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#### Abstract

Representatives of the fish genus Carasobarbus Karaman, 1971 (Actinopterygii: Cyprinidae) from the Middle East and North Africa were previously placed in 14 different genus-group taxa (Barbellion, Barbus, Barynotus, Capoeta, Carasobarbus, Cyclocheilichthys, Kosswigobarbus, Labeobarbus, Luciobarbus, Pseudotor, Puntius, Systomus, Tor and Varicorbinus). The generic assignment of several species changed frequently, necessitating a re-evaluation of their taxonomic status. In this study, the genus Carasobarbus is revised based on comparative morphological examinations of about 1300 preserved specimens from collections of several museums and freshly collected material. The species Carasobarbus apoensis, C. canis, C. chantrei, C. exulatus, C. fritschii, C. harterti, C. kosswigi, C. luteus and C. sublimus form a monophyletic group that shares the following combination of characters: medium-sized barbels with a smooth last unbranched dorsal-fin ray, nine or 10 branched dorsal-fin rays and six branched anal fin-rays; scales large, shieldshaped, with many parallel radii; the lateral line containing 25 to 39 scales; the pharyngeal teeth hooked, 2.3.5-5.3.2 or 2.3.4-4.3.2; one or two pairs of barbels. The species are described in detail, their taxonomic status is re-evaluated and an identification key is provided. A lectotype of Systomus luteus Heckel, 1843 is designated. Carasobarbus Karaman, 1971, Kosswigobarbus Karaman, 1971, and Pseudotor Karaman, 1971 are subjective synonyms, and acting as First Reviser we gave precedence to the name Carasobarbus.
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## Introduction

The species of the cyprinid genus Carasobarbus Karaman, 1971 are distributed across SW Asia and NW Africa. They occur in all major river systems of the Levant, Mesopotamia, southern Iran, the western and south-western Arabian Peninsula and in northern Morocco. Carasobarbus species are an important element of the ichthyofaunas of these areas.

Research about the Mesopotamian and Levantine representatives of Carasobarbus began as early as the middle of the $19^{\text {th }}$ century. Important ichthyologists of that era, such as A. Valenciennes and J. J. Heckel, were the first to study these fish. One of the most prominent biological collections from the Middle East of this time was made by T. Kotschy from 1836 to 1840. It is stored at the Museum of Natural History of Vienna and encompasses the type specimens of many zoological and botanical taxa (Kähsbauer 1963). The Moroccan ichthyofauna was described towards the end of the $19^{\text {th }}$ century and the start of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century by A. Günther and G. A. Boulenger. An expedition lead by C. du Gast in 1912 was one of the first systematic sampling efforts in this area (Pellegrin 1912). The Arabian representatives were reported only about 35 years ago by K. E. Banister and M. A. Clarke. In 1971, M.S. Karaman established the monotypic genus Carasobarbus for Systomus luteus Heckel, 1843 characterised by a laterally compressed and high-backed body, a narrow and high head, a single pair of barbels, pharyngeal bones with three rows of spoon-shaped teeth, a pharyngeal teeth count 2.3.5-5.3.2, a subterminal to terminal mouth, weakly developed lips that run along the jaws as a thin band, no median lobe on the lower lip, infraorbital bones of normal size, a short and broad first infraorbital (lacrimal) bone that is shorter than the eye diameter, a dorsal fin that is moderately long and has 10 branched rays, the origin of the dorsal fin being above the ventral fins, the last unbranched ray of the dorsal fin being well ossified and smooth, the anal fin with six branched rays, and large scales with numerous parallel radii. We revised and expanded Karaman's (1971) diagnosis of the genus that now contains the nine following species: Carasobarbus apoensis (Banister et Clarke, 1977), C. canis (Valenciennes in Cuvier and Valenciennes 1842), C. chantrei (Sauvage, 1882), C. exulatus (Banister \& Clarke, 1977), C. fritschii (Günther, 1874), C. harterti (Günther, 1901), C. kosswigi (Ladiges, 1960), C. luteus (Heckel, 1843), and C. sublimus (Coad \& Najafpour, 1997). Members of this genus were listed in 14 different genera and subgenera in the past: Barbellion Whitley, 1931, Barbus Cuvier, 1816, Barynotus Günther, 1868, Capoeta Valenciennes in Cuvier and Valenciennes 1842, Carasobarbus Karaman, 1971, Cyclocheilichthys Bleeker, 1859, Kosswigobarbus Karaman, 1971, Labeobarbus Rüppell, 1835, Luciobarbus Heckel, 1843, Pseudotor Karaman, 1971, Puntius Hamilton, 1822, Systomus McClelland, 1839, Tor Gray, 1834, and Varicorhinus Rüppell, 1835.

The objectives of the current study are to (1) define a monophyletic genus that is based on synapomorphic characters, (2) provide a conclusive diagnosis of the genus Carasobarbus, (3) give a detailed re-description of all species based on a sample of specimens large enough to show the intraspecific variability, (4) map the range of each species based on records confirmed by voucher specimens, (5) summarise information on biology, habitat and conservation status of each species, (6) discuss the taxonomic history and current status of each species, (7) provide an identification key. This will
form a baseline for a molecular phylogenetic and zoogeographic analysis of Carasobarbus and related genera that is currently in preparation and will be published separately by the first author.

## Methods

Abbreviations for ichthyological collections follow Sabaj-Pérez (2010) and Fricke and Eschmeyer (2013).

Nomenclature of geographic names follows the spelling recommended by the "United States Board on Geographic Names" (http://geonames.usgs.gov/), even though the transcriptions/transliterations of these toponyms are sometimes inconsistent. Wādī or Oued refer to a temporary stream. Nahr, Nahal, Nehri, Rūdkhāneh or Rūd refer to a permanent river or stream. Buḩayratt, Göl or Daryācheh refer to a lake. 'Ayn or Aïn refer to a spring. Geographical coordinates in parentheses are original coordinates, given by a publication, the collector or a collection database. Coordinates determined ex post are marked by brackets. Most of these are from the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency gazetteer (http://geonames.nga.mil/ggmagaz/) and as a consequence, do not refer to the actual site of collection, but to the geographic feature itself. For some of the well known waterbodies and cities the conventional name is used: Euphrates (Nahr al Furāt / Frrat Nehri), Jordan River (HaYarden / Nahr al Urdan), Lake Homs (Buḩayratt Qatțָinah), Lake Tiberias (Yam Kinneret / Buḩhayratt Țabarīyā), Orontes (Nahr al ‘Āşī / Asi Nehri), Tigris (Dicle Nehri / Nahr Dijlah), Aleppo (Halab), Damascus (Dimashq), Mosul (Al Mawşil).

Twenty morphometric measurements were taken from specimens straightened whenever necessary; severely damaged and bent specimens were not used. There are some differences in the way of taking measurements (e.g. Hubbs and Lagler 1958 vs. Banister and Clarke 1977, Krupp 1983a). In this study, seven measurements were done over projections to the body axis. They are as follows: total length (the distance between projections of the tip of the snout and the posterior margin of the longest lobe of the caudal fin, with the caudal fin spread to its natural maximum), standard length (SL) (the distance between projections of the tip of the snout and the end of the hypural plate), preanal length (the distance between projections of the tip of the snout and the origin of the anal fin), predorsal length (the distance between projections of the tip of the snout and the origin of the dorsal fin), preventral length (the distance between projections of the tip of the snout and the origin of the ventral fin), head length (HL) (the distance between projections of the tip of the snout and the posterior margin of the bony opercle), and length of the caudal peduncle (the distance between projections of the insertion of the anal-fin base and the end of the hypural plate). The other measurements were done point-to-point: body depth ( BD ) as the maximum depth of the body (without dorsal fin) taken orthogonal to body axis; depth (minimum) of the caudal peduncle as the smallest depth of the caudal peduncle; length of the dorsal and anal fins as length of the last unbranched ray in the dorsal and anal fins, respectively; lengths of the pectoral and ventral fins as the distance from the fin base to the tip of the pectoral and ventral fins, respectively; length of the dorsal-fin base and the anal-fin base as a distance

Table I. Comparison of morphometric characters of specimens between 50 mm SL and 150 mm SL . All measurements expressed as percentage of SL.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{5}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \text { 㻤 } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. apoensis | ma | 130.5 | 81.0 | 55.8 | 57.9 | 31.2 | 18.1 | 32.6 | 12.3 | 24.5 | 22.6 | 20.0 | 21.3 | 19.4 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 8.1 | 9.0 | 10.5 |
|  | mi | 121.0 | 76.3 | 48.5 | 51.3 | 26.5 | 11.8 | 25.4 | 10.2 | 16.7 | 18.1 | 16.9 | 16.8 | 15.1 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 8.1 |
|  | me | 126.0 | 78.8 | 53.1 | 53.9 | 28.8 | 14.2 | 29.1 | 11.1 | 21.1 | 20.2 | 18.1 | 18.8 | 17.3 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 6.3 | 7.4 | 9.5 |
|  | n | 41 | 43 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 42 | 44 | 44 | 43 | 44 | 44 | 0 | 44 | 44 | 44 | 44 |
| C. <br> canis | max | 132.6 | 82.1 | 56.4 | 58.0 | 32.4 | 17.2 | 31.2 | 12.6 | 30.7 | 24.4 | 21.9 | 22.3 | 20.5 | 10.1 | 3.9 | 6.3 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 9.6 |
|  | m | 121 | 75. | 47.8 | 50.9 | 26.2 | 12.5 | 26.7 | 9.9 | 18.0 | 18.4 | 16.1 | 14.9 | 16.8 | 7.0 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 5. | . 2 | 7.1 |
|  | med | 126.3 | 78.4 | 51.6 | 54.3 | 29.1 | 14.8 | 28.9 | 11.9 | 21.5 | 20.5 | 18.0 | 18.7 | 18.6 | 8.6 | 2.3 | 4.8 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 8.6 |
|  | n | 54 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 46 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 56 | 52 | 55 | 56 | 54 | 56 |
| C. chantrei | ma | 134.8 | 82.3 | 53.9 | 55.3 | 30.0 | 17.1 | 35.8 | 14.0 | 27.5 | 24.4 | 21.8 | 23.8 | 21.2 | 10.2 | 2.5 | 4.7 | 8.9 | 1 | 10.3 |
|  | min | 121.9 | 72.8 | 47.7 | 50.6 | 22.2 | 11.7 | 26.4 | 11.0 | 18.8 | 17.6 | 16.4 | 16.3 | 17.2 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 7.7 |
|  | med | 129.7 | 77.9 | 50.6 | 53.0 | 26.1 | 14.5 | 30.8 | 12.6 | 24.6 | 21.7 | 19.8 | 20.4 | 19.2 | 8.8 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 9.2 |
|  | n | 81 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 76 | 82 | 84 | 83 | 84 | 84 | 68 | 84 | 84 | 81 | 84 |
| C. exulatus | m | 134 | 82.3 | 53.9 | 55.3 | 30.0 | 17.1 | 35.8 | 14.0 | 27.5 | 24.4 | 21.8 | 23.8 | 21.2 | 10.2 | 2.5 | 4.7 | 8.9 | 8.1 | 10.3 |
|  | mi | 121. | 72.8 | 47.7 | 50.6 | 22.2 | 11.7 | 26.4 | 11.0 | 18.8 | 17.6 | 16.4 | 16.3 | 17.2 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 7.7 |
|  | med | 129.7 | 77.9 | 50.6 | 53.0 | 26.1 | 14.5 | 30.8 | 12.6 | 24.6 | 21.7 | 19.8 | 20.4 | 19.2 | 8.8 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 9 | 6.9 | 9.2 |
|  | n | 81 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 76 | 82 | 84 | 83 | 84 | 84 | 68 | 84 | 84 | 81 | 84 |
| C. fritschii | m | 137. | 82.9 | 53.7 | 55.3 | 26. | 18.8 | 34.3 | 13 | 28.0 | 25.2 | 23.8 | 31.3 | 20.6 | 11.9 | 5.6 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 9.8 | 7 |
|  | min | 121.1 | 73.6 | 44 | 47.0 | 18.8 | 10. | 24.8 | 10.6 | 19.6 | 19.3 | 15.8 | 18.1 | 15 | 6.6 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 6.3 |
|  | med | 129.8 | 77. | 49.3 | 50.7 | 23.0 | 15.0 | 29.2 | 11.9 | 23.6 | 22.2 | 20.5 | 22.4 | 17 | 9.1 | 3.1 | 4.7 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 9.1 |
|  | n | 229 | 243 | 244 | 243 | 244 | 244 | 244 | 243 | 196 | 244 | 244 | 242 | 243 | 243 | 242 | 244 | 244 | 244 | 244 |
| C. harterti | ma | 14 | 78.0 | 53.7 | 55.8 | 27. | 18.4 | 31.6 | 13.3 | 31.8 | 25.5 | 24.8 | 24.1 | 19.0 | 10.3 | 9.1 | 9.6 | 9.5 | 7.1 | 9.6 |
|  | min | 122. | 70.9 | 46.5 | 48.5 | 21.2 | 12.3 | 26.8 | 11.8 | 25.8 | 21.5 | 20.4 | 18.9 | 16.5 | 8.4 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 5.2 | 7.4 |
|  | med | 131.8 | 75.0 | 49.8 | 51.1 | 24.4 | 16.0 | 29.2 | 12.8 | 28.9 | 23.5 | 22.8 | 21.1 | 18.0 | 9.3 | 6.6 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 6.2 | 8.4 |
|  | n | 19 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 11 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| C. <br> kosswigi | ma | 133.9 | 79.7 | 53.4 | 51.9 | 25.3 | 16.0 | 32.8 | 12.9 | 35.5 | 23.8 | 21.8 | 26.4 | 21.8 | 11.1 | 5.5 | 7.4 | 8. | 5.9 | 8.5 |
|  | min | 127.1 | 75.4 | 47.0 | 48.7 | 22.8 | 11.9 | 26.2 | 10.4 | 26.1 | 20.1 | 19.1 | 20.0 | 18.1 | 8.8 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 7.3 |
|  | med | 130.4 | 77.7 | 49.7 | 50.8 | 24.5 | 14.5 | 31.1 | 11.9 | 28.8 | 22.1 | 20.8 | 22.6 | 19.9 | 10.0 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 4.6 | 7.9 |
|  | n | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| C. luteus | ma | 134.1 | 84.0 | 56.3 | 57.9 | 33.1 | 15.8 | 40.1 | 14.3 | 31.9 | 24.6 | 22.7 | 23.7 | 22.6 | 10.7 | 3.2 | 7.1 | 10.1 | 10.7 | 11.3 |
|  | min | 120.4 | 74.7 | 47.3 | 48.6 | 21.7 | 8.6 | 26.2 | 11.0 | 17.6 | 17.9 | 16.8 | 15.8 | 14. | 6.6 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 7.4 |
|  | med | 127.5 | 79.1 | 52.2 | 53.4 | 27.3 | 13.2 | 33.6 | 12.8 | 24.9 | 21.4 | 19.8 | 19.9 | 19.2 | 9.0 | 1.7 | 4.4 | 7.2 | 7. | 9.6 |
|  | n | 257 | 264 | 268 | 265 | 267 | 266 | 268 | 268 | 241 | 267 | 268 | 266 | 267 | 267 | 41 | 266 | 265 | 233 | 268 |
| C. sublimus | max | 137.9 | 81.4 | 57.0 | 56.8 | 30.1 | 14.8 | 33.4 | 13.8 | 29.9 | 25.5 | 23.8 | 28.4 | 22.1 | 11.5 | 7.0 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 7.6 | 9.0 |
|  | min | 131.9 | 76.5 | 49.1 | 49.6 | 25.2 | 10.3 | 27.9 | 11.8 | 19.7 | 22.9 | 21.0 | 21.9 | 19.4 | 8.7 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 3.6 | 6.8 |
|  | med | 134.4 | 77.9 | 52.5 | 54.2 | 27.7 | 13.0 | 30.6 | 12.8 | 28.3 | 24.3 | 22.2 | 23.9 | 20.7 | 10.2 | 5.4 | 8.0 | 8.9 | 6.4 | 8.4 |
|  | n | 16 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |

between the origin and the insertion of the fin; length of the anterior and posterior barbels as distance from the barbel base to the tip of the barbel when straightened; horizontal diameter of the eye as a distance between the anterior and the posterior bony margins of the eye cavity; width of the mouth as a distance between the two posterior ends of the lower jaw; interorbital distance as a distance between the upper margins of the eye cavities across the head. For comparison between species, all measurements are expressed as percentage of SL. To attenuate effects of allometric growth, only specimens in the size range between 50 mm SL and 150 mm SL were used for the box-plots and the data given in Table 1.

In addition, seven meristic characters were analysed. The last branched anal- and dorsal-fin rays were counted as one when lying directly adjacent to each other without an interspace. Scales in the lateral line were counted from the first scale with a pore to the last scale on the caudal peduncle (some authors only count to the end of the hypural plate). Scales above the lateral line were counted between the origin of the dorsal fin and the lateral line; the lateral line was not included and a scale on the mid-line of the back was counted as 0.5 . Scales below the lateral line were counted between origin of the anal fin to the lateral line; the lateral line was not included and a scale on the mid-line of the belly was counted as 0.5 . Scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle were counted as one circle of scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle. Number of pairs of barbels was counted as two when anterior and posterior pairs are present, counted as one if only posterior pair is present and counted as 1.5 if posterior pair and one single anterior barbel is present.

For counting the number of pharyngeal teeth, the pharyngeal bones were extracted in a subsample of specimens and the pharyngeal teeth counted sometimes only on one side. Lost teeth were counted when the point of insertion was clearly visible. Scales were extracted in the anterior part of the body, above the lateral line.

We did not differentiate between male and female specimens because sex determination was not possible without dissection.

## Results

Genus Carasobarbus Karaman, 1971
http://species-id.net/wiki/Carasobarbus
Carasobarbus Karaman 1971: 230; type species: Systomus luteus Heckel, 1843, by original designation, also by monotypy.
Kosswigobarbus Karaman 1971: 239; type species: Cyclocheilichthys kosswigi Ladiges, 1960, by original designation, also by monotypy.
Pseudotor Karaman 1971: 229; type species: Barbus fritschii Günther, 1874, by original designation.

Diagnosis. Medium-sized cyprinids with an ossified, smooth last unbranched dorsalfin ray; 9 or 10 branched dorsal-fin rays and 6 branched anal-fin rays; large, shield-
shaped scales with numerous parallel radii; the lateral line with 25 to 39 scales; the pharyngeal teeth hooked at their tips, their count being 2.3.5-5.3.2 or 2.3.4-4.3.2; 1 or 2 pairs of barbels present.

Carasobarbus species are evolutionarily hexaploid (Machordom and Doadrio 2001, Gorshkova et al. 2002, Leggatt and Iwama 2003, Tsigenopoulos et al. 2010).

Remarks and discussion. 'Barbus' grypus, Mesopotamichthys sharpeyi and 'Barbus' reinii from the Middle East have five branched rays in the anal fin. The hexaploid species from Africa (Labeobarbus and Varicorhinus), which are the sister group to Carasobarbus and the other species from the Middle East (Tsigenopoulos et al. 2010, KB unpublished), have five branched rays in the anal fin. The Asian species (Tor and Neolissochilus) are sister group to the species from Africa and the Middle East (Tsigenopoulos et al. 2010, KB unpublished) and have five branched rays in the anal fin. By application of the parsimony principle the possession of six branched anal-fin rays is a synapomorphy of the genus Carasobarbus. The possession of nine to 10 branched rays in the dorsal fin is synapomorphic for Carasobarbus, because the closely related Middle-Eastern species 'Barbus' grypus, Mesopotamichthys sharpeyi and 'Barbus' reinii as well as many African hexaploids have the plesiomorphic state of eight branched rays in the dorsal fin. However, in some African species the number of branched dorsal-fin rays is increased convergently. These two synapomorphies establish Carasobarbus as a monophyletic group. Analyses of the mitochondrial cytochrome $b$ gene confirm the monophyly of this genus (Durand et al. 2002, Tsigenopoulos et al. 2010, KB unpublished data). Colli et al. (2009) found Carasobarbus to be monophyletic in their maximum likelihood analysis but not in their neighbour joining analysis. 'Barbus' grypus Heckel, 1843 is the sister taxon of the genus Carasobarbus (Tsigenopoulos et al. 2010).

Out of the generic names Barbellion, Barbus, Barynotus, Capoeta, Carasobarbus, Cyclocheilichthys, Kosswigobarbus, Labeobarbus, Luciobarbus, Pseudotor, Puntius, Systomus, Tor, and Varicorhinus that were used for this taxon - or its parts - by previous authors, only Carasobarbus, Kosswigobarbus and Pseudotor are available for the genus in question. All other generic names have not been considered, because their type species are not closely related to the species under discussion here (Durand et al. 2002, Tsigenopoulos et al. 2010, KB unpublished data) or do not share the characters mentioned above. Carasobarbus, Kosswigobarbus and Pseudotor are subjective synonyms. They all were established in the same publication (Karaman 1971) and thus none of them has priority. We, acting as First Reviser, select Carasobarbus to have priority in accordance with article 24.2 of the International Code for Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN 2012). Thus Carasobarbus is the valid name for this genus.

Within the genus, several species share characters that are potentially synapomorph and elucidate sister group relations. Carasobarbus fritschii and C. harterti both have pharyngeal bones with four teeth in the medial row. This character is probably synapomorph, because all other congeners have five teeth in the medial row. This group corresponds to Pseudotor. Carasobarbus kosswigi and C. sublimus share the possession of a spatulate lower jaw and a median lobe on the lower lip. The spatulate lower jaw is synapomorph, because no congener and no other closely related species shares this
character. The close phylogenetic relationship between C. kosswigi and C. sublimus is confirmed by genetic analysis (Borkenhagen et al. 2011). These two species correspond to Kosswigobarbus.

Carasobarbus apoensis (Banister \& Clarke, 1977)
http://species-id.net/wiki/Carasobarbus_apoensis
Barbus apoensis Banister and Clarke 1977: 113.

Material. Type material. Holotype of Barbus apoensis: BMNH 1976.4.7:166, Saudi Arabia, permanent stream near Khamīs Mushayt (N18 ${ }^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$, E42 $\left.2^{\circ} 34^{\prime}\right)$, F. Tippler, 12 Dec 1968.

Paratypes of Barbus apoensis: BMNH 1976.4.7:167-171, 5, same data as holotype. - BMNH 1976.4.7:172-175, 4, Saudi Arabia, upper Wādī Turabah near Aț Țā’if (N22ํ 56', E4054'), G. Popov. - BMNH 1971.2.11:1-2, 2, Saudi Arabia, intermittent watercourse in Wādī Adamah (N1953', E4157'), J. P. Mandaville, 27 Oct 1969.

Non-type material. Endorheic darinages. BMNH 1980.7.1:15, 1, Saudi Arabia, Wādī Habayaba between Aț Ț, ā’if and Ash Shafā [N21¹1', E 40²4'], A. Farag, 1980. - SMF 30167, 3; SMF 30170, 10 Saudi Arabia, Wādī Būwah (N20ํ.45', E41¹8'), F. Krupp and W. Schneider, 21 Mar 1990. - SMF 30169, 6; SMF 33147, 4, Saudi Arabia, Wādī Būwah (N20ㄴ4', E417'), F. Krupp and W. Schneider, 21 Mar 1990. - SMF 30168, 6; SMF 30171, 9, Saudi Arabia, Wādī Turabah (N20³2', E41¹7'), F. Krupp and W. Schneider, 20 Mar 1990.

Streams draining towards the Red Sea. CMNFI 87-0135, 1; CMNFI 87-0137, 4, Saudi Arabia, Wādī Hadīyah (N25³4', E38º41'). - SMF 33149, 1, Saudi Arabia, Wādī Hुaqqaq (N22ำ4', E39²2'), W. Büttiker, 5/6 May 1983. - SMF 33148, 2, Saudi Arabia, Wādī 'Ilyab (N205', E4054'), H. Felemban and J. Gasparetti, 28 Oct 1983. - SMF 33539, 3, Saudi Arabia, Wādī ‘Ilyab (N207', E4057), W. Büttiker, 10-11 Nov 1983.

Unknown drainage system. SMF 33146, 4, Saudi Arabia, Al Ḩijāz, W. Büttiker.
Diagnosis. One pair of barbels, usually 10 branched rays in the dorsal fin, 27 to 32 scales in the lateral line, usually 12 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle, last unbranched ray of dorsal fin shorter than head.

