IV-XI): 23-26: 19-20: 15-19: 13-19: 15-16: 12-14: 10-12: 4-5, sternites VI-VIII with 9-13 medial scattered glandular setae, anal cone with two dorsal and two ventral setae. Genital area (Figs 15F, 16D): sternite II with total of 30-35 setae and two lyrifissures; sternite III with 46-56 setae.

Legs (Figs 15I, J, 16F-I). The femur with an inward depression at the distal of the leg I, leg I patella enlarged (Figs 15I, 16F), and fusing (Figs 15I, 16F, H) or semi-fusing (Fig. 16I) of the basitarsus and telotarsus, the dividing line between the basitarsus and telotarsus inconspicuous or slightly visible. Leg IV
generally typical, long, and sinewy (Figs 15J, 16G). Leg I: trochanter 1.05-1.16, femur 3.47-5.52, patella 2.53-2.90, tibia 3.45-4.29, basitarsus + telotarsus 6.64-6.80 times longer than deep. Leg IV: trochanter 2.36-2.78, femur + patella 4.08-4.88, tibia 6.80-7.56, basitarsus 4.23-4.85, telotarsus 6.62-8.40 times longer than deep; tibia with three submedial tactile setae ( $T S=0.16,0.61$, 0.92 ), basitarsus with two tactile setae ( $\mathrm{TS}=0.14,0.83-0.84$ ), telotarsus with two tactile setae ( $\mathrm{TS}=0.24-0.30,0.58-0.60$ ); subterminal tarsal seta bifurcate (Fig. 15E). Arolium not divided, shorter than the slender and simple claws.

Adult female (paratype females) (Figs 13B, 14B): mostly same as males, except where noted.

Carapace (Figs 17A, 18A). Smooth and nearly rectangular, 1.02-1.10 times longer than broad, with a total of 27-31 setae, including 6-7 near anterior margin and 6-7 near posterior margin; with two pair lyrifissures near the anterior eyes, two lyrifissures near posterior margin.

Chelicera (Figs 17B, 18B). Fixed finger with 12-13 teeth; movable finger with seven teeth; serrula exterior with 42-45 lamellae; serrula interior with 35-37 lamellae; galea developed, divided into two main branches, one branch five, while the other three (Fig. 17D).

Pedipalps (Figs 17G, H, 18D, E). Apex of pedipalpal coxa with six long setae. Femur with some granular projections; trochanter 1.71-2.02; femur 4.90-5.39; patella 3.39-3.46; chela with pedicel 4.13-4.42; chela without pedicel 3.934.29 times longer than broad; movable finger 1.62-1.66 times longer than hand without pedicel. Fixed chelal finger with 95-98 pointed teeth, movable finger with 92-94 teeth, 47-48 rounded teeth at base, and 45-46 pointed ones.

Abdomen. Tergal chaetotaxy (I-XI): 4-5: 6-7: 8-9: 9-10: 10: 9: 9-10: 11: 9-12: 10-11:7-10, sternal chaetotaxy (IV-XI): 24-26: 20-23: 16-17: 17-18: 15: 14: 12-13: 4-5, sternites VI-VIII with two medial scattered glandular setae; genital area (Figs 17F, 18C): sternite II with total of 19-23 setae and two lyrifissures; sternite III with a row of 35-37 setae and two lyrifissures along posterior margin.

Legs (Figs 17I, J, 18F, G). Leg I: trochanter 1.42-1.52, femur 4.78-5.95, patella 3.45-3.75, tibia 4.50-4.79, basitarsus 3.29-4.20, telotarsus 5.40-5.55 times longer than deep. Leg IV: trochanter 2.48-2.55, femur + patella 4.414.58, tibia 7.00-7.83, basitarsus 4.69-4.77, telotarsus 7.67-8.08 times longer than deep; tibia with two submedial tactile setae (TS $=0.20,0.94$ ), basitarsus with two tactile setae ( $T S=0.13-0.15,0.84-0.87$ ), telotarsus with two tactile setae (TS = 0.23-0.26, 0.54-0.59); subterminal tarsal seta bifurcate (Fig. 17E).

Measurements (in mm; length/breadth or, for legs, length/depth). Male (holotype and paratypes). Body length 3.66-3.92. Carapace 1.48-1.55/1.311.43. Pedipalpal trochanter 0.64-0.75/0.41-0.43, femur 1.75-1.88/0.33-0.45, patella 1.34-1.50/0.37-0.38, chela with pedicel 3.27-3.30/0.66-0.70, chela without pedicel 3.15-3.17/0.66-0.70, hand without pedicel length 1.07-1.20, movable finger length 2.09-2.16. Leg I: trochanter $0.40-0.44 / 0.38$, femur 1.11-1.18/0.21-0.32, patella 1.09-1.13/0.39-0.43, tibia 0.73-0.76/0.170.22 , basitarsus + telotarsus $0.93-1.03 / 0.14-0.15$. Leg IV: trochanter 0.59-0.71/0.23-0.26, femur + patella 1.55-1.66/0.34-0.38, tibia 1.36-1.44/0.180.20 , basitarsus $0.55-0.63 / 0.12-0.13$, telotarsus $0.84-0.90 / 0.10-0.13$.

Female (paratypes). Body length 4.73-6.31. Carapace 1.34-1.43/1.301.32. Pedipalpal trochanter 0.70-0.85/0.41-0.42, femur 1.94-1.96/0.36-0.40, patella 1.42-1.49/0.41-0.44, chela with pedicel 3.35-3.47/0.76-0.84, chela
without pedicel 3.26-3.30/0.76-0.84, hand without pedicel length $1.25-1.32$, movable finger length 2.07-2.14. Leg I: trochanter 0.37-0.38/0.25-0.26, femur 0.86-1.13/0.18-0.19, patella 0.69-0.75/0.20, tibia 0.67-0.72/0.14-0.16, basitarsus $0.42-0.46 / 0.10-0.14$, telotarsus $0.54-0.61 / 0.10-0.11$. Leg IV: trochanter $0.77-0.84 / 0.31-0.33$, femur + patella $1.74-1.81 / 0.33-0.41$, tibia 1.41-1.47/0.18-0.21, basitarsus 0.61-0.62/0.13, telotarsus 0.92-0.97/0.12.

Distribution. China (Jiangxi).
Remarks. The dividing line between basitarsus and telotarsus of the male leg I of this new species is usually indistinct in specimens examined, except for one paratype, which has this line slightly visible (Fig. 16I). There is no other distinct difference among these male specimens and, as a result, we consider this difference in the visibility of the dividing lines as intraspecific variation.

The males of $S$. guangmingensis, S. gibba, and S. papillata have a specialized leg I, but this new species can be separated by having a distal depression on leg I femur. Females of this new species can be distinguished from other Stenohya species by the following: carapace 1.02-1.10 times longer than broad (1.15-1.28 in S. curvata; 1.13 in $S$. hainanensis; 1.33-1.49 in $S$. huangi; 1.15-1.28 in S. pengae; 1.18-1.24 in S. tengchongensis), the presence of 27-31 setae on carapace ( 24 in S. bicornuta and S. hainanensis; 23 in S. meiacantha and S. tengchongensis; 39-42 in S. papillata); the presence of six long setae on apex of pedipalpal coxa (eight in S. bicornuta and S. papillata; 10 in $S$. spinata), the pedipalpal patella 3.39-3.46 times longer than broad (2.81-2.86 in S. arcuata; 4.70-5.31 in S. huangi; 2.68 in S. meiacantha; 3.83-3.93 in S. pengae; 3.53-3.62 in S. spinata; 2.63-2.67 in S. tengchongensis); the presence of 92-94 teeth on pedipalpal movable chelal finger (115-119 in S. arcuata; 68 in S. bomica; 46-51 in S. huangi; 76 in S. meiacantha; 79-87 in S. gibba; 45-55 in S. pengae; 76-78 in S. spinata); and the presence of 95-98 teeth on pedipalpal fixed chelal finger (124-129 in S. arcuata; 105 in S. bicornuta; 76 in S. bomica; 63-69 in S. huangi; 84 in S. meiacantha; 66-79 in S. pengae) (Zhao and Zhang 2011; Zhao et al. 2011; Hu and Zhang 2012; Yang and Zhang 2013; Guo and Zhang 2016; Guo et al. 2019; Zhan et al. 2023).

## Discussion

In addition to sexually dimorphic pedipalp, the three new species described here a have uniquely sexual dimorphic leg I; that is, the femur and patella are enlarged or have an inward depression, and the basitarsus and telotarsus are fused or semi-fused in males. In particular, the male of $S$. gibba has a large columnar projection on the basitarsus and telotarsus of leg I, which has not been reported in other Stenohya species. According to Zhan et al. (2023) the three potential functions of the sexually dimorphic pedipalp are controlling the female during mating, attracting a female during courtship, or serving as a weapon in male-to-male competition. Given the proximity of the pedipalp and leg I, the specialized leg I may interact with the pedipalp in some manner while conducting any of these three potential functions. The discovery of new species enriches our knowledge of the morphological diversity of Stenohya pseudoscorpions. The various sexually dimorphic structures imply that Stenohya species may have differing adaptive methods under sexual or natural selection.
Updated key to the genus Stenohya species from China (modified from Zhan et al. 2023)
1 Male leg I enlarged ..... 2

- Male leg I not enlarged ..... 4
2 Male basitarsus and telotarsus of leg I each with a large columnar projec-tion on the lateral sideS. gibba sp. nov.
- Male basitarsus and telotarsus of leg I without large projections ..... 3
3 Male pedipalpal chelal hand with a papillary projection on the ventral face; femur of leg I straight. S. papillata sp. nov.
- Male femur of leg I with an inward depression at the distal part
S. guangmingensis sp. nov.
4 Male pedipalpal femur and/or patella with projections on prolateral sur-faces.5
- Male pedipalpal femur and patella without prolateral projections ..... 7
5 Male pedipalpal femur and patella with strong long peg-like projections on prolateral surfaces S. spinata Zhan, Feng \& Zhang, 2023
- Male pedipalpal patella normal, femur with tubercles on prolateral face ... 6
6 Chelal hand with 14 tooth-shaped tubercles
S. dongtianensis Li \& Shi, 2023
- Chelal hand with 42 tooth-shaped tubercles S. jiahensis Li \& Shi, 2023
7 Male pedipalpal chelal hand with projection on prolateral surface. .....  .8
- Male pedipalpal chelal hand without prolateral projection. ..... 10
8 Prolateral projection of male chelal hand with 2 hornlike bulges
S. bicornuta Guo, Zang \& Zhang, 2019
- Prolateral projection of male chela hand with pointed projection .....  9
9 Male pedipalpal femur with a depression at the base of prolateral face; movable finger basally curved in ventral viewS. curvata Zhao, Zhang \& Jia, 2011
- Male pedipalpal with straight femur; movable finger straight or slightlyprocurvedS. meiacantha Yang \& Zhang, 2013
10 Male pedipalpal femur strongly procurved ..... 11
- Male pedipalpal femur straight or slightly procurved ..... 12
11 Male apex of pedipalpal coxa only with 4 long setae, short acicular seta absent S. arcuata Guo, Zang \& Zhang, 2019
- Male apex of pedipalpal coxa with 3 long setae and 10-12 short acicular ones S. setulosa Guo \& Zhang, 2016
12 Each of chelal fingers with more than 85 teeth ..... 13
- Each of chelal fingers with less than 85 teeth. ..... 14
13 Male pedipalpal femur distally thickened, noticeably thicker than the basal section S. tengchongensis Yang \& Zhang, 2013
- Male pedipalpal femur not distally thickenedS. hainanensis Guo \& Zhang, 2016
14 Pedipalpal patella 4.0-6.0 times longer than broad ..... 15
- Pedipalpal patella 2.5-3.0 times longer than broadS. bomica Zhao \& Zhang, 2011
15 Carapace with more than 30 setae ..... 16
- Carapace with less than 30 setae ...S. xiningensis Zhao, Zhang \& Jia, 2011

16 Movable chelal finger with less than 50 teeth; galea divided into 4 or 5 branches $\qquad$ S. huangi Hu \& Zhang, 2012

- Movable chelal finger with more than 50 teeth; galea divided into 6 branches. $\qquad$ S. pengae Hu \& Zhang, 2012
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#### Abstract

Three new pseudoscorpions in the family Pseudotyrannochthoniidae are described from China: Allochthonius hispidus sp. nov. from Chongqing (Wushan County), Spelaeochthonius huanglaoensis sp. nov. from Beijing (Fangshan District), and Spelaeochthonius tuoliangensis sp. nov. from Hebei (Pingshan County). Detailed diagnoses and illustrations of all new species are provided.


Key words: Allochthonius, morphology, Spelaeochthonius, taxonomy

## Introduction

The monophyletic pseudoscorpion family Pseudotyrannochthoniidae Beier, 1932, originated in East Asia during the Middle Triassic (Harms et al. 2024) and is one of the earliest branches of pseudoscorpion families. The group is small-bodied, usually less than 3 mm , but the chelicerae are disproportionately large and resemble the jaws of the mythical dragon. Consequently, its group has earned the colloquial name dragon pseudoscorpions (Harms et al. 2024). Members of the family can be distinguished from all other pseudoscorpions in having trichobothria ib and isb located at the base of the fixed chelal finger and coxal spines present only on coxae I (Harms and Harvey 2013). Pseudotyrannochthoniidae are distributed on all continents except Antarctica and inhabit leaf litter and caves (You et al. 2022; Gao et al. 2023). Niche modeling suggests that the distribution of pseudotyrannochthoniids is determined by the interaction of constantly moderate temperatures and high moisture availability, a pattern that is globally repeated (Harms 2018; Harms et al. 2019). To date, this group comprises 80 described species in six genera. Throughout Asia, pseudotyrannochthoniids are represented by three genera, Allochthonius Chamberlin, 1929, Centrochthonius Beier, 1931, and Spelaeochthonius Morikawa, 1954, and all extant species in these three genera are narrow-range endemics (Fig. 1; WPC 2024).

The monophyly of both Allochthonius and Spelaeochthonius receives high support (Harms et al. 2024). The genus Allochthonius comprises 34 species, with 14 species documented from China and the remainder distributed across Russia,

Japan, and South Korea. It is diagnosed by the carapace frequently having 2228 setae (but fewer in some cave-dwelling congeners; Sakayori 2000; Viana and Ferreira 2021; Gao et al. 2023), coxal spines present on a common protuberance, spray- or fan-shaped, and the intercoxal tubercle generally larger (Harvey and Harms 2022; Schwarze et al. 2022). About $35 \%$ ( 12 of 34) of the species in this genus lack eyes, with almost all of them being cave-dwelling, except for A . brevitus Hu \& Zhang, 2012, which is the only epigean species (Morikawa 1954, 1956, 1960; Hu and Zhang 2012; Zhang and Zhang 2014; Viana and Ferreira 2021; Gao et al. 2023).

The genus Spelaeochthonius, currently found only in East Asia, includes 11 described species. It can be distinguished from other pseudotyrannochthoniid genera by the number of carapaceal setae (only 16), the number, shape, and arrangement of the coxal spines (never on a common protuberance and more than seven blades that are longer and distally pinnate or serrate), and the shape of the intercoxal tubercle (bisetose and generally smaller than that of Allochthonius) (Morikawa 1956; You et al. 2022). The genus consists exclusively of subterranean species with strongly troglobitic habitus occurring in China (two species), South Korea (three species), and Japan (six species) (WPC 2024).

This study describes three new pseudotyrannochthoniid species from both the surface and subterranean environments. Detailed diagnoses, descriptions, and illustrations are provided for each species. Two of these species are placed in Spelaeochthonius, while one is assigned to Allochthonius. Additionally, a distribution map of all Chinese pseudotyrannochthoniid species is given.

## Materials and methods

The specimens examined for this study are preserved in $75 \%$ alcohol and deposited in the Museum of Hebei University (MHBU), Baoding, China, and the Museum of Southwest University (MSWU), Chongqing, China. Photographs, drawings, and measurements were taken using a Leica M205A stereomicroscope equipped with a Leica DFC550 camera. Detailed examination was carried out under an Olympus BX53 upright microscope. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was done under high vacuum with a JEOL JSM-IT500 after critical-point drying and gold-palladium coating. The distribution map was made using ArcGIS v. 10.6 (Fig. 1). All images were edited and formatted using Inkscape v. 1.0.2.0 and Adobe Photoshop 2022.

Terminology and measurements follow Chamberlin (1931) with minor modifications to the terminology of trichobothria (Harvey 1992; Judson 2007) and chelicera (Judson 2007). The chela and legs were measured in lateral view and others were taken in dorsal view. All measurements are given in mm unless noted otherwise. Proportions and measurements of chelicerae, carapace and pedipalps correspond to length/breadth, and those of legs to length/depth. For abbreviations of trichobothria, see Chamberlin (1931).

## Taxonomy

Family Pseudotyrannochthoniidae Beier, 1932

Genus Allochthonius Chamberlin, 1929

Type species. Chthonius opticus Ellingsen, 1907, by original designation.

## Allochthonius hispidus sp．nov．

https：／／zoobank．org／FB289038－20FB－46BD－8B36－07A04E1BF95B
Figs 1－4

Chinese name．多毛异伪蝎．
Type materials．Holotype：CHINA • ${ }^{\top}$ ；Chongqing Municipality，Wushan Coun－ ty，Dangyang Town，Wushanya； $31^{\circ} 28.356^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 59.172^{\prime} \mathrm{E} ; 1740 \mathrm{~m}$ a．s．l．； 02 Oct．2021；Luyu Wang leg．（Fig．1）；Ps．－MHBU－CQWLP－21－02－01．Paratypes：
 Wushan County，Dangyang Town，Qizhi Mountain； $31^{\circ} 28.109^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 58.716^{\prime} \mathrm{E} ;$ 1475 m a．s．I．；same collector and collection date as for holotype；Ps．－MHBU－ CQWLP－21－03－01－03 • 1 1 1 ；Wushan County，Dangyang Town，Congping Mountain； $31^{\circ} 23.786^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 110^{\circ} 2.467^{\prime} \mathrm{E} ; 2150 \mathrm{~m}$ a．s．I．； 03 Oct．2021；same col－ lector as for holotype；Ps．－MHBU－CQWLP－21－07－01 \＆02• 1 ？ ；Wushan County， Dangyang Town，Congping Management Station； $31^{\circ} 23.786^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 110^{\circ} 2.055^{\prime} \mathrm{E} ;$ 1970 m a．s．I．； 03 Oct．2021；same collector as for holotype；Ps．－MHBU－ CQWLP－21－08－01•1 1 1 ；Wushan County，Guanyang Town，Pingqian Manage－ ment Station； $31^{\circ} 22.379^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 109^{\circ} 56.287^{\prime} \mathrm{E} ; 1832 \mathrm{~m}$ a．s．I．； 04 Oct．2021；same collector as for holotype；Ps．－MSWU－CQWLP－21－10－01 \＆ 02.

Diagnosis（ ${ }^{1} q$ ）．Allochthonius hispidus sp ．nov．is most similar to another epigean blind species from China，$A$ ．brevitus，but differs from this species in having more carapaceal setae（22－24（ $\delta^{\wedge}$ ）， 21 or 22 （ㅇ）for A．hispidus vs 16 （ $\delta^{\top}$ ㅇ） for $A$ ．brevitus），more cheliceral setae（ $\delta^{1}$ ）（10 or 11 vs seven），more numerous chelal fingers teeth（ $\delta^{\text {² }}$ ）（fixed finger with 26－29 vs 18－20 teeth，movable finger with 22 or 23 vs 17 or 18 teeth），and longer pedipalps（e．g．palpal femur 5.19 （（ ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ）， $5.13-5.61\left(q_{+}\right) \times$vs $4.33-4.73\left(\delta^{\top}\right), 4.79-4.92(q) \times$ longer than broad，length 1.09 $\left(\delta^{\top}\right), 1.18-1.29(q) \mathrm{mm}$ vs $0.52-0.57\left(\delta^{\top}\right), 0.64-0.67$（ $\uparrow$ ） mm ；chela length $1.59-$ $1.60\left(\delta^{\lambda}\right), 1.76-1.84$（ q ）mm vs 0．80－0．84（ $\mathrm{O}^{\lambda}$ ），0．98－1．01（ q ）mm）．It differs from the other blind species in China（A．bainiensis Gao，Hou \＆Zhang，2023，A．pandus Gao，Hou \＆Zhang，2023，and A．xinqiaoensis Gao，Hou \＆Zhang，2023）in having more numerous carapaceal setae（ $q$ ）（the latter three with only 14 setae）and the presence of a pair of hirsute pedipalps．It also differs from all blind congeners from Japan（A．yoshizawai Viana \＆Ferreira，2021，A．ishikawai Morikawa，1954， and its subspecies）in having more cheliceral setae（ $\delta^{7}$ ）（ 10 or 11 vs at most seven）and more numerous fixed chelal finger teeth（ ${ }^{1}$ ）（26－29 vs at most 17）．

Etymology．The specific name is derived from the Latin word hispidus（hir－ sute，hairy），which refers to the presence of abundant setae on the chela，palpal femur，and patella．

Description．Adult males（Figs 1，2A，3A－G，4）．Colour：generally pale yellow； chelicerae，pedipalps and tergites slightly darker；soft parts pale．Cephalothorax （Figs 3A，C，4A，C）：carapace subquadrate，0．87－0．88× longer than broad，gently narrowed posteriorly；surface smooth but the posterior lateral parts with squa－ mous sculpturing；without furrows but with five anterior lyrifissures and two posterior lyrifissures；no traces of eyes；epistome absent，space between medi－ an setae slightly recurved；with 22－24 setae arranged 12－14：4：2：2：2，most se－ tae heavy，long and gently curved．Chaetotaxy of coxae：P 3，I 3－4，II 5－6，III 4－5， IV 5；manducatory process with two acuminate distal setae，anterior seta more than $1 / 2$ length of medial seta；coxal spines present on coxa I only，consisting of a tubercle expanded terminally into a characteristic spray－or fan－shaped of


Figure 1. Distribution of all Pseudotyrannochthoniidae species in China. 1 Allochthonius liaoningensis Hu \& Zhang, 2012 2 A. exornatus Gao \& Zhang, 20133 A. wui Hu \& Zhang, 20114 A. jingyuanus Zhang \& Zhang, 20145 A. brevitus 6 A. sichuanensis (Schawaller, 1995) 7 A. hispidus sp. nov. 8 A. lini Li, 20239 A. xuae Li, 202310 A. bainiensis 11 A. pandus 12 A. xinqiaoensis 13 A. fanjingshan Gao, Zhang \& Zhang, 201614 A. trigonus Hu \& Zhang, 201115 A. fuscus Hu \& Zhang, 201116 Centrochthonius kozlovi (Redikorzev, 1918) 17 C. cheni (Gao, Zhang \& Zhang, 2016) 18 Spelaeochthonius huanglaoensis sp. nov. 19 S. tuoliangensis sp. nov. 20 S. yinae 21 S. wulibeiensis.
five elevate processes which extend apically, subequal in length (Figs 3C, 4C); a larger bisetose intercoxal tubercle present between coxae III and IV (Fig. 3C). Chelicera (Figs 3B, 4B, D): large, approximately as long as carapace, $2.52 \times$ longer than broad; nine or 10 setae and two lyrifissures (exterior condylar lyrifissure and exterior lyrifissure) present on hand, all setae acuminate, ventrobasal seta shorter than others; movable finger with one medial seta. Cheliceral palm with moderate hispid granulation on both ventral and dorsal sides. Both fingers with well-developed teeth, fixed finger with eight or nine acute teeth, distal one largest, plus five or six small basal teeth, 13-15 in total; movable finger with 15 or 16 retrorse contiguous teeth of equal length; galea absent. Serrula exterior with 20 or 21 blades and serrula interior with 12-14 blades. Rallum in two rows and composed of 11 finely pinnate blades (Fig. 4D). Pedipalp (Figs 3D-F, 4E-G):


Figure 2. Allochthonius hispidus sp. nov. A holotype male, habitus (dorsal view) B paratype female, habitus (dorsal view). Scale bars: 0.50 mm .
long and slender, trochanter 1.38-1.48, femur 5.19, patella 2.62-2.89, chela 4.82-5.16, hand 1.79-1.90× longer than broad; femur $1.98 \times$ longer than patella; movable chelal finger $1.73-1.76 \times$ longer than hand and $0.64-0.65 \times$ longer than chela. Setae generally long and acuminate; one distal lyrifissure present on patella (Figs 3E, 4F). Chelal palm robust and slightly constricted towards fingers. Fixed chelal finger and hand with eight trichobothria plus duplex trichobothrium ( $d t$ ), movable chelal finger with four trichobothria, ib, isb, eb, esb, and ist clustered at the base of fixed finger, ist slightly distal to esb; it slightly distal to est, situated subdistally; et situated subdistally, very close to chelal teeth; $d t$ situated distal to et, near the tip of fixed finger; sb situated closer to $b$ than to $s t$ (Fig. 4E). Abundant setae present on palpal femur, patella, and chela. Sensilla absent. Both chelal fingers with a row of teeth, homodentate, spaced regularly along the margin, larger and well-spaced teeth present in the middle of the row, becoming smaller and closer distally and proximally: fixed chelal finger with 26-29 teeth, slightly retrorse and pointed; movable chelal finger with 22 or 23 teeth (slightly smaller than teeth on fixed chelal finger) and a tubercle between the seventh and eighth teeth (Figs 3D, 4E). Chelal fingers slightly curved in dorsal view (Figs 3F, 4G). Opisthosoma: generally typical, pleural membrane finely granulated. Tergites and sternites undivided; setae uniseriate and acuminate. Tergal chaetotaxy I-XII: 2: 6-8: 8-10: 10-11: 10-11: 11-12: 10-13: 13-14: 8: 6: TT: 0. Sternal chaetotaxy III-XII: 12-14: 15-17: 14-15: 12-15: 12-14: 13-14: 12: 8-9: 0: 2 . Anterior genital operculum with eight or nine setae, genital opening pit-like, with seven or 10 marginal setae on each side, 26 in total, with a pair of lyrifissures present anterolateral and posterolateral to genital opening, respectively (Fig. 3G). Legs (Fig. 4H, I): generally typical, long, and


Figure 3. Allochthonius hispidus sp. nov. A carapace (dorsal view) B left chelicera (dorsal view) C coxae (ventral view) D left chela (lateral view) E left pedipalp (minus chela, dorsal view) $\mathbf{F}$ left chela (dorsal view) $\mathbf{G}$ male genital area (ventral view) $\mathbf{H}$ female genital area (ventral view). Scale bars: $0.20 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{F}) ; 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H})$.


Figure 4. Allochthonius hispidus sp. nov., holotype male A carapace (dorsal view) B left chelicera (dorsal view), with details of teeth $\mathbf{C}$ coxal spines on coxae I (ventral view) D rallum $\mathbf{E}$ left chela (lateral view), with details of trichobothrial pattern F left pedipalp (minus chela, dorsal view) G left chela (dorsal view) H leg I (lateral view) I leg IV (lateral view). Scale bars: 0.20 mm .
slender. Fine granulation present on anterodorsal faces of femur IV and patella IV. Femur of leg I $1.45 \times$ longer than patella and with two lyrifissures at the base of femur; tarsus $2.00 \times$ longer than tibia. Femoropatella of leg IV 3.48-3.70x longer than deep and with one lyrifissure at the base of femur; tibia 5.25-5.67×
longer than deep；with basal tactile setae on both tarsal segments：basitarsus $3.44-3.56 \times$ longer than deep（ $T S=0.25-0.32$ ），telotarsus $8.86-9.14 \times$ longer than deep and $2.00 \times$ longer than basitarsus（ $T S=0.17-0.21$ ）．Arolium slightly shorter than the claws，not divided；claws simple．Dimensions of adult males （length／breadth or，in the case of the legs，length／depth in mm ）：body length 2．72－2．78．Pedipalps：trochanter 0．29－0．31／0．21，femur 1．09／0．21，patella $0.55 / 0.19-0.21$ ，chela $1.59-1.60 / 0.31-0.33$ ，hand $0.59 / 0.31-0.33$ ，movable finger length $1.02-1.04$ ．Chelicera $0.68 / 0.27$ ，movable finger length $0.36-0.38$ ． Carapace $0.56-0.58 / 0.64-0.67$ ．Leg I：trochanter 0．19－0．20／0．17－0．18，femur $0.55 / 0.11$ ，patella $0.38 / 0.09-0.10$ ，tibia $0.31-0.32 / 0.08$ ，tarsus $0.62-0.64 / 0.06$ ． Leg IV：trochanter $0.31-0.32 / 0.18-0.19$ ，femoropatella $0.80-0.85 / 0.23$ ，tibia $0.63-0.68 / 0.12$ ，basitarsus $0.31-0.32 / 0.09$ ，telotarsus $0.62-0.64 / 0.07$ ．

Adult females（Figs 2B，3H）．Mostly same as males but a little larger（i．e．body length is about $1.08 \times$ that of males）；cheliceral hand of one female with 11 setae； chaetotaxy of coxae：P 3，I 4，II 4－5，III 5，IV 5；tergal chaetotaxy I－XII：2：6：6－8： 10：10－11：11－12：12：11－12：8－9：5：TT：0；sternal chaetotaxy IV－XII：15－17： 11－13：13－14：13－14：13：12－14：9：0：2；anterior genital operculum with eight setae，posterior margin with 15 or 17 marginal setae， $23-25$ in total；leg IV with a long tactile seta on both tarsal segments：basitarsus 3．33－3．50× longer than deep （ $T S=0.37-0.40$ ），telotarsus $9.57-11.00 \times$ longer than deep and $1.91-2.20 \times$ longer than basitarsus（TS $=0.20-0.21$ ）．Body length 2．44－2．97．Pedipalps：trochanter 0．32－0．37／0．22－0．24（1．33－1．68x），femur 1．18－1．29／0．23（5．13－5．61x），patella 0．59－0．60／0．22－0．24（2．46－2．73x），chela 1．76－1．84／0．34－0．41（4．49－5．18x）， hand $0.64-0.68 / 0.34-0.41$（1．66－1．88x），movable chelal finger length 1．15－ 1．20．Chelicera $0.77-0.81 / 0.32-0.36(2.25-2.41 \times)$ ，movable finger length $0.42-$ 0.46 ．Carapace $0.58-0.64 / 0.72-0.83(0.77-0.81 \times)$ ．Leg I：trochanter $0.23 / 0.19$ （1．21×），femur 0．56－0．61／0．11－0．12（4．67－5．55x），patella 0．38－0．39／0．10－0．11 （3．55－3．80x），tibia $0.35 / 0.07-0.08$（ $4.38-5.00 \times$ ），tarsus $0.68-0.69 / 0.06-0.07$ （ $9.71-11.50 \times$ ）．Leg IV：trochanter 0．33－0．35／0．20（1．65－1．75x），femoropatella 0．85－0．90／0．25（3．40－3．60x），tibia 0．68／0．13－0．14（4．86－5．23x），basitarsus 0．30－ 0．35／0．09－0．10（3．33－3．50x），telotarsus 0．66－0．67／0．06－0．07（9．57－11．00x）．

Distribution．China（Chongqing）．

## Genus Spelaeochthonius Morikawa， 1954

Type species．Spelaeochthonius kubotai Morikawa，1954，by original designation．

## Spelaeochthonius huanglaoensis sp．nov．

https：／／zoobank．org／AFD5997B－116A－44E4－91B8－F682E488F620
Figs 1，5－8
Chinese name．黄老穴伪蝎．
Type material．Holotype：China－उ；Beijing City，Fangshan District，Shidu Town，Wanglaopu Village，Huanglao Cave； $39^{\circ} 40.916^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 115^{\circ} 39.041^{\prime} \mathrm{E} ; 495 \mathrm{~m}$ a．s．I．； 19 Oct．2021；Nana Zhan leg．；under a stone in the deep zone（Fig．1）； Ps．－MHBU－BJFS－21－10－19－02－01．Paratype：• 1 ；；same data as for holotype； Ps．－MHBU－BJFS－21－10－19－02－02．


Figure 5. Spelaeochthonius huanglaoensis sp. nov. A holotype male, habitus (dorsal view) B paratype female, habitus (dorsal view). Scale bars: 0.50 mm .

Diagnosis (§) ). Spelaeochthonius huanglaoensis sp. nov. is most similar to S. wulibeiensis Gao, Hou \& Zhang, 2023, but differs from it in having shorter pedipalps (e.g. chela $7.94\left(\delta^{\top}\right), 6.14\left(q_{)}\right) \times$vs $6.21-6.22\left(\delta^{\lambda}\right), 5.68(q) \times$ longer than broad, length 1.43 ( $\left.\delta^{\top}\right), 1.72$ ( $q$ ) mm vs $1.68-1.74$ ( $\left.\delta^{\top}\right), 1.76$ ( $\uparrow$ ) mm), 1 additional cheliceral seta (seven vs six), and more numerous fixed chelal finger teeth ( 29 vs 22-24). It differs from S. yinae Li, 2023 in the number of setae on tergite II (four vs two), smaller body size (e.g. chela 7.94 ( ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ ), 6.14 ( $q$ ) $\times$ vs
 1.89 ( ( ) mm), and more numerous fixed chelal finger teeth ( ${ }^{\top}$ ) (29 vs 23).

Etymology. The species is named after its type locality, Huanglao Cave.
Description. Adult male (Figs 5A, 6A-E, 7). Colour: generally pale yellow; chelicerae, pedipalps and tergites slightly darker; soft parts pale. Cephalothorax (Figs $6 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{C}, 7 \mathrm{~A}$ ): carapace inverted-trapezoid, $1.04 \times$ longer than broad, gently narrowed posteriorly; surface mostly with fine reticulations; with four anterior lyrifissures and two posterior lyrifissures; no traces of eyes but eye region bulging and convex in dorsal view; epistome present and with some tiny spinules; with 16 setae arranged s4s: 4: 2: 2: 2 , most setae heavy, long, and gently curved. Chaetotaxy of coxae: P 3, I 6, II 5, III 4-5, IV 4; manducatory process with two acuminate distal setae, anterior seta less than $1 / 2$ length of medial seta (refer to female, Fig. 8C); coxal spines present on coxa I only, comprising a transverse, contiguous series of six or seven tridentate blades, which arise from a lightly sclerotized or translucent hillock, the central ramus of each blade (except the basal one) sharply acumino-spatulate and extending beyond the lateral rami (refer to female, Fig. 8A); a small, bisetose intercoxal tubercle present between coxae III and IV (Fig. 6C). Chelicera (Figs 6B, 7B, C): large, approximately as long as carapace, $2.50 \times$ longer than broad; six setae and two lyrifissures (exterior condylar lyrifissure and exterior lyrifissure)


Figure 6. Spelaeochthonius huanglaoensis sp . nov. A carapace (dorsal view) B left chelicera (dorsal view) C coxae (ventral view) $\mathbf{D}$ left chela (lateral view) $\mathbf{E}$ male genital area (ventral view) $\mathbf{F}$ female genital area (ventral view). Scale bars: 0.20 mm (C, D); $0.10 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F})$.
present on hand, movable finger with one medial seta, all setae acuminate, ventrobasal seta shorter than others. Cheliceral palm with moderate hispid granulation on both ventral and dorsal sides. Both fingers with well-developed teeth, fixed finger with 14 acute teeth, distal one largest; movable finger with 11 retrorse contiguous teeth of equal length; galea absent. Serrula exterior with 19 blades


Figure 7. Spelaeochthonius huanglaoensis sp. nov., holotype male A carapace (dorsal view) B left chelicera (dorsal view), with details of teeth $\mathbf{C}$ rallum $\mathbf{D}$ left chela (lateral view), with details of trichobothrial pattern $\mathbf{E}$ left pedipalp (minus chela, dorsal view) F left chela (dorsal view) G leg I (lateral view) H leg IV (lateral view). Scale bars: 0.20 mm .
(refer to female, Fig. 8B) and serrula interior with 15 blades. Rallum in two rows and composed of ten finely pinnate blades (11 blades in female), of which the basal-most blade shorter than the others (Figs 7C, 8D). Pedipalp (Figs 6D, 7D-F):
surfaces mostly with fine reticulations; long and slender, trochanter 1.87, femur 6.38 , patella 2.69 , chela 7.94 , hand $3.00 \times$ longer than broad; femur $2.37 \times$ longer than patella; movable chelal finger $1.69 \times$ longer than hand and $0.64 \times$ longer than chela. Setae generally long and acuminate; one distal lyrifissure present on patella (Fig. 7E). Chelal palm slightly constricted towards fingers. Fixed chelal finger and hand with eight trichobothria plus duplex trichobothrium (dt), movable chelal finger with four trichobothria, ib, isb, eb, esb, and ist clustered at the base of fixed finger, esb slightly distal to ist; it slightly distal to est, situated subdistally and forming a pair; et situated subdistally, very close to chelal teeth; dt situated distal to et, near the tip of fixed finger; sb distinctly closer to $b$ than to $s t$ (Fig. 7D). Microsetae (chemosensory setae) absent on hand and both palpal fingers. Sensilla absent. Both chelal fingers with a row of teeth, homodentate, spaced regularly along the margin, larger and well-spaced teeth present in the middle of the row, becoming smaller and closer distally and proximally: fixed chelal finger with 29 teeth, slightly retrorse and pointed; movable chelal finger with 19 teeth (slightly smaller than teeth on fixed chelal finger) (Figs 6D, 7D). Chelal fingers slightly curved in dorsal view (Fig. 7F). Opisthosoma: generally typical, ovate, pleural membrane finely granulated. Tergites and sternites undivided; setae uniseriate and acuminate. Tergal chaetotaxy I-XII: 2: 4: 4: 5:7:7:7:6:5:4:TT: 0 . Sternal chaetotaxy III-XII: 9: 8: 10: 9: 10: 9:7:8: $0: 2$. Anterior genital operculum with nine setae, genital opening pit-like, with seven marginal setae on each side, 23 in total (Fig. 6E). Legs (Fig. 7G, H): generally typical, long, and slender. Fine granulation present on anterodorsal faces of patella IV. Femur of leg I $1.73 \times$ longer than patella and with one lyrifissure at the base of femur; tarsus $2.24 \times$ longer than tibia. Femoropatella of leg IV $3.04 \times$ longer than deep and with one lyrifissure at the base of femur; tibia $5.80 \times$ longer than deep; with a long tactile seta on both tarsal segments: basitarsus $3.86 \times$ longer than deep ( $T S=0.37$ ), telotarsus $11.40 \times$ longer than deep and $2.11 \times$ longer than basitarsus ( $T S=0.35$ ). Arolium slightly shorter than the claws, not divided; claws simple. Dimensions of adult male (length/breadth or, in the case of the legs, length/depth in mm ). Body length 1.80. Pedipalps: trochanter 0.28/0.15, femur 1.02/0.16, patella $0.43 / 0.16$, chela $1.43 / 0.18$, hand $0.54 / 0.18$, movable finger length 0.91 . Chelicera $0.55 / 0.22$, movable finger length 0.28 . Carapace $0.53 / 0.51$. Leg I: trochanter $0.21 / 0.15$, femur $0.52 / 0.09$, patella $0.30 / 0.08$, tibia $0.25 / 0.06$, tarsus $0.56 / 0.05$. Leg IV: trochanter $0.27 / 0.15$, femoropatella $0.70 / 0.23$, tibia $0.58 / 0.10$, basitarsus $0.27 / 0.07$, telotarsus $0.57 / 0.05$.

Adult female (Figs 5B, 6F, 8). Mostly same as male; tergal chaetotaxy I-XII: 2: 4: 4: 5: 6: 6: 6: 6: 5: 4: TT: 0; sternal chaetotaxy IV-XII: 5: 6: 8: 8: 9: 9: 8: 0: 2; anterior genital operculum with five setae, posterior margin with six marginal setae, 11 in total; leg IV with a long tactile seta on both tarsal segments: basitarsus $3.44 \times$ longer than deep ( $T S=0.35$ ), telotarsus $9.86 \times$ longer than deep and $2.23 \times$ longer than basitarsus ( $T S=0.36$ ). Body length 1.86 . Pedipalps: trochanter 0.35/0.19 (1.84x), femur 1.20/0.20 (6.00x), patella 0.52/0.21 (2.48x), chela 1.72/0.28 (6.14x), hand $0.62 / 0.28(2.21 \times)$, movable chelal finger length 1.09 . Chelicera $0.81 / 0.33(2.45 x)$, movable finger length 0.41 . Carapace $0.69 / 0.74$ ( $0.93 \times$ ). Leg I: trochanter 0.22/0.14 (1.57x), femur 0.56/0.08 (7.00x), patella 0.37/0.08 (4.63x), tibia 0.32/0.07 (4.57x), tarsus 0.68/0.06 (11.33x). Leg IV: trochanter 0.31/0.18 (1.72x), femoropatella 0.82/0.28 (2.93x), tibia 0.68/0.11 (6.18x), basitarsus 0.31/0.09 (3.44x), telotarsus 0.69/0.07 (9.86x).

Distribution. China (Beijing).


Figure 8．Spelaeochthonius huanglaoensis sp．nov．scanning electron micrographs，paratype female A coxal spines in over－ view，with details of tips $\mathbf{B}$ serrula exterior $\mathbf{C}$ manducatory process $\mathbf{D}$ rallum．Scale bars： $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}) ; 20 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{B}) ; 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{A})$ ．

## Spelaeochthonius tuoliangensis sp．nov．

https：／／zoobank．org／91BA3955－15FC－4214－B45D－2D27571EDCCA
Figs 1，9－11

Chinese name．驼梁穴伪蝎．
Type material．Holotype：CHINA • $\uparrow$ ；Hebei Province，Shijiazhuang City，Ping－ shan County，Tuoliang National Nature Reserve； $38^{\circ} 43.233^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 113^{\circ} 46.800^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ； 1620 m a．s．l．； 13 May．2018；Xiangbo Guo and Zhaoyi Li leg．（Fig．1）；Ps．－MHBU－ HB2018．05．13－01－01．Paratype：• 1 q；same data as for holotype；Ps．－MHBU－ HB2018．05．13－01－02．

Diagnosis（ $q$ ）．Spelaeochthonius tuoliangensis sp．nov．can be separated from its congeners by its visible eyespots．It is most similar to $S$ ．huanglaoensis sp ．nov．


Figure 9. Spelaeochthonius tuoliangensis sp. nov., holotype female A habitus (dorsal view) B carapace (dorsal view) $\mathbf{C}$ carapace (lateral view), indicate eyespots (red arrows) $\mathbf{D}$ left chelicera (dorsal view) E left chela (lateral view) $\mathbf{F}$ female genital area (ventral view). Scale bars: $0.50 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}) ; 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{E}) ; 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$ (B-D, F).
but differs from it in having shorter pedipalps (e.g. chela $5.48-5.71 \times$ vs $6.14 \times$ longer than broad, length $1.15-1.20 \mathrm{~mm}$ vs 1.72 mm ; palpal femur $5.00-5.13 \times$ vs $6.00 \times$ longer than broad, length $0.77-0.80 \mathrm{~mm}$ vs 1.20 mm ) and more setae on tergite I (4 vs 2). It differs from the two congeners from China, $S$. wulibeiensis and $S$. yinae, in having more setae on tergite I (four vs two) and shorter pedipalps (e.g. chela length $1.15-1.20 \mathrm{~mm}$ vs $1.76 / 1.89 \mathrm{~mm}$; palpal femur $5.00-5.13 \times$ vs $6.40 / 7.26 \times$ longer than broad, length $0.77-0.80 \mathrm{~mm}$ vs $1.28 / 1.30 \mathrm{~mm}$ ).

Etymology. This species is named after its type locality, Tuoliang National Nature Reserve.

Description. Adult females (male unknown) (Figs 9-11). Colour: generally pale yellow; chelicerae, pedipalps, and tergites slightly darker; soft parts pale. Cephalothorax (Figs 9B, C, 10A, 11A, B, D, E): carapace inverted-trapezoid, 0.98$1.02 \times$ longer than broad, gently narrowed posteriorly; surface mostly with fine reticulations, without furrows but with four anterior lyrifissures and two posterior lyrifissures; with two pairs of eyespots and eye region bulging and convex in dorsal view; epistome present and with some tiny spinules; with 16 setae arranged s4s: 4: 2: 2: 2, most setae heavy, long, and gently curved. Chaetotaxy of coxae: P 3, I 5, II 4, III 4, IV 4; manducatory process with two acuminate distal setae, anterior seta more than $1 / 2$ length of medial seta (Fig. 11D); coxal spines present on coxa I only, comprising a transverse, contiguous series of seven or eight tridentate blades, which arise from a lightly sclerotized or translucent hillock, the central ramus of each blade (except the basal one) sharply acumino-spatulate and extending beyond the lateral rami (Fig. 11A, B); a small, bisetose intercoxal


Figure 10. Spelaeochthonius tuoliangensis sp. nov., holotype female A carapace (dorsal view) B left chelicera (dorsal view), with details of teeth $\mathbf{C}$ rallum $\mathbf{D}$ left chela (lateral view), with details of trichobothrial pattern $\mathbf{E}$ left pedipalp (minus chela, dorsal view) F left chela (dorsal view) G leg I (lateral view) H leg IV (lateral view). Scale bars: 0.20 mm .
tubercle present between coxae III and IV (Fig. 11E). Chelicera (Figs 9D, 10B, C, 11C, F): large, approximately as long as carapace, 2.12-2.19x longer than broad; six setae and two lyrifissures (exterior condylar lyrifissure and exterior lyrifissure) present on hand, movable finger with one medial seta, all setae acuminate, ventrobasal seta shorter than others. Cheliceral palm with moderate hispid granulation on both ventral and dorsal sides. Both fingers with well-developed teeth, fixed finger with 12 or 13 acute teeth, distal one largest;


Figure 11. Spelaeochthonius tuoliangensis sp. nov. scanning electron micrographs, paratype female A left coxal spines $\mathbf{B}$ right coxal spines, with details of tips $\mathbf{C}$ rallum $\mathbf{D}$ manducatory process $\mathbf{E}$ intercoxal tubercle $\mathbf{F}$ serrula exterior. Scale bars: $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{F}) ; 10 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{E})$.
movable finger with 14-16 retrorse contiguous teeth of equal length; galea absent. Serrula exterior with 19 blades and serrula interior with 15-17 blades (Fig. 11F). Rallum in two rows and composed of 11 finely pinnate blades (Figs 10C, 11C). Pedipalp (Figs 9E, 10D-F): surfaces mostly with fine reticulations; long and slender, trochanter 1.53-1.73, femur 5.00-5.13, patella 2.25-2.40, chela 5.48-5.71, hand 2.05-2.10× longer than broad; femur 2.14-2.22× longer than patella; movable chelal finger $1.70-1.77 \times$ longer than hand and $0.63-0.65 \times$ longer than chela. Setae generally long and acuminate; two distal lyrifissures present on patella (Fig. 10E). Chelal palm slightly constricted towards fingers. Fixed chelal finger and hand with eight trichobothria plus duplex trichobothrium ( $d t$ ), movable chelal finger with four trichobothria, ib, isb, eb, esb, and ist clustered at the base of fixed finger, ist slightly distal to esb; it slightly distal to est, situated subdistally and forming a pair; et situated subdistally, very close to chelal teeth; $d t$ situated distal to et, near the tip of fixed finger; sb distinctly closer to
$b$ than to st (Fig. 10D). Microsetae (chemosensory setae) absent on hand and both palpal fingers. Sensilla absent. Both chelal fingers with a row of teeth, homodentate, spaced regularly along the margin, larger and well-spaced teeth present in the middle of the row, becoming smaller and closer distally and proximally: fixed chelal finger with 21 teeth, slightly retrorse and pointed; movable chelal finger with 13 teeth (slightly smaller than teeth on fixed chelal finger) (Figs 9E, 10D). Chelal fingers straight in dorsal view (Fig. 10F). Opisthosoma: generally typical, ovate, pleural membrane finely granulated. Tergites and sternites undivided; setae uniseriate and acuminate. Tergal chaetotaxy $1-X I I: ~ 4: 5-6$ : 6: 6: 6: 7: 7: 7: 5-6: 4: TT: 0. Sternal chaetotaxy IV-XII: 12-13: 11-12: 11-12: 9-10: 9-11: 8-9: 8-9: 0: 2. Anterior genital operculum with six setae plus 13 or 14 setae on posterior margin, 19 or 20 in total (Fig. 9F). Legs (Fig. 10G, H): generally typical, long, and slender. Fine granulation present on anterodorsal faces of femur IV and patella IV. Femur of leg I 1.58-1.71× longer than patella and with one lyrifissure at the base of femur; tarsus 2.09-2.27× longer than tibia. Femoropatella of leg IV 2.76-2.77× longer than deep; tibia 4.90-5.22× longer than deep; with a long tactile seta on both tarsal segments: basitarsus $3.00-3.14 \times$ longer than deep ( $T S=0.32-0.38$ ), telotarsus $9.20-9.60 \times$ longer than deep and 2.09-2.29× longer than basitarsus ( $T S=0.35$ ). Arolium slightly shorter than the claws, not divided; claws simple. Dimensions of adult females (length/breadth or, in the case of the legs, length/depth in mm). Body length 1.71-1.88. Pedipalps: trochanter 0.23-0.26/0.15, femur 0.77-0.80/0.15-0.16, patella $0.336 / 0.15-0.16$, chela $1.15-1.20 / 0.21$, hand $0.43-0.44 / 0.21$, movable finger length $0.73-0.78$. Chelicera $0.55-0.57 / 0.26$, movable finger length 0.29 . Carapace $0.57 / 0.56-0.58$. Leg I: trochanter $0.16 / 0.13-0.14$, femur $0.41 / 0.08-$ 0.09 , patella $0.24-0.26 / 0.07$, tibia $0.22 / 0.06$, tarsus $0.46-0.50 / 0.05$. Leg IV: trochanter $0.25 / 0.14-0.15$, femoropatella $0.58-0.61 / 0.21-0.22$, tibia $0.47-$ $0.49 / 0.09-0.10$, basitarsus $0.21-0.22 / 0.07$, telotarsus $0.46-0.48 / 0.05$.

Distribution. China (Hebei).

## Discussion

The morphology of the coxal spines is an important diagnostic feature that allows to distinguish the two Asian endemic genera: Centrochthonius and Spelaeochthonius (Harvey and Harms 2022; You et al. 2022). In general, Centrochthonius shows a unique arrangement of fewer than six coxal blades that are short, tripartite, and distally acute (Gao et al. 2016; Harvey and Harms 2022; Schwarze et al. 2022). In contrast, Spelaeochthonius is characterized by having more than seven coxal blades that are longer and distally plumose or terminate as a feathered tassel (Morikawa 1954; You et al. 2022). The two new species of Spelaeochthonius described in this study, along with the previously described S. wulibeiensis, exhibit typical characters of the genus Spelaeochthonius, although with atypical coxal spines that are longer and distally spatulate (Figs 8A, 11A, B; Gao et al. 2023). In addition, the diversity of coxal spine morphology within Spelaeochthonius is notable, as seen in S. undecimclavatus Morikawa, 1956, where the spines are club-shaped rather than distally plumose (Morikawa 1956). Therefore, it is appropriate to place these two new species in the genus Spelaeochthonius, and it may be assumed that the species exhibiting these atypical spines are endemic to China. These atypical spines are similar
to those found in the three North American species classified as "Pseudotyrannochthonius" and forming a monophyletic sister group to Spelaeochthonius (Harms et al. 2024); these are all characterized by tripartite spines with spatulate tips. However, the intermediate rami of these atypical spines are notably elongated (Muchmore 1967; Benedict and Malcolm 1970).

All 11 currently known Spelaeochthonius species are exclusively found within caves and are completely blind (WPC 2024). Spelaeochthonius tuoliangensis sp. nov. represents the first epigean species of this genus with small eye spots (Fig. 9C). While most China's karst landforms are distributed in the southern subtropical regions, there are also a few karst caves located in temperate regions (Liu et al. 2020). Due to Pleistocene glaciation, caves served as refugia for troglobites, like S. huanglaoensis sp. nov., while their surface counterparts would have normally gone extinct under adverse climatic conditions (Holsinger 2000). Spelaeochthonius tuoliangensis sp. nov. may be the remnant of a former surface fauna of Spelaeochthonius that is now largely extinct in eastern Asia but remains highly diverse in subterranean habitats. The discovery of two new Spelaeochthonius species further extends the geographic range of the genus in East Asia. In contrast, the genus Allochthonius, which is also endemic to East Asia, is more widely distributed; the discovery of Allochthonius in Baltic amber from Europe (Schwarze et al. 2022) indicates a previously wider distribution of this genus. The larger population and perhaps greater adaptability of Allochthonius have allowed this genus to occupy a wider range of ecological niches.

The research on the family Pseudotyrannochthoniidae is still in its infancy in China, with 21 species recorded thus far (Fig. 1; WPC 2024), mostly concentrated in Yunnan and Guizhou provinces of southwestern China. More investigations are needed in northern and central China to explore the geographic range of this family. However, our fieldwork has revealed that these small arachnids have very low abundance, are endemic to small areas (some are confined to a single cave), are vulnerable to environmental changes, and are easily overlooked. Therefore, it is extremely important to protect their habitat while investigating.
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#### Abstract

The species of the genus Karschia Walter, 1889, collected from Xizang, China, were reviewed. A total of six species were recognized using morphological and molecular data, Karschia (Karschia) tibetana Hirst, 1907 is redescribed based on newly collected males and females, and five new species, Karschia (Karschia) dingye sp. nov., Karschia (Karschia) Ihasa sp. nov., Karschia (Karschia) zhui sp. nov., Karschia (Karschia) shigatse sp. nov., and Karschia (Karschia) namling sp. nov., are described.


Key words: Camel-spider, COI, taxonomy

## Introduction

The family Karschiidae Kraepelin, 1899, comprising four genera and 45 species, is a small family within Solifugae, with a palearctic distribution in north Africa, the Middle East, and central Asia (WSC 2024). Among the four genera within Karschiidae, Barrus Simon, 1880, is a monotypic genus; Barrussus Roewer, 1928, comprises three species, Eusimonia Kraepelin, 1899, comprises 15 species; and Karschia Walter, 1899, comprises 26 species (WSC 2024).

The genus Karschia is divided into two subgenera: the nominative subgenus, Karschia Walter, 1889, found in North Africa, the Middle East, and central Asia, comprising 18 species; and the subgenus Rhinokarschia Birula, 1935, found in central Asia, comprising eight species. Five Karschia species have been recorded from China, one Rhinokarschia species, Karschia (Rhinokarschia) rhinoceros Birula, 1922 ( ${ }^{\top}$ ㅇ, Xinjiang), and four Karschia species, Karschia (Karschia) birulae Roewer, 1934 (ơ $\uparrow$, Xinjiang), Karschia (Karschia) tarimina Roewer, 1933 (ㅇ, Xinjiang), Karschia (Karschia) tienschanica Roewer, 1933 ( (, Xinjiang) and Karschia (Karschia) tibetana Hirst, 1907 ( $\widehat{1}$, Xizang) (Harvey 2003). Additionally, Lawrence (1954) described Karschia (Karschia) nubigena, collected by Dr. Noel Humphreys, a medical officer in the British Mount Everest expedition of 1936; the World Solifugae Cata$\log$ (WSC) and Harvey's catalog mention the type locality as Mount Everest, Nepal (Harvey 2003; WSC 2024), but the route followed by that expedition suggests it was more likely collected from the Xizang side (Ruttledge et al. 1936). Based on this, it is believed that Karschia (Karschia) nubigena also occurs in Xizang.