Description. The body depth is comparatively low and a nuchal hump is present in adults but not developed in juveniles. The height of the caudal peduncle is relatively low (Table 1). The dorsal and ventral fins are usually positioned behind the middle of the body. The head is elongate with a straight or slightly concave dorsal profile. The ventral profile of the head is slightly convex. (Figs 1, 2). The head length is about equal to the body depth. The mouth is broad and terminal or slightly sub-terminal with one pair of barbels (Fig. 3, Table 2). Only one out of 65 specimens had two pairs of barbels and in one specimen a single anterior barbel was present. The eyes are in the anterior half of the head and slightly protuberant. The morphometric characters are summarised in Table 1.


Figure I. Carasobarbus apoensis, holotype (BMNH 1976.4.7:166) from a permanent stream near Khamīs Mushayt, ${ }^{\circ}$ The Natural History Museum, London.


Figure 2. Carasobarbus apoensis, live specimen from Wâdī Turabah.

The dorsal fin and its base are rather short. It usually has four unbranched and 10 branched rays (Table 3). The last unbranched ray is considerably shorter than the head (Fig. 4), weakly ossified, and its distal part is flexible. The anal fin has three unbranched and six branched rays (Table 4). Pectoral and ventral fins are relatively short (Table 1).

Carasobarbus apoensis has 27 to 32 scales in the lateral line (Table 5), usually 4.5 scales above the lateral line (Table 6), 3.5 or 4.5 scales below the lateral line (Table 7) and 12 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle (Table 8). The scales are shown in Fig. 5.

The pharyngeal teeth count is 2.3 .5 - in 12 specimens, -5.3 .2 in two specimens and 1.3.5- in one specimen. The pharyngeal teeth are hooked at their tips (Fig. 6).

Live colouration is golden with olive fins. The upper side is darker than the belly (Fig. 2). In ethanol-preserved specimens the upper side is dark, the belly yellow and the fins are grey or yellow (Fig. 1). Juveniles have a dark lateral spot on the caudal peduncle.

The maximum length observed in the material examined is 288 mm SL.


Figure 3. Ventral view of the head and chest. A C. apoensis (SMF 30167, 108.6 mm SL ) B C. canis (SMF 33135, 108.3 mm SL ) C C. chantrei (SMF 33133, 122.9 mm SL ) D C. exulatus (SMF 33109, 103.7 mm SL ) E C. fritschii (SMF 33446, 89.6 mm SL$)$ F C. harterti (SMF 33368, 93.6 mm SL ) G C. kosswigi (SMF 30173, 107.1 mm SL ) H C. luteus (SMF 30176, 120.7 mm SL ) I C. sublimus (SMF 33118, 80.2 mm SL), pictures resized to facilitate comparison.

Table 2. Number of pairs of barbels.

|  | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. apoensis | 65 | 63 | 1 | 1 |
| C. canis | 89 | 4 | 1 | 84 |
| C. chantrei | 157 | 5 | 6 | 146 |
| C. exulatus | 83 |  |  | 83 |
| C. fritschii | 299 | 2 |  | 297 |
| C. harterti | 30 |  |  | 30 |
| C. kosswigi | 23 |  |  | 23 |
| C. luteus | 421 | 365 | 9 | 47 |
| Naband population | 10 |  |  | 10 |
| C. sublimus | 18 |  |  | 18 |



Figure 4. Last unbranched dorsal-fin ray length / head length; TES = Tigris-Euphrates system.

Carasobarbus apoensis differs from all congeners, except C. luteus, by having one rather than two pairs of barbels. For a comparison with C. luteus populations see below.

Distribution. Carasobarbus apoensis occurs in the Al Ḩijāz mountain range in wadis draining either inland or towards the Red Sea (Fig. 7). It is endemic to Saudi Arabia.

Habitats and biology. This species inhabits the upper courses of wadis, which are characterised by strong seasonal fluctuations in water levels, temperature and other physiochemical parameters.

Table 3. Number of branched dorsal-fin rays.

|  | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. apoensis | 66 |  |  | 2 | 63 | 1 |
| C. canis | 90 |  |  | 5 | 85 |  |
| C. chantrei | 196 |  |  | 21 | 164 | 11 |
| C. exulatus | 110 |  | 8 | 99 | 3 |  |
| C. fritschii | 297 | 1 | 23 | 268 | 5 |  |
| C. harterti | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  |
| C. kosswigi | 23 |  |  | 3 | 20 |  |
| C. luteus | 441 |  | 1 | 23 | 411 | 6 |
| Naband population | 10 |  |  | 1 | 9 |  |
| C. sublimus | 18 |  |  | 2 | 16 |  |



Figure 5. Striation pattern of scales taken from anteriour part of the boby above lateral line. A C. apoensis B C. canis $\mathbf{C}$ C. chantrei $\mathbf{D}$ C. exulatus $\mathbf{E}$ C. fritschii $\mathbf{F}$ C. harterti $\mathbf{G}$ C. kosswigi $\mathbf{H}$ C. luteus I C. sublimus.

Conservation status. Carasobarbus apoensis is rated Least Concern and still occurs in large numbers, but abstraction of large specimens by recreational fishing, water abstraction and habitat loss might become problematic for this species (BCEAW 2002).

Remarks and discussion. Carasobarbus apoensis was originally described from Khamīs Mushayt, Wādī Turabah and Wādī Adamah as a member of the genus Barbus (Banister and Clarke 1977). It was later transferred to the genus Carasobarbus (Ekmekçi and Banarescu 1998). Alkahem and Behnke (1983) reported an unknown Barbus and tentatively considered these specimens to be atypical C. apoensis. We did not find any evidence of an undescribed Carasobarbus species that occurs sympatrically with C. apoensis, thus we agree with their conclusion.

Carasobarbus apoensis is very closely related to C. luteus (KB unpublished data).

## Carasobarbus canis (Valenciennes in Cuvier and Valenciennes 1842)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Carasobarbus_canis
Barbus canis Valenciennes in Cuvier and Valenciennes 1842: 186.
Barbus beddomii Günther 1868: 110.

Material. Type material. Lectotype of Barbus canis: MNHN 0000-1413, 1, Jordan River [N3146', E35³3'], Bové, 1833 (designated by Krupp and Schneider 1989).

Paralectotype of Barbus canis: MNHN 0000-3944, 1, same data as lectotype.

Table 4. Number of branched anal-fin rays.

|  | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. apoensis | 65 |  | 65 |  |
| C. canis | 90 | 2 | 88 |  |
| C. chantrei | 197 | 3 | 194 |  |
| C. exulatus | 109 | 3 | 106 |  |
| C. fritschii | 296 | 3 | 293 |  |
| C. harterti | 30 |  | 29 | 1 |
| C. kosswigi | 23 |  | 23 |  |
| C. luteus | 439 | 3 | 435 | 1 |
| Naband population | 10 |  | 10 |  |
| C. sublimus | 18 |  | 18 |  |



Figure 6. Pharyngeal bone. A C. apoensis (SMF 30168, 190.1 mm SL ) B C. canis (SMF 30175, 168.7 mm SL ) C C. chantrei (SMF 33133, 165.9 mm SL ) D C. exulatus (SMF 33107, 170.1 mm SL ) E C. fritschii (SMF 33405, 147.2 mm SL ) F C. harterti (SMF 33396, 105.9 mm SL ) G C. kosswigi (SMF 30174, 141.5 mm SL) H C. luteus (SMF 30179, 143.4 mm SL). Scale bar $=3 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Holotype of Barbus beddomii: BMNH 1863.11.3:5, 1, Lake Tiberias [N32${ }^{\circ} 48^{\prime}$, E35 ${ }^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ ], T. W. Beddome.

Non-type material. Jordan River Drainage. SMF 14075, 2, Lake Tiberias (N32 $48^{\prime}$, E35º35'), M. Goren, 15 Mar 1968. - SMF 33134, 16, Syria, Nahr al Yarmūk near Jallayn (N3244'21", E3558'56"), N. Alwan et al., 16 Oct 2008. - SMF 24464, 1, Jordan, Nahr al Yarmūk near Maqārin (N32우', E3553'), F. Krupp and W. Schneider, 23 Sep 1985. - SMF 30175, 11, Syria, Lake Muzayrib [N32 $42^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$, E36 $\left.{ }^{\circ} 1^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}\right]$, F. Krupp and W. Schneider, 12 Apr 1989. - SMF 33135, 17, Jordan, Wadi al-'Arab near the dam (N32우' $6^{\prime \prime}$, E35³ $37^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}$ ), N. Alwan et al., 25 Oct 2008. - SMF 17123, 16, Wādī al Yābis (N32ㅇ́', E35 ${ }^{\circ} 36^{\prime}$ ), F. Krupp and W. Schneider, 23 Jul 1980. - ZMH H 2343, 3, Jordan, Wādī Kufrinjah (N32º $16^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$, E35 ${ }^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ ). SMF 24344, 3; SMF 24345, 17, Jordan, Nahr az Zarqā’ (N32¹2', E35 ${ }^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ ), F. Krupp and W. Schneider, 22 Jul 1980. - SMF 24339, 3, Jordan, Nahr az Zarqā’ (N32 ${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$, E35³7'), F. Krupp and W. Schneider, 21 Jul 1980. - SMF 24340, 1, Jordan, Nahr az Zarqā’ near Sadd al Malik Talal (N32 ${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$, E35 ${ }^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ ), F. Krupp and W. Schneider, 22 Jul 1980. - SMF 24331, 7; SMF 24346, 3, Jordan, Nahr al Yarmūk channel (N32º ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime}$, E35³6'), F. Krupp and W. Schneider, 21 Jul 1980. - NMW 53961, 1, Jordan River [N31²46', E353'], Cenoni, Dec 1898.

Azraq Oasis. BMNH 1956.2.24:15-16, 2; BMNH 1965.11.24:2, 1, Jordan, wetland near Azraq ash Shīshān [N3150', E36 ${ }^{\circ} 49^{\prime}$ ].

Coastal rivers of the Mediterranean Sea. BMNH 1949.9.16:124, 1, Israel, Nahal Na'aman [N32 $\left.{ }^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}, E 35^{\circ} 4^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}\right]$. - NMW 22367, 1, Israel, Nahal Na'aman [N3254'42", E354'50"], H. Steinitz, 21 Oct 1955. - SMF 9229, 1, Israel, Nabal


Diagnosis. Two pairs of barbels, 29 to 35 scales in the lateral line and usually 12 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle, last unbranched ray of dorsal fin shorter than head.

Description. The body is low. A nuchal hump is present in adults but absent in juveniles. The largest body depth is at the origin of the dorsal fin. The head is long, rather low and fairly narrow with straight dorsal and convex ventral profile (Figs 8, 9). The head length approximately equals the body depth. The mouth is terminal or slightly subterminal. Two pairs of barbels are present (Table 2). The lips are smooth and thin (Fig. 3). The eyes are at the end of the anterior half of the head. The morphometric characters are summarised in Table 1.

Pectoral, ventral, dorsal and anal fins are comparatively short (Table 1). The dorsal fin usually has four unbranched and 10 branched rays (Table 3). The last unbranched ray is ossified and its distal part is flexible. It is usually markedly shorter than the head (Fig. 4). The anal fin usually has three unbranched and six branched fin rays (Table 4).

There are 29 to 35 scales in the lateral line (Table 5), usually 4.5 or 5.5 scales above the lateral line (Table 6), usually 4.5 scales below the lateral line (Table 7) and usually 12 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle (Table 8). The scales are shown in Fig. 5.

Table 5. Lateral line scale count.

|  | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. apoensis | 60 |  |  | 1 | 9 | 15 | 20 | 14 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. canis | 74 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 16 | 19 | 12 | 13 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| C. chantrei | 168 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 11 | 31 | 48 | 36 | 29 | 7 | 1 |  |
| C. exulatus | 79 |  | 1 | 3 | 17 | 18 | 24 | 13 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. fritschii | 264 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 12 | 21 | 39 | 75 | 58 | 36 | 15 | 4 | 3 |
| C. arterti | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 5 | 9 | 4 | 4 |  | 1 |  |
| C. kosswigi | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 5 |  | 1 |  |
| C. luteus | 390 | 11 | 52 | 79 | 120 | 84 | 29 | 9 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Naband <br> population | 8 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. sublimus | 11 |  |  | 4 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The pharyngeal teeth count is 2.3.5-5.3.2 in 23 specimens, 2.3.3-5.3.2 in one specimen, 2.3.5- in one specimen and -5.3.2 in one specimen. The pharyngeal teeth are hooked at their tips (Fig. 6).

Live specimens are silvery to bronze coloured. The posterior third of the body and the fins are distinctly yellow in many specimens (Fig. 9). Ethanol-preserved specimens are brownish yellow and the back is only slightly darker than the rest of the body (Fig. 8). The fins are brownish yellow. Juveniles have a dark lateral spot on the caudal peduncle.

Carasobarbus canis differs from C. apoensis and C. luteus in having two pairs of barbels vs. one, from C. kosswigi and C. sublimus in having a crescent-shaped lower lip without median lobe vs. a spatulate lower lip with median lobe, from C. exulatus in modally having 10 branched dorsal-fin rays vs. nine and from C. chantrei, C. fritschii and $C$. harterti in modally having 10 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle vs. 14 or 16 .

Distribution. Carasobarbus canis occurs in the Jordan River system (Fig. 7). There are only few records from coastal rivers of the Mediterranean Sea (Nahal Na'aman and Nahal Yarqon). A recent treatment of the inland water fish communities of Israel does not report C. canis from coastal rivers (Goren and Ortal 1999). The population in the Azraq Oasis was introduced by humans (Krupp and Schneider 1989). Since the year 2000 this species was not found in Azraq (Hamidan 2004) and the population may have disappeared due to drought. Records from the Tigris-Euphrates system (Banister 1980) are based on misidentifications.

Habitats and biology. Carasobarbus canis inhabits a wide range of rivers, lakes and ponds (Goren 1974) with clean as well as polluted water (Mir 1990). Adults reach a length of about 40 cm (max. 66 cm ) and are of economic importance locally (annual catch in Israel 1970-85 about 50 t , Fishelson et al. 1996). It feeds on fish, aquatic invertebrates, algae and detritus (Ben-Tuvia 1978, Spataru and Gophen 1985, Krupp and Schneider 1989). The relative proportion of fish in the diet increases with body length and small cyprinids of the genus Mirogrex are their most important prey (Spataru and Gophen 1985). The spawning grounds are (among others) at the shore of Lake Tiberias where the spawn-


Figure 7. Map of the distribution of C. apoensis, C. canis, C. chantrei, C. exulatus, C. kosswigi, C. luteus, and $C$. sublimus.
ing occurs in shallow water over hard bottom in December and January, one month after the start of the rainy season (Fishelson et al. 1996). The sticky eggs attach to the substrate. Winter spawning is seen as evidence for an origin in cooler areas (Fishelson et al. 1996).

Conservation status. Catches in Lake Tiberias are declining (Fishelson et al. 1996). The species is rated Least Concern by the IUCN (Crivelli 2006a). The population in Lake Tiberias does not face serious threats; the riverine populations are declining and threatened by pollution, water extraction, drought and fragmentation due to damming (Crivelli 2006a).

Table 6. Number of scales above the lateral line.

|  | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. apoensis | 60 |  | 2 | 45 | 7 | 6 |  |  |  |
| C. canis | 82 |  |  | 48 | 11 | 20 | 3 |  |  |
| C. chantrei | 171 |  |  | 4 | 1 | 147 | 6 | 13 |  |
| C. exulatus | 79 |  | 3 | 70 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |
| C. fritschii | 276 |  |  | 15 |  | 226 |  | 35 |  |
| C. harterti | 28 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 24 |  |
| C. kosswigi | 21 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 5 | 7 | 1 |
| C. luteus | 389 | 6 | 2 | 315 | 19 | 46 | 1 |  |  |
| Naband population | 8 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. sublimus | 17 |  |  | 16 |  | 1 |  |  |  |



Figure 8. Carasobarbus canis, lectotype (MNHN 1413) from Jordan River.


Figure 9. Carasobarbus canis, live specimen from Wadi al-Arab.

Remarks and discussion. Carasobarbus canis was described from the Jordan River as a member of the genus Barbus (Cuvier and Valenciennes 1842). Later it was assigned to Luciobarbus (Heckel 1843), and Labeobarbus (Günther 1864). Subsequently it was transfered back to Barbus (Günther 1868) and then placed in Tor (Karaman 1971, Banarescu 1977), Barbus (Banister and Clarke 1977, Krupp 1983a), Carasobar-

Table 7. Number of scales below the lateral line.

|  | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. apoensis | 57 |  | 14 |  | 41 |  | 2 |  |  |
| C. canis | 80 |  | 2 | 3 | 65 | 1 | 9 |  |  |
| C. chantrei | 173 |  |  | 1 | 84 | 3 | 84 | 1 |  |
| C. exulatus | 79 |  | 24 | 1 | 51 | 3 |  |  |  |
| C. fritschii | 286 |  | 7 | 3 | 151 | 5 | 117 | 1 | 2 |
| C. harterti | 29 |  | 1 |  | 10 |  | 18 |  |  |
| C. kosswigi | 23 |  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 15 |  | 1 |
| C. luteus | 384 | 2 | 125 | 16 | 231 | 9 | 1 |  |  |
| Naband population | 8 |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| C. sublimus | 17 |  | 1 |  | 13 | 1 | 2 |  |  |

bus (Ekmekçi and Banarescu 1998) and Barbus subgenus Carasobarbus (Tsigenopoulos et al. 2010). MNHN 0000-1413 was designated as lectotype (Krupp and Schneider 1989). Barbus beddomii is considered to be a junior synonym of C. canis (Berg 1949, Karaman 1971, Krupp and Schneider 1989).

## Carasobarbus chantrei (Sauvage, 1882)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Carasobarbus_chantrei
Labeobarbus chantrei Sauvage 1882: 165.
Barynotus verhoeff Battalgil 1942: 292.
Material. Type material. Lectotype of Labeobarbus chantrei: MNHN A-3866, Turkey, Amik Gölü [N36 ${ }^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$, E36 ${ }^{\circ} 9^{\prime}$ 26"], H. Chantre, 1881 (designated by Krupp 1985a).

Paralectotypes of Labeobarbus chantrei: MNHN A-3937, 1, same data as lectotype. - MNHN A-3938, 2; MNHN A-3939, 3; MNHN A-3940, 1, Syria, Hamāh [ $\mathrm{N} 35^{\circ} \mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}^{\prime \prime}$, E36 $\left.6^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}\right]$, H. Chantre, 1881.

Non-type material. Orontes River drainage. MNHN B-2977, 1, Syria, Orontes, A. Gruvel, 1829. - BMNH 1934.1.25:4, 1, Syria, Orontes. - FSJF 2311, 11, Turkey, Karasu Çayı below dam of Tahtaköprü Barajı (N36 ${ }^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 7^{\prime \prime}$, E36 $\left.41^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}\right)$, M. Özulug and J. Freyhof, 7 Nov 2007. - SMF 17115, 8, Turkey, Orontes, 8 km E of Hatay (N36 ${ }^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$, $\mathrm{E} 36^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ ), J. Winkler and B. Koster, 20 Sep 1982. - CMNFI 88-0019, 1, Turkey, 8 km southwest of Hatay (N36 ${ }^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$, E36 $6^{\circ}$ '). - SMF 17110, 4, Turkey, tributary to Orontes (N36 ${ }^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$, E36 ${ }^{\circ} 3^{\prime}$ ), F. Krupp, 23 Aug 1978. - SMF 17122, 2, Turkey, 2 km southeast of Samandağı ( $\mathrm{N} 36^{\circ} 6^{\prime}$, E35 ${ }^{\circ} 58^{\prime}$ ), F. Krupp, 23 Aug 1978. - FSJF uncatalogued, 16, Turkey, at Sinanlı (N36 ${ }^{\circ}$ '51'", E36 $6^{\circ} 4^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ ), M. Özulug and J. Freyhof, 8 Nov 2007. - SMF 33130, 40, Syria, near Mashra'a el Būz (N3557'3", E36²3'45"), N. Alwan et al., 8 Oct 2008. - SMF 33131, 58, Syria, 'Ayn az Zarqa (N3556'40", E36 ${ }^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 9^{\prime \prime}$ ), N. Alwan et al., 8 Oct 2008. - SMF 17107, 1, Syria, Jisr ash Shughūr (N3548', E36 ${ }^{\circ} 19^{\prime}$ ), F. Krupp, 20 Aug 1980. - SMF 17109, 2, Syria, main bridge at

Table 8. Number of scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle.

|  | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. apoensis | 60 |  |  | 58 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. canis | 85 |  |  | 80 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. chantrei | 168 |  |  | 4 | 7 | 110 | 27 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| C. exulatus | 87 | 1 | 6 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. fritschii | 253 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 12 | 212 | 26 | 23 |  | 1 |
| C. harterti | 28 |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 3 | 18 | 1 |  |  |  |
| C. kosswigi | 21 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| C. luteus | 408 | 3 | 2 | 399 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Naband population | 9 |  |  | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. sublimus | 17 |  |  | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Jisr ash Shughūr (N3548', E36º 19'), F. Krupp, 19 Aug 1978. - CMNFI 88-0018, 4, Syria, 'Ayn Zaqa (N35 ${ }^{\circ} 7^{\prime}$ ', E36²3'). - SMF 17114, 1; SMF 17121, 7, Syria, 'Ayn Zaqa (N35²7', E36²3'), F. Krupp, 25-27 Mar 1979. - BMNH 1968.12.13:188190, 3, Syria, spring lake at Qal'at al Maḍīq [N35²5', E36²3']. - SMF 17120, 7, Syria, aquaculture pond near Qal'at al Mad̦īq (N35 ${ }^{\circ} 25^{\prime}$, E36 $6^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ ), F. Krupp, 8 Aug 1978. - SMF 33132, 5, Syria, stream at Qal'at al Jarras (N35 ${ }^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$, E36º $18^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime}$ ), N. Alwan et al., 12 Oct 2008. - SMF 17111, 6, Syria, 'Ašārna (N35¹7', E36¹9'), F. Krupp, 11 Aug 1978. - SMF 17117, 5, Syria, near Shayzar (N35¹6', E36³4'), F. Krupp, 27 Mar 1979. - SMF 24349, 3, Syria, Shayzar (N35¹6', E36³4'), F. Krupp and W. Schneider, 17 Aug 1980. - SMF 17118, 1, Syria, 200 m below western outlet of Lake Homs (N3440', E36³7'), F. Krupp and W. Schneider, 3 Aug 1978. - SMF 17119, 5, Syria, western outlet of Lake Homs (N3440', E36³7'), F. Krupp and W. Schneider, 3 Aug 1978. - SMF 33133, 24, Syria, Lake Homs at Qaț̦īnah (N34³9'43", E36³7'6"), N. Alwan et al., 13 Oct 2008.

Mediterranean coastal rivers. SMF 31669, 1; SMF 31670, 1, Syria, Nahr Marqīyah (N35¹'50', E3554'18'), N. Alwan et al., 10 Oct 2008.

Tigris-Euphrates system. SMF 12966, 1, Turkey, Balıklıgöl at Şanlıurfa [N370'52', E3847'4'], L. Lortet, 1884.

Diagnosis. Two pairs of barbels, 31 to 38 scales in the lateral line and usually 14 to 16 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle, last unbranched dorsal-fin ray equal to or shorter than head.

Description. The body is comparatively high-backed and laterally compressed in mid-sized specimens but low-backed and almost cylindrical in large specimens. In large specimens a pronounced nuchal hump is present, in smaller specimens it is only weakly developed or absent. The maximum body depth is at the origin of the dorsal fin. The head is short and blunt with a convex ventral profile and a slightly convex to straight dorsal profile (Figs 10, 11). The mouth is terminal or slightly sub-terminal with two pairs of short barbels (Table 2). The body depth is usually greater than the head length (Fig. 12).The eyes are slightly protuberant and lie at the end of the anterior half of the head. The morphometric characters are summarised in Table 1.


Figure 10. Carasobarbus chantrei, paralectotype (MNHN A-3939) from Orontes at Hamāh.


Figure I I. Carasobarbus chantrei, live specimen from Buḩayratt Qațָ̦̄̄nah.

The dorsal fin usually has four unbranched and nine to 11 branched rays (Table 3). The last unbranched ray is ossified but not very thick and flexible in its distal part. It is usually shorter than the head (Fig. 4). The anal fin usually has three unbranched and five or six branched rays (Table 4).

There are 31 to 38 scales in the lateral line (Table 5), 4.5 to 6.5 scales above the lateral line (Table 6), four to six scales below the lateral line (Table 7) and 12 to 16 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle (Table 8). The scales are shown in Fig. 5.

The pharyngeal teeth count is $2.3 .5-5.3 .2$ in two specimens, 2.3 .5 - in 11 specimens, -5.3 .2 in two specimens and 1.3.5- in one specimen. The pharyngeal teeth are hooked at their tips (Fig. 6).

Small live specimens are silvery; larger specimens are silvery or bronze coloured and sometimes have yellow pectoral and ventral fins (Fig. 11). Small ethanol-preserved specimens are silvery with a somewhat darker back and a salmon pink hue. Juveniles have a dark lateral spot on the caudal peduncle. Ethanol-preserved adults are yellowbrown and the back is only slightly darker than the rest of the body (Fig. 10).


Figure 12. Head length / body depth; TES = Tigris-Euphrates system.

The maximum length observed in the material examined is 385 mm SL.
Carasobarbus chantrei differs from C. apoensis, C. canis, C. exulatus, C. luteus and C. sublimus in having 31 to 38 scales in the lateral line vs. 27 to 32,29 to 35,26 to 32 , 25 to 33 and 27 to 29 respectively and modally 14 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle vs. 12. It differs from C. kosswigi and C. sublimus in having a crescent-shaped lower lip without median lobe vs. a spatulate lower lip with median lobe and from C. exulatus, C. fritschii and C. harterti in modally having 10 branched dorsal-fin rays vs. nine.

Distribution. Carasobarbus chantrei occurs in the Orontes river drainage system (Fig. 7). Two juvenile specimens where collected in Nahr Marqiyah, a coastal river in Syria. This species had never before been reported from this location (Krupp 1985a) and it has most likely been introduced by humans. Two potential records from Nahr Quwayq (MNHN A-3861, MGHN 3554) are discussed in Krupp (1985a, c). Locality data for MHNL 3554 are ambiguous (Krupp 1985a). The locality for MNHN A-3861 is given as "Syria, Aleppo" in Krupp (1985a) and considered to be from Nahr Quwayq. The collection database of the MNHN gives "Origine: Syrie, localité: Alep, Milieu: Continent, Bassin hydrologique: Asi, Cours d'eau: Asi" as locality. As these data are contradictory, it is likely that the specimens do not come from the Nahr Quwayq, but from the Orontes (=Asi) and C. chantrei does probably not occur in the Nahr Quwayq. A record from the Ceyhan Nehri (Krupp 1985c) is not backed by specimens. Records from the

Tigris-Euphrates basin are misidentified C. luteus (Krupp 1985a, Krupp and Schneider 1991) and the specimen from Balıklıgöl at Şanlıurfa in Turkey (SMF 12966) is probably mislabelled or was introduced there (Krupp 1985a). It is not included in the map.

Habitats and biology. Carasobarbus chantrei occurs in a wide range of habitats stretching from stagnant waters of lakes to rapidly flowing river courses.

Conservation status. Carasobarbus chantrei is utilised as food fish locally but is increasingly replaced by carp (Krupp 1985a). During a field survey in Syria in 2008, the species was still abundant in parts of the Orontes. However, large stretches of this river, especially in the Al Ghāb area, suffer heavily from water abstraction and pollution by sewage and domestic waste and are devoid of fish. The species is rated "Endangered B1ab(ii,iii)" by the IUCN (Crivelli 2006b). The main threat is habitat degradation due to water extraction, pollution and drought (Crivelli 2006b).

Remarks and discussion. Carasobarbus chantrei was described from the Orontes and placed in Labeobarbus by Sauvage (1882). He transferred it to Barbus two years later (Sauvage 1884). In 1942 Barynotus verhoeffi was described from Amik Gölü, Turkey (Battalgil 1942). Ladiges (1960) erroneously synonymised B. verhoeffi with C. canis. Karaman (1971) synonymised C. chantrei with C. canis and thus transferred it to the genus Tor (sensu Karaman 1971). Fowler (1976) transferred Barynotus verhoeffi to the genus Barbellion. In 1985 Krupp redescribed C. chantrei as a valid species and provisionally placed it into the genus Barbus sensu lato. He found the type series to be inhomogeneous (MNHN B-2889 are 'Barbus'grypus) and designated MNHN A-3866 as lectotype of C. chantrei (Krupp 1985a). The 'Catalog of Fishes' does not list MNHN B-2889 as types for C. chantrei (Eschmeyer 2011). Ekmekçi and Banarescu (1998) transferred the species to the genus Carasobarbus. Tsigenopoulos et al. (2010) used Barbus subgenus Carasobarbus.

## Carasobarbus exulatus (Banister \& Clarke, 1977)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Carasobarbus_exulatus
Barbus exulatus Banister and Clarke 1977: 116.

Material. Type material. Holotype of Barbus exulatus: BMNH 1976.4.7:299, Yemen, Wādī Ḩaḑramawt at Qasam (N16¹0', E49́'), W. A. King-Webster.

Paratypes of Barbus exulatus: BMNH 1976.4.7:308, 1; BMNH 1976.4.7:300307, 8, same data as holotype. - BMNH 1976.4.7:328-329, 2; BMNH 1976.4.7:330331, 2, Yemen, Wādī 'Idim/Wādī Ḩad̦ramawt at Ghuraf (N160', E490'), W. A. King-Webster. - BMNH 1976.4.7:309, 1; BMNH 1976.4.7:310-318, 9; BMNH 1976.4.7:319-327, 9, Yemen, Wādī Ḩad̦ramawt at Ghayl 'Umar (N1544', E48º51'), W. A. King-Webster. - BMNH 1976.4.7:332-333 probably Wādī Marrān in Wādī Ahwar system [N1353'51", E4605'14"], G. Popov, 2 Aug 1962.

Non-type material. Wādī Ḩaḑramawt/al Masillah drainage. BMNH 1976.5.17:910, 2, Yemen, Wādī al Khūn (N16º $10^{\prime}$, E49ํ $10^{\prime}$ ). - SMF 33108, 10, Yemen, Wādī al

Khūn (N169'51", E496́2"), F. Krupp et al., 3 Jun 2005. - SMF 33109, 17, Yemen, Wādī al Khūn (N169'45", E494'46"), F. Krupp et al., 3 Jun 2005. - SMF 33110,
 al., 4 Jun 2005. - SMF 33111, 1, Yemen, Wādī al Masilah at al Hind (N1544'53", E50²4'32'), F. Krupp et al., 5 Jun 2005. - SMF 33106, 8, Yemen, Wādī 'Idim at Ghayl 'Umar near Arḍ ar Raydah (N15*40'51', E48오'59'), F. Krupp et al., 2 Jun 2005. - SMF 33107, 11, Yemen, Wādī 'Idim near Ghayl 'Umar (N1540'10", E48ำ1'4"), F. Krupp et al., 2 Jun 2005. -SMF 33105, 13, Yemen, Wādī Mara in Wādī Daw'an system (N158'36", E48²6'58'), F. Krupp et al., 31 May 2005.

Diagnosis. Dorsal fin with 9 branched rays in most specimens; last unbranched ray of dorsal fin as long as or longer than head; 2 pairs of barbels; 26 to 32 scales in the lateral line and usually 12 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle.

Description. The body is not particularly high backed and the maximum body depth is at the origin of the dorsal fin or slightly in front of it (Fig. 13). A nuchal hump is present in adult specimens (Fig. 14) but absent in juveniles (Fig. 15). The caudal peduncle is slender. The head profile is convex ventrally and straight dorsally. The body depth is about the same as the head length (Fig. 12). In specimens below 100 mm SL, the head is rather narrow, in larger specimens it becomes wider. The mouth is subterminal and comparatively narrow. Two pairs of barbels are present (Table 2), the posterior one is rather long. The eyes are at the end of the anterior half of the head and slightly protuberant. The morphometric characters are summarised in Table 1.

The dorsal fin is long and usually has four unbranched and eight to 10 branched rays (Table 3). The last unbranched ray is strongly ossified and only the tip is flexible. Its length is about the same as the head length (Fig. 4). The anal fin is long, usually has three unbranched and five or six branched rays (Table 4).

There are 26 to 32 scales in the lateral line (Table 5), 4 to 5.5 scales above the lateral line (Table 6), 3.5 to five scales below the lateral line (Table 7) and 10 to 12 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle (Table 8). The scales are shown in Fig. 5.

The pharyngeal teeth count is 2.3.5-5.3.2 in one specimen, 2.3.5- in 16 specimens, -5.3 .2 in one specimen and 2.3.4- in one specimen. The pharyngeal teeth are hooked at their tips (Fig. 6).

In live specimens and freshly preserved specimens the back and the sides are grey to golden, the belly is yellowish white and the fins are sometimes golden to orange (Fig. 15). Preserved specimens have a dark back and a lighter belly, the fins are whitish or greyish. Juveniles have a dark spot on the sides of the caudal peduncle.

The maximum length observed in the material available is 288 mm SL.
Carasobarbus exulatus differs from all congeners, except C. fritschii and C. harterti in modally having nine instead of 10 branched dorsal-fin rays. It differs from C. fritschii and C. harterti in modally having 12 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle vs. 16 and in having 26 to 32 scales the lateral line vs. 30 to 39 and 31 to 38 respectively.


Figure 13. Carasobarbus exulatus, holotype (BMNH 1976.4.7:299) from Wādī Haḑramawt at Qasam, ${ }^{\bullet}$ The Natural History Museum, London, photo P. Hurst.


Figure 14. Adult Carasobarbus exulatus, live specimen from Wādī al Khūn.


Figure 15. Juvenile Carasobarbus exulatus, live specimen from Wādī al Khūn.

Distribution. This species is endemic to Yemen and occurs in Wādī Ḩad̦ramawt / Wādī al Masīlah and its pleistocene tributaries (Banister and Clarke 1977, Krupp 1983a, Fig. 7). It is also known from Sadd Ma'rib (Al-Safadi 1995), a dam lake at
 "found throughout the whole year and are distributed all over the stream" (Attaala and Rubaia 2005).

Locality data for BMNH 1976.4.7:332-333 is given as "Wadi Maran, E. Yemen" (Banister and Clarke 1977), which is most likely Wādī Marrān [N1353'51", E4605'14"], representing the westernmost record of this species that is backed by specimens.

Habitats and biology. The biology of this species is mostly unknown.
Conservation status. During a field expedition in 2005 one of the authors saw large, continuous water bodies in the Wādī Ḩaḑramawt / Wādī al Masīlah area. The species is rated as "Endangered B1a, b; B2a, b" and water extraction is identified as the main threat (BCEAW 2002).

Discussion. Carasobarbus exulatus was described from Wādī Ḩaḑramawt and Wādī Maran in Yemen and placed in Barbus (Banister and Clarke 1977). Later it was transferred to Carasobarbus (Ekmekçi and Banarescu 1998).

## Carasobarbus fritschii (Günther, 1874) comb. n. http://species-id.net/wiki/Carasobarbus_fritschii

Barbus fritschii Günther 1874: 231.
Barbus rothschildi Günther 1901: 368.
Barbus riggenbachi Günther 1902: 447.
Capoeta atlantica Boulenger 1902: 124.
Capoeta waldoi Boulenger 1902: 124.
Barbus paytonii Boulenger 1911: 82.

Material. Type material. Syntypes of Barbus fritschii: BMNH 1874.1.30:27-31, 5, Morocco, Oued Ksob in Oued Igrounzar drainage [N31²8'59", W9²4'3'], K. v. Fritsch and J. Rein, 1872.

Syntypes of Barbus paytonii: BMNH 1903.10.29:17-20, 7, Morocco, Oued Oum er Rbia [N33 ${ }^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$, W8 $8^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 2^{\prime \prime}$ ], F. W. Riggenbach.

Syntypes Barbus riggenbachi: BMNH 1902.7.28:20-21, 2, Morocco, Oued Oum er Rbia [N33 $\left.{ }^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}, \mathrm{W}^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 2^{\prime \prime}\right]$, F. W. Riggenbach. - BMNH 1902.7.28:19, 1, Morocco, Oued Talmest [N3152'15", W9º 18'31"], F. W. Riggenbach.

Syntypes Barbus rothschildi: BMNH 1901.7.26:6-7, 2, Morocco, Oued Oum er Rbia [N33 $\left.19^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}, W 8^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 2^{\prime \prime}\right]$, E. Hartert.

Syntypes of Capoeta atlantica: BMNH 1902.1.4:18-19, 2, Morocco, Oued Nfis at Trigadir-el-hor (Tagadirt n’Bour?) [N319'21', W86'2"], E. G. B. Meade-Waldo.

Syntypes of Capoeta waldoi: BMNH 1902.1.4:16-17, 2, Morocco, Oued Nfis at Trigadir-el-hor (Tagadirt n’Bour?) [N319'21", W8º $\left.{ }^{\prime} 2^{\prime \prime}\right]$, E. G. B. Meade-Waldo.

Non-type material. Oued al Maleh drainage. SMF 33412, 5; SMF 33510, 1; SMF 33511, 1; SMF 33512, 1, Morocco, Oued al Maleh above the dam (N33³3'53", W7º 22'3'), K. Borkenhagen and J. Freyhof, 19 Apr 2011. - MNHN 1919-0365, 1; MNHN 1919-0366, 1, Morocco, Oued Bou Asseïla near Chaouia [N33¹9'34", W7º 16'46"], H. Millet, 1919.

Oued Bou Regreg drainage. MNHN 1939-0124, 1, Morocco, Oued Akrech [N3356'7", W647'41"], J. M. Pérès, 1939. - SMF 33411, 10; SMF 33503, 1; SMF 33504, 1; SMF 33505, 1, Morocco, Oued Korifla above the dam lake (N3344'0", W6º43'43"), K. Borkenhagen and J. Freyhof, 18 Apr 2011.

Oued Igrounzar drainage. BMNH 1889.7.19:9, 1, Morocco, near Essaouira [N31³0'45", W946'12"], C. Payton. - SMF 636, 4; SMF 952, 6, Morocco, Oued Ksob [N31²8'59", W9²4'3"], K. v. Fritsch and J. Rein, 1872. - SMF 33405, 19; SMF 33446, 1; SMF 33450, 1; SMF 33451, 1, Morocco, Oued Ksob near Essaouira (N31²8'0', W9ํㄴ'32'), A. Azeroual et al., 11 Apr 2011. - SMF 33388, 1; SMF 33389, 1; SMF 33390, 1; SMF 33404, 20, Oued Igrounzar between Ounara and El Ghazouane (N31²7'21", W941'4'), A. Azeroual et al., 10 Apr 2011. - SMF 33406, 2, Oued Igrounzar near El Khemis des Meskala (N31º $21^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime}$, W9ํ $24^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ ), A. Azeroual et al., 11 Apr 2011.

Oued Iqem drainage. SMF 33509, 1, Morocco, Oued Iqem near Skhirat (N3353'22", W659'56"), K. Borkenhagen and J. Freyhof, 19 Apr 2011.

Oued Kiss drainge. MNHN 1924-0174, 1, Algeria, Oued Kiss at Marsa Ben Mehid (N35ㄴ'59", W2ำ $10^{\prime} 1^{\prime \prime}$ ), C. A. Alluaud, 1924.

Oued Moulouya drainage. SMF 33407, 8; SMF 33408, 4; SMF 33479, 1; SMF 33481, 1; SMF 33484, 1, Morocco, Oued Za near Guefaït (N34¹3'36", W2º $23^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime}$ ), K. Borkenhagen and J. Freyhof, 15 Apr 2011. - MNHN 1926-0070, 1, Morocco, Oued Melloulou near Guercif [N34¹3'32", W3²1'13"], P. M. Pallary, 1926. - NMW 19533, 1, Morocco, Ras el Aïn near Aïn Beni Mathar (=Berguent) [N340'41", W2 $\left.{ }^{\circ} 1^{\prime} 477^{\prime \prime}\right]$, F. Werner. - NMW 19532, 1, Morocco, Oued Za [N3257'0', W5º $\left.12^{\prime} 0{ }^{\prime \prime}\right]$, F. Werner.

Oued Oum er Rbia drainage. BMNH 1902.7.28:22-26, 5, Morocco, Oued Oum er Rbia [N33¹9'40", W8 ${ }^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 2^{\prime \prime}$ ], F. Riggenbach. - BMNH 1903.7.1:8, 2, Morocco, El Jadida [N33º $15^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$, W8³0'22"], F. Riggenbach. - MNHN 1927-0099, 1; MNHN 1927-0100, 1; MNHN 1989-0535, 1, Morocco, Oued Oum er Rbia near Khenifra [N3256'21", W5º40'7"], A. Gruvel and R. Dollfus, 1927. - MNHN 1928-0054, 1; MNHN 1928-0055, 1, Morocco, Oued Oum er Rbia near Khenifra [N3256'21", W540'7"], P. Pallary, 1928. - SMF 33513, 1; SMF 33514, 1; SMF 33515,1 , Morocco, Oued Oum er Rbia near Boulaouane (N3251'33", W8º'41"), K. Borkenhagen and J. Freyhof, 20 Apr 2011. - SMF 33344, 1; SMF 33345, 1; SMF 33346, 1; SMF 33394, 12, Morocco, Oued Srou at bridge between Tighassaline and Khenifra (N32ㅇ́'51", W5º36'36"), A. Azeroual et al., 7 Apr 2011. - SMF 33360, 1; SMF 33361, 1; SMF 33362, 1; SMF 33395, 17, Morocco, Oued Derra near Oulad Yaïch (N32 $\left.{ }^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}, W^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}\right)$, A. Azeroual et al., 9 Apr 2011. - SMF 33363, 1; SMF 33364, 1; SMF 33365, 1; SMF 33397, 22, Morocco, Oued Oum er Rbia (N32ำ18'53", W654'33'), A. Azeroual et al., 9 Apr 2011.

Oued Sebou drainage. SMF 33410, 9; SMF 33494, 1; SMF 33495, 1; SMF 33496, 1, Morocco, Oued Ouergha between Sidi Qacem and Ouazzane (N34²7'52", W5 ${ }^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ ), K. Borkenhagen and J. Freyhof, 17 Apr 2011. - MNHN 1939-0125, 1; MNHN 1939-0126, 1; MNHN 1939-0127, 1, Morocco, El Gharb [N34²5', W6²0'], J. M. Pérès, 1939. - MNHN 1939-0122, 2; MNHN 1939-0123, 2; MNHN 1939-0145, 1, Morocco, Oued Sebou [N34¹5'53", W641'5"], J. M. Pérès, 1939. - SMF 33409, 15; SMF 33489, 1; SMF 33491, 1; SMF 33493, 1, Morocco,
 16 Apr 2011. - MNHN 1924-0191, 3, Morocco, Oued Beth near Dar Bel Hamri [N34º11'14", W557'54"], C. A. Alluaud, 1924. - MNHN 1920-0061, 1; MNHN 1920-0062, 1, Morocco, Oued Bou Hellou [N34ㅇ' 19 ", W4ํ $25^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}$ ], P. M. Pallary, 1920. - MNHN 1922-0065, 1, Morocco, Moulay Yacoub [N345'17", W5º 10'54"], C. A. Alluaud, 1922. - MNHN 1920-0202, 1, Morocco, Faraoun near Volubilis [N344'25", W5³3'25"], C. A. Alluaud, 1920. - MNHN 1939-0128, 1; MNHN 1939-0129, 1, El Mabbabat [?], J. M. Pérès, 1939.

Oued Tennsift drainage. BMNH 1904.11.28:60, 1; BMNH 1905.11.28:60-63 and BMNH 1904.11.28:57-58, 6, Morocco, Oued Chichaoua [N31043'48", W8º49'48"], F. Riggenbach. - MNHN 1919-0379, 1; MNHN 1919-0380, 1; MNHN 1919-0381, 1; MNHN 1919-0382, 1, Morocco, Oued Nfis near Dar Goundafi [N3143'41", W8²1'1"], P. M. Pallary, 1919. - MNHN 1988-1146, 4, Morocco, Oued Nfis [N3143'41", W8²1'1"], Goubier, VI.1988. - MNHN 1922-0066, 1; MNHN 1922-0067, 1; MNHN 1922-0068, 1, Morocco, Oued Chichaoua near Chichaoua [N31³2'37", W8º45'46"], C. A. Alluaud, 1922. - SMF 33371, 1; SMF 33372, 1; SMF 33373, 1; SMF 33374, 1; SMF 33398, 3, Morocco, Oued Nfis near Tameslouht (N31²7'2", W8º'22"), A. Azeroual et al., 10 Apr 2011. - SMF 33378, 1; SMF 33379, 1; SMF 33380, 1; SMF 33399, 14; SMF 33403, 22, Morocco, Oued Nfis near Ouirgane (N31 $13^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$, W8ㅇ́ ${ }^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}$ ), A. Azeroual et al., 10 Apr 2011. - MNHN 1925-0371, 1, Morocco, Oued Nfis near Ouirgane [N31¹0'40", W8º'24"], J. Pellegrin, 1925.

Diagnosis. Two pairs of barbels, 30 to 39 scales in the lateral line and 14 to 20 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle; dorsal fin usually shorter than anal fin and more than $15 \%$ of its last unbranched ray flexible, dorsal profile of the head convex.

Description. The body is of moderate height and sometimes has a small nuchal hump in larger specimens. The head is round with a convex dorsal profile and convex or straight ventral profile (Figs 16, 17). The head length is shorter than the body depth (Fig. 12), the mouth is inferior with two pairs of barbels (Table 2). The lower lip is crescent shaped and sometimes weakly keratinised. The eyes are in the anterior half of the head. The morphometric characters are summarised in Table 1.

The dorsal fin is short and weakly ossified and more than $15 \%$ of the length of its last unbranched ray is flexible. Its last unbranched ray is about as long as the head (Fig. 4). It usually has four unbranched and seven to 10 branched rays (Table 3). The anal fin usually has three unbranched and five or six branched rays (Table 4). Its length is rather variable in adult specimens. It reaches the base of the caudal fin in some specimens.


Figure 16. Carasobarbus fritschii, syntype (BMNH 1874.1.30:27-31) from Oued Ksob, ${ }^{\ominus}$ The Natural History Museum, London.


Figure I7. Carasobarbus fritschii, from Oued Ksob.

Carasobarbus fritschii has 30 to 39 scales in the lateral line (Table 5), usually 5.5 scales above the lateral line (Table 6), usually 4.5 or 5.5 scales below the lateral line (Table 7), and 14 to 20 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle (Table 8). The scales are shown in Fig. 5.

The pharyngeal teeth count is 2.3.4-4.3.2 in two specimens, 2.3.4- in one specimen and -4.3.2 in eight specimens. Pharyngeal teeth are hooked at their tips (Fig. 6).

Live specimens are silvery and usually have a dark longitudinal band above the lateral line. Fins are hyaline to slightly orange (Fig. 17). Ethanol-preserved specimens are yellow-brown, the back is usually distinctly darker than the belly and flanks.

The maximum length observed in the material available is 180 mm SL.
Carasobarbus fritschii differs from all congeners except C. exulatus and C. harterti in having nine instead of 10 branched dorsal-fin rays. It differs from C. exulatus in having 30 to 39 scales in the lateral line vs. 26 to 32 and modally 16 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle vs. 12. It differs from C. harterti in having a convex dorsal head profile and a last unbranched dorsal-fin ray that is weakly ossified and
flexible for more than $15 \%$ of its length vs. a straight dorsal head profile and a strongly ossified last unbranched dorsal-fin ray that is flexible in less than $15 \%$ of its length.

Distribution. Carasobarbus fritschii is widespread and abundant in Northern and Central Morocco (Fig. 18). It occurs in the Oued al Maleh, Oued Bou Regreg, Oued Igrounzar, Oued Moulouya, Oued Oum er Rbia, Oued Sebou and Oued Tennsift drainage systems, and in numerous small coastal rivers. Most records are from Morocco, but one specimen is from the Oued Kiss in Algeria.