The genus Karschia sets itself apart from other genera within Karschiidae easily by possessing a rotatable, long, and rolled flagellum, often described as sessile (Birula 1922, 1938; Bird et al. 2015). This flagellum maintains a core resemblance to a plumose seta, typically adorned with a delicate fringe of setae, which Lawrence (1954) mistakenly referred to as "cilia". The flagellum of Karschia is understood to comprise three components: a distinct stalk, a basal peg sharing similarities with the base of a whip-like flagellum, and an elongated filiform structure resembling a shaft, thus resembling a composite flagellum (Bird et al. 2015). Roewer (1934) described the flagellum of Karschia as an elongated double-walled tubular structure, originating from a seta that widened along its length. The margins of the flagellum rolled inward until they nearly formed a closed canal, suggesting a process of longitudinal in-furling. However, it is possible that the hypothesized double membrane was lost. Consequently, the flagellum of Karschia resembles a composite flagellum, with identifiable components such as a "stalk", "base", and "shaft", though its sessile nature awaits further investigation (Bird et al. 2015).

The flagellar complex of Karschia comprises several structures: a long, typically coiled, filiform flagellum; plumose setae, located ventrally to the flagellum and modified to varying degrees, including broadening referred to as flagellar complex plumose ( $f c p$ ) setae; and one or two acuminate subspiniform setae, usually swollen at the base and situated dorsoproximal to the flagellar attachment point, labeled as flagellar complex subspiniform (fcs) setae (Gromov 2004; Bird et al. 2015).

Males of Karschia lack spiniform setae on the anterior edge of the propeltidium (on both sides of the ocular tubercle) (Gromov 1998, 2004). Females of this genus exhibit differences, notably in the morphology of the more modified genital segment. The subgenus Karschia differs from the subgenus Rhinokarschia by the absence of a hornlike process on the fixed finger of the chelicerae in males (though a low crest may be present instead), and by the presence of transverse, oval, or triangular-shaped genital sternites in females, which cover the genital opening (Gromov 2004).

The systematics and phylogenetic relationships of Karschia remain poorly understood, as its congeners are relatively local and rare species (Gromov 2004). Species diagnoses in this genus are mainly based on male characters. Female descriptions are limited to body size and coloration, chelicerae dentition, and the shape and number of ctenidia on the fourth abdominal segment. The female genital segment has previously been considered of little or no taxonomic significance in species diagnosis (Roewer 1933; Birula 1938). This makes it difficult to identify female specimens. In order to address the issues related to female identification, Gromov (2004) proposed that for female diagnosis, the shape and size of the ctenidia on the fourth abdominal segment, as well as the shape, size, and relative arrangement of the sclerites of the genital segment are more reliable than others, i.e., the chelicerae dentition, the number of ctenidia on the fourth abdominal segment, the body size and coloration.

Xizang Autonomous Region, located in the southwestern part of the Qing-hai-Xizang Plateau and known as the "Roof of the World," has an average elevation exceeding 4,000 meters. The high altitude and unique geographical location form its distinctive climate and rich biodiversity, including many rare and endangered species. Xizang has become a hotspot and crucial area for global
biodiversity research in recent years. However, research on Solifugae in Xizang has been limited, with only four species previously reported: Galeodes caspius Birula, 1890, Karschia tibetana Hirst, 1907, Karschia nubigena Lawrence 1954, and Triditarsus tibetanus Roewer, 1933.

During our biodiversity survey, we revealed a widespread distribution of Solifugae in Xizang. During the process of identifying collected specimens, we observed a high level of diversity within the genus Karschia in Xizang through comparison with diagnoses, descriptions, and drawings in original literature of all known species. This research used morphological characters and molecular data to investigate the taxonomy of Solifugae in Xizang. To solve the problem of male and female combinations and to ensure the precision of our morphological identifications, we carried out genetic distance comparisons on suspected new species. For each species, both a male and a female specimen were chosen to extract genomic DNA and the COI gene was amplified. In conclusion, comparisons of morphological characteristics and molecular genetic distances have led us to conclude that there are seven species of Karschia distributed in Xizang.

From the study of the newly collected material, we provide a redescription of Karschia (Karschia) tibetana Hirst, 1907 based on males and females collected from the type locality, and the description of five new species: Karschia (Karschia) dingye sp. nov., Karschia (Karschia) Ihasa sp. nov., Karschia (Karschia) zhui sp. nov., Karschia (Karschia) shigatse sp. nov., and Karschia (Karschia) namling sp. nov.

## Materials and methods

The specimens were collected during the day by hand from under stones, and preserved in $75 \%$ and $95 \%$ alcohol, respectively. Photographs were taken using a Leica M205A stereomicroscope equipped with a DFC 550 CCD and an Olympus BX51 microscope equipped with a Kuy Nice CCD camera and were imported into Helicon Focus v. 7 for stacking. Plates and photographs were edited and retouched using Adobe Photoshop 2022. Drawings was made using the Inkscape software (v. 1.0.2.0). All measurements are in mm. Pedipalp measurements are shown as: total length (femur, tibia, metatarsus, tarsus); leg measurements are shown as: total length (femur, tibia, metatarsus, tarsus, claw). All specimens are deposited in the Museum of Hebei University (MHBU), Baoding, China.

Descriptions follow the format of Birula (1938), with some modifications by Gromov (2004). The terminology used for identifying teeth and other structures in the chelicerae follows Bird et al. (2015). Identification of individual teeth used Bird et al.'s criteria (2015) for primary homology assessment of dentition. The term 'ctenidia' stands for long, single-tipped (non-bifid) and flexible setiform structures present on some opisthosomal sternites.

The QIAGEN DNeasy Blood \& Tissue Kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA) was used to extract genomic DNA from the muscle tissues of the legs for one male and one female of each species. The PCR primer for a partial fragment of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit (COI) gene is the universal primer for invertebrate DNA barcoding LCO1490 and HCO2198 (Folmer et al. 1994). All sequences were analyzed using BLAST and are deposited in GenBank (Table 1). Sequence alignment was performed in MAFFT v. 7.313. The p-distance of intra-specific nucleotide divergence was calculated in MEGA.11.0.

Table 1. Voucher specimen information.

|  | Species | Sex | GenBank accession number | Sequence length |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | K. tibetana | Male | PP587316 | 685 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | K. tibetana | female | PP594087 | 687 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | K. zhui sp. nov. | Male | PP600574 | 687 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | K. zhui sp. nov. | Female | PP600573 | 696 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | K. shigatse sp. nov. | Male | PP600575 | 687 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | K. shigatse sp. nov. | Female | PP600578 | 683 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | K. dingye sp. nov. | Male | PP600577 | 687 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | K. dingye sp. nov. | Female | PP600576 | 670 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | K. namling sp. nov. | Male | PP600579 | 687 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | K. namling sp. nov. | Female | PP600580 | 688 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | K. Ihasa sp. nov. | Male | PP600581 | 683 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | K. Ihasa sp. nov. | Female | PP600582 | 682 |

Abbreviations as follows: $\mathbf{A} / \mathbf{C P}$ is the sum of the lengths of pedipalp, leg I, and leg IV divided by the sum of the lengths of chelicera and propeltidium, indicating the length of appendages in relation to body size. Long-legged species have larger $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{CP}$ ratios. $\mathrm{CL} / \mathrm{CH}$, chelicera length/height, large $\mathrm{CL} / \mathrm{CH}$ ratios suggest a narrow cheliceral morphology, while a more robust morphology is represented by a smaller ratio. CL, chelicera length; $\mathbf{C H}$, chelicera height; $\mathbf{f c p}$ (modified pvd), flagellar complex plumose setae; fcs, flagellar complex subspiniform to spiniform setae; FD, fixed finger, distal tooth; FM, fixed finger, medial tooth; FP, fixed finger, proximal tooth; FSD, fixed finger, subdistal tooth/teeth; FSM, fixed finger, submedial tooth/teeth; pdp, prodorsal proximal setae; PF, profondal teeth; PFM, profondal medial tooth/teeth; PFP, profondal proximal tooth/teeth; PFSP, profondal subproximal tooth/teeth; PH, Propeltidium height; PL, Propeltidium length; pvd, proventral distal setae; pvsd, proventral subdistal setae; MM, movable finger, medial tooth; MP, movable finger, proximal tooth; MSM, movable finger, submedial tooth/teeth; MSP, movable finger, subproximal tooth/teeth; MST, movable finger, subterminal tooth/teeth; RF, retrofondal teeth; RFA, retrofondal apical tooth/teeth; RFM, retrofondal medial tooth/teeth; RFP, retrofondal proximal tooth/teeth; RFSM, retrofondal submedial tooth/ teeth; RFSP, retrofondal subproximal tooth/teeth; rIf, retrolateral finger setae; sme, socket margin elevation.

## Results of genetic analyses

In this study, genomic DNA was extracted from one male and one female of each species, and genetic distances were analyzed. The intraspecific genetic distance ranged from $0 \%$ to $2.20 \%$, while the interspecific genetic distance varied from $8.08 \%$ (between K. shigatse sp. nov. (female) and $K$. dingye sp. nov. (male)) to $12.92 \%$ ( $K$. shigatse sp. nov. (male) and K. Ihasa sp. nov. (male)) (Table 2). The average genetic distance ranged from $0 \%$ to $7.92 \%$ within Galeodes caspius Birula, 1890 (Maddahi et. al 2016). Therefore, based on significant morphological differences and genetic distance, we conclude that the six species in this study can be distinguished effectively.

Table 2. Genetic distance among the six species.

|  | K. tibetana | K. tibetana | K. zhui | K. zhui | K. shigatse | K. shigatse | K. dingye | K. dingye | K. namling | K. namling | K. Ihasa | K. Ihasa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K. tibetana |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K. tibetana | 0.88\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K. zhui | 11.45\% | 11.16\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K. zhui | 11.31\% | 11.01\% | 0.15\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K. shigatse | 10.57\% | 10.28\% | 10.87\% | 10.72\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K. shigatse | 11.16\% | 10.57\% | 10.57\% | 10.57\% | 2.20\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K. dingye | 12.79\% | 12.50\% | 9.71\% | 9.85\% | 8.53\% | 8.09\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| K. dingye | 12.99\% | 12.69\% | 9.82\% | 9.97\% | 8.61\% | 8.16\% | 0.30\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| K. namling | 8.52\% | 8.22\% | 11.31\% | 11.45\% | 11.60\% | 11.60\% | 11.91\% | 12.39\% |  |  |  |  |
| K. namling | 8.52\% | 8.22\% | 11.31\% | 11.45\% | 11.60\% | 11.60\% | 11.91\% | 12.39\% | 0\% |  |  |  |
| K. Ihasa | 12.33\% | 12.04\% | 11.60\% | 11.75\% | 12.92\% | 12.33\% | 10.59\% | 10.88\% | 12.78\% | 12.78\% |  |  |
| K. Ihasa | 12.33\% | 12.04\% | 11.60\% | 11.75\% | 12.92\% | 12.33\% | 10.59\% | 10.88\% | 12.78\% | 12.78\% | 0\% |  |

## Taxonomy

Family Karschiidae Kraepelin, 1899
Genus Karschia Walter, 1889

Karschia (Karschia) tibetana Hirst, 1907
Figs 1, 3A-D, 6A, B, 8A-D, 11A, 12A, 13A-D, 16A, B, 17A, 18A, 19A, C, Tables 1, 2

Karschia tibetana: Hirst, 1907a: 322-324, figs 1, 2; Hirst, 1912: 233-234; Birula, 1922: 197; Roewer, 1932: n/a, figs 110c, 143a, 143a; Roewer, 1933: 298, figs 221a, 222a, 223o; Zilch, 1946: 123.
Karschia (Karschia) tibetana Hirst: Harvey, 2003: 286.

Type material. Holotype $\overparen{\delta}^{\lambda}$, CHINA: Xizang, Shigatse Prefecture, Gyangze County, stored at NHMUK (of Natural History Museum, United Kingdom), not examined. Paratypes: $6 \delta^{\lambda} \delta^{\lambda}, 9 q$, CHINA: Xizang, Gyangze, Kamba-Dzong, Tinki, Shekar, Kyishong, stored at SMF (Naturmuseum und Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg, Frankfurt am Main), not examined.

Materials examined. 4 § ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ (MHBU-Sol-XZ2014080601-04), $3 q$ q (MHBU-Sol-XZ2014080605-08), CHINA: Xizang, Shigatse Prefecture, Gyangze County, Enzha Village, 6.VIII.2014, leg. Chao Zhang; 1 § (MHBU-Sol-XZ2018070901), China: Xizang, Shigatse Prefecture, Gyangze County, Ralung Town, $28.8176^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $90.0369^{\circ}$ E, 4451 m elev., 9. VII.2018, leg. Yannan Mu.

Diagnosis. K. tibetana differs from all Karschia species except K. nubigena, K. dingye sp. nov., K. Ihasa sp. nov., K. zhui sp. nov., K. shigatse sp. nov. and K. namling sp. nov. by male cheliceral movable finger with MSM teeth. K. tibetana differs from $K$. nubigena by having fringed flagellum (Fig. 11A) and male ctenidia in sternite IV cylindrical and not wide at bottom (Fig. 19C), from K. dingye sp. nov., K. Ihasa sp. nov. and $K$. zhui sp. nov. by pedipalpal metatarsus without or with only a few papillae (Fig. 16B), and from $K$. shigatse sp. nov. and $K$. namling sp. nov. by flagellum without lateral apophysis and long fcp (Fig. 11A). The female genital operculum is easily recognizable in all known species; it is long, subtriangular, and with no clear demarcation between the plates, with the rear edge being lightly chitinized (Fig. 17A).


Figure 1. Map plotting known locality records.

Redescription. Male (MHBU-Sol-XZ2014080601).
Measurements. Total body length 17.86, CL 4.61, CH 1.64, PL 2.14, PW 2.72, A/CP 8.28, CL/CH 2.81. Pedipalp 18.77 (5.34, 6.13, 3.81, 0.96), Leg I 14.70 (3.33, 3.68, 2.70, 1.30, 0.09), Leg II 11.49 (2.12, 2.81, 2.00, 0.85, 0.93), Leg III 15.07 (3.51, 3.91, 2.18, 0.55, 0.88), Leg IV 22.366 (5.07, 5.76, 3.60, 1.30, 1.28).


Figure 2. Habitat where K. dingye sp. nov. (A, B), K. Ihasa sp. nov. (C), K. shigatse sp. nov. (E-G) and K. namling sp. nov. (H) have been found. A, B Xizang, Dingye County, Gyangkar Town C Xizang, Lhasa City, Maizhokunggar County D Xizang, Lhasa City, Drepung Monastery E, F Xizang, Nyalam County, Mainqu Town G Xizang, Gyirong County, Zheba Town H Xizang, Namling County, Nubma Town.

Coloration. In 75\% ethanol-preserved specimens (Fig. 3A, B). The general background deep yellow. Opisthosoma gray-yellow, with black tergites and pale black sternites. Propeltidium tinged pale brown. Ocular tubercle black. Mesopeltidium and metapeltidium with special black stripes. Chelicerae with manus predominantly yellowish with some black areas, and a retrolateral view of chelicerae with three black longitudinal stripes. Pedipalps and legs yellow, legs III and legs IV tinged with pale brown on distal regions of femora and proximal parts of tibiae. Proximal regions of the pedipalpal femur, tibia, metatarsus, and tarsus tinged with brown. Malleoli yellow.

Propeltidium. Wider than long, with dense pubescence of thin, short, anteriorly directed setae. Anterior, posterior, and lateral edges with several long, curved spiniform setae perpendicular to the surface of the propeltidium. Ocular tubercle with two short and two long middle distal spiniform setae, one long middle spiniform setae, two short spiniform setae, and numerous shorter, thinner, proximal setae (Fig. 6A)

Chelicerae. Fixed finger primary teeth graded as FP $\approx$ FD < FM. Profondal teeth series with three tiny teeth; retrofondal teeth series with seven teeth. Dental formulation of fixed finger: FD-(2)-FM-(2)-FP-(7RF) (3PF). Movable finger MP tooth about the same size as MM. Movable finger dental formula: MM-(2)-MP, with two MSM teeth and two MSP (Figs 8A, 13A). Flagellum coiled, fringed and sessile, without lateral apophysis. The flagellar complex includes two long fcp and two short, thick fcs (Figs 8B, 11A, 13B). Retrolateral and dorsal surfaces of the manus with large, bifurcated tip setae and short simple tip bristle-like setae; retrolateral and dorsal surfaces of the fixed finger with simple tip setae of different sizes. Retrolateral setose area reaching the FSM teeth; prolateral surface with an array of setal types (Figs 8A, B, 13A, B).

Opisthosoma. The entire surface covered with almost adpressed setae, and numerous long, curved, bifurcate setae. Sternite III with two posterior paramedian groups of ctenidia, being gradually larger to posterior (Fig. 19A); sternite IV with a row of 19 long and thin cylindrical ctenidia (Fig. 19C).

Pedipalps. Entirely covered with short setae and long, thick setae. Tarsus with ten ventral spines; metatarsus with six ventral spines not arranged in pairs and without papillae (Figs 16A, B).

Legs. Entirely covered with long, thick, setae and short setae. Leg I with no spines and two small claws. Tibiae II, III, and IV with a pair of distal spines ventrally, and tibiae II and III with a single dorsal spine. Metatarsus II and III with a series of three dorsal spines, a pair of distal spines ventrally, and some paired short, thick, spine-shaped bristles over their entire ventral surface; metatarsus IV also with these paired bristles over its entire ventral surface and two distal spines ventrally.

Female (MHBU-Sol-XZ2014080605).
Measurements. Total body length 20.14, CL 7.35, CH 2.93, PL 4.15, PW 2.91, A/CP 4.66, CL/CH 2.50. Pedipalp 17.34 (3.82, 5.25, 4.04, 1.15), Leg I 14.62 (3.04, 4.00, 2.17, 1.22, 0.15), Leg II 11.93 (2.00, 2.70, 1.72, 0.84, 0.98), Leg III 14.97 (2.66, 3.36, 2.40, 0.75, 1.04), Leg IV 21.55 (4.16, 6.06, 3.44, 1.49, 1.10).

Coloration. In 75\% ethanol-preserved specimens (Fig. 3C, D). Coloration as in the males.


Figure 3. Habitus A-D K. tibetana, habitus, male (A, B) and female (C, D) E-H K. dingye sp. nov. habitus, male (E, F) and female (G, H). Scale bars: 8 mm .


Figure 4. Habitus A-D K. Ihasa sp. nov., habitus, male (A, B) and female (C, D) E-H K. zhui sp. nov., habitus, male (E, F) and female (G, H). Scale bars: 10 mm .

Propeltidium. Much longer than wide with a dense pubescence of thinner, short, anteriorly directed setae. Anterior, posterior, and lateral edges with several long, curved spiniform setae that are perpendicular to the surface of the propeltidium. Ocular tubercle with some long setae and numerous shorter, thinner setae (Fig. 6B).


Figure 5. Habitus A-D K. shigatse sp. nov., habitus, male (A, B) and female (C, D) E-H K. namling sp. nov., habitus, male (E, F) and female (G, H). Scale bars: 10 mm .

Chelicerae. Dental formulation of fixed finger: FD-(2)-FM-(2)-FP-(7RF) (5PF). Dental formulation of movable finger: MM-(2)-MP, with four MST and four MSP. Fondal teeth graded as II, III, IV, I, tiny V, tiny VI, tiny VII retrolaterally; II, I, III, tiny IV, tiny V prolaterally (Figs 8C, D, 12A, 13C, D).


Figure 6. Propeltidium A K. tibetana, male B K. tibetana, female C K. dingye sp. nov., holotype male D K. dingye sp. nov., paratype female E K. Ihasa sp. nov., holotype male F K. Ihasa sp. nov., paratype female. Scale bars: 1 mm .

Opisthosoma. The entire surface covered with almost adpressed setae and numerous long, curved, bifurcate setae. Genital operculum long subtriangular and bottom widened (with lightly chitinized folds) between and behind them (Fig. 17A). Sternite IV with eight ctenidia on each side, for a total of 16 longer acicular ctenidia extending 1/2 length of the succeeding sternite (Fig. 18A).

Pedipalps. Entirely covered with short setae and long, thick setae, without spines.
Legs. As in the males.


Figure 7. Propeltidium A. K. zhui sp. nov., holotype male B. K. zhui sp. nov., paratype female C. K. shigatse sp. nov., holotype male D. K. shigatse sp. nov., paratype female E. K. namling sp. nov., holotype male F K. namling sp. nov., paratype female. Scale bars: 1 mm.

Variability. Males. Total length 16.17-20.35. Body coloration pale yellow to tan. Chelicerae with manus yellowish to brown. Pedipalps without or with only a few papillae. The number of cheliceral fixed finger fondal teeth 9-11 (profondal teeth 3-4; retrofondal teeth 6-7). The number of ctenidia on sternite IV 1820. Pedipalp tarsus with 9-11 spines, metatarsus with 5-7 spines. Females.


Figure 8. Retrolateral (left) and prolateral (right) cheliceral views A, B K. tibetana, male C, D K. tibetana, female E, F K. dingye sp. nov., holotype male G, H K. dingye sp. nov., paratype female. Scale bars: $1.0 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} ; \mathbf{E}-\mathbf{H}) ; 2.0 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$.


Figure 9. Retrolateral (left) and prolateral (right) cheliceral views A, B K. Ihasa sp. nov., holotype male C, D K. Ihasa sp. nov., paratype female E, F K. zhui sp. nov., holotype male G, H K. zhui sp. nov., paratype female. Scale bars: 1.0 mm .

Total length 19.53-22.36. Variability of body coloration as in males. The number of cheliceral fixed finger fondal teeth 10-13 (profondal teeth 4-5; retrofondal teeth 6-8). MST 3-4, MSP 4-5. The number of ctenidia on sternite IV 16-18.

Distribution and Habitat. China (Xizang). Habitat: high altitude meadow and semi-desert meadow.

Remark. In the original description, K. tibetana flagellum was described as smooth with small lateral apophysis (Hirst 1907). However, upon re-examination by Hirst (1912), it was found that the flagellum did not have a small lateral apophysis. Roewer (1933) reexamined the holotype and confirmed that the flagellum is fringed, not smooth. Based on my examination of specimens collected from the type locality, the flagellum of $K$. tibetana is fringed, and without small lateral apophysis. Based on the comparison of genetic distances, with a genetic distance of $0.88 \%$ (Table 2) between male and female collected from same locations, we believe that they are same species.

## Karschia (Karschia) dingye sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/CFF26FE4-7DF2-48B8-B670-5C202CE715D2
Figs $1,3 E-H, 6 C, D, 8 E-H, 11 B, 12 B, 13 E-H, 16 C, D, 17 B, 18 B, 19 B, D$, Tables 1,2

Type material. Holotype đ (MHBU-Sol-XZ2023072701), CHINA: Xizang, Shigatse Prefecture, Dingye County, Gyangkar Town, $28.3702^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 87.7732^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, ca 4200 m elev., 27.VII.2023, leg. Yanmeng Hou, Zhiyong Yang. Paratypes: 25 ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ む (MHBU-Sol-XZ2023072702-27), 15우 (MHBU-Sol-XZ2O23072728-43), with same data as holotype.

Etymology. Noun in apposition taken from Dingye County where this species was collected.

Diagnosis. Karschia dingye sp. nov. differs from K. nubigena by having fringed flagellum (Fig. 11B), and pedipalpal metatarsus with papillae (Fig. 16D), differs from K. tibetana by flagellar complex plumose (fcp) setae short (Fig. 11B), from K. Ihasa sp. nov. and K. zhui sp. nov. by cheliceral fixed finger mucron without dorsal crest (Figs 8F, 13F), and from K. shigatse sp. nov. and K. namling sp. nov. by flagellum without lateral apophysis (Fig. 11B). The female genital operculum is easily recognizable across all known species, its bottom is slightly widened, giving it a trapezoidal appearance (Fig. 17B).

Description. Male. Holotype (MHBU-Sol-XZ2023072701).
Measurements. Total body length 17.58, CL 4.96, CH 1.77, PL 2.11, PW 3.28, A/CP 7.86, CL/CH 2.80. Pedipalp 17.70 (3.68, 5.95, 4.07, 1.51), Leg I 14.65 (3.67, 3.66, 2.72, 1.48, 0.15), Leg II 11.13 (2.74, 2.73, 2.25, 1.03, 0.76), Leg III 14.58 (3.78, 3.77, 2.87, 1.04, 1.16), Leg IV 23.25 (5.45, 6.41, 4.42, 1.38, 1.23).

Coloration. In 95\% ethanol-preserved specimens (Fig. 3E, F). The general background brown- yellow. Opisthosoma pale yellow, with black tergites and pale black sternites. Propeltidium tinged with pale brown. Ocular tubercle black. Mesopeltidium and metapeltidium with special black stripes. Chelicerae with manus predominantly yellowish, with some black areas, and a retrolateral view of chelicerae with three black longitudinal stripes (paler than K. tibetana). Pedipalps and legs pale yellow, legs III and legs IV tinged with pale brown on distal regions of femora and proximal parts of tibiae. Proximal regions of the pedipalpal femur, tibia, metatarsus, and tarsus tinged with brown. Malleoli white.


Figure 10. Retrolateral (left) and prolateral (right) cheliceral views A, B K. shigatse sp. nov., holotype male C, D K. shigatse sp. nov., paratype female E, F K. namling sp. nov., holotype male G, H K. namling sp. nov., paratype female. Scale bars: 1.0 mm .

Propeltidium. Much wider than long with dense pubescence of thin, short, anteriorly directed setae. Anterior, posterior, and lateral edges with several long, curved spiniform setae, perpendicular to the surface of the propeltidium. Ocular tubercle with one short and four long middle distal spiniform setae which



Figure 12. Female fixed finger ventral views A $K$. tibetana B $K$. dingye sp. nov. C $K$. Ihasa sp. nov. D K. zhui sp. nov. E K. shigatse sp. nov. F K. namling sp. nov. Abbreviations: FD, fixed finger, distal tooth; FSD, fixed finger, subdistal tooth/ teeth; FM, fixed finger, medial tooth; FSM, fixed finger, submedial tooth/teeth; FP, fixed finger, proximal tooth; RFA, retrofondal apical tooth/teeth; RFM, retrofondal medial tooth; RFSM, retrofondal submedial tooth/teeth; RFP, retrofondal proximal tooth; RFSP, retrofondal subproximal tooth/teeth; PFM, profondal medial tooth; PFP, profondal proximal tooth; PFSP, profondal subproximal tooth. Scale bars: 0.5 mm .
and three MSP (Figs 8E, 13E). Flagellum, fringed without lateral apophysis, and basal peg expand. The flagellar complex includes two short $f \subset p$ and two short, thick fcs (Figs 8F, 11B, 13F). Retrolateral and dorsal surfaces of the manus with large, bifurcated tip setae and short simple tip bristle-like setae; retrolateral and dorsal surfaces of the fixed finger with simple tip setae of different sizes. Retrolateral setose area reaching the FSM teeth; prolateral surface with an array of setal types (Figs 8E, F, 13E, F).

Opisthosoma. The entire surface covered with almost adpressed setae and numerous long, curved, bifurcate setae. Sternite III with two posterior paramedian groups of ctenidia, being gradually larger to posterior. (Fig. 19B); Sternite IV with 17 short peg-like ctenidia extending 1/4 the length of the succeeding sternite (Fig. 19D).

Pedipalps. Entirely covered with short setae and long, thick setae. Tarsus with eleven short, sturdy ventral spines; metatarsus with nine ventral spines not arranged in pairs and with thin papillae (Fig. 16C, D).

Legs. Entirely covered with long, thick setae and short setae. Leg I with no spines and two small claws. Tibias II, III, and IV with a pair of distal spines ventrally. Tibias II and III with a single dorsal spine; metatarsi II and III with a series of three dorsal spines, a pair of distal spines ventrally, and some paired short, thick, spine-shaped bristles over their entire ventral surface. Metatarsus IV also with these paired bristles over its entire ventral surface and two distal spines ventrally.

Female. Paratype (MHBU-Sol-XZ2023072701).
Measurements. Total body length 25.64, CL 7.18, CH 2.75, PL 3.23, PW 4.25, A/CP 4.72, CL/CH 2.61, Palp 17.61 (4.16, 4.97, 3.70, 1.24), Leg I 9.84 (2.52, 3.60, 2.57, 1.18, 0.12), Leg II 10.21 (1.48, 2.49, 1.56, 0.83, 0.70), Leg III 12.80 (2.13, 2.87, 2.51, 0.94, 0.10), Leg IV 21.68 (4.06, 5.77, 3.96, 1.13, 1.19).

Coloration. In 95\% ethanol-preserved specimens (Fig. 3G, H). Coloration as in the males.

Propeltidium. Much wider than long with a dense pubescence of thin, short, anteriorly directed setae. Anterior, posterior, and lateral edges with several long, curved spiniform setae that perpendicular to the surface of the propeltidium. Ocular tubercle with four middle distal spiniform setae, covered with some long setae and numerous shorter, thinner setae (Fig. 6D).

Chelicerae. Dental formulation of fixed finger: FD-(3)-FM-(3)-FP-(7RF) (4PF). Dental formulation of movable finger: MM-(3)-MP, with three MST (front one tiny) and two MSP. Fondal teeth graded as II, IV, V, tiny I, tiny III, tiny VI, tiny VII retrolaterally; I, II, III, tiny IV prolaterally (Figs 8G, H, 12B, 13G, H).

Opisthosoma. The entire surface covered with almost adpressed setae and numerous long, curved, bifurcate setae. The bottom of the genital operculum slightly widened, resembling a trapezoid (Fig. 17B). Sternite IV with 19 short spine-like ctenidia extending from the edge of sternite IV (Fig. 18B).

Pedipalps. Entirely covered with short setae and long, thick setae and without spines.

Legs. As in the males.
Variability. Males. Total length 14.25-18.76. Body coloration pale yellow to tan. Chelicerae with manus yellowish to brown. The number of cheliceral fixed finger fondal teeth 9-11 (profondal teeth 3-4; retrofondal teeth 6-7). The number of ctenidia on sternite IV 16-18. Pedipalp tarsus with 10-12 spines, metatarsus with $8-10$ spines. Females. Total length 20.13-27.68. Variability of body coloration as in males. The number of cheliceral fixed finger fondal teeth 9-12 (profondal teeth 3-5; retrofondal teeth 6-7). MST 2-3, MSP 1-2. The number of ctenidia on sternite IV 17-21. Additionally, we found that all specimen with 3FSD.

Distribution and habitat. China (Xizang). Habitat: meadow (Fig. 2A, B).
Remark. Based on the comparison of genetic distances, with a genetic distance of $0.30 \%$ (Table 2) between male and female collected from same locations, we believe that they are same species.


Figure 13. Retrolateral (left) and prolateral (right) cheliceral views A, B K. tibetana, male C, D K. tibetana, female E, FK. dingye sp. nov., holotype male G, H K. dingye sp. nov., paratype female. Abbreviations: FD, fixed finger, distal tooth; FSD, fixed finger, subdistal tooth/teeth; FM, fixed finger, medial tooth; FSM, fixed finger, submedial tooth/teeth; FP, fixed finger, proximal tooth; RF, retrofondal teeth; RFA, retrofondal apical tooth/teeth; RFM, retrofondal medial tooth; RFP, retrofondal proximal tooth; RFSP, retrofondal subproximal tooth/teeth; PF, profondal teeth; PFM, profondal medial tooth; PFP, profondal proximal tooth; PFSP, profondal subproximal tooth; MSP, movable finger, subproximal tooth/teeth; MP, movable finger, proximal tooth; MSM, movable finger, submedial tooth/teeth; MM, movable finger, medial tooth; MST, movable finger, subterminal teeth; $p v d$, proventral distal setae; $f c p$ (modified $p v d$ ), flagellar complex plumose setae; $p d p$, prodorsal proximal setae; fcs, flagellar complex subspiniform to spiniform setae; pvsd, proventral subdistal setae; pvd, proventral distal setae. Scale bars: $1.0 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} ; \mathbf{E - H}) ; 2.0 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$.

## Karschia (Karschia) Ihasa sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/5F281BD9-03EC-49E6-9A95-1E6E1A096FEF
Figs 1, 4A-D, 6E, F, 9A-D, 11C, 12C, 14A-D, 16E, F, 17C, 18C, 19E, G, Tables 1, 2

Type material. Holotype ð (MHBU-Sol-XZ2018070501), China: Xizang, Lhasa City, Maizhokunggar County, $29.8268^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 91.6991^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, ca 3800 m elev., 5. VIII.2018, leg. Yannan Mu. Paratypes: 1 § (MHBU-Sol-XZ2018070502), 1 q (MH-BU-Sol-XZ2018070503), with same data as holotype.

Etymology. Noun in apposition taken from Lhasa City where this species was collected.

Diagnosis. Karschia Ihasa sp. nov. differs from all Karschia species except K. zhui sp. nov. by cheliceral fixed finger mucron having dorsal crest (Fig. 11C).
K. Ihasa sp. nov. differs from K. zhui sp. nov. by cheliceral fixed finger mucron crescent-shaped dorsal crest broader (Fig. 11C), and pedipalp having more spines and thick papillae (Fig. 16H). The female genital operculum is easily recognizable when compared to that of other species; it has a clear demarcation between the plates. and resembles a fan-shaped structure. (Fig. 17C).

Description. Male Holotype (MHBU-Sol-XZ2018070501).
Measurements. Total body length 16.60, CL 4.03, CH 1.26, PL 2.01, PW 2.59, A/CP 7.57, CL/CH 3.19. Pedipalp 15.64 (3.12, 4.69, 2.46, 1.04), Leg I 10.06 (2.18, 2.78, 2.00, 0.97, 0.18), Leg II 9.28 (1.37, 2.16, 1.69, 0.74, 0.45), Leg III 12.95 (2.13, 3.13, 2.49, 0.71, 0.78), Leg IV 19.99 (4.76, 5.35, 3.75, 1.29, 1.28).

Coloration. In 75\% ethanol-preserved specimens (Fig. 4A, B). The general background pale yellow. Opisthosoma grey-yellow, with black tergites and pale black sternites. Propeltidium tinged pale brown. Ocular tubercle black. Mesopeltidium and metapeltidium with special black stripes. Chelicerae with manus predominantly brown-yellow, with some black areas, and a retrolateral view of chelicerae with three black longitudinal stripes. Pedipalps and legs yellow, legs III and legs IV tinged with pale brown on distal regions of femora and proximal parts of tibiae. Proximal regions of the pedipalpal femur, tibia, metatarsus, and tarsus tinged with brown. Malleoli yellow.

Propeltidium. Slightly wider than long with a dense pubescence of thin, short, anteriorly directed setae. Anterior, posterior, and lateral edges with several long, curved spiniform setae that perpendicular to the surface of the propeltidium. Ocular tubercle with one short and four long middle distal spiniform setae, one long median spiniform setae, two shorter posterior spiniform setae, and numerous short, thin posterior setae (Fig. 6E).

Chelicerae. Fixed finger primary teeth graded as FD < FPچFM. Profondal teeth series with three or four tiny teeth; retrofondal teeth series with six teeth. Dental formulation of fixed finger: FD-(2)-FM-(1)-FP-(6RF) (3PF). Fixed finger mucron with wider and crescent-shaped dorsal crest. Movable finger MP tooth about the same size as MM. Dental formulation of movable finger: MM-(2)-MP, with one tiny MSM and four MSP (Figs 9A, B, 14A, B). Flagellum coiled, fringed and sessile, without lateral apophysis. The flagellar complex includes two medium length $f c p$ and two short, thick fcs (Figs 9B, 11C, 14B). Retrolateral and dorsal surfaces of the manus with large, bifurcated tip setae and short, simple tip bristle-like setae; retrolateral and dorsal surfaces of the fixed finger with simple tip setae of different sizes. Retrolateral setose area reaching the FSM teeth; prolateral surface with an array of setal types (Figs 9A, B, 14A, B).


Figure 14. Retrolateral (left) and prolateral (right) cheliceral views A, B K. Ihasa sp. nov., holotype male C, D K. Ihasa sp. nov., paratype female E, F K. zhui sp. nov., holotype male G, H K. zhui sp. nov., paratype female. Abbreviations: FD, fixed finger, distal tooth; FSD, fixed finger, subdistal tooth/teeth; FM, fixed finger, medial tooth; FSM, fixed finger, submedial tooth/teeth; FP, fixed finger, proximal tooth; RF, retrofondal teeth; RFA, retrofondal apical tooth/teeth; RFM, retrofondal medial tooth; RFP, retrofondal proximal tooth; RFSP, retrofondal subproximal tooth/teeth; PF, profondal teeth; PFM, profondal medial tooth; PFP, profondal proximal tooth; PFSP, profondal subproximal tooth; MSP, movable finger, subproximal tooth/teeth; MP, movable finger, proximal tooth; MSM, movable finger, submedial tooth/teeth; MM, movable finger, medial tooth; MST, movable finger, subterminal teeth; pvd, proventral distal setae; fcp (modified pvd), flagellar complex plumose setae; pdp, prodorsal proximal setae; fcs, flagellar complex subspiniform to spiniform setae; pvsd, proventral subdistal setae; pvd, proventral distal setae. Scale bars: 1.0 mm .


Figure 15. Retrolateral (left) and prolateral (right) cheliceral views A, B K. shigatse sp. nov., holotype male C, D K. shigatse sp. nov., paratype female E, F K. namling sp. nov., holotype male G, H K. namling sp. nov., paratype female. Abbreviations: FD, fixed finger, distal tooth; FSD, fixed finger, subdistal tooth/teeth; FM, fixed finger, medial tooth; FSM, fixed finger, submedial tooth/teeth; FP, fixed finger, proximal tooth; RF, retrofondal teeth; RFA, retrofondal apical tooth/teeth; RFM, retrofondal medial tooth; RFP, retrofondal proximal tooth; RFSP, retrofondal subproximal tooth/teeth; PF, profondal teeth; PFM, profondal medial tooth; PFP, profondal proximal tooth; PFSP, profondal subproximal tooth; MSP, movable finger, subproximal tooth/teeth; MP, movable finger, proximal tooth; MSM, movable finger, submedial tooth/teeth; MM, movable finger, medial tooth; MST, movable finger, subterminal teeth; pvd, proventral distal setae; $f c p$ (modified pvd), flagellar complex plumose setae; $p d p$, prodo rsal proximal setae; fcs, flagellar complex subspiniform to spiniform setae; pvsd, proventral subdistal setae; pvd, proventral distal setae. Scale bars: 1.0 mm .

Opisthosoma. The entire surface covered with almost adpressed setae and numerous long, curved, bifurcate setae. Sternite III with short, thin ctenidia: 14+15 (on the right and left side, respectively) (Fig. 19E); Sternite IV with 13 short peg-like ctenidia, the length of which almost $1 / 3$ the width of the succeeding sternite (Fig. 19G).

Pedipalps. Totally covered with short setae and long, thick setae. Tarsus not swollen with five sturdy ventral spines; metatarsus with eight ventral spines not arranged in pairs and with thick papillae (Fig. 16E, F).

Legs. Totally covered with long, thick setae and short setae. Leg I with no spines and two small claws. Tibias II, III, and IV with a pair of distal spines ventrally. Tibias II and III with a single dorsal spine. Metatarsi II and III with a series of three dorsal spines, a pair of distal spines ventrally, and some paired short, thick, spine-shaped bristles over their entire ventral surface; metatarsus IV also with these paired bristles over its entire ventral surface and two distal spines ventrally

Female. Paratype (MHBU-Sol-XZ2018070503).
Measurements. Total body length 16.69, CL 5.10, CH 1.76, PL 2.45, PW 3.53, A/CP 4.60, CL/CH 2.90. Pedipalp 12.55 (3.13, 3.52, 2.73, 1.05), Leg I 8.15 (1.60, $2.32,1.70,0.93,0.14)$, Leg II $7.66(1.05,1.53,1.38,0.98,0.61)$, Leg III 7.74 ( 0.81 , 1.71, 2.23, 0.98), Leg IV 14.06 (1.96, 4.25, 2.23, 0.74, 0.78).

Coloration. In 75\% ethanol-preserved specimens (Fig. 4C, D). Coloration as in the males. Propeltidium. Much wider than long with a dense pubescence of thin, short, anteriorly directed setae. Anterior, posterior, and lateral edges with several long, curved spiniform setae that perpendicular to the surface of the propeltidium. Ocular tubercle with four middle distal spiniform setae, two middle spiniform setae, and two posterior spiniform setae (Fig. 6F).

Chelicerae. Dental formulation of fixed finger: FD-(2)-FM-(2)-FP-(6RF) (4PF). Dental formulation of movable finger: MM-(2)-MP, with four MST and five MSP. Fondal teeth graded as II, III, IV, I, V, VI retrolaterally; I, II, III, IV prolaterally (Figs 9C, D, 12C, 14C, D).

Opisthosoma. The entire surface covered with almost adpressed setae and numerous long, curved, bifurcate setae. The bottom of the genital operculum slightly widened, resembling a fan-shaped structure (with chitinized folds) between and behind it (Fig. 17C). Sternite IV with 13 long needle-like ctenidia extending $3 / 4$ the length of the succeeding sternite (Fig. 18C).

Pedipalps. Totally covered with short setae and long, thick setae without spines.

Legs. As in the males.
Variability. Males. Total length 15.52-16.60. The number of cheliceral fixed finger fondal teeth $9-10$ (profondal teeth $3-4$ ). The number of ctenidia on sternite III 28-32 and on sternite IV 13-14. Pedipalp tarsus with $5-6$ spines, metatarsus with 8-10 spines.

Distribution and habitat. China (Xizang). Habitat: wild grassy slope (Fig. 2C).
Remark. Based on the comparison of genetic distances, with a genetic distance of $0 \%$ (Table 2) between male and female collected from same locations, we believe that they are same species.

## Karschia (Karschia) zhui sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/CC55BE2C-C5C7-4B7D-A4A8-8019022A4339
Figs 1, 4E-H, 7A, B, 9E-H, 11D, 12D, 14E-H, 16G, H, 17D, 18D, 19F, H, Tables 1, 2

Type material. Holotype đ (MHBU-Sol-XZ2022070401), ChinA: Xizang, Lhasa City, Drepung Monastery, $29.6697^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 91.0548^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 3672.7 \mathrm{~m}$ elev., 4.VII.2022, leg. Wenlong Fan. Paratype: 1 q (MHBU-Sol-XZ2023070501), CHINA: Xizang, Lhasa City, Drepung Monastery, $29.6758^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 91.0490^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 3903 \mathrm{~m}$ elev., $5 . \mathrm{VIII}$.2023, leg. Quanyu Ji.

Etymology. Patronym honors Prof. Ming-Sheng Zhu (Hebei University), who significantly contributed to arachnological studies in China.

Diagnosis. Karschia zhui sp. nov. differs from all Karschia species except K. Ihasa sp. nov. by cheliceral fixed finger mucron having dorsal crest (Figs 9F, 11D). K. zhui sp. nov. differs from K. Ihasa sp. nov. by cheliceral fixed finger mucron dorsal crest small crescent-shaped (Figs 9F, 11D), and pedipalp having less spines and thin papillae (Fig. 16H). Female genital operculum like K. tibetana, but can be diagnosed by the lower edge, which is somewhat convex, not flat (Fig. 17D).

Description. Male. Holotype (MHBU-Sol-XZ2022070401).
Measurements. Total body length 14.10, CL 4.33, CH 1.61, PL 2.11, PW 2.96, A/CP 7.50, CL/CH 2.69. Pedipalp 16.39 (3.83, 5.41, 3.66, 1.33), Leg I 11.95 (3.06, 3.32, 2.41, 0.97, 0.14), Leg II 10.08 (1.73, 2.33, 1.77, 0.63, 0.67), Leg III 12.31 (2.57, 3.11, 1.56, 0.52, 0.61), Leg IV 19.95 (3.88, 5.36, 2.94, 1.19, 0.98).

Coloration. In 95\% ethanol-preserved specimens (Fig. 4E, F). The general background pale yellow. Opisthosoma brow yellow, with black tergites and pale black sternites. Propeltidium black tinged with pale brown. Ocular tubercle black. Mesopeltidium and metapeltidium with special black stripes. Chelicerae with manus predominantly yellowish, with some black areas, and a retrolateral view of chelicerae with three black longitudinal stripes. Pedipalps and legs pale brown-yellow, legs III and legs IV tinged with pale brown on distal regions of femora and proximal parts of tibiae. Proximal regions of the pedipalpal femur, tibia, metatarsus, and tarsus tinged with brown. Malleoli white.

Propeltidium. Wider than long with a dense pubescence of thin, short, anteriorly directed setae. Anterior, posterior, and lateral edges with several long, curved spiniform setae that perpendicular to the surface of the propeltidium. Ocular tubercle with four middle distal spiniform setae, one middle spiniform setae, and two proximal spiniform setae (Fig. 7A).

Chelicerae. Fixed finger primary teeth graded as FP < FM $\approx$ FD. Profondal teeth series with four or five tiny teeth; retrofondal teeth series with six teeth. Dental formulation of fixed finger: FD-(2)-FM-(2)-FP-(6RF) (4PF). Fixed finger mucron with crescent-shaped dorsal crest smaller than K. Ihasa. Movable finger MP tooth about the same size as MM. Dental formulation of movable finger: MM-(1)-MP, with one tiny MSM and three MSP (Figs 9E, 14E). Flagellum coiled, fringed and sessile, without lateral apophysis. The flagellar complex includes two medium length fcp and two short, thick fcs. (Figs 9E, 11D, 14F). Retrolateral and dorsal surfaces of the manus with large, bifurcated tip setae and short, simple tip bristle-like setae; retrolateral and dorsal surfaces of the fixed finger with simple tip setae of different sizes. Retrolateral setose area reaching the FSM teeth; prolateral surface with an array of setal types (Figs 9E, F, 14E, F).


Figure 16. Male pedipalp A, B K. tibetana C, D K.dingye sp. nov. E, F K. Ihasa sp. nov. G, H K. zhui sp. nov. I, J K. shigatse sp. nov. K, L K. namling sp. nov. Scale bars: 1.0 mm .

Opisthosoma. The entire surface covered with almost adpressed setae and numerous long, curved, bifurcate setae. Sternite III with a row of disordered ctenidia (Fig. 19F). Sternite IV with 16 long peg-like ctenidia, the length of which almost equal to $1 / 2$ the width of the succeeding sternite (Fig. 19H).

Pedipalps. Totally covered with short setae and long, thick setae. Tarsus with nine sturdy ventral spines; metatarsus with 11 ventral spines not arranged in pairs and with thick papillae (Fig. 16G, H).

Legs. Totally covered with long, thick setae and short setae. Leg I with no spines and two small claws. Tibias II, III, and IV with a pair of distal spines ventrally. Tibias II and III with a single dorsal spine; metatarsi II and III with a series of three dorsal spines, a pair of distal spines ventrally, and some paired short, thick,
spine-shaped bristles over their entire ventral surface. Metatarsus IV also with these paired bristles over its entire ventral surface and two distal spines ventrally.

Female. Paratype (MHBU-Sol-XZ2023070501).
Measurements. Total body length 21.52, CL 6.81, CH 2.50, PL 2.94, PW 4.27,
A/CP 4.47, CL/CH 2.72. Pedipalp 13.39 (2.74, 4.28, 3.31, 1.11), Leg IV 11.35
(1.98, 3.13, 2.21, 1.09, 0.11), Leg II 10.29 (1.53, 2.13, 1.62, 0.87, 0.79), Leg III 12.78 (1.69, 2.70, 2.06, 0.62, 0.96), Leg IV 18.81 (4.41, 4.29, 2.25, 1.05, 1.19).

Coloration. In 75\% ethanol-preserved specimens (Fig. 4G, H). Coloration as in the males.

Propeltidium. Much wider than long with a dense pubescence of thin, short, anteriorly directed setae. Anterior, posterior, and lateral edges with several long, curved spiniform setae that stand perpendicular to the surface of the propeltidium. Ocular tubercle with four middle distal spiniform setae, one middle spiniform setae, and two proximal spiniform setae (Fig. 7B).

Chelicerae. Dental formulation of fixed finger: FD-(2)-FM-(2)-FP-(8RF) (4PF). Dental formulation of movable finger: MM-(2)-MP, with four MST and three MSP. Fondal teeth graded as II, III, IV, I, V, VI, tiny VII, tiny VIII retrolaterally; II, I, III, tiny IV prolaterally (Figs 9G, H, 12D, 14G, H).

Opisthosoma. The entire surface covered with almost adpressed setae and numerous long, curved, bifurcate setae. The bottom of the genital operculum slightly widened, resembling a triangular-shape (with chitinized folds) between and behind them (Fig. 17D). Sternite IV with 13 long needle-like ctenidia extending one-third the length of the succeeding sternite (Fig. 18D).

Pedipalps. Totally covered with short and long setae, thick setae and without spines.

Legs. As in the males.
Distribution and habitat. China (Xizang). Habitat: shrubbery (Fig. 2D).
Remark. Based on the comparison of genetic distances, with a genetic distance of $0.15 \%$ (Table 2) between the male and female collected from the same location, we believe that they are same species.

## Karschia (Karschia) shigatse sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/A84E6F7D-8AC8-45D1-A756-F0172B2C3289
Figs 1, 5A-D, 7C, D, 10A-D, 11E, 12E, 15A-D, 16I, J, 17E, 18E, 19I, K, Tables 1, 2

Type material. Holotype đ (MHBU-Sol-XZ2022071501), CHINA: Xizang, Shigatse Prefecture, Nyalam County, Mainqu Town, $28.6773^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 86.1395^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 4552.71 \mathrm{~m}$ elev., 15.VII.2022, leg. Wenlong Fan. Paratype: 1 $\uparrow$ (MHBU-Sol-XZ2023072101), China: Xizang, Shigatse Prefecture Gyirong County, Zheba Town, 29.1976N, $85.3571^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 4605.8 \mathrm{~m}$ elev., 21.VII.2023, leg. Xiangbo Guo.

Etymology. Noun in apposition taken from Shigatse Prefecture where this species was collected.

Diagnosis. Karschia shigatse sp. nov. differs from K. nubigena by having fringed flagellum (Fig. 11E), from K. tibetana, K. dingye sp. nov., K. Ihasa sp. nov. and K. zhui sp. nov. by flagellum with lateral apophysis (Fig. 11E), and from K. namling sp . nov. by wide cheliceral fixed finger mucron, and lateral apophysis of flagellum larger (Fig. 11E). Female differs from other species by genital operculum equilateral subtriangular and with no clear demarcation between the plates. (Fig. 17E).


Figure 17. Genital operculum of female A K. tibetana B K. dingye sp. nov. C K. Ihasa sp. nov. D K. zhui sp. nov. E K. shigatse sp. nov. F K. namling sp. nov. Scale bars: 1.0 mm .

Description. Male. Holotype (MHBU-Sol-XZ2022071501).
Measurements. Total body length 15.52, CL 4.88, CH 1.56, PL 2.26, PW 2.74, A/CP 7.24, CL/CH 3.14. Pedipalp 19.38 (5.35, 5.62, 4.12, 1.26), Leg I 13.51 (3.17, 3.90, 2.23, 1.02, 0.19), Leg II 10.95 (2.66, 2.33, 1.37, 0.92, 0.70), Leg III 16.87 (3.62, 4.59, 3.02, 0.54, 0.87), Leg IV 18.77 (4.71, 6.49, 4.71, 1.57, 1.31).

Coloration. In 95\% ethanol-preserved specimens (Fig. 5A, B). The general background pale yellowish. The opisthosoma slightly darker, with black tergites and yellow around the black sternites. Propeltidium pale tan and tinged with pale brown. Ocular tubercle black. Mesopeltidium and metapeltidium with special black stripes. Chelicerae with manus predominantly yellowish, with some black areas, and a retrolateral view of chelicerae with three black longitudinal stripes. Pedipalps and legs pale yellow, legs III and legs IV tinged with pale brown on distal regions of femora and proximal parts of tibiae. Proximal regions of the pedipalpal femur, tibia, metatarsus, and tarsus tinged with brown. Malleoli yellow.

Propeltidium. Wider than long with a dense pubescence of thin, short, anteriorly directed setae. Anterior, posterior, and lateral edges with several long, curved spiniform setae that perpendicular to the surface of the propeltidium. Ocular tubercle with two middle distal spiniform setae and one middle spiniform setae (Fig. 7C). Chelicerae. Fixed finger primary teeth graded as FP < FD < FM. Profondal teeth series with three tiny teeth; retrofondal teeth series with seven teeth. Dental formulation of fixed finger: FD-(2)-FM-(2)-FP-(7RF) (3PF). Fixed finger mucron without dorsal crest. Movable finger MP tooth about the same size as MM. Dental formulation of movable finger: MM-(2)-MP, with two tiny MSM and three MSP (Figs 10A, 15A). Flagellum coiled, fringed and sessile, with lateral apophysis. The flagellar complex includes two medium length fcp and two short, thick fcs (Figs 10B, 11E, 15B). Retrolateral and dorsal surfaces of the manus with large, bifurcated tip setae and short, simple tip bristle-like setae; retrolateral and dorsal surfaces of the fixed finger with simple tip setae of different sizes. Retrolateral setose area reaching the FSM teeth; prolateral surface with an array of setal types (Figs 10A, B, 15A, B).

Opisthosoma. Entire surface covered almost adpressed setae, and numerous long, curved, bifurcate setae. Sternite III with 21 pine needle-like ctenidia (Fig. 19I). Sternite IV with 15 long peg-like ctenidia, the length of which almost equal to half the width of the succeeding sternite (Fig. 19K).

Pedipalps. Totally covered with short setae and long, thick setae. Tarsus with eight sturdy ventral spines; metatarsus with 10 ventral spines not arranged in pairs and with thin papillae (Fig. 16I, J).

Legs. Totally covered with long, thick setae and short setae. Leg I with no spines and two small claws. Tibias II, III, and IV with a pair of distal spines ventrally. Tibias II and III with a single dorsal spine; metatarsi II and III with a series of three dorsal spines, a pair of distal spines ventrally, and some paired short, thick, spine-shaped bristles over their entire ventral surface. Metatarsus IV also with these paired bristles over its entire ventral surface and two distal spines ventrally.