Habitats and biology. Carasobarbus fritschii occurs in a wide range of running water courses and dam lakes.

Conservation status. Carasobarbus fritschii is a hardy species and occurs in nearnatural as well as heavily modified habitats. It is tolerant against pollution, damming and the presence of several exotic species (KB pers. obs.). The IUCN rates C. fritschii as "Least Concern" and Barbus paytonii (which is treated as a junior synonym in this study) as "Vulnerable B2ab(iii)" (Crivelli 2006c, Crivelli 2006e). According to the latter assessment the population in the lower Oued Oum er Rbia is adversely affected by agricultural pollution (Crivelli 2006e).

Discussion. Carasobarbus fritschii was described from the Oued Ksob as a member of the genus Barbus (Günther 1874). The same author described Barbus rothschildi from the Oued Oum er Rbia (Günther 1901). It is a junior synonym of C. fritschii. One year later Günther (1902) described Barbus riggenbachii from Oued Oum er Rbia and Oued Talmest. It is a junior synonym of C. fritschii. In the same year Capoeta atlantica and Capoeta waldoi were described from Oued Nfis (Boulenger 1902). These two species were placed into Capoeta, based on the keratinised lower lip that occurs in some specimens of $C$. fritschii. Both are junior synonyms of C. fritschii. Barbus paytonii was described from Oued Oum er Rbia (Boulenger 1911). It is a junior synonym of $C$. fritschii. In the same publication Boulenger transferred C. waldoi to the genus Barbus. The junior synonyms listed above were described, based on slight differences in mouth and lower lip shape or the degree of ossification of dorsal-fin rays. Sample sizes were usually very small. The examination of a large number of specimens revealed high variability and a continuous distribution of these characters. Boulenger (1919) transferred all species to the genus Barbus subgenus Labeobarbus, based on the possession of scales with parallel radii and an unserrated last unbranched dorsal-fin ray. Pellegrin (1919) listed the species in the genus Barbus but later (Pellegrin 1921, 1939) accepted the subgenus Labeobarbus. Pellegrin (1939) synonymised B. riggenbachi with B. rothschildi and did not list C. atlantica. Karaman (1971) created the genus Pseudotor and synonymised C. atlantica and C. waldoi with Pseudotor fritschii fritschii. Fowler (1976) accepted all previously described species and transferred C. atlantica and C. waldoi to the genus Varicorhinus. Berrebi (1981) used the genus Barbus subgenus Labeobarbus and found no relevant differences between B. fritschii and B. paytonii in his morphometric and biochemical analysis. El Gharbi et al. (1993) highlighted the African distribution of the subgenus Labeobarbus. Doadrio (1994) and Tsigenopoulos et al. (2010) used Labeobarbus. Subsequent authors used the genus Barbus (Azeroual et al.


Figure 18. Map of the distribution of C. fritschii and C. harterti.
2000, Machordom and Doadrio 2001, Leggatt and Iwama 2003, Colli et al. 2009) or the provisional genus 'Barbus' (Borkenhagen et al. 2011). We transfer this species to the genus Carasobarbus, based on the possession of a smooth last unbranched dorsalfin ray, modally nine branched dorsal-fin rays, six branched rays in the anal fin and shield-shaped scales with numerous parallel radii. Analysis of molecular genetic characters (Durand et al. 2002, Tsigenopoulos et al. 2010, KB unpublished data) support this decision.

The name of this species is frequently misspelled "Barbus fritschi".
The 'Catalog of Fishes' lists SMF 636 and SMF 952 as types for C. fritschii (Eschmeyer 2011). Both lots where collected by K. v. Fritsch and J. Rein in Oued Ksob in 1872, together with the types of 'Barbus' reinii Günther, 1874, Luciobarbus nasus (Günther, 1874) and the syntypes of C. fritschii. SMF 636 contains seven specimens: one Luciobarbus nasus, one Luciobarbus ksibi (Boulenger, 1905), one 'Barbus' reinii and four C. fritschii. SMF 952 contains eight specimens: two 'Barbus' reinii and six C. fritschii. In the original description Günther (1874) did not state the number of type specimens on which he based the description of C. fritschii, but in the same paper he described Luciobarbus nasus (as Barbus nasus), based on two specimens and 'Barbus' reinii, based on three specimens. It is likely that Günther never saw the lots SMF 636 and SMF 952, because all syntypes of Luciobarbus nasus and 'Barbus' reinii are in the BMNH. The collectors, K. v. Fritsch and J. Rein probably deposited these samples immediately in the SMF and we conclude that SMF 636 and SMF 952 are not part of the type series of C. fritschii.

Carasobarbus harterti (Günther, 1901), comb. n.
http://species-id.net/wiki/Carasobarbus_harterti
Barbus harterti Günther 1901: 367.

Material. Type material. Syntypes: BMNH 1901.7.26:4-5, 2, Morocco, Oued Oum er Rbia [N33 ${ }^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$, W $8^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 2^{\prime \prime}$ ], E. Hartert.

Non-type material. Oued Oum er Rbia drainage. BMNH 1902.7.28:27-33, 7; BMNH 1903.10.29:11-15, 8, Morocco, Oued Oum er Rbia [N33 ${ }^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 40$ ", W8 $8^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ], F. Riggenbach. - BMNH 1903.7.1:5-7, 3, Morocco, Oued Oum er Rbia near El Jadida [N33¹5'18", W8º30'22"], F. Riggenbach. - MNHN 1912-0089, 1; MNHN 1912-0090, 1; MNHN 1912-0091, 1; MNHN 1912-0092, 1; MNHN 1912-0093, 1, Morocco, Oued Oum er Rbia near Azemmour [N33 $17^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$, W8 $\left.8^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}\right]$, C. du Gast, 1912. - SMF 33366, 1; SMF 33368, 1; SMF 33370, 1, Morocco, Oued Oum er Rbia (N32¹8'53", W65 ${ }^{\circ} 4^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}$ ), A. Azeroual et al., 9 Apr 2011.

Oued Tennsift drainage. BMNH 1902.7.28:34, 1, Morocco, Oued Talmest [N3152'15", W9¹8'31"], F. Riggenbach.

Diagnosis. Two pairs of long barbels; 31 to 38 scales in the lateral line and 13 to 17 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle; dorsal fin longer than anal fin and less than $15 \%$ of the length of its last unbranched ray is flexible, dorsal profile of the head straight.

Description. The body is of moderate height and without a nuchal hump. The head is triangular with almost straight dorsal and ventral profile (Figs 19, 20). The head length is shorter than the body depth (Fig. 12). The mouth is subterminal with two pairs of long barbels (Table 2). The eyes are in the anterior half of the head and relatively big. The morphometric characters are summarised in Table 1.

The dorsal fin is long and strongly ossified and less than $15 \%$ of the length of its last unbranched ray is flexible. Its last unbranched ray is as long as or longer than the head (Fig. 4). It usually has four unbranched and nine branched rays (Table 3). The anal fin usually has three unbranched and six or seven branched rays (Table 4). It does not reach the caudal fin origin.

Carasobarbus harterti has 31 to 38 scales in the lateral line (Table 5), usually 5.5 or 6.5 scales above the lateral line (Table 6), 4.5 to 6.5 scales below the lateral line (Table 7 ) and 13 to 17 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle (Table 8). The scales are shown in Fig. 5.

The pharyngeal teeth count is -4.3.2 in four specimens examined. The pharyngeal teeth are hooked at their tips (Fig. 6).

Live specimens are silvery with an olive tinge and orange fins (Fig. 20). Ethanolpreserved specimens are yellow-brown, the back is darker than the belly and flanks.

The maximum length observed in the material examined is 250 mm SL.
Carasobarbus harterti differs from all congeners except C. exulatus and C. fritschii in having nine rather than 10 branched dorsal-fin rays. It differs from C. exulatus in having 31 to 38 scales in the lateral line vs 26 to 32 and modally 16 scales around the


Figure 19. Carasobarbus harterti, syntype (BMNH 1901.7.26:4-5) from Oued Oum er Rbia, ${ }^{\ominus}$ The Natural History Museum, London.


Figure 20. Carasobarbus harterti, live specimen from Oued Oum er Rbia.
least circumference of the caudal peduncle vs. 12. It differs from C. fritschii in having a straight dorsal head profile and a last unbranched dorsal-fin ray that is strongly ossified and flexible for less than $15 \%$ of its length vs. a convex dorsal head profile and a last unbranched dorsal-fin ray that is weakly ossified and flexible for more than $15 \%$ of its length.

Distribution. Carasobarbus harterti occurs in the rivers of the Oued Oum er Rbia and Tennsift drainage systems in Morocco (Fig. 18).

Habitats and biology. Carasobarbus harterti is less common than C. fritschii and inhabits only the lower and middle course of big rivers.

Conservation status. The IUCN rates this species as "Vulnerable A2ace" (Crivelli 2006d). The population has declined more than $30 \%$ in the time from 1996 to 2006 due to urban, agricultural and industrial pollution (Crivelli 2006d).

Discussion. Carasobarbus harterti was described from Oued Oum er Rbia as Barbus harterti (Günther 1901). Some authors placed this species in the genus Barbus subgenus Labeobarbus (Boulenger 1919, Pellegrin 1921) while others continued using the
genus Barbus (Pellegrin 1919, 1939). Karaman (1971) synonymised it with C. fritschii, but regarded it as a distinct subspecies. He incorrectly synonymised B. rothschildi, B. riggenbachi and B. paytonii with this subspecies and placed it in his newly erected genus Pseudotor. Subsequent authors did not accept Karaman's proposal and continued using Barbus (Fowler 1976, El Gharbi et al. 1993, Azeroual et al. 2000, Leggatt and Iwama 2003, Colli et al. 2009, Borkenhagen et al. 2011) or proposed using Labeobarbus (Doadrio 1994, Tsigenopoulos et al. 2010). We transfer this species to the genus Carasobarbus, based on the possession of a smooth last unbranched dorsal-fin ray, nine branched dorsal-fin rays, six branched rays in the anal fin and shield-shaped scales with numerous parallel radii. Analysis of molecular genetic characters (Durand et al. 2002, Tsigenopoulos et al. 2010, KB unpublished data) support this decision.

## Carasobarbus kosswigi (Ladiges, 1960)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Carasobarbus_kosswigi
Cyclocheilichthys kosswigi Ladiges 1960: 135.

Material. Type material. Holotype of Cyclocheilichthys kosswigi: ZMH H 1148, Turkey, Batman Çayı [N3747'16", E410'51"], C. Kosswig, IV. 1939.

Non-type material. Tigris-Euphrates system. NMW 90369, 1, Turkey, Batman Çayı near Baschkaja [N3753'15', E417'56"], V. Pietschmann, 15 Jul 1914. - NMW 90805, 1, Turkey, Gökçesu Çayı (N37045', E41²45'), 26 Sep 1985. - ZMH 9548, 2, Turkey, Ceylanpınar [N3650'50", E403'0"]. - SMF 33119, 1, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Al Ḩasakah [N36³0'9", E4044'52"], F. Krupp. - SMF 30172, 1, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr near Tall Budayrī (N36²4', E4052'), F. Krupp, 2-4 Nov 1986. SMF 30173, 1, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr near Nahāb (N36²3', E4050'), F. Krupp, 23-27 May 1989. - SMF 30174, 1, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr near Nahāb (N36²3', E4050'), F. Krupp, 28 Sep-8 Oct 1988. - CMNFI 79-0290, 2, Iran, Qassr-e Shīrīn (N34ํ31', E45 ${ }^{\circ} 35^{\prime}$ ). - CMNFI 79-0289, 1, Iran, 25-30 km from Qaşr-e Shīrīn (N34º $28^{\prime}$, E45 ${ }^{\circ} 52^{\prime}$ ). - BMNH 1974.2.22:1292-1296, 4; BMNH 1974.2.22:1281, 1, Iraq, Euphrates at Ḩadīthah [N348'23", E4222'41"], 19 Oct 1953. - CMNFI 790275, 1, Iran, Rūdkhāneh-ye Kashgān, 2 km from Ma'mūl̄n (N33²5', E4758'). - SMF 33129, 3, Iran, Rūdkhāneh-ye Karkheh at Pol-e Dokhtar (N339'36", E47º43'12"), N. Alwan et al., 3 Mar 2008. - ZM-CBSU 4153, 1; ZM-CBSU 4154, 1, Iran, Rūdkhāneh-ye Dez at Dezfūl [N3222'57", E48ㅇํ $\left.4^{\prime} 7^{\prime \prime}\right]$, F. Bossaghzadeh, 8 Jun 2005.

Diagnosis. Two pairs of barbels; 32 to 38 scales in the lateral line, usually 14 to 16 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle; last unbranched dorsal-fin ray markedly longer than head; mouth narrow, lower lip spatulate and median lobe present.

Description. Body moderately high, laterally compressed and without a nuchal hump. The greatest body depth is at the point of the origin of the dorsal fin. The ventral profile of the head is straight, its dorsal profile has a slight to pronounced hump


Figure 21. Carasobarbus kosswigi, holotype (ZMH 1148) from Batman Çayı.


Figure 22. Carasobarbus kosswigi, live specimen from Rūdkhāneh-ye Karkheh.
near the nostrils (Figs 21, 22). The head is short and narrow. The mouth is inferior. The maximum body depth is bigger than the head length (Fig. 12). The lips are comparatively thick and the lower jaw is narrow with a sharp horny sheath and a median lobe. The two pairs of barbels (Table 2) are stout and the anterior pair is quite long. The eyes are rather high in the middle of the head and rather small. The morphometric characters are summarised in Table 1.

The dorsal fin is long and usually has four unbranched and nine or 10 branched rays (Table 3). The last unbranched ray is long and well ossified; only the tip is flexible. It is considerably longer than the head (Fig. 4). The anal fin usually has three unbranched rays and six branched rays (Table 4). Its base is long. The bases of the dorsal and anal fin have a sheath of scales.

There are 32 to 38 scales in the lateral line (Table 5), 5.5 to seven scales above the lateral line (Table 6), 4.5 to 6.5 scales below the lateral line (Table 7) and (12) 14 to 16 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle (Table 8). The scales are shown in Fig. 5.

The pharyngeal teeth count is 2.3.5-5.3.2 in seven specimens, 2.3.5- in one specimen and -4.3.2 in one specimen. The pharyngeal teeth are hooked at their tips (Fig. 6).

Live specimens are silvery. The back is darker than the belly, which is almost white (Fig. 22). Fixed specimens are yellow-brown and some have a darker back.

Carasobarbus kosswigi differs from all congeners, except C. sublimus, by having a spatulate lower jaw with a median lobe on the lower lip vs. a crescent-shaped lower jaw and a lower lip without median lobe. It differs from C. sublimus by having 32 to 38 scales in the lateral line vs. 27 to 29 and modally 14 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle vs. 12 and by having a longer and more ossified last unbranched ray in the dorsal fin.

Distribution. Carasobarbus kosswigi occurs in the Euphrates-Tigris system (Fig. 7).
Habitats and biology. Carasobarbus kosswigi is rare, inhabits fast-flowing reaches of rivers and feeds on small animals (Krupp and Schneider 2008). The maximum length is about 150 mm SL and this species has no economic importance (Krupp and Schneider 2008).

Conservation status. Little information is available, but because this species is dependent on fast-flowing water, it is probably impacted by the construction of dams.

Discussion. Carasobarbus kosswigi was described from the Batman Çayı and placed in the genus Cyclocheilichthys (Ladiges 1960). Karaman erected the new genus Kosswigobarbus for this species (Karaman 1971). Coad gave a detailed re-description of this species and transferred it to the genus Barbus (Coad 1982). Kosswigobarbus was revalidated (Ekmekçi and Banarescu 1998) and sometimes used as a subgenus of Barbus (Tsigenopoulos et al. 2010). Later the species was placed in Carasobarbus (Borkenhagen et al. 2011).

Carasobarbus kosswigi is paraphyletic with respect to C. sublimus (Borkenhagen et al. 2011).

## Carasobarbus luteus (Heckel, 1843)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Carasobarbus_luteus
Systomus luteus Heckel 1843: 1161.
Systomus albus Heckel 1843: 1163.
Systomus albus var. alpina Heckel 1847: 257.
Barbus parieschanica Wossughi et al. 1983: 34.

Material. Type material. Nahr Quwayq basin. Paralectotypes of Systomus luteus: NMW 54248, 1; NMW 54250:1-2, 2; NMW 54254:1-3, 3; SMF 6784, 1, Syria, Nahr Quwayq near Aleppo [N36ำ $2^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$, E $\left.37^{\circ} 9^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime}\right]$, T. Kotschy, 17 May 1842.

Syntypes of Systomus albus: NMW 53674-53677, 4; NMW 53680, 1; SMF 812, 1, Syria, Nahr Quwayq near Aleppo [N36 $\left.{ }^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}, ~ E 37^{\circ} 9^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime}\right]$, T. Kotschy, 18 May 1842.

Rūd-e Mand basin. Syntypes of Systomus albus alpina: NMW 53678, 5; NMW 53679:1-2, 2; NMW 53681:1-2, 2, Iran, Rūdkhāneh-ye Qarah Āghāj near Shīrāz [N2931'3', E52 ${ }^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 0$ "], 2 Jan 1844.

Rūdkhāneh-ye Ḩelleh basin. Syntypes of Systomus albus alpina: NMW 53682:1-2, 2, Iran, Daryācheh-ye Parīshān [N2931'7", E51047'47"].

Tigris-Euphrates system. Lectotype of Systomus luteus (by present designation): NMW 54253:2, Iraq, Tigris near Mosul [N36²0'6", E437'8"], T Kotschy, 10 Apr 1843.

Paralectotypes of Systomus luteus: NMW 54247:1-2, 2; NMW 54249, 1; NMW 54253:1, 1; NMW 54255:1-2, 2; NMW 80043, 2 same data as lectotype.

Syntype of Systomus albus: NMW 91400, 1, Iraq, Tigris near Mosul [N36²0'6", E437'8"], 11 Apr 1843.

Unknown drainage system. Paralectotype of Systomus luteus: NMW 10827, 1, Syria, "Damascus", T. Kotschy, 1837.

Non-type material. Daryācheh-ye Mahārlū basin. CMNFI 79-0047, 1, Iran, source of Ab-e Paravan marshes 19.9 km from Shīrāz University [N2936', E52³2']. - FSJF 2232, 2, Iran, Pirbano spring about 10 km south of Shīrāz (N2931'8", E52ํ27'56"), A. Abdoli and J. Freyhof, 21 Apr 2007. - ZM-CBSU 3439, 1; ZMCBSU 3449, 1; ZM-CBSU uncatalogued, 1, Iran, Pol-e Berenji, southwest of Shīrāz [N2927'30', E5232'0"], H. R. Esmaeili et al. - CMNFI 79-0347, 1, Iran, Solțānābād marshes near Pol-e Berenji (N29 $27^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$, E52 $2^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ ).

Orontes basin. MNHN 1977-0255, 1, Syria, Orontes, Gruvel, 1929, only one of two specimen examined. - MNHN 1977-0257, 1, Syria, Orontes, Gruvel, 1930. - SMF 24341, 1, Syria, Orontes at Jisr ash Shughūr (N3548', E36¹9'), F. Krupp, 21 Mar 1979 (aberrant specimen).

Rūd-e Mand basin. CMNFI 79-0206, 1, Iran, Qanat 41 km from Estahbān on road to Kharāmeh (N29 ${ }^{\circ} 12^{\prime}$, E53 $3^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ ). - CMNFI 79-0160, 1, Iran, cement pool near spring along road to Neyrīz (N29ㅇ', E53³7'). - ZM-CBSU 4934-4942, 9, Iran, Dareh
 et al. - ZM-CBSU 101-103, 3; ZM-CBSU 110, 1; ZM-CBSU uncatalogued, 1, Iran, Rūdkhāneh-ye Sīmakān near Jahrom [N28ํ30'0', E53³3'38"], H. R. Esmaeili et al.

Rūdkhāneh-ye Ḩelleh basin. CMNFI 79-0026, 1, Iran, Rūdkhāneh-ye Shāhpūr near Shahr-e Tārīkhī-ye Neyshābūr (N2947', E51³5'). - ZM-CBSU 5180-5190, 10; ZM-CBSU 5192, 1, Iran, Kāzerūn, Sarab Dokhtar [N2937'10", E51³3'15"], H. R. Esmaeili et al. - ZM-CBSU 6508-6517, 10; ZM-CBSU 6574, 1; ZM-CBSU 6602-6607, 6; ZM-CBSU 6610, 1; ZM-CBSU 6614+6615+6617-6619, 5; ZMCBSU uncatalogued, 12, Iran, Daryācheh-ye Parīshān [N29³1'7", E5147'47"], H. R. Esmaeili et al. - CMNFI 79-0240, 2; CMNFI 79-0304, 3, Iran, Daryāchehye Parīshān (N2931', E5150'). - CMNFI 79-0125, 1, Iran, Rūdkhāneh-ye Dālakī near Dālakī (N29ํ28', E51² $21^{\prime}$ ). - ZM-CBSU 2650-2651, 2; ZM-CBSU 2654-2655, 2, Iran, spring at Palangī Dādīn, near Kāzerūn, Rūdkhāneh-ye Dālakī [N29²5'20", E51043'54"], H. R. Esmaeili et al.

Rūdkhāneh-ye Kol basin. ZM-CBSU 3219-3229, 11; ZM-CBSU 3252-3260, 9,
 FSJF 2253, 6, Iran, Golabi spring 35 km north of Dārāb (N2847'15", E54²2'19"), A. Abdoli and J. Freyhof, 21 Apr 2007. - CMNFI 79-0155, 1, Iran, spring at Gavanoo, east of Ḩasanābād [N28누', E54ㅇ2']. - CMNFI 79-0154, 2, Iran, Korsia vil-
 Tang-e Khūr near Lār [N27³6', E54¹7'], H. R. Esmaeili et al.

Rūdkhāneh-ye Naband basin. CMNFI 79-0187, 10, Iran, stream and pools at Sarkhūn, Rūdkhāneh-ye Sarzeh (N27²3'30', E56²6'0').

Tigris-Euphrates system. SMF 30208, 1, Turkey, Tigris at Diyarbakır (N3753', E40ำ' ), R. Kinzelbach, 1982. - SMF 30176, 11, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Ra’s al 'Ayn (N3651', E404'), F. Krupp, 24-26 May 1989. - SMF 30186, 12, Syria, 'Ayn Sālūba and 'Ayn Hamza near Ra's al 'Ayn (N3651', E404'), F. Krupp, 3 Oct 1988. SMF 30200, 2, Syria, 'Ayn Sālūba at Ra's al 'Ayn (N3651', E404'), F. Krupp, 3 Oct 1988. - SMF 30190, 7, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr 2 km East of Tall Junaydīyah (N3644', E406'), F. Krupp, 26 May 1989. - SMF 30197, 2, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr 2 km East of Tall Junaydīyah (N3644', E406'), F. Krupp, 5 Oct 1988. - SMF 30179, 3, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Tall 'Ataš (N3642', E4011'), F. Krupp, 26 May 1989. - SMF 30188, 3, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Tall 'Ataš (N36²42', E40ำ11'), F. Krupp, 6 Oct 1988. - SMF 31317, 1; SMF 33139, 7, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Tall Tamr (N36³9'7", E40ㅇํ1'51"), N. Alwan et al., 29 Oct 2008. - SMF 30199, 1, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Tall Naşrī (N36³7', E40²3'), F. Krupp, 6-7 Oct 1988. - SMF 30178, 1; SMF 30202, 10, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr near Tall Bāz (N36³5', E40²7'), F. Krupp, 7 Oct 1988. - SMF 30184, 1; SMF 30193, 3, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Tall Bāz (N36³5', E40²7'), F. Krupp, 26 May 1989. - SMF 30181, 1; SMF 30192, 3, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Tall Umm al Mā‘az (N36³4', E40³5'), F. Krupp, 27 May 1989. - SMF 30183, 3, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Umm al-Mā‘az (N36³4', E40ํ35'), F. Krupp, 7 Oct 1988. - SMF 30182, 2, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Al Ḩasakah (N36³0', E4044'), F. Krupp, 27 May 1989. SMF 30195, 1, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Al Ḩasakah (N36³0', E4044'), F. Krupp, 7 Oct 1988. - SMF 30185, 1; SMF 30213, 6, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr and Wādī Furātī at Tall Tayyig (N36²6', E4052'), F. Krupp, 8 Oct 1988. - SMF 30189, 4, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Baḩrat Khātūnīyah (N36²4', E41º13'), F. Krupp, 23-24 May 1989. SMF 30214, 5, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Tall Budayrī (N36²4', E4049'), F. Krupp, 26 Sep-8 Oct 1988. - SMF 30206, 7, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Tall Budayrī (N36²4', E4052'), F. Krupp, 2-4 Nov 1986. - SMF 30177, 3, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Nahāb (N36²3', E4050'), F. Krupp, 28 Sep-8 Oct 1988. - SMF 30201, 23, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at 'Ayn Țābān (N36º $22 ', ~ E 4050 '), ~ F . ~ K r u p p, ~ 28 ~ S e p ~ 1988 . ~-~ S M F ~ 30191, ~ 2, ~$ Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at mouth of Wādī ar Raml (N36 ${ }^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$, E40 $\left.48^{\prime}\right)$, F. Krupp, 8 Oct 1988. - SMF 30196, 1, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Umm Rukaybah (N368', E4042'), F. Krupp, 8 Oct 1988. - SMF 30194, 3, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Ash Shaddādah (N364', E4044'), F. Krupp, 9 Oct 1988. - SMF 31316, 1; SMF 33138, 2, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Ash Shaddādah (N36 3' $3^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime}$, E40ㄴ4'30'), N. Alwan et al., 28 Oct 2008. SMF 33152, 6, Syria, Jisr Shānīn (N36 $3^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$, E39 $\left.9^{\circ} 5^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}\right)$, F. Krupp and W. Schneider, 19 Aug 1980. - SMF 31308, 1, Syria, Mamlaḩat al Jabbūl (N36³'36", E37³3'1"), N. Hamidan, 23 Jun 2008. - SMF 28707, 18, Syria, Euphrates down stream Buhhayratt al Asad (N3551'48", E390'34'), R. Beck, Jun 1998. - SMF 30198, 2, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Tall ash Shaykh Ḩamad (N35ํ37', E40ํ45'), F. Krupp, 21 Sep-14 Oct 1988. - SMF 30204, 1; SMF 30205, 4, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Tall ash Shaykh Ḩamad
(N35ํ37', E40ㅇ́'), F. Krupp, 20 Oct-9 Nov 1986. - SMF 33140, 1; SMF 33141, 37, Syria, Euphrates at Harmūshīyah (N35 $35^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$, E3951'25"), N. Alwan et al., 31 Oct 2008. - SMF 30203, 2, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr 8 km South of Tall ash Shaykh Ḩamad (N35³3', E4043'), F. Krupp, 24 Oct 1986. - SMF 28737, 5, Syria, Euphrates between Ḩalabīyah-Zalābīyah and Dayr az Zawr, R. Beck, Jun 1998. - SMF 28630, 3, Syria, Euphrates upstream Dayr az Zawr (N35³1', E3954'), R. Beck, 23 May 1998. SMF 28674, 41, Syria, Euphrates upstream Dayr az Zawr [N35³1', E3954'], R. Beck, 30 May 1998. - SMF 33153, 1, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Aş Şuwar (N35³0', E40³8'), F. Krupp, 15 Mar 1979. - SMF 31315, 1; SMF 33137, 1, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Ghawat (N35²8'51", E40³9'54'), N. Alwan et al., 28 Oct 2008. - SMF 30187, 2, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr near Ḩarījīyah (N35²7', E4038'), F. Krupp, 10 Oct 1988. SMF 30180, 5, Syria, Nahr al Khābūr at Mashikh (N35¹4', E40³1'), F. Krupp, 10 Oct 1988. - SMF 28663, 6, Syria, Euphrates at Qal'at aş Şāliḥīyah (Dura Europos) [N34²5'0", E4043'30"], R. Beck, 28 May 1998. - SMF 28758, 2, Syria, Euphrates at Abū Kamāl at mouth of Wādī Ratqah [N3426'45", E4056'0"], R. Beck, 9 Jul 1998. - NMW 93019:1-2, 2, Iraq, Tigris at Baghdād [N33²0'26", E44²4'3"], V. Pietschmann, Aug 1910. - SMF 33127, 4, Iran, Rūdkhāneh-ye Bālārūd (N32³5'19", E48ำ17'11"), N. Alwan et al., 3 Mar 2008. - BMNH 1980.8.28:6, 1, Iran, Rūdkhāneh-ye Dez at Dezfūl [N322'́, E48오']. - SMF 33125, 1, Iran, Rūdkhāneh-ye Dez at Dezfūl (N32 ${ }^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$, E48ㅇ $22^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ ), N. Alwan et al., 2 Mar 2008. - SMF 33121, 5, Iran, Rūdkhāneh-ye Dez at Dezfūl (N32ํ21'49", E48ํ21'28"), K. Borkenhagen et al., 3 Nov 2006. - SMF 17303, 1, Iraq, Hawr al Hammār (N3050', E47º 10'), L. A. J. Al-Hassan, 1986. - SMF 30211, 1, Iraq, 'Ayn Zālah 50 km west of Mosul, Z. Rahemo, 1990.

Unknown drainage system. SMF 33120, 2, Syria, fish market in Damascus (reported to be from Buhayratt Ar Rastan [N3456', E3644'] in Orontes drainage), F. Krupp. - CMNFI 79-0687, 4, Iran, Shīrāz bazar (probably from Rūd-e Mand basin or Daryācheh-ye Mahārlū basin).

The lectotype (NMW 54253:2) is a specimen of 211 mm SL , collected in the Tigris near Mosul on 10 Apr 1843 by T. Kotschy (Fig. 23). It has four unbranched and 10 branched rays in the dorsal fin, three unbranched and six branched rays in the anal fin, 27 scales in the lateral line and one pair of barbels. A bigger specimen ( 216 mm SL) from the same lot (NMW 54253:1) was not selected as lectotype, because it is atypical in having 11 branched rays in the dorsal fin and two pairs of barbels. The designation of a lectotype became necessary to fix the type locality of S. luteus (see Discussion).

Diagnosis. One pair of barbels; 25 to 33 scales in the lateral line, and typically 12 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle; last unbranched ray of the dorsal fin about as long as the head or slightly shorter.

Description. Specimens from Rūdkhāneh-ye Naband basin were excluded from this species description (see below).

The dorsal profile is convex up to the origin of the dorsal fin and a nuchal hump is present in specimens longer than about 100 mm SL. This species has a high back and caudal peduncle (Figs 23, 24). The ventral profile of the head is convex, its dorsal pro-


Figure 23. Carasobarbus luteus, lectotype (NMW 54253:2) from Tigris near Mosul, ${ }^{\oplus}$ Naturhistorisches Museum Wien, photo E. Lavergne.


Figure 24. Carasobarbus luteus, live specimen from Nahr al Khābūr.
file is almost straight to convex and has a hump near the nostrils in juvenile specimens. The mouth is sub-terminal. The barbels are short and stout. The maximum body depth is usually greater than the head length (Fig. 12). Usually one pair of barbels is present, but about $10 \%$ of the specimens have two pairs of barbels (Table 2). The eyes are at the back of the anterior half of the head. They are big and slightly protuberant. The morphometric characters are summarised in Table 1.

The dorsal fin usually has four unbranched and eight to 11 branched rays (Table 3). In specimens from the Tigris-Euphrates drainage system the last unbranched ray of the dorsal fin is strong with only the tip being flexible and it is about as long as the head. It is shorter and less ossified in Iranian populations (Fig. 4). The anal fin usually has three unbranched rays and five to seven branched rays (Table 4).

There are 25 to 33 scales in the lateral line (Table 5), 3.5 to 6 scales above the lateral line (Table 6), 3 to 5.5 scales below the lateral line (Table 7) and 10 to 13
scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle (Table 8). The scales are shown in Fig. 5.

The pharyngeal teeth count is 2.3.5-5.3.2 in 26 specimens, 2.3.4-5.3.2 in two specimens, 2.3.5-4.3.2 in one specimen, 2.3.5-5.3.3 in one specimen, 1.3.5-5.3.2 in one specimen, 2.3.5- in one specimen and 2.3.4- in one specimen. The pharyngeal teeth are hooked at their tips (Fig. 6).

Live specimens are silvery to olive and sometimes have yellowish fins (Fig. 24). Ethanol-preserved specimens are light yellowish brown to grey. In most cases the back is darker than the rest of the body. Some of the lighter coloured specimens have a salmon hue, others are silvery. The fins are yellowish brown to grey. Juveniles have a dark spot on the sides of the caudal peduncle.

Carasobarbus luteus from Helleh, Kol, Mahārlū and Mand populations: The last unbranched ray of the dorsal fin is shorter and less well ossified. It is pronouncedly shorter than the head (Fig. 4). The mouth is wider and the body is not as high-backed as in specimens from the Tigris-Euphrates system (Fig. 12).

Carasobarbus luteus from Rūdkhāneh-ye Naband basin: In this population all specimens examined had two pairs of barbels (Table 2). The anterior pair is longer than in specimens from Tigris-Euphrates system with two pairs. The last unbranched ray in the dorsal fin is considerably shorter than the head (Fig. 4) and comparatively weak. Compared with specimens from Tigris-Euphrates system, the dorsal and ventral fins tend to be slightly further away from the head. The head is longer and the body not as high backed as in specimens from Tigris-Euphrates system (Fig. 12). The general body shape (Fig. 25) resembles that of C. apoensis and C. canis. Some of the gill rakers are $y$-shaped in the largest specimen examined.

Carasobarbus luteus, except the population from Rūdkhāneh-ye Naband, differs from all congeners, except C. apoensis, in having one instead of two pairs of barbels. It differs from C. apoensis, C. canis, C. chantrei, C. fritschii, C. harterti and C. kosswigi in modally having 28 scales in the lateral line vs. $30,32,34,34,34$ and 33 respectively. It differs from C. kosswigi and C. sublimus in having a crescent-shaped lower lip without median lobe vs. a spatulate lower lip with median lobe and from C. exulatus, C. fritschii and $C$. harterti in modally having 10 rather than nine branched dorsal-fin rays. All populations, except the one from Rūdkhāneh-ye Naband differ from C. apoensis in having a shorter head and a higher back. The population from Rūdkhāneh-ye Naband is very similar to C. apoensis in body shape, but differs in having two as compared to one pair of barbels.

Distribution. Carasobarbus luteus has a much greater range than any of its congeners and its distribution area is fragmented, resulting in several isolated populations. It is widespread all over the Tigris-Euphrates drainage system, and occurs in the rivers of south-western Iran (Fig. 7). The Nahr al Quwayq population, from one of the sites of the type locality, is probably extirpated due to drought and pollution (Krupp 1980, Krupp 1983b). There are only few, mostly older, records from the Orontes (Krupp 1985c, Krupp 1987). During recent fieldwork C. luteus was not found there. Because C. chantrei is still widespread and abundant in many parts of the Orontes, it is unlikely


Figure 25. Carasobarbus luteus, specimen (CMNFI 79-0187) from Rūdkhāneh-ye Sarzeh.
that $C$. luteus disappeared due to habitat degradation. It might have been driven out by competition with C. chantrei or records were based on misidentifications or mislabelled specimens. One specimen (NMW 10827) is reported from Damascus. Because C. luteus does not occur in the Damascus basin and it is highly unlikely that it ever occurred there, the origin of this specimen is unclear.

Habitats and biology. Carasobarbus luteus is mainly herbivorous. It feeds on algae, aquatic plants, detritus and small invertebrates, the main feeding period is at noon, but food is also taken at night (Naama and Muhsen 1986). The intestine is long (Ali 1986). The maximum size is 38 cm total length and 750 g , but normally they are smaller than 35 cm and weight less than 500 g (Ahmed 1982). They reach maturity at the age of one or two years and at a size of about 14 cm ; the spawning period is June and July in the Tigris-Euphrates system, the eggs are spawned among reeds, roots or other aquatic vegetation and fecundity is high (Al Hazzaa and Hussein 2003a).

This species can tolerate saline waters to some degree (Al-Hassan and Muhsin 1986, Mohamed et al. 1993) and is of commercial importance due to its size and abundance (Ahmed 1982, Barak and Mohamed 1983, Krupp and Schneider 2008).

There are attempts on aquaculture of this species. The stickiness of the eggs can be lowered by several chemical treatments for this purpose (Al Hazzaa and Hussein 2003b). During spawning males get reddish brown in the anterior part of the body and greenish at the caudal peduncle while females are less colourful (Al Hazzaa and Hussein 2003a). Males can produce series of sharp clicking noises which do not seem to be associated with aggressive behaviour (Al Hazzaa and Hussein 2003a).

Larvae hatch at 64 degree-days in well oxygenated water and the eyes are still without pigments at this stage. The development is similar to that of other cyprinids (Al Hazzaa and Hussein 2003a). Ahmed et al. (1984) studied the reproductive biology of C. luteus.

Conservation status. Carasobarbus luteus is widespread and abundant in the TigrisEuphrates system. Peripheral populations, like those in smaller Iranian rivers and the Nahr al Quwayq in Syria are more threatened or have already been extirpated (see above).

Discussion. Carasobarbus luteus was described as Systomus luteus by Heckel (1843). Heckel (1843) listed Orontes, Tigris, Aleppo and Mosul as type localities. As all but one of the type specimens are either from the Tigris-Euphrates system or from the Nahr al Quwayq and Aleppo is located on the Nahr al Quwayq and not on the Orontes, Heckel may have confused these two rivers. One of the type specimens (NMW 10827) is from "Damascus" and can not be attributed to any of the relevant drainage systems. By designating NMW 54253:2 as lectotype we fix the Tigris near Mosul as type locality for S. luteus. The same confusion exists for the type localities of Systomus albus, which was also described from Tigris and Orontes in the same publication. A few years later Systomus albus var. alpina was described from the Daryācheh-ye Parīshān (Heckel 1847). These three taxa where later synomymised and placed in the genus Barbus (Günther 1868). Sauvage (1882, 1884) accepted C. luteus and C. albus as valid species and transferred them to the genus Barynotus. Later, both species where synonymised again and transferred to the genus Barbus, subgenus Puntius (Misra 1947) or the genus Puntius (Menon 1956). Ladiges (1960) synonymised both species under the name Barynotus albus. Because Günther (1868) had previously selected luteus as the valid species name, he is to be considered the first revising author and Ladiges' action is not valid. Kähsbauer (1963) lists the species under two different generic names: Barbus (as B. luteus) and Systomus (as S. albus var. alpina). Karaman (1971) erected the new genus Carasobarbus for this species. This met mixed acceptance. While some authors accepted the new taxonomic position (e.g. Wossughi 1978, Bianco and Banarescu 1982, Ahmed et al. 1984, Naama and Muhsen 1986), others did not embrace it (e.g. Banister and Clarke 1977, Krupp 1985a, c, Coad 1995, Coad 1996) until the revision by Ekmekçi and Banarescu (1998). Fowler (1976) placed C. Luteus in the genus Barbellion. Tsigenopoulos et al. (2010) used Barbus subgenus Carasobarbus. Barbus parieschanica was described from Daryācheh-ye Parīshān (Wossughi et al. 1983). In the same publication the species name is also spelled B. parschanica, but B. parieschanica is probably the intended spelling (Coad 1995). Coad (1995) as the first revising author fixed B. parieschanica as the correct original spelling. Barbusparieschanica is a synonym of $C$. luteus. The 'Catalog of Fishes' lists RMNH 2463 as possible syntype of S. luteus and RMNH 2464 of S. albus var. alpina (Eschmeyer 2011). We did not examine these specimens.

We do not think that the population at Rūdkhāneh-ye Naband should be elevated to specific rank, because the number of specimens available is too low. We provisionally consider it an atypical population of $C$. luteus that might have been affected by bottleneck effects and accelerated morphological change, due to the restricted size and extreme conditions (high salinity and temperature) of its habitat. It would be very interesting to collect more samples for morphological studies and molecular sequence analysis.

In spite of some morphometric differences, C. luteus populations of Tigris-Euphrates system and Iran belong to the same species (Borkenhagen et al. 2011); specimens from Rūdkhāneh-ye Naband were not included in that study.

Carasobarbus luteus and C. apoensis are closely related to each other (KB, unpublished data) and $C$. apoensis might be the ecologically specialised sister species of $C$. luteus, that is adapted to the environmental conditions of the wadi ecosystems of the Al Hijāz mountains.

## Carasobarbus sublimus (Coad \& Najafpour, 1997)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Carasobarbus_sublimus
Barbus sublimus Coad and Najafpour 1997: 274.

Material. Type material. Holotype of Barbus sublimus: CMNFI 1995-0009, Iran, Rūdkhāneh-ye A'lā near Pol-e Tīghen (N31²3'30", E4953'0"), B. W. Coad et al., 20 Sep 1995, not examined.

Paratypes of Barbus sublimus: CMNFI 95-0009a, 1, same data as holotype. - CMNFI 95-0010, 1 , same data as holotype, not examined. - CMNFI 95-0011, 3, Iran,
 1994, only one specimen examined.

Non-type material. Rūdkhāneh-ye Kashgān. CMNFI 79-0277, 1, Iran, Rūdkhāneh-ye Kashgān at Harpul Kashkow, 50 km from Khorramābād (N33³0'0", E4759'30'), K. Evans and H. Assadi, 5 Jul 1977.

Rūdkhāneh-ye Zohreh drainage. ZM-CBSU 5781-5786, 6, Iran, Rūdkhāneh-ye Fahlīān at Nūrābād [ $\mathrm{N} 30^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$, E51³1'18"], H. R. Esmaeili et al. - SMF 33117, 3, Iran, Rūdkhāneh-ye Fahlīān (N30 $0^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$, E51 $\left.{ }^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime}\right)$, K. Borkenhagen et al., 29 Nov 2007. - SMF 33118, 6, Iran, Rūdkhāneh-ye Fahlīān (N30¹1'9", E51³1'15"), N. Alwan et al., 29 Feb 2008.

Diagnosis. Two pairs of barbels; 27 to 29 scales in the lateral line, 12 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle; last unbranched dorsal-fin ray about as long as the head; mouth narrow, lower jaw spatulate and median lobe present on lower lip.

Description. A nuchal hump is not developed. The maximum body depth is at the anterior end of the dorsal fin base. The ventral profile of the head is almost straight; the dorsal profile is convex and evenly curved (Figs 26, 27). The maximum body depth is greater than the head length (Fig. 12). The mouth is inferior, narrow, the lips are thick and the lower jaw is spatulate with a horny sheath and a median lobe on the lower lip. The two pairs of barbels (Table 2) are well developed. The eyes are at the posterior end of the anterior half of the head. Some morphometric characters are summarised in Table 1.

The dorsal fin usually has four unbranched and nine or 10 branched rays (Table 3). The last unbranched ray of the dorsal fin is weakly ossified and about as long as the head (Fig. 4). The anal fin usually has three unbranched and six branched rays (Table 4) and its base is surrounded by a sheath of scales. Pectoral, ventral and anal fins are longer than in all other Carasobarbus species (Table 1).

There are 27 to 29 scales in the lateral line (Table 5), 4.5 or 5.5 scales above the lateral line (Table 6), 3.5 to 5.5 scales below the lateral line (Table 7) and 12 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle (Table 8). The scales are shown in Fig. 5.

The pharyngeal teeth count is 2.3.4-5.3.2, 2.3.4-5.3.1 or 3.3.4-4.3.3 (Coad and Najafpour 1997). The pharyngeal bones available were too small for photography but are very similar to those of $C$. kosswigi (Fig. 6).

Live specimens from Rūdkhāneh-ye Fahlīān are silvery with hyaline fins (Fig. 27). Live specimens from Rūdkhāneh-ye A'lā are silvery with a slightly darker back, the


Figure 26. Carasobarbus sublimus, paratype (CMNFI 95-0011) from Rūdkhāneh-ye A'lā, photo S. Tränkner.


Figure 27. Carasobarbus sublimus, live specimen from Rūdkhāneh-ye Fahlīān.
scales have dark pigments on their hind margin; pectoral, ventral and anal fins have a yellow to orange hue, which is most obvious with fins folded back; dorsal and caudal fins are grey or hyaline (Coad and Najafpour 1997). Ethanol-preserved specimens are yellowish brown with a somewhat darker back and juveniles have a dark spot on the sides of the caudal peduncle.

Carasobarbus sublimus differs from all congeners, except C. kosswigi, by having a spatulate lower jaw with a median lobe on the lower lip vs. a crescent shaped lower jaw and a lower lip without median lobe. It differs from C. kosswigi by having 27 to 29 scales in the lateral line vs. 32 to 38 and modally 12 scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle vs. 14 and by having a shorter and less ossified unbranched last dorsal-fin ray.

Distribution. This species is known from Rūdkhāneh-ye A'lā, Rūdkhāneh-ye Fahlīān and possibly Rūdkhāneh-ye Kashgān (see discussion) in south-western Iran (Fig. 7).

Habitats and biology. Carasobarbus sublimus is adapted to streams with fast currents with water flowing over hard substrate (Coad and Najafpour 1997). The biggest specimen known has a SL of 115 mm (Coad and Najafpour 1997).

Conservation status. Little is known about the conservation status of C. sublimus, but because this species is dependent on fast-flowing water, it is probably impacted by the construction of dams.

Discussion. Carasobarbus sublimus was described in the genus Barbus and aligned with C. apoensis, C. canis, C. chantrei, C. exulatus, C. kosswigi and C. luteus in the original description (Coad and Najafpour 1997). Coad recommends the use of the genus Kosswigobarbus for this species (Coad 2011). It was transferred to Carasobarbus, based on morphological characters and close genetic relationship (Borkenhagen et al. 2011).

Locality data for CMNFI 79-0277 is not beyond doubt, because this lot was mentioned as C. kosswigi in the original description of C. sublimus (Coad and Najafpour 1997). According to morphometric and meristic characters (scales in lateral line, above lateral line and around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle; length of dorsal, pectoral, ventral and anal fin) this specimen is within the range of $C$. sublimus and outside the range of C. kosswigi. It might be an aberrant specimen or it might have been accidentally swaped with CMNFI 1995-0010 (a specimen of similar size from the same locality as the types of C. sublimus). We had no opportunity to examine CMNFI 1995-0010. Though we think it is unlikely that C. kosswigi and C. sublimus occur sympatrically, for the time being we consider it to be a possible record of C. sublimus from the Rūdkhāneh-ye Kashgān.

## Hybrids

Two putative intergeneric hybrids of C. canis with other cyprinids are known, one with Capoeta damascina (Valenciennes in Cuvier and Valenciennes 1842) and one with Luciobarbus longiceps (Valenciennes in Cuvier and Valenciennes 1842).

## Carasobarbus canis $\times$ Capoeta damascina

The hybrids are intermediate in many morphometric and meristic characters (Mir et al. 1988). The head resembles that of Capoeta damascina, the mouth is more inferior than in C. canis and the lips are thicker. The scales are larger than in Capoeta damascina and smaller than in C. canis (Mir et al. 1988, Fig. 28). Oogonia and spermatogonia coexist in the gonads of both sexes and the development of the gametes is disturbed, thus the hybrids are sterile (Fishelson et al. 1996).

## Carasobarbus canis $\times$ Luciobarbus longiceps

These hybrids are intermediate in many morphometric and meristic characters (Krupp 1985b, Fig. 29). The lateral line scale count matches that of C. canis. Heterologous


Figure 28. Carasobarbus canis x Capoeta damascina, aquarium photograph of SMF 17184, originally from Nahr az Zarqä’.


Figure 29. Holotype of Barbus continii = Carasobarbus canis $\times$ Barbus longiceps preserved specimen (SL=165 mm), Lake Tiberias (MCSN 22300).
cells are present in the gonads of this hybrid but the gametes mature normally (Fishelson et al. 1996). This hybrid was described as Barbus continii Vinciguerra, 1926 from a single specimen (Krupp 1985b).