Female. Paratype (MHBU-Sol-XZ2023072101).
Measurements. Total body length 24.17, CL 6.84, CH 2.47, PL 2.63, PW 3.73, A/CP 4.97, CL/CH 2.77. Pedipalp 16.57 (4.08, 4.48, 3.50, 1.24), Leg I 12.72 (3.69, 3.37, 2.04, 0.97, 0.19), Leg II 10.86 (1.86, 2.41, 1.91, 0.75, 0.61), Leg III 13.77 (2.44, 3.29, 2.24, 0.72, 0.83), Leg IV 17.75 (4.07, 4.08, 2.61, 1.44, 0.78).

Coloration. In $75 \%$ ethanol-preserved specimens (Fig. 5C, D). Coloration as in the males.

Propeltidium. Much wider than long with a dense pubescence of thin, short, anteriorly directed setae. Anterior, posterior, and lateral edges with several long, curved spiniform setae that perpendicular to the surface of the propeltidium. Ocular tubercle with four middle distal spiniform setae and three middle spiniform setae arranged in a triangle shape (Fig. 7E).

Chelicerae. Dental formulation of fixed finger: FD-(2)-FM-(2)-FP-(8RF) (5PF). Dental formulation of movable finger: MM-(2)-MP, with four MST and two MSP. Fondal teeth graded as II, III, V, VI, VII, I, IV, tiny VIII retrolaterally; I, II, III, IV, V prolaterally (Figs 10C, D, 12E, 15C, D).

Opisthosoma. The entire surface covered with almost adpressed setae and numerous long, curved, bifurcate setae. Genital operculum equilateral subtriangular and with no clear demarcation between the plates. The rear edge of the genital sternite not chitinized (Fig. 17E). Sternite IV with 17 long needle-like ctenidia extending a half of the length of the succeeding sternite (Fig. 18E).


Figure 18. Ctenidia on sternite IV of female A K. tibetana B K. dingye sp. nov. C K. Ihasa sp. nov. D K. zhui sp. nov. E K. shigatse sp. nov. F K. namling sp. nov. Scale bars: 0.5 mm .

Pedipalps. Totally covered with short setae and long, thick setae and without spines.

Legs. As in the males.
Distribution and habitat. China (Xizang). Habitat: desert grassland (Fig. 2E-G).
Remark. Based on the comparison of genetic distances, with a genetic distance of $2.20 \%$ (Table 2) between male and female collected from different near locations, we believe that they are same species.

## Karschia (Karschia) namling sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/D73D6B1F-73C4-4D8A-8802-AF0C93B46AE6
Figs $1,2 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{H}, 7 \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, 10 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{H}, 11 \mathrm{~F}, 12 \mathrm{~F}, 15 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{H}, 16 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~L}, 17 \mathrm{~F}, 18 \mathrm{E}, 19 \mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{~L}$, Tables 1,2

Type material. Holotype ô (MHBU-Sol-XZ2023073001), CHINA: Xizang, Namling County, Nubma Town, $29.5172^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 89.6237^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 4016.27 \mathrm{~m}$ elev., 30. VIII.2023, leg. Yanmeng Hou, Zhiyong Yang. Paratypes: $1{ }^{\lambda}$ (MHBU-Sol-XZ2023073002), 4 $q$ ㅇ (MHBU-Sol-XZ2023073003-07), same data as holotype.

Etymology. Noun in apposition taken from Namling County, where this species was collected.

Diagnosis. $K$. namling sp. nov. differs from $K$. nubigena by have fringed flagellum (Fig. 11F), differs from K. tibetana, K. dingye sp. nov., K. Ihasa sp. nov. and K. zhui sp. nov. by flagellum with lateral apophysis (Fig. 11F), and from Karschia shigatse sp. nov. by less wide cheliceral fixed finger mucron, flagellum with small lateral apophysis (Fig. 11F). Female differs from other species by its genital operculum triangular (Fig. 17F) and ctenidia on sternite IV very short (Fig. 18F).


Figure 19. Ctenidia on sternite III (A, B, E, F, I, J) and sternite IV (C, D, G, H, K, L) of male A, C K. tibetana B, D K. dingye sp. nov. E, G K. Ihasa sp. nov. F, H K. zhui sp. nov. I, K. K. shigatse sp. nov. J, L K. namling sp. nov. Scale bars: 0.5 mm .

Description. Male. Holotype (MHBU-Sol-XZ2023073001).
Measurements. Total body length 16.61, CL 4.68, CH 1.58, PL 2.35, PW 3.04, A/CP 8.66, CL/CH 2.97. Pedipalp 22.21 (6.51, 6.56, 4.60, 1.50), Leg I 14.99 (3.56, 3.93, 2.59, 1.36, 0.12), Leg II 11.46 (2.52, 2.65, 1.46, 1.17, 0.19), Leg III 16.72 (3.45, 4.39, 2.86, 0.92, 0.92), Leg IV 23.73 (4.81, 6.47, 3.54, 1.42, 1.43).

Coloration. In 95\% ethanol-preserved specimens (Fig. 5E, F). The general background pale yellowish. Opisthosoma slightly darker, with black tergites and yellow
around the black sternites. Propeltidium pale tan and tinged with pale brown. Ocular tubercle black. Mesopeltidium and metapeltidium with special black stripes. Chelicerae with manus predominantly brown yellowish, with some black areas, and a retrolateral view of chelicerae with three black longitudinal stripes. Pedipalps and legs pale yellow, legs III and legs IV tinged with pale brown on distal regions of femora and proximal parts of tibiae. Proximal regions of the pedipalpal femur, tibia, metatarsus, and tarsus were tinged with brown. Malleoli white.

Propeltidium. Wider than long with a dense pubescence of thin, short, anteriorly directed setae. Anterior, posterior, and lateral edges with several long, curved spiniform setae that stand perpendicular to the surface of the propeltidium. Ocular tubercle with four middle distal spiniform setae, one middle spiniform setae, and one proximal spiniform setae. (Fig. 7E).

Chelicerae. Fixed finger primary teeth graded as FD < FM $\approx$ FP. Profondal teeth series with three tiny teeth; retrofondal teeth series with six teeth. Dental formulation of fixed finger: FD-(2)-FM-(2)-FP-(6RF) (3PF). Fixed finger mucron without dorsal crest. Movable finger MP tooth about the same size as MM. Dental formulation of movable finger: MM-(2)-MP, with two tiny MSM and three MSP (Figs 10E, 15E). Flagellum coiled, fringed and sessile, with a small lateral apophysis. The flagellar complex includes two short fcp and two short, thick fcs (Figs 10F, 11F, 15F). Retrolateral and dorsal surfaces of the manus with large, bifurcated tip setae and short, simple tip bristle-like setae; retrolateral and dorsal surfaces of the fixed finger with simple tip setae of different sizes. Retrolateral setose area reaching the FSM teeth; prolateral surface with an array of setal types (Figs 10E, F, 15E, F).

Opisthosoma. The entire surface covered with almost adpressed setae and numerous long, curved, bifurcate setae. Sternite III with numbers short and cylindrical ctenidia (Fig. 19J). Sternite IV with 14 long peg-like ctenidia, the length of which almost $1 / 3$ the width of the succeeding sternite (Fig. 19L).

Pedipalps. Totally covered with short setae and long, thick setae. Tarsus with six sturdy ventral spines; metatarsus with eight ventral spines not arranged in pairs and with thick papillae (Fig. 16K, L).

Legs. Totally covered with long, thick setae and short setae. Leg I with no spines and two small claws. Tibias II, III, and IV with a pair of distal spines ventrally. Tibias II and III with a single dorsal spine; metatarsi II and III with a series of three dorsal spines, a pair of distal spines ventrally, and some paired short, thick, spine-shaped bristles over their entire ventral surface. Metatarsus IV also with these paired bristles over its entire ventral surface and two distal spines ventrally.

Female. Paratype. (MHBU-Sol-XZ2023073003).
Measurements. Total body length 21.28, CL 6.77, CH 2.53, PL 2.76, PW 4.39, A/CP 4.6, CL/CH 2.67. Pedipalp 16.16 (4.70, 4.40, 3.63, 1.25), Leg I 9.872 (2.18, $2.89,1.66,0.98,0.19)$, Leg II 10.49 (1.93, 2.21, 1.80, 0.84, 0.66), Leg III 11.56 (2.04, 2.96, 1.44, 0.43, 0.50), Leg IV 17.90 ( $4.06,4.74,2.06,0.49,0.99$ ).

Coloration. In $75 \%$ ethanol-preserved specimens (Fig. 5G, H). Coloration as in the males.

Propeltidium. Much wider than long with a dense pubescence of thin, short, anteriorly directed setae. Anterior, posterior, and lateral edges with several long, curved spiniform setae that perpendicular to the surface of the propeltidium. Ocular tubercle with four middle distal spiniform setae and three middle spiniform setae arranged in a triangle shape (Fig. 7F).

Chelicerae. Dental formulation of fixed finger: FD-(2)-FM-(2)-FP-(6RF) (5PF). Dental formulation of movable finger: MM-(2)-MP, with four MST and four MSP. Fondal teeth graded as II, III, IV, V, I, tiny VI retrolaterally; I, II, III, IV, tiny V prolaterally (Figs 10G, H, 12F, 15G, H).

Opisthosoma. The entire surface covered with almost adpressed setae and numerous long, curved, bifurcate setae. Genital operculum triangular in shape with no clear demarcation between the plates., and the rear edge of the genital sternite not chitinized (Fig. 17F). Sternite IV with 14 short needle-like ctenidia extending $1 / 3$ the length of the succeeding sternite (Fig. 18E).

Pedipalps. Totally covered with short setae and long, thick setae.
Legs. As in the males.
Variability. Female. Total length 20.13-23.67. Body coloration pale yellow to yellow. The number of cheliceral fixed finger fondal teeth 10-12 (profondal teeth 4-6; retrofondal teeth 6-7). MST 3-5, MSP 3-4. The number of ctenidia on sternite IV 14-16.

Distribution and habitat. China (Xizang). Habitat: grassland (Fig. 2H).
Remark. Based on the comparison of genetic distances, with a genetic distance of $0 \%$ (Table 2) between male and female collected from same locations, we believe that they are same species.

## Discussion

The cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene has been extensively employed in taxonomic and differentiation studies of Arachnida species. Analysis of COI sequences enables researchers to deepen their understanding of genetic variances among various species, thus facilitating more precise delineation and differentiation (Maddahi et. al. 2016).

The rich diversity of Karschia in Xizang can be ascribed to its distinctive geographical environment and climate conditions. With an average elevation surpassing 4000 meters, Xizang features vast high-altitude lakes and intricate geographical terrain, which hinder species dispersal, resulting in geographic isolation. Furthermore, we documented the highest Solifugae record (K. shigatse sp. nov.) in the Old World, complete with precise geographic coordinates, at an elevation of 4605.8 meters.

After examining various taxonomic characteristics of solifuge species and comparative specimens in this research, we think cheliceral teeth possess some taxonomic value, particularly regarding the relative size between the primary teeth of the median teeth series, which remains constant: the fondal series typically exhibit variability, and the numbers of secondary teeth generally have poor taxonomic value unless a specific type of tooth is entirely missing. Body size and coloration are subject to variation, with the opisthosoma being relatively soft and its size susceptible to change based on the nutritional state of the specimen; coloration can fluctuate with environmental changes, indicating that these traits have limited taxonomic value. The numbers of ctenidia on the sternite and spines of the male pedipalp also display variation, albeit to a lesser extent, making them suitable as additional diagnostic characters; however, the shape and size of ctenidia on the sternite are relatively constant, rendering them reliable taxonomic characteristics. The study confirms the significance of the female genital operculum in classification, as the
shape, size, and relative arrangement of the genital operculum remain consistent among female individuals of the same species; the flagellar complex of the male serves as reliable diagnostic characteristics, particularly regarding the degree of modification of the $f c p$ (flagellar complex process plumose setae), the shape and number of fcs (flagellar complex subspiniform to spiniform setae), and the lateral apophysis.

Reevaluating the taxonomy of numerous Karschiidae species is indeed crucial and urgent. Historically, their classification and diagnostic criteria have heavily leaned on the cheliceral teeth traits of only a limited number of female specimens (Birula 1922, 1938; Roewer 1933, 1934). However, this approach may not fully capture the diversity and variation present within these species. Therefore, a more comprehensive assessment that considers a broader range of taxonomic characters, including those mentioned earlier such as the shape and numbers of ctenidia on the sternite and the shape and size of the genital operculum in females, is necessary. By incorporating these additional diagnostic characteristics, we can better elucidate the taxonomy and improve our understanding of the intricate relationships among Karschia species.
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#### Abstract

Melanastera sinica He \& Burckhardt, sp. nov., a new psylloid species developing on Grewia sp., is described from Hainan, China. It is the first Melanastera species reported from Asia and China, and the second species from the Old World. While New World species of Melanastera are mostly associated with the plant families Melastomataceae and Annonaceae, the two Old World species develop on the malvaceous Grewia, a host otherwise used in psylloids by two Haplaphalara species. The new species is described, diagnosed and illustrated, and its host plant and biogeographic ranges are discussed.


Key words: Jumping plant lice, Liviinae, Oriental Region, Paurocephalini, Sternorrhyncha, taxonomy

## Introduction

Jumping plant lice or psylloids constitute the superfamily Psylloidea, with slightly over 4000 species worldwide (Burckhardt et al. 2023). They are characterised by narrow host ranges mostly associated with eudicots and magnoliids, while hosts from the monocots and conifers are rare. In addition, related psylloid species tend to develop on related hosts (Hodkinson and Bird 2000; Burckhardt 2005a, 2005b; Burckhardt et al. 2014; Ouvrard et al. 2015). Ouvrard et al. (2015) conducted an analysis of the host patterns of psylloids worldwide and found that psylloid hosts are not evenly distributed across angiosperms. Instead, they identified particular plant taxa that are preferred hosts for psylloids. The Malvaceae is such a family, ranking as the $9^{\text {th }}$ most important host taxon while it constitutes only the $12^{\text {th }}$ largest plant family worldwide. Apart from a single species of Triozidae, Bactericera lavaterae (Van Duzee, 1924) (Burckhardt and Lauterer 1997), Malvaceae hosts all the members of the subfamily Carsidarinae (Carsidaridae) (Hollis 1987) and many species of the tribe Paurocephalini (Liviidae, Liviinae) (Burckhardt et al. 2023). Of the Paurocephalini, all of the seven recognised genera include members associated with Malvaceae. All species of Klyveria and Woldaia, and many species of Diclidophlebia, Haplaphalara and Liella develop on

Malvaceae, while only a few species of Melanastera and Paurocephala are associated with this family (Burckhardt et al. 2023; Serbina et al. in press).

Recently, an undescribed Melanastera species was discovered on the malvaceous genus Grewia in China. Melanastera currently comprises more than 60 extant species in the New World, many of which develop on Melastomataceae and Annonaceae (Burckhardt et al. 2023; Serbina et al. in press). In the Old World, the genus is represented only by a single Afrotropical species, also associated with Grewia (Burckhardt et al. 2023).

Here, we describe Melanastera sinica He \& Burckhardt, sp. nov., which represents the first record of the genus from China and Asia. Morphological information and illustrations are provided for adults and fifth instar immatures.

## Material and methods

Material was examined from the Entomological Museum of the China Agricultural University, Beijing, China (CAU) and the Naturhistorisches Museum, Basel, Switzerland (NHMB).

The morphological terminology accords with Burckhardt et al. (2023) and Serbina et al. (in press). For examining morphological structures under the compound microscope, the whole insect was cleared in a hot potassium hydroxide $(\mathrm{KOH})$ solution for ten minutes, washed in distilled water and then mounted on a slide in glycerine. Measurements were taken from slide-mounted specimens. Photos were taken with a Nikon SMZ18 microscope (Tokyo, Japan) attached to a Cannon 7D camera (Tokyo, Japan). Helicon Focus version 5.3 (Helicon Soft Ltd., Kharkiv, Ukraine) was used for image stacking. Line drawings were made using an Olympus BX41 microscope. Photoshop 2020 (Adobe Systems Inc., USA) was used to edit photos including adjustments of background colour and cropping without modifying any characters of specimens. The concept of host plants adopted here is that of Burckhardt et al. (2014). The nomenclature of plants accords with POWO (2024).

## Taxonomy

Melanastera sinica He \& Burckhardt, sp. nov.
https://zoobank.org/6F961330-7600-4B39-9885-BBC1F01FF45F Figs 1-3

Type locality. CHINA, Hainan: Ledong County, Jianfengling, Mingfenggu, 1874'24"N, 10884'81"E.

Type material. Holotype: CHINA • ${ }^{\lambda}$; Hainan, Ledong County, Jianfengling, Mingfenggu; $18^{\circ} 74^{\prime} 244^{\prime N}$, $108^{\circ} 84^{\prime} 81^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} ; 24$ Apr. 2016; X.-Y. Luo leg.; on Grewia cf. chuniana; CAU, dry mounted. Paratypes: CHINA•2 §, 7 \&, 12 immatures; same data as holotype; CAU, NHMB, dry and slide mounted, and in $95 \%$ ethanol.

Diagnosis. Adult. Body yellowish brown with small dark brown dots; forewing with each a broad medial and subapical light brown band and small, dark brown irregular spots. Metatibia with $3+4$ grouped apical metatibial spurs separated by five unsclerotised bristle-like setae anteriorly. Forewing oval, widest in apical third; pterostigma long, strongly widening to middle; surface spinules present in all cells, covering membrane up to the veins; irregularly spaced to form


Figure 1. Habitus of adults of Melanastera sinica He \& Burckhardt, sp. nov. A dorsal view B lateral view. Scale bars: 1.0 mm .
groups of 5-6 spinules. Male proctiger weakly expanded posteriorly. Paramere, in lateral view, subrectangular with antero-apical sclerotised tooth. Aedeagus two-segmented; distal segment lacking ventral process. Female proctiger with relatively straight dorsal margin; apex obliquely truncate. Circumanal ring cruciform. - Fifth instar immature. Antenna 10-segmented. Forewing pad with 5 marginal subacute sectasetae. Tarsal arolium narrowly lamellar, widening to apex which is rounded; about twice as long as claws. Caudal plate with anterior margin distant from anterior margin of extra pore fields; with 2 lateral sectasetae on either side near fore margin, and three pointed sectasetae on either side of circumanal ring dorsally.

Description. Adult. Colouration. Body (Fig. 1A, B) yellowish brown. Head and thorax covered with sparse small brown dots. Antenna yellow to yellowish brown, with apices of segments IV-IX and entire segment X dark brown to black. Femora with brown spots. Forewing yellowish with light brown pattern consisting of each a broad medial and subapical band and small, brown irregular spots, veins pale yellow.

Structure. Head, in lateral view (Fig. 1B), inclined at $45^{\circ}$ from longitudinal body axis; in dorsal view (Fig. 1A), about as wide as mesoscutum. Vertex (Fig. 2A) subrectangular, about half as long as wide; surface with fine microsculpture and microscopical setae; median suture developed; posterior margin weakly concave. Genae weakly rounded, with each a pair of long setae on either side of frons (Fig. 2A). Frons relatively large, triangular. Eyes hemisphaerical. Antenna (Fig. 2B) 1.7-1.8 times as long as head width, with a single, large subapical rhinarium on each of segments IV, VI, VIII and IX; relative length of flagellar segments as 1.0: $0.5: 0.5: 0.5: 0.6: 0.6: 0.5: 0.4$; relative length of segment 10 and terminal setae as 1.0: 1.3: 1.1. Clypeus flattened, in ventral view almost triangular. Thorax distinctly arched, with fine microsculpture; mesoscutellum swollen; metapostnotum with small subacute, laterally compressed tooth. Metacoxa with relatively short horn-shaped, blunt meracanthus; metatibia 1.0 times as long as head width, slender, weakly expanded apically; with $3+4$ grouped apical metatibial spurs separated by five unsclerotised bristle-like setae anteriorly. Forewing (Fig. 2C) 2.7-3.1 times as long as head width, 1.6-1.8 times as long as wide, oval, widest in apical third; wing apex in the middle of cell $r_{2}$; veins densely clothed in conspicuous setae; vein C+Sc straight in basal two thirds, strongly bent in apical third; pterostigma long, strongly widening to middle; vein Rs relatively straight in
the middle, curved in a $30^{\circ}$ angle to costal margin apically; vein M weakly, irregularly curved; veins $M_{1+2}$ and $M_{3+4}$ slightly shorter than $M$; vein Cu shorter than $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cu}$; vein $\mathrm{Cu}_{1 \mathrm{a}}$ evenly curved; vein $\mathrm{Cu}_{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ straight, slightly shorter than Cu; surface spinules present in all cells, covering membrane up to the veins, along veins slightly finer; irregularly spaced to form groups of 5-6 spinules. Hindwing (Fig. 2D) slightly shorter than forewing, with indistinctly grouped costal setae. Visible abdominal tergites III-V with a tubercular bump in the middle in both sexes.

Terminalia (Fig. 2E-G). Male proctiger (Fig. 2E) 1.2-1.3 times as long as head width, broad with posterior margin produced; in lateral view, widest in basal third. Subgenital plate (Fig. 2E) subglobular, weakly irregularly curved dorsally. Paramere (Fig. 2E, F), in lateral view, subrectangular with antero-apical, partly sclerotised tooth-like process; posterior margin weakly sinuate; outer and inner face covered in long setae in apical half, denser on inner face and along apical and posterior margins. Aedeagus (Fig. 2E) two-segmented; distal segment, in lateral view, tubular and slightly angular postero-apically, lacking ventral process; sclerotised end tube of ductus ejaculatorius moderately long, relatively straight. - Female terminalia (Fig. 2G) cuneate, moderately long. Proctiger 0.4 times as long as head width; in lateral view, dorsal margin, distal to circumanal ring, almost straight; with a transverse row of long setae in the middle and a lateral longitudinal row of long setae on either side in apical third; apex, in lateral view, obliquely truncate, apex slightly upturned. Circumanal ring 0.4 times as long as proctiger; in dorsal view, cruciform. Subgenital plate 0.6 times as long as proctiger; irregularly narrowing to pointed apex, in lateral view; beset with long setae in apical two thirds.

Measurements (in mm; 3 §, 2 ) . Total body length measured from anterior margin of vertex to tip of folded forewing ơ $2.31-2.52, ~ ¢ 2.42-2.68$; antennal length ठ 1.23-1.31, q 1.24-1.34; metatibia length đ $0.70-0.73, q 0.72-0.74$;
 $0.26-0.28$; paramere length $0.12-0.13$; length of distal segment of aedeagus 0.13-0.14.

Fifth instar immature (Fig. 3). Body (Fig. 3C) 1.1-1.2 times as long as wide; sparsely covered in microscopic setae. Antenna (Fig. 3A) 10-segmented with a subapical rhinarium on each of segments IV, VI, VIII and IX, and following numbers of subacute sectasetae: 1 (0), $2(2), 3(0), 4(2), 5(0), 6(2), 7(1), 8(1), 9(0)$, 10 (0). Legs moderately long with $4-5$ subacute sectasetae on tibiotarsi; tarsal arolium (Fig. 3B) narrowly lamellar, widening to apex which is rounded; about twice as long as claws. Forewing pad 0.7 times as long as antenna, bearing 5 moderately large marginal subacute sectasetae (Fig. 3C); hindwing pad with 2 marginal subacute sectasetae. Caudal plate (Fig. 3C-E) with anterior margin distant from outer band of extra pore fields, inner band of pores less distinct than outer band; with 2 sectasetae on either side laterally, and three pointed sectasetae on either side of circumanal ring dorsally.

Measurements (in mm; 2 immatures). Body length 0.98-1.01; antennal length $0.52-0.54$; length of forewing pad $0.35-0.37$; length of tarsal arolium 0.03-0.04; length of claws 0.01-0.02.

Etymology. From the Latin adjective sinicus = Chinese, referring to the unexpected discovery of this mostly American genus in China.

Distribution. China: Hainan.


Figure 2. Melanastera sinica He \& Burckhardt, sp. nov., adult $\mathbf{A}$ head $\mathbf{B}$ antenna $\mathbf{C}$ forewing $\mathbf{D}$ hindwing $\mathbf{E}$ male terminalia F inner face of paramere $\mathbf{G}$ female terminalia. Scale bars: $0.25 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}) ; 0.3 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{B}) ; 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}) ; 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{E}-\mathbf{G})$.

Host plant. Grewia cf. chuniana Burret (Malvaceae).
Comments. Serbina et al. (in press) defined several species groups within Melanastera mostly on the basis of morphological characters of adults. Melanastera sinica is a member of the curtisetosa-group for the absence of a ventral process on the distal segment of the aedeagus. The curtisetosa-group is composed of four species from Brazil associated with Asteraceae (confirmed or likely hosts) and M. pilosa (Burckhardt et al. 2006) from Kenya and Tanzania,


Figure 3. Melanastera sinica He \& Burckhardt, sp. nov., fifth instar immature $\mathbf{A}$ antenna $\mathbf{B}$ tarsal arolium $\mathbf{C}$ habitus (left: dorsal side, right: ventral side) $\mathbf{D}$ detail of extra pore fields (dorsal side) $\mathbf{E}$ detail of extra pore fields and circumanal ring (ventral side). Scale bars: $0.15 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}) ; 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{B}) ; 0.2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}) ; 0.01 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E})$.
developing on Grewia bicolor Juss. (Malvaceae) (confirmed). Melanastera sinica differs from the four Brazilian species in the forewing with a much more expanded dark pattern, which is slightly expanding towards the apex (versus parallel-sided) and bears a broad (versus narrow) pterostigma, in the broad subrectangular paramere (versus narrow, lamellar), the 10 -segmented antenna in the last instar immature (versus 9-segmented), and the host association with Malvaceae (versus Asteraceae). From the African M. pilosa with which it shares the expanded dark forewing pattern, the 10-segmented antenna in the last instar immature and the host genus Grewia, it differs in the broader paramere, the absence of long setae on the body and forewing, the broad (versus narrow) pterostigma, the broader paramere (versus narrower), and the apically broader and obliquely truncate (versus slender and subacute) female proctiger (Burckhardt et al. 2006).

## Discussion and conclusions

Burckhardt et al. (2023) suggested that, within Liviinae, new species are more likely to be discovered among the tropical Paurocephalini rather than among
its sister group, the predominantly north-temperate Liviini. The discovery of Melanastera sinica in tropical Hainan supports this notion. Melanastera is primarily found in the Neotropical region (Serbina et al. in press), with only a single species known from the Old World so far, viz. M. pilosa (Burckhardt et al. 2006). Melanastera sinica represents the second species from the Old World and the first from Asia. The presence of an expanded dark pattern on the forewing in the adults and 10-segmented antennae in the last instar immatures, shared by the two Old World species, suggests a putative sister group relationship of M. pilosa and M. sinica. The two species also share the malvaceous Grewia as the host, representing a family absent among the hosts of the New World Melanastera species.

Grewia includes 277 species in Africa, Asia and Australia (POWO 2024). Among psylloids, another two species use Grewia as the host: Haplaphalara grewiae (Kandasamy, 1986) and H. menoni (Mathur, 1975), two species of Paurocephalini from India (Burckhardt et al. 2023). Targeted surveys of Grewia species in China will show, if additional, currently unknown psylloids are associated with this genus.
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#### Abstract

Molecular data from samples encompassing 22 nominal species of Poropuntius indicate that the species-level diversity in the genus has been vastly overestimated, likely due to inadequate taxon and geographic sampling and reliance on morphological characters that vary intra-specifically. The latter includes discrete mouth morphologies related to alternate feeding strategies (ecomorphs) within populations. One new species is described, Poropuntius anlaoensis Hoàng, Phạm \& Trần, sp. nov., and 17 synonyms of six valid species names of Poropuntius, P. krempfi, P. alloiopleurus, P. huangchuchieni, $P$. laoensis, $P$. kontumensis, and $P$. deauratus, are recognised. Additional taxonomic changes in this widespread and generally poorly known genus are likely as more molecular and morphological data become available.


Key words: Cypriniformes, molecular systematics, phylogeny, Poropuntius anlaoensis sp. nov.

## Introduction

Thirty-three names currently are recognised as valid for species of Poropuntius (Fricke et al. 2023) with distribution of the genus ranging from the Irrawaddy River basin in Myanmar to the Mekong and Red River basins in Yunnan, China and south through Vietnam, Laos, Cambodia, Thailand, and peninsular Malaysia to Sumatra, Indonesia. The greatest diversity occurs in the Mekong basin of Yunnan and Vietnam, and only one species is known from peninsular Malaysia and one from Sumatra (Fricke et al. 2023).

Poropuntius has been characterised as usually having $81 / 2$ branched dorsal rays, large serrae on the posterior edge of the last simple dorsal ray, and tubercles covering the tip of the snout and occurring on the lacrimal bones (Rainboth 1996;

Roberts 1998). Tubercles occur on both sexes and, at least in most species, on juveniles as well as adults (Roberts 1998). In addition, most species have rostral and maxillary barbels, a black to dusky submarginal stripe on the upper and lower caudal-fin lobes, and a well-developed keratinised edge on the lower jaw.

Considerable confusion surrounds the taxonomy of Poropuntius, with most species having been diagnosed using morphological characters that tend to be highly plastic and comparisons made to few congenerics. Wu et al. (2013) and Muhammad-Rasul et al. (2018) investigated molecular diversity of Poropuntius and, although they targeted only a few species and small geographic areas, their results suggested some morphological hypotheses concerning species delimitations are incorrect, and larger molecular studies are needed to improve our understanding of species diversity in Poropuntius. Recent fieldwork throughout much of the distribution of Poropuntius, especially in species-rich Vietnam, has provided material for a broader study of molecular variation in the genus. Results of that study, reported herein, suggest that several species names, including nominal species of Acrossocheilus and Hypsibarbus in Vietnam, are synonyms of previously described species. A newly discovered species from Vietnam is described using morphological and molecular characteristics.

## Materials and methods

## Field sampling

Tissues were taken from selected specimens collected in the field or purchased in local markets throughout much of the range of Poropuntius (Fig. 1) and stored in $95 \%$ ethanol for molecular analysis. Specimens were then fixed in $10 \%$ formalin, subsequently transferred to $70 \%$ ethanol, and deposited at the University of Science, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam (UNS), Florida Museum of Natural History, Florida, USA (UF), and Kunming Institute of Zoology, Yunnan, China (KIZ). Samples newly obtained for this study included those from the same drainages as type localities for all nominal species in the molecular analysis except P. krempfi (Pellegrin \& Chevey, 1934) for which samples were from near the type locality in the Red River drainage (Suppl. material 1: table S1). Multiple samples and localities were included, when possible, for widespread species. Sampling sites were assigned to freshwater ecoregions following Abell et al. (2008) and Hoang et al. (2021a, 2021b).

## Molecular analysis

Molecular sequence data were newly generated or taken from GenBank for the following currently recognised species of Poropuntius (Fricke et al. 2023): P. alloiopleurus (Vaillant, 1893), P. aluoiensis (Nguyen, 1997), P. angustus Kottelat, 2000, P. bantamensis (Rendahl, 1920), P. bolovenensis Roberts, 1998, P. burtoni (Mukerji, 1933), P. carinatus (Wu \& Lin, 1977), P. deauratus (Valenciennes, 1842), P. genyognathus Roberts, 1998, P. hampaloides (Vinciguerra, 1890), P. hathe Roberts, 1998, P. heterolepidotus Roberts, 1998, P. huangchuchieni (Tchang, 1962), P. kontumensis (Chevey, 1934), P. krempfi (Pellegrin \& Chevey, 1934), P. laoensis (Günther, 1868), P. melanogrammus Roberts, 1998, P. normani Smith, 1931, P. opisthopterus (Wu, 1977), P. rhomboides (Wu \& Lin, 1977), P. schanicus


Figure 1. Sampling sites of Poropuntius in this study in 15 freshwater ecoregions shown in various colours.
(Boulenger, 1893), and P. yalyensis (Nguyen, 2001). Sequence data also were included for the following species considered to be related to Poropuntius and for which taxonomic ambiguity exists (Wu et al. 2013; Yang et al. 2015; Kang et al. 2016; Zheng et al. 2016; Muhammad-Rasul et al. 2018): Poropuntius baolacensis (Nguyen, 2001), P. brevispinus (Nguyễn \& Đoàn, 1969), Acrossocheilus xamensis Kottelat, 2000, A. macrophthalmus (Nguyen, 2001), Hypsibarbus annamensis (Pellegrin \& Chevey, 1936), and H. macrosquamatus (Mai, 1978). Hypsibarbus wetmorei was used at the outgroup species based on recent studies of cyprinid higher-level relationships (Yang et al. 2015; Stout et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2016). Given taxonomic ambiguities, it is important to identify methods used to identify specimens newly acquired by the authors for this study.

Specimens of Acrossocheilus baolacensis Nguyen, in Nguyễn and Ngô 2001 (recently as Poropuntius baolacensis) was described from Vietnam, Cao Bang Province, Nho Que, Bao Lac, Song Hong ecoregion. Near-topotypic material (UNS 2018-1410) was collected in the Gâm River of the Song Hong ecoregion and identified through comparison with the description in Nguyễn and Ngô (2001: 385-387).

Acrossocheilus macrophthalmus Nguyễn \& Ngô, 2001 was described from Vietnam, Hòa Binh, Song Hong ecoregion, Black River. Near-topotypic material (UNS 2018-1310) was collected in the Gâm River of the Song Hong ecoregion and identified through comparison with the description in Nguyễn and Ngô (2001).

Acrossocheilus xamensis Kottelat, 2000 was described from Laos, Houaphan Province, Houay Tangoua, Nậm Xảm. Near-topotypic material (UNS 20191506) was collected from Vietnam, Thanh Hóa, Quan Hóa, Lò River, Song Hong ecoregion, in the same drainage as the type locality. The identification followed Kottelat (2000: 38-39).

Acrossocheilus yalyensis Nguyen, in Nguyễn and Ngô 2001 (recently as Poropuntius yalyensis) was described from Vietnam, Kon Tum Province, Sesan River. Topotypic material (UNS 2019-1201) was collected from the Sesan River, Kon Tum, Dak Poko - Dak Pek, in the Kratie-Stung Treng ecoregion and identified through comparison with the description in Nguyễn and Ngô (2001: 393-395).

Barbodes huangchuchieni rhomboides Wu \& Lin (in Wu et al. 1977: 248; recently as Poropuntius rhomboides) was described from China, Yunnan Province, Yuanjiang (upper Red River). Near-topotypic material (UNS 2018-3010, UNS 20181111) was collected in the upper Red River in Vietnam, Lào Cai, Bản Hồ, Nậm Củn, and identified through comparison with the description in Wu et al. (1977).

Barbus alloiopleurus Vaillant, 1893 (now Poropuntius alloiopleurus) was described from Vietnam, Đà River, Song Hong ecoregion. Topotypic material (UNS 2018-3010) was collected from the Đà River and identified through comparison with the description in Kottelat (2001:35-36).

Barbus (Puntius) annamensis Pellegrin \& Chevey, 1936 (recently as Hypsibarbus annamensis) was described from Vietnam, Annam, Quảng Nam, Hàn Giang. Topotypic material (UNS 2018-2702) and near-topotypic material (UNS 2018-2402, UNS 2018-0704) from the northern Annam ecoregion was identified following Rainboth (1996: 55-58).

Barbus bantamensis Rendahl, 1920 (recently as Poropuntius bantamensis) was described from Thailand, Chiang Mai Province, Ban Tam. Topotypic material was collected in Thailand, Chiang Mai Province, Ping River drainage in the Chao Phraya ecoregion (UF 183366, UF 243217, UF 177816) and identified through comparison with the description in Rendahl (1920: 1-3).

Lissochilus aluoiensis Nguyen, 1997 (recently as Poropuntius aluoiensis) was described from Vietnam, Thua Thien Hue Province, A Luoi, A Sap at Nham, Se Kong basin. Topotypic material (UNS 2018-0304) was collected from the A Sap River of the upper Sekong drainage and identified through comparison with the description in Nguyen (1997: 1-4).

Lissochilus brevispinus Nguyễn \& Đoàn, 1969 (recently as Poropuntius brevispinus) was described from Vietnam, Hoa Binh Province, Suối Rút. Material (UNS 2018-1310, UNS 2018-1010) was collected from Hà Giang, Lô River, in the Song Hong ecoregion and identified through comparison with the description Nguyễn and $\mathrm{Ngô}$ (2001: 387-388).

Lissochilus macrosquamatus Mai, 1978 (recently as Hypsibarbus macrosquamatus) was described from Vietnam, Song Hong ecoregion. Near-topotypic material (UNS 2018-1204, UNS 2018-1604) was collected in the Long Đại River, Quảng Bình of the northern Annam ecoregion and identified through comparison with the description in Mai (1978: 99-100).

Poropuntius angustus Kottelat, 2000 was described from Laos, Louangphabang Province: Houay Houn, Ban Houay Lek. Topotypic material (UNS 2018-0711) was collected from the Nam Nứa, Lower Lancang ecoregion, in the upper reach of Nam Ou, the type locality, and identified through comparison with the description in Kottelat (2000: 46).

Poropuntius bolovenensis Roberts, 1998 was described from Laos, Champasak Province, Bolavens, Plateau, Sekong basin, Xe Nam Noi. Topotypic material was collected from Xe Nam Noi and Xe Pian in the Kratie-Stung Treng ecoregion and identified through comparisons with descriptions in Roberts (1998: 124-127) and Kang et al. (2016).

Poropuntius krempfi (Pellegrin \& Chevey, 1934) was collected in the Gâm River of the Song Hong ecoregion. Identification of our specimens (UNS 20181410) was based on comparison with material from the Red River of Song Hong, northern Vietnam, the type locality.

Poropuntius normani Smith, 1931 was described from Thailand, Chantaburi Province, Pliew Waterfall. Topotypic material (UF 235973) and near-topotypic material (UF 170368, 188709, 235982) was collected from Chantaburi Province, in the Eastern Gulf of Thailand Drainages ecoregion and identified through comparisons with descriptions in Smith (1931: 15) and Muhammad-Rasul et al. (2018: 327-342).

No genetic data are available for the following species, and no opinions are offered on their validity: Poropuntius chondrorhynchus (Fowler, 1934), P. chonglingchungi (Tchang, 1938), P. cogginii (Chaudhuri, 1911), P. exiguus (Wu \& Lin, 1977), P. faucis (Smith, 1945), P. fuxianhuensis (Wang, Zhuang \& Gao, 1982), P. margarianus (Anderson, 1879), P. shanensis (Hora \& Mukerji, 1934), P. speleops (Roberts, 1991), and P. tawarensis (Weber \& de Beaufort, 1916).

## DNA extraction and amplification

DNA was extracted from fin clips stored in 95-99\% ethanol using the DNeasy Blood \& Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) and following the protocol suggested by the manufacturer. Two mitochondrial genes, cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) and cytochrome b (Cytb), were amplified using polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Primers and PCR conditions followed Ward et al. (2005) for COI and Durand et al. (2012) for Cytb. PCR products were visualised on $1-2 \%$ agarose gels, and the most intense products were selected for purifying and Sanger sequencing by 1ST BASE (https://base-asia.com/).

## Phylogenetic analyses

Chromas 2.6.6 (http://technelysium.com.au/) was used to inspect the sequence chromatograms and assemble them into contigs, and MUSCLE in MEGA 7 (Edgar 2004; Kumar et al. 2016) was used to align the consensus sequences for each gene. Alignments were inspected by eye for accuracy, and
sequences were trimmed at the $3^{\prime}$ and $5^{\prime}$ ends to minimise missing characters. The final data matrix consisted of 568 bp for COI and 872 bp for Cytb used in the separated analyses. Uncorrected pairwise sequence divergence was estimated using the substitution model of Kimura 2-parameters, bootstraps 1000 implemented in MEGA 7 (Kumar et al. 2016). All sequences generated for this study were deposited in GenBank (Suppl. material 1: table S1). For each independent dataset of COI and Cytb, phylogenetic inferences based on Maximum Likelihood (ML) were made using IQ-TREE (Nguyen et al. 2015) through the IQ-TREE web server (Trifinopoulos et al. 2016, http://www.iqtree.org/). Optimal partitioning models for the ML inference were selected by ModelFinder (Chernomor et al. 2016; Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) in IQ-TREE, using the minimum BIC score. Partition analysis suggested best fit models for ML inference: TN+F+I+G4 (BIC = 6531.319, InL = - 2650.474) for COI and $\mathrm{HKY}+\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G} 4$ (BIC = 6910.503, InL = - 3065.931) for Cytb. Ultrafast bootstrap (BS) analysis for 1000 iterations (Bui et al. 2013) was carried out to determine statistical support for the nodes in ML. The trees obtained from ML were visualised using Figtree v. 1.4.3 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree).

## List of abbreviations

| BD | body depth; | DNA | Deoxyribonucleic Acid; |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BIC | Bayesian information criterion; | HL | head length; |
| BS | bootstrap; | LCP | length of caudal peduncle; |
| COI | Cytochrome c oxidase sub- | ML | Maximum Likelihood; |
|  | unit 1; | PCR | Polymerase Chain Reaction; |
| Cytb | Cytochrome $b ;$ | SL | standard length. |
| DCP | depth of caudal peduncle; |  |  |

## Results

The ML trees for the COI and Cytb sequences are shown in Fig. 2. The COI topology is consistent with recognition of 16 species (Fig. 2A), all represented by more than one sequence with $\geq 83 \%$ bootstrap support, including one undescribed species.

The Cytb sequences were used to examine the results of the study of $P$. huangchuchieni in southwest China by Wu et al. (2013) with a greater Cytb dataset (Fig. 2B). The five major lineages identified by Wu et al. (2013) are reassigned. The LX lineage of Wu et al. (2013) from the Song Hong aligned with $P$. krempfi in our sequences, the SW of the Upper Salween with P. opisthopterus, the RL of the Song Hong with P. alloiopleurus, the MK-A of the Lower Lancang with P. huangchuchieni, and the MK-B of the Lower Lancang with P. laoensis. The greatest similarity in the Cytb sequences was $98 \%( \pm 0.00)$, found between $P$. laoensis, which occurs in the Lower Lancang, Kratie - Stung Treng, northern Annam, Chao Phraya, and Middle \& Lower Salween ecoregions, and P. huangchuchieni in the Lower Lancang (Table 1). The COI data for $P$. huangchuchieni (Fig. 2A) aligned with the reassignments based on the Cytb data and are not discussed further.

Seven of the 16 species recognised with COI data (Fig. 2A) include representatives of other nominal species now to be recognised as synonyms. One, the


Figure 2. Maximum-likelihood tree based on (A) COI and (B) Cytb mitochondrial gene sequences for species of Poropuntius. Numbers on branches are ML bootstrap values (values $>50 \%$ shown). Bold sample labels are sequences from type localities. Blue sample labels in the Cytb tree are sequences from Wu et al. (2013). Colours of clades correspond to the freshwater ecoregions in Fig. 1.
P. krempfi clade includes 12 specimens of three nominal species currently assigned to two genera: P. krempfi, Hypsibarbus annamensis, and H. macrosquamatus. The newly collected specimens of all three nominal taxa were collected

Table 1. Cytochrome $b$ genetic distances between species in the phylogenetic analysis with $P$. genyognathus as the outgroup using the Kimura 2-parameter model, standard error estimates shown above the diagonal with bootstraps 1000.

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. P. genyognathus |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 2. P. burtoni | 0.09 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 3. P. hathe | 0.10 | 0.06 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 4. P. huangchuchieni | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.05 |  | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 5. P. alloiopleurus | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.03 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 6. P. heterolepidotus | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.04 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 7. P. opisthopterus | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 8. P. laoensis | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 |  | 0.01 |
| 9. P. krempfi | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 |  |

from their type localities in the Song Hong and northern Annam ecoregions and are embedded with $P$. krempfi specimens from the Song Hong with a mean similarity of $98.8 \%( \pm 0.29)$ (Fig. 2A). This clade received $83 \%$ bootstrap support. Hypsibarbus annamensis, and H. macrosquamatus are synonyms of $P$. krempfi. The $P$. krempfi lineage in the Song Hong ecoregion has a COI sequence similarity of $97 \%( \pm 1.00)$ with $P$. alloiopleurus from the Song Hong, $P$. opisthopterus from the Upper Salween, P. burtoni from the Sittang - Irrawaddy, and $P$. schanicus from the Inle Lake, Myanmar (Table 2).

The P. alloiopleurus clade (Fig. 2A, B) consists of 13 specimens of six nominal species (plus specimens labelled $P$. huangchuchieni in Wu et al. (2013) and Zheng et al. (2016)), currently in two genera: P. alloiopleurus, P. baolacensis, $P$. brevispinus, $P$. rhomboides, Acrossocheilus macrophthalmus, and $A$. xamensis collected in the Song Hong and northern Annam ecoregions from their type drainages (Fig. 2A). The newly collected specimens are embedded with P. alloiopleurus specimens from the Song Hong and show a mean similarity of 99.5\% $( \pm 0.20)$. This clade receives $100 \%$ bootstrap support. Thus, we conclude that $P$. baolacensis, P. brevispinus, P. rhomboides, $A$. macrophthalmus and A. xamensis are synonyms of $P$. alloiopleurus. The $P$. alloiopleurus lineage observed in the Song Hong ecoregion has a COI sequence similarity of $97 \%( \pm 1.00)$ with $P$. krempfi from the Song Hong, $P$. huangchuchieni and $P$. laoensis from the Lower Lancang, and $P$. opisthopterus from the Upper Salween (Table 2).

The $P$. huangchuchieni clade consists of three specimens of two nominal species, $P$. huangchuchieni and $P$. angustus collected from their type drainages in the Lower Lancang ecoregion. These specimens have a mean similarity of $100 \%( \pm 0.00)$ (Fig. 2A). Poropuntius angustus is a synonym of $P$. huangchuchieni. The $P$. huangchuchieni lineage in the Lower Lancang ecoregion has a COI sequence similarity of $97 \%( \pm 1.00)$ with $P$. laoensis from the Lower Lancang, $P$. alloiopleurus from the Song Hong, and $P$. opisthopterus from the Upper Salween (Table 2).

The $P$. laoensis clade consists of 17 specimens of three nominal species, P. laoensis, P. aluoiensis, and P. bantamensis collected in the Lower Lancang, Kratie - Stung Treng, northern Annam, Chao Phraya, and Middle \& Lower Salween ecoregions including from their type localities. The newly collected specimens are embedded with P. laoensis specimens from the Kratie - Stung Treng

Table 2. COI genetic distances between species in the phylogenetic analysis with Hypsibarbus wetmorei as the outgroup using the Kimura 2-parameter model, standard error estimates shown above the diagonal with bootstraps 1000.

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. H. wetmorei |  | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 2. P. genyognathus | 0.10 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| 3. P. burtoni | 0.08 | 0.08 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 4. P. hathe | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.04 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 5. P. schanicus | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 6. P. krempfi | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.03 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 7. P. opisthopterus | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 8. P. alloiopleurus | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 9. P. heterolepidotus | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 10. P. huangchuchieni | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 11. P. laoensis | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 12. P. anlaoensis sp. nov. | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.08 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 13. P. hampaloides | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 14. P. melanogrammus | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.05 |  | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 15. P. carinatus | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.10 |  | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 16. P. kontumensis | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.01 |  |
| 17. P. deauratus | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.04 |  |

and show a mean similarity of $99.1 \% ~( \pm 0.23)$ (Fig. 2A). This clade receives $97 \%$ bootstrap support. Poropuntius aluoiensis and P. bantamensis are synonyms of $P$. laoensis. The $P$. laoensis lineage observed in the Lower Lancang, Kratie - Stung Treng, northern Annam, Chao Phraya, and Middle \& Lower Salween ecoregions has a COI sequence similarity of $97 \%( \pm 1.00)$ with $P$. huangchuchieni from the Lower Lancang, $P$. alloiopleurus from the Song Hong, and P. opisthopterus from the Upper Salween (Table 2).

The $P$. anlaoensis sp. nov. lineage consists of four specimens of a new species of Poropuntius. This lineage from the Annam ecoregion has its greatest COI sequence similarity of $94 \%( \pm 1.00)$ with $P$. kontumensis from the Kratie Stung Treng (Table 2).

The $P$. kontumensis clade consists of six specimens of two nominal species, $P$. kontumensis and $P$. yalyensis, collected in the Kratie - Stung Treng ecoregion from their type localities. The newly collected specimens are embedded with $P$. kontumensis specimens from the type locality and have a similarity of $100 \%( \pm 0.00)$ (Fig. 2A). Poropuntius yalyensis is a synonym of $P$. kontumensis. The $P$. kontumensis lineage from the Kratie - Stung Treng ecoregion is most similar to $P$. carinatus from the Lower Lancang with a COI sequence similarity of $97 \%( \pm 1.00)$ (Table 2).

The $P$. deauratus clade consists of 57 specimens of six nominal species, $P$. normani, P. deauratus, P. bolovenensis, P. lobocheiloides, P. smedleyi, and P. solitus, collected the eastern Gulf of Thailand drainages, Malay Peninsula, Mekong Delta, Kratie - Stung Treng, Khorat Plateau, and Annam ecoregions, including from their type localities. These specimens are embedded with $P$. deauratus specimens from the Annam ecoregion and have a mean similarity of $98.7 \%$ ( $\pm 0.25)$. This
clade receives $98 \%$ bootstrap support. Poropuntius normani, P. bolovenensis, P. lobocheiloides, $P$. smedleyi, and $P$. solitus are synonyms of $P$. deauratus. The $P$. deauratus lineage in the Eastern Gulf of Thailand drainages, Malay Peninsula, Mekong Delta, Kratie - Stung Treng, Khorat Plateau, and Annam ecoregions has a COI sequence similarity of $96 \%( \pm 1.00)$ with $P$. carinatus from the Lower Lancang and $P$. kontumensis from the Kratie - Stung Treng ecoregion (Table 2).

## Taxonomic conclusions

Based on molecular data, 17 nominal species of Poropuntius, Hypsibarbus, and Acrossocheilus are reduced to synonyms of the six valid species of Poropuntius listed below. Also considered a junior synonym of $P$. deauratus is $P$. consternans, referred to as a variant of $P$. bolovenensis by Kottelat (2013) in an environmental assessment of a hydroelectric power project in the Bolaven Plateau, Laos and relegated to the synonymy of $P$. deauratus by Kang et al. (2016).

Morphological and molecular data also provide evidence that newly collected specimens from the Annam ecoregion represent a new species of Poropuntius, described below. Synonyms listed are only those addressed in this study.

## Taxonomic account

## Cypriniformes

Cyprinidae Rafinesque, 1815
Poropuntini Menon, 1999
Poropuntius Smith, 1931
Poropuntius krempfi (Pellegrin \& Chevey, 1934)

Barbus (Lissochilichthys) krempfi Pellegrin \& Chevey, 1934: 339. Type locality: Vietnam, Tonkin, Ngoi-Thia River at Nghia Lô, tributary of Red River upstream of Yên Bay. Holotype: MNHN 1934-0262.
Barbus (Puntius) annamensis Pellegrin \& Chevey, 1936: 225, fig. 3. Type locality: Vietnam, Annam, Quảng Nam, Hàn Giang. Holotype: MNHN 1935-0337.
Lissochilus macrosquamatus Mai, 1978: 99, fig. 42. Type locality: northern Vietnam. Syntypes: DVZUT.

Notes. Specimens of Poropuntius krempfi (Fig. 3A, B) were recovered in a clade including topotypic material of Barbus annamensis and Lissochilus macrosquamatus. The clade was sister to a large clade including P. alloiopleurus, P. heterolepidotus, P. huangchuchieni, P. laoensis, and P. opisthopterus (Fig. 2A).

Specimens of $P$. krempfi from northern Annam (MNHN 1935:0337, MNHN 1969:42) were assigned by Rainboth (1996) to Hypsibarbus annamensis presumably due to the presence of a few tiny tubercles on the tip of the snout, but this trait is not useful for separating all species of the two genera. Some of our 41 specimens of $P$. krempfi have tiny tubercles on the tip of the snout. Rainboth (1996) noted that this species was unusual in being the only species of Hypsibarbus on the coastal side of the Annam Cordillera and in having a much longer dorsal spine with 26-28 serrations, compared to 20 or fewer in other species in the Mekong River drainage.


Figure 3. Species of Poropuntius. Poropuntius krempfi from A Song Hong ecoregion, UNS 2018-1410 B northern Annam, UNS 2018-2702; P. alloiopleurus from C Song Hong, UNS 2018-3010; P. laoensis from D upper Sekong's tributary, UNS 2018-0404 E upper Sekong's pool, UNS 2018-0304; P. huangchuchieni from F Lower Lancang, UNS 2018-0711. All photographed in life. Scale bars: 10 mm .

Hypsibarbus macrosquamatus from Song Hong, northern Vietnam (type: DVZUT) described by Mai (1978) and treated as Acrossocheilus macrosquamatus by Nguyễn and Ngô (2001) resembles our P. krempfi specimens from northern Annam with a lateral-line scale count of 29-33.

Distribution. Poropuntius krempfi is found in the Song Hong and northern Annam ecoregions.

## Poropuntius alloiopleurus (Vaillant, 1893)

Barbus alloiopleurus Vaillant, 1893: 201. Type locality: Vietnam, Tonkin, Black River. Holotype: MNHN 1892-0261.
Barbodes (Barbodes) huangchuchieni rhomboides Wu \& Lin, in Wu et al. 1977: 248, pl. 7-8. Type locality: China, Yunnan, Yuanjiang River. Syntypes (15): KIZ 645614-15, 645617-19, 645622, 645624, 6440093, 6440392, 6440461, 6440564, 6450172, 6450191, 6450207, 6450322.
Poropuntius baolacensis (Nguyen, in Nguyễn and Ngô 2001): 387, fig. 189. Type locality: Vietnam, Cao Bang Province, Nho Que, Bao Lac [2256'60"N, $105^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ], Song Hong River drainage. Holotype: VNCNTTS H.01.72.13.01.

Poropuntius brevispinus (Nguyễn \& Đoàn, 1969):12, fig. 7. Type locality: Vietnam, Hoa Binh Province, Suoi Rut stream. Syntypes (3): NCNTTSI H.01.72.10.01-03. Acrossocheilus macrophthalmus Nguyen, in Nguyễn and Ngô 2001: 390, fig. 191. Type locality: Vietnam, Hoa Binh Province, Da Bac District, Da River at Thac Bo. Holotype: NCNTTSI H.01.72.14.01.
Acrossocheilus xamensis Kottelat, 2000: 38, fig. 1. Type locality: Laos, Houaphan Province, Houay Tangoua, small stream entering Nam Xam in Ban Houay Tangoua, 2009'24"N, 104³2'50"E. Holotype: ZRC 45297.

Notes. Specimens of Poropuntius alloiopleurus (Fig. 3C) were recovered in a clade including topotypic material of Acrossocheilus macrophthalmus, A. xamensis, Barbodes huangchuchieni rhomboides, Poropuntius baolacensis, and $P$. brevispinus and was sister to a clade including $P$. heterolepidotus, $P$. huangchuchieni, and P. laoensis (Fig. 2A).