## Key to the Carasobarbus species

1 Branched dorsal-fin rays 9, Yemen and NW Africa................................... 2

- Branched dorsal fin rays 10................................................................... 4

2 Scales around least circumference of the caudal peduncle 10-12, Yemen...... C. exulatus

- Scales around least circumference of the caudal peduncle 13-20, Morocco..... 3

3 Dorsal profile of head convex, more than $15 \%$ of the last unbranched dorsalfin ray flexible $\qquad$ C. fritschii

- Dorsal profile of head straight, less than $15 \%$ of the last unbranched dorsalfin ray flexible
C. barterti

4 Lower jaw spatulate and lower lip with a median lobe ............................... 5

- Lower jaw u-shaped or crescent shaped and lower lip without median lobe..... 6

5
Scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle 12, 27-29 scales in the lateral line, head about as long as dorsal fin
C. sublimus

- $\quad$ Scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle 12-16, 32-38 scales in the lateral line, dorsal fin longer than the head C. kosswigi

6 Modally $14(12-16)$ scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle
C. chantrei

- Modally $12(10-14)$ scales around the least circumference of the caudal peduncle .7
7 Usually two pairs of barbels, Jordan River and adjacent waterbodies ....C. canis - Usually one pair of barbels, Mesopotamia, southern Iran and Arabia.......... 8 8 Head about as long as body depth, dorsal fin markedly shorter than head, modally 30 scales in the lateral line, Western Arabian Peninsula........ C. apoensis
- Head shorter than body depth, dorsal fin about as long as head (except in Iranian populations), modally 28 scales in lateral line, Mesopotamia and southern Iran.
C. luteus
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#### Abstract

Two new species of oribatid mites, Lepidozetes acutirostrum sp. n. and Scutozetes clavatosensillus sp. n., are described from Nepal. The genera Lepidozetes and Scutozetes are recorded for the first time for the Oriental region. The identification keys to the known species of these genera are provided.
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## Introduction

In the course of taxonomic identification of Nepalese oribatid mites ${ }^{1}$ (Acari: Oribatida) we found two new species of the family Tegoribatidae, belonging to the genera Lepidozetes Berlese, 1910 and Scutozetes Hammer, 1952. The purpose of this paper is

[^1]to describe and illustrate these species under the names Lepidozetes acutirostrum sp. n. and Scutozetes clavatosensillus sp. n.

Lepidozetes is a small genus that was proposed by Berlese (1910) with Lepidozetes singularis Berlese, 1910 as the type species. Currently, the genus comprises four ${ }^{2}$ species, which distributed in the Holarctic region (Subías 2004, online version 2013). Hence, the genus Lepidozetes is recorded in the Oriental region for the first time. The main generic characters of the genus were summarized by Bayartogtokh and Aoki (1999) and Weigmann (2006).

Scutozetes is a small genus that was proposed by Hammer (1952) with Scutozetes lanceolatus Hammer, 1952 as the type species. Currently, the genus comprises two species, which distributed in the Holarctic and Neotropical regions (Subías 2004, online version 2013). Hence, the genus Scutozetes is recorded in the Oriental region for the first time. The main generic characters of the genus were presented by Hammer (1952) and summarized by Bayartogtokh and Aoki (1999).

The identification keys to the known species of Lepidozetes and Scutozetes are provided below.

## Material and methods

Specimens of Lepidozetes acutirostrum sp. n. (holotype: female; six paratypes: four females, two males) and Scutozetes clavatosensillus sp. n. (holotype: female; five paratypes: three females, two males) were collected by J. Martens and A. Ausobsky from Nepal: Mustang District, Purano Marpha above the village of Marpha, eastern Dhaulagiri massif, 3200-3600 m a.s.l., forest (prevailed Pinus wallichiana, Cupressus torulosa, Abies spectabilis) slightly north of the Himalayan main range, soil litter, 22.IV. 1980.

All specimens were studied in lactic acid, mounted in temporary cavity slides for the duration of the study, and then stored in $70 \%$ ethanol in vials. Body measurements are presented in micrometers. The body length was measured in lateral view, from the tip of the rostrum to the posterior edge of the ventral plate. Notogastral width refers to the maximum width in dorsal aspect. Lengths of body setae were measured in lateral aspect. Formulae for leg setation are given in parentheses according to the sequence of trochanter-femur-genu-tibia-tarsus (famulus included). Formulae for leg solenidia are given in square brackets according to the sequence of genu-tibia-tarsus. Terminology used in this paper mostly follows that of Norton and Behan-Pelletier (2009).

[^2]
## Descriptions of new species

Lepidozetes acutirostrum Ermilov, Martens \& Tolstikov, sp. n.
http://zoobank.org/A2C12F54-D6D3-4624-9C5F-B944E4C1F09F
http://species-id.net/wiki/Lepidozetes_acutirostrum
Figs 1-16

Diagnosis. Body size 647-697 $\times 431-481$. Body surface microfoveolate. Rostrum pointed. Anterior margin of lamellae concave medially. Interlamellar setae longer than rostral and lamellar setae. Sensilli with lanceolate head. Tutoria with one strong tooth. Notogastral setae of medium size, weakly thickened, barbed. Pedotecta I pointed anteriorly. Adanal setae $a d_{1}, a d_{2}$ longer than other anogenital setae.

Description. Measurements. Body length 697 (holotype: female), 647-697 (six paratypes: four females and two males); body width 481 (holotype), 431-481 (six paratypes).

Integument. Body color brown. Body surface distinctly microfoveolate; foveolae rounded (diameter up to 1) or elongated. Dorsal sides of lamellae with longitudinal striae.

Prodorsum. Rostrum pointed ( $t$ ). Lamellae long and broad, covering the prodorsum completely, except rostrum and parts of pedotecta I (Pd I). Anterior margin of lamellae concave medially. Rostral setae (ro, 94-106) setiform, ciliate, directed an-terio-mediad, inserted laterally on prodorsum. Lamellar setae (le, 77-86) thickened, straight, barbed, directed forward, inserted dorso-anteriorly on lamellae. Interlamellar setae (in, 131-130) setiform, barbed, directed upwards and forwards, inserted on posterior part of lamellae; basal parts of these setae covered by the anterior margin of notogaster. Sensilli (ss, 82-94) with long stalk and elongate, lanceolate, barbed head. Tutoria ( $t u$ ) long, of medium width, with one strong tooth anteriorly. Exobothridial setae (ex, 32-94) setiform, thin, slightly barbed, inserted posteriorly to tutoria.

Notogaster. Pteromorphs broadly rounded laterally. Anterior margins of pteromorphs with pointed tooth $(p t t)$. Dorsophragmata $(D)$ located close to each other. Postero-median part of hinges ( $h i$ ) distinct, anterior part unvisible. Lenticulus (len) present, triangular, with amorphic borders. Four pairs of porose areas rounded: $A a$ (16-20), $A 1$ (12-16), $A 2$ and $A 3$ (both pairs, 8-12). Ten pairs of notogastral setae weakly thickened, barbed: posterior setae $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}(32-41)$ shorter than other setae (53-61). Lyrifissures $i a$, $i m$, $i p$, ih and $i p s$ and opisthonotal gland openings (gla) located typically for the genus.

Gnathosoma. Subcapitulum longer than wide $(151 \times 110)$. Subcapitular setae $b$ (28-32) thickened, straight, barbed; $a(18-21)$ and $m(41-45)$ thinner, slightly barbed. Two pairs of adoral setae (or $r_{1}$, or $r_{2}, 12-14$ ) setiform, hook-like distally, barbed. Palps (length $90-94$ ) with setation $0-2-1-3-9(+\omega)$. Solenidion weakly thickened, straight, blunt-ended, attached with eupathidium (acm). Chelicerae (length 151) with two barbed setae; cha (45-49) longer than chb (28-32). Trägårdh's organ (Tg) long, conical.

Lateral podosomal and epimeral regions. Genal teeth $(g t)$ narrowly triangular. Pedotecta I large, pointed ( $p t$ ) anteriorly. Pedotecta II (Pd II) small, scale-like. Apodemes 1, 2, 3 and sejugal distinctly developed, not fused medially. Epimeral setal formula


Figures I-6. Lepidozetes acutirostrum sp. n., adult: I dorsal view 2 ventral view (legs not illustrated) 3 anterior part of body, lateral view (legs not illustrated) 4 rostrum, anterior margin of lamellae, lamellar setae, dorso-anterior view 5 sensillus 6 tutorium and exobothridial seta. Scale bar (1, 2) $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, (3) $100 \mu \mathrm{~m},(4-6) 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

2-1-3-3; setae (16-24) setiform, slightly barbed. Setae $1 c$ and their alveoli absent. Custodia (cus) with thin, free, blunted tips, directed anteriorly to the pedotecta II. Discidia (dis) pointed. Circumpedal carinae ( $c p$ ) distinct.


Figures 7-16. Lepidozetes acutirostrum sp. n., adult: $\mathbf{7}$ pteromorph, lateral view $\mathbf{8}$ notogastral seta $c \mathbf{9}$ notogastral seta $p_{1} \mathbf{I 0}$ subcapitulum, ventral view II palp $\mathbf{I 2}$ chelicera $\mathbf{1 3}$ ovipositor $\mathbf{1 4}$ genu (Ge), tibia (Ti) and tarsus ( Ta ) of leg I, right, antiaxial view $\mathbf{I 5}$ trochanter ( Tr ), femur ( Fe ) and genu of leg III, left, antiaxial view $16 \operatorname{leg}$ IV, right, antiaxial view. Scale bar (7) $100 \mu \mathrm{~m},(\mathbf{8} \mathbf{- 1 0}, \mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 4 - 1 6 )} 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, (11) $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, (13) $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Anogenital region. Six pairs of genital $\left(g_{1}-g_{6}, 20\right)$, one pair of aggenital (ag, 20), two pairs of anal ( $a n_{1}, a n_{2}, 24$ ) and three pairs of adanal $\left(a d_{1}, a d_{2}, 36-41 ; a d_{3}, 28-32\right)$ setae setiform, barbed. Lyrifissures iad located in paraanal position. Ovipositor elongate, narrow $(192 \times 61)$; length of lobes 86 , length of cylindrical distal part 106. Lobes with 12 thin, smooth setae: $\psi_{1} \approx \tau_{1}$ (36) longer than $\psi_{2} \approx \tau_{\mathrm{a}} \approx \tau_{\mathrm{b}} \approx \tau_{c}$ (16). Coronal setae $k$ simple, short (8).

Table I. Leg setation and solenidia of adult Lepidozetes acutirostrum sp. n. (same data for Scutozetes clavatosensillus sp. n.).

| Leg | Trochanter | Femur | Genu | Tibia | Tarsus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | $v^{\prime}$ | $d,(l), v^{\prime \prime}, b v^{\prime \prime}$ | $(l), v^{\prime}, \sigma$ | $(l),(v), \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ | $(f t),(t c),(i t),(p),(u),(a), s,(p v), v^{\prime},(p l), l^{\prime \prime}$, |
| II | $v^{\prime}$ | $d,(l), v^{\prime \prime}, b v^{\prime \prime}$ | $(l), v^{\prime}, \sigma$ | $(l),(v), \varphi$ | $(f t),(t c),(i t),(p),(u),(a), s,(p v), \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ |
| III | $l^{\prime}, v^{\prime}$ | $d, e v^{\prime}$ | $l^{\prime}, \sigma$ | $l^{\prime},(v), \varphi$ | $(f t),(t c),(i t),(p),(u),(a), s,(p v)$ |
| IV | $v^{\prime}$ | $d, e v^{\prime}$ | $d, l^{\prime}$ | $l^{\prime},(v), \varphi$ | $f^{\prime \prime},(t c),(p),(u),(a), s,(p v)$ |

Roman letters refer to normal setae (e to famulus), Greek letters to solenidia. Single prime () marks setae on anterior and double prime (") setae on posterior side of the given leg segment. Parentheses refer to a pseudosymmetrical pair of setae.

Legs. Medial claw thicker than two lateral claws; all smooth. Generally, morphology of leg segments, setae and solenidia typical for the genus (Bayartogtokh and Aoki 1999). Formulae of leg setation and solenidia: I (1-5-3-4-20) [1-2-2], II (1-5-3-$4-15)$ [1-1-2], III (2-2-1-3-15) [1-1-0], IV (1-2-2-3-12) [0-1-0]; homology of setae and solenidia indicated in Table 1. Femora III with two setae. Famulus (e) short, straight, weakly blunt-ended, inserted between solenidia.

Type deposition. The holotype and one paratype are deposited in the collection of the Senckenberg Institution, Frankfurt, Germany; two paratypes are deposited in the collection of the Siberian Zoological Museum, Novosibirsk, Russia; three paratypes are deposited in the collection of the Tyumen State University Museum of Zoology, Tyumen, Russia.

Etymology. The specific name "acutirostrum" refers to the pointed rostrum.
Comparison. Lepidozetes acutirostrum sp. n. can be distinguished from all known species of the genus Lepidozetes using the key, which is presented below.

## Key to known species of the genus Lepidozetes

1 Sensilli clavate, with head rounded distally; interlamellar setae clearly shorter than sensilli. 2

- $\quad$ Sensilli lanceolate, with head pointed distally or disk-like; interlamellar setae clearly longer than sensilli 3
2 Lamellae rounded anteriorly; leg tarsi with three claws; larger body size: $373-442 \times 248-391$ $\qquad$ Lepidozetes singularis Berlese, 1910 (=L. conjunctus Schweizer, 1922; =L. chernovi Ryabinin, 1974) (see Berlese 1910; Schweizer 1922; Hammer 1952; Krivolutsky and Ryabinin 1974; Mahunka 1993; Bayartogtokh and Aoki 1999) (Distribution: Holarctic region)
- Lamellae concave anteriorly; leg tarsi with one claw; smaller body size: $300 \times 229$.... Lepidozetes trifolius Fujikawa, 1972 (see Fujikawa 1972) (Distribution: Holarctic region)

Lamellae rounded anteriorly; sensilli with disk-like head; body size: $400 \times 300 \ldots$ Lepidozetes latipilosus Hammer, 1952 (see Hammer 1952) (Distribution: Holarctic region)

- Lamellae concave anteriorly; sensilli with lanceolate head4

4 Rostrum pointed; larger body size: 647-697 $\times 431-481$
................ Lepidozetes acutirostrum sp. n. (Distribution: northern Nepal)

- $\quad$ Rostrum rounded; smaller body size: 500-568 $\times 348-424$ $\qquad$ Lepidozetes dashidorzsi Balogh et Mahunka, 1965 (see Balogh and Mahunka 1965; Fujikawa 1972; Bayartogtokh and Aoki 1999) (Distribution: south-eastern Palearctic region)


## Scutozetes clavatosensillus Ermilov, Martens \& Tolstikov, sp. n. http://zoobank.org/1D0CFEF9-4751-4A75-A5C5-0E55D418468A <br> http://species-id.net/wiki/Scutozetes_clavatosensillus

Figs 17-27

Diagnosis. Body size 415-448 $\times 265-273$. Rostrum broadly rounded. Lamellae not covering rostrum and lateral sides of prodorsum. Anterior margin of lamellae weakly concave medially. Interlamellar setae longer than rostral and lamellar setae. Sensilli clavate. Tutoria triangular distally, with two to five small teeth anteriorly. Anterior margins of pteromorphs triangular. Notogastral setae of medium size, weakly thickened, barbed. Genal teeth broadly triangular. Adanal setae $a d_{1}, a d_{2}$ longer than other anogenital setae.

Description. Measurements. Body length 431 (holotype: female), 415-448 (five paratypes: three females and two males); body width 265 (holotype), 265-273 (five paratypes).

Integument. Body color light brown. Body surface microfoveolate (diameter of foveolae up to 1), but visible only under high magnification ( $\times 1000$ ) in dissected specimens. Dorsal sides of lamellae with longitudinal striae.

Prodorsum. Rostrum broadly rounded. Lamellae of medium size, not covering rostrum and lateral sides of prodorsum. Anterior margin of lamellae weakly concave medially. Rostral setae (57-65) setiform, ciliate, directed anterio-mediad, inserted laterally on prodorsum; their basal parts covered by the tutoria. Lamellar setae (41-49) straight, slightly barbed, directed forward, inserted dorso-anteriorly on lamellae. Interlamellar setae (73-82) setiform, slightly barbed, directed upwards and forwards, inserted on posterior part of lamellae; basal parts of these setae covered by the anterior margin of notogaster. Sensilli (45-53) clavate, with long stalk and oval head rounded or weakly truncated distally. Tutoria long, of medium width, triangular distally, with two to five small teeth anteriorly. Exobothridial setae (24) setiform, thin, slightly barbed, inserted dorso-posteriorly to tutoria.

Notogaster. Pteromorphs concave laterally. Anterior margins of pteromorphs triangular, longer than length of sensilli. Dorsophragmata located close to each other. Poste-ro-median part of hinges distinct, anterior part unvisible. Lenticulus indistinctive. Four


Figures 17-20. Scutozetes clavatosensillus sp. n., adult: $\mathbf{1 7}$ dorsal view $\mathbf{1 8}$ ventral view (legs not illustrated) 19 anterior part of body, lateral view (legs not illustrated) $\mathbf{2 0}$ rostrum, anterior margin of lamellae and tutoria, rostral and lamellar setae, dorso-anterior view. Scale bar (17-19) $100 \mu \mathrm{~m},(\mathbf{2 0}) 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
pairs of sacculli (Sa, S1, S2, S3) developed. Ten pairs of notogastral setae weakly thickened, barbed: setae cand $l a$ (both 28-32) longer than other setae (16-24). Lyrifissures $i a$, $i m, i p, i b$ and $i p s$ and opisthonotal gland openings located typically for the genus.

Gnathosoma. Subcapitulum longer than wide (110-114 $\times 86-90$ ). Subcapitular setae $h(16-20)$ thickened, straight, barbed; $a(12-16)$ and $m(24-28)$ little thinner,


Figures 2I-27. Scutozetes clavatosensillus sp. n., adult: 21 sensillus $\mathbf{2 2}$ tutorium $\mathbf{2 3}$ notogastral seta $c$ $\mathbf{2 4}$ left half of subcapitulum, ventral view $\mathbf{2 5}$ palp $\mathbf{2 6}$ chelicera $\mathbf{2 7}$ right genital plate. Scale bar (21-23, $\mathbf{2 5}, \mathbf{2 7}) 20 \mu \mathrm{~m},(\mathbf{2 4}, \mathbf{2 6}) 40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
slightly barbed. Two pairs of adoral setae (10-12) setiform, hook-like distally, barbed. Palps (length 69-77) with setation $0-2-1-3-9(+\omega)$. Solenidion weakly thickened, straight, blunt-ended, attached with eupathidium. Chelicerae (length 114) with two barbed setae; cha (36) longer than chb (24). Trägårdh's organ long, conical.

Lateral podosomal and epimeral regions. Genal teeth broadly triangular. Pedotecta I of medium size, rounded anteriorly. Pedotecta II small, scale-like. Apodemes 1, 2, 3 and sejugal distinctly developed, not fused medially. Epimeral setal formula 3-1-3-3; setae (10-12) setiform, slightly barbed. Custodia with thin, free, blunted tips, directed anteriorly to the pedotecta II. Discidia pointed. Circumpedal carinae distinct.

Anogenital region. Six pairs of genital (8-12), one pair of aggenital (8-12), two pairs of anal (8-12) and three pairs of adanal $\left(a d_{1}, a d_{2}, 14-16 ; a d_{3}, 10-12\right)$ setae setiform, barbed. Lyrifissures iad located in paraanal position.

Legs. Similar to Lepidozetes acutirostrum sp. n.
Type deposition. The holotype and one paratype are deposited in the collection of the Senckenberg Institution, Frankfurt, Germany; two paratypes are deposited in the collection of the Siberian Zoological Museum, Novosibirsk, Russia; two paratypes are deposited in the collection of the Tyumen State University Museum of Zoology, Tyumen, Russia.

Etymology. The specific name "clavatosensillus" refers to the clavate sensilli.
Comparison. Scutozetes clavatosensillus sp. n. can be distinguished from all known species of the genus Scutozetes by the key, which is presented below.

## Key to known species of the genus Scutozetes

1 Sensilli lanceolate, with head pointed distally; anterior margins of pteromorphs slightly projecting forward; body size: 437-484 $\times 320-390$
Scutozetes lanceolatus Hammer, 1952 (see Hammer 1952; Fujikawa 1972; Mahunka 1993) (Distribution: Holarctic and Neotropical regions, Surinam)

- $\quad$ Sensilli clavate, with head rounded distally; anterior margins of pteromorphs strongly projecting forward, triangular-form 2

2 Lamellae large, covering lateral side of prodorsum, broadly rounded anteriorly; interlamellar setae reach the insertions of lamellar setae; body length: 420.....................Scutozetes ovalis (Hammer, 1977) (see Hammer 1977) (Distribution: Pakistan, Korea)

- Lamellae of medium size, not covering lateral side of prodorsum, concave anteriorly; interlamellar setae do not reach the insertions of lamellar setae; body size: 415-448 $\times 265-273$
...................Scutozetes clavatosensillus sp. n. (Distribution: North Nepal)
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#### Abstract

The Australian fauna of Ceratocanthinae (Coleoptera, Scarabaeoidea, Hybosoridae) is revised. Two genera are present, both shared with Asia, with a total of seven species, all localized in eastern Queensland and all except one, endemic to Australia. Cyphopisthes is comprised of three species, two of them new (Cyphopisthes yorkensis sp. n. and C. monteithi sp. n., the latter, together with C. descarpentriesi Paulian, 1977 displaying an unusual ecology, with occurrence in the southern Queensland dry rainforest/scrub habitats), and Pterorthochaetes is comprised of four species, two of them new (Pterorthochaetes danielsi sp. n. and P. storeyi sp. n.). Descriptions, distribution, ecological remarks and a key to species are provided.


## Keywords
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## Introduction

Australia has a very small fauna of Ceratocanthinae (Coleoptera, Scarabaeoidea, Hybosoridae). Only two genera have been recorded thus far: Cyphopisthes Gestro, 1899 and Pterorthochaetes Gestro, 1899, both genera being mainly distributed in the Oriental region, extending eastwards to the Australasian region, where Pterorthochaetes reaches Vanuatu and Cyphopisthes reaches New Guinea. In Australia both genera are restricted to eastern Queensland.

The first species described from Australia was the supposedly endemic Pterorthochaetes simplex Gestro, 1899. Almost eighty years passed before the description of a second endemic species: Cyphopisthes descarpentriesi Paulian, 1977, was published in a revision of the Australian fauna, where a third species, the New Guinean Pterorthochaetes cribricollis Gestro, 1899, wasrecorded from Australia and a key to genera and species was provided. The same information was repeated in a subsequent revision of the Oriental and Australasian Ceratocanthinae (Paulian, 1978). Subsequently Cassis and Weir $(1992,2002)$ listed the three known species, Grebennikov et al. (2002) described the larva and pupa of C. descarpentriesi and Hawkeswood (2006) summarized published data on the subfamily, mainly under the biological point of view. Generic records of unidentified Ceratocanthinae are also reported in ecological studies on canopy beetles from two sites within the rainforests of the Australian Wet Tropics published by Stork and Grimbacher (2006) and Grimbacher and Stork (2007). I was unable however to examine the three specimens quoted in the two aforementioned papers.

Re-evaluation of Paulian's type series of C. descarpentriesi revealed the presence of two new species among the paratypes, and examination of further material from the major Australian collections yielded two more species of Pterorthochaetes. The aim of this paper is therefore to provide an updated revision of the Australian Ceratocanthinae and to describe the aforementioned four new species.

The Australian Ceratocanthinae do not display a great diversity: the faunal composition falls within the Indo-Malayan element (sensu Matthews 2000), with endemism only at species level, suggesting a recent colonization of Australia from Asia via New Guinea. Most species occur in the rainforests of Cape York Peninsula and of the Queensland Wet Tropics, as defined by Adam (1992), however there are two species, Cyphopisthes descarpentriesi and Cyphopisthes monteithi sp. n., occurring in a completely different and drier habitat, i.e. open eucalypt woodlands and softwood and brigalow scrubs and this is very unusual, since all other Oriental and Australasian Ceratocanthinae seem to occur only in rainforests.

As a final remark it must be stressed that this revision is based on the examination of less than 50 specimens, all that the author was able to gather from museums and university collections. This shortage of available material demonstrates that a great deal of further research needs to be done in order to have a more satisfactory view of the distribution and diversity of these elusive beetles in Australia.