Kottelat (2000) noted that Acrossocheilus xamensis resembles Poropuntius but lacks the branched lateral-line canals diagnostic of Poropuntius. Our 12 specimens of $P$. alloiopleurus are missing branched lateral-line canals; branched canals are variable within Poropuntius and do not distinguish Poropuntius from Acrossocheilus. A paratype of $A$. xamensis exhibits the typical caudal-fin characteristic of Poropuntius, a dark marginal stripe along the lower lobe (Kottelat 2000, fig. 1).

According to Nguyễn (2001), A. macrophthalmus resembles $P$. alloiopleurus but has an eye diameter longer than the snout. Our 12 specimens of $P$. alloiopleurus have eye diameters that are shorter or longer than the snout.

Nguyễn and Ngô (2001) distinguished $P$. baolacensis from $P$. brevispinus in having $28-30$ vs $18-22$ serrae along the posterior margin of the last simple dorsal-fin ray. Our 12 specimens of $P$. alloiopleurus have 20-29 serrae on the last dorsal-fin ray, partially overlapping the serrae counts given to distinguish $P$. baolacensis and P. brevispinus.

Distribution. Poropuntius alloiopleurus is found in the Song Hong ecoregion.

## Poropuntius huangchuchieni (Tchang, 1962)

Barbus huangchuchieni Tchang, 1962: 96, fig. 1. Type locality: China, Yunnan, Hsi-Shuan- Pan-Na [Xishuangbanna]; Syntypes: ASIZB [now NZMC] 39256(1), 39865(1).
Poropuntius angustus Kottelat, 2000: 46, fig. 14. Type locality: Laos, Louangphabang Province, Houay Houn, ca. 3 km upstream of Ban Houay Lek, approx. $20^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 102^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 51$ "E. Holotype: ZRC 45308.

Notes. In the original description of $P$. angustus, Kottelat (2000) made no specific mention of $P$. huangchuchieni. Topotypic material (UNS 2018-07-11, Fig. 3F) from the Nam Nứa, Lower Lancang ecoregion, in the upper reach of Nam Ou , the type locality of $P$. angustus, was genetically identical to that of $P$. huangchuchieni from the Lancang Jiang in Yunnan.

Distribution. Poropuntius huangchuchieni is found in the Lower Lancang ecoregion.

## Poropuntius laoensis (Günther, 1868)

Barbus laoensis Günther, 1868: 115. Type locality: Laos Moutains, Cochinchina. Holotype: BMNH 1862.7.28.15.
Poropuntius bantamensis (Rendahl, 1920): 1, fig. 1. Type locality: Thailand, Ban Tam, east of Doi Chieng Dao, pond fed by a subterranean stream. Holotype: NRM 10969.
Poropuntius aluoiensis (Nguyen, 1997): 1, fig. 1. Type locality: Vietnam, Thua Thien Hue Province, A Luoi District, A Sap stream at Nham, Sekong basin, $16^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 32$ "N, $107^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 31$ "E. Holotype: HNUE V1.1.21.

Notes. Our seven specimens of $P$. laoensis specimens (Fig. 3D, E) were collected in the upper Xekong drainage of Central Vietnam, the type locality, i.e., in the Lao Mountains of Cochin-China, now Central Vietnam. Topotypic material of $P$. bantamensis and $P$. aluoiensis resembled $P$. laoensis in having the proximal half of the caudal fin orange and the distal half bright yellow, and bold black submarginal stripes on the caudal fin.

Distribution. Poropuntius laoensis occurs in the Lower Salween, Chao Phraya, Lower Lancang, northern Annam, and Kratie-Stung Treng ecoregions.

## Poropuntius kontumensis (Chevey, 1934)

Cyclocheilichthys kontumensis Chevey, 1934: 32, fig. 1. Type locality: Vietnam, Annam, Pleiku Province, Kontum Lake [Mekong River drainage]. Types unknown.
Acrossocheilus yalyensis Nguyễn, in Nguyễn and Ngô 2001: 393, fig. 193. Type locality: Vietnam, Kon Tum Province, Sông Sesan [river]. Holotype: NCNTTSI H.01.72.15.01.

Notes. The five specimens of Poropuntius kontumensis (Fig. 4A) and Acrossocheilus yalyensis were collected in the Kratie - Stung Treng ecoregion from their type localities and were genetically identical.

Distribution. Poropuntius kontumensis occurs in the Kratie-Stung Treng ecoregion.

## Poropuntius deauratus (Valenciennes, in Cuvier \& Valenciennes, 1842)

Barbus deauratus Valenciennes, in Cuvier and Valenciennes 1842: 188. Type locality: Vietnam, Cochinchina [South of Hué; Kottelat 2000: 45]. Holotype: MNHN 2727.
Poropuntius normani Smith, 1931: 15. Type locality: Thailand, Chantaburi Province, Nam Tok Pliu, Kao Sabap, near Chantaburi. Holotype: USNM 90297.
Poropuntius smedleyi de Beaufort, 1933: 44. Type locality: Malaysia, Johor. Syntypes: ZRC [1, missing], ZMA 101.007 [Nijssen et al. 1993: 214).
Poropuntius bolovenensis Roberts, 1998: 124, fig. 5. Type locality: Laos, Champasak Province, Bolavens Plateau, Sekong basin, Xe Nam Noi, 300 m


Figure 4. Poropuntius kontumensis and P. deauratus. Poropuntius kontumensis from A Kratie-Stung Treng ecoregion (the Sesan drainage), UNS 2018-2201; P. deauratus from B northern Annam, UNS 2017-0310 C northern Annam, UNS 2018-2502 D southern Annam, UNS 2018-0801 E Mekong Delta (the Đồng Nai drainage) UNS 2017-1612 F Khorat Plateau (Sepon drainage), UNS 2018-0904 G upper Srepok drainage, UNS 2015-2301 H lower Srepok drainage's pool, UNS 20153006. All photographed in life. Scales bars: 10 mm .
downstream from primary dam site of Xe Nam Noi-Xe Pian hydropower scheme. Lectotype: CAS 94251 [designated by Kottelat 2000: 46].
Poropuntius lobocheiloides Kottelat, 2000: 48. Type locality: Laos, Champasak Province, Bolavens Plateau, Xe Nam Noi 300 m downstream from primary dam site for Xe Nam Noi-Xe Pian hydropower scheme, Bolavens Plateau, Sekong watershed. Holotype: CAS 94257.
Poropuntius solitus Kottelat, 2000: 48, fig. 15. Type locality: Laos, Champasak Province, Bolavens Plateau, Huay Makchan-Gnai (Xe Nam Noy basin), south of Ban Taot at turnoff to Xe Nam Noy Project, on road from Pakse to Attapu, $15^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime N}, 106^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} E$. Holotype: ZRC 45310.

Poropuntius consternans Kottelat, 2000: 48. Type locality: Laos, Champasak Province, Bolavens Plateau, Sekong drainage, Xe Nam Noi, 300 m downstream from primary dam site of Xe Nam Noi-Xe Pian hydropower scheme, Bolavens Plateau, Sekong watershed. Holotype: CAS 94255.

Notes. The P. deauratus clade contained 56 specimens of six nominal species, $P$. normani, $P$. deauratus, $P$. bolovenensis, $P$. lobocheiloides, $P$. smedleyi, and $P$. solitus, collected from a wide area including the Eastern Gulf of Thailand drainages, Malay Peninsula, Mekong Delta, Kratie - Stung Treng, Khorat Plateau, and Annam ecoregions, including type localities.

Molecular data from specimens originally identified as $P$. bolovenensis, P. Iobocheiloides, and P. solitus (GenBank: Song et al. 2017) are from specimens identified morphologically by Kang et al. (2016), who also discussed variation in these forms and in P. consternans. Kang et al. (2016) considered all to be $P$. bolovenensis. Our results agree with the conspecificity demonstrated by Kang et al. (2016) but place $P$. bolovenensis in the synonymy of $P$. deauratus. Roberts (1998) had correctly treated $P$. consternans and $P$. lobocheiloides, later named by Kottelat (2000), as ecomorphs of $P$. bolovenensis. Our five specimens of $P$. normani were collected in the same small area as the type locality.

Poropuntius deauratus occurs over a large geographic area and shows considerable variation in shape and color (Fig. 4B-H) as well as in the structural traits related to feeding morphology.

Distribution. Poropuntius deauratus is found in the Western Malaysia, Malay Peninsula Eastern Slope, Eastern Gulf of Thailand, Mekong Delta, Kratie-Stung Treng, Khorat, northern Annam, and southern Annam ecoregions.

## Poropuntius anlaoensis Hoàng, Phạm \& Trân, sp. nov.

 https://zoobank.org/17935DFC-446B-4F5C-AF44-4719D31BAD3CMaterial examined. Holotype: UNS00762, 139.46 mm SL, female; An Lão drainage, Bình Định Province, Vietnam ( $14^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 30.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 108^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 13.4^{\prime \prime E}, 547 \mathrm{~m}$ ), 19 January 2013, Hoàng Đức Huy, Phạm Mạnh Hùng and Trần Trọng Ngân (Fig. 5). Paratypes: An Lão drainage, Bình Định Province, VIETNAM ( $14^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 30.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $108^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 13.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ): UNS 2013-19-01, 5 specimens, $104-139 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, 19 January 2013; Re River, Sơn Hà, Quảng Ngãi Province, Vietnam ( $15^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 03.9^{\prime \prime N}$, 108³1'55.0"E): UF 249109, 5 specimens, 99-150 mm SL, 24 February 2018.

Diagnosis. Poropuntius anlaoensis is the only species of the genus found on the coastal side of the Annamite Cordillera and nowhere else. It differs from all other species of Poropuntius genetically (Fig. 2A), and by having distal margin of dorsal fin distinctly concave (vs straight to slightly concave). It most closely resembles $P$. deauratus but has 29-31 (vs 25-28) lateral-line scales, snout distinctly pointed (vs slightly pointed), and caudal fin light yellow with bold black submarginal stripes (vs bright lemon yellow to dusky with bold to faint black submarginal stripes). Poropuntius anlaoensis differs from P. genyognathus, P. hampaloides, and $P$. melanogrammus in having barbels (vs no barbels); from $P$. heterolepidotus and $P$. hathe in having scales on posterior half of body not markedly smaller (vs markedly smaller) than those on anterior half; from $P$. alloiopleurus, P. burtoni, P. carinatus, P. huangchuchieni, P. kontumensis, P. krempfi, P. laoensis,


Figure 5. Poropuntius anlaoensis $\mathbf{A}$ adult holotype (UNS00762, 139.46 mm SL ) in life $\mathbf{B}$ in preservative $\mathbf{C}$ ventral view of head $\mathbf{D}$ dorsal view. Scale bars: 10 mm .
P. opisthoptera, and $P$. schanicus in having 29-31 (vs > 32) lateral-line scales and bold black submarginal stripes (vs no bold black stripes) on caudal fin.

Description. General appearance in Fig. 5; meristic and morphometric data of 11 specimens in Table 3. Head conical, longer than deep, depth 1.2-1.4× in HL. Snout pointed. Tubercles tiny and few on tip of the snout, many irregular transverse rows of small tubercles reaching front of eyes in male. Mouth subterminal and oblique, extending posteriorly in length slightly longer than eye diameter and broadly horseshoe-shaped (Fig. 5C). Rostral barbel shorter than maxillary barbel, both longer than eye diameter.

Body moderately deep and compressed, depth approximately $2.8-3.3 \times$ in SL. Dorsal body profile convex, slightly convexity from nape with narrow dorsum almost straight in front of dorsal origin to dorsal fin. Base dorsal fin decreasing in height nearly straight dorsal margin of the body, extending from dorsal-fin origin to narrowest part of the caudal peduncle. Ventral profile rounded, rising through anal-fin insertion to caudal-fin base. Caudal peduncle slender, moderately shallow and long, 1.6-2.1 $\times$ longer than deep. Anus immediately in front of anal fin. Lateral line complete, 29-31 scales; 10-12 predorsal scales; $5 / 1 / 3$ scales in transverse row anterior to pelvic-fin origin. Lateral-line tubes extending at least halfway across each scale, with accessory pore on ventral branch on nearly every lateral-line scale. Dorsal iv-8.5, pectoral i-15, pelvic i-8, and anal iii-5.5.

Dorsal fin high and sharply pointed at apex, last unbranched ray longest, followed by first branched ray which is considerably shorter. Last unbranched ray ossified with 18-20 serrae. Posterior extensions of serrae forms straight

Table 3. Morphometric and meristic characters of Poropuntius anlaoensis sp. nov., $n=$ 11 including holotype.

|  | Poropuntius anlaoensis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Holotype UNS00762 | Range | Mean $\pm$ SD / mode |
| SL (mm) | 139.46 | 99.2-150.9 | $118.6 \pm 16.4$ |
| Morphometrics |  |  |  |
| \% SL |  |  |  |
| Total length | 127.6 | 124.8-129.3 | $127.1 \pm 1.4$ |
| Fork length | 108.1 | 107.2-109.7 | $108.3 \pm 0.6$ |
| Body depth | 31.4 | 29.9-35.7 | $31.6 \pm 1.5$ |
| Body width | 13.5 | 13.5-16.3 | $14.8 \pm 0.7$ |
| Head length | 24.4 | 22.4-25.7 | $24.4 \pm 1.2$ |
| Caudal peduncle length | 22.5 | 18-23.9 | $21.1 \pm 1.6$ |
| Caudal peduncle depth | 10.9 | 10.9-13.4 | $11.9 \pm 0.7$ |
| Dorsal-fin base length | 15.6 | 15.6-18.8 | $16.2 \pm 0.9$ |
| Dorsal-fin length | 25.8 | 21.4-29.7 | $25.3 \pm 2.1$ |
| Anal-fin base length | 8.9 | 8.4-10.5 | $9.3 \pm 0.6$ |
| Anal-fin length | 16.2 | 14.8-21.3 | $16.5 \pm 1.8$ |
| Pectoral-fin length | 22.1 | 20.2-22.6 | $21.4 \pm 0.8$ |
| Pelvic-fin length | 18.7 | 16.8-20.9 | $18.8 \pm 1.1$ |
| Predorsal length | 48.8 | 44.5-49.4 | $48.1 \pm 1.2$ |
| Prepectoral length | 21.3 | 19.8-23.7 | $21.6 \pm 1$ |
| Preanal length | 72.2 | 69.7-75.2 | $72.5 \pm 1.6$ |
| Prepelvic length | 48.0 | 46.5-50.8 | $48.6 \pm 1.4$ |
| Pelvic-fin base to anal-fin base | 22.0 | 18.8-22.8 | $21.1 \pm 1.1$ |
| Pectoral-fin base to pelvic-fin base | 25.5 | 21.3-26.2 | $23.4 \pm 1.6$ |
| \% HL |  |  |  |
| Head depth at nape | 84.3 | 44.5-84.3 | $76.6 \pm 10.7$ |
| Head width | 56.7 | 54.8-63.7 | $58.3 \pm 2.5$ |
| Snout length | 30.8 | 26.3-35.8 | $29.5 \pm 2.6$ |
| Interorbital width | 35 | 32.9-41.9 | $37.9 \pm 2.7$ |
| Eye diameter | 23.5 | 23.5-27.3 | $25.9 \pm 1.1$ |
| Mouth width | 30.8 | 25.4-33.2 | $29.6 \pm 2.5$ |
| Rostral barbel length | 38.2 | 23.1-38.2 | $30.4 \pm 4$ |
| Maxillary barbel length | 31.4 | 23.3-39 | $33.4 \pm 4.3$ |


| Counts |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dorsal-fin spines and rays | $\mathrm{iv}, 8.5$ | $\mathrm{iv}, 8.5$ |  |
| Anal-fin spines and rays | $\mathrm{iii}, 5.5$ | $\mathrm{iii}, 5.5$ |  |
| Pectoral-fin spines and rays | $\mathrm{i}, 15$ | $\mathrm{i}, 11-16$ | mode $=\mathrm{i}, 15$ |
| Pelvic-fin spines and rays | 29 | $\mathrm{i}, 8$ |  |
| Lateral-line scales | 5.5 | $29-31$ | mode $=30$ |
| Transverse scales rows above <br> lateral-line | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| Transverse scales rows below <br> lateral-line | 20 | $20-22$ | mode $=22$ |
| Circumferential scale rows | 14 | 14 |  |
| Circumpeduncular scale rows | 11 | $10-12$ | mode $=10$ |
| Predorsal scales | 8 | $7-9$ | mode $=7$ |
| Scales from end of anal-fin base to <br> caudal-fin origin | 24 | $16-27$ | mode $=24$ |
| Serrae on last simple dorsal-fin ray |  |  |  |

line, with base line curved posteriorly. More distal denticles curved along their lengths. Distal margin of fin strongly concave, with posteriormost ray equalling length of third branched ray. Dorsal-fin origin approximately opposite pelvic-fin origin. Dorsal-fin base longer than anal-fin base.

Pectoral fin long, extending to third scale row before pelvic-fin origin.
Pelvic fin not extending to base of last unbranched anal ray. Distal margin concave near tip with falcate apex. Axillary scale present at base of pelvic fin.

Anal fin moderate, distal margin straight when fin is erect.
Caudal fin deeply forked with outer rays nearly $4 \times$ length of middle rays. Upper and lower lobes nearly equal in length with straight distal margin on each lobe.

Colour in life. Head dark greenish golden on top, greenish golden around orbit and on opercula, white on lower jaw. Body primarily silvery but greenish golden on dorsally to lateral line, scale bases with melanophores. All fins except caudal hyaline with pinkish orange tinge on branched rays; fins more darkly pigmented in adults. Caudal fin yellow with bold black submarginal stripes (Fig. 5A).

Colour in preservative. Body including head dark brown on back. Opercula dark at base. Lower half of body light brown. Scale margins lined with brown, forming network. All fins brown to dark brown (Fig. 5B).

Etymology. Specific epithet is in reference to the type locality, the An Lão drainage.

Suggested common name. Cá hồng nhau An Lão (Vietnamese), Anlao brook barb (English).

Distribution. Poropuntius anlaoensis is restricted to the southern Annam ecoregion and is possibly endemic to the coastal side of the Annamite Cordillera.

## Key to species of Poropuntius

1 No barbels; black on distal half of dorsal fin ..... 2

- Maxillary barbels present; black, if present, confined to margin of fin ..... 3
2 Bold black midlateral stripe on body P. melanogrammus
- No black midlateral stripe on body P. hampaloides
3 No rostral barbels; maxillary barbels very small (nubs).....P. genyognathus4
4 Scales on posterior half of body markedly smaller than those on anterior ..... 5
- Scales on posterior half of body not markedly smaller than those on ante-rior6
5 Lateral-line scales 39-40; no black submarginal stripes on caudal fin. ..... P. heterolepidotus- Lateral-line scales 33-35; bold black submarginal stripes on caudal fin....P. hathe6 Lateral-line scales 35-46; branched lateral-line canals almost absent........P. alloiopleurus
- Lateral-line scales $\leq 40$; branched lateral-line canals present ..... 7
7 No black submarginal stripes on caudal fin .....  8
- Black submarginal stripes on caudal fin ..... 10
8 Lateral-line scales 29-31; barbels short and thin, rostral barbel not ex-tending to anterior margin of eye
- Lateral-line scales > 35; barbels well developed, rostral barbel extending toanterior margin of eye 9
9 Predorsal scales 12-14 P. burtoni
- Predorsal scales 15-16 P. opisthoptera
10 Lateral-line scales < 32 or > 32 with caudal fin bright yellow to dusky green- ish yellow ..... 11
- Lateral-line scales > 32 without caudal fin colour as above ..... 12
11 Head longer than deep; distal margin of dorsal fin distinctly concave. .....
P. anlaoensis
- Head shorter than deep; distal margin of dorsal fin straight .P. deauratus
12 Bold black marginal stripes on caudal fin; green-gold to silver scales; or- ange to orangish yellow caudal fin P. laoensis
- Dusky grey or brown marginal stripes on caudal fin ..... 13
13 Dorsal fin height $\geq$ body depth at dorsal-fin origin P. carinatus
- Dorsal fin height < body depth at dorsal-fin origin ..... 14
14 Caudal fin dusky, grey to black P. krempfi
- Caudal fin orange to yellow-orange at base or very pale yellow ..... 15
15 Silver scales on body; Lower Lancang ecoregion P. huangchuchieni
- Orange-bronze scales on body; Kratie-Stung Treng ecoregionP. kontumensis


## Discussion

The objective of this study was to test, using molecular data, the species diversity of Poropuntius, especially that of Vietnam in which 15 species names have been recognised recently as valid. As noted above, most species of Poropuntius have been described using only morphological data, and recent investigations using molecular data suggested that some morphological hypotheses were incorrect. Our data indicate that 17 names assigned to species are synonyms.

Several factors led to the inflation of species names for Poropuntius. These include limited efforts overall in collecting and classifying cyprinid fishes, especially in northern Vietnam, limited access to type specimens in European museums, limited availability of publications (often in foreign languages - although now this is being alleviated by online access), inadequate descriptions containing limited information, and failure to appreciate morphological variation related to trophic diversification within species. Finally, a substantial portion of the cyprinid diversity of northern Vietnam is shared with that of southern China, but researchers in the two countries have not had access to specimens from both countries or to original descriptions in other languages. Consequently, studies were limited to in-country fauna, and species in each country were treated as endemic to that country.

Ecomorphological variation in Poropuntius was described and figured by Roberts (1998: figs 6, 8), and Kang et al. (2016) interpreted P. bolovenensis, P. lobocheiloides, and $P$. solitus as ecomorphs of $P$. bolovenensis. The often-extreme intraspecific phenotypic variation in the shape of the mouth and lips, and in the development of the horny sheath on the lower jaw, has led to taxonomic confusion in Poropuntius. Ecophenotypic variation is expressed especially clearly in species occupying pools isolated by barriers such as waterfalls in streams on plateaus at high elevations (e.g., on the Bolaven, Kontum, and Langbiang Plateaus) and in drainages leading to the Gulf of Tonkin (in the Song Hong and Annam ecoregions). Species not exhibiting such extreme polymorphism
may nevertheless carry information in their genomes necessary to generate an array of potentially taxonomically confusing, continuous variation as well as more disjunct phenotypes. For example, the position of the dorsal fin, often used in taxonomic diagnoses, varies from more anteriorly to more posteriorly positioned in Poropuntius, as seen in P. alloiopleurus, P. huangchuchieni, and $P$. laoensis (Wu et al. 2013; this study). Specimens of Poropuntius from flowing water habitats are characterised by a more elongated body and a relatively long caudal peduncle, while those from pools have a deeper body and larger unpaired fins (Figs 3A, B, D, E, 4G, H). Similar patterns have been described in other cyprinids, e.g., Rasbora paviana and Lobocheilos rhabdoura, with populations inhabiting fast-flowing streams having more slender bodies than those inhabiting slower-flowing habitats (Stolbunov et al. 2011; Ciccotto and Page 2016).

During a decade of field work on Southeast Asian freshwater fishes, the first author and colleagues have collected samples of $P$. deauratus, $P$. krempfi, P. alloiopleurus, and $P$. laoensis revealing marked intraspecific phenotypic variation. These samples include those from river basins in which few or no fish collections have been made or reported upon previously, and which fill in gaps in the distributions of these species. Pronounced variation among adult individuals in these species has been observed in body depth, dorsal-fin spine length, ossification and serration of the last simple dorsal-fin ray, body colour, and caudal fin colour. For example, in the wide-ranging $P$. deauratus, the typical colour in life is a silvery to light green gold body with bright yellow on the posterior half of the body and a bright lemon-yellow caudal fin with bold black submarginal stripes. However, colour in this species may be much more subtle with the body uniformly dusky to dark and the fins dusky (Fig. 4B, H).

## Conclusions

This study has revealed that scientific names have been applied erroneously to populations of P. krempfi, P. alloiopleurus, P. huangchuchieni, P. laoensis, P. kontumensis, and $P$. deauratus. Errors in the taxonomy of Poropuntius have resulted primarily from inadequate sampling and reliance on characters that vary intraspecifically. Additional samples from unsampled or poorly sampled populations allowed the use of molecular data to test previous species hypotheses. More sampling and use of molecular as well as morphological data on species of Poropuntius that could not be included in this study are likely to require additional taxonomic changes.
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#### Abstract

In present study, a previously unidentified but frequently encountered species of deepsea protobranch, Yoldiella haimaensis sp. nov., is described new to science from the Haima Cold Seep on the northwestern slope of the South China Sea. A morphological analysis confirmed that this species belongs to a previously undescribed species of the genus Yoldiella A.E. Verrill \& K.J. Bush, 1897. It differs morphologically from other known species within the genus in its shell shape, degree of inflation, beaks, and number of hinge teeth. Furthermore, we sequenced three gene segments of $Y$. haimaensis sp. nov., comprising a nuclear ribosomal gene (18S rRNA), a nuclear protein-coding gene (histone H 3 ), and a mitochondrial gene (cytochrome c oxidase subunit $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{COI}$ ). Our phylogenetic analysis performed on the superfamily Nuculanoidea and family Yoldiidae indicates that the genus Yoldiella is non-monophyletic, and the widely recognized families within the superfamily Nuculanoidea are also not monophyletic. Our results provide molecular insights into the Protobranchia and highlight the necessity for further samples and data to revise the classification of families and genera within the superfamily using an integrative approach that combines morphological analysis and molecular data.


Key words: Anatomy, chemosynthetic ecosystems, deep-sea, molecular analysis, morphology

## Introduction

Protobranchia, with a significant evolutionary history dating back to the Cambrian, represents an ancestral and basal group of Bivalvia. The protobranchs are primarily found in the subtidal zone, especially in the deep sea, and are generally deposit feeders that bury themselves in the soft sediment (Allen 1978). They have limited presence in the intertidal zone. So, it is difficult to collect specimens of this group (Xu 1999). The highly conserved and distinctive morphology and anatomy, including gill structure, hinge conformation, shell microstructure, as well as the pericalymma larval development, small in size and lifestyle of the group make it a difficult but fascinating taxon of Bivalvia
(Zardus 2002). The simplicity in the form of protobranch bivalves veils the complexity of their phylogeny. The monophyly of Protobranchia has been discussed intensively and become a subject of controversy due to the extensive use of molecular methods (Smith et al. 2011; Sharma et al. 2012; Bieler et al. 2014; González et al. 2015; Combosch et al. 2017; Lemer et al. 2019). Phylogenetic analyses using four nuclear genes (Sharma et al. 2012) and an exem-plar-based approach combining Sanger-based sequences and an extensive morphological data matrix (Bieler et al. 2014), as well as the phylogenomic analysis using genomes and transcriptomes (González et al. 2015), recovered the monophyly of Protobranchia. However, the subsequent analysis by Combosch et al. (2017), which utilized five genes and included more taxa, supported the polyphyly of Protobranchia. The latest research has divided Protobranchia into three orders and five superfamilies: Nuculanoidea and Sareptoidea in Nuculanida, Solemyoidea and Manzanelloidea in Solemyida, and Nuculoidea in the order Nuculida (Sharma et al. 2013; Sato et al. 2020). The reconstruction of the phylogeny has indicated that eight families (i.e. Nuculanidae, Bathyspinulidae, Malletiidae, Neilonellidae, Phaseolidae, Siliculidae, Tindariidae, and Yoldiidae) within the superfamily Nuculanoidea are all non-monophyletic (Sato et al. 2020). Shell microstructure of protobranchs play a crucial role in their classification at the superfamily level. Moreover, subtle differences in shell microstructure can aid in distinguishing similar species (Sato et al. 2020). Extensive research has revealed a multitude of unknown or cryptic protobranch species awaiting description and classification under the integrative taxonomy framework (e.g. Neulinger et al. 2006; Zardus et al. 2006). Additional samples and data are required to revise the families and genera within the superfamily Nuculanoidea through a combination of morphological diagnosis and molecular analysis.

Cold seeps are natural phenomena widely distributed across the globe. On the seafloor of these areas, the hydrocarbon-rich fluids and gases leak from cracks and enter the water column through sediment, forming a distinctive habitat (Dong et al. 2021). In March 2015, a newly active cold seep was discovered using the Haima remotely operated vehicle (ROV) on the northwestern slope of the South China Sea (SCS) (Zhao et al. 2020). Dong et al. (2021) documented 34 epibenthic macrofauna species collected from Haima Cold Seep with 24 species being identified. Yao et al. (2022) identified 12 macrobenthic species from five phyla and 12 families in the Haima Cold Seep, including two species first found in this location. Seven phyla, 14 classes, and 65 species were identified by He et al. (2023) in this cold seep. To date, more than 80 species of macrobenthic organisms have been collected from the Haima cold seeps (Wang et al. 2022).

This study presents the description of a new Yoldiella species, Y. haimaensis sp. nov., from the Haima Cold Seep in the SCS. This species had been identified as Malletia sp. or Yoldiella sp. (Dong et al. 2021; Ke et al. 2022; He et al. 2023). Additionally, we provide the sequences of three gene segments of the new species, including a nuclear ribosomal gene (18S rRNA), a nuclear protein-coding gene (histone H 3 ), and a mitochondrial gene (cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1, COI). A phylogenetic analysis was conducted on the superfamily Nuculanoidea and family Yoldiidae, providing molecular data for the study of Protobranchia and enhancing understanding of macrobenthos at the Haima Cold Seep.

## Materials and methods

## Specimen collection and identification

The specimens were collected on July 4-12, 2022 from the Haima Cold Seep at a water depth of 1390 m in the SCS $\left(16^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 110^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right)$ (Fig. 1) using the ROV and TV Grab of Research Vessel Kexue. All specimens were fixed in $100 \%$ ethanol and deposited at the Marine Biological Museum (MBM), Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China.

## DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing

Two specimens with tissues were randomly selected from the specimens collected. DNA was extracted from the muscle tissues using the TIANamp Marine Animals DNA Kit. Three gene fragments were amplified, including a nuclear ribosomal gene (18S rRNA), a nuclear protein-coding gene (histone H 3 ), and a mitochondrial gene (cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1, COI), which were subsequently utilized for phylogenetic analyses. The PCR program for the mitochondrial genes was as follows: initial denaturation for 180 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation for 30 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, annealing for 45 s at $46^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and elongation for 60 s at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The final elongation step was conducted for 10 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The PCR conditions for the 18 S rRNA and H 3 genes were performed the same as above, with the only difference being in the annealing step. The annealing temperature for H 3 was $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,


Figure 1. Map of samples site (triangle) of Yoldiella haimaensis sp. nov.
and the annealing step for 18S rRNA was performed in a touch-down manner (Don et al. 1991) with an initial annealing temperature of $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by a reduction of $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per cycle until $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The primer sequences are listed in Suppl. material 1.

The PCR products were separated by electrophoresis using $1.0 \%$ agarose gels, purified, and then sent for sequencing to Sangon Biotech Co. Ltd.

## Phylogenetic analyses

The sequences newly acquired in this study and obtained from NCBI are listed in Suppl. materials 2,3 . All sequences were aligned using MAFFT v. 7 software (Katoh and Standley 2013) based on the amino acid sequences and employing the Auto and G-INS-I algorithms. Subsequently, the aligned sequences in each dataset were manually trimmed to the same length. Ambiguously aligned sites in the ribosomal gene were removed using GBLOCKS v. 0.91b (Castresana 2000) with the least stringent settings. Because of the limited molecular data of Protobranchia, different combined gene datasets were used for phylogenetic analyses of the family Yoldiidae and superfamily Nuculanoidea. The final dataset for Yoldiidae phylogenetic trees comprised 1059 bp of 18S rRNA (88\% of 1192 bp before Gblock), and 594 bp of COI. The final dataset for Nuculanoidea phylogenetic trees included 1101 bp of 18 S rRNA ( $81 \%$ of 1352 bp before Gblock), 588 bp of COI, and 305 bp of H 3 . The COI, 18 S rRNA, and H 3 gene sequences from the same individuals were concatenated using SequenceMatrix software (Vaidya et al. 2011) to form a combined gene dataset. The Maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI) analysis based on concatenated datasets of COI and 18 S rRNA were used for phylogenetic analyses. The ML tree was conducted using IQ-TREE v. 2.2.0-Linux (Nguyen et al. 2015). The most suitable evolution model was found by ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) and adopted automatically to infer the ML tree. Bootstrap supports (BS) were calculated with 1,000 replicates to assess branch supports. Gene partition models chosen for IQ-TREE were 18S rRNA, K2P+l; COI, TIM+F+I+G4; and combined gene, TIM3+F+I+G4. jModelTest v. 2.1.10 (Darriba et al. 2012) was used to evaluate the best-fitting nucleotide substitution model and derive the optimal model of the Bayesian phylogenetic tree. According to the Akaike information criterion (AIC), the best model for each isolated gene was 18 S rRNA, HKY+l; COI, GTR+I+G; and combined gene, HKY+I+G. A Bayesian-inference (BI) analysis was performed using MrBayes v. 3.2.6 (Sharma et al. 2013) and the best model of each dataset. The posterior probability (PP) was estimated using four chains running 1 million generations and sampled every 100 generations. The first $25 \%$ of sampled trees were discarded as burn-in. The results of ML and BI trees were visualized and rendered using Figtree v. 1.4.4 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

## Species delimitation

A variety of species delimitation methods were employed to determine that the species described here is not conspecific with another, already known species of Yoldiidae. COI data were analyzed using the program Automated Barcode Gap Discovery (ABGD; Puillandre et al. 2012) and Assemble Species by Automatic Partitioning (ASAP; Puillandre et al. 2021), a method to build species partitions from single-locus sequence alignments. Single gene trees were ana-
lyzed by applying the Bayesian implementation of the Poisson Tree Processes model (bPTP; Zhang et al. 2013) at the web server of the Heidelberg Institute for Theoretical Studies, Germany (http://species.h-its.org/).

## Results

## Systematics

Subclass Protobranchia Pelseneer, 1889
Order Nuculanida J.G. Carter, D.C. Campbell \& M.R. Campbell, 2000
Superfamily Nuculanoidea H. Adams \& A. Adams, 1858 (1854)
Family Yoldiidae Dall, 1908

## Genus Yoldiella A.E. Verrill \& K.J. Bush, 1897

Type species. Yoldiella lucida (Lovén, 1846) (International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature 1985: Opinion 1306) by original designation (Recent, North Atlantic).

## Yoldiella haimaensis sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/CCA23CFC-0081-4096-BD4C-98ACEB76DD8F
Figs 2-4

Malletia sp.: Dong et al. 2021: 4, fig. 5b; Ke et al. 2022: 4, fig. 2h.
Yoldiella sp.: He et al. 2023: 6, fig. 2Q.

Type specimens. Holotype: MBM 229041: length 7.5 mm , width 3.2, height 5.1 mm . Paratypes: MBM 229042: length 7.4 mm , width 3.3 mm , height 5.0 mm ; MBM 229043: length 6.5 mm , width 2.6 mm , height 4.4 mm ; MBM229044: length 6.3 mm , width 2.5 mm , height 4.1 mm ; MBM229045: length 7.6 mm , width 3.0 mm , height 4.9 mm .

Type locality. Haima Cold Seep (depth $1390 \mathrm{~m}, 16^{\circ} 43.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 110^{\circ} 28.00^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), off southern Hainan Island, South China Sea.

Diagnosis. Yoldiella haimaensis sp. nov. differs morphologically from other known species within the genus in shell shape, degree of inflation, beaks, and number of hinge teeth. Diagnostic characteristics: shell small, ovate, inflated medially. Posterior end slight produced. Resilifer triangular, projecting. Beak rather lower than other species, suborthogyrate, and easily worn. Hinge plate narrow; posterior hinge plate smaller than anterior one, with taxodont teeth in two series; 17-19 anterior and 15-16 posterior teeth on hinge plate.

Description. Shell small, elongate, ovate in outline, moderately inflated, opaque, fragile, 2.2-8.2 mm long, W/L about 0.40 ; $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{L}$ about 0.66 , usually subequivalve, inequilateral. Shell surface smooth, with numerous very fine, regular, and nearly isometric growth lines, without radial stria. Periostracum light brown and flaky. Umbo slightly posterior to middle, low, large, obscure, opisthogyrate, and easily worn. Antero-dorsal margin convex; anterior end broadly rounded, merging smoothly to ventral margin. Ventral margin slightly convex, with very shallow sinus at postero-ventral corner. Postero-dorsal margin oblique and
then convex, descending to blunt posterior margin. Posterior end slight produced. Escutcheon and lunule obscure. ligament amphidetic, thin, short.

Internal surface porcelaneous white. Hinge plate moderately broad, narrow below umbo, moderately long, and rather strong, with two chevron-shaped columns and moderately sized taxodont lateral teeth, about 17-19 anterior teeth, about 15-16 posterior teeth, interrupted by a triangular, projecting resilifer, and not extend beyond the inner limit of adductor muscles. Angle of about $140^{\circ}$ between anterior and posterior hinge plates. Posterior hinge plate usually smaller than anterior. Resilium oblique and often obscure in dry preserved specimens. Adductor scar obscure to evident; triangular anterior adductor scar larger than droplet-shaped posterior adductor scar. Pallial sinus obsolete; pallial line usually entire.

Mantle large, thin, and opaque; anterior adductor crescent-shaped, twice or three times size of posterior. Ctenidium structure simple and lamellar, at posterior side parallel to the postero-dorsal shell margin. Labial palp size moderate, consisting of flat, paired lamellae on each side, with appendages of elongated palp proboscis. Foot muscular and large, with a regular series of nearly rectangular protrusions at margins, partially covered by labial palp. Siphons combined posteriorly.

Etymology. The species epithet "haimaensis" is Latin and means "from Haima", which refers to the name of the cold seep where the specimens were collected.

Distribution. Currently known only from the Haima Cold Seep on the northwestern slope of the South China Sea.

Remarks. Yoldiella haimaensis sp. nov. differs morphologically from other known species of Yoldiella in its shell shape, degree of inflation, beak characteristics, and number of hinge teeth. Its beaks are lower than those of other species, suborthogyrate, and prone to wearing easily. This new species resembles the type species of Yoldiella, Y. lucida. However, Y. haimaensis sp. nov.


Figure 2. Yoldiella haimaensis sp. nov. A-D holotype, MBM229041. Scale bar: 2 mm (all at the same scale).


Figure 3. Yoldiella haimaensis sp. nov. A-D paratype 1, MBM229042 E-H paratype 2, MBM229043 I paratype 3, MBM229044 J paratype 4 MBM229045. Scale bar: 2 mm (all at the same scale).


Figure 4. Anatomy features of Yoldiella haimaensis sp . nov. aa, anterior adductor; $\mathbf{c}$, ctenidium; $\mathbf{f}$, foot; $\mathbf{I p}$, labial palp; m, mantle; pa, posterior adductor; pp, Palp proboscis; dg, digestive gland. Scale bar: 2 mm .
differs from Y. lucida in having lower, suborthogyrate beaks that wear easily and a slightly rounded posterior end. Yoldiella haimaensis sp . nov. has more teeth (17-19 anterior teeth, 15-16 posterior teeth) than Y. lucida (8 teeth on each end), with more anterior teeth than posterior teeth. Another species closely resembling Y. haimaensis sp. nov. in outline is Yoldiella sagamiana T. Okutani \& K. Fujikura, 2022 from Sagami Bay, but Y. sagamiana has a larger W/L ratio and fewer teeth ( 15 anterior teeth, 10 posterior teeth) than the new species, and $Y$. sagamiana also has more pointed beaks and finer commarginal cords and lines. The outline of the new species is similar to Yoldiella biguttata Allen, H. L. Sanders \& F. Hannah, 1995 from the Guyana Basin, but Y. biguttata has the more prominent umbo and the anterior and posterior series are either equal or with the anterior series having one additional tooth (5-6 in the largest specimen).

## Species delimitation

Our species delimitation using the ABGD, ASAP, and bPTP methods show slightly different results, but they all support that our two samples are the same new species, and distinct from others in the family Yoldiidae (Fig. 5). ABGD and bPTP delineated the data into 19 species, while ASAP delineated the data into 12 species. ASAP grouped Yoldiella nana (M. Sars, 1865), Yoldiella frigida (Torrell, 1859), Yoldiella inconspicua A.E. Verrill \& K.J. Bush, 1898, and Yoldiella orcia (Dall, 1916) into one species; ASAP and bPTP grouped Yoldiella philippiana (Nyst, 1845) and Yoldiella propinqua (Leche, 1878), which clustered together, into one species; ABGD and bPTP grouped Yoldia sp. and Yoldia scissurata Dall, 1897 into one species; ABGD grouped Yoldia notabilis Yokoyama, 1922 and Yoldia johanni Dall, 1925 into one species; bPTP delineated two species for the two individuals of Y. notabilis; ASAP grouped Yoldia hyperborea (A. Gould, 1841), Yoldia sp., Y. scissurata, Y. notabilis, and Y. johanni into one species; ABGD delineated two species for the seven individuals of Portlandia arctica (Gray, 1824).

## Molecular phylogenies

Due to the limitation of molecular data of Protobranchia, only COI and 18 S rRNA could meet the requirements of the phylogenetic tree construction of the family Yoldiidae, and their data were different, so these genes were used to construct two separate phylogenetic trees (Figs 5, 6). Sarepta speciosa A. Adams, 1860 from the closely related superfamily Sareptoidea was selected as the outgroup in our phylogenetic analyses. BI and ML analyses yielded similar results. Phylogenetic analyses based on COI and 18 S rRNA both showed that Yoldiella is not monophyletic. However, there were some differences. In the COI phylogenetic analysis, $Y$. nana and $Y$. frigida clustered into a sister taxon and has a close relationship with $Y$. inconspicua and $Y$. orcia, successively, while in 18 S rRNA phylogenetic analysis, $Y$. nana clustered with species of the genus Megayoldia A.E. Verrill \& K.J. Bush, 1897, and was distantly related to


Figure 5. Phylogenetic tree inferred by Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum likelihood (ML), and species delimitation based on COI gene. Numbers adjacent to nodes refer to ML bootstrap scores and BI posterior probability (left, and right, respectively). The results of three species delimitation methods are shown on the right of phylogenetic tree (each rectangle represents one species).
Y. inconspicua and $Y$. orcia. In addition, the COI analysis revealed that species of the genus Yoldia Møller, 1842 were nested among species of Yoldiella. Conversely, in the phylogenetic analysis constructed using 18 S rRNA, Yoldia clustered as a distinct branch. The phylogenetic status of $Y$. haimaensis sp. nov. was different owing to the incongruent results of separate molecular analyses. The phylogenetic analysis based on COI showed that the new species was positioned at the base of the clade including species of Yoldia (Fig. 5), while it was at the basal position of the clade containing all Yoldiella, Yoldia, and Megayoldia species in the 18S rRNA phylogenetic tree with low support values (Fig. 6).

Phylogenetic analysis constructed using a combined gene dataset (COI $+18 \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H} 3$ ) did not improve resolution as more taxa were added within the superfamily Nuculanoidea (Suppl. materials 4, 5). The BI and ML analyses recovered different topologies, and most nodes at high levels received very low support values. Furthermore, the results indicate that the family Yoldiidae is non-monophyletic, and that none of the widely recognized families (Nuculanidae, Neilonellidae, Malletiidae, Siliculidae, Phaseolidae, Tindariidae, and Bathyspinulidae) form monophyletic groups in this reconstruction.


Figure 6. Phylogenetic tree inferred by Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum likelihood (ML) based on 18 S rRNA gene. Numbers adjacent to nodes refer to ML bootstrap scores and BI posterior probability (left, and right, respectively).

## Discussion

The genus Yoldiella is believed to be ubiquitous in all the world's oceans, with a particularly high abundance in deep waters (Benaim and Absalão 2011a). Environmental differences are likely to have a significant impact on the weight of their shell and the rate of their growth (Reed et al. 2013). However, their small size and offshore habitat contribute to their rarity in collections. Prior to this study, no species of this genus had been identified in the South China Sea. The discovery of $Y$. haimaensis sp. nov. bridges this geographical gap. In fact, this new species has been encountered more than once during the investigation and research on cold-seep fauna in the South China Sea, but in these studies, it has been misidentified as Malletia sp. or as Yoldiella not to species (Dong et al. 2021; Ke et al. 2022; He et al. 2023). The detailed description of $Y$. haimaensis sp. nov. contributes to the understanding of macrobenthos in the Haima Cold Seep.

Yoldiella is a difficult taxon to define because the morphological differences within this genus are mostly subtle and there are many closely related species. This genus was established by Verrill and Bush (1897), and it is usually characterized by ovate or wedge-shaped shells, which always have a slight poste-ro-ventral sinuosity. The internal cartilage is often relatively large and occupies a simple notch. The external ligament is weak, and the pallial sinus is usually indistinct. Since then, its classification and composition have been controversial. Allen and Hannah (1986) and Allen et al. (1995) redefined the genus Yoldiella considering shell shape, hinge morphology, musculature, and the extent and course taken by the hindgut, which have been widely accepted by researchers. The redescription of Yoldiella by Coan and Valentich Scott (2012) limited it to forms having an elongate, amphidetic ligament with an internal section, an obscure or absent escutcheon and lunule, and a small pallial sinus.

It is widely acknowledged that soft-tissue analysis plays a crucial role in contemporary malacology. However, there is a significant lack of detailed morphological descriptions for this taxon. Yonge and Calman (1939) presented a comprehensive summary of the anatomical characteristics of Protobranchia. Purchon (1956) surveyed the structure and function of the stomach throughout the Protobranchia to establish evidence for phylogenetic relationships between the families and orders within the subclass. Xu (1999) highlighted a significant distinction in the anatomical features of Protobranchia compared to other bivalves, emphasizing the muscular nature of their feet for crawling. The ctenidium assumes a lamellar shape primarily for respiratory purposes, while the well-developed labial palp functions as a distinct feeding organ. Okutani and Fujiwara (2005) illustrated the soft part of Yoldiella kaikonis Okutani \& Fujiwara, 2005. Reed et al. (2014) described and deliberated on the gonad morphology and oocyte size of four species of Yoldiella. Yonge and Calman (1939) and Allen et al. (1995) provided detailed accounts of the anatomical characteristics of $Y$. lucida, the type species of Yoldiella, noting that the organs in this species are more compactly arranged due to its relatively shorter shell, which exhibits a greatly abbreviated posterior rostrum. The labial palps are moderately large and extended, with well-developed, long, and muscular palp proboscides. The foot is relatively larger, as are the ctenidia, the filaments of which are broader and deeper. The shell and anatomical features of the new species align with the aforementioned characteristics of $Y$. lucida.

The genus Yoldiella may encompass species from other genera due to its small size and the potential for confusion with immature specimens. La Perna (2004) observed that, based on the traditional description at the time, Yoldiella was regarded as a provisional "pigeon-hole", where numerous species were temporarily allocated in a kind of waiting list, rather than a natural group. It was proposed that within this genus, some clusters of morphologically similar species could be recognized, with the morphological differences among these clusters suggesting distinct systematic ranks. Benaim and Absalão (2011a) followed this conjecture by analyzing the morphological characteristics of some Atlantic species using empty shell specimens and proposed three distinct clusters, grouping together $Y$. nana, $Y$. inconspicua, and $Y$. americana Allen, H.L. Sanders \& F. Hannah, 1995. However, in our molecular phylogenetic analysis of these three species, they did not cluster in a single branch. The feasibility of this hypothesis requires validation through the combination of anatomy, morphometry, molecular data, and other methods. In addition, hinge plate features are considered a significant diagnostic feature in descriptions of Yoldiella species, especially the width of the posterior hinge plate (Benaim and Absalão 2011b; Benaim et al. 2011). Further meticulous examination of all taxa is essential before achieving confidence in the accuracy of classification.

Based on anatomical and morphological characteristics, Protobranchia has traditionally been regarded as a monophyletic group (Pelseneer 1889; Xu 1999), but the widespread application of molecular methods in phylogenetic analysis has not provided support for the monophyletism of the Protobranchia (Combosch et al. 2017). Recent studies have supported the monophyly of Protobranchia and five superfamilies (Nuculoidea, Manzanelloidea, Solemyoidea, Sareptoidea, and Nuculanoidea) (Smith et al. 2011; Sharma et al. 2012; Bieler et al. 2014; González et al. 2015; Lemer et al. 2019; Sato et al. 2020). Notably, the monophyly of lower taxa (family and below) is still uncertain, particularly within the superfamily Nuculanoidea. In this study, all currently recognized families were found to be non-monophyletic, which is consistent with previous research findings (Sharma et al. 2013; Sato et al. 2020). In addition, the limited support for the majority of nodes within the Nuculanoidea has resulted in largely ambiguous internal relationships. This phenomenon may be the result of a combination of factors, possibly the lack of genetic information, deficient taxon sampling, or both, or even the possibility that this taxon may have undergone rapid radiation (Lemer et al. 2019).

Phylogenetic analysis of the Yoldiidae revealed differences between the evolutionary relationships inferred from the COI and the 18 S rRNA genes. Specifically, the phylogenetic tree based on COI gene shows that Yoldia is polyphyletic, in which species were interspersed among other genera rather than forming a distinct clade. In contrast, the phylogenetic tree derived from the 18S rRNA gene shows that Yoldia is a monophyletic group within a single clade. Such incongruities may be attributed to variations in the evolutionary rates of the two genes. The genetic markers employed in this study, such as COl and H 3 , exhibit rapid evolutionary rates, particularly at the third codon position, which are almost certainly saturated when applied across multiple taxonomic families. In addition, Mallatt et al. (2010) explored the metazoan tree using almost complete rRNA genes ( 18 S and 28S), which suggested that non-monophyly of Mollusca in analyses based on 18S rRNA might be explained by these non-ho-
mologous forms, and indeed paraphyly/polyphyly of Mollusca has been found in many studies of metazoan phylogeny based on 18S rRNA. In addition, Mallatt et al. (2010) investigated the metazoan phylogenetic tree by analyzing nearly complete 18 S rRNA genes. Their findings did not support the monophyly of Mollusca, indicating that the non-monophyly of Mollusca in 18S rRNA-based analyses could be attributed to non-homologous forms. Indeed, the paraphyly/ polyphyly of Mollusca has been observed in numerous studies on metazoan phylogeny utilizing 18S rRNA (e.g. Winnepenninckx et al. 1996; Mallatt et al. 2010, 2012). Based on the findings derived from the analysis of 18 SRNA, the potential scenario cannot be ruled out. Consequently, great care needed to be taken in the application of 18 S rRNA for phylogenetics to avoid the incorporation of non-homologous variants of 18 S rRNA in the assessments.

There may be more problems with traditional identification that relies solely on anatomy and morphology. Challenges persist due to the difficulty in obtaining protobranch samples, resulting in relatively limited molecular data with insufficient resolution. As shown in our phylogenetic analysis, the bootstrap values are low which may be unreliable. Nonetheless, this work represented a minor step forward and demonstrated the complexity of the taxonomic classification system within this taxon and made it clear that a greater coverage of taxa and more informative genetic markers (not limited to a single gene, but based on high-throughput sequencing technologies for massive orthologous genes from transcriptomes or even genomes) will provide great potential for improving the resolution of phylogenetic classification in this group in the future.
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#### Abstract

The first phylogenetic inference of Calopterini and Eurrhacini focused on Calocladon and related taxa was carried out. A data matrix composed of 46 species and 51 morphological characters was assembled and analyzed using parsimony and model-based approaches. Eurrhacini were recovered monophyletic. Furthermore, phylogenetic analyses highly supported the Calocladon clade including also Atlanticolycus, Cladocalon, and Gorhamium gen. nov. as its sister clade. Our trees consistently recovered monophyly of the new genus with two new species: Gorhamium bidentatum sp. nov. (Panama, Baru Volcano) and G. unidentatum sp. nov. from the Pacific slopes of Ecuador. A revised key to the genera of Eurrhacini is given and illustrations of distinguishing characters are provided. Phylogenetic relationships of Eurrhacini and character evolution are discussed.


Key words: Lycinae, Neotropical Region, new genus, new species

## Introduction

The Eurrhacini is a Neotropical lineage of Lycidae, which until recently was part of the tribe Calopterini in the broader sense (Bocakova 2003, 2005). However, the inclusion of Eurrhacini in Calopterini was challenged by the first molecular analysis of Lycidae (Bocak et al. 2008) which showed that Eurrhacus Waterhouse, 1879 is sister to the Oriental Conderis. Consequently, Eurrhacini was excluded from Calopterini (Bocak and Bocakova 2008) and elevated to the tribal rank. Nevertheless, inferring the Eurrhacini sister group is convoluted because DNA analyses proposed several candidates. Recent molecular trees on large data sets (Masek et al. 2018) recovered the Eurrhacini sister is either American Thonalmini or Oriental Lycoprogenthini, thus indicating that Calopterini and Eurrhacini are not sister lineages.

The placement of Eurrhacini in the Calopterini was based on their resemblance, as the two groups often have similar coloration. Eurrhacini, however, are characterized by a very long male terminal sternum, which is twice as long as that of the Calopterini, and a distorted phallus and phallobase. When
established (Bocakova 2005), Eurrhacini included six genera. Of these, Calocladon Gorham, 1881 has a markedly elongated pronotum, Lycoplateros Pic, 1922 is characteristic by a conspicuous protuberance on the posterior margin of the pronotum, and Haplobothris Bourgeois, 1879 is easily distinguishable by the absence of secondary elytral costae. The remaining three genera (Eurrhacus, Emplectus Erichson, 1847, and Neolinoptes Nascimento \& Bocakova, 2017) are less distinctive externally, but easily separated by the shape of the male genitalia.

Likewise, species of the recently discovered Cladocalon Nascimento \& Bocakova, 2022, Currhaeus Nascimento, Bressan \& Bocakova, 2020, and Atlanticolycus Nascimento \& Bocakova, 2023 were originally placed in Calocladon, as they are similar to Calocladon and Emplectus (Nascimento et al. 2020; Nascimento and Bocakova 2022). However, showing great male genitalia disparity, they were assigned to generic rank. Recently, an examination of H. S. Gorham's types from Panama and further research on material from Ecuador have revealed another previously hidden generic lineage described below. Here we elucidate phylogenetic relationships of the group and its placement within Eurrhacini by analyzing morphological data.

## Materials and methods

The morphological matrix is based on that of Bocakova (2005), updated by Nascimento et al. (2020) and Ferreira et al. (2023). The dataset was expanded by the inclusion of two recently proposed Eurrhacini genera (Atlanticolycus, Cladocalon) and the new one described here (altogether six newly coded species). Our final matrix (Table 1) is composed of 46 species and 51 characters (Suppl. material 1 ), including five outgroup taxa. Of these, ten characters were coded to multistates, 41 characters as binary. Eight additional characters (\#44-51) were newly defined, other characters required the inclusion of new character states, or minor redefinition. Unknown and inapplicable characters were coded by a question mark "?", or a dash "-", respectively.

Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using maximum parsimony (MP), Bayesian (BA), and maximum likelihood (ML) criteria. MP analyses were performed in TNT 1.5 (Goloboff et al. 2008; Goloboff and Catalano 2016) using traditional search with characters treated as unordered. MP trees were evaluated by tree length ( TL ), consistency ( Cl ), and retention indices (RI), and summarized in strict and majority rule consensus trees. Initial fundamental analyses with equal weights were followed by searches with implied weighted schemes (Goloboff 1993) with concavity constant $\mathrm{k}=3-25$. Standard bootstrapping (Bootstrap support, BS) and symmetric resampling (SR) with 1000 replicates were applied to the unweighted dataset to assess the branch support. Furthermore, Bremer support values (BrS; Bremer 1994) were calculated in TNT for the clades of the unweighted MP tree. Character optimizations were mapped on the strict consensus tree using unambiguous changes, accelerated (ACCTRAN) and delayed (DELTRAN) transformations in WinClada (Nixon 2002).

Maximum likelihood (ML) searches were applied under IQ-Tree 2 software (Minh et al. 2020) with branch support estimated by ultrafast bootstrapping (UFBoot) using 1000 replicates. The best-fit model was selected by ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) according to Bayesian information criterion

Table 1. Data matrix of 51 morphology-based characters of Calopterini and Eurrhacini used in phylogenetic analyses.

| Dictyoptera aurora | 011000000000000000000001000000000000000000-00-0-000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lygistopterus sanguineus | 10-01-00000000000000001100000000-000000010-00-0-000 |
| Plateros brasiliensis | 10-100000000001-0-00001100000000-001000000-00-0-0-0 |
| Conderis signicollis | 011000000000000000000000000010000000000001000-0-000 |
| Lycus palliatus | 10-11-00000000000000000000000100-100000000-00-0-000 |
| Haplobothris basipennis | 11101-000100000110??????100000??0101000010-00-0-000 |
| Haplobothris scapularis | 11101-000100000110101000100000000100000010-00-0-000 |
| Calocladon testaceum | 111100010100200111101100110000000021000001001110000 |
| Calocladon oculatum | 111100010100200111101100110000000021000001001110000 |
| Calocladon ephippium | 111100010100200111101100110000000021000001001110000 |
| Atlanticolycus camposgerais | $111 ? 000101042001 ? 11031 ? ? 110000000000000001011112000$ |
| Atlanticolycus japi | $111 ? 000101042001 ? 11031 ? ? 110000000000000001011112000$ |
| Cladocalon chiriquense | $111 ? 000101031100111011 ? ? 100000000000000001001012011$ |
| Cladocalon histrionicum | $111 ? 000101031100111011 ? ? 100000000000000001001 ? 12011$ |
| Gorhamium bidentatum | $1110000101032000211011 ? ? 100002000000000001001011102$ |
| Gorhamium unidentatum | $111 ? 00010103200121 ? ? ? ? ? ? 110002000000000001001111102$ |
| Emplectus bimaculatus | $1110000 ? ? ?$ ? ?? ? ? ? ? $100111 ? 0 ? ? ? ? 00000 ? ? 0 ? 00100 ? ? ? ? ? ?$ ? |
| Emplectus apicalis | 11100001010020001-100111100000000000000001000---0-- |
| Currhaeus striatus | 111?0001010-001-0-??????100000??1002000001000-0-000 |
| Currhaeus championi | 111?0001010-001-0-??????100000??1002000001000-0-000 |
| Currhaeus paranaensis | 111?0001010-001-0-??????100000??1002000001000-0-000 |
| Eurrhacus tristis | 11110001210-201-0-??????100000??0001100001000---0-- |
| Eurrhacus pectinicornis | 11110001210-201-0-100111100000000001100001000---0-- |
| Eurrhacus kaboureki | 111?0001210-201-0-??????100000??0001100001000---0-- |
| Neolinoptes imbrex | $111100002100100011100101100000001001000001000-0-000$ |
| Neolinoptes rubidus | 111100000100200011??????100000??1001000001000-0-000 |
| Lycoplateros mimicus | 10-1000???????????100100?0????00-00?011001100-0-000 |
| Lycoplateros diversipes | 10-10001010020001110010000000000-001011001100-0-000 |
| Cyrtopteron muhlenbecki | 11011-001000010110100000000000101000000001100-0-000 |
| Mesopteron riveti | 10-11-001000010110??????001000??-000000011100-0-000 |
| Falsocaenia meridanum | 11011-101000010110112000000000101000000011100-0-000 |
| Lycinella opaca | 10-?01001001000100??????010000??-000000020-00-0-000 |
| Lycinella parvula | 10-?01001001000100??????010000??-000000020-00-0-000 |
| Ceratopriomorphus humeralis | 111?01000001010110??????010100???000000011100-0-000 |
| Ceratopriomorphus piceus | 111?01000001010110??????010100???000000011100-0-000 |
| Acroleptus chevrolati | 111?01010001010110??????010000??0000000010-00-0-000 |
| Metapteron suturalis | 11111-000012010110111000000000000000000001000-0-000 |
| Calopteron apicale | 10-11-00001001011010200000000001-100000001000-0-000 |
| Cartagonum bernardi | 10-11-100010010110??????000000??-000000021000-0-000 |
| Leptoceletes basalis | 10-11-01001001011010200000000000-010000001000-0-000 |
| Caenia dimidiata | 10-10001101000011010200000000000-010000001000-0-000 |
| Idiopteron flavocinctum | 10-11-11101001001010200000000000-010000021100-0-000 |
| Xenomorphon baranowskii | 10-?---01000010110??????010000??--00000121100-0-000 |
| Lycomorphon elongaticolle | 10-?1-101000010110??????010000??-000000001000-0-000 |
| Lycomorphon bolivianum | 110?1-101000010110??????010000??1000000001000-0-000 |
| Lycomorphon angusticolle | 10-?1-101000010110??????010000??-000000001000-0-000 |

(BIC) and applied the $k$-states Markov Mkv (= MK + ASC) model (Lewis 2001) with ascertainment bias correction (ASC), gamma distribution using four discrete rate categories (G4), and equal state frequencies (FQ). Bayesian analyses (BA) were performed in Mr. Bayes 3.2.7 (Ronquist et al. 2012) for two million generations using a stopping value 0.01 of the standard deviation of split frequencies and Mkv model. UFBoot and Bayesian PP values $\geq 95 \%$ were interpreted as high nodal support, and $\geq 80 \%$ for standard BS values.

Approximately 100 Eurrhacini specimens were examined using an Olympus SZX 12, or Zeiss Stereo Discovery V8 stereoscopic microscopes. Eyes are differentiated into small, medium-sized, and large. In medium-sized eyes, the eye
diameter is equal to the interocular distance; in small eyes the eye diameter is less than the interocular distance; in large eyes the eye diameter is greater than the interocular distance. Nine longitudinal elytral costae are distinguished in four strong primary costae and five less elevated alternate secondary costae. Costae and intercostal intervals are numbered from the suture as in other Coleoptera. Dissection of genitalia was made after boiling in $10 \% \mathrm{KOH}$ solution and followed previous studies (Nascimento and Bocakova 2017). Relative measurements were taken using an ocular micrometer, and dimension measurements (in millimeters) and scale bar insertions were processed by the camera software. Digital photographs were taken using an attached Canon EOS 1100D camera and stacked by QuickPhoto Camera 3.0 microscope software using a Deep Focus 3.3 module. Images were further edited in GIMP 2.10.22 and Adobe Photoshop CS3.

The syntypes of Calocladon chiriquense Gorham, 1884 were borrowed from The Natural History Museum (NHMUK) in London, U.K., while other material is deposited in the collection of Palacky University Olomouc (UPOL), Czech Republic.

## Results

## Phylogenetic analyses

Different analytical approaches resulted in congruent patterns of major lineages. Our ML tree (Fig. 1) applying the best-fit MK+FQ+ASC+G4 model recovered two distinct clades: Calopterini (UFBoot = 51) and Eurrhacini (UFBoot $=78$ ), although the ultrafast bootstrap support values were low. Inferred internal relationships within Calopterini revealed Calopterina receiving low support (UFBoot $=77$ ), while the Acroleptina were paraphyletic. Basal relationships of Eurrhacini showed a pectinate pattern. The Calocladon clade was recovered (UFBoot = 88, Fig. 1), including Gorhamium gen. nov. as sister to Cladocalon, whereas Calocladon was sister to Atlanticolycus.

Bayesian analyses of the dataset resulted in trees with low posterior probabilities for Eurrhacini ( $\mathrm{PP}=0.51$ ), while Calopterini were unsupported ( $\mathrm{PP}=0.29$, Suppl. material 2). The subtribe Calopterina obtained low support ( $\mathrm{PP}=0.52$ ) and Acroleptina were again found paraphyletic forming two clades. Within the Eurrhacini, the Calocladon clade received moderate support (PP = 0.93), whereas support for the crown clade Gorhamium gen. nov. + Cladocalon was low ( $\mathrm{PP}=0.61$ ).

Initial unweighted MP analyses resulted in 23 shortest trees (TL = 131, $\mathrm{Cl}=49.62, \mathrm{RI}=80.7$ ), the strict consensus of which recovered monophyly of Eurrhacini (Suppl. materials 3-5). However, most Calopterini formed a basal multifurcation and the tribe was present only on the majority rule consensus tree ( $91 \%$, Suppl. material 6). MP analyses found some Bremer support for both Calopterini and Eurrhacini ( $\mathrm{BrS}=1$, Suppl. material 7), while bootstrapping and symmetric resampling showed the clades unsupported (Calopterini - BS = 6, SR = 10; Eurrhacini $-\mathrm{BS}=1, \mathrm{SR}=2$; Suppl. materials 8,9 ). Subsequent implied weighting schemes always resulted in a single identical topology (Suppl. material 10) regardless of the concavity constant applied ( $k=3-25$ ). The implied weighted trees showed the Eurrhacini, while Calopterini were broken into the subtribes of Calopterina and Acroleptina.


Figure 1. Maximum likelihood phylogeny of Calopterini and Eurrhacini inferred from the morphological dataset using IQ-Tree 2 and the best-fit MK+FQ+ASC+G4 model selected by ModelFinder. Node labels represent ultrafast bootstrap support values.

Phylogenetic relationships within the tribe Eurrhacini revealed the genus Haplobothris as the most basal branch in all analyses. The remaining Eurrhacini was strongly supported (UFBoot $=98, \mathrm{PP}=0.98, \mathrm{BrS}=4$ ). MP trees further indicated a bifurcation of Calocladon and Eurrhacus clades (Suppl. materials 3,10 ). While the Calocladon clade was supported in all analyses (UFBoot $=88$, $P P=0.93, B r S=3$ ), the latter was paraphyletic in ML and BA trees (Fig. 1, Suppl.
material 2). Similarly, relationships within the Calocladon clade showed high Bremer support for Cladocalon + Gorhamium gen. nov. ( $\operatorname{BrS}=14$ ), whereas the clade received low support in ML and BA trees (UFBoot $=69, p p=0.6$ ). Our MP analyses also found Calocladon + Atlanticolycus clade well supported ( $\mathrm{BrS}=3$ ), but the group received low support in ML analyses (UFBoot $=70$ ) and was broken in Bayesian trees.

## Taxonomy

Gorhamium gen. nov.
https://zoobank.org/C31BE6D3-296C-45B1-B685-AD85CB34EA65

Type species. Gorhamium bidentatum sp. nov. (by present designation).
Diagnosis. Gorhamium gen. nov. can be distinguished from other Eurrhacini by the combination of the following characters: a) elytra (Fig. 2A-C) with nine longitudinal costae (4 costae in Haplobothris); b) pronotum (Fig. 3A, B) wider than long (elongated in Calocladon); c) median areola on pronotum slenderly lenticular (slightly wider in Cladocalon and Atlanticolycus); d) male antennomere 3-10 flabellate (Fig. 4B, C); e) aedeagus with each paramere projected ventrobasally into a slender, medially curved process ( $\mathrm{d}_{1}$, Fig. 6C), sometimes joining at midline forming an annular bridge ( $\mathrm{d}_{3}$, Fig. 6G) (also present in Calocladon, Cladocalon, and Atlanticolycus). Among unique features of Gorhamium gen. nov. belong: a) base of phallus pointed anchored-shaped (inverted mush-room-shaped), with arcuate arms and a pointed tip ( $\mathrm{a}_{1}$, Fig. 6E, F ), while the base of phallus of Cladocalon and Atlanticolycus is flat, or rounded ( $\mathrm{a}_{2}$, Fig. 6A); b) median portion of phallus extending ventrally into oval opening (b, Fig. 6C); c) dorsal edge of phallus hooked ( $c$, Fig. 6D, G); d) internal sac membranous with minute spines distally (e, Fig. 6D, G); e) parameres shorter than $2 / 3$ of phallus (while the parameres are almost as long as phallus in Atlanticolycus); f) base of parameres semicircular in cross-section (flattened/ribbon-like in Cladocalon); g) apex of parameres denticulate, provided with one or two coarse teeth; h) female genitalia with valvifers as long as coxites and styli combined (Fig. 6H).

Description. Body length: $5.5-6.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, width across the humeri: 1.2 mm . Head partly covered by pronotum from above. Labrum small, mandibles slender, arcuate (Fig. 4A). Maxillary palps 4 -segmented, gradually widened distally, palpomere 1 (=P1) at least $3 \times$ shorter than P2, P2 longest of all, $\sim 2 \times$ longer than P4, P3 $1.5 \times$ shorter than P4, terminal palpomere securiform, apex obliquely rounded (Fig. 4A). Terminal palpomere of labial palps securiform. Pronotum somewhat trapezoidal, with anterior margin produced forward, posterior margin $1.4 \times$ wider than median length; lateral margins divergent posterad, with anterior $2 / 3$ almost straight, convergent anteriorly, posterior angles acute; posterior margin bisinuate, medioposterior process almost triangular (Fig. 3A, B); median longitudinal carina on pronotum bifurcating in anterior third, forming very slender, lenticular areola. Scutellum square, apex minutely emarginate medially (Fig. 3A). Elytra subparallel-sided, slender, $4 \times$ longer than humeral width (Fig. 2A-C). Each elytron with nine longitudinal costae (4 primary costae and 5 less elevated secondary costae), primary costae 2 and 4 strongly elevated; intercostal intervals with a row of irregular reticulate cells, secondary costae 3 and 4 absent posteriorly. Anterior thoracic spiracles small, tubulate. Legs compressed, tro-


Figure 2. Habitus, dorsal view A, B Gorhamium bidentatum sp. nov. A male B female $\mathbf{C}$ Gorhamium unidentatum sp. nov., male.
chanters almost triangular (Fig. 4A), as long as third of femur, tibiae straight, their spurs small, covered by pubescence, tarsomeres 1-4 lobed.

Male. Eyes medium-sized to large, eye diameter 1.3-1.7× longer than interocular distance. Antennae reaching beyond elytral midlength, antennomeres 3-10 flabellate, antennal branches flattened, antennomere 1 (=A1) stout, A2 small, transverse, A3 slightly (1.15-1.3x) shorter than A4, A4-A10 subequal in length. Lamellae arise basally, lamella of A3 slightly longer than antennomere body, remaining lamellae considerably longer. Abdominal sternum VIII widely emarginated distally (Fig. 5C, F), emargination shallow, as deep as $1 / 4$ of sternum length. Sternum IX elongate, $3.5 \times$ longer than wide (Fig. 5A, E), widest in distal quarter, proximal half narrow with lateral margins convergent. Phallus with ventromedial oval opening (b, Fig. 6C, G), base of phallus pointed an-chored-shaped, or inverted mushroom-shaped ( $a_{1}$, Fig. 6E, F); distal portion of phallus rod-like, apex clavate, dorsal margin hooked (c, Fig. 6D, G); internal sac membranous with minute spines distally (e, Fig. 6G), sometimes also medially. Parameres at most as long as $2 / 3$ of phallus, base of parameres almost semicircular in cross-section; each paramere projected basally in a thin ventral, medially arched, process ( $\mathrm{d}_{1}$, Fig. 6C), sometimes joining medially in a ring-like bridge ( $\mathrm{d}_{3}$, Fig. 6G); parameral apex denticulate, provided with one or two coarse teeth. Phallobase slightly asymmetrical, distorted, moderately arched ventrally.

Female. Eyes small, interocular distance $1.3 \times$ longer than eye diameter, antennae serrate (Fig. 2B). Terminal sternum (IX) simple (Fig. 5D), spiculum gastrale rudimentary, triangular. Ovipositor with valvifers $1.3 \times$ longer than coxites (Fig. 6H).

Etymology. The genus is named in honor of H. S. Gorham, the author of chapters on Malacodermata in Biologia-Centrali Americana (Gorham 1880, 1881, 1884), where he described many genera and species of Eurrhacini and Calopterini. The gender is neuter.

Distribution. Panama, Ecuador.

Gorhamium bidentatum sp. nov.
https://zoobank.org/C1E7CBDC-5EBC-457E-BB8D-5B51E6ACE0F6
Figs 2A, B, 3A, 4A, B, 5A-D, 6C-E, H

Type material. Holotype • male, "PANAMA, V. de Chiriqui, 25-4000 ft. Champion", secondary labels - B.C.A. Col. III. (2). Calocladon chiriquense, SYNTYPE - blueedged circle (BMNH). [Volcan de Chiriqui is now referred to as Volcán Barú].

Paratypes • PANAMA, same data as for holotype, 1 male, 3 females (BMNH); - "PANAMA, V. de Chiriqui, 2-3000 ft. Champion", secondary labels - same data as for holotype, SYNTYPE - blue-edged circle, 1 female (BMNH); • "PANAMA, V. de Chiriqui, 4000-6000 ft. Champion, secondary labels - same data as for holotype, SYNTYPE - blue-edged circle, 3 males (BMNH).

Diagnosis. Pronotum and elytra largely yellow, only median longitudinal stripe on pronotum, basal half of elytral suture, and elytral apex black. Phallus rod-like apically, ventromedial opening oval, widest medially. Parameres shorter than half of phallus, their ventrobasal projects separated ( $\mathrm{d}_{1}$, Fig. 6C), apex of parameres bidentate, internal sac largely membranous, micro spurs barely visible.

Description. Body length: 5.1-6 mm, width across the humeri: $1.1-1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. Body dark brown, only anterior pronotal margin, broad sides of pronotum, trochanters, bases of femora, scutellum, and most of elytra yellow (Fig. 2A, B). Sutural stripe in basal half of elytra and apical 1/30 of elytra black. Head largely covered by pronotum. Elytra 4-4.8× longer than humeral width (Fig. 2A). Primary costae 2 and 4 and basal quarter of primary costa 3 more elevated. Reticulate cells irregular, secondary costae 3 and 4 present only basally.

Male. Eyes large, hemispherically prominent, eye diameter 1.5-1.7× longer than interocular distance. Antennae with antennomere 3 (=A3) $1.15 \times$ shorter than A4, A4-A10 subequal in length; antennal branches flattened, lamella of A3 $1.7 \times$ longer than antennomere length, remaining lamellae considerably longer, $\sim 2.4 \times$ longer than antennomere length (Fig. 4B). Abdominal sternum VIII with a broad, shallow emargination distally (up to $1 / 5$ of sternum length), its proximal margin minutely


Figure 3. Pronotum A Gorhamium bidentatum sp. nov., male B Gorhamium unidentatum sp. nov., male.


Figure 4. A head ventrally B, C antenna dorsally A, B Gorhamium bidentatum sp. nov., male C Gorhamium unidentatum sp. nov., male.
emarginated up to $1 / 10$ of sternum length (Fig. 5C). Tergum $X$ small, only $1.3 \times$ longer than preceding sternum IX on the sides (Fig. 5B). Phallus rod-like in distal $1 / 3$, slightly widened apically, with a dorsal hook in median portion (c, Fig. 6D) and large ventral opening widest medially (b, Fig. 6C). Parameres moderately shorter than half of phallus, each with two coarse teeth apically, ventrobasal parameral protrusions slender, medially separated by $1 / 3$ of phallic width (Fig. 6C).

Female. Eyes small, eye diameter $1.3 \times$ shorter than interocular distance. Antennae serrate (Fig. 2B). Terminal sternum with spiculum gastrale rudimentary, triangular to slightly pointed (Fig. 5D). Ovipositor elongate (Fig. 6H), valvifers rod-like, $1.4 \times$ longer than coxites, basally coalescent. Coxites medially distant, their base and apex closer, styli as long as half of coxites.

Etymology. Named after the shape of apical portion of parameres.
Distribution. Panama.

## Gorhamium unidentatum sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/8CEF51B9-4C36-4654-BEB4-B3BFA4F63DC3
Figs 2C, 3B, 4C, 5E-H, 6F, G
Type material. Holotype • male, "Ecuador, 50 km SW Quito, San Francisco de las Pampas, Otonga res., $1500 \mathrm{~m}, 0^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 79^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 5-6$. Dec 2010, Bolm Igt." (UPOL).

Diagnosis. Pronotum black. Elytra bicolor orange-black with suture, longitudinal median oval spot, and triangular apical spot black. Phallus ball-shaped apically, ventromedial opening widest in basal third. Apex of each paramere fitted with a sharp laterally projected tooth, internal sac with a series of diminutive teeth (e, Fig. 6G).

Description. Body length: 6.4 mm , width across the humeri: 1.2 mm . Body black, only elytral sidebars orange (with whole suture, longitudinal median oval spot and triangular spot in apical quarter black, remaining sidebars orange (Fig. 2C). Head mostly hidden by pronotum in dorsal view. Elytra slender, $4.5 \times$


Figure 5. Terminal abdominal segments A-D Gorhamium bidentatum sp. nov. E-H Gorhamium unidentatum sp. nov., male G Cladocalon chiriquense (Gorham, 1884) A, B, E, G male terminalia (sternum IX and tergum IX - X), A - ventral view; B, E, G - dorsal view C Male sternum and tergum VIII, ventral view D female terminal sternum, ventral view. F, Male sternum VIII, ventral view H Male tergum VIII, dorsal view.
longer than humeral width (Fig. 2C); primary costae 2 and 4 and basal 1/5 of primary costa 3 elevated; reticulate cells oval, strongly irregular, secondary costae 3 and 4 diminishing apically.

Male. Eyes medium-sized, interocular distance $1.3 \times$ longer than eye diameter (Fig. 3B). Antennae with antennomere 3 (= A3) $1.3 \times$ shorter than A4, A4A10 subequal in length; antennal branches flattened, considerably lengthening medially, A3 lamella $1.2 \times$ longer than antennomere A3 length, A4 lamella 1.35× longer than A4 length, A5 lamella $1.5 \times$ longer than A5 length (Fig. 4C). Abdominal sternum VIII widely emarginated in distal third (Fig. 5F), its proximal margin almost straight. Tergum $X$ elongate, $1.7 \times$ longer than sternum IX on the sides


Figure 6. A-G, Male genitalia A, B Cladocalon chiriquense (Gorham, 1884) C-E Gorhamium bidentatum sp. nov. F-H Gorhamium unidentatum sp. nov. H female genitalia of Gorhamium bidentatum sp. nov., ventral view. A, C, F ventral view B, D, G lateral view E ventrolateral view. Abbreviations: a1 - pointed anchor-shaped base of phallus, a2 - flat anchor-shaped base of phallus, b - phallic ventral opening, c - dorsal dent, d 1 - arcuate ventrobasal parameral process, d2 - flattened ventrobasal parameral process, d3 - a ring-like ventral bridge (ventrobasal processes medially fused), e - internal sac.
(Fig. 5E). Phallus bent ventrally in distal 1/3, constricted subapically, apex ballshaped; ventromedial opening widest in basal quarter (b, Fig. 6G); dorsal hook shifted in distal quarter (c, Fig. 6G). Parameres as long as $2 / 3$ of phallus, with a single, laterally projected, apical tooth; ventrobasal parameral protrusions slender, joined medially in a ring-like bridge ( $\mathrm{d}_{3^{\prime}}$, Fig. 6G).

Female. Unknown.
Etymology. The specific name refers to the single sharp tooth at the apex of each paramere.

Distribution. Ecuador.

## Key to genera of Eurrhacini

1 Each elytron with only 4 longitudinal costae, secondary costae absent
Haplobothris Bourgeois, 1879

- Each elytron with 9 longitudinal costae, alternate costae strong, more elevated
2 Pronotum with a median longitudinal carina, areola absent, or at most slotlike 3
- Pronotum with longitudinal carinae forming median longitudinal areola ...... 4

3 Median longitudinal areola on pronotum absent, posterior pronotal margin with prominent medioposterior protrusion covering whole scutellum, male antennae flabellate

Lycoplateros Pic, 1922

- Median longitudinal areola on pronotum slot-like, basal margin of pronotum almost straight in median portion, scutellum visible, male antennae serrate

Neolinoptes Nascimento \& Bocakova, 2017
4 Aedeagus trilobate, parameres often shortened, but separate from the phallus, basal portion of each paramere with an arcuate ventral protrusion, usually joining medially in a ring-like bridge (character 45 , state 1 ) 7

- Aedeagus unilobed, parameres either absent, or strongly shortened and coalescent with phallus, sometimes with remnants of sutures dorsally5

5 Male genitalia with phallobase not fused to phallus and parameres, terminal maxillary palpomere enlarged, $1.8 \times$ longer than palpomere 2 (P2); parameres entirely integrated into the widened basal 1/10-1/3 of tubular phallus, posterior trochanters spinose

Eurrhacus Waterhouse, 1879

- Male genitalia with phallobase fused to phallus and parameres (if present), terminal maxillary palpomere small, $1.3-1.6 \times$ shorter than P 26

6 Phallus and phallobase ventrally coalescent to parameres, basal 3/5 of phallus with integrated parameres conical, parameres dorsally visible, slightly folded. Terminal maxillary palpomere $1.3 \times$ shorter than P 2 , posterior trochanters triangular

Emplectus Erichson, 1847

- Parameres absent, phallus S-shaped, basally fused to median portion of phallobase, terminal maxillary palpomere $1.6 \times$ shorter than P2 $\qquad$

7 Pronotum $\sim 1.3 \times$ longer than wide; apical half of phallus strongly curved ventrally, parameres short, as long as $1 / 3$ of phallus; base of phallus sharply triangular (character 47, state 1), integrated to dorsobasal portion of parameres; phallobase elongate, as long as $2 / 3$ of phallus.........Calocladon Gorham, 1881

- Pronotum wider than long, base of phallus anchor-shaped (inverted mush-room-shaped) (Fig. 6A-G)

8 Primary costa 3 usually joined to primary costa 2 in distal 1/3-1/4 of elytra Parameres almost as long as phallus, laterally compressed, connected basally by a strong annular ventral bridge, apex rounded. $\qquad$
Atlanticolycus Nascimento \& Bocakova, 2023

- Primary costa 3 almost fully developed, not joining to primary costa 2. Parameres shorter than the phallus by at least a quarter of the length, distal half flattened, with ventrobasal projects either strongly flattened (Fig. 6A, B), or slender ( $\mathrm{d}_{1}$, Fig. 6C), sometimes fused forming ventral bridge ( $\mathrm{d}_{3}$, Fig. 6G) .. 9
9 Parameres flattened, ribbon-like, L-shaped in lateral view, apex with basal-ly-oriented hooks, ventrobasal parameral projects flattened, sometimes constituting a ventral bridge, base of phallus more or less flat anchor-shaped (inverted mushroom-shaped).......Cladocalon Nascimento \& Bocakova, 2022
- Parameres basally semicircular in cross-section, apex of parameres with 1 or 2 laterodistal teeth. Ventrobasal parameral projects, or ventral bridge very slender, base of phallus pointed anchor-shaped, or inverted mush-room-shaped (character 47, state 1) Gorhamium gen. nov.


## Discussion

## The Calopterini

Support for a monophyletic origin of Calopterini and the subtribe Calopterina has been confirmed by previous (Bocakova 2005; Nascimento et al. 2020; Ferreira et al. 2023) and our current (this study) morphology-based analyses. However, the formerly recovered Acroleptina, comprising all neotenic calopterins, is now predominantly paraphyletic (Table 2) and split into two lineages.

## The Eurrhacini

Consistent with our results, previous analyses supported the Eurrhacini and showed Haplobothris as the deepest branch. The initial trees (Bocakova 2005; Nascimento et al. 2020) further implied an early separation of Calocladon. However, after the inclusion of Xenomorphon, an enigmatic anelytrous beetle male (Ferreira et al. 2023), Calocladon was recovered as a crown group being sister to Lycoplateros, although support values were low. By contrast, our results (Fig. 1) have indicated the Calocladon clade is sister to Emplectus + Eurrhacus + Currhaeus clade, whereas Lycoplateros is recovered as one of early Eurrhacini branches.

## The Calocladon clade

Our updated dataset is the first to include the recently described Atlanticolycus (Brazil), Cladocalon (Mexico, Guatemala, and Panama), and Gorhamium gen. nov. (Panama, Ecuador) proposed here. The analyses show Calocladon and the three closely related genera constitute a highly supported clade (UFBoot $=88, \mathrm{pp}=0.93$ ).

Members of the Calocladon clade share two unambiguous synapomorphies (Suppl. materials 3-5), particularly the convergent ventrobasal projections on the parameres that often fuse medially into a ventral bridge (character 45, state 1; Fig. 6G, $\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ). The character is present in all genera, although the length and thickness of these projections varies. While Calocladon and Atlanticolycus

Table 2. Support for major Calopterini and Eurrhacini lineages (Bocakova 2005; Bocak and Bocakova 2008; this study). Branch support values are based on ultrafast bootstrapping (UFBoot) for maximum likelihood (ML) and posterior probabilities (PP) for Bayesian analyses (BA). For maximum parsimony (MP) analyses, clade percentages on the majority-rule consensus tree (MRCT), standard bootstrapping (BS) and symmetric resampling (SR) values are given. Abbreviations: P - paraphyletic or polyphyletic; NW no weights, IW - implied weights.

| Tree search procedures | ML | BA | MP | MP | MP | MP |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NW | NW | NW | IW |
|  | UFBoot | PP | MRCT | BS | SR | MRCT |
| Calopterini + Eurrhacini | 65 | 38 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 22 | 24 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Calopterini | 51 | 29 | $\mathbf{9 1}$ | 6 | 10 | P |
| Calopterina | 77 | 52 | P | 10 | 16 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Acroleptina | P | P | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | P | P | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Eurrhacini | 78 | 51 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 1 | 2 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Calocladon clade | $\mathbf{8 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 49 | 55 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |
| Eurrhacus clade | P | P | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | P | P | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

have the strongly developed ventral bridge of the parameres, the ventrobasal parameral projects are often shorter and less pronounced in the Cladocalon + Gorhamium clade. The second unambiguous synapomorphy of the Calocladon clade is the strong, sharply triangular, or inverted mushroom-shaped base of phallus (character 47, state 1). Furthermore, Cladocalon has the characteristic L-shaped parameres. The feature is also present in Gorhamium unidentatum sp. nov. (Fig. 6G), while it is only indicated in G. bidentatum sp. nov. (Fig. 6C, D). Genera Cladocalon, Atlanticolycus, and Gorhamium gen. nov. also share several external characters as flabellate antennae in males, transversely trapezoidal pronotum with lenticular median areola (areola absent, replaced by median longitudinal carina in Lycoplateros and Neolinoptes), and each elytron with nine longitudinal costae (i.e., secondary less elevated alternate costae present). Conversely, secondary costae are absent in Haplobothris (each elytron with only four longitudinal costae). While in Eurrhacus and Lycoplateros primary costae 1 and 3 are strongly elevated, the genera of the Calocladon clade have primary costae 1 and 3 only slightly thicker compared to primary costae 2 and 4. These features are also shared by Calocladon, except for its characteristic elongated pronotum and considerably more slender median areola.
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## List of morphological characters

Authors: Elynton Alves do Nascimento, Milada Bocakova
Data type: docx
Explanation note: List of morphological characters (adapted from Bocakova 2005; Nascimento et al. 2020; Ferreira et al. 2023). Characters 6 and 42 were edited. Characters $12,17,21$, and 30 include new character states. Characters 44-51 were newly added.
Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.
Link: https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1204.114932.suppl1

## Supplementary material 2

Bayesian phylogeny of Calopterini and Eurrhacini
Authors: Elynton Alves Nascimento, Milada Bocakova
Data type: tif
Explanation note: Bayesian phylogeny of Calopterini and Eurrhacini inferred from morphological data, node labels represent posterior probabilities.
Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.
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## Supplementary material 3

## Strict consensus of 23 parsimony trees of Calopterini and Eurrhacini using equal weights

Authors: Elynton Alves Nascimento, Milada Bocakova
Data type: tif
Explanation note: Unambiguous character changes mapped on branches in WinClada, black circles represent nonhomoplasious changes, white circles homoplasious changes. Circles are labelled with small character numbers above and character states below.
Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.
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## Supplementary material 4

## Strict consensus of 23 unweighted parsimony trees, fast optimization using ACCTRAN

Authors: Elynton Alves Nascimento, Milada Bocakova
Data type: tif
Explanation note: Fast optimization using accelerated (ACCTRAN) transformations mapped on branches in WinClada, black circles represent non-homoplasious changes, white circles homoplasious changes. Circles are labelled with small character numbers above and character states below.
Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.
Link: https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1204.114932.suppl4

## Supplementary material 5

Strict consensus of 23 unweighted parsimony trees, fast optimization using DELTRAN

Authors: Elynton Alves Nascimento, Milada Bocakova
Data type: tif
Explanation note: Slow optimization using delayed (DELTRAN) transformations mapped on branches in WinClada, black circles represent nonhomoplasious changes, white circles homoplasious changes. Circles are labelled with small character numbers above and character states below.
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#### Abstract

The East Palaearctic species of the adeliine genus Paradelius De Saeger, 1942 are reviewed. The genus Sculptomyriola Belokobylskij, 1988 is synonymised with Paradelius and treated as its subgenus. The following species are transferred to subgenus Paradelius (Sculptomyriola): P. (Sc.) extremiorientalis (Belokobylskij, 1988), comb. nov.; P. (Sc.) ghilarovi (Belokobylskij, 1988), comb. nov.; P. (Sc.) neotropicalis Shimbori \& Shaw, 2019; P. (Sc.) nigrus Whitfield, 1988; P. (Sc.) rubrus Whitfield, 1988; P. (Sc.) sinevi (Belokobylskij, 1998), comb. nov. A new species Paradelius (Sculptomyriola) koreanus sp. nov. from Korean Peninsula is described. The genus Sinadelius He \& Chen, 2000 is synonymised with Paradelius De Saeger and also treated as its subgenus. The species Sinadelius guangxiensis He \& Chen, 2000 and S. nigricans He \& Chen, 2000 are transferred to Paradelius (Sinadelius) (comb. nov.). A key for determination of the World known Paradelius species from three its subgenera, Paradelius s.str., Sculptomyriola Belokobylskij and Sinadelius He \& Chen, and illustrated redescriptions of the type of genus and its Asian species are provided.


Key words: Ichneumonoidea, new species, new synonyms, parasitoids, redescriptions, Sculptomyriola, Sinadelius

## Introduction

The members of the braconid wasps of the small tribe Adeliini have been considered as a separate subfamily in the Braconidae microgasteroid complex for a long time (Čapek 1970; Tobias 1986; Belokobylskij 1988, 1998; Quicke and van Achterberg 1990; van Achterberg 1993; Whitfield 1997; Shi et al. 2005). However, the following morphological and especially molecular phylogenetic analyses of the Braconidae subfamilies (Whitfield and Mason 1994; Dowton and Austin 1998; Kittel et al. 2016) have shown that this taxonomic group is nested within the subfamily Cheloninae, and subsequently it has been treated only as a chelonine tribe (Chen and van Achterberg 2019; Shimbori et al. 2019; Jasso-Martínez et al. 2022).

This subfamily (and later tribe) was long time considered to consist of four genera: Adelius Haliday, 1833 (with its synonyms Acaelius Haliday, 1834, Acoelius Haliday, 1835, Anomopterus Rohwer, 1914, Myriola Shestakov, 1932, and Pleiomerus Wesmael, 1837), Paradelius De Saeger, 1942, Sculptomyriola Belokobylskij, 1988, and Sinadelius He \& Chen, 2000 (Yu et al. 2016; Shimbori et al. 2019). The members of this taxonomic group are relatively rarely presented in the scientific collection (perhaps except for Adelius), although the adeliine wasps have an almost worldwide distribution.

Adeliine species are known as solitary koinobiont larval or perhaps egg-larval endoparasitoids of mainly leaf-mining moths from predominantly the families Nepticulidae, butalso were reared fromthe species of the families Coleophoridae, Gracillariidae, Lyonetiidae, Tischeriidae and Tortricidae (Yu et al. 2016; Shimbori et al. 2019).

In this article, the description of a new species, two generic synonyms, five new combinations, and redescriptions of the Paradelius type species and seven East Asian species are provided, and a key to all adeliine genera and Paradelius species is compiled.

## Materials and methods

The braconid specimens were examined with an Olympus SZ51 stereomicroscope. Photographs were obtained using a Canon EOS 70D digital camera mounted on an Olympus SZX10 microscope (Zoological Institute RAS, St Petersburg). The photographs of Chinese species were made by a digital microscope (KEYENCE VHX-2000, Osaka, Japan) (Zhejiang University, Hangzhou). Image stacking was performed using Helicon Focus 8.0. The figures were produced using the Adobe Photoshop CS6 and CC2018 programs. In the keys, additional features useful for separating species are listed after the dash (-).

The terminology used for morphological features, sculpture, and body measurements follow Belokobylskij and Maetô (2009). Wing venation nomenclature also follows Belokobylskij and Maetô (2009), with the terminology of van Achterberg (1993) shown in parentheses.

Abbreviations are indicated for the type material as HT , holotype and PT, paratype. Abbreviations of specimen depositories and collections are as follows:

AMTB African Museum, Tervuren, Belgium;
NIBR National Institute of Biological Resources, Incheon, Republic of Korea;
SMNE the Science Museum of Natural Enemies, Geochang, Republic of Korea;
ZISP the Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, St Petersburg, Russia;
ZJUH Parasitoid Hymenoptera Collection of the Institute of Insect Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China.

## Taxonomy

Class Insecta Linnaeus, 1758
Order Hymenoptera Linnaeus, 1758
Family Braconidae Nees, 1811
Subfamily Cheloninae Foerster, 1863
Tribe Adeliini Viereck, 1918

## Key to the World genera of the tribe Adeliini

1 All metasomal tergites smooth, only rarely first tergite shortly rugose-striate in basomedial excavation; sutures between first and second and second and third tergites absent or very weak, present as track $\qquad$
Adelius Haliday, 1833

- First metasomal tergite entirely, second tergite entirely or at least basally, and often third tergites in basal 0.3-0.8 rugose-reticulate and sometimes partly with striation; sutures between first and second and often also between second and third tergites present, distinct, rather wide and crenulate or rugose, but sometimes partially hidden by irregular sculpture (Figs $1 \mathrm{I}, 2 \mathrm{~F}$, 3F, 5G, 6G, 7G, 9D, 10F, 11G..........Paradelius De Saeger, 1942 (Sculptomyriola Belokobylskij, 1988, syn. nov.; Sinadelius He \& Chen, 2000, syn. nov.)


## Genus Paradelius De Saeger, 1942

Paradelius De Saeger, 1942: 313; Belokobylskij 1988: 148; Whitfield 1988: 313; He et al. 2000: 682; Yu et al. 2016; Shimbori et al. 2019: 190.
Sculptomyriola Belokobylskij, 1988: 145 (type species: S. extremiorientalis Belokobylskij, 1988) (syn. nov.); He et al. 2000: 681; Yu et al. 2016; Shimbori et al. 2019: 154.
Sinadelius He \& Chen in He et al. 2000: 681 (type species: S. guangxiensis He \& Chen, 2000) (syn. nov.); Yu et al. 2016; Shimbori et al. 2019: 154.

Type species. Paradelius ghesquierei De Saeger, 1942, for primary designation and monotypy.

Notes. A careful restudy of the type species of the genus Paradelius De Saeger, with the redescribed P. ghesquierei De Saeger, as well as the descriptions of all known taxa of this and related genera (Belokobylskij 1988; Whitfield 1988; He et al. 2000; Shimbori et al. 2019) and available material for most Asian Paradelius species have shown that the characters suggested for the separation of Sculptomyriola from Paradelius (mainly fore wing venation, sculpture of metasoma, and condition of prepectal carina) are distinctly variable, not taxonomically stable and as result, not available for use as generic features. The infrageneric variation of the adeliine fore wing venation was already noted in the genus Adelius Haliday on the examples of the species originally described in the synonymised genus Myriola Shestakov, 1932 (Muesebeck and Walkley 1951; Yu et al. 2016). Additionally, only laterally developed prepectal carina (which is reduced below) in the type species of Paradelius De Saeger, P. ghesquierei De Saeger, as well as in P. chinensis He \& Chen, 2000, are variable, weakly visible (often because of distinct sculpture surrounding this carina) or reduced in other species former belonged to Sculptomyriola. As a result of this study, Sculptomyriola Belokobylskij, 1988 is synonymised under Paradelius De Saeger, 1942 (syn. nov.), but we keep this name as a subgeneric subdivision including the larger part of previously described species of Paradelius.

The different levels of sculpture covering the first three metasomal tergites found in the Paradelius and Sinadelius species studied (and even in some

Adelius) as well as its variation in the sculpture distribution surface, also allow the name Sinadelius He \& Chen, 2000 to be synonymised with Paradelius De Saeger, 1942 (syn. nov.). However, this name with three known eastern Asian species should be kept as a subgeneric subdivision of Paradelius because the members of Sinadelius have kept sculpture basically only on the whole first and basal part of second tergites (by the way, the second tergite is incompletely sculptured also in the type of the genus, P. ghesquierei De Saeger), and with only weakly designated second suture.

Redescription of the genus. Head transverse (dorsal view). Occipital carina distinct and complete, joined below with hypostomal carina. Vertex densely reticulate-punctate, sometimes additionally with irregular transverse striae. Ocelli relatively small, arranged in triangle with base 1.1-1.3× its sides. Eye large, covered by dense and long or short setae. Frons weakly concave, sometimes with medial longitudinal carina. Clypeal suture deep and complete. Clypeus weakly or distinctly convex in lower margin. Malar suture distinct and complete. Antenna relatively short, thickened, setiform or filiform. Scape long and wide, 2.0-2.5× longer than maximum width; pedicel short. Flagellar segments in apical quarter of antenna longitudinal or subsquare to transverse. Mesosoma relatively short and high. Mesoscutum densely and distinctly punctate, high and curvedly elevated above pronotum. Notauli absent. Prescutellar depression short or very short and distinctly crenulate. Prepectal carina variable, present laterally at least weakly or completely absent, always absent ventrally. Precoxal sulcus distinct, narrow or wide, long, distinctly sinuate, entirely crenulate or rugulose. Mesopleuron mainly smooth. Propodeum usually with areas delineated by distinct carinae, partly or widely smooth or entirely rugose. Fore wing with large pterostigma. Radial vein ( $r+3-S R$ ) with one ( $r$ ) or two ( $r+3-S R$ ) abscissae, with its distal 0.5-0.7 transparent; vein arising from pterostigma separately from first radiomedial vein (2-SR) or joined and from one point of pterostigma, sometimes first radiomedial vein (2-SR) arising from short first abscissa of radial vein (r) closely to pterostigma. Discoidal (discal) cell large, anteriorly usually sessile on parastigma, but sometimes shortly petiolate near parastigma. Recurrent vein (m-cu) usually postfurcal to first radiomedial vein (2-SR), only rarely subinterstitial or very weakly antefurcal. Mediocubital vein (M+CU1) distinctly curved towards longitudinal anal vein (1-1A). Brachial (subdiscal) cell widely open distally; most part of second abscissa of longitudinal anal (2-1A) and brachial (CU1b) veins absent. In hind wing, radial (marginal) cell without additional transverse vein ( $r$ ). First abscissa of mediocubital vein ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{CU}$ ) distinctly longer that its second abscissa (1-M). Nervellus (cu-a) desclerotised and transparent. Hind coxa enlarged; hind femur wide. Hind tibia clavate, distinctly or strongly widened distally; long inner tibial spur not shorter than half of basitarsus. First and second segments of hind tarsus sometimes with distinct transparent keels below. Claw small and distinctly or weakly curved. Metasoma at least weakly depressed dorsoventrally, with immovably fused from first to third tergites, not formed complete coarse carapace and its posterior metasomal tergites usually distinctly protruding behind third tergite. First tergite without or with small dorsope, without dorsal carinae. Both sutures (between first and second, second and third tergites)
present, rather deep or shallow, relatively narrow and densely sculptured. First and second tergites entirely or also third tergite at least in basal third or half densely striate-rugulose. Ovipositor short.

Composition. Paradelius (Paradelius) chinensis He \& Chen, 2000, P. (P.) ghesquierei De Saeger, 1942; P. (Sculptomyriola) extremiorientalis (Belokobylskij, 1988), comb. nov., P. (Sc.) ghilarovi (Belokobylskij, 1988), comb. nov., P. (Sc.) koreanus Belokobylskij \& Ku, sp. nov., P. (Sc.) neotropicalis Shimbori \& Shaw, 2019, P. (Sc.) nigrus Whitfield, 1988, P. (Sc.) rubrus Whitfield, 1988, P. (Sc.) sinevi (Belokobylskij, 1998), comb. nov.; P. (Sinadelius) guangxiensis (He \& Chen, 2000), comb. nov., P. (S.) nigricans (He \& Chen, 2000), comb. nov., P. (S.) ussuriensis Belokobylskij, 1988.

Hosts. Solitary koinobiont endoparasitoids of leaf-mining moths, Stigmella sp. and Stigmella variella (Braun, 1910) (Nepticulidae), as well as Enarmonia sp. (Tortricidae) (Lepidoptera) (Yu et al. 2016; Shimbori et al. 2019).

A host record for P. ghesquierei, Enarmonia sp. (Tortricidae) (De Saeger 1942), has not been confirmed later and might be erroneous (Shimbori et al. 2019).

Distribution. East Palaearctic (Russia: Far East; China: Liaoning; Korean Peninsula), Oriental (China: Zhejiang, Guangxi); Afrotropics (D.R. Congo); Nearctic (USA); Neotropics (Costa Rica).

## Key to the World species of the genus Paradelius De Saeger

1 Prepectal carina present laterally and rather distinctly visible. - Propodeum mainly smooth between carinae of areas(Figs 1H, 2F). Third metasomal tergite entirely smooth (Figs 1I, 2F). (Subgenus Paradelius s. str.) .... 2

- Prepectal carina laterally usually absent or very weakly visible................. 3

2 Recurrent vein (m-cu) of fore wing postfurcal to first radiomedial vein (3SR) (Figs 1K, 4C). Head behind eyes weakly convex in anterior half and roundly narrowed in posterior half; transverse diameter of eye almost twice longer than temple (dorsal view) (Fig. 1D). Second metasomal tergite rugose-striate in basal 0.8, smooth posteriorly. - D.R. Congo
.P. (P.) ghesquierei De Saeger, 1942

- Recurrent vein (m-cu) of fore wing very weakly antefurcal to first radiomedial vein (3-SR) (Figs 2H, 4A). Head behind eyes entirely distinctly roundly narrowed; transverse diameter of eye $\sim 4.5 \times$ longer than temple (dorsal view) (Fig. 2C). Second metasomal tergite entirely striate with rugosity. China (Zhejiang)
P. (P.) chinensis He \& Chen, 2000

3 First (between first and second tergites) and second (between second and third tergites) sutures distinct and relatively wide. First and second tergites entirely, and often third tergite in basal 0.3-0.8 rugose-reticulate or striate with reticulation (Figs 1I, 2F, 3F, 5G, 6G, 7G). Antenna 20-segmented (Subgenus Sculptomyriola Belokobylskij, 1988) .4

- Only first suture (between first and second tergites) distinct and relatively wide; second suture very fine. First tergite entirely and second tergite only basally rugose-reticulate (Figs 9D, 10F, 11G). Antenna 22-23-segmented (Subgenus Sinadelius He \& Chen, 2000).
4 Radial vein (r) of fore wing only with single abscissa; first radiomedial vein (2-SR) arising from pterostigma usually distant from radial vein ( $r$ ),
or rarely from one point with radial vein (r) (Fig. 4). Medial vein (1-SR+M) of fore wing arising from basal vein (1-M) relatively far from parastigma; discoidal (discal) cell distinctly petiolate or subpetiolate anteriorly (Figs 3H, 4C) .5
- Radial vein of fore wing with two abscissae ( $r$ and 3-SR), first abscissa ( $r$ ) short; first radiomedial vein (2-SR) arising from radial vein (r) (Fig. 8). Medial vein (1-SR+M) of fore wing arising from parastigma; discoidal (discal) cell sessile anteriorly (Fig. 8)
5 First radiomedial vein (2-SR) arising from pterostigma from one point with radial vein (r). Sclerotised basal part of metacarp (1-R1) $0.4-0.5 \times$ as long as pterostigma (Figs $3 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{C}$ ). Tenth-twentieth antennal segments subsquare (Fig. 3D). Body usually entirely black, rarely head partly reddish brown (Fig. 3A). - Russia (south of Far East), Korean Peninsula (See also couplet 8) ...... P. (Sc.) extremiorientalis (Belokobylskij, 1988), comb. nov.
- First radiomedial vein (2-SR) arising from pterostigma distant from radial vein (r). Sclerotised basal part of metacarp (1-R1) 0.2-0.3x as long as pterostigma. Tenth-twentieth antennal segments weakly elongate. Body entirely or at least partly reddish brown, pale reddish brown or yellowish brown. - USA (California, Wyoming)............P. (Sc.) rubrus Whitfield, 1988
6 Body completely brownish yellow (Fig. 6A). Third metasomal tergites mainly smooth, only finely rugulose in narrow basomedial part (Fig. 6G). Small, body length 1.5 mm . - Medial segments of antenna weakly transverse or subsquare (Fig. 6D). Propodeum with long and inversely-pentagonal areola delineated by strong and complete carinae (Fig. 6G). First and second metasomal sutures rather weak (Fig. 6G). - Korean Peninsula ..... ..P. (Sc.) koreanus sp. nov.
- Body completely dark brown or black, only sometimes with reddish brown areas on head and anterior parts of mesosoma (Figs 5A, 7A). Third metasomal tergites mainly rugose-reticulate and sometimes with additional striation, smooth only in distal 0.2-0.5 (Figs 5G, 7G). Large, body length 2.1-2.7 mm .. 7
7 Antennae distinctly shorter than body, in female length of segments behind middle of antenna distinctly less than their width, these segments transverse (Fig. 5D). Penultimate segment of female antenna 0.75-0.85× as long as wide (Fig. 5D). Body only partly black, most part of head, prothorax, mesoscutum in anterior 0.3-0.5 and part or all mesopleuron reddish brown (Fig. 5A). - Russia (south of Far East), Korean Peninsula $\qquad$
P. (Sc.) ghilarovi (Belokobylskij, 1988), comb. nov.
- Antennae almost equal to or longer than body, in female length of segments behind middle of antenna not less than their width, these segments subsquare or elongated (Fig. 7D). Penultimate segment of female antenna 1.3-1.5× longer than wide (Fig. 7D) (unknown in $P$. nigra and $P$. neotropicalis). Body completely black (Fig. 7A), very rarely head partly (dark) reddish brown
.8
8 Medial vein (1-SR+M) of fore wing arising from basal vein (1-M) relatively far from parastigma; discoidal (discal) cell distinctly petiolate anteriorly (Figs 3H, 4B). Sclerotised basal part of metacarp (1-R1) $0.4-0.5 \times$ as long as pterostigma (Figs 3H, 4B). - Tenth-twentieth antennal segments
subsquare (Fig. 3D). (See also couplet 5)
...................... P. (Sc.) extremiorientalis (Belokobylskij, 1988), comb. nov.
- Medial vein (1-SR+M) of fore wing arising from parastigma; discoidal (discal) cell sessile anteriorly (Figs 7H, 8C). Sclerotised basal part of metacarp (1-R1) $0.2-0.3 \times$ as long as pterostigma (Figs $7 \mathrm{H}, 8 \mathrm{C}$ )
9 Sutures between first and second and second and third tergites distinct and relatively wide (Fig. 3FG). Propodeum smooth within carinae of areas. - USA (Texas). $\qquad$ P. (Sc.) nigrus Whitfield, 1988
- Sutures between first and second and second and third tergites rather weak and poorly visible, covered by additional sculpture (Fig. 7G). Propodeum rugose-reticulate within distinct carinae of areas (Fig. 7G). 10
10 Fore wing entirely hyaline (Figs 7H, 8C). Antenna basally pale reddish brown (Fig. 7D). All legs mainly pale reddish brown or yellowish brown (Fig. 7A). Third metasomal tergite rugose-reticulate in basal 0.7-0.8 (Fig. 7G). - Russia (south of Far East)........P. (Sc.) sinevi (Belokobylskij, 1998), comb. nov.
- Fore wing medially distinctly and widely infuscate. Antenna basally dark reddish brown to black. All legs mainly dark reddish brown to black. Third metasomal tergite longitudinally striate in basal 0.8-0.9. - Costa Rica ....
P. (Sc.) neotropicalis Shimbori \& Shaw, 2019

11 Body mainly yellowish brown (Fig. 9A). Transverse diameter of eye ~ $2.5 \times$ larger than length of temple (dorsal view) (Fig. 9C). First metasomal tergite behind spiracular tubercles subparallel-sided (Fig. 9D). - China (Guangxi)................... P. (S.) guangxiensis (He \& Chen, 2000), comb. nov.

- Body mainly black or dark brown, however metasoma often reddish brown (Figs 10A, 11A). Transverse diameter of eye 1.2-1.5× larger than length of temple (dorsal view) (Figs 10C, 11C). First metasomal tergite behind spiracular tubercles narrowed towards its apex (Figs 10F, 11G) ............. 12
12 First metasomal tergite behind spiracular tubercles distinctly narrowed towards its apex (Fig. 11G). Second suture poorly visible (Fig. 11G). - Russia (Primorskiy Territory) $\qquad$ P. (S.) ussuriensis Belokobylskij, 1988
- First metasomal tergite behind spiracular tubercles weakly narrowed towards its apex (Fig. 10F). Second suture distinct (Fig. 10F). - China (Liaoning) $\qquad$ P. (S.) nigricans (He \& Chen, 2000), comb. nov.


## Subgenus Paradelius s. str.

Figs 1, 2

## Paradelius (Paradelius) ghesquierei De Saeger, 1942

Figs 1, 4A

Paradelius ghesquierei De Saeger 1942: 314; Belokobylskij 1988: 148; Whitfield 1988: 313; Yu et al. 2016.

Material examined. D.R. of Congo: "Holotypus P. ghesquierei D.S. đ [Sic!]" (red), "Musée du Congo, 3728, Rutshuru, II - 1937, J. Ghesquière", " $\bigcirc$ ", "R. dét. X. 4690", "H. De Saeger det. 1942: Paradelius Ghesquierei. Holotype ¢", 1 female (HT) (AMTB).

Description. Female. Body length 2.0 mm ; fore wing length 1.8 mm .