## Methods and acronyms

I refer to Ballerio et al. (2011) and references quoted therein for methods and terminological conventions. In the same paper a definition of the most common types of punctation was provided. Here some more remarks on the two most common types are added. Horseshoe-shaped punctation: in most cases the branches of the horseshoe are more or less parallel, however sometimes the branches tend to be convergent, so that the opening of the horseshoe is small. In these cases the horseshoe looks more or less
like an ocellate puncture, but with an opening. Comma-shaped punctation: sometimes resembling commas, sometimes short horseshoes, i.e. a horseshoe with short branches, more or less like a parenthesis.

Label data are provided verbatim only for holotypes, with a slash to separate labels. In giving collecting data the author's comments are in square brackets, while depository collection acronyms (and the accession number, when available) are in parenthesis.

Habitus photographs were taken with a Canon EOS D5 MII with a macro lens MP 65 mm , while genitalia photos were taken with a Mitutoyo M Plan APO 10x microscope objective on bellows. Serial photos were then combined with the Zerene Stacker software and cleaned and unmasked using photo processing software.

| Abbreviations |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| EL | maximum elytral length |
| EW | maximum total elytral width |
| FIT | flight intercept trap |
| HL | maximum head length |
| HW | maximum head width |
| L | length |
| PL | maximum pronotal length at middle |
| PW | maximum pronotal width at middle |
| W | width |
| ABCB | Alberto Ballerio Collection, Brescia, Italy. |
| ANIC | Australian National Insect Collection (CSIRO), Canberra, Australia |
| MNHN | Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle Collection, Paris, France |
| QM | Queensland Museum Collection, Brisbane, Australia (includes also the |
| University of Queensland Insect Collection). |  |
| QPIM | Queensland Department of Primary Industries Collection, Mareeba, Australia |
| RMNH | Naturalis Collection, Leiden, The Netherlands |

## Systematics

## Genus Cyphopisthes Gestro, 1899

The genus is in need of a revision and, after the re-definition made by Ballerio (2000), is currently comprised of a dozen morphologically very close species (with the sole exception of Cyphopisthes inexpectatus Paulian, 1981, which is actually a member of the "Perignamptus genus group", as defined by Ballerio 2009), ranging from India to New Guinea and Queensland (the record from New Caledonia by Paulian (1991) is doubtful). Members of the genus Cyphopisthes are rainforest dwellers (some Australian species are an exception), often found in termite nests or by sifting leaf litter.

Diagnosis of Australian species only: $4.5-5.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length. Reddish-brown to dark brown. Volant. Enrollment coaptations perfect, with all parts matching perfectly. Dorsum glabrous (setation is not visible at $30 \times$ magnification). Base of scutellum without a smooth raised transverse area. Elytra somewhat flattened dorsally and forming a distinct pseudepipleuron laterally. Antennae 10-segmented, with strongly securiform scape. Labrum subtruncate. Mandibles with long pointed apicalis. Head with a large dorsal ocular area, genal canthus complete (fused with or almost reaching occipital area). Protibiae sexually dimorphic (female with two outer apical teeth, male with only one outer apical tooth). Mesotibiae: apical spur of males curved inwards, in females apical spur is straight. Metatibiae with two straight apical spurs. Aedeagus with parameres weakly sclerotized, short, dorsally flattened and almost symmetrical (species specific differences usually not appreciable). Genital segment with a long manubrium (longer than or almost as long as basal triangle).

## Cyphopisthes descarpentriesi Paulian, 1977

http://species-id.net/wiki/Cyphopisthes_descarpentriesi
Figs 1A-D, 5B, 6
Cyphopisthes descarpentriesi Paulian, 1977: 263 (description, distribution, biology); Paulian 1978 (key, distribution); Cassis and Weir 1992 (catalogue); Grebennikov et al. 2002 (description of larva and pupa); Grebennikov et al. 2004 (key to larva); Hawkeswood 2006 (summary of published data); Ocampo and Ballerio 2006 (cheklist).

Material examined. Holotype, sex undetermined, (ANIC) [enrolled specimen, glued on a point]: Queensland, 19.40S, 146.51 E , Lansdown Station, Woodstock, 3 July 1974, \#54, J.A.L. Watson in gallery of Mastotermes nest / Holotype / Holotype / Cyphopisthes descarpentriesi sp. n. R. Paulian det. / ANIC Database no. 25 062056. Examined paratypes: 2 exx., Lansdown Station, via Woodstock, 10 July 1979, R. A. Barrett, with Mastotermes darwiniensis (ANIC); 2 exx., Queensland, Pallarenda. Townsville, 1.VII.1974, J. A. L. Watson, in galleries of Mastotermes (MNHN, ANIC).

Description. Size: $\mathrm{HL}=0.98 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{HW}=1.54 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{PL}=1.63 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{PW}=2.63$ $\mathrm{mm} ; \mathrm{EL}=2.87 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{EW}=2.63 \mathrm{~mm}$. Overall morphology as in generic description. Reddish-brown, shiny, glabrous (very fine short yellowish setation visible at 50x magnification), sternum, tarsi and antennae reddish-brown.

Head: interocular distance about six times maximum width of dorsal ocular area, punctation dense and impressed, disc with very short transverse comma shaped punctures, each one having a simple small puncture at its interior side, sides of disc with large comma-shaped punctures centrifugally oriented, with opening facing internally, each one having a simple fine puncture internally, anterior portion of clypeus with three to four irregular anastomosing transverse lines.

Pronotum: margin completely bordered, anterior angles angulate, completely covered by large, almost closed, horseshoe-shaped punctures, on disc with a small opening


Figure I. Cyphopisthes descarpentriesi Paulian, 1977. A Extended Paratype, dorsal view B enrolled Paratype, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ enrolled Paratype, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ enrolled Paratype, lateral view.
directed anteriad, at sides punctures larger than on disc, with a small opening directed laterad, each puncture having inside a small setigerous pore. Punctation dense: interpunctural distance being less than puncture diameter.

Scutellum: covered by dense horseshoe-shaped punctures with posterior openings.
Elytra: W/L: 0,93. Humeral callus indistinct, two short longitudinal lines starting at humerus and occupying proximal third, sutural interstria indistinct, completely and uniformly covered by impressed large horseshoe-shaped punctures with a small posterior openings, each one bearing a setigerous pore in the middle. Pseudepipleura with longitudinally oriented anastomosing horseshoe-shaped punctures mixed with comma-shaped punctures.

Diagnosis. C. descarpentriesi is unique among all other known Australian Cyphopisthes in having the pronotum and the elytra completely covered by such large and dense uniform horseshoe-shaped punctures with small posterior openings. All other known members of the genus have remarkably finer punctation and elytral punctation differs from the pronotal one.

Etymology. Dedicated to André Descarpentries (1919-1998) of MNHN.
Distribution and habitat. Known from north eastern Queensland coast. All specimens have been collected in open eucalypt woodland, in nests of Mastotermes (Isoptera). Termitophily has already been reported for Cyphopisthes (Ballerio and Maruyama 2010). In the same nests larvae and pupae were collected, subsequently
described by Grebennikov et al. (2002). Open eucalypt woodland is a very unusual habitat for a Cyphopisthes, since most species are rainforest dwellers.

Remarks. The type series contained three different species (two of them new to science and described below). Among paratypes of true C. descarpentriesi in ANIC one specimen had the genal canthus shortened, leaving a distinct gap between its tip and the occipital area.

Paulian (1978) identified as C. descarpentriesi also an old specimen in RMNH, ex coll. Pascoe, labelled "Mus. Godeffroy, Peak Down, Austr./10791/Synarmostes acromialis Pascoe". Probably the correct locality name should be "Peak Downs" $\left(22^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{S}\right.$, $148^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) in Central Queensland (Federica Turco, pers. comm.). I examined two photographs of that specimen, kindly provided by Hans Huijbregts (RMNH): it is certainly not a $C$. descarpentriesi, and probably represents a specimen of $C$. monteithi sp. n. or another species very close to it.

## Cyphopisthes monteithi sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/41532D13-A9FE-45DA-BB27-20A403215A64
http://species-id.net/wiki/Cyphopisthes_monteithi
Figs 2A-D, 3A-B, 5C, 6, 11C

Type locality. Amphitheatre scrub, Expedition Range National Park, Queensland, Australia.

Type material. Holotype, male (QM, accession number: T189552) [extended specimen, glued on a card; genitalia mounted in DMHF resin on a separate card under the beetle]: Queensland, 25.13S, 148.59E, Expedition Range NP, 5063, Amphitheatre scrub, 520 m, 25 Sep-17 Dec 1997, Cook \& Monteith, Vine for. Intercept; Paratype: 1 male, QLD, 24.48S, 149.45E, Brigalow Res. Stn., site 5, 16 Dec. 2000-28 Mar. 2001, D Cook \& G Monteith, FIT softwood scrub 10020 (QM, accession number: T189553).

Further material examined (excluded from the type series). 1 male: Mt. Coottha, Brisbane, Queensland, 13-20.III.1971, G. B. Monteith, ex leaf litter (QM) [included in the type series of C. descarpentriesi by Paulian]. 1 female, QLD, 27.58S, 152.39E, Kalbar 3 km SE, 120m, 2 Dec 2000-7 May 2001, C.J. Burwell, 10161, Brigalow scrub FIT (QM).

Description. Size: $\mathrm{HL}=0.84 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{HW}=1.11 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{PL}=1.22 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{PW}=2.02$ $\mathrm{mm} ; \mathrm{EL}=2.36 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{EW}=2.11 \mathrm{~mm}$. Overall morphology as in generic description. Dark brown, shiny, glabrous (very fine short yellowish setation visible at $90 \times$ ), sternum, tarsi and antennae reddish-brown.

Head: interocular distance about five times maximum width of dorsal ocular area, punctation relatively dense and impressed, disc with some impressed short transverse comma-shaped punctures, each one having a simple small puncture at its interior side, sides of disc with large comma-shaped punctures centrifugally oriented, with opening facing internally, each one having a simple fine puncture internally, anterior portion of clypeus with three-four irregular anastomosing transverse lines.


Figure 2. Cyphopisthes monteithi sp. n. A Extended Holotype, dorsal view B enrolled Holotype, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ enrolled Holotype, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ enrolled Holotype, lateral view.

Pronotum: margin completely bordered, anterior angles angulate, completely covered by medium sized horseshoe-shaped punctures, on disc with an opening directed anteriad, punctures at sides larger than on disc, with an opening directed laterad, each puncture having inside it a small setigerous pore. Punctation dense: interpunctural distance being less than their diameter.

Scutellum: covered by dense horseshoe-shaped punctures with posterior openings.
Elytra: W/L: 0,93 ). Humeral callus indistinct, two short longitudinal lines starting at humerus and occupying proximal third, sutural interstria indistinct, disc with longitudinally oriented comma-shaped punctures, each one having a simple small puncture at its left side, sides of disc with medium horseshoe-shaped punctures with small posterior openings, each one bearing a setigerous pore in the middle. Interpunctural distance subequal to puncture width. Pseudepileura with longitudinally oriented anastomosing horseshoe-shaped punctures mixed with comma-shaped punctures.

Genital segment: Fig. 11C. Aedeagus: Fig. 3A, B.
Dignosis. Very close to $C$. yorkensis sp. n., but can be easily distinguished from it by the presence of denser and larger punctation on head disc.

Etymology. Noun in the genitive case. Dedicated to Dr. Geoff Monteith, former curator at Queensland Museum.

Distribution and habitat. Known from southern Queensland, where all specimens have been found in dry rainforest type of vegetation, i.e. softwood and brigalow scrub, in flight intercept traps (one specimen excluded from type series was collected by leaf litter sifting).


Figure 3. Cyphopisthes monteithi sp. n., aedeagus $\mathbf{A}$ dorsal view of parameres $\mathbf{B}$ lateral view of aedeagus. Scale bar: $0,1 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Remarks. This new species and C. descarpentriesi are the most remarkable species among the Australian Ceratocanthinae since they occur south of the Queensland Wet Tropics, in environments completely different from the environment where most other Cyphopisthes occur, i.e. rainforests. The vegetation type in the areas where C. monteithi has been collected is characterized by drier, lower and sparser woodland, with patches off denser forest ("dry rainforest").

I excluded from the type series the specimen from Mount Coot-tha which differs from the holotype because of the more extended comma-shaped punctation on the disc and the presence of transverse lines in the pseudepipleura and the specimen from Kalbar, which has much sparser punctation on the head. This circumstance, together with the fact that they occur some 480 km Southeast of the type locality of C. monteithi, suggests prudence before assigning them to the new species. The same applies to the above mentioned specimen from Peak Downs (see under C. descarpentriesi).

## Cyphopisthes yorkensis sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/9046ACE0-1DCA-4D1C-B7AF-DA70F9949875
http://species-id.net/wiki/Cyphopisthes_yorkensis
Figs 4A-D, 5A, 6

Type locality. Iron Range, Cape York Peninsula, Queensland, Australia.


Figure 4. Cyphopisthes yorkensis sp. n. A Extended Holotype, dorsal view B enrolled Holotype, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ enrolled Holotype, dorsal view D enrolled Holotype, lateral view.

Type material. Holotype, female (QM, accession number: T189554): North Queensland, Iron Range, Cape York Pen., 1-9 June 1971, G. B. Monteith [extended specimen, glued on a card]. Paratypes: 1 ex., sex undetermined, same data as holotype (MNHN); 1 ex., sex undetermined: $12.44 \mathrm{~S}, 143.14 \mathrm{E}, 3 \mathrm{~km}$ ENE of Mt. Tozer, QLD, 28 Jun-4 Jul. 1986, T. Weir \& A. Calder (ANIC).

Description. Size: HL = $0.80 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{HW}=1.28 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{PL}=1.24 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{PW}=2.22$ $\mathrm{mm} ; \mathrm{EL}=2.42 \mathrm{~mm}$; EW $=2.33 \mathrm{~mm}$. Overall morphology as in generic description. Dark reddish-brown, shiny, glabrous (very fine short yellowish setation visible at 50×), sternum, tarsi and antennae reddish-brown.

Head: four to five anastomosing irregular transverse lines at anterior portion of clypeus, clypeal disc almost smooth, with only a few sparse fine simple punctures, sides of disc and frons with denser, bigger short comma-shaped punctures. Interocular distance about seven times the maximum width of dorsal ocular area.

Pronotum: margin completely bordered, anterior margin thicker than lateral and basal margin, anterior angles angulate. Punctation: on disc small horseshoe-shaped punctures with an opening directed anteriad, each one containing a fine simple puncture in middle, at sides punctures larger (about twice the size of discal punctures) than on disc, with an opening directed laterad, each puncture having inside it a small setigerous pore. Base with smaller comma-shaped punctures with openings directed anteriad. Punctation dense: interpunctural distance being shorter than, to equal to, puncture diameter.


Figure 5. Outline of punctation pattern in A Cyphopisthes yorkensis sp. n. B Cyphopisthes descarpentriesi Paulian, 1977 C Cyphopisthes monteithi sp. n. (drawings by Mario Toledo).

Scutellum: covered by dense horseshoe-shaped punctures with posterior openings. Elytra: (W/L: 0,93 ). Humeral callus indistinct, two short longitudinal lines (the inner being slightly shorter than the outer) starting at humerus and occupying the proximal third, sutural interstria indistinct, disc with longitudinally oriented commashaped punctures, each one having a simple small puncture at its internal side, humeral punctation made of short transverse comma-shaped punctures becoming horseshoeshaped towards disc, with posterior openings, sides of elytral dorsum with longitudinally oriented long comma-shaped punctures opening laterad and a simple fine puncture at their outer side, punctation dense: interpunctural distance being shorter than their width. Pseudepileura with longitudinally oriented comma-shaped punctures.


Figure 6. map of Queensland showing distribution of Pterorthochaetes danielsi sp. n., Pterorthochaetes cribricollis Gestro, 1899 and Cyphopisthes yorkensis sp. n. (black squares); Pterorthochaetes storeyi sp. n. (empty circles); Cyphopisthes descarpentriesi Paulian, 1977 (black circles); Cyphopishtes monteithi sp. n. (black rhombuses); Cyphopisthes cf. monteithi (black rhombuses with asterisk).

Diagnosis. Very close to the New Guinean Cyphopisthes amphicyllis (Sharp, 1875), because of the sparse fine punctation on the disc of head. The new species differs from it because of the more impressed punctation of the elytra, which is also slightly sparser than in C. amphicyllis and has many more comma-shaped punctures, whereas in C. amphicyllis the dominant type of punctation is horseshoe-shaped. Among Australian species it can be easily distinguished because of the sparse and fine punctation of clypeal disc and the more extended comma-shaped punctation on elytral disc.

Etymology. Latin adjective in the nominative singular, meaning "from York". Named after the type locality.

Distribution and habitat. Known from the Cape York Peninsula only (northern Queensland), where it occurs in the lowland rainforests of Iron Range and Mount Tozer.

Remarks. Holotype and the paratype in MNHN were part of the type series of $C$. descarpentriesi.

## Genus Pterorthochaetes Gestro, 1899

About 25 species are ascribed to the genus, but a revision in progress will probably more than double the number of species (Ballerio, in prep.). The distribution ranges from India and Sri Lanka to Vanuatu. Members of the genus Pterorthochaetes are rainforest dwellers, often found under the bark of dead logs, sometimes in association with Passalidae (Kon et al. 2010, Ballerio and Maruyama 2010), by sifting leaf litter or in termite nests. The morphology is relatively uniform and the most useful characters for species recognition are found in the male genitalia (shape of parameres and sclerotisations of the internal sac) and female genitalia (bursal sclerites) (see Ballerio 1999).

Diagnosis for Australian species only: 6 to 8 mm in length. Dark brown to black. Volant. Enrollment coaptations perfect, with all parts matching perfectly. Dorsum setose (setae short and thick). Elytra regularly convex, without any distinct pseudepipleuron. Base of scutellum with a smooth raised transverse area (very reduced in P. cribricollis). Antennae 9-segmented, scape clavate. Mandibles with short pointed apices. Labrum not truncate, somewhat depressed distally. Head with a medium sized ocular area, genal canthus almost complete (not fused with the occipital area). Mesotibiae: with only one apical spur, in males the inner apical mesotibial angle is acutely expanded (false spur). Male metatibiae with one twisted apical spur and one straight apical spur. Aedeagus with parameres fairly sclerotized and asymmetrical. Genital segment with short manubrium. Female genitalia with bursa copulatrix with two paired symmetrical/asymmetrical sclerites (bursal sclerites).

## Pterorthochaetes cribricollis Gestro, 1899

http://species-id.net/wiki/Pterorthochaetes_cribricollis
Figs 6, 7A-D, 11D, 12A, 13A-C, 14C
Pterorthochaetes cribricollis Gestro, 1899: 37 (description, distribution, key); Paulian 1978 (key, distribution); Cassis and Weir 1992 (catalogue); Ocampo and Ballerio 2006 (checklist)

Material examined. 10 specimens [two males and two females dissected]: 4 males and 2 females, Iron Range, Cape York Pen., N. Qld. 28 Apr.-5 May 1968. G. Monteith (QM); 1 female, Iron Range, Cape York Pen., N. Qld. 11-17 May 1968. G. Monteith (QM); 1 female, Iron Range, Cape York Pen., N. Qld. 26 May-2 June 1971 B. K. Cantrell (QM); 1 female, QLD: $12.710^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 143.291^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, Cooks Hut, Iron Range, 5 m , 15 Dec 2010, Monteith, Escalona \& Will, hand and at HV light 34817 (QM).

Description. Size: HL = $0.90 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{HW}=1.30 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{PL}=1.32 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{PW}=2.20$ $\mathrm{mm} ; \mathrm{EL}=2.25 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{EW}=2.15 \mathrm{~mm}$. Overall morphology as in generic description. Dark brown, shiny, setation yellowish, sternum, tarsi and antennae reddish-brown.

Head: completely and uniformly covered by impressed comma-shaped punctures with posterior openings, spaced out by a distance of about half their diameter. Anterior


Figure 7. Pterorthochaetes cribricollis Gestro, 1899 A Extended specimen, dorsal view B enrolled specimen, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ enrolled specimen, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ enrolled specimen, lateral view.
portion of clypeus with one or two irregular transverse anastomosing lines. Interocular distance about 9 times the maximum width of dorsal ocular area.

Pronotum: margins completely bordered, lateral margins with a row of erect thick yellowish slightly clavate setae, about as long as the distance between them. Pronotal setation made of thick medium sized clavate yellowish setae, punctation as follows: disc covered by impressed short transverse comma-shaped punctures, with posterior openings and containing a small fine setigerous pore, sides with a few larger more curved comma-shaped punctures opening backwards.

Scutellum: basally with two longitudinal irregular rows of horseshoe-shaped punctures, uniting towards apex.

Elytra: humeral callus poorly pronounced, sutural stria occupying medial and distal third. Elytral punctation as follows: uniformly covered by irregular longitudinal rows of mixed simple impressed small punctures and medium-sized horseshoe-shaped punctures opening backwards, larger on sides and apical third. Interpunctural distance subequal to puncturelength.

Aedeagus: basal piece about three times as long as parameres. Parameres slightly asymmetrical, internal sac distally with some irregular weak sclerotisations (Fig. 12A, Fig. 13A-C).

Male genital segment: as in Fig. 11D.
Bursal sclerites: slightly asymmetrical, as in Fig. 14C.
Diagnosis. Easily distinguished from all other Australian Pterorthochaetes because of the combination of smaller size, the pattern of punctation of pronotum and elytra,
which on pronotum is only made of short impressed transverse comma-shaped punctures, whereas all other Australian species have, at least partly, horse-shoe shaped punctures, often with a very small posterior openings, while on elytra is made of a much smaller punctation compared to $P$. danielsi sp. n. and much denser compared to $P$. storeyi sp. n. and P. simplex Gestro, 1899.

Etymology. From Latin cribratus (profusely perforated) and collis (pronotum), due to the dense and impressed punctation.

Distribution and habitat. In Australia known from the lowland rainforests of Iron Range (Northern Queensland). This species occurs also in New Guinea (type locality: Papua New Guinea, Central Province, lower Kemp Welch River, Ighibirei).

Remaks. identification was made by comparison with the holotype from New Guinea in Museo Civico di Storia Naturale "G. Doria", Genova.

## Pterorthochaetes danielsi sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/D3EAF3F7-6DE8-4093-9ABB-8E8D9F69327D
http://species-id.net/wiki/Pterorthochaetes_danielsi
Figs 6, 8A-D, 11B, 12C, 13D-F, 14D

Type locality. West Claudie River, Iron Range, Queensland, Australia.
Type material. Holotype, male (QM, accession number: T189544): Australia: Queensland: NE: West Claudie R., Iron Range, 3 Dec. 1985, G. Monteith / QM Berlesate no. 690 12.45S, 143.14E Rainanteriorst 50m Stick brushing. [extended specimen, glued on a card, dissected, genitalia mounted in DMHF resin on a separate card, same pin]. Allotype: 1 female [dissected], Iron Range, Cape York Pen., N. Qld. 28 Apr.-5 May 1968. G. Monteith (QM, accession number: T189548). Paratypes [all dissected]: 1 male, same data as holotype (ABCB); 1 male, West Claudie R., Iron Range, N. Qld., 3-10 Dec. 1985, G. Monteith \& D. Cook, Pyrethrum knockdown/ RF (QM, accession number: T189551); 1 male, Iron Range, Cape York Pen., N. Qld. 5-10 May 1968. G. Monteith (MNHN); 2 males, Iron Range, Cape York Pen., N. Qld. 28 Apr.-5 May 1968. G. Monteith (QM, accession numbers: T189555 and T189556); 1 female, QLD:12.714S, $143.287^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, East Claudie River, $15 \mathrm{~m}, 9$ Dec 2010 34778, G. Monteith, Bark spray (QM, accession number: T189773).

Description. $\mathrm{HL}=0.75 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{HW}=1.60 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{PL}=1.75 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{PW}=2.55 \mathrm{~mm}$; $\mathrm{EL}=3.00 \mathrm{~mm}$; $\mathrm{EW}=2.60 \mathrm{~mm}$. Overall morphology as in generic description. Dark brown, shiny, setation yellowish, sternum, tarsi and antennae reddish-brown.

Head: completely and uniformly covered by impressed coarse horseshoe-shaped punctures, anastomosing on disc. Anterior portion of clypeus with irregular transverse anastomosing lines. Interocular distance about 11 times maximum width of dorsal ocular area.