Figure 1. Paradelius (Paradelius) ghesquierei De Saeger, 1942 (female, holotype) A habitus, dorsal view B habitus, lateral view $\mathbf{C}$ head, front view $\mathbf{D}$ head, dorsal view $\mathbf{E}$ head, lateral view $\mathbf{F}$ antenna, basal segments $\mathbf{G}$ mesosoma, lateral view $\mathbf{H}$ mesosoma, dorsal view I metasoma, dorsal view $\mathbf{J}$ hind leg $\mathbf{K}$ wings.

Head. Head almost twice wider than its medial length (dorsal view), $1.2 \times$ wider than mesoscutum. Occiput distinctly evenly concave. Head behind eyes weakly convex in anterior half and roundly narrowed in posterior half; transverse diameter of eye almost twice larger than length of temple (dorsal view). Ocelli arranged in equilateral triangle. POL $1.3 \times 0 \mathrm{Od}, 0.4 \times \mathrm{OOL}$. Eye $1.3 \times$ as high as broad. Malar space almost equal to basal width of mandible, $0.2 \times$ height of eye. Face convex, width of face $1.3 \times$ its median height, $0.9 \times$ height of eye. Tentorial pits small, distance between pits $1.25 \times$ distance from pit to eye. Clypeus high and weakly convex, its width $1.8 \times$ median height, $0.8 \times$ width of face; its lower margin convex medially. Head strongly roundly narrowed below eyes (front view).

Antenna. Antenna partly missing apically, 20-segmented (according to original description), weakly thickened, all preserved submedial segments elongated, but $10^{\text {th }}$ segment shorter and wide. Scape $2.2 \times$ longer than wide. First flagellar segment $3.8 \times$ longer than its apical width, $1.5 \times$ longer than second segment. Tenth antennal segment $1.3 \times$ as long as its width.

Mesosoma. Mesosoma $1.4 \times$ longer than maximum height. Mesoscutum highly and curvedly elevated above pronotum (lateral view), $1.6 \times$ wider than its medial length (dorsal view). Prescutellar depression (scutellar sulcus) short and shallow, with numerous distinct carinae. Scutellum $1.1 \times$ longer than anterior width. Prepectal carina present laterally and absent ventrally. Precoxal sulcus distinct, long, oblique, sinuate, extending below almost throughout all mesopleuron, crenulate.

Wings. Fore wing $3.2 \times$ longer than maximum width. Pterostigma $2.7 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Radial vein (r) arising from distal 0.2 of pterostigma and far from point of arising of first radiomedial vein (2-SR). Present only single abscissa of radial vein (r), which distinctly curved, almost entirely desclerotised and reaching as track distal margin of wing. Radial (marginal) cell shortened, $2.5 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Metacarp (1-R1) sclerotised at most basal part, its sclerotised part $1.2 \times$ longer than pterostigma. First radiomedial vein (2SR) mainly distinctly sclerotised, almost $5.0 \times$ longer than recurrent vein ( $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$ ). Recurrent vein (m-cu) subinterstitial to first radiomedial vein (2-SR), posteriorly weakly convergent with basal vein (1-M). Discoidal (discal) cell widely sessile anteriorly, $1.2 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Nervulus (cu-a) subperpendicular to longitudinal anal vein (1-1A), weakly postfurcal, distance between basal vein ( $1-\mathrm{M}$ ) and nervulus (cu-a) $0.25 \times$ nervulus (cu-a) length. Hind wing $3.3 \times$ longer than maximum width. First abscissa of mediocubital vein ( $M+C U$ ) almost $2.0 \times$ longer than second abscissa ( $1-\mathrm{M}$ ).

Legs. Hind coxa long, $\sim 2.0 \times$ longer than maximum width, $1.5 \times$ longer than propodeum (lateral view). Hind femur $3.2 \times$ longer than maximum width. Hind tibia claviform, $3.9 \times$ longer than maximum width, only weakly narrower than hind femur; longest inner tibial spur $0.6 \times$ length of hind basitarsus. Hind tarsus as long as hind tibia, its basitarsus $0.7 \times$ as long as second-fifth segments combined, $2.5 \times$ longer than second segment, $3.3 \times$ longer than fifth segments (without pretarsus).

Metasoma. Metasoma almost as long as mesosoma. First to third tergites distinctly sclerotised, with distinct, narrow, curved, complete and crenulate first and second sutures; following tergites relatively weak sclerotised. Medial length of first tergite $0.6 \times$ its apical width, as long as second tergite. Second tergite $2.5 \times$ longer than third tergite. Length of first to third tergites combined $0.8 \times$ their maximum width. Third tergite almost straight on posterior margin. Ovipositor sheaths narrow, rather short, $\sim 0.5 \times$ as long as first-third tergites combined.

Sculpture. Head densely areolate-punctate, clypeus weakly and sparsely punctate, smooth between punctures. Mesoscutum densely and distinctly punctate; scutellum mainly smooth. Mesopleuron mainly smooth, only partly with fine and sparse punctation; metapleuron smooth. Propodeum with areas distinctly delineated by carinae, with large and inversely-pentagonal areola, elongated anterolateral and transverse posterolateral areas, petiolate area short, subsquare; propodeum mainly rugulose between carinae. First tergite entirely and second tergite mostly (in basal 0.8) distinctly and densely rugose-striate, first tergite basally only rugose; third and following tergites smooth.

Colour. Body mainly reddish brown to dark reddish brown partly, first to third tergites yellowish brown. Antenna pale brown, brownish yellow in basal third. Palpi pale yellow. Legs mainly yellow, distally brownish yellow. Fore wing subhyaline basally and apically, with faintly infuscate band under pterostigma. Pterostigma and parastigma dark brown, most veins yellow.

Male. Unknown.
Distribution. Democratic Republic of Congo.
Host. Undetermined species of Enarmonia Hübner, 1825 (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) on Zehneria scabra (Linn. f.) Sond (Cucurbitaceae) (De Saeger, 1942).

## Paradelius (Paradelius) chinensis He \& Chen, 2000

Figs 2, 4B

Paradelius chinensis He \& Chen in He et al. 2000: 682; Yu et al. 2016.

Material examined. China: Zhejiang Province, Qingyuan, Mt. Baishanzu, 30.IX. 1993 (Wu Hong col.), No. 941501, 1 female (HT) (ZJUH).

Redescription. Female. Body length 1.8 mm ; fore wing length 1.6 mm .
Head. Head $1.9 \times$ wider than its medial length (dorsal view), $1.1 \times$ wider than mesoscutum. Occiput weakly evenly concave. Head behind eyes distinctly roundly narrowed; transverse diameter of eye $\sim 4.5 \times$ larger than length of temple in dorsal view or $\sim 2.0 \times$ in lateral view. Ocelli arranged in triangle with base $1.2 \times$ its sides. POL $1.4 \times$ Od, $0.5 \times$ OOL. Eye $1.7 \times$ as high as broad. Malar space almost equal to basal width of mandible, $0.15 \times$ height of eye. Face weakly convex, width of face $\sim 1.2 \times$ its median height. Tentorial pits small, distance between pits $1.4 \times$ distance from pit to eye. Clypeus high and weakly convex, its width $2.5 \times$ median height, $0.8 \times$ width of face; its lower margin medially almost straight. Head strongly roundly narrowed below eyes (front view).

Antenna. Antenna 20-segmented, thickened, weakly setiform, submedial and apical segments elongate. Scape $2.1 \times$ longer than wide. First flagellar segment $3.7 \times$ longer than its apical width, $1.6 \times$ longer than second segment. Tenth segment elongate, $1.6 \times$ longer than wide.

Mesosoma. Mesosoma $1.4 \times$ longer than maximum height. Mesoscutum highly and curvedly elevated above pronotum (lateral view), $1.7 \times$ as wide as its medial length (dorsal view). Prescutellar depression (scutellar sulcus) short and shallow, with numerous distinct carinae. Scutellum $0.8 \times$ as long as anterior width. Prepectal carina present laterally and absent ventrally. Precoxal sulcus distinct, long, oblique, sinuate, extending below almost throughout all mesopleuron, crenulate-rugose.


Figure 2. Paradelius (Paradelius) chinensis He \& Chen, 2000 (female, holotype) A habitus, lateral view B head, latero-frontal view $\mathbf{C}$ head, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ head and mesosoma, lateral view $\mathbf{E}$ head, mesosoma and base of metasoma, dorsal view $F$ propodeum and metasoma, dorsal view $\mathbf{G}$ propodeum and metasoma, lateral view $\mathbf{H}$ wings.

Wings. Fore wing damaged and distinctly dented anteriorly, ~ 3.0× longer than maximum width. Radial vein ( $r$ ) arising almost from distal 0.3 of pterostigma and far from first radiomedial vein (2-SR). Present only single abscissa of radial vein ( $r$ ), this vein distinctly curved, mainly desclerotised and reaching as track distal margin of wing. Radial (marginal) cell shortened. Metacarp (1-R1) sclerotised at basal part, its sclerotised part $\sim 0.6 \times$ as long as pterostigma. First radiomedial vein (2-SR) distinctly sclerotised, ~ 6.0× longer than recurrent vein ( $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$ ). Recurrent vein ( $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$ ) very weakly antefurcal to first radiomedial vein (2-SR), posteriorly weakly divergent with basal vein (1-M). Discoidal (discal) cell broadly sessile anteriorly, $1.4 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Nervulus (cu-a) perpendicular to longitudinal anal vein (1-1A), postfurcal, distance between basal vein (1-M) and nervulus (cu-a) $0.5 \times$ nervulus (cu-a) length. Hind wing $\sim 3.0 \times$ longer than maximum width. First abscissa of mediocubital vein $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{CU}) \sim 2.7 \times$ longer than second abscissa (1-M).

Legs. Legs entirely missing.
Metasoma. Metasoma $1.1 \times$ longer than mesosoma. First to third tergites sclerotised, with distinct, relatively wide, sparsely crenulate and weakly curved first and second sutures; following tergites relatively weakly sclerotised. Medial length of first tergite $0.6 \times$ its apical width, approximately as long as second tergite. Second tergite almost $2.0 \times$ longer than short third tergite. Length of first to third tergites combined $1.15 \times$ their maximum width. Third tergite weakly curved in posterior margin. Ovipositor sheath narrow and short, $\sim 0.25 \times$ as long as first-third tergites combined.

Sculpture. Vertex densely and small areolate-punctate; frons areolate-punctate, but almost smooth medially; face transverse striate with reticulation between striae, only rugulose-reticulate medially, clypeus rather weakly and sparsely punctate, smooth between punctures. Mesoscutum densely punctate, with dense striation in medio-posterior one-third; scutellum rather densely and distinctly punctate, smooth between punctures. Mesopleuron smooth in long posterior area, mainly punctate with reticulation in anterior lower two-thirds; metapleuron mainly smooth, reticulate-areolate marginally. Propodeum mainly widely smooth, with areas distinctly delineated by high carinae, with wide and inversely-pentagonal areola (wide anteriorly and narrow posteriorly), anterolateral areas subsquare, posterolateral areas transverse-curved. First and second tergites entirely and sparsely striate, with dense rugosity between striae. Third and following tergites smooth.

Colour. Body mainly pale reddish brown, mesosoma reddish brown. Antenna yellowish brown. Palpi pale yellow. Fore coxa pale brown. Fore wing entirely faintly infuscate, without dark band under pterostigma. Pterostigma and parastigma brown; most veins brown.

Male. Unknown.
Distribution. China (Zhejiang).

## Subgenus Sculptomyriola Belokobylskij, 1988

Figs 3, 5-8

Description of subgenus. Vertex densely and coarsely reticulate-rugulose. Ocelli arranged in weakly obtuse or subequilateral triangle. Eye covered by dense and relatively long setae. Antenna long, thickened and setiform. Flagellar


Figure 3. Paradelius (Sculptomyriola) extremiorientalis (Belokobylskij, 1988), comb. nov. (female, holotype) A habitus, dorsal view $\mathbf{B}$ head, front view $\mathbf{C}$ head, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ antenna $\mathbf{E}$ mesosoma, dorsal view $\mathbf{F}$ propodeum and metasoma, dorsal view $\mathbf{G}$ head and mesosoma, lateral view $\mathbf{H}$ wings I hind leg.
segments longitudinal, subsquare or weakly transverse in apical half of antenna. Mesoscutum densely and distinctly punctate. Prescutellar depression short and densely crenulate. Prepectal carina laterally weakly present or completely absent. Precoxal sulcus distinct, long, relatively narrow, sinuate, entirely crenulate or rugulose. Propodeum widely rugulose-reticulate, rarely also with areas delineated by carinae at least posteriorly. Fore wing with large pterostigma. Radial vein ( $r+3-S R$ ) with one ( $r$ ) or two ( $r+3-S R$ ) abscissae, arising from posterior 0.3 of pterostigma separately or joined with first radiomedial vein (2-SR). Discoidal (discal) cell anteriorly usually sessile on parastigma, but sometimes shortly petiolate. Recurrent vein (m-cu) usually postfurcal to first radiomedial vein (2-SR), only rarely subinterstitial or very weakly antefurcal. Hind femur wide; hind tibia distinctly clavate. First tergite of metasoma distinctly widened towards apex. Both first metasomal sutures present, rather deep and relatively distinct, densely sculptured. First and second tergites entirely and also third tergite at least in basal third or half densely striate-rugulose. Ovipositor short.

Paradelius (Sculptomyriola) extremiorientalis (Belokobylskij, 1988), comb. nov. Figs 3, 4C

Sculptomyriola extremiorientalis Belokobylskij, 1988: 145; 1998: 554; Ku et al. 2001: 15; Yu et al. 2016.

Material examined. Russia. Primorskiy Territory: "Primorskiy Territory, [Partizansk District], env. Sergeevka, Belokobylskij [col.], forest, 21.VII.1979", "Holotypus Sculptomyriola extremiorientalis Belokobylsk.[ij]", 1 female (HT) (ZISP); 'Kedrovaya Pad' Nature Reserve, 12.VIII. 1976 (Berezantsev), 1 male (PT); 30 km S of Slavyanka, at light, 2, 3 \& 5.VIII. 1985 (S. Belokobylskij), 5 females (PTs); same locality, forest, clearings, 5.VIII. 1985 (S. Belokobylskij), 2 females (PTs); 25 km S of Slavyanka, Vityaz', at light, 2.VIII. 1982 (I. Kerzhner), 3 females; Vladivostok, Sedanka, forest, 31.VII. 1984 (S. Belokobylskij), 1 female (PT); 10 km S of Partizansk, forest, 18.VII. 1979 (S. Belokobylskij), 1 female (PT); 15 km SE of Partizansk, oak-forest, 22.VII. 1984 (S. Belokobylskij), 10 females, 2 males (PTs); 10 km SE of Partizansk, oak-forest, 22.VII. 1984 (S. Belokobylskij), 2 females; Lazovskiy Nature Reserve, 10 km SW of Sokolchi, rocks, mixed forest, 23.VII. 1993 (S. Belokobylskij), 1 female, 1 male; 20 km N of Rudnaya Pristan', oak-forest, 18.VII. 1979 (S. Belokobylskij), 1 female (PT); 20 km SE of Ussuriysk, at light, 31.VII \& 1-4.VIII. 1991 (S. Belokobylskij), 4 females, 1 male; same locality, forest, clearings, 1 \& 5.VIII. 1991 (S. Belokobylskij), 3 females; 20 km E of Ussuriysk, at light, 26.VII. 1999 (S. Sinev), 1 female; 25 km E of Spassk-Dal'niy, forest, 12.VII. 1991 (S. Belokobylskij), 1 female; 20 km SE of Spassk-Dal'niy, Evseevka, forest, 18.VII. 1991 (S. Belokobylskij), 1 female; 20 km NNW of Spassk-Dal'niy, Novosel'skoe, meadow, bush, 19.VII. 1998 (S. Belokobylskij), 1 female; SpasskDal'niy, forest, 13.VII. 1991 (S. Belokobylskij), 1 female. KURIL IsLANDs: Shikotan I., $5-7$ km S of Krabozavodsk, 17.VIII. 1973 (D. Kasparyan), 1 male (PT) (All in ZISP).

South Korea. Gyeonggi-do, Mt. Chungnyeong, at light, 3.VII-16.VIII. 1999 (D. Ku), 1 female (SMNE); Gyeongsangnam-do, Geochang-gun, Sinwon-myeon, Waryong-ri, Malaise Trap, 18.VI-2.VII. 2022 (D. Ku, J. Lee, H. Jeong), 1 female (SMNE); Gyeongsangnam-do, Goseong-gun, Hail-myeon, Suyang-ri,


Figure 4. Fore wings. A Paradelius (Paradelius) ghesquierei De Saeger B P. (P.) chinensis He \& Chen C P. (Sculptomyriola) extremiorientalis (Belokobylskij).
$34^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 34.8^{\prime \prime N}$, $128^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 08.3^{\prime \prime E}, 23 . V I .2023$ (S. Belokobylskij), 1 male (ZISP); Gyeongsangnam-do, Sancheong-gun, 30 km NNW of Jinju, forest, bush, 800 m, 29.VI. 2002 (S. Belokobylskij), 1 female (ZISP).

Description. Female. Body length $2.0-2.6 \mathrm{~mm}$; fore wing length $1.7-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Head. Head 2.0-2.2× wider than its medial length (dorsal view), approximately as wide as mesoscutum. Occiput distinctly evenly concave. Head behind eyes weakly convex to roundly narrowed; transverse diameter of eye 1.2-1.4× larger than length of temple (dorsal view). Ocelli arranged in triangle with base $1.2-1.3 \times$ its sides. POL $2.0-2.5 \times$ Od, $0.6-0.7 \times 00 \mathrm{~L}$. Eye $1.5-1.6 \times$ as high as broad. Malar space $1.0-1.2 \times$ basal width of mandible, $0.2-0.3 \times$ height
of eye. Face convex, width of face 1.6-1.7x its median height, 1.1-1.3× height of eye. Tentorial pits small, distance between pits 1.1-1.3× distance from pit to eye. Clypeus high and weakly convex, its width $1.5-1.7 \times$ median height, $\sim 0.6 \times$ width of face. Head strongly roundly narrowed below eyes (front view).

Antenna. Antenna 20-segmented, thickened, setiform, its apical segments elongate, but medial ones often subsquare. Scape 2.2-2.6x longer than wide. First flagellar segment 2.6-2.8× longer than its apical width, 1.1-1.2× longer than second segment. Tenth-twentieth segments subsquare. Penultimate segment $1.1-1.5 \times$ longer than its width, $0.7-0.8 \times$ as long as obtuse apical segment.

Mesosoma. Mesosoma 1.5-1.7× longer than maximum height. Mesoscutum highly and curvedly elevated above pronotum (lateral view), 1.4-1.5× as wide as its medial length (dorsal view). Prescutellar depression (scutellar sulcus) shallow, with distinct numerous carinae. Scutellum $0.7-0.8 \times$ as long as anterior width. Prepectal carina widely absent, sometimes only weakly or very weakly visible laterally. Precoxal sulcus distinct, oblique, extending below almost throughout all lower part of mesopleuron, rugulose.

Wings. Fore wing 2.6-2.8× longer than maximum width. Pterostigma 2.5$2.7 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Radial vein $(r)$ arising from distal 0.4 of pterostigma. First radiomedial vein (2-SR) arising from pterostigma from one point with radial vein (r) or (more usually) weakly distant from it. Present two abscissae of radial vein ( $r$ and 3-SR) with very short first radial abscissa ( $r$ ) and long second abscissa (3-SR), but sometimes present only single abscissa of radial vein (r); second abscissa (3-SR) weakly curved, sclerotised in basal 0.2-0.3 and desclerotised on remaining part, reaching as track distal margin of wing. Radial (marginal) cell shortened, 2.5-4.0x longer than its maximum width. Metacarp (1-R1) sclerotised in basal half, its sclerotised part $0.4-0.5 \times$ as long as pterostigma. First radiomedial vein (2-SR) mainly distinctly sclerotised, 4.0-6.0× longer than recurrent vein (m-cu). Recurrent vein (m-cu) distinctly postfurcal to first radiomedial vein (2-SR), posteriorly subparallel with basal vein (1-M). Discoidal (discal) cell shortly petiolate anteriorly 1.3-1.4× longer than its maximum width. Nervulus (cu-a) subperpendicular to longitudinal anal vein (1-1A), weakly postfurcal, distance between basal vein (1-M) and nervulus (cu-a) ~ 0.3× nervulus (cu-a) length. Hind wing 3.2-3.4× longer than maximum width. First abscissa of mediocubital vein ( $M+C U$ ) $2.0-2.5 \times$ longer than second abscissa ( $1-\mathrm{M}$ ).

Legs. Hind coxa long, 1.7-1.8× longer than maximum width, 1.4-1.5× longer than propodeum (lateral view). Hind femur 3.1-3.5× longer than maximum width. Hind tibia claviform, 4.5-5.2× longer than maximum width, $0.7-0.8 \times$ as wide as hind femur; longest inner tibial spur $\sim 0.5 \times$ hind basitarsus length. Hind tarsus as long as hind tibia, its basitarsus $0.8-0.9 \times$ as long as second-fifth segments combined, 2.5-3.0× longer than second segment, 3.3-3.5× longer than fifth segment (without pretarsus).

Metasoma. Metasoma $0.8-0.9 \times$ as long as mesosoma. All tergites (especially first to third ones) distinctly sclerotised. First suture shallow, poorly visible, strongly curved; second suture distinct, narrow, weakly curved. Medial length of first tergite $0.6-0.7 \times$ its apical width, $1.2-1.4 \times$ longer than second tergite. Second tergite 1.2-1.5x longer than third tergite. Length of first to third tergites combined 1.1-1.2× their maximum width. Third tergite weakly evenly curved on posterior margin. Ovipositor sheaths short, weakly thickened, $0.2-0.3 \times$ as long as first-third tergites combined.

Sculpture. Head densely and small areolate-punctate, vertex sometimes with fine transverse striae posteriorly, frons punctate-shagreened; face densely punc-tate-reticulate and upper with transverse striation upper, clypeus weakly and sparsely punctate, shagreened between punctures. Mesoscutum and scutellum densely and distinctly punctate. Mesopleuron anteriorly and below sparse punctate and finely shagreened, almost smooth in posterior upper half; metapleuron transversely striate with sparse punctation anteriorly, rugose-reticulate posteriorly. Propodeum submedially with coarse curved transverse keel; often areas distinctly delineated by carinae, with narrow and inversely-pentagonal areola, but sometimes anterior carinae of areola indistinct and areola indistinctly delineated; anterolateral areas elongated, petiolate area short; propodeum usually entirely densely rugulose in basal half, but sometimes almost smooth in posterior half. First and second tergites entirely and third tergite in basal 0.5-0.7 distinctly and densely striate with dense rugosity or densely areolate-rugose; posterior half of third and following tergites densely and finely shagreened to smooth.

Colour. Body mainly black, only sometimes head partly dark reddish brown. Antenna black, few basal segments dark reddish brown. Palpi yellow, usually infuscate basally. Fore and middle legs yellowish brown or reddish brown, partly paler; hind leg reddish brown to dark reddish brown, partly black. Fore wing faintly infuscate, without dark band under pterostigma or only with wide darkening. Pterostigma and parastigma dark brown, most veins brown, metacarp (1-R1) pale brown.

Male. Body length 1.9-2.3 mm; fore wing length 1.8-2.0 mm. Antenna 20-segmented, evenly setiform, distinctly longer than body. First flagellar segment 2.2-2.4× longer than its apical width, 1.1-1.2× longer than second segment. Penultimate segment $\sim 2.0 \times$ longer than its width. Discoidal (discal) cell of fore wing distinctly petiolate. First tergite only weakly longer than second tergite. Second tergite 1.3-1.7× longer than third tergite. Length of first to third tergites combined 1.1-1.3x their maximum width. Head often dark reddish brown to black, sometimes face reddish brown. Hind femur mainly (dark) reddish brown or pale brown. Fore wing hyaline or subhyaline. Pterostigma and parastigma brown, most veins hyaline and transparent. Otherwise similar to female.

Distribution. Russia (Primorskiy Territory, Sakhalin Province: Kuril Islands), Korean Peninsula.

## Paradelius (Sculptomyriola) ghilarovi (Belokobylskij, 1988), comb. nov.

 Figs 5, 8ASculptomyriola ghilarovi Belokobylskij, 1988: 147; 1998: 556; Ku et al. 2001: 15; Yu et al. 2016.

Material examined. RUSSIA. PRIMORSKIY Territory: "Primorskiy Territory, 20 km SE of Ussuriysk, Gornotayozhnoe, at light, Budris [col.], 3.IX.1983", "Holotypus Sculptomyriola ghilarovi Belokobylskij", 1 female (HT) (ZISP); 20 km E of Ussuriysk, GTS, at light, 3, 5 \& 6.IX. 1983 (E. Budris), 5 females (PTs); 20 km E of Ussuriysk, GTS, at light, 27.VIII. 1984 (S. Sinev), 2 females (PTs) (All in ZISP).

South Korea. Gyeongsangbuk-do, Mt. Baekam, Gujuryeong, at light, 10-11. VIII. 1999 (D. Ku), 1 female (SMNE).


Figure 5. Paradelius (Sculptomyriola) ghilarovi (Belokobylskij, 1988), comb. nov. (female, holotype) A habitus, dorsal view B head, front view $\mathbf{C}$ head, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ antenna $\mathbf{E}$ head and mesosoma, lateral view $\mathbf{F}$ head and mesosoma, dorsal view $\mathbf{G}$ propodeum and metasoma, dorsal view $\mathbf{H}$ wings I hind leg.

Description. Female. Body length 2.1-2.5 mm; fore wing length $1.9-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Head. Head 2.0-2.3× wider than its medial length (dorsal view), $0.9-1.0 \times$ as wide as mesoscutum. Occiput distinctly evenly concave. Head behind eyes distinctly roundly narrowed; transverse diameter of eye 1.5-1.8x larger than length of temple (dorsal view). Ocelli arranged in triangle with base $1.3-1.5 \times$ its sides. POL $2.3-2.6 \times$ Od, $0.9-1.1 \times$ OOL. Eye $1.5-1.6 \times$ as high as broad. Malar space $0.8-1.1 \times$ basal width of mandible, $0.2-0.3 \times$ height of eye. Face convex, its width $1.5-1.7 \times$ median height, almost equal to height of eye. Tentorial pits small, distance between pits almost equal to distance from pit to eye. Clypeus high and weakly convex, its width $\sim 2.0 \times$ median height, $0.6-0.7 \times$ width of face; its ventral margin straight medially. Head strongly roundly narrowed below eyes (front view).

Antenna. Antenna 20 -segmented, thickened, setiform, apical segments started from ninth transverse and wide in beginning and subsquare or almost rounded subapically (three-four segments). Scape 2.0-2.3x longer than wide. First flagellar segment $2.4-2.7 \times$ longer than its apical width, 1.2$1.4 \times$ longer than second segment. Tenth segment $0.6 \times$ as long as maximum width. Penultimate segment subround, as long as its width, $0.6-0.7 \times$ as long as obtuse apical segment.

Mesosoma. Mesosoma 1.5-1.6× longer than maximum height. Mesoscutum highly and curvedly elevated above pronotum (lateral view), $1.3-1.4 \times$ as wide as its medial length (dorsal view). Prescutellar depression (scutellar sulcus) shallow, with numerous distinct carinae. Scutellum $0.9 \times$ as long as anterior width. Prepectal carina almost entirely absent, sometimes very weakly visible laterally. Precoxal sulcus distinct, oblique, extending below almost throughout all lower part of mesopleuron, rugulose-crenulate.

Wings. Fore wing 2.4-2.5× longer than maximum width. Pterostigma 2.5$2.7 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Radial vein $(r)$ arising from distal 0.4 of pterostigma; first radiomedial vein (2-SR) arising from radial vein ( $r$ ) weakly distant from pterostigma. Present short first (r) and long second (3-SR) abscissae of radial vein, second abscissa (3-SR) curved anteriorly and almost straight posteriorly, sclerotised in basal 0.2-0.3 and desclerotised on remaining part, reaching as track distal margin of wing. Radial (marginal) cell weakly shortened, $2.7-2.8 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Sclerotised basal part of metacarp (1-R1) short, $\sim 0.2 \times$ as long as pterostigma. First radiomedial vein (2SR) mainly distinctly sclerotised and pigmented, 5.0-7.0× longer than recurrent vein ( $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$ ). Recurrent vein ( $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$ ) weakly postfurcal to first radiomedial vein (2-SR), posteriorly weakly convergent distally with basal vein (1-M). Discoidal (discal) cell broadly sessile anteriorly, $\sim 1.3 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Nervulus (cu-a) long, subperpendicular to longitudinal anal vein (1-1A), weakly postfurcal, distance between basal vein (1-M) and nervulus (cu-a) 0.2-0.3× nervulus (cu-a) length. Hind wing 3.0-3.6× longer than maximum width. First abscissa of mediocubital vein $(M+C U) \sim 2.5 \times$ longer than second abscissa ( $1-M$ ).

Legs. Hind coxa short and high, 1.4-1.5x longer than maximum width, 1.5$1.7 \times$ longer than propodeum (lateral view). Hind femur 2.7-3.1× longer than its maximum width. Hind tibia distinctly claviform, $\sim 4.5 \times$ longer than maximum width, $\sim 0.7 \times$ as wide as hind femur; longest inner tibial spur $\sim 0.5 \times$ hind basitarsus length. Hind tarsus $0.9-1.0 \times$ as long as hind tibia, its basitarsus $0.8-0.9 \times$ as long as second-fifth segments combined, $2.6-2.8 \times$ longer than second segment, 3.0-3.3× longer than fifth segments (without pretarsus).

Metasoma. Metasoma $0.8-0.9 \times$ as long as mesosoma. All tergites (especially first to third ones) distinctly sclerotised; first and second sutures distinct, narrow and crenulate, first suture strongly curved, second one weakly curved. Medial length of first tergite $0.6-0.7 \times$ its apical width, $1.3-1.4 \times$ longer than second tergite. Second tergite $\sim 1.3 \times$ longer than third tergite. Length of first to third tergites combined 1.1-1.2× their maximum width. Third tergite almost straight on posterior margin. Ovipositor sheaths short, weakly thickened, 0.2$0.3 \times$ as long as first-third tergites combined.

Sculpture. Head densely and small areolate-punctate with additional small granulation, frons and face densely transversely curvedly striae with dense reticulation between striae, clypeus distinctly densely punctate and smooth between punctures. Mesoscutum and scutellum densely and distinctly punc-tate-areolate. Mesopleuron entirely or widely and rather sparsely punctate, smooth between punctulae, sometimes entirely smooth in posterior upper half; metapleuron entirely rugose-areolate. Propodeum medially with coarse transverse curved keel; areas rather distinctly or relatively finely delineated by carinae, with rather wide and inversely-pentagonal areola, anterolateral areas relatively wide, petiolate area short and trapezoid; propodeum entirely or almost entirely densely rugulose-reticulate. First and second tergites entirely and third tergite in basal 0.8-0.9 distinctly and densely curvedly striate with rugosity; following tergites densely and very finely shagreened to smooth.

Colour. Head mainly pale reddish brown, darkened only dorsally on vertex. Mesosoma dorsally mainly black, but pale reddish brown in anterior one-fifth and on large medial area of mesopleuron or almost entirely laterally. Metasoma entirely black. Antenna brownish yellow to pale reddish brown in basal 0.5-0.7, dark brown or black in apical 0.3-0.5. Palpi pale yellow, but distinctly infuscate basally. Legs mainly pale reddish brown to partly reddish brown, sometimes hind tibia and always hind tarsus infuscate; all tibia yellow or pale yellow basally. Fore wing partly faintly infuscate, hyaline in basal one-third, with rather distinct and wide dark sport medially (under pterostigma and along basal (1-M) vein). Pterostigma and parastigma dark brown; most veins brown, but veins in basal one third and apically pale, hyaline.

Male. Unknown.
Distribution. Russia (Primorskiy Territory), Korean Peninsula.

## Paradelius (Sculptomyriola) koreanus Belokobylskij \& Ku, sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/A6D53983-6522-47C5-8B7F-4DA041E9B399
Figs 6, 8B

Type material. Holotype, female, South Korea, Gyeongsangnam-do, Jinju-si, Ga-jwa-dong, Light trap, 2-3.VIII. 2000 (Tae-Ho An) (NIBR).

Description. Female. Body length 1.5 mm ; fore wing length 1.4 mm .
Head. Head almost twice wider than its medial length (dorsal view), 1.1× wider than mesoscutum. Occiput distinctly evenly concave. Head behind eyes weakly convex in anterior half and roundly narrowed in posterior half; transverse diameter of eye twice larger than length of temple. Ocelli arranged in triangle with base $1.25 \times$ its sides. POL $1.5 \times$ Od, $0.8 \times$ OOL. Eye $1.5 \times$ as high as broad. Malar space $0.6 \times$ basal width of mandible, $0.15 \times$ height of eye.


Figure 6. Paradelius (Sculptomyriola) koreanus Belokobylskij \& Ku, sp. nov. (female, holotype) A habitus, lateral view B head, front view $\mathbf{C}$ head, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ antenna $\mathbf{E}$ head and mesosoma, lateral view $\mathbf{F}$ head and mesosoma, dorsal view $\mathbf{G}$ propodeum and metasoma, dorsal view $\mathbf{H}$ hind leg I wings.

Face convex, width of face $1.3 \times$ its median height, almost equal to height of eye. Tentorial pits small, distance between pits $1.3 \times$ distance from pit to eye. Clypeal suture distinct and narrow. Clypeus wide and weakly convex, its width $2.4 \times$ median height, $0.7 \times$ width of face; almost straight on lower margin medially. Head distinctly roundly narrowed below eyes (front view). Occipital carina dorsally complete, but weak.

Antenna. Antenna 20-segmented, $1.3 \times$ longer than body, rather thick, submedial segments short and wide. Scape $2.0 \times$ longer than wide. First flagellar segment almost twice longer than its apical width, $1.3 \times$ longer than second segment; seven to $15^{\text {th }}$ segments subsquare or weakly transverse, $1.0-1.2 \times$ as wide as their length. Penultimate segment $1.2 \times$ longer than its width, $0.7 \times$ as long as apical segment.

Mesosoma. Mesosoma $1.5 \times$ longer than maximum height. Mesoscutum highly and roundly elevated above pronotum (lateral view), $1.3 \times$ as wide as medial length (dorsal view). Prescutellar depression (scutellar sulcus) very narrow and shallow, with distinct numerous carinae. Scutellum $0.8 \times$ as long as its anterior width. Prepectal carina weakly present laterally and widely absent ventrally. Precoxal sulcus distinctly impressed, long and curved, extending below almost throughout mesopleuron, crenulate.

Wings. Fore wing $2.8 \times$ longer than maximum width. Pterostigma $3.4 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Radial vein ( $r$ ) arising from distal 0.3 of pterostigma. First radial vein ( $r$ ) present, short, subvertical, $0.3 \times$ as long as width of pterostigma. Second radial vein (3-SR) sclerotised in basal half and unsclerotised in apical half, reaching as track distal margin of wing. Radial (marginal) cell distinctly shortened, almost $3.0 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Metacarp (1-R1) unsclerotised distally, its sclerotised basal part $\sim 0.5 \times$ as long as pterostigma. First radiomedial vein (2SR) distinctly sclerotised, $7.2 \times$ longer that first radial abscissa ( $r$ ), $4.5 \times$ longer than recurrent vein (m-cu). Recurrent vein (m-cu) postfurcal to first radiomedial vein (2SR ), posteriorly subparallel with basal vein (1-M) and $0.25 \times$ as long as basal vein (1M). Discoidal (discal) cell narrowly sessile anteriorly, $1.4 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Nervulus (cu-a) weakly postfurcal, subperpendicular and oblique to longitudinal anal vein (1-1A), distance between basal vein (1-M) and nervulus (cu-a) $0.2 \times$ nervulus (cu-a) length. Hind wing $3.2 \times$ longer than maximum width. First abscissa of mediocubital vein $(M+C U) 2.0 \times$ longer than second abscissa ( $1-M$ ).

Legs. Hind coxa long and rather low, $1.8 \times$ longer than maximum width, $1.6 \times$ longer than propodeum (lateral view). Hind femur thickened and short, $3.0 \times$ longer than maximum width. Hind tibia distinctly claviform, strongly thickened apically, $4.2 \times$ longer than maximum width, $0.8 \times$ as wide as hind femur; its longest inner spur $0.7 \times$ hind basitarsus length. Hind tarsus $0.9 \times$ as long as hind tibia. Basitarsus of hind leg $0.6 \times$ as long as second-fifth segments combined, $2.5 \times$ longer than second segment, $\sim 3.0 \times$ longer than fifth segments (without pretarsus).

Metasoma. Metasoma $0.9 \times$ as long as mesosoma. All tergites distinctly sclerotised; first and second sutures rather distinct, almost complete, narrow and curved. Medial length of first tergite $\sim 0.4 \times$ its apical width, almost as long as second tergite. Second tergite $1.6 \times$ longer than third tergite. Length of first to third tergites combined $1.2 \times$ their maximum width. Third tergite weakly curved on posterior margin. Hypopygium setose, reaching apex of metasoma. Ovipositor sheaths very short not projected behind tip of metasoma, $\sim 0.2 \times$ as long as first-third tergites combined.

Sculpture. Head densely areolate-rugulose with additional dense granulation; face densely punctate, with transverse striation in upper half, clypeus weakly and sparsely punctate. Mesoscutum and scutellum densely foveolate with fine additional granulation. Mesopleuron anteriorly and below finely foveolate-punctate, almost smooth upper and posteriorly; metapleuron rugose-striate, but almost smooth medially. Propodeum entirely distinctly reticulate-carinate, with strong and complete carinae separated long and inversely-pentagonal areola, elongated anterolateral and subround distolateral areas, with short and subsquare petiolate area. First and second tergites entirely distinctly and densely rugose-striate, first tergite medially widely and densely rugose; third tergite mainly smooth, only finely rugulose in narrow basomedial part.

Colour. Body entirely brownish yellow, only antenna very faintly infuscate apically. Palpi pale yellow. Legs basally yellow, brownish yellow on remaining part. Fore wing subhyaline basally and apically, with distinctly infuscate and wide band medially. Pterostigma pale brown, yellow in apical one-third.

Male. Unknown.
Comparative diagnosis. Paradelius (Sculptomyriola) koreanus sp. nov. distinctly differs from all eastern Palaearctic species of this genus with sessile anteriorly discoidal (discal) cell of fore wing (Belokobylskij, 1988, 1998) by almost completely brownish yellow coloration of the body, only medially sculptured third metasomal tergite and the short and weakly transverse or subsquare medial segments of antenna.

Etymology. This species is named after the Korean Peninsula, where new species was collected.

Distribution. Korean Peninsula.

Paradelius (Sculptomyriola) sinevi (Belokobylskij, 1998), comb. nov. Figs 7, 8C

Sculptomyriola sinevi Belokobylskij, 1998: 555; Yu et al. 2016.

Material examined. RUSSIA. PRIMORSKIY TERRITORY: "Primorskiy Territory, 20 km SE of Ussuriysk, at light, 31.VII.1991, Belokobylskij [col.]", "Holotype Sculptomyriola sinevi Belokobylskij", 1 female (HT) (ZISP); 7 km S of Zanadvorovka, at light, 13.VIII. 1984 (S. Sinev), 1 male (PT); ‘Kedrovaya Pad’ Nature Reserve, cordon of Sukhaya Rechka, 6.VIII. 1988 (E. Budris), 1 male (PT); ‘Kedrovaya Pad" Nature Reserve, at light, 7.VIII. 1988 (E. Budris), 1 female (PT); 10 km SE of Partizansk, bush on slopes of hill, 11.VII. 1996 (S. Belokobylskij), 1 male (PT); 20 km SE of Ussuriysk, at light, 27.VIII. 1984 (S. Sinev), 1 female (PT); 20 km SE of Ussuriysk, Gornotayozhnoe, at light, 26.VII. 1999 (S. Sinev), 1 female; 30 km E of Spassk-Dal'niy, forest, 27.VIII. 1992 (S. Belokobylskij), 1 female (PT) (All in ZISP).

Description. Female. Body length 2.2-2.4 mm; fore wing length $1.8-1.9 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Head. Head 1.7-1.9× wider than its medial length (dorsal view), $0.9-1.0 \times$ as wide as mesoscutum. Occiput distinctly evenly concave. Head behind eyes distinctly roundly narrowed; transverse diameter of eye 1.3-1.4× larger than length of temple (dorsal view). Ocelli arranged in triangle with base $1.5-1.6 \times$ its sides. POL $\sim 2.5 \times$ Od, $0.8-1.0 \times$ OOL. Eye $1.4-1.6 \times$ as high as broad. Malar space $1.1-1.3 \times$ basal width of mandible, $0.30-0.35 \times$ height of eye. Face weakly convex, width of
face $\sim 1.5 \times$ its median height, $1.1-1.3 \times$ height of eye. Tentorial pits small, distance between pits almost equal to distance from pit to eye. Clypeus high and weakly convex, its width $\sim 2.0 \times$ median height, $0.7 \times$ width of face; ventral margin of clypeus weakly curved. Head strongly roundly narrowed below eyes (front view).

Antenna. Antenna 20-segmented, thickened medially, weakly narrowed basally and apically, subfiliform, medial segments started from eighth to $17^{\text {th }}$ weakly elongated or sometimes subsquare. Scape 2.0-2.2× longer than wide. First flagellar segment $2.6-2.7 \times$ longer than its apical width, $\sim 1.3 \times$ longer than second segment. Tenth segment 1.20-1.25× longer than its maximum width. Penultimate segment $1.2-1.3 \times$ longer than its width, $0.7-0.8 \times$ as long as obtuse apical segment.

Mesosoma. Mesosoma 1.5-1.6× longer than maximum height. Mesoscutum highly and curvedly elevated above pronotum (lateral view), 1.3-1.5× as wide as its medial length (dorsal view). Prescutellar depression (scutellar sulcus) shallow, with numerous distinct carinae. Scutellum $0.9 \times$ as long as anterior width. Prepectal carina widely absent, rarely only weakly visible laterally. Precoxal sulcus distinct, wide, strongly curved, extending below almost throughout all lower part of mesopleuron, rugulose-crenulate.

Wings. Fore wing 2.3-2.6× longer than maximum width. Pterostigma 2.3$2.5 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Radial vein (r) arising from distal 0.400.45 of pterostigma, radiomedial vein (2-SR) arising from radial vein (r) weakly separated from pterostigma. Present short first ( $r$ ) and second (3-SR) abscissa of radial vein, second abscissa (3-SR) entirely evenly curved, weakly sclerotised in basal 0.25 and desclerotised on remaining part, reaching as track distal margin of wing. Radial (marginal) cell shortened, $\sim 3.0 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Metacarp (1-R1) short, pigmented, its sclerotised basal part $0.3 \times$ as long as pterostigma. First radiomedial vein (2-SR) mainly distinctly sclerotised and pigmented, 6.5-7.5x longer than short recurrent vein (m-cu). Recurrent vein (mcu ) distinctly postfurcal to first radiomedial vein (2-SR), approximately as long as second medial abscissa (2-SR+M), posteriorly subparallel with basal vein (1M). Discoidal (discal) cell narrowly sessile anteriorly, 1.3-1.4× longer than its maximum width. Nervulus (cu-a) oblique to longitudinal anal vein (1-1A), postfurcal, distance between basal vein ( $1-\mathrm{M}$ ) and nervulus (cu-a) $\sim 0.4 \times$ nervulus (cu-a) length. Hind wing 3.3-3.5x longer than maximum width. First abscissa of mediocubital vein $(M+C U) 2.0-2.5 \times$ longer than second abscissa ( $1-M$ ).

Legs. Hind coxa long and high, 1.5-1.6x longer than maximum width, 1.1$1.2 \times$ longer than propodeum (lateral view). Hind femur $3.0-3.4 \times$ longer than maximum width. Hind tibia distinctly claviform, 4.2-4.4× longer than maximum width, $0.8 \times$ as wide as hind femur; longest inner tibial spur $0.6 \times$ hind basitarsus length. Hind tarsus $0.9 \times$ as long as hind tibia, its basitarsus $0.8 \times$ as long as second-fifth segments combined, 2.3-2.7× longer than second segment, $3.0-3.7 \times$ longer than fifth segments (without pretarsus).

Metasoma. Metasoma $0.8-0.9 \times$ as long as mesosoma. All tergites (especially first to third ones) distinctly sclerotised; first and second sutures distinct, but narrow, first suture strongly curved, second one weakly curved. Medial length of first tergite $0.5 \times$ its apical width, $1.0-1.2 \times$ as long as second tergite. Second tergite 1.1-1.4× longer than third tergite. Length of first to third tergites combined 1.0-1.1× their maximum width. Third tergite weakly evenly curved on posterior margin. Ovipositor sheaths weakly thickened, short, $0.3-0.4 \times$ as long as first-third tergites combined.


Figure 7. Paradelius (Sculptomyriola) sinevi (Belokobylskij, 1998), comb. nov. (female, holotype) A habitus, lateral view B head, front view $\mathbf{C}$ head, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ antenna $\mathbf{E}$ head and mesosoma, lateral view $\mathbf{F}$ mesosoma, dorsal view $\mathbf{G}$ propodeum and metasoma, dorsal view $\mathbf{H}$ wings $\mathbf{I}$ hind leg.


Figure 8. Fore wings. A Paradelius (Sculptomyriola) ghilarovi (Belokobylskij) B P. (Sc.) koreanus sp. nov. C P. (Sc.) sinevi (Belokobylskij).

Sculpture. Head densely and small areolate-punctate, partly arranged in transverse curved lines, frons densely reticulate in upper half and striate in lower half; face densely curvedly transverse striate and with dense additional punctation, clypeus with sparse punctation, smooth between punctures. Mesoscutum and scutellum very densely and distinctly punctate, sometimes partly with small areolae. Mesopleuron mainly smooth in posterior upper half and
in narrow area upper precoxal sulcus, distinctly and rather sparse punctate with reticulation in anterior lower half; metapleuron rugose-areolate with striation, usually with two small, subround and almost smooth areas. Propodeum submedially with coarse transverse and curved keel; areas not clearly delineated by carinae, areola and anterolateral areas absent; propodeum almost entirely densely rugulose-reticulate. First and second tergites entirely and third tergite in basal 0.7-0.8 (at least laterally) distinctly and densely rugose-reticulate, sometimes third tergite medially in basal 0.7 with transverse curved striae; apical part of third tergite and following tergites smooth.

Colour. Body black, rarely head dark reddish brown. Antenna yellow or brownish yellow in basal 0.3 , black in apical 0.7 , scape pale reddish brown. Palpi pale brown or yellow. Legs pale reddish brown, fore and middle legs paler, hind coxa in basal half, hind tibia mostly or widely and hind tarsus almost entirely reddish brown to dark reddish brown; tibial spurs yellow. Fore wing hyaline or very faintly infuscate, without dark bands. Pterostigma and parastigma dark brown, pterostigma sometimes faintly paler basally and apically; most veins pale brown or yellow.

Male. Body length 2.3-2.5 mm; fore wing length 1.9-2.0 mm. Antenna 20-segmented, less thickened, evenly setiform, longer than body, its basal one-third or half pale reddish brown to dark reddish brown. First flagellar segment 2.2-2.3× longer than its apical width, $1.10-1.15 \times$ longer than second segment; tenth segment 1.5-2.0× longer than its maximum width; penultimate segment 2.2-2.3x longer than its width. Mesopleuron widely smooth or sparsely to very sparsely punctate. First tergite $1.2-1.5 \times$ as long as second tergite. Third tergite rugulose-reticulate only in basal 0.2-0.3; following tergites usually weakly shagreened. Hind leg mainly reddish brown to dark reddish brown, almost black partly. Fore wing entirely hyaline; most veins subhyaline or pale. Otherwise similar to female.

Distribution. Russia (Primorskiy Territory).

## Subgenus Sinadelius He \& Chen, 2000

Figs 9-12

Description of subgenus. Vertex densely reticulate-punctate. Ocelli arranged in obtuse triangle. Eye covered by dense and long setae. Antenna long, thickened (especially medially) and setiform. Flagellar segments always longitudinal in apical half of antenna. Mesoscutum densely and distinctly punctate. Prescutellar depression short and crenulate. Prepectal carina laterally absent. Precoxal sulcus distinct, relatively wide, entirely crenulate or rugulose. Propodeum almost entirely rugulose-reticulate, often with areas delineated by carinae. Fore wing with large pterostigma. Radial vein (r) always with one abscissa, arising from pterostigma distinctly distant from first radiomedial vein (2-SR). Discoidal (discal) cell anteriorly always sessile. Recurrent vein (m-cu) weakly postfurcal or interstitial to first radiomedial vein (2-SR). Hind femur wide; hind tibia distinctly clavate. First tergite of metasoma subparallel or weakly narrowed towards apex behind distinct spiracular tubercles, entirely rugose-reticulate. Suture between first and second tergites present, usually distinct, densely crenulate. Second and following tergites smooth, only second one shortly rugulose basally; suture between second and third tergites very weak, shallow and smooth (as trace). Ovipositor short.

Paradelius (Sinadelius) guangxiensis (He \& Chen, 2000), comb. nov. Figs 9, 12A

Sinadelius guangxiensis He \& Chen in He et al. 2000: 682; Yu et al. 2016.

Material examined. CHINA: Guangxi, Longzhou, Nonggang, 20.V. 1985 (He Junhua col.), No. 824419, 1 female (HT) (ZJUH); same label as in holotype, 1 female (PT) (ZJUH).

Description. Female. Body length 1.8 mm ; fore wing length 1.8 mm .
Head. Head $1.9 \times$ wider than its medial length (dorsal view), $1.2 \times$ wider than mesoscutum. Occiput weakly concave. Head behind eyes distinctly evenly roundly narrowed; transverse diameter of eye $\sim 2.5 \times$ larger than length of temple (dorsal view). Ocelli arranged in triangle with base $1.5 \times$ its sides. POL ~ $2.0 \times$ Od, $0.9 \times$ OOL. Eye large, $1.5 \times$ as high as broad. Malar space $\sim 0.5 \times$ basal width of mandible, $0.1 \times$ height of eye. Face weakly convex, width of face $1.2 \times$ its median height, $0.9 \times$ height of eye. Tentorial pits distinct, distance between pits $1.3 \times$ distance from pit to eye. Clypeus rather high and convex, its width $2.2 \times$ median height, $0.8 \times$ width of face; its ventral margin almost straight medially. Head distinctly roundly narrowed below eyes (front view).

Antenna. Antenna 22-segmented, weakly thickened, weakly setiform, with elongated medial segments. Scape $\sim 2.0 \times$ longer than wide. First flagellar segment $3.3 \times$ longer than its apical width, $1.8 \times$ longer than second segment.

Mesosoma. Mesosoma $1.7 \times$ longer than maximum height. Mesoscutum highly and convex-curvedly elevated above pronotum (lateral view), ~ 2.0x as wide as its medial length (dorsal view). Prescutellar depression (scutellar sulcus) shallow, straight, with distinct numerous carinae. Scutellum almost as long as anterior width. Prepectal carina not visible laterally. Precoxal sulcus distinct, narrow, short, oblique, crenulate.

Wings. Fore wing $\sim 3.0 \times$ longer than maximum width. Pterostigma $\sim 2.0 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Radial vein ( $r$ ) arising from distal 0.2 of pterostigma; radiomedial vein (2-SR) arising almost from middle of pterostigma and strongly separated from radial vein (r). Present only single and evenly curved abscissa of radial vein ( $r$ ), which finely sclerotised in basal 0.25 and desclerotised on remaining part, reaching as track distal margin of wing. Radial (marginal) cell weakly shortened, $3.0 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Sclerotised part of metacarp (1-R1) relatively long, faintly pigmented, $0.6 \times$ as long as pterostigma. First radiomedial vein (2-SR) weakly curved, sclerotised and brown, $\sim 4.3 \times$ longer than recurrent vein ( $m-c u$ ). Recurrent vein ( $m-c u$ ) weakly postfurcal to first radiomedial vein (2-SR), posteriorly subparallel posteriorly with basal vein (1-M). Discoidal (discal) cell broadly sessile anteriorly, $1.4 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Nervulus (cu-a) perpendicular to longitudinal anal vein (1-1A), postfurcal, distance between basal vein (1-M) and nervulus (cu-a) $0.6 \times$ nervulus (cu-a) length. Hind wing $\sim 3.6 \times$ longer than maximum width. First abscissa of mediocubital vein $(M+C U) 1.8 \times$ longer than second abscissa ( $1-M$ ).

Legs. Hind femur $\sim 3.5 \times$ longer than maximum width. Hind tibia claviform, distinctly widened distally. $4.8 \times$ longer than maximum width, $0.8 \times$ as wide as hind femur. Hind tarsus $\sim 0.9 \times$ as long as hind tibia, its basitarsus $0.8 \times$ as long as second-fifth segments combined. Second segment of hind tarsus $0.35 \times$ as long as basitarsus.


Figure 9. Paradelius (Sinadelius) guangxiensis (He \& Chen, 2000), comb. nov. (female, holotype) A habitus, lateral view B head and base of antenna, front view $\mathbf{C}$ head, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ head, mesosoma and base of metasoma, dorsal view $\mathbf{E}$ head and mesosoma, lateral view $\mathbf{F}$ wings $\mathbf{G}$ metasoma and hind leg, lateral view.

Metasoma. Metasoma approximately as long as mesosoma. All tergites rather distinctly sclerotised. First tergite with weak and thick spiracular tubercles, strongly widened from base to spiracular tubercles situated submedially on tergite, then almost subparallel-sided towards posterior margin of tergite. First suture
distinct, rather deep, weakly curved, densely crenulate. Medial length of first tergite $0.5 \times$ its maximum width at level of spiracles, $0.6 \times$ as long as second tergite. Second suture present, but very fine and almost straight. Second tergite $1.4 \times$ longer than third tergite. Length of first to third tergites combined $0.9 \times$ their maximum width. Third tergite almost straight on posterior margin. Ovipositor sheaths weakly thickened, short, $0.4 \times$ as long as first-third tergites combined.

Sculpture. Vertex densely and small punctate-reticulate; face distinctly transverse striate, with reticulation between striae, laterally below partly almost smooth; clypeus finely rugulose to smooth. Mesoscutum entirely densely punctate, smooth between punctulae, without very dense punctation in its medioposterior area. Scutellum widely smooth and with small sparse punctulae. Mesopleuron almost entirely smooth. Propodeum with distinct and strongly curved submedial transverse keel, without areola, with wide and narrow petiolate area posteriorly; anterolateral areas distinctly delineated by carinae, almost smooth and with punctation, weakly reticulate marginally; propodeum mainly sparsely and rather finely rugose-reticulate. First tergite entirely distinctly ru-gose-reticulate. Second tergite entirely smooth. Remaining tergites smooth.

Colour. Body pale reddish brown or yellowish brown; second and basal onethird of third tergites pale yellow. Antenna mainly pale reddish brown, infuscate towards apex. Palpi yellow. Legs mainly yellow or pale brown, hind tibia and tarsus faintly darkened. Wing mainly subhyaline, with infuscation below pterostigma. Pterostigma and parastigma brown, most veins pale brown, first radiomedial vein (2-SR) brown.

Male. Unknown.
Distribution. China (Guangxi Autonomous Region).

Paradelius (Sinadelius) nigricans (He \& Chen, 2000), comb. nov. Figs 10, 12B

Sinadelius nigricans He \& Chen in He et al. 2000: 682; Yu et al. 2016.

Material examined. ChINA: Liaoning, Shenyang, Dongling, 21.VI. 1994 (Lou Juxian col.), No. 947731, 1 male (HT) (ZJUH).

Description. Male. Body length 2.4 mm ; fore wing length 2.1 mm .
Head. Head $1.7 \times$ wider than its medial length (dorsal view), $1.1 \times$ wider than mesoscutum. Occiput weakly concave. Head behind eyes evenly roundly narrowed; transverse diameter of eye $1.5 \times$ larger than length of temple (dorsal view). Ocelli arranged in triangle with base $1.2 \times$ its sides. POL $2.5 \times$ Od, $0.8 \times$ OOL. Eye $1.3 \times$ as high as broad. Malar space $\sim 0.7 \times$ basal width of mandible, $0.2 \times$ height of eye. Face weakly convex, width of face $1.5 \times$ its median height, $\sim$ $1.1 \times$ height of eye. Tentorial pits distinct, distance between pits $1.4 \times$ distance from pit to eye. Clypeus rather low and distinctly convex, its width $2.3 \times$ median height, $0.7 \times$ width of face; its ventral margin almost straight. Head distinctly roundly narrowed below eyes (front view).

Antenna. Antenna 22-segmented, weakly thickened, weakly setiform, with medial segments elongated. Scape $\sim 2.0 \times$ longer than wide. First flagellar segment $3.3 \times$ longer than its apical width, $1.5 \times$ longer than second segment. Tenth segment $\sim$ $2.0 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Subapical segment $1.6 \times$ longer than its width.


Figure 10. Paradelius (Sinadelius) nigricans (He \& Chen, 2000), comb. nov. (male, holotype) A habitus, lateral view B head, front view $\mathbf{C}$ head, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ mesosoma, dorsal view $\mathbf{E}$ mesosoma, lateral view $\mathbf{F}$ propodeum and metasoma, dorsal view $\mathbf{G}$ propodeum and metasoma, lateral view $\mathbf{H}$ wings.

Mesosoma. Mesosoma $1.7 \times$ longer than maximum height. Mesoscutum highly and convex-curvedly elevated above pronotum (lateral view), $2.0 \times$ as wide as its medial length (dorsal view). Prescutellar depression (scutellar sulcus) shallow, straight, with distinct numerous carinae. Scutellum $1.1 \times$ as long as anterior width. Prepectal carina almost not visible laterally. Precoxal sulcus distinct, narrow, long, curved, distinctly crenulate.

Wings. Fore wing ~ 3.0× longer than maximum width. Pterostigma $3.2 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Radial vein (r) arising from distal 0.2 of pterostigma; radiomedial vein (2-SR) arising weakly behind middle of pterostigma and strongly separated from radial vein (r). Present only single and evenly curved abscissa of radial vein ( $r$ ), which distinctly sclerotised in basal 0.25 and desclerotised on remaining part, reaching as track distal margin of wing. Radial (marginal) cell shortened, $3.2 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Sclerotised part of metacarp (1-R1) relatively long, faintly pigmented, $0.7 \times$ as long as pterostigma. First radiomedial vein ( $2-S R$ ) sclerotised and brown, $4.5 \times$ longer than short recurrent vein ( $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$ ). Recurrent vein ( $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$ ) subinterstitial to first radiomedial vein (2-SR), approximately as long as sclerotised part of second medial abscissa (2-SR+M), posteriorly divergent with basal vein (1-M). Discoidal (discal) cell broadly sessile anteriorly, $1.5 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Nervulus (cu-a) perpendicular to longitudinal anal vein (1-1A), postfurcal, distance between basal vein ( $1-\mathrm{M}$ ) and nervulus (cu-a) $0.4 \times$ nervulus (cu-a) length. Hind wing ~ 4.0× longer than maximum width. First abscissa of mediocubital vein $(M+C U) \sim 2.0 \times$ longer than second abscissa (1-M).

Legs. Hind coxa long, $\sim 2.0 \times$ longer than maximum width. Hind femur $3.7 \times$ longer than maximum width. Hind tibia claviform, distinctly widened distally.

Metasoma. Metasoma approximately as long as mesosoma. All tergites distinctly sclerotised. First tergite with distinct and thick spiracular tubercles, strongly widened from base to spiracular tubercles situated almost in middle of tergite, then weakly narrowed towards posterior margin of tergite. First suture distinct, rather wide, curved, densely crenulate. Medial length of first tergite $0.4 \times$ its maximum width at level of spiracles, $0.7 \times$ as long as second tergite. Second suture present, but very fine and almost straight. Second tergite $1.3 \times$ longer than third tergite. Length of first to third tergites combined approximately equal to their maximum width. Third tergite straight on posterior margin.

Sculpture. Vertex densely and small punctate-reticulate, frons with additional granulation; face densely rugose-reticulate, below laterally almost smooth; clypeus finely rugulose to smooth in upper half and rugose-reticulate in lower half. Mesoscutum entirely densely punctate and smooth between punctulae, without very dense punctation in its medioposterior area. Scutellum widely smooth and with small sparse punctulae. Mesopleuron almost entirely smooth, with fine and sparse punctulae upper precoxal sulcus; metapleuron mainly smooth, narrowly areolate-rugose along its margins. Propodeum with fine submedial transverse keel, with narrow subtriangular areola delineated by carinae, and with wide and narrow petiolate area posteriorly; anterolateral areas distinctly delineated by carinae, almost smooth medially and reticulate marginally; propodeum mainly rugose-reticulate. First tergite entirely coarsely rugose-reticulate, with transverse subbasal carina. Second tergite mainly smooth, only rugose in basal 0.1. Remaining tergites entirely smooth.

Colour. Head reddish brown; mesosoma mainly dark reddish brown to black; metasoma reddish brown or faintly paler, its first tergite almost black. Antenna mainly dark brown, scape reddish brown. Palpi pale brown. Legs mainly yellow or pale brown, hind tibia and tarsus almost entirely dark reddish brown. Wing entirely infuscate, without dark bands. Pterostigma and parastigma brown, most veins of fore wing brown to pale brown.

Female. Unknown.
Distribution. China (Liaoning Province).

Paradelius (Sinadelius) ussuriensis Belokobylskij, 1988
Figs 11, 12C

Paradelius ussuriensis Belokobylskij, 1988: 148; 1998: 556; Yu et al. 2016.

Material examined. Russia. Primorskiy Territory: "Primorskiy Territory, 15 km S of Partizansk, forest, 16 VII 1979, Belokobylskij [col.]", "Holotype Paradelius ussuriensis Belokobylskij", 1 male (HT); Vladivostok, Sanatornaya, forest, 26.VII. 1984 (S. Belokobylskij), 1 male (PT); 10 km S of Partizansk, forest, 19.VII. 1979 (S. Belokobylskij), 1 male (PT); same locality, bushes, slopes, 11.VII. 1996 (S. Belokobylskij), 2 males; 15 km S of Partizansk, forest, 17.VII. 1979 (S. Belokobylskij), 1 male (PT); 10 km NW of Partizansk, forest, 13.VII. 1979 (S. Belokobylskij), 1 male (PT); Lazovskiy Nature Reserve, 10 km SW of Sokolchi, 22 \& 24.VII. 1993 (S. Belokobylskij), 1 male; Spassk-Dal'niy, clearing, 13 \& 17.VII. 1991 (S. Belokobylskij), 1 female, 1 male; 20 km SE of Spassk-Dal'niy, forest, edge, 13 \& 17.VII. 1995 (S. Belokobylskij), 1 female, 1 male; 50 km N of Ol'ga, mixed forest, 30.VIII. 1979 (S. Belokobylskij), 1 male (PT) (All in ZISP).

Description. Female. Body length 2.4-2.5 mm; fore wing length $2.0-2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Head. Head 1.8-2.0× wider than its medial length (dorsal view), 1.1-1.2x wider than mesoscutum. Occiput distinctly concave. Head behind eyes weakly convex in anterior half and roundly narrowed in posterior half; transverse diameter of eye 1.2-1.4× larger than length of temple (dorsal view). Ocelli arranged in triangle with base $1.3-1.5 \times$ its sides. POL $2.3-2.5 \times$ Od, $0.6-0.8 \times$ OOL. Eye $1.4-1.5 \times$ as high as broad. Malar space $0.6-0.8 \times$ basal width of mandible, $0.15-0.20 \times$ height of eye. Face almost flat, width of face $1.4-1.5 \times$ its median height, $\sim 1.2 \times$ height of eye. Tentorial pits distinct, distance between pits 1.2-1.5× distance from pit to eye. Clypeus high and distinctly convex, its width 2.0-2.1× median height, $0.6-0.7 \times$ width of face; its ventral margin almost straight. Head distinctly roundly narrowed below eyes (front view).

Antenna. Antenna more than 20-segmented (missing apically), weakly thickened, weakly setiform, all its segments elongated. Scape 2.1-2.2× longer than wide. First flagellar segment $3.0-3.3 \times$ longer than its apical width, $1.3-1.6 \times$ longer than second segment. Tenth segment $\sim 1.3 \times$ longer than its maximum width.

Mesosoma. Mesosoma 1.4-1.5× longer than maximum height. Mesoscutum highly and convex-curvedly elevated above pronotum (lateral view), 1.5$1.7 \times$ as wide as its medial length (dorsal view). Prescutellar depression (scutellar sulcus) shallow, with distinct numerous carinae. Scutellum almost as long as anterior width. Prepectal carina not visible laterally. Precoxal sulcus distinct, narrow, short, curved, situated in middle of mesopleuron, rugose-reticulate.

Wings. Fore wing 2.4-2.6× longer than maximum width. Pterostigma 2.1$2.3 \times$ longer than its maximum width. Radial vein $(r)$ arising from distal $0.1-0.2$ of pterostigma. Radiomedial vein (2-SR) arising almost from or weakly behind middle of pterostigma and strongly separated from radial vein ( $r$ ). Present only single and evenly curved abscissa of radial vein ( $r$ ), which weakly sclerotised in basal 0.2-0.3 and desclerotised on remaining part, reaching as track distal margin of wing. Radial (marginal) cell shortened, 2.8-3.0x longer than its maximum width. Sclerotised part of metacarp (1-R1) relatively short, faintly pigmented, $0.5-0.6 \times$ as long as pterostigma. First radiomedial vein (2-SR) sclerotised, $3.6-4.5 \times$ longer than recurrent vein (m-cu). Recurrent vein (m-cu) postfurcal


Figure 11. Paradelius (Sinadelius) ussuriensis Belokobylskij, 1988 (male, holotype) A habitus, lateral view B head, front view $\mathbf{C}$ head, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ antenna $\mathbf{E}$ head and mesosoma, lateral view $\mathbf{F}$ mesosoma and base of metasoma, dorsal view $\mathbf{G}$ propodeum and metasoma, dorsal view $\mathbf{H}$ wings I hind leg.
to first radiomedial vein (2-SR), approximately as long as sclerotised part of second medial abscissa (2-SR+M), posteriorly subparallel with basal vein (1M). Discoidal (discal) cell broadly sessile anteriorly, 1.1-1.2× longer than its maximum width. Nervulus (cu-a) subperpendicular to longitudinal anal vein (11 A ), postfurcal, distance between basal vein (1-M) and nervulus (cu-a) 0.4-0.7×


Figure 12. Fore wings. A Paradelius (Sinadelius) guangxiensis (He \& Chen) B P. (S.) nigricans (He \& Chen) C P. (S.) ussuriensis Belokobylskij.
nervulus (cu-a) length. Hind wing $3.3 \times$ longer than maximum width. First abscissa of mediocubital vein $(M+C U) \sim 2.0 \times$ longer than second abscissa ( $1-M$ ).

Legs. Hind coxa long and high, 1.5-1.7x longer than maximum width. Hind femur 3.3-3.5× longer than maximum width. Hind tibia claviform, 4.6-5.3× longer than maximum width, $0.7-0.8 \times$ as wide as hind femur; longest inner tibial spur $0.5-0.7 \times$ hind basitarsus length. Hind tarsus approximately as long as hind tibia, its basitarsus $0.6-0.7 \times$ as long as second-fifth segments combined. Second segment of hind tarsus $0.4 \times$ as long as basitarsus, $1.1 \times$ longer than fifth segments (without pretarsus).

Metasoma. Metasoma approximately as long as mesosoma. All tergites distinctly sclerotised. First tergite with distinct and thick spiracular tubercles, strongly widened from base to spiracular tubercles in middle of tergite, then rather distinctly narrowed towards posterior margin of tergite. First suture rather distinct, narrow, curved, crenulate. Medial length of first tergite $0.5-0.7 \times$ its maximum width at level of spiracles, $0.7-0.8 \times$ as long as second tergite. Second suture present, but very fine and almost straight. Second tergite 1.1-1.3× longer than third tergite. Length of first-third tergites combined $\sim 1.1 \times$ their maximum width. Third tergite straight in posterior margin. Ovipositor sheaths thickened, short, $0.3-0.4 \times$ as long as first-third tergites combined.

Sculpture. Head densely rugose-reticulate with punctation partly, vertex additionally with transverse striation laterally; clypeus entirely in dense punctation and smooth between punctures. Mesoscutum mainly rather densely punctate and smooth between punctulae, very densely punctate in subcircular area in its medioposterior half. Scutellum widely smooth with sparse punctulae. Mesopleuron almost entirely smooth, sometimes partly with sparse punctation; metapleuron mainly smooth, narrow areolate-rugose along its margins. Propodeum without or with fine submedial transverse keel, without or with wide areola delineated by carinae, widely densely rugulose-reticulate. First tergite entirely coarsely rugose-reticulate, with transverse subbasal carina. Second tergite mainly smooth, rugose-striate in basal 0.2-0.5. Remaining tergites entirely smooth.

Colour. Body dark reddish brown to black, sometimes head anteriorly and around eye reddish brown, rarely metasoma laterally and ventrally reddish brown to pale reddish brown at least partly. Antenna mainly dark reddish brown, black in apical half, scape reddish brown. Palpi pale brown or pale reddish brown, darkened basally. Legs mainly yellow, hind tibia dorsally and hind tarsus reddish brown to dark reddish brown; hind tibial spurs pale brown. Wing faintly infuscate, without dark bands. Pterostigma and parastigma dark brown, most veins brown to pale brown.

Male. Body length 2.4-2.6 mm; fore wing length 2.1-2.2 mm. Transverse diameter of eye 1.2-1.3x larger than length of temple (dorsal view). Antenna $22-23$-segmented, subsetiform, all segments elongated. Tenth segment 1.8-1.9x longer than its maximum width. Penultimate segment $1.8-2.2 \times$ longer than its width, $0.8-0.9 \times$ as long as apical segment. Propodeum without submedial transverse keel and without areas delineated by carinae. Hind femur 3.4-3.7× longer than maximum width. Hind tibia distinctly claviform, 4.5-4.8× longer than maximum width, $\sim 0.9 \times$ as wide as hind femur. Medial length of first tergite $0.6-0.7 \times$ its maximum width at level of spiracles, approximately as long as second tergite. Second tergite 1.3-1.5× longer than third tergite. Otherwise similar to female.

Distribution. Russia (Primorskiy Territory).

## Discussion

The members of the tribe Adeliini are morphologically distinctly different from the other taxa of actual chelonine tribes: worldwide distributed Chelonini Foerster, 1863 and Phanerotomini Baker, 1926 (including Pseudophanerotomini Zettel, 1990), and Afrotropical Odontospaeropygini Zettel, 1990 (Zettel 1990; Yu et al. 2016; Kittel et al. 2016). One of the important diagnostic characters is located in the adeliine fore wing venation. Venation of the tribe Adeliini is very specialised,
highly reduced, and includes several high-level valuable taxonomic characters, such as the second radiomedial vein ( $r-m$ ) and second radiomedial (submarginal) cell are absent; the radial (marginal) cell distally is widely open; the radial ( $r$ ) and first radiomedial (2-SR) veins are often separately arising from the pterostigma; the apical parts of the radial ( r ), medial ( $2-\mathrm{M}$ ), second longitudinal anal (2-1A), third cubital (3-CU1) and parallel (CU1a) veins are strongly reduced; the first transverse anal (2A) and brachial (CU1b) veins are completely absent and, as a result, the brachial (subdiscal) cell is widely open distally. In turn, in the members of the other three chelonine tribes, including the Phanerotomini molecularly the most related to Adeliini (Kittel et al. 2016), all discussed veins of the fore wing are present and distinctly sclerotised, and the cells are closed.

The structures of the metasoma are also seriously different between adeliines and other chelonines. In the members of the actual chelonine groups, the three immovably fused, heavily sclerotised and coarsely sculptured basal metasomal tergites are predominantly strongly enlarged and cover all following posterior segments; also a third tergite is often apically curved down and in some cases (many Chelonini) additionally below continued forwards. On the other side, all members of Adeliini have less sclerotised and often entirely or mainly smooth from first to third tergites, which are not covered the protruding far posterior segments; the third tergite never curved down posteriorly. The previously expressed opinion (Dowton and Austin 1998) about the plesiomorphic state of the carapace-like metasoma in the actual chelonines and derived (apomorphic) its condition in Adeliini is very questionable, because the evolutional transformation of such complicated structure of actual chelonine metasoma to much simple adeliine metasoma state is not easy to explain and justify. On the other hand, the characteristic for these taxonomic groups immovably fused the first to third tergites are also met in several genera from other Braconidae subfamilies (namely, Braconinae, Doryctinae, Rogadinae, Hormiinae, Telengaiinae, Acampsohelconinae, Brachistinae, etc.) (van Achterberg 1993), which showed the possibility of the parallel evolution of this character state in the different braconid phylogenetic lines and subfamilies.

Thus, discussed upper strong morphological differences are not allowed to definitely unite the members of adeliines with the representatives of the actual chelonines. Currently, the association of these groups inside the subfamily Cheloninae is supported mainly at the molecular level (Kittel et al. 2016). Nevertheless, a new molecular data based on the more number of genes will be very useful for the additional analyses of the phylogenetic relationship of these morphologically strongly different taxonomic groups.
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#### Abstract

Three new species of the genera Thiania C. L. Koch, 1846 and Yaginumaella Prószyński, 1979 are described and named as T. bamian sp. nov. (ठq), T. flacata sp. nov. (q) and Y. curvata sp. nov. ( ${ }^{\lambda}$ ) $q$ ), from Hunan Province, China. Detailed descriptions, photos of somatic features and copulatory organs, as well as a distribution map are provided. Nucleotide data for the barcoding gene, cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) of T. bamian sp. nov. ( $\delta^{\top}+q$ ) and $Y$. curvata sp. nov. ( $q$ ) are provided.


Key words: Bamian Mountain, barcoding gene, COI, taxonomy

## Introduction

Thiania C. L. Koch, 1846 is a well-known genus of the tribe Euophryini. It currently comprises 25 species mainly distributed in Asia, of which eight species are known from China (WSC 2024). Species belonging to Thiania can be recognized by the rectangular flattened carapace and robust leg I (Prószyński 2009).

Yaginumaella Prószyński, 1979 is currently placed in the tribe Plexippini according to molecular analysis (Maddison 2015). There are 21 species recorded from China (Zhu et al. 2005; Peng et al. 2008; Shao et al. 2014; Liu et al. 2016; Li et al. 2018; Peng 2020; Wang et al. 2023; Wang et al. 2024).

While examining specimens collected from Bamian Mountain, two new species of Thiania and one new species of Yaginumaella were recognized and are described here.

## Materials and methods

Specimens are stored in 100\% ethanol. Vulvae were cleaned with trypsin solution before examination and photography. Left male palps were dissected and used for description and color photos. Specimens were examined and measured with a Leica M205C stereomicroscope. Photos were taken with a digital camera Kuy Nice E3IS PM mounted on an Olympus BX53. Compound focus-stacked images were generated using Helicon Focus v. 7.6.1 and then adjusted in Adobe Photoshop 2020. The map was created by ArcMap v. 10.8. All measurements are given in millimeters (mm). Leg measurements are given
in the following order: total length (femur, patella + tibia, metatarsus, tarsus). Genomic DNA was extracted from four legs of each specimen using an Animal Genomic DNA Isolation Kit (Tiangen Biotech, Beijing, China), and the universal primer pair LCO1490/HCO2198 was used for amplification of the COI gene (Folmer et al. 1994). The PCR products were sent to Tsingke Biotechnology Co., Ltd (Changsha, China) for purification and sequencing. The obtained sequences were aligned using Geneious Prime v. 9.0.2. The COI GenBank accession numbers of $T$. bamian sp. nov. ( ${ }^{\top} q$ ) and $Y$. curvata sp. nov. ( $q$ ) are also provided.

Specimens are deposited in the College of Life Sciences, Hunan Normal University (HUNNU) in Changsha, China. Abbreviations used are as follows: AER anterior eye row, ALE anterior lateral eye, AME anterior median eye, CD copulatory duct, CO copulatory opening, E embolus, ED embolic disc, EFL length of eye field, EW epigynal window, FD fertilization duct, TL tegular lobe, MOA median ocular area, $\mathbf{P}$ pocket, PER posterior eye row, PLE posterior lateral eye, PME posterior median eye, RTA retrolateral tibial apophysis, S spermatheca, SD sperm duct.

## Taxonomy

## Family Salticidae Blackwall,1841

## Genus Thiania C. L. Koch, 1846

Type species. Thiania pulcherrima C. L. Koch, 1846.

## Thiania bamian sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/77A1258A-56A1-4564-81D8-3BE0D8DEB134
Figs 1, 2, 6

Type material. Holotype đ (HNU-BMS-1905), CHINA, Hunan Prov., Chenzhou City, Guidong Co., Bamian Mountain National Nature Reserve, $25.975210^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $113.702865^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1081 \mathrm{~m}, 18$ Sept. 2019, Cheng Wang, Bo Lü and Xuan-Wei Zhou leg.; paratypes: 1 q (HNU-BMS-1903), CHINA, Hunan Prov., Chenzhou City, Guidong Co., Bamian Mountain National Nature Reserve, $26.001944^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $113.710675^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1678 \mathrm{~m}, 16$ Sept. 2019, Cheng Wang, Bo Lü and Xuan-Wei Zhou leg.; $1+$ (HNU-BMS-2201), CHINA, Hunan Prov., Chenzhou City, Guidong Co., Bamian Mountain National Nature Reserve, $25.978498^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 113.713744^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, 1025 m, 18 Aug. 2022, Song-Lin Li, Peng Yong, Li-Fen Li, Yu-Chen Zhou, ZiYue Liu leg.

Etymology. The specific epithet is derived from the type locality Bamian Mountain National Nature Reserve, noun.

Diagnosis. The male of this new species is similar to that of Thiania longapophysis Yu \& Zhang, 2022 (Yu and Zhang 2022: figs 7A-D, 8A, B) in the shape of palpal bulb, sperm duct and embolus, but can be distinguished by: 1) the angle between RTA and cymbium (Fig. 1A) smaller than that angle in T. longapophysis (fig. 8A); and 2) the distal end of RTA bar-shaped (Fig. 1A), while barbshaped in T. longapophysis (fig. 8A). The female of this new species is similar to that of Thiania luteobrachialis Schenkel, 1963 (Peng 2020: fig. 352a-c) in the


Figure 1. Thiania bamian sp. nov. A left palp, ventral view $\mathbf{B}$ ditto, retrolateral view $\mathbf{C}$ epigyne, ventral view $\mathbf{D}$ vulva, dorsal view. Scale bars: 0.2 mm .
shape of the epigynal window and the location of copulatory openings, but can be distinguished by the following characters: 1) proximal portion of copulatory ducts straight and V-shaped (Fig. 1D), while curved and U-shaped in T. luteobrachialis (fig. 352c); and 2) spermathecae overlapping with copulatory ducts (Fig. 1D), while not overlapping with copulatory ducts in T. luteobrachialis (fig. 352c).

Description. Male (holotype) (Fig. 2A, B). Total length 6.02; carapace 2.39 long, 2.03 wide; abdomen 3.45 long, 1.73 wide. Clypeus 0.24 high. Carapace


Figure 2. Thiania bamian sp. nov. A male holotype, habitus, dorsal view B ditto, ventral view C female paratype, habitus, dorsal view D ditto, ventral view. Scale bars: 1 mm .
dark brown, eye field covered with white setae. Fovea longitudinal, radial grooves distinct, cervical grooves indistinct. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.50 , ALE 0.29, PME 0.04, PLE 0.15, AER 1.64, PER 1.48, EFL 1.14. Chelicerae dark brown, promargin with one bicuspid tooth, retromargin with one tooth. Endites and labium brown, distal end pale yellow, with dark setae. Sternum yellow brown. Leg pale yellow to brown. Measurements of legs: I 7.27 (2.01, 3.03, 1.51, 0.72 ), II 5.04 (1.65, 1.76, 1.03, 0.60, 5.04), III 4.91 (1.52, 1.68, 1.22, 0.49), IV 4.82 (1.42, 1.80, 1.07, 0.53). Leg formula: 1234. Abdomen dorsum brown, with lighter edges; venter light yellow, with a wide light brown longitudinal band in the center.

Palp (Fig. 1A, B). Embolus long and thin, embolic disc distinct; retrolateral tibial apophysis long and thin, distal portion covered with many small granules, terminal end reached the antero-median portion of palpal bulb in retrolateral view; sperm duct obvious.

Female (paratype) (Fig. 2C, D). Total length 5.49; carapace 2.58 long, 2.11 wide; abdomen 2.86 long, 1.51 wide. Clypeus 0.32 high. Carapace yellow brown, with dark eye file and margins. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.44, ALE 0.25, PME 0.05, PLE 0.20, AER 1.56, PER 1.52, EFL 1.14. Leg pale yellow to brown. Measurements of legs: I 5.47 (1.59, 2.29, 0.95, 0.64 ), II 4.44 (1.40, $1.72,0.78,0.54)$, III 4.51 ( $1.43,1.60,1.02,0.46$ ), IV 4.71 ( $1.42,1.77,1.09,0.43$ ). Leg formula: 1432. Abdomen dorsum brown, edges darker and with white hair, median portion with one pair of dark patches, posterior portion with four dark triangular patterns; venter pale yellow, median portion with one pair of gray longitudinal lines. Color paler than that in male.

Epigyne (Fig. 1C, D). Epigynal window circular. Copulatory openings oval, located on both sides of the epigynal window. Copulatory ducts with straight original portion and coiled terminal portion. Spermathecae shoe-shaped, slightly narrower than the copulatory ducts and overlapping with terminal portion of copulatory ducts.

Distribution. Known only from the type locality (Fig. 6).
GenBank accession number. Holotype (HNU-BMS-1905): PP786559; paratype ((HNU-BMS-2201): PP786560.

## Thiania flacata sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/A4578588-C911-4797-A06E-2CD405B48E63
Figs 3, 6

Type material. Holotype $\circ$ (HNU-BMS-1905), China, Hunan Prov., Chenzhou City, Guidong Co., Bamian Mountain National Nature Reserve, $25.975210^{\circ}$ N, $113.702865^{\circ}$ E, 1081 m, 18 Sept. 2019, Cheng Wang, Bo Lü and Xuan-Wei Zhou leg.

Etymology. The specific epithet is derived from the Latin "falcata" (falxshaped), referring to the falx-shaped copulatory ducts, adjective.

Diagnosis. This new species can be distinguished from any other congeneric species by the vaulted copulatory openings.

Description. Female (holotype) (Fig. 3A, B). Total length 6.04; carapace 2.58 long, 2.24 wide; abdomen 3.37 long, 1.52 wide. Clypeus 0.12 high. Carapace yellow brown, with brown eye file and margins. Fovea longitudinal, radial and cervical grooves distinct. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.51, ALE 0.27,


Figure 3. Thiania flacata sp. nov. A female paratype, habitus, dorsal view B ditto, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ epigyne, ventral view D vulva, dorsal view. Scale bars: $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) ; 0.2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$.

PME 0.06, PLE 0.14, AER 1.62, PER 1.50, EFL 1.07. Chelicerae yellow brown, promargin with two teeth, retromargin with one tooth. Endites and labium yellow brown, distal end pale yellow with dark setae. Sternum yellow brown. Leg pale yellow to brown. Measurements of legs: I 6.33 ( $1.65,2.65,1.35,0.68$ ), II 4.58 (1.33, 1.83, 0.77, 0.65), III 4.61 ( $1.38,1.67,1.08,0.48$ ), IV 4.61 ( $1.40,1.79,0.99$, 0.43 ). Leg formula: 1342. Abdomen dorsum brown, median portion with one pair of dark patches, posterior portion with three transverse light herringbone patterns, edges with white setae; venter light yellow, with a wide light brown longitudinal band in the center.

Epigyne (Fig. 3C, D). Epigynal window located medially on epigyne. Copulatory openings vaulted, located at the upper margin of the epigynal window. Copulatory ducts falx-shaped, original portion thicker. Spermathecae oval, slightly wider than the copulatory ducts.

Male. Unknown.
Distribution. Known only from the type locality (Fig. 6).

## Genus Yaginumaella Prószyński, 1979

Type species. Yaginumaella striatipes Grube, 1861.
Remarks. The genus Yaginumaella is currently placed in the Plexippini tribe according to molecular analysis, together with the genus Ptocasius (Maddison 2015). Yaginumaella closely resembles Ptocasius (Logunov and Jäger 2015), especially in females (Li et al. 2018). However, there are clear differences in the type species of Yaginumaella and Ptocasius (Prószyński 2017). Patoleta et al. (2020), transferred 37 species of Yaginumaella to the genus Ptocasius only based on the similarity of genitalic structures. But, based on the characteristics shown in literature illustrations of species, these two genera can be distinguished by the following characters: 1) carapace with light longitudinal stripes in Yaginumaella, while usually with transverse stripes in Ptocasius; and 2) palpal bulb enlarged, with tegular lobe in Yaginumaella, while oblate, without tegular lobe in Ptocasius (Li et al. 2018). Therefore, according to the above characteristics, $Y$. curvata sp. nov. is described as a member of the genus Yaginumaella. In addition, based on the close collecting locations and genital characteristics of males and females, we tentatively identify them as the same species.

## Yaginumaella curvata sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/C54A80DD-0FBE-42A5-9382-6BD123C9F2B5
Figs 4, 5, 6
Type material. Holotype đ (HNU-BMS-1901), ChinA, Hunan Prov., Chenzhou City, Guidong Co., Bamian Mountain National Nature Reserve, $25.975914^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $113.708825^{\circ}$ E, 1001 m, 15 Sept. 2019, Cheng Wang, Bo Lü and Xuan-Wei Zhou leg.; paratypes: $\circ$ (HNU-BMS-2202), CHINA, Hunan Prov., Chenzhou City, Guidong Co., Bamian Mountain National Nature Reserve, $25.975568^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $113.705383^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1143 \mathrm{~m}, 19$ Aug. 2022, Song-Lin Li, Peng Yong, Li-Fen Li, YuChen Zhou, Zi-Yue Liu leg.; 2 \& (HNU-BMS-2205), China, Hunan Prov., Chenzhou City, Guidong Co., Bamian Mountain National Nature Reserve, $25.986542^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $113.705841^{\circ}$ E, 1250 m, 22 Aug. 2022, Song-Lin Li, Peng Yong, Li-Fen Li, YuChen Zhou, Zi-Yue Liu leg.

Etymology. The specific epithet is derived from the Latin "curvata" (curved), referring to the curved retrolateral tibial apophysis, adjective.

Diagnosis. The male of this new species is similar to that of Yaginumaella bulbosa Peng, Tang \& Li, 2008 (Peng et al. 2008: figs 26-28) in habitus and the curved RTA, but can be distinguished by: 1) cymbium longer than wide (Fig. 4A), while wider than long in $Y$. bulbosa (fig. 27); 2) length of RTA is about $1 / 3$ of the palpal bulb (Fig. 4B), while about $1 / 2$ of the palpal bulb in $Y$. bulbosa (fig.


Figure 4. Yaginumaella curvata sp. nov. A left palp, ventral view B ditto, retrolateral view $\mathbf{C}$ epigyne, ventral view $\mathbf{D}$ vulva, dorsal view. Scale bars: 0.2 mm .
28); 3) RTA only extended to the basal $1 / 6$ position of cymbium in retrolateral view (Fig. 4B), while to the basal $1 / 2$ position of cymbium in retrolateral view in $Y$. bulbosa (fig. 28); and 4) embolus originates at about 7:00 o'clock position (Fig. 4A), while originates at about 9:00 o'clock position in Y. bulbosa (fig. 27). The female of this new species is similar to that of Yaginumaella lushiensis Zhang \& Zhu, 2007 (Zhu and Zhang 2011: fig. 384A, D, E) in the short and stout copulatory ducts and the shape of spermathecae, but can be distinguished by the following characters: 1) distance of copulatory openings as wide as the


Figure 5. Yaginumaella curvata sp. nov. A male holotype, habitus, dorsal view B ditto, ventral view C female paratype, habitus, dorsal view D ditto, ventral view. Scale bars: 1 mm .


Figure 6. Collection localities of Thiania bamian sp. nov., Thiania flacata sp. nov. and Yaginumaella curvata sp. nov.
vulva (Fig. 4C), while about 1/3 width of the vulva in Y. Iushiensis (fig. 384D); 2) epigynal pockets located at the median portion of epigyne (Fig. 4C), while located on the anterior portion in Y. Iushiensis (fig. 384D); and 3) fertilisation duct about transverse (Fig. 4D), while oblique in $Y$. Iushiensis (fig. 384E).

Description. Male (holotype) (Fig. 5A, B). Total length 5.14; carapace 2.60 long, 2.06 wide; abdomen 2.52 long, 1.59 wide. Carapace brown, with three longitudinal yellow stripes on the median and lateral margins, eye field and lateral margins covered with white setae. Fovea longitudinal, cervical and radial grooves distinct. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.49, ALE 0.28, PME 0.08, PLE 0.21, AER 1.75, PER 1.65, EFL 0.78. Chelicerae light brown, promargin with two teeth, and retromargin with one tooth. Endites and labium light brown, distal end pale yellow. Sternum pale yellow. Legs yellow except for femora, patellae and tibiae of leg I brown. Measurements of legs: I 5.99 (1.76, 2.38, 1.17, 0.68 ), II 4.89 (1.59, 1.91, 0.67, 0.72), III 5.39 (1.70, 1.94, 1.14, 0.61), IV 5.50 (1.76, 1.79, 1.32, 0.63). Leg formula: 1432. Abdomen oval, dorsum with sparse long black hair, anterior margin black, the posterior median with two gray herringbone patterns and four chevrons; venter light yellow, with black maculation.

Palp (Fig. 4A, B). Embolus long and thin, originates at about 7:00 o'clock position; tegular lobe folds to retrolateral side; retrolateral tibial apophysis curved towards dorsal side at right angle from the middle.

Female. (paratype) (Fig. 5C, D). Total length 4.94; carapace 2.74 long, 2.04 wide; abdomen 2.54 long, 1.63 wide. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.41 , ALE 0.27, PME 0.11, PLE 0.23, AER 1.81, PER 1.79, EFL 0.72. Chelicerae promargin with two teeth, retromargin with one tooth. Legs pale yellow. Measurements of legs: I 4.79 (1.63, 1.80, 0.76, 0.60 ), II 4.44 (1.71, 1.49, 0.69, $0.55)$, III 5.49 (1.82, 1.94, 1.08, 0.65), IV 5.23 (1.63, 1.87, 1.03, 0.70). Leg
formula: 3412. Abdomen oval, dorsum black, with symmetric lighter yellowish central area, posterior portion covered with dense black and white long hairs; venter light yellow, with three longitudinal black stripes. Color darker than that in male.

Epigyne (Fig. 4C, D). Epigynal window oval, located at anterior portion of epigyne. Copulatory openings slit-shaped, located at the lower lateral margin of the epigynal window. Copulatory ducts short and stout. Spermathecae tubular and intertwined.

Distribution. Known only from the type locality (Fig. 6).
GenBank accession number. Paratype ((HNU-BMS-2205): PP786561.
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#### Abstract

Five new species of the genus Stigmus Panzer, 1804 are described and illustrated from Yunnan and Shaanxi provinces of China: S. carinannulatus Li \& Ma, sp. nov., S. clypeglabratus Li \& Ma, sp. nov., S. flagellipilaris Li \& Ma, sp. nov., S. rugidensus Li \& Ma, sp. nov., and S. sulciconspicus Li \& Ma, sp. nov. In addition, S. solskyi Morawitz, 1864 is recorded in China for the first time. An illustrated key to known and new species of the genus Stigmus Panzer from China is provided.


Key words: Digger wasps, identification key, new record, Pemphredoninae, taxonomy

## Introduction

The genus Stigmus Panzer was erected by Panzer with Stigmus pendulus Panzer, 1804 as its type species. The classification system used in this study follows Melo (1999). Stigmus belongs to the Hymenoptera: Crabronidae: Pemphredoninae: Pemphredonini: Stigmina. All members of this genus are predatory towards aphids that are found on woody plants except that some species of Rhopalosiphum and Aphis that occur on herbaceous plants (Tsuneki 1954; Krombein 1958). The overwhelming majority of species within Stigmus nest in wood in some form. Reported nesting sites include in twigs, dead trees, or structural lumber of abandoned borings by other insects, principally beetle larvae, in borings made by themselves in the pith of twigs or stems, and in abandoned galls of other insects (Smith 1923; Tsuneki 1970; Krombein 1973). The nests usually consist of a linear series of cells separated by partitions of small pieces of pith of the wood substrate (Krombein 1955).

The main diagnostic characteristics of the subtribe Stigmina include forewing with two or fewer discoidal cells and one recurrent vein and a large stigma; forewing with elongate marginal cell, closed apically, larger than stigma; in dorsal view, petiole length significantly longer than its width. Stigmus can be easily distinguished from the similar genus Carinostigmus based on the following identifying characteristics: vertex with micropore field; hindwing submedian cell normal size; occipital carina usually incomplete, not ending to midventral line, suddenly ending at posterior ridge of stomal hollow; interantennal tubercle degenerative; petiole with carinae. Carinostigmus has the following characters:
vertex without micropore field; hindwing submedian cell degenerative; occipital carina complete, ending to midventral line; interantennal tubercle distinct; petiole usually smooth (Krombein 1973, 1984; Bohart and Menke 1976; Budrys 1987; Finnamore 1995).

The genus currently consists of 30 species and four subspecies worldwide, distributed across four major zoogeographic regions, in which the majority of species occurred in the Nearctic region (10 species and 2 subspecies) and the Palearctic region (10 species); additionally, six species and two subspecies occurred in the Oriental region, while the Neotropical region has a relatively low distribution with only two species. One species occurs in the Palearctic and Oriental regions, and one species in the Nearctic and Neotropical regions. Currently, eight species and two subspecies have been recorded in China, among them, four species and two subspecies are distributed in the Oriental region, while three species are distributed in the Palearctic; additionally, there is one species that is found in both the Palearctic and Oriental regions of China (Morawitz 1864; Packard 1867; Kohl 1892; Rohwer 1911; Tsuneki 1954, 1971; Krombein 1973; Kolesnikov 1977; Allen 1987; Budrys 1987, 1995; Uffen 1997, 1998; Jones 2001; Amarante 2002; Terayama 2012; Ratzlaff 2016; Mokrousov 2017; Pulawski 2024).

In the current study, five new species of Stigmus from China are described and illustrated as S. carinannulatus Li \& Ma, sp. nov., S. clypeglabratus Li \& Ma, sp. nov., S. flagellipilaris Li \& Ma, sp. nov., S. rugidensus Li \& Ma, sp. nov., and S. sulciconspicus Li \& Ma, sp. nov., and one newly recorded species from Yunnan Province of China is reported. Additionally, an illustrated identification key to the Chinese Stigmus is provided.

## Materials and methods

The specimens examined in this study are deposited in the Insect Collections of Yunnan Agricultural University, Kunming, Yunnan, China (YNAU). Specimens were observed with the help of an Olympus stereomicroscope (SZ Series) with an ocular micrometer. The photographs were taken with VHX-5000 and edited by using Adobe Photoshop 8.0. For the terminology we mainly followed Tsuneki (1954), Bohart and Menke (1976) and Bashir et al. (2019). The abbreviations and definitions utilized in the text are as follows:
opaque area small area located between the ocellar triangle area and eye, close to the eye;
triangular area area enclosed by scrobal suture, omaulus and hypersternaulus;
BL body length;
HLD head length in dorsal view, the distance from frons to occipital margin, medially;
HLF head length in frontal view, the distance from vertex to clypeal margin, medially;
HW head width in dorsal view, maximum;
EW eye width in lateral view, maximum;
Ewd eye width in frontal view, maximum;
TW gena width in lateral view, maximum;
EL eye length in lateral view, maximum;

| POD | postocellar distance, distance between inner margins of hind <br> ocelli, dorsally; <br> ocellocular distance, distance between outer margin of hind <br> ocellus and nearest inner orbit, dorsally; |
| :--- | :--- |
| OOD | ocello-occipital distance, distance between posterior margin <br> of hind ocellus and occipital margin, dorsally; |
| OCD | petiole width, maximum, dorsally; |
| PW | petiole length, dorsally; <br> PL <br> WTIdth of metasomal tergum I, maximum, dorsally; <br> LTI |
| HFL | length of gastral tergum I, maximum, dorsally; <br> length of hind femur, maximum; |
| HTL | length of hind tibia, maximum. |

## Taxonomy

## Genus Stigmus Panzer, 1804

Type species. Stigmus pendulus Panzer, 1804.

## Key to the species of Stigmus from China, including males and females

Females of S. flagellipilaris Li \& Ma, sp. nov., S. capoblongus Bashir \& Ma and males of S. fronticoncavus Bashir \& Ma, S. sulciconspicus Li \& Ma, sp. nov., and S. interruptus Bashir \& Ma are unknown. PR and OR represent Palearctic and Oriental Regions, respectively.

1 Clypeus deeply impressed, not produced (OR) $\qquad$S. fronticoncavus Bashir \& Ma

- Clypeus flat or slightly convex, slightly (Fig. 1A) or strongly produced ..... 2
2 Triangular area with sturdy reticulation (Fig. 6D) or striations ..... 3
- Triangular area smooth and shiny (Fig. 1E) .....
3 Scutellum coriaceous, without longitudinal impressed line medially (Fig.6C); mesopleuron episcrobal area and triangular area with sturdy reticula-tion (Fig. 6D); in male, clypeus with dense, silvery, short setae, free marginof clypeus strongly produced and nearly truncate medially (Fig. 6H) (PR).
S. solskyi Morawitz
- $\quad$ Scutellum shiny, with single, slender longitudinal line medially; mesopleuron episcrobal area with dense, longitudinal striations, and triangular area with distinct striations anteriorly, smooth and shiny posteriorly; in male, clypeus without setae, free margin of clypeus slightly produced and with two triangular teeth medially (OR)
S. shirozui alishanus Tsuneki

4 Ventral surface of petiole shiny, without rugae (OR)
S. kansitakuanus Tsuneki

- Ventral surface of petiole with a few strong, longitudinal rugae medially and posteriorly5

5 Scrobal suture inconspicuous, lacking (Fig. 1E) or only with single weak rugae (Fig. 3E), not crenate

- Scrobal suture narrow or broad, weakly or distinctly crenate (Fig. 4D) ..... 9

6 Hindwing media diverging before cu-a (Fig. 7A) (OR)................................. 7

- Hindwing media diverging beyond cu-a........................................................ 8

7 Occipital carina complete, ending to midventral line (Fig. 1C); scutum with conspicuous, longitudinal striations, posterior area with dense, slender, short, longitudinal rugae (Fig. 1D); posterior area of mesopleuron with sparse, short longitudinal rugae, and episcrobal area smooth, without striations (Fig. 1E).
S. carinannulatus Li \& Ma, sp. nov.

- Occipital carina incomplete, not ending to midventral line, suddenly ended at posterior ridge of stomal hollow (Fig. 3B); scutum without striations or rugae (Fig. 3D); posterior area of mesopleuron smooth, without rugae, and episcrobal area with dense, slender, longitudinal striations (Fig. 3E)
S. flagellipilaris Li \& Ma, sp. nov.

8 Opaque area larger than hind ocellus (Fig. 5B); pronotal collar with complete, transverse carina anteriorly (Fig. 5C); dorsal surface of petiole with sturdy, irregular rugae anteriorly and medially, and several sturdy, longitudinal rugae posteriorly (Fig. 5F) (OR)..........S. sulciconspicus Li \& Ma, sp. nov.

- Opaque area smaller than hind ocellus; pronotal collar with incomplete, transverse carina anteriorly, narrowly emarginated in middle; dorsal surface of petiole with two strong longitudinal carinae, and irregular, strong rugae anteriorly and medially (PR)
S. denticorneus Bashir \& Ma

9 Hindwing media diverging before cu-a (Fig. 7A) (OR)............................... 10

- Hindwing media diverging beyond cu-a..................................................... 13

10 Pronotal collar with complete lateral rugae; lateral surface of propodeum with dense, sturdy or slender, oblique, longitudinal rugae anteriorly and medially

- Pronotal collar with incomplete lateral rugae, only distinct in posterior area (Fig. 3C); lateral surface of propodeum smooth, without rugae anteriorly and medially (Fig. 3D) 12
11 Ventral gena shiny, with dense, large punctures mixed with several irregular rugae laterally; inner orbital furrow broadened, with slender rugae; scutum shiny, with sparse, midsize to large punctures


## S. Iobomelanicus Bashir \& Ma

- Ventral gena smooth, impunctate and without rugae; inner orbital furrow lacking; scutum moderately matt, with sparse, tiny punctures, posterior area with several sturdy, short, longitudinal rugae....... S. murotai (Tsuneki)
12 Vertex with sparse, large punctures (Fig. 4B); occipital carina narrow, coarsely crenulate dorsally, and somewhat broadened, distinctly crenulate ventrally; pronotal lobe black (Fig. 4D); scutum shiny, with sparse, large punctures (Fig. 4C); in female, pygidial area moderately matt, basal area with several midsize punctures (Fig. 4G)
S. rugidensus Li \& Ma, sp. nov.
- Vertex with sparse, fine punctures (Fig. 2B); occipital carina much narrowed, not crenulate; pronotal lobe yellowish (Fig. 2D); scutum moderately matt, with sparse, tiny punctures (Fig. 2C); in female, pygidial area shiny, without punctures (Fig. 2G)
S. clypeglabratus Li \& Ma, sp. nov.

13 Lateral surface of propodeum smooth, without rugae anteriorly and medially; posterior area of mesopleuron with sparse, short, longitudinal rugae (PR)
S. capoblongus Bashir \& Ma

- Lateral surface of propodeum with dense, slender or sturdy, oblique, longitudinal rugae; posterior area of mesopleuron smooth, without rugae (OR)... 14

14 Vertex with several midsize punctures; anterior area of pronotal collar with incomplete, transverse carina, narrowly emarginated in middle; scutum with sparse, large punctures, anterior and posterior areas with dense, longitudinal striations.
S. interruptus Bashir \& Ma

- Vertex without puncture; anterior area of pronotal collar with complete, transverse carina; scutum with sparse, tiny punctures, without striations 15
15 Hypersternaulus narrowed, not crenate; posterior surface of propodeum with shallow and somewhat narrow median groove; PL/PW ~ 5; in female, pygidial area impunctate, with dense, weak, longitudinal striations $\qquad$
S. convergens ami Tsuneki
- Hypersternaulus broadened, distinctly crenate; posterior surface of propodeum without conspicuous groove; PL/PW ~ 3; in female, pygidial area with two lines of large punctures medially, without striations
S. japonicus Tsuneki


## Species accounts

## Stigmus carinannulatus Li \& Ma, sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/CBECB838-47C0-4C4F-AA4B-ED9E9681ECDC
Figs $1 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{N}, 7 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$

Type material. Holotype: CHINA • + ; Yunnan, Tengchong City; $25^{\circ} 1^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 92^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; 11.VIII.2011; coll. Jujian Chen; sweep net (YNAU). Paratypes: CHINA•20 ${ }^{\lambda}{ }^{\top}$; Yunnan, Kunming City, Yunnan Agricultural University; $25^{\circ} 7^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 102^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$;
 Jinghong Li; sweep net (YNAU); ChinA - 1 ${ }^{\text {ºn }}$; Yunnan, Baoshan City, Longyang District, Lujiang Country, Pumanshao Village; $24^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 98^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{E} ;$ 21.VII.2006; 1951 m elev.; coll. Zhongshi Zhou; sweep net (YNAU).

Diagnosis. The new species can be easily separated from the similar species S. denticorneus Bashir \& Ma, 2019 by the following characters: hindwing media diverging before cu-a; occipital carina complete, ending to midventral line; anterior area of pronotal collar with complete, transverse carina; scutum with several, large punctures, anterior area with distinct, longitudinal striations, posterior area with dense, fine, short, longitudinal rugae; posterior area of mesopleuron with sparse, short, longitudinal rugae, episcrobal area smooth, without striation. Stigmus denticorneus has the following characters: hindwing media diverging beyond cu-a; occipital carina incomplete, not ending to midventral line, suddenly ended at posterior ridge of stomal hollow; anterior area of pronotal collar with incomplete, transverse carina, narrowly emarginated in middle; anterior area of scutum with dense, large punctures, remainder with sparse, midsize to large punctures; posterior area of mesopleuron smooth, without rugae, episcrobal area with dense, longitudinal striations.

Description. Female. Measurements. $\uparrow$, BL: 4.6 mm; HW: HLD: HLF = 78: 54: 59; HW: EWd: EW: TW: EL = 78: 23: 22: 28: 51; POD: OOD: OCD = 8: 14: 15; length of scape: length of pedicel: length of flagellomere I : width of flagellomere I : length of flagellomere II: width of flagellomere II = 21: 9: 8: 5: 8: 5; PL: PW: LTI: WTI: HFL: HTL = 36: 11: 40: 46: 48: 55. đ, BL: 3.5-4.6 mm; HW: HLD: HLF = 53: 31: 42; HW: EWd: EW: TW: EL = 53: 15: 20: 12: 36; POD: OOD: OCD = 5.5: 10: 11; length of scape: length of pedicel: length of flagellomere I: width of
flagellomere I: length of flagellomere II: width of flagellomere II =13:5:5:3:5: 3; PL: PW: LTI: WTI: HFL: HTL = 24: 5: 25: 26: 33: 57.

Color pattern. Body black; clypeus with reddish brown to dark brown band subapically; mandible fulvous except reddish brown apically; labrum dark brown; palpi, scape, pedicel, tegula and pronotal lobe fulvous; flagellomeres I-V beneath fulvous, above brown, remainder dark brown; forewing veins brown; fore and mid legs: fulvous except coxa largely and femur medially dark brown; hind leg: trochanter, basal 1/4 of tibia and tarsus fulvous, remainder dark brown; gastral sterna IV-VI fulvous to dark brown; setae on clypeus and mandible sparse and golden.

Head. Mandible tridentate apically, median tooth large (Fig. 1A). Labrum with two distinct cornuted teeth apically. Clypeus shiny, nearly flat, with sparse, midsize punctures; free margin of clypeus slightly produced and with two distinct triangular teeth medially, slightly reflected, area between two teeth deeply emarginated (Fig. 1A). Scapal hollow moderately matt, coriaceous, somewhat shallow and defined, provided with one vestigial minute tubercle medially, not spined (Fig. 1A). Frontal furrow very fine and weakly impressed, inconspicuously (Fig. 1A). Median and upper frons shiny, with sparse, fine punctures, gently convex (Fig. 1A). Ocellar triangle area flat, shiny, impunctate, area near eyes with dense, short, impressed lines, opaque area smaller than hind ocellus (Fig. 1B). Vertex shiny, impunctate (Fig. 1B). Gena shiny, smooth and impunctate (Fig. 1C). Head from above with temples rarely convergent posteriorly, subquadrate (Fig. 1B). Occipital carina complete, ending to midventral line, dorsal area much narrowed, not crenulate, ventral area gently broadened, coarsely crenate (Fig. 1C); inner and outer orbital furrows lacking (Fig. 1A).

Mesosoma. Pronotal collar with strong, transverse carina anteriorly, and with incomplete lateral rugae, only distinct in posterior area, without antero-lateral corner (Fig. 1D). Scutum moderately matt, with several large punctures, anterior area with dense, conspicuous, longitudinal striations, posterior area with dense, fine, short, longitudinal rugae (Fig. 1D); admedian line weakly impressed, extending to $1 / 2$ of scutum length; notaulus deeply grooved and crenulate, reaching $2 / 5$ of scutum length; parapsidal line distinct (Fig. 1D). Scutellum shiny, with sparse, fine punctures, without medial longitudinal line (Fig. 1D). Metanotum weakly coriaceous (Fig. 1D). Mesopleuron shiny, posterior area with several slender, short, longitudinal rugae, episcrobal area shiny and smooth (Fig. 1E); omaulus and hypersternaulus broadened, distinctly crenate, scrobal suture complete and inconspicuous, just with single longitudinal rugae (Fig. 1E). Propodeal enclosure triangular medially, with three sturdy longitudinal median rugae, and several transvers rugae, with sparse sturdy, oblique, longitudinal rugae laterally (Fig. 1F); posterior surface of propodeum with sparse irregular rugae, without conspicuous median groove (Fig. 1F); lateral surface of propodeum with dense, oblique, longitudinal rugae anteriorly and medially, and irregular reticulation posteriorly (Fig. 1E).

Legs. Outer surface of hind tibia with three long, slender, fulvous to dark brown spines.

Wings. Forewing venation typical for genus Stigmus, hindwing media diverging before cu-a.

Metasoma. Dorsal surface of petiole subquadrate, moderately convex and widened toward apex slightly, and with two sturdy, longitudinal, median carinae,


Figure 1. Stigmus carinannulatus Li \& Ma, sp. nov. (A-H female I-N male) A, I head, frontal view B, J head, dorsal view C head, ventral view D collar, scutum, scutellum and metanotum, dorsal view E thorax, lateral view F propodeum, dorsal view $\mathbf{G}$ petiole, dorsal view $\mathbf{H}$ pygidial plate, dorsal view $\mathbf{K}$ gastral tergum VIII, ventral view $\mathbf{L}$ male genitalia, dorsal view $\mathbf{M}$ male genitalia, ventral view $\mathbf{N}$ male genitalia, lateral view. Scale bars: $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{J}) ; 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{K}-\mathbf{N})$.
area between carinae with dense, fine, irregular rugae, median and posterior areas with two sturdy, longitudinal, lateral rugae on each side (Fig. 1G). Lateral surface of petiole with three strong, longitudinal rugae medially and posteriorly (Fig. 7A). Ventral surface of petiole with a few strong, short, longitudinal rugae posteriorly. Gastral terga shiny, impunctate, gastral sternum VI with sparse, fine
punctures apically (Fig. 1H). Pygidial area moderately matt, broadly triangular, apex truncate, with longitudinal micro-striations (Fig. 1H).