Pronotum: margins completely bordered, lateral margins with a row of erect thick yellowish simple setae, about as long as the distance between them. Pronotal setation made of thick medium sized clavate yellowish setae, punctation as follows: disc cov-


Figure 8. Pterorthochaetes danielsi sp. n. A Extended Holotype dorsal view B enrolled Paratype, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ enrolled Paratype, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ enrolled Paratype, lateral view.
ered by impressed transverse small horseshoe-shaped punctures, with posterior openings and containing a small fine setigerous pore, sides of disc with a few large ocellate punctures and sides of pronotum with larger horseshoe-shaped punctures with opening laterad. Anterior angles having six longitudinal irregular lines. Distance between punctures distinctly less than their diameter.

Scutellum: basally with two longitudinal irregular rows of horseshoe-shaped punctures, uniting towards apex.

Elytra: humeral callus poorly pronounced, sutural stria occupying the medial and distal third. Elytral punctation as follows: uniformly covered by large horseshoe-shaped punctures, some punctures becoming ocellate at apical third and at sides of elytra. Each horseshoe-shaped and ocellate puncture enclosing a small fine simple puncture bearing a clavate yellowish seta. Interpunctural distance on elytra distinctly less than puncture diameter.

Aedeagus: basal piece about twice length of parameres. Parameres slightly asymmetrical, internal sac distally with some irregular weak sclerotisations (Fig. 13D-F, Fig. 12C).

Male genital segment: as in Fig. 11B.
Bursal sclerites: slightly asymmetrical, as in Fig. 14D, subject to some variability.
Diagnosis. Very close to the New Guinean Pterorthochaetes brevis (Sharp, 1875), from which differs mainly by the punctation of pronotal disc, which in P. brevis is sparser and of elytra, which in P. brevis is shallower and sparser. Among the other

Australian species it can be easily distinguished by having the elytral punctation in the form of dense, large horseshoe-shaped punctures, almost without isolated simple punctures. The shape of the bursal sclerites, while very similar to those of P. brevis, is unique within the Australian Pterorthochaetes.

Etymology. Noun in the genitive case. Dedicated to Gregory Daniels, former collections manager at University of Queensland Insect Collection, Brisbane.

Distribution and habitat. Known from the Cape York Peninsula only (Northern Queensland), where it occurs in the lowland rainforests of Iron Range.

## Pterorthochaetes simplex Gestro, 1899

http://species-id.net/wiki/Pterorthochaetes_simplex
Figs 6, 9A-B, 14B
Pterorthochaetes simplex: Gestro, 1899: 36 (description, distribution, key); Paulian 1978 (key, distribution); Cassis and Weir 1992 (catalogue); Ocampo and Ballerio 2006 (checklist)

Material examined. Holotype, female (MNHN): Australie, Queensland / Typus / dr. Gestro vidit / holotype / Pterorthochaetes simplex, Typus ! Gestro. [extended, glued on a card, in good condition, dissected by the present author with bursal sclerites mounted in DMHF resin on a separate card under the specimen].

Description. Size: HL $=0.70 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{HW}=1.30 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{PL}=1.36 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{PW}=2.30$ $\mathrm{mm} ; \mathrm{EL}=2.36 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{EW}=2.00 \mathrm{~mm}$. Overall morphology as in generic description. Dark brown, shiny, setation yellowish, sternum, tarsi and antennae reddish-brown.

Head: completely and uniformly covered by comma-shaped punctures, anastomosing on disc. Anterior portion of clypeus with three irregular transverse anastomosing lines. Interocular distance about 13 times the maximum width of dorsal ocular area.

Pronotum: margins completely bordered, lateral margins with a row of erect thick yellowish simple setae. Pronotal setation made of fine short simple yellowish setae, punctation as follows: disc covered by shallow sparse ocellate punctures, containing a small fine setigerous pore, sides with sparse shallow ocellate punctures larger than on disc mixed with a few large horseshoe-shaped punctures with small posterior openings. Anterior angles having six longitudinal irregular lines. Distance between punctures distinctly less than their diameter.

Scutellum: basally with two longitudinal irregular rows of horseshoe-shaped punctures, uniting towards apex.

Elytra: humeral callus poorly pronounced, sutural stria occupying medial and distal third. Elytral punctation as follows: uniformly covered by large shallow sparse horseshoe-shaped punctures mixed with very fine simple punctures, interpunctural distance being equal to their diameter.

Bursal sclerites: slightly asymmetrical, as in Fig. 14B.
Male unknown.


Figure 9. Pterorthochaetes simplex Gestro, 1899 A Extended Holotype, dorsal view B extended Holotype lateral view.

Diagnosis. Due to the large, shallow sparse punctation this species can be easily identified among all other Australian Pterorthochaetes. In particular the pronotal punctation is unique, being shallow, large and almost ocellate, while the elytral punctation is sparser than in $P$. danielsi sp. n. and larger and shallower than in $P$. storeyi sp. n.. The shape of the bursal sclerites is also very distinctive.

Etymology. Latin simplex (simple), probably due to the punctation of dorsum, shallower and sparser than in most other Pterorthochaetes.

Distribution and habitat. Unknown. The holotype bears a generic label indicating "Queensland". Paulian (1977) cites further specimens from Queensland in the museums of Canberra and Brisbane, but I was unable to locate specimens belonging to this species in the aforementioned museums. All the specimens bearing an identification label as $P$. simplex by Paulian belonged to the two new species herein described or, in the case of the specimen from New Guinea listed by Paulian, to a further new species not occurring in Australia. I was unable to locate the two specimens from "Churchill Creek $\left(16.34^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 145.19^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right)$ " and "Mt Lewis road, via Julatten", both localities, however, fall within the range of $P$. storeyi sp . n . The records of $P$. simplex from Daintree by Grove (2000) actually refer to $P$. storeyi sp. n. (see below).

Remarks. As correctly stated in Paulian (1977) the holotype is kept in Paris (ex coll. Oberthür) and not in Genoa, as mistakenly reported in Paulian (1978).

## Pterorthochaetes storeyi sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/D5822479-72B5-409C-A567-D9432241C361
http://species-id.net/wiki/Pterorthochaetes_storeyi
Fig. 6, 10A-D, 11A, 12B, 13G, H, I, 13A, E, F

Type locality. Thompson Creek, Daintree, Queensland, Australia.
Type material. Holotype, male (QM, registration number QMT93436): Daintree, NE Queensland: Thompson Creek, 16.06.31S, 145.26.25E, 140 m , Trunk FIT \#16, 09/11/98-19/12/98, leg. Simon Grove. [extended specimen, glued on a card, dissected, genitalia mounted in DMHF resin on a separate card, same pin]. Allotype: 1 female [dissected], 1 female, Daintree, NE QLD: Thompson Creek, $16^{\circ} 06.31 \mathrm{~S}, 145^{\circ} 26.25 \mathrm{E}$, 140 m, Trunk FIT \#24, 19/12/98-26/01/99, leg. Simon Grove (QPIM). Paratypes [ 6 males and 5 females dissected]: 1 male, Daintree, NE QLD: Thompson Creek, $16^{\circ} 06.31 \mathrm{~S}, 145^{\circ} 26.25 \mathrm{E}, 140 \mathrm{~m}$, Trunk FIT \#01, 09/11/98-19/12/98, leg. Simon Grove (ABCB); 1 female, Daintree, NE QLD: Thompson Creek, $16^{\circ} 06.31 S, 145^{\circ} 26.25 E$, 140 m, Trunk FIT \#9, 19/12/98-26/01/99, leg. Simon Grove (QPIM); 1 female, Daintree, NE QLD: Thompson Creek, $16^{\circ} 06.31 \mathrm{~S}, 145^{\circ} 26.25 \mathrm{E}, 140 \mathrm{~m}, 04 / 02 / 99$, Night hand colln. \#E9, leg. Simon Grove (QPIM); 1 male, Australia, N. Qld., Tully Falls S. F. $730 \mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{~km}$ SSW Ravenshoe, 18.I.1988, Storey \& Dickinson (QPIM); 1 male, Australia, N. Qld., Danbulla S. F., 1 km NE of Yungaburra, 13.II-6.III.1987, Storey \& De Faveri (QPIM); 1 male, Worgabel S. F. via Atherton, 26.XII.1988, R. I. Storey at light (QPIM); 1 male, NEQ: 16.26S, 145.20E, O'Donoghue's Falls, 15-16 May 1995, 150 m, leg. Monteith, Ford \& Slaney (QM, accession number T189543); 1 female, Daintree, NE QLD: Thompson Creek, $16^{\circ} 06.31 \mathrm{~S}, 145^{\circ} 26.25 \mathrm{E}, 140 \mathrm{~m}$, Trunk FIT \#14, 09/12/98-26/01/99, leg. Simon Grove (QM, accession number: T189774); 1 male, Daintree, NE QLD: Thompson Creek, $16^{\circ} 06.31 S, 145^{\circ} 26.25 E$, 140 m, 05/02/99, Trunk Knockdown \#24, leg. Simon Grove (QM, accession number: T189775); 1 male, Daintree, NE QLD: Thompson Creek, $16^{\circ} 06.31 \mathrm{~S}, 145^{\circ} 26.25 \mathrm{E}$, 140 m , Trunk FIT \#8, 09/12/98-26/01/99, leg. Simon Grove (QM, accession number: T189776); 1 male, QLD: $17.221^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 145.761^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, Goldsborough Rd. 12.5 km past bridge, 16-17 Sept 2010, G. Monteith RF Barkspray 34575 (QM, accession number: T189777); 1 female, QLD: $16.202^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 145.409^{\circ}$ E, Lync-Haven Daintree Area, 2 Dec 2012, F. Turco, rainforest, 35 m , barkspray on logs, 18742 (ABCB).

Description. Size: HL = $0.70 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{HW}=1.44 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{PL}=1.50 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{PW}=2.40$ $\mathrm{mm} ; \mathrm{EL}=2.66 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{EW}=2.29 \mathrm{~mm}$. Overall morphology as in generic description. Black, shiny, setation yellowish, sternum, tarsi and antennae reddish-brown.

Head: completely and uniformly covered by impressed coarse punctation, punctures transverse, comma shaped on disc, horseshoe-shaped (with opening towards internal side) at sides of disc and on frons. Anterior portion of clypeus with irregular transverse anastomosing lines. Interocular distance about 11 times the maximum width of dorsal ocular area.

Pronotum: margins completely bordered, lateral margins with a row of erect thick yellowish simple setae, longer than their distance. Pronotal setation made of thick medium


Figure 10. Pterorthochaetes storeyi sp. n. A Extended Paratype, dorsal view B enrolled Paratype, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ enrolled Paratype, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ enrolled Paratype, lateral view.
sized clavate yellowish setae. Punctation as follows: disc covered by impressed transverse comma shaped punctures, with posterior openings and having a small fine setigerous pore near inferior side, sides of disc with a few ocellate punctures and sides of pronotum with larger horseshoe-shaped punctures with opening directed laterad. Anterior angles having six longitudinal irregular lines. Distance between punctures subequal to their diameter.

Scutellum: basally with two longitudinal irregular rows of horseshoe-shaped punctures, uniting towards apex.

Elytra: humeral callus poorly pronounced, sutural stria occupying the medial and distal third. Elytral punctation as follows: mixed simple fine punctures and longitudinal comma-shaped punctures with opening laterad, becoming horseshoe-shaped at apical third and at sides of elytra. Each comma-shaped and horseshoe-shaped puncture bearing bearing a clavate yellowish seta. Apical third of elytra with a few ocellate punctures. Interpunctural distance on elytra being larger than the diameter of punctures.

Aedeagus: basal piece about two times as long as parameres. Parameres slightly asymmetrical, internal sac with distally some irregular weak sclerotisations (Fig. 12B, Fig. 13G-I).

Male genital segment: as in Fig. 11A.
Bursal sclerites: strongly asymmetrical, as in Fig. 14A, E, F and subject to strong variability.

Diagnosis. Pterorthochaetes storeyi sp. n. can be easily identified among the other Australian Pterorthochaetes because of the distinctive punctation pattern of elytra, with punctures sparser and shorter than in $P$. danielsi sp. n. (usually with long comma-


Figure II. Genital segments of: A Pterorthochaetes storeyi sp. n. B Pterorthochaetes danielsi sp. n. C Cyphopisthes monteithi sp. n. D Pterorthochaetes cribricollis Gestro, 1899. Scale bar: $0,25 \mathrm{~mm}$.


Figure I2. Internal sac of A Pterorthochaetes cribricollis Gestro, 1899 (distal portion only) B Pterorthochaetes storeyi sp. n. C Pterorthochaetes danielsi sp. n. (ML= median lobe). Scale bar: $0,5 \mathrm{~mm}$.
shaped punctures, rather than true horseshoe-shaped punctures as in $P$. danielsi), smaller, shorter and more impressed than in $P$. simplex. The shape of bursal sclerites is also very distinctive and unique within the Australian Pterorthochaetes.

Etymology. Dedicated to Ross Storey (1949-2008), former technician at Queensland Department of Primary Industries, Mareeba. Noun in the genitive case.

Distribution and habitat. Known from the Queensland Wet Tropics (sensu Adam 1992), where it occurs in lowland rainforest areas. Adults were collected mainly with flight intercept traps or at light. The P. simplex quoted by Grove (2000) are actually specimens of $P$. storeyi sp. n.

## Key to the genera and species of Australian Ceratocanthinae

1 Antennae 10 -segmented, labrum subtruncate, elytra with a distinct pseudepipleuron Cyphopisthes Gestro, 1899, 2

- Antennae 9-segmented, labrum not truncate, somewhat depressed distally, elytra without a distinct pseudepipleuron .....Pterorthochaetes Gestro, 1899, 4


Figure I3. Aedeagus of: Pterorthochaetes cribricollis Gestro, 1899 A (aedeagus in lateral view) B (left paramere in lateral view) C (parameres in dorsal view); Pterorthochaetes danielsi sp. n. D (right paramere in lateral view) E (parameres in dorsal view) F (left paramere in lateral view); Pterorthochaetes storeyi sp. n. G (left paramere in lateral view) $\mathbf{H}$ (right paramere in lateral view) $\mathbf{I}$ (parameres in dorsal view). Scale bar: $0,5 \mathrm{~mm}$.

2 Pronotum and elytra with uniform sculpturing consisting of dense large uniform horseshoe-shaped punctures $\qquad$ C. descarpentriesi Paulian, 1977

- Pronotum and elytra with smaller punctation, elytral disc mainly with longitudinal comma-shaped punctures mixed with simple punctures 3
Head with disc having relatively dense punctation, made of impressed very short comma-shaped punctures each one next to a very fine simple puncture
$\qquad$ C. monteithi sp. n.
- Head disc having very sparse punctation, made of very fine simple punctures. $\qquad$ C. yorkensis sp. n.

4 Pronotum and elytra with transverse comma-shaped and transverse short horseshoe-shaped punctation only $\qquad$ P. cribricollis Gestro, 1899

- Pronotum and elytra with horseshoe-shaped or ocellate punctation, sometimes mixed with comma-shaped punctures.


Figure 14. Bursal sclerites of: A Pterorthochaetes storeyi sp. n. B Pterorthochaetes simplex Gestro, 1899 C Pterorthochaetes cribricollis Gestro, 1899 D Pterorthochaetes danielsi sp. n. E Pterorthochaetes storeyi sp. n. F Pterorthochaeres storeyi sp. n. Scale bar: $0,2 \mathrm{~mm}$.

5 Sides of pronotum mainly with shallow large ocellate punctures P. simplex Gestro, 1899

- $\quad$ Sides of pronotum mainly with horseshoe-shaped punctures ..... 6

Pronotal disc mainly with short horseshoe-shaped punctures, medial and proximal third of elytra with dense short horseshoe-shaped punctures, only very rare simple punctures $\qquad$ P. danielsi sp. n.

- Pronotal disc mainly with transverse comma-shaped punctures,medial and proximal third of elytra with sparse short longitudinal comma-shaped punctures mixed with simple punctures
P. storeyi sp. n.
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#### Abstract

Lethrus (Lethrus) schneideri Král \& Hillert, sp. n. from Thrace, Greece, is described. The new species is morphologically most similar and probably closely related to $L$. (L.) apterus (Laxmann, 1770) and $L$. (L.) ares Král, Rejsek \& Schneider, 2001. Diagnostic characters (shape of mandibles, ventral mandible processes, pronotum and parameres) are illustrated. Character matrix for separation of males of the Lethrus species closely related to $L$. schneideri Král \& Hillert, sp. n. and geographic ranges for all species studied are mapped.
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## Introduction

The genus Lethrus Scopoli, 1777, is a Palaearctic geotrupid genus that has a wide distribution range and occurs from central and south-eastern Europe, including the Balkan Peninsula and western part of Turkey in the west, to Mongolia and the Ninxia province
of China in the south-east (cf. e.g., Král and Nikolajev 2006, Král et al. 2001, Nikolajev 2003, Král and Hillert 2013). About 120 species are currently known, all flightless and with coalescent elytra. Most of them exhibit strictly allopatric distribution ranges restricted commonly to relatively small areas (cf. e.g., Král and Hillert 2013, Nikolajev 2003). So far, investigations of this genus in the Balkan Peninsula are relatively scanty. The first three species, $L$. (L.) elephas, $L$. (L.) raymondi and $L$. (L.) schaumii, have been described by Reitter as late as 1890 . The next species, $L$. (L.) fallax, has been discovered and described 85 years later by Nikolajev in 1975. Recently, based on systematic investigations by the present authors and by the Italian coleopterist Riccardo Pittino, five additional species have been recognized and described: L. (L.) ares Král, Rejsek \& Schneider, 2001; L. (L.) liviae Pittino, 2011 and L. (L.) halkidikiensis Král \& Hillert, 2013; L. (L.) perun Král \& Hillert, 2013 and L. (L.) strymonensis Král \& Hillert, 2013. Lethrus specimens collected from the Balkan Peninsula were also studied in parallel by standard molecular analysis methods and results obtained indicate significant differences between populations meriting for at least several of them having the "species status" (Drožová et al. in prep.).

A new and morphologically clearly different species was found in the material obtained mostly by the present authors during their expeditions to Greece between 2009 and 2012. The species was named Lethrus (Lethrus) schneideri and described below.

## Material and methods

The following abbreviations identify the collections housing the material examined (curators are given in parentheses).

DKCP David Král's collection, Praha, Czech Republic, deposited in NMPC
JSCP Jan Schneider's collection, Praha, Czech Republic
OHCB Oliver Hillert's collection, Schöneiche bei Berlin, Germany
MNHN Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, France (Olivier Montreuil)
NMPC National Museum, Praha, Czech Republic (Jiří Hájek)
PTCL Pavel Turek's collection, Lanškroun, Czech Republic
ZSCK Zdeno Lucbauer's collection, Kettering, United Kingdom
Genitalia of three males of the new species were dissected for examination. The material was examined with an Olympus SZ61 stereo microscope; measurements were taken with an ocular grid. Photographs were taken using a Canon 550D digital camera equipped with a Canon MP-E 65/2.8 MACRO lens with 5:1 optical magnification. Final images were composed from multiple partially focused images using Zerene Stacker (Zerene Systems LLC, Richland, WA, USA). Specimens of the presently described species are provided with one red printed label: "Lethrus (Lethrus) schneideri sp. nov., HOLOTYPUS, ALLOTYPUS or PARATYPUS, David Král \& Oliver Hillert det. 2013". The exact label data are cited for the material; individual
lines of each label are separated by a single slash（／），［p］－preceding data within quo－ tation marks are printed．The authors＇remarks and additional comments are enclosed in brackets．

The material was obtained mainly during the following expeditions to Greece （participants in parentheses）：Greece，April 2009 （Dana Drožová，David Král，Hana Podskalská－Šípková，Petr Šípek and Aneta Venderová－Fuchsová）and Greece，April 2011 （Stephan Gottwald and Oliver Hillert）．

The nomenclature used to describe morphological structures is that proposed by Pittino（2011）and Král and Hillert（2013）．

## Taxonomy

## Lethrus（Lethrus）schneideri Král \＆Hillert，sp．n．

http：／／zoobank．org／C68F5659－F842－4D35－9CB9－565948451E57
http：／／species－id．net／wiki／Lethrus＿schneideri
Figures 1C，F；2C，F；3C，4C，F；5C－E；7；8A－B

Type locality．Greece，E Macedonia \＆Thrace province，Rhodope district，Komotiní environment，Karydia，approx． 120 m a．s．l．， $41^{\circ} 06.10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 25^{\circ} 24.58^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$（Fig．8B）．

Type material（ 169 specimens）．Greece：Holotype $\widehat{\sigma}^{\top}$ ，allotype $q$（DKCP）， ＂GR，E Macedonia \＆Thrace，19．iv．／Rodopi dist．，Komotiní env．，／KARYDIA， $41^{\circ} 06.10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 25^{\circ} 24.58^{\prime} \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{D}$ ．Král，D．Drožová，H．Podskalská，P．Šípek \＆A．Vend－ erová lgt．， 2009 ［p］＂．Paratypes： 5 ふた， 10 q $q$（DKCP）， 3 ふた， 3 q $q$（JSCP），same
 （Thrace），／N of Komotini，military area／10．04．2011，leg．O．HILLERT［p］＂； 29

 （ZLCK） 1 § 1 O（JSCP），＂Greece，Komotini／2，1 Km SZ Karydia／418＇59．19＂N， $25^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 31.14$＂E／29．4．2012，leg．Z．Lucbauer［p］＂．

Additional material examined． 6 specimens）．Greece： $1 \widehat{\delta}, 2$ q $q$（NMPC）， $1 \delta^{\lambda}$ ， 1 \＆（OHCB），＂Xanthi，Gr．／14．v． 1937 ／coll．Barton［p］＂； 1 §（MNHN），＂Grèce［p］＂．

Description of holotype．Maximally developed male with well developed ventral mandible processes（Figs 4C，F；5C）．Total body length 29 mm ．Oblong，strongly convex；dorsal surface black，moderately shiny，except almost alutaceous pronotum； ventral surface black with fine blue tinge，moderately shiny，claws black－brown；mac－ rosetation black．

Head（Figs 1C，F；2C；4C，F；5C）．Labrum bilobed，asymmetrical，right lobe remarkably more developed；surface rugosely and coarsely，shallowly and sparsely punctate，each puncture bearing short recumbent macroseta；anterior margin with dense row of long macrosetae．Clypeus transverse，trapezoidal with anterior angles round．Frontal impressions vague，frontal tubercles indistinct．Frontoclypeal suture present only laterally；keels separating eye canthus from frons only slightly developed


Figures I. Maximally developed males: A, D Lethrus (L.) apterus (Slovakia, Kamenica nad Hronom, DKCP) B, E $L$. (L.) ares (Greece, Evros dist., Polía, holotype, NMPC) C, F L. (L.) schneideri sp. n. (holotype). A-C head in dorsal aspect D-F head in frontal aspect. Differential characters shown by arrow. Schematically, not to scale.


Figures 2. Maximally developed males: A, D Lethrus (L.) apterus (Slovakia, Kamenica nad Hronom, DKCP) B, E L. (L.) ares (Greece, Evros dist., Polía, holotype, NMPC) C, F L. (L.) schneideri sp. n. (holotype). A-C head in left lateral aspect D-F pronotum in left lateral aspect. Differential characters shown by arrow. Schematically, not to scale.
but distinct, slightly divergent posteriad. Eye canthus exceeding eyes, projecting anterolaterad, almost rectangular, lateral margins divergent posteriad, anterolateral angle round, oblique keel above eyes absent. Pleurostomal process evenly arcuate, hardly exceeding ventrolateral mandible outline. Punctation of frons double, consisting of


Figures 3. Aedeagi in dorsal and lateral aspect: A Lethrus (L.) apterus (Slovakia, Kamenica nad Hronom, DKCP) B L. (L.) ares (Greece, Evros dist., Polía, holotype, NMPC) C $L$. (L.) schneideri sp. n. (holotype). Differential characters shown by arrow. Schematically, not to scale.
coarse, transversally rugose, regularly and densely distributed punctures, intermixed with fine, irregularly distributed ones; coarse punctures separated by approximately less than their diameter, punctation becoming distinctly sparser posteriad and on occiput; clypeus and eye canthus distinctly rugose.

Mandibles symmetrical, external outline almost semicircular, pointed subapically in dorsal aspect (Figs 1C, 4C) with maximum width approximately at middle of mandibles length.

Ventral mandible processes (Figs 1F, 