Male. Same as female except tegula dark brown; setae on clypeus dense, silvery, short (Fig. 11); mandible bidentate apically (Fig. 1I); free margin of clypeus slightly produced, nearly truncate medially, and with shallow emargination (Fig. 11); head from above with temples somewhat roundly convergent posteriorly; dorsal area of occipital carina much narrowed, coarsely crenulate, and ventral area somewhat broadened, distinctly crenate (Fig. 1J); flagellomeres without tyloids, setae normal; gastral sterna impunctate (Fig. 1J).

Distribution. China (Yunnan).
Etymology. The name, carinannulatus, is derived from the Latin carin- (= carina) and the Latin word annulatus (= annular), referring to the complete occipital carina.

## Stigmus clypeglabratus Li \& Ma, sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/A5CB1E7E-541A-4D20-ABB4-E62DBDE9ABA6
Figs 2A-M, 7C, D

Type material. Holotype: CHINA • $\uparrow$; Shaanxi, Hanzhong City, Liuba County, Zibai Mountain; $33^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ N, $106^{\circ} 43^{\prime}$ E; 3.VIII.2004; 1632 m elev.; coll. Min Shi; sweep net (YNAU). Paratypes: $3 \widehat{o}^{\lambda}$, same data as for holotype, except coll. Min Shi, Qiong Wu (YNAU).

Diagnosis. Differs from S. japonicus Tsuneki, 1954 by hindwing media diverging before cu-a; lateral surface of propodeum smooth and shiny anteriorly and medially; pronotal collar with incomplete lateral rugae, just distinct in posterior area; gena impunctate dorsally; opaque area larger than hind ocellus; in male, clypeus smooth and impunctate, and with several setae on free margin, yellowish and short; in female, pygidial area smooth, impunctate. Stigmus japonicus has the following characters: hindwing media diverging beyond cu-a; lateral surface of propodeum with dense, slender or sturdy, oblique, longitudinal rugae anteriorly and medially; pronotal collar without lateral rugae; gena with sparse, midsize punctures dorsally; opaque area smaller than hind ocellus; in male, clypeus with dense, tiny punctures, setae on clypeus dense, silvery, and short; in female, pygidial area with two lines of large punctures medially.

Description. Female. Measurements. $\uparrow$, BL: $4.2 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{HW}: \mathrm{HLD}: H L F=61: 36$ : 47; HW: EWd: EW: TW: EL = 61: 16: 21: 16: 39; POD: OOD: OCD = 5: 11: 16; length of scape: length of pedicel: length of flagellomere I: width of flagellomere I: length of flagellomere II: width of flagellomere II = 18: 6: 6: 3: 7: 3.5; PL: PW: LTI: WTI: HFL: HTL = 26: 8: 32: 35: 35: 40. §, BL: 3-3.8 mm; HW: HLD: HLF = 63: 32: 48; HW: EWd: EW: TW: EL = 63: 19: 23: 13: 41; POD: OOD: OCD = 6.5: 11: 14; length of scape: length of pedicel: length of flagellomere I : width of flagelIomere I: length of flagellomere II: width of flagellomere II = 16: 7: 5: 3: 6:3; PL: PW: LTI: WTI: HFL: HTL = 26: 8: 29: 34: 34: 40.

Color pattern. Body black; clypeus with reddish brown band subapically; mandible yellowish except reddish brown apically; labrum and dorsal scape fulvous; palpi and ventral scape ivory; pedicel, pronotal lobe, tegula and forewing veins yellowish; flagellomeres beneath and I-II above fulvous, remainder reddish brown; fore and mid legs: yellowish to fulvous except outer margin of femur somewhat brown, coxa dark brown largely; hind leg: coxa apically,


Figure 2. Stigmus clypeglabratus Li \& Ma, sp. nov. (A-G female H-M male) A, H head, frontal view B, I head, dorsal view C collar, scutum, scutellum and metanotum, dorsal view D thorax, lateral view E propodeum, dorsal view F petiole, dorsal view G pygidial plate, dorsal view J gastral tergum VIII, ventral view K male genitalia, dorsal view L male genitalia, ventral view M male genitalia, lateral view. Scale bars: $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}-\mathrm{I}) ; 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(J-M)$.
trochanter, basal 1/2 of tibia, tarsi yellowish to fulvous, remainder dark brown; gaster dark brown, gastral sterna IV-VI bright yellow largely; setae on clypeal margin and mandible sparse, golden and long.

Head. Mandible tridentate apically, median tooth large. Labrum with two distinct triangular teeth apically (Fig. 2A). Clypeus smooth, shiny, flat; free margin of clypeus slightly produced and with two triangular teeth medially, slightly
reflected, area between two teeth with shallow emargination (Fig. 2A). Scapal hollow shiny, shallow, and broad, not clearly defined, provided with one vestigial minute tubercle medially; frontal furrow vestigial; median and upper frons shiny, with sparse, tiny punctures (Fig. 2A). Ocellar triangle area flat, shiny, impunctate, area near eyes with dense, short, impressed lines, opaque area smaller than hind ocellus (Fig. 2B). Vertex shiny, with sparse, tiny punctures (Fig. 2B). Gena shiny, impunctate. Head from above with temples rarely convergent posteriorly, subquadrate (Fig. 2B). Occipital carina incomplete, not ending to midventral line, suddenly ended at posterior ridge of stomal hollow, not dentate, occipital carina much narrowed, not crenulate. Inner and outer orbital furrows lacking (Fig. 2A).

Mesosoma. Pronotal collar with strong, transverse carina anteriorly, and with incomplete lateral rugae, only distinct in posterior area, without antero-lateral corner (Fig. 2C). Scutum moderately matt, with sparse, tiny punctures; admedian line distinctly impressed, extending to $2 / 5$ scutum length; notaulus deeply grooved and crenulate, reaching quarter of scutum length; parapsidal line weakly impressed (Fig. 2C). Scutellum shiny, with sparse, fine punctures, and median longitudinal line weakly impressed (Fig. 2C). Metanotum weakly coriaceous (Fig. 2C). Mesopleuron smooth and shiny, posterior area smooth without rugae, episcrobal area with dense, slender, longitudinal rugae posteriorly; scrobal suture, omaulus and hypersternaulus broadened, slightly crenate, scrobal suture complete (Fig. 2D). Propodeal enclosure triangular medially, and with three sturdy, longitudinal rugae and sparse, irregular, transvers rugae, lateral area with irregular, short rugae; posterior surface of propodeum with sturdy reticulation, and without conspicuous median groove (Fig. 2E); lateral surface of propodeum smooth and shiny anteriorly and medially, and with irregular reticulation posteriorly (Fig. 2D).

Legs. Outer surface of hind tibia with three long, slender, fulvous to dark brown spines.

Wings. Forewing venation typical for genus Stigmus, hindwing media diverging before cu-a.

Metasoma. Dorsal surface of petiole subquadrate, gently convex and widened toward apex slightly, and with two sturdy oblique, longitudinal carinae forming V-shaped medially, area between V-shaped carinae with irregular rugae, medial and posterior areas with a few sturdy, longitudinal rugae on each side (Fig. 2F); lateral surface of petiole with several strong, longitudinal rugae medially and posteriorly (Fig. 7C); ventral surface of petiole with four strong, short, longitudinal rugae posteriorly. Gastral terga shiny, impunctate, gastral sternum VI moderately matt, and with dense fine punctures (Fig. 2G). Pygidial area smooth and shiny, broadly triangular (Fig. 2G).

Male. Almost same as female except mandible fulvous basally and medially; fore and mid legs yellowish to fulvous; hind femur dark brown largely, remainder yellowish; mandible bidentate apically; clypeus moderately convex, clypeal margin broadly produced, and nearly truncate medially (Fig. 2H); head from above with temples gradually convergent posteriorly (Fig. 2l); occipital carina narrowed, distinctly crenulate dorsally, and ventral area somewhat broadened, coarsely crenate (Fig. 21); flagellomeres without tyloids, setae normal (Fig. 21).

Distribution. China (Shaanxi).
Etymology. The name, clypeglabratus, is derived from the Latin clype- (= clypeus) and the Latin word glabratus (= smooth), referring to the smooth and impunctate clypeus.

Stigmus flagellipilaris Li \& Ma, sp. nov.
https://zoobank.org/9DD287CF-D292-49D8-88E2-2FE6D9BB599B
Figs 3A-K, 8A

Type material. Holotype: CHINA • đ; Yunnan, Tengchong city, Shabadi Village; $25^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 98^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{E} ; 2-18 . I V .2020 ; 1739 \mathrm{~m}$ elev.; coll. Lang Yi; Malaise trap (YNAU). Paratypes: CHINA•1 ${ }^{\lambda}$; Yunnan, Baoshan city, Longyang District, Lujiang Country, Pumanshao Village; $24^{\circ} 56^{\prime}$ N, $98^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{E} ;$ 21.VII.2006; 1951 m elev.; coll. Zhongshi Zhou; sweep net (YNAU); China - 1 ${ }^{\text {¹; }}$; Yunnan, Wenshan City, Maguan County, Wazishan Village; $22^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 104^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{E} ; 13 . \mathrm{VIII} .2017 ; 1722 \mathrm{~m}$ elev.; coll. Li Ma; sweep net (YNAU).

Diagnosis. Differs from S. japonicus by hindwing media diverging before cu-a; scrobal suture inconspicuous, just single weak rugae; opaque area smaller than hind ocellus; median and upper frons with several large punctures; vertex shiny, and with sparse, fine punctures. Stigmus japonicus has the following characters: hindwing media diverging beyond cu-a; scrobal suture broadened, distinctly crenate; opaque area larger than hind ocellus; medial and upper frons with sparse, fine punctures; vertex moderately matt, with sparse, midsize punctures.

Description. Male. Measurements. ${ }^{\imath}$, BL: 3.2-4.3 mm; HW: HLD: HLF = 69: 44: 54; HW: EWd: EW: TW: EL = 69: 20: 19: 23: 43; POD: OOD: OCD = 8: 12 : 12; length of scape: length of pedicel: length of flagellomere I: width of flagelIomere I: length of flagellomere II: width of flagellomere II = 16: 6: 7: 4: 7: 4; PL: PW: LTI: WTI: HFL: HTL = 33: 7: 34: 32: 39: 50.

Color pattern. Body black; mandible yellowish except reddish brown apically; labrum, scape, pedicel and pronotal lobe fulvous; palpi yellowish; flagellomeres reddish brown except I-IV beneath fulvous; tegula brown; forewing veins fulvous to brown; fore and mid legs: trochanter, base and apex of femur, tibia largely, tarsi yellowish, remainder dark brown; hind leg: coxa apically, trochanter, base and apex of femur, tibia largely, tarsi yellowish, remainder dark brown; setae on clypeus and lateral upper frons silvery; mandible with sparse golden setae.

Head. Mandible bidentate apically (Fig. 3A). Labrum subquadrate (Fig. 3A). Clypeus nearly flat, with dense, tiny punctures; free margin of clypeus slightly produced and nearly truncate medially, with shallow emargination (Fig. 3A). Scapal hollow matt, distinctly coriaceous, somewhat shallow, provided with one vestigial minute tubercle medially; frontal furrow weakly impressed; medial and upper frons shiny, with several large punctures, slightly convex (Fig. 3A). Ocellar triangle area flat, shiny, impunctate, area near eyes with dense, short, impressed lines, opaque area smaller than hind ocellus (Fig. 3C). Vertex shiny, with sparse, fine punctures (Fig. 3C). Gena shiny, with sparse, midsize to large punctures dorsally; ventral gena shiny, smooth, impunctate (Fig. 3B). Head from above with temples gradually convergent posteriorly (Fig. 3C). Occipital carina incomplete, not ending to midventral line, suddenly ended at posterior ridge of stomal hollow, dorsal area much narrowed, not crenulate, ventral area gently broadened, distinctly crenate (Fig. 3B). Inner and outer orbital furrows lacking (Fig. 3A, B). Flagellomeres without tyloids, with longish and dense setae (Fig. 3A).

Mesosoma. Pronotal collar with strong, transverse carina anteriorly, and with incomplete lateral rugae, only distinct in posterior area, without antero-lateral corner (Fig. 3D). Scutum moderately matt, with several inconspicuous, large punctures; admedian line distinctly impressed, extending to $2 / 5$ scutum length;


Figure 3. Stigmus flagellipilaris Li \& Ma, sp. nov. (male) A head, frontal view B head, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ head, dorsal view D collar, scutum, scutellum and metanotum, dorsal view $\mathbf{E}$ thorax, lateral view $\mathbf{F}$ propodeum, dorsal view $\mathbf{G}$ petiole, dorsal view $\mathbf{H}$ gastral tergum VIII, ventral view I male genitalia, dorsal view J male genitalia, ventral view $\mathbf{K}$ male genitalia, lateral view. Scale bars: $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{G}) ; 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{H}-\mathbf{K})$.
notaulus deeply grooved and crenulate, reaching $1 / 3$ of scutum length; parapsidal line distinctly impressed (Fig. 3D). Scutellum matt, with sparse, midsize punctures, and median, longitudinal line weakly impressed (Fig. 3D). Metanotum distinctly coriaceous (Fig. 3D). Mesopleuron moderately matt, with fine sculptures and several large punctures, posterior area smooth, without rugae, episcrobal area with dense, slender, longitudinal striations; omaulus and hypersternaulus broadened, distinctly crenate, scrobal suture inconspicuous, just with several single rugae (Fig. 3E). Propodeal enclosure triangular medially, with three sturdy, longitudinal rugae and sparse, strong, transvers rugae, lateral area with irregular rugae mixed with a few, sturdy, oblique, longitudinal rugae; posterior surface of propodeum without distinct median groove, with sparse, strong, transverse rugae, remainder with sturdy reticulation (Fig. 3F); lateral surface of propodeum with contiguous, slender or sturdy, oblique, longitudinal rugae anteriorly and medially, and irregular reticulation posteriorly (Fig. 3E).

Legs. Outer surface of hind tibia with three long, slender, fulvous to dark brown spines.

Wings. Forewing venation typical for genus Stigmus, hindwing media diverging before cu-a.

Metasoma. Dorsal surface of petiole subquadrate, moderately convex and widened toward apex slightly, and with two sturdy oblique, longitudinal carinae forming V-shaped medially, area between carina gently convex, and with a few sturdy, oblique, longitudinal rugae on each side (Fig. 3G); lateral surface of petiole with several strong, longitudinal rugae (Fig. 8A); ventral surface of petiole with a few sturdy, short, longitudinal rugae posteriorly.

Female. Unknown.
Distribution. China (Yunnan).
Etymology. The specific name, flagelli, is derived from the Latin flagell- (= flagellum) and the Latin word pilaris (= crinal), referring to the flagella without tyloids, and with long setae and dense pilosity.

Stigmus rugidensus Li \& Ma, sp. nov.
https://zoobank.org/B9DD920D-D1B6-46C6-A777-64CF2F1FB196
Figs 4A-M, 7E, F
Type material. Holotype: CHINA • $q$; Yunnan, Kunming City, Yunnan Agricultural University; $25^{\circ} 7^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 102^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; 12.VI.2023; 1910 m elev.; coll. Jinghong Li; sweep net (YNAU). Paratypes: CHINA •1 ${ }^{3}$; Yunnan, Kunming City, Shimudi ecological park; $25^{\circ} 5^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 102^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; 22.V.2023; 2210 m elev.; coll. Zhizhi Liu; sweep net (YNAU).

Diagnosis. Differs from S. japonicus by hindwing media diverging before cu-a; lateral surface of propodeum smooth and shiny anteriorly and medially, and with sparse, oblique, longitudinal rugae posteriorly; pronotal lobe black; mesopleuron with sparse, midsize punctures, episcrobal area finely coriaceous. Stigmus japonicus has the following characters: hindwing media diverging beyond cu-a; lateral surface of propodeum with dense, slender or sturdy, oblique longitudinal rugae anteriorly and medially, and irregular reticulation posteriorly; pronotal lobe ivory; mesopleuron impunctate, episcrobal area with contiguous, longitudinal rugae.

Description. Female. Measurements. $\uparrow$, BL: $5 \mathrm{~mm} ;$ HW: HLD: HLF $=75$ : 57: 57; HW: EWd: EW: TW: EL = 75: 18: 22: 28: 52; POD: OOD: OCD = 9: 13: 17; length


Figure 4. Stigmus rugidensus Li \& Ma, sp. nov. (A-G female H-M male) A, H head, frontal view B, I head, dorsal view C collar, scutum, scutellum and metanotum, dorsal view D thorax, lateral view E propodeum, dorsal view $\mathbf{F}$ petiole, dorsal view $\mathbf{G}$ pygidial plate, dorsal view $\mathbf{J}$ gastral tergum VIII, ventral view $\mathbf{K}$ male genitalia, dorsal view $\mathbf{L}$ male genitalia, ventral view M male genitalia, lateral view. Scale bars: $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{I}) ; 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{J}-\mathbf{M})$.
of scape: length of pedicel: length of flagellomere I : width of flagellomere I: length of flagellomere II: width of flagellomere II = 24: 10: 10: 6: 10: 5; PL: PW: LTI: WTI: HFL: HTL = 38: 10: 40: 40: 41: 40. ${ }^{\imath}$, BL: 3.8 mm ; HW: HLD: HLF = 70: 45: 47: 55; HW: EWd: EW: TW: EL = 70: 22: 22: 20: 46; POD: OOD: OCD = 8: 12: 14; length of scape: length of pedicel: length of flagellomere I: width of
flagellomere I: length of flagellomere II: width of flagellomere II = 17: 10: $5: 5$ : 10: 5; PL: PW: LTI: WTI: HFL: HTL = 35: 10: 34: 25: 40: 49.

Color pattern. Body black; mandible brown except dark brown apically; labrum dark brown; palpi yellowish; scape, pedicel, tegula and forewing veins brown; flagellomeres I-VII brown to dark brown; pronotal lobe black; fore leg: coxa apically, base and apex of femur, tibia largely, tarsi fulvous, remainder brown to dark brown; mid leg: fulvous except middle of trochanter and tibia dark brown; hind leg: trochanter and tibia largely dark brown, remainder fulvous; gaster dark brown apically; clypeal margin and mandible with sparse golden setae.

Head. Mandible tridentate apically, median tooth large. Labrum pentagonal, and with two distinct triangular teeth apically (Fig. 4A). Clypeus shiny, slightly convex, and with sparse, midsize punctures; free margin of clypeus slightly produced and with two distinct, cornuted teeth medially, slightly reflected, distinctly emarginated in middle (Fig. 4A). Scapal hollow coriaceous, somewhat shallow, and clearly defined, provided with one vestigial minute tubercle medially, not spined (Fig. 4A). Frontal furrow very fine and weakly impressed, inconspicuously; median and upper frons shiny, with sparse, midsize punctures mixed with several large punctures, gently convex (Fig. 4A). Ocellar triangle area flat, shiny, impunctate, area near eyes with dense, short, impressed lines, opaque area smaller than hind ocellus (Fig. 4B). Vertex shiny, with sparse, large punctures, and with longitudinal line weakly impressed medially (Fig. 4B). Gena shiny, with sparse, midsize to large punctures dorsally; ventral gena shiny, smooth and impunctate. Head from above with temples rarely convergent posteriorly, subquadrate (Fig. 4B). Occipital carina incomplete, not ending to midventral line, suddenly ended at posterior ridge of stomal hollow, dorsal area narrowed, coarsely crenulate, ventral area slightly broadened, distinctly crenate. Inner and outer orbital furrows lacking (Fig. 4A).

Mesosoma. Anterior area of pronotal collar with strong, transverse carina, and with incomplete lateral rugae, only distinct in posterior area, without antero-lateral corner (Fig. 4C). Scutum shiny, with sparse, large punctures; admedian line distinctly impressed, extending to $2 / 5$ of scutum length; notaulus deeply grooved and crenulate, also reaching $2 / 5$ of scutum length; parapsidal line distinctly impressed (Fig. 4C). Scutellum shiny, with sparse, midsize to large punctures, and without longitudinal line (Fig. 4C). Metanotum slightly matt, finely rugulose (Fig. 4C). Mesopleuron shiny, with sparse, midsize punctures, posterior area smooth, without rugae, episcrobal area finely coriaceous; scrobal suture, omaulus and hypersternaulus broadened, distinctly crenate, scrobal suture complete (Fig. 4D). Propodeal enclosure U-shaped medially, and with a longitudinal median rugae and sparse, irregular, transverse rugae, with dense, disorganized, slender rugae laterally; posterior surface of propodeum with sparse, irregular rugae, median groove inconspicuous (Fig. 4E); lateral surface of propodeum moderately matt, smooth anteriorly and medially, with sparse, oblique longitudinal rugae posteriorly (Fig. 4D).

Legs. Outer surface of hind tibia with three long, slender, fulvous to dark brown spines.

Wings. Forewing venation typical for genus Stigmus, hindwing media diverging before cu-a.

Metasoma. Dorsal surface of petiole subquadrate, moderately convex and widened toward apex slightly, and with two sturdy oblique, longitudinal carinae forming V-shaped medially, area between carina with dense, irregular, transverse rugae, median and posterior areas with several sturdy, lateral rugae on
each side (Fig. 4F); lateral surface of petiole with two sturdy, longitudinal rugae (Fig. 7E); ventral surface of petiole with a few sturdy, longitudinal rugae posteriorly. Gastral terga shiny, with sparse, midsize punctures, gastral sterna shiny, sterna II-VII with sparse, fine punctures; pygidial area moderately matt, broadly triangular, and with several, midsize punctures basally (Fig. 4G).

Male. Same as female, except: mandible fulvous except reddish brown apically; scape and pedicel fulvous; flagellomeres I-III dark brown; fore leg: inner margin of tibia fulvous, middle of femur dark brown; mid leg: trochanter brown, apex of femur and outer margin of tibia dark brown; hind leg: femur, tibia largely black; setae on clypeus dense, silvery, long (Fig. 4H); mandible bidentate apically; clypeus with dense, tiny punctures; free margin of clypeus slightly produced and nearly truncate medially, with shallow emargination, slightly reflected apically (Fig. 4H); vertex shiny, impunctate, without longitudinal line medially (Fig. 41); head from above with temples somewhat roundly convergent posteriorly (Fig. 41); flagellomeres without tyloids, setae normal (Fig. 41); lateral surface of propodeum with irregular reticulation posteriorly (Fig. 7F); gastral sterna moderately matt, impunctate.

Distribution. China (Yunnan).
Etymology. The name, rugidensus, is derived from the Latin rug- (= rugae) and the Latin word densus (= dense), referring to the propodeal enclosure with dense, disorganized, slender rugae on each side.

## Stigmus sulciconspicus Li \& Ma, sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/AFF9DC36-AD65-416E-BDE6-377FF46CE6BD
Figs 5A-G, 8B
Type material. Holotype: China - $\uparrow$; Baoshan City, Longyang District, Lujiang Country, Gaoligong Mountain; $24^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 98^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{E} ; 20-21 . \mathrm{VII} .2006$; 938 m elev.; coll. Li Ma; Yellow plate (YNAU). Paratypes: CHINA • 1 $甲$, same data as for holotype.

Diagnosis. Distinguished from S. interruptus Bashir \& Ma, 2019 by the following combination of characters: anterior area of pronotal collar with complete, sturdy, transverse carina; scutum shiny, with sparse, midsize punctures mixed with a few large punctures, without wrinkle; scrobal suture inconspicuous, just single longitudinal striation; in female, pygidial area shiny, impunctate and without striations. Stigmus interruptus has the following characters: anterior area of pronotal collar with incomplete, sturdy, transverse carina, narrowly emarginated in middle; mesoscutum moderately matt, with sparse, large punctures, anterior and posterior areas with dense, longitudinal micro-striations; scrobal suture broadened, distinctly crenate; in female, pygidial area with weakly longitudinal striations, basal area with several large punctures.

Description. Female. Measurements. ㅇ, BL: 4.7 mm ; HW: HLD: HLF = 85: 60: 65; HW: EWd: EW: TW: EL = 85: 23: 24:31: 65; POD: OOD: OCD = 9: 15: 16; length of scape: length of pedicel: length of flagellomere $I$ : width of flagellomere I: length of flagellomere II: width of flagellomere II $=27: 11: 8: 5: 8: 5 ;$ PL: PW: LTI: WTI: HFL: HTL = 42: 15: 46: 49: 49: 60.

Color pattern. Body black; clypeus with reddish brown band subapically; mandible yellowish except reddish brown apically; labrum dark brown; palpi yellowish; antenna, tegula, forewing veins and gaster apically fulvous to brown; pronotal lobe white; fore and mid legs: yellowish except coxa largely dark


Figure 5. Stigmus sulciconspicus Li \& Ma, sp. nov. (female) A head, frontal view $\mathbf{B}$ head, dorsal view $\mathbf{C}$ collar, scutum, scutellum and metanotum, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ thorax, lateral view $\mathbf{E}$ propodeum, dorsal view $\mathbf{F}$ petiole, dorsal view $\mathbf{G}$ pygidial plate, dorsal view. Scale bars: 1 mm .
brown; hind leg: coxa largely and femur medially brown, remainder yellowish; clypeal margin and mandible with sparse golden setae.

Head. Mandible tridentate apically, median tooth large. Labrum with two distinct, triangular teeth apically (Fig. 5A). Clypeus shiny, moderately convex, and with sparse, fine punctures mixed with several large punctures; free margin of clypeus slightly produced and with two distinct, cornuted teeth medially, slightly reflected, slightly emarginated in middle (Fig. 5A). Scapal hollow shiny, shallow, broad, and not clearly defined, provided with one vestigial, minute tubercle medially, not spined (Fig. 5A). Frontal furrow weakly impressed, inconspicuous (Fig. 5A). Median and upper frons slightly matt, finely coriaceous, and with sparse, midsize punctures,
slightly convex (Fig. 5A). Ocellar triangle area flat, shiny, with several fine punctures, area near eyes with dense, short, impressed lines, opaque area larger than hind ocellus (Fig. 5B). Vertex shiny, with sparse, tiny punctures (Fig. 5B). Gena shiny, with sparse, midsize to large punctures dorsally; ventral gena shiny, and with a few midsize punctures. Head from above with temples rarely convergent posteriorly, subquadrate (Fig. 5B). Occipital carina incomplete, not ending to midventral line, suddenly ended at posterior ridge of stomal hollow, without tooth; occipital carina much narrowed, no crenulate. Inner and outer orbital furrows lacking (Fig. 5A).

Mesosoma. Pronotal collar with strong, transverse carina anteriorly, lateral rugae lacking, without antero-lateral corner (Fig. 5C). Scutum shiny, with sparse, midsize punctures mixed with several large punctures; admedian line distinctly impressed, extending to $5 / 12$ of scutum length; notaulus deeply grooved and crenulate, reaching $1 / 4$ of scutum length; parapsidal line distinctly impressed (Fig. 5C). Scutellum shiny, with sparse, tiny punctures, median longitudinal line weakly impressed (Fig. 5C). Metanotum finely coriaceous (Fig. 5C). Mesopleuron shiny, with sparse, midsize punctures, posterior area smooth without rugae, episcrobal area with dense, longitudinal sculptures; scrobal suture inconspicuous, just single longitudinal rugae; hypersternaulus broadened, smooth, not crenate; omaulus broadened, distinctly crenate (Fig. 5D). Propodeal enclosure elongate, U-shaped medially, with one strong, longitudinal, rugae and sparse, irregular, transvers rugae, and with oblique, longitudinal rugae laterally; posterior surface of propodeum with somewhat narrow, shiny, conspicuous, median groove, lateral area of median groove with sparse, oblique, longitudinal rugae, and with sparse, irregular rugae posteriorly (Fig. 5E); lateral surface of propodeum with dense, slender, oblique longitudinal rugae (Fig. 5D).

Legs. Outer surface of hind tibia with three long, slender, fulvous spines.
Wings. Forewing venation typical for genus Stigmus, hindwing media diverging beyond cu-a.

Metasoma. Dorsal surface of petiole subquadrate, gently convex and widened toward apex distinctly, with strong, irregular rugae and with several strong, longitudinal rugae posteriorly (Fig. 5F); lateral surface of petiole with a few sturdy, longitudinal rugae (Fig. 8B); ventral surface of petiole with several sturdy, longitudinal rugae posteriorly. Gastral terga shiny, terga I-V with sparse, fine punctures, tergum VI with sparse, midsize punctures apically (Fig. 5G); gastral sterna shiny, sterna I-VI with sparse, fine punctures, sternum VI with dense, fine punctures (Fig. 5G); pygidial area moderately matt, broadly U-shaped, apex rounded (Fig. 5G).

Male. Unknown.
Distribution. China (Yunnan).
Etymology. The name, sulciconspicus, is derived from the Latin sulc- (= groove) and the Latin word conspicus (= conspicuous), referring to the posterior surface of propodeum with conspicuous median groove.

## New record for China

## Stigmus solskyi Morawitz, 1864

Figs 6A-M, 8C, D

Stigmus solskyi A. Morawitz, 1864: 462; Tsuneki, 1954: 24; Lomholdt, 1975: 129; Bohart \& Menke, 1976: 189.

Stigmus europaeus Tsuneki, 1954: 25. Synonymized with Stigmus solskyi by Yarrow, 1954: 239; de Beaumont, 1956: 385; Tsuneki, 1954: 6.
Stigmus verhoeffi Tsuneki, 1954: 6, 26, 36. Synonymized with Stigmus solskyi by de Beaumont, 1956: 385.


Figure 6. Stigmus solskyi Morawitz, 1864. (A-G female H-M male) A, H head, frontal view B, I head, dorsal view C collar, scutum, scutellum and metanotum, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ thorax, lateral view $\mathbf{E}$ propodeum, dorsal view $\mathbf{F}$ petiole, dorsal view G pygidial plate, dorsal view J gastral tergum VIII, ventral view $\mathbf{K}$ male genitalia, dorsal view $\mathbf{L}$ male genitalia, ventral view M male genitalia, lateral view. Scale bars: $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{I}) ; 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{J}-\mathbf{M})$.

Specimen examined. CHINA•1 ; Inner Mongolia; 8.VII.2001; coll. Bo Qiu. CHINA•1q, 3ત̂̉̉; Inner Mongolia, Bayan Nur City; 13.VII. 2007.

Distribution. China (Inner Mongolia), Algeria, Europe northwards to Finland, Turkey, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Russia.


Figure 7. A, B Stigmus carinannulatus Li \& Ma, sp. nov. (A female B male) C, D Stigmus clypeglabratus Li \& Ma, sp. nov. (C female, D male) E, F Stigmus rugidensus Li \& Ma, sp. nov. (E female F male) A-F lateral view. Scale bars: 1 mm .


Figure 8. A Stigmus flagellipilaris Li \& Ma, sp. nov. (male) B Stigmus sulciconspicus Li \& Ma, sp. nov. (female) C, D Stigmus solskyi Morawitz, 1864. (C female D male) A-D lateral view. Scale bars: 1 mm .
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# Two new species of Bamazomus Harvey, 1992 from southern China (Schizomida, Hubbardiidae) 

Tao Zheng ${ }^{1,2 \oplus}$, Jiaxian Gong ${ }^{3 \oplus}$, Feng Zhang ${ }^{1,2 \odot}$<br>1 Key Laboratory of Zoological Systematics and Application, College of Life Sciences, Hebei University, Baoding, Hebei 071002, China<br>2 Hebei Basic Science Center for Biotic Interaction, Hebei University, Baoding, Hebei 071002, China<br>3 College of Bee Science and Biomedicine, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, China<br>Corresponding author: Feng Zhang (dudu06042001@163.com)

Extensive collecting in 2022 and 2023 from southern China helped us gain a deep understanding of the natural habitat of schizomids and allowed us to obtain additional specimens of this group. In this paper, two new Bamazomus species, $B$. shanghang sp. nov. and $B$. songi sp. nov. are described from China.

## Materials and methods

Specimens are deposited in the Museum of Hebei University (MHBU), Baoding, China. All measurements in the text are given in millimetres, and the total length excludes the flagellum. The spermathecae were removed and cleared in a pancreatin solution (Álvarez-Padilla and Hormiga 2007) and then transferred to $75 \%$ ethanol for drawing. All specimens are preserved in $75 \%$ alcohol. Photographs were taken using the Leica M205A stereomicroscope equipped with a DFC 550 CCD camera and edited with Adobe Photoshop CC 2019. Morphological terminology for legs and palps follows Reddell and Cokendolpher (1995), cheliceral setae nomenclature follows Lawrence (1969) as modified by Villarreal et al. (2016), flagellar setae terminology follows Cokendolpher and Reddell (1992) as modified by Harvey (1992) and Monjaraz-Ruedas et al. (2016), palpal setae terminology follows Monjaraz-Ruedas et al. (2017), spermathecae nomenclature follows Moreno-Gonzalez et al. (2014), and opisthosomal setae nomenclature follows Villarreal et al. (2016)

Abbreviations: AB anterior branch of chitinized arch, AT accessory tooth of movable finger, Dm dorso-median setae of abdomen and flagellum, DI dorso-lateral setae of the abdomen and flagellum, Fe femur ectally, Fed femur dorso-ectally, Fev femur ventro-ectally, Fm femur mesally, Fmd femur dorso-mesally, Fmv femur ventro-mesally, G setal group numbers of chelicerae, GT guard tooth of movable finger, IA internal angle of chitinized arch, L lobe, LT lateral tip of chitinized arch, Msp patches of microsetae of the male flagellum, PB posterior branch of chitinized arch, Pe patella ectally, Pm patella mesally, Pmm mid mesal part of patella, Pme mid ectal part of patella, S serrula, Ter row of tibia externally, Tmr row of tibia medially, Tir row of tibia internally, Vm ventro-median setae of the abdomen and flagellum, VI ventro-lateral setae of the abdomen and flagellum.

## Taxonomy

Family Hubbardiidae Cook, 1899

## Genus Bamazomus Harvey, 1992

Type species. Bamazomus bamaga Harvey, 1992 from Queensland.
Comment. Bamazomus resembles Apozomus Harvey, 1992 and can be distinguished from it by: 1) spermathecae with numerous lobes vs only with two pairs of lobes; 2) flagellum with posterior process in male vs without posterior process. Currently 11 species of the genus are known to occur from Madagascar to Australia. There is only one anthropochorous species, B. siamensis (Hansen, 1905), which is known outside of natural range. It is known from Thailand (type locality), Hawaii, Ryukyu Islands, and Hong Kong. Until now only this species was known from the continental part of Asia, namely from Bangkok (WSC 2024).

## Bamazomus shanghang sp．nov．

https：／／zoobank．org／E6A3A077－5D27－41CF－95E9－2A4EE4287F38
Figs 1－7，Table 1
上杭巴马加盾

Type material．Holotype（MHBU－2023312－1），CHINA：Fujian Province，Long－ yan City，Shanghang County，Shanghang National Forest Park， $25.6364^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ， $116.9097^{\circ}$ E， 672 m elev．，22．VII．2023，leg．T．Zheng，J．－X．Gong．Paratype： 1 q （MHBU－2023312－2），same data as the holotype．

Etymology．The specific name is a noun in apposition，referring to the name of the type locality．

Diagnosis．The new species resembles $B$ ．siamensis in having three poste－ rior processes and a small，conical protuberance on the posterior margin of flagellum in the male，and spermathecal lobes with several apical apophyses （Cokendolpher and Reddell 1986：figs 2－4；Hansen and Soerensen 1905：pl． 5 ，fig． $2 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~h}$ ），but it can be distinguished by：1）the absence of duct openings of spermathecal lobes and presence of the incomplete anterior branch of chi－ tinized arch（Fig．7A，B）vs duct openings present and anterior branch complete （Cokendolpher and Reddell 1986：figs 2－4）；2）the posterior dorsal process of


Figure 1．Type localities of Bamazomus songi sp．nov．（square）and B．shanghang sp．nov．（circle）．

XII segment of opisthosoma semi-oval, blunt, and short (Figs 2A, 5A) vs conical, acuminate, and long (Hansen and Soerensen 1905: pl. 5, fig. 2h); 3) the presence of Dm4 on flagellum, with two rows of microsetae placed dorsolaterally next to Dm1, right row with four microsetae, left row with three microsetae


Figure 2. Habitus of Bamazomus shanghang sp. nov., holotype male and paratype female $\mathbf{A}$ male, dorsal view $\mathbf{B}$ same, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ female paratype, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ same, ventral view.
in the male (Figs 5A-C, 6A-C) vs Dm4 and two row of microsetae are absent (Hansen and Soerensen 1905: pl. 5, fig. 2g, h).

Description. Holotype Male (Fig. 2A, B): measurements as in Table 1. Colour: light brownish. Prosoma: anterior process of propeltidium with three setae (pair of setae followed by a single seta) followed by five pairs of dorsal setae $(2+2+2+2+2)$; eye spots distinct. Mesopeltidia separated. Metapeltidium divided. Anterior sternum with 14 setae (including two sternapophysial setae); posterior sternum triangular with six setae.

Chelicerae (Fig. 3A, B): movable finger: serrula with 17 teeth, guard tooth present, with one prominent accessory tooth at subterminal part of movable finger. Fixed finger with two large teeth and four smaller teeth, proximal tooth with one tiny, blunt lateral tooth. Setation: setal group formula: 3-9-5-4-10-6-1-6. G1 with three spatulate setae; G2 composed of nine smooth setae; G3 with five setae, feathered apically and smooth basally; G4 consisting of four small setae, smooth, basally thick, distally elongated; G5A with 10 similarly

Table 1. Measurements (mm) of Bamazomus songi sp. nov. and B. shanghang sp. nov.

|  |  | Bamazomus shanghang sp. nov. |  | Bamazomus songi sp. nov. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male (Holotype) | Female (Paratype) | Male (Holotype) | Female (Paratype) MH BU-ZT5-2 |
| Total Length |  | 4.37 | 4.52 | 5.41 | 5.51 |
| Propeltidium | Length | 1.45 | 1.53 | 1.39 | 1.49 |
|  | Width | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.97 | 0.86 |
| Flagellum | Length | 0.67 | 0.78 | 0.69 | 0.49 |
|  | Width | 0.35 | 0.09 | 0.34 | 0.09 |
|  | Height | 0.30 | 0.09 | 0.30 | 0.09 |
| Leg I | Coxa | 1.00 | 0.81 | 1.17 | 0.73 |
|  | Trochanter | 0.59 | 0.46 | 0.79 | 0.46 |
|  | Femur | 2.37 | 1.51 | 2.48 | 1.45 |
|  | Patella | 3.16 | 2.03 | 3.50 | 1.93 |
|  | Tibia | 2.38 | 1.47 | 2.26 | 1.44 |
|  | Basitarsus | 0.64 | 0.43 | 0.76 | 0.44 |
|  | Telotarsus | 1.48 | 0.65 | 0.91 | 0.55 |
|  | Total | 11.57 | 7.36 | 11.87 | 7.00 |
| Leg IV | Trochanter | 0.64 | 0.53 | 0.59 | 0.51 |
|  | Femur | 1.84 | 1.59 | 2.23 | 1.58 |
|  | Patella | 0.90 | 0.68 | 0.99 | 0.66 |
|  | Tibia | 1.48 | 1.16 | 1.68 | 1.10 |
|  | Basitarsus | 1.24 | 0.99 | 1.46 | 0.99 |
|  | Telotarsus | 0.81 | 0.71 | 0.88 | 0.70 |
|  | Total | 7.39 | 6.14 | 8.34 | 5.98 |
| Pedipalp | Trochanter | 0.66 | 0.65 | 0.37 | 0.71 |
|  | Femur | 0.77 | 0.74 | 0.85 | 0.57 |
|  | Patella | 0.78 | 0.73 | 0.83 | 0.64 |
|  | Tibia | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0.79 | 0.62 |
|  | Tarsus | 0.37 | 0.28 | 0.34 | 0.36 |
|  | Total | 2.97 | 2.78 | 3.28 | 3.46 |



Figure 3. Chelicerae of Bamazomus shanghang sp. nov., holotype male and paratype female A male, mesal view B same, movable finger and fixed finger $\mathbf{C}$ female (tip broken), mesal view $\mathbf{D}$ same, movable finger and fixed finger. Abbreviations: AT = accessory tooth of movable finger, G = setal group numbers of chelicerae, GT = guard tooth of movable finger, S = serrula.
sized setae, feathered apically and smooth basally, length almost equal to movable finger; G5B with six setae, basal two short and smooth, apical four longer and feathered; G6 with one smooth seta about $3 / 5$ of movable finger length; G7 with seven smooth setae.

Palps (Fig. 4A, B): 2.05 times longer than propeltidium; trochanter with apical process, blunt apical process with angle of about $70^{\circ}$; mesal surface of trochanter with two setae near ventral margin and two setae near dorsal margin; with one small mesal spur. Femur 1.7 times longer than high; ventral margin on ectal surface with acuminate setae Fe1, Fe5, Fev1, Fev2 and one dorsal seta Fed3; mesal surface with row of four ventral setae (Fmv 1-4) and one dorsal seta Fmd3. Patella with three acuminate setae Pe and one seta Pme1 on ven-tro-ectal surface; with three feathered setae Pm and one seta Pmm3 on ven-tro-mesal surface. Setae formula on tibia 3-3-5. Tarsal spurs asymmetrical.

Legs: leg I, basitarsal-telotarsal proportions: 32: 5: 6: 6: 7: 6: 12. Femur IV 3.14 times longer than high.

Opisthosoma: tergite I with three pairs of microsetae anteriorly and one pair of Dm; tergite II with three pairs of microsetae anteriorly and pair of Dm; tergites III-VII with one pair of Dm setae each; tergite VIII with pairs Dm and DI2;


Figure 4. Palps of Bamazomus shanghang sp. nov., holotype male and paratype female A male, mesal view B same, ectal view $\mathbf{C}$ female, mesal view $\mathbf{D}$ same, ectal view.
tergite IX with pairs Dm, DI1 and DI2. Segments X, XI telescoped, with setal pairs $\mathrm{Dm}, \mathrm{DI} 1, \mathrm{DI} 2, \mathrm{Vm} 2, \mathrm{VI} 1, \mathrm{VI} 2$, and single Vm 1 ; segment XII with Dm, DI1, DI2, Vm2, VI1, VI2, and single Vm1, with posterodorsal process. Sternites II-VII with two irregular rows of setae each; genital plate with scattered setae.

Flagellum (Figs 5A-C, 6A-C): nearly rectangular in shape; 1.94 times longer than wide; posterior margin with three posterior processes; dorsal side with small, conical protuberance; setation: seta Dm1 situate base of bulb, two rows of microseate located dorsolaterally next to Dm1, right row with four microsetae, left row with three microsetae; Dm4 at same level as DI3; DI2 anterior to Dm4; both sides of pedicel with DI1; Vm1 posterior to Vm2; Vm3 anterior to VI1; Vm5 at same level as VI2; two Msp between VI1 and VI2.

Female. Paratype (Fig. 2C, D): measurements as in Table 1. Colour: light brownish. Palps (Fig. 4C, D) similar to male, 1.82 times longer than propeltidium,


Figure 5. Flagellum of Bamazomus shanghang sp. nov., holotype male and paratype female $\mathbf{A}$ male, dorsal view $\mathbf{B}$ same, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ same, lateral view $\mathbf{D}$ female, dorsal view $\mathbf{E}$ same, ventral view $\mathbf{F}$ same, lateral view.
setae formula on tibia 4-2-3. Prosoma: anterior process of propeltidium with three setae (pair of setae followed by single seta) followed by five pairs of dorsal setae. Flagellum (Figs 5D-F, 6D-F) with three flagellomeres, setation: VI1 anterior to DI2; Vm1 at same level as Vm2; DI4 posterior to Dm4; Vm3 anterior to VI 1 ; DI3 at same level as $\mathrm{VI2}$; DI1 posterior to Vm 2 ; Vm 5 posterior to DI2. Spermathecae (Fig. 7A, B) with five or six pairs of lobes, short and thick, with some apical apophyses. Chitinized arch heart-shaped, with a wide LT and with curved, wide and incomplete anterior AB. Gonopod distal bifurcation. Chelicerae (Fig. 3C, D): movable finger with one prominent accessory tooth; serrula with 17 teeth. Fixed finger with two large teeth and six smaller teeth, proximal tooth with one tiny, blunt lateral tooth. Setal group formula 3-9-5-4-10-6-1-6.

Comments. The female of new species has a more pronounced apical process of the palpal trochanter than the male, which is uncommon and generally opposite to other schizomids. Usually, there are two or three G4 on the chelicerae in Hubbardiidae, and these are concentrated in the lower row. G4 are easily confused with G7, but it is believed that G4 can be distinguished by their short setae which are thickened at the base (vs long setae which are not basally thickened), as seen in the new species.


Figure 6. Flagellum of Bamazomus shanghang sp. nov., holotype male and paratype female $\mathbf{A}$ male, dorsal view $\mathbf{B}$ same, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ same, lateral view $\mathbf{D}$ female, dorsal view $\mathbf{E}$ same, ventral view F same, lateral view. Abbreviations: $\mathrm{Dm}=$ dor-so-median setae of abdomen and flagellum, $\mathrm{DI}=$ dorso-lateral setae of the abdomen and flagellum, Vm = ventro-median setae of the abdomen and flagellum, $\mathrm{VI}=$ ventro-lateral setae of the abdomen and flagellum.


Figure 7. Spermathecae of Bamazomus shanghang sp. nov. A, B female paratype, dosal view. Abbreviations: $A B=$ anterior branch of chitinized arch, $\mathrm{IA}=$ internal angle of chitinized $\operatorname{arch}, \mathrm{L}=$ lobe, $\mathrm{LT}=$ lateral tip of chitinized arch.

Habitats．The new species was collected under a heap of leaf－covered stones．The female specimen was collected from the underside of a stone， while the male was found in the ground under the stones．

Distribution．This species is known only from the type locality（Fig．1）．

## Bamazomus songi sp．nov．

https：／／zoobank．org／DD7C1E05－5C3C－4408－9321－20D5367790B6
Figs 1，8－13，Table 1
宋氏巴马加盾

Type material．Holotype ${ }^{\text {đ }}$（MHBU－ZT5－1），CHINA：Guangdong Province， Chaozhou City，Raoping County，Raoyang Town，Gangxia Village， $24.0924^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ ， $116.8814^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ， 177 m elev．，23．VIII．2023，leg．J．－X．Gong．Paratype： $2 \delta^{\top} 4$ ب （MHBU－ZT5－2），same data as the holotype．

Etymology．The specific name is a patronym in honour of the late academi－ cian Daxiang Song（1935－2008），a scholar of arachnology who was the first to describe Schizomida from China．

Diagnosis．Bamazomus songi sp．nov．resembles B．shanghang sp．nov．in having three posterior processes and a small，conical protuberance on the posterior margin of flagellum in the male，and in having spermathecal lobes with several apical apophyses and an incomplete anterior branch（Figs 5A，B， $6 A, B, 7 A, B)$ ，but can be distinguished by：1）the presence of seven or eight pairs of spermathecal lobes（Fig．13A，B）vs five or six pairs of lobes（Fig．7A， B）；2）the short，proximally gonopod broad and wide chitinized arch（Fig．13A， B）vs the long，proximally narrow gonopod and narrow chitinized arch（Fig．7A， B）；3）the Dm4 anterior to the middle dorsal process of flagellum（Figs 11A， 12A）vs Dm4 on the middle dorsal process（Figs 5A，6A）；4）the Dm4 anteri－ or to DI 3 and the Vm 5 anterior to VI 2 on flagellum，the long，acuminate tro－ chanter apical process on pedipalps in the male（Figs 10A，B，11A－C，12A－C） vs the Dm4 posterior to Dl 3 ，the Vm 5 at same level as VI 2 ，blunt and short （Figs 4A，B，5A－C，6A－C）．

Description．Holotype Male（Fig．8A，B）：measurements as in Table 1．Colour： brownish．Prosoma：anterior process of propeltidium with three setae（pair of setae followed by single seta）followed four pairs of dorsal setae $(2+2+2+2)$ ； eye spots distinct．Mesopeltidia separated．Metapeltidium divided．Anterior sternum with 11 setae（including two sternapophysial setae）；posterior ster－ num triangular with six setae．

Chelicerae（Fig．9A，B）：movable finger：serrula with 17 teeth，guard tooth present，with one prominent accessory tooth at subterminal part of movable finger．Fixed finger with two large teeth and four smaller teeth，proximal tooth with one tiny，blunt lateral tooth．Setation：setal group formula：3－6－4－5－13－ $10-1-5$ ．G1 with three spatulate setae；G2 composed of six feathered setae； G3 with four setae，feathered apically and smooth basally；G4 consisting of five small setae，smooth，basally thick，distally elongated；G5A with 13 similar sized setae，feathered apically and smooth basally，length almost equal to movable finger；G5B with 10 setae，basal three short and smooth，apical seven longer and feathered；G6 with one smooth seta about 1／2 of movable finger length； G7 with five smooth setae．


Figure 8. Habitus of Bamazomus songi sp. nov., holotype male and paratype female $\mathbf{A}$ male, dorsal view $\mathbf{B}$ same, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ female paratype, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ same, ventral view.


Figure 9. Chelicerae of Bamazomus songi sp. nov., holotype male and paratype female A male, mesal view $\mathbf{B}$ same, movable finger and fixed finger $\mathbf{C}$ female, mesal view $\mathbf{D}$ same, movable finger and fixed finger. Abbreviations: $A T=$ accessory tooth of movable finger, $\mathrm{G}=$ setal group numbers of chelicerae, $\mathrm{GT}=$ guard tooth of movable finger, $\mathrm{S}=$ serrula.

Palps (Fig. 10A, B): 2.9 times longer than propeltidium length; trochanter with apical process, pointed apical process with angle of about $45^{\circ}$; mesal surface of trochanter with three setae near ventral margin and one seta near dorsal margin; with one small mesal spur. Femur 2.4 times longer than high; ventral margin on ectal surface with acuminate setae Fe1, Fe5, Fev1, Fev2 and one dorsal setae Fed3; mesal surface with a row of four ventral setae (Fmv 1-4) and one dorsal seta Fmd3. Patella with three acuminate setae Pe and one seta Pme1 on ventro-ectal surface; with three feathered setae Pm and one seta Pmm3 on ventro-mesal surface. Setae formula on tibia 5-3-6. Tarsal spurs asymmetrical.

Legs: leg I, basitarsal-telotarsal proportions: 37: 5: 6: 7: 6: 7: 13. Femur IV 3.70 times longer than high.

Opisthosoma: tergite I with three pairs of microsetae anteriorly and pair of Dm; tergite II with three pairs of microsetae anteriorly and pair of Dm; tergites III-VII with one pair of Dm setae each; tergite VIII with pairs Dm and DI2; tergite IX with pairs Dm, DI1, and DI2. Segments X, XI telescoped, with setal pairs DI1, $\mathrm{DI} 2, \mathrm{Vm} 2, \mathrm{VI} 1, \mathrm{VI} 2$, and single Vm 1 ; segment XII with $\mathrm{Dm}, \mathrm{DI} 1, \mathrm{DI} 2, \mathrm{Vm} 2, \mathrm{VI} 1, \mathrm{VI} 2$, and single Vm1, with posterodorsal process. Sternites II-VII with two irregular rows of setae each; genital plate with scattered setae.


Figure 10. Palps of Bamazomus songi sp. nov., holotype male and paratype female A male, mesal view $\mathbf{B}$ same, ectal view C female, mesal view $\mathbf{D}$ same, ectal view.

Flagellum (Figs 11A-C, 12A-C): nearly rectangular in shape; 1.75 times longer than wide; posterior margin of flagellum with three posterior processes; the dorsal side with a small, conical protuberance; setation: seta Dm1 situate base of bulb, two rows of microseate placed dorsolaterally next to Dm1, each row with three microsetae; Dm4 anterior to DI3; DI2 anterior to Dm4; both sides of pedicel with DI1; Vm1 posterior to Vm2; Vm3 anterior to VI1; Vm5 anterior to VI2; two Msp between VI1 and VI2.

Female. Paratype (Fig. 8C, D): measurements as in Table1. Colour: brownish. pedipalps (Fig. 10C, D) similar to male, 2.30 times longer than propeltidium


Figure 11. Flagellum of Bamazomus songi sp. nov., holotype male and paratype female $\mathbf{A}$ male, dorsal view $\mathbf{B}$ same, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ same, lateral view $\mathbf{D}$ female, dorsal view $\mathbf{E}$ same, ventral view $\mathbf{F}$ same, lateral view.
length, setae formula on tibia 4-5-4. Prosoma anterior process of propeltidium with three setae (pair of setae followed by single seta) followed by four pairs of dorsal setae. Flagellum (Figs 11D-F, 12D-F) with three flagellomeres. Setation: VI1 anterior to DI2; Vm1 at same level as Vm2; DI4 posterior to Dm4; VI2 anterior to DI3; DI1 anterior to Vm3; Dm4 posterior to Vm5. Spermathecae (Fig. 13A, B) with seven or eight pairs of short, thick lobes; spermathecal lobes with many small apical apophyses and with slight bifurcations. Chitinized arch wide, heartshaped; with a wide LT and with curved, wide and incomplete AB. Gonopod short and the base broad, with distal bifurcation. Chelicerae (Fig. 9C, D): movable finger with one prominent accessory tooth; serrula with 16 teeth. Fixed finger with two large teeth and five smaller teeth between these, including one tiny, blunt lateral tooth on proximal tooth. Setal group formula 3-6-5-4-11-9-1-5.

Comments. The number of G4 on the chelicerae of both $B$. songi sp. nov. and B. shanghang sp . nov. is similar, with all of them exceeding three. Therefore, previous descriptions of some species in the Hubbardiidae might have confused G4 and G7.


Figure 12. Flagellum of Bamazomus songi sp. nov., holotype male and paratype female A male, dorsal view B same, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ same, lateral view $\mathbf{D}$ female, dorsal view $\mathbf{E}$ same, ventral view $\mathbf{F}$ same, lateral view. Abbreviations: $D m=$ dor-so-median setae of abdomen and flagellum, $\mathrm{DI}=$ dorso-lateral setae of the abdomen and flagellum, $\mathrm{Vm}=$ ventro-median setae of the abdomen and flagellum, $\mathrm{VI}=$ ventro-lateral setae of the abdomen and flagellum.


Figure 13. Spermathecae of Bamazomus songi sp. nov. A, B female paratype, dosal view. Abbreviations: $A B=$ anterior branch of chitinized arch, IA = internal angle of chitinized arch, L = lobe, LT = lateral tip of chitinized arch.

Habitats. Specimens of Bamazomus songi sp. nov. were collected under leaf-covered, relatively wet stones near a stream.

Distribution. This species is known only from the type locality (Fig. 1).
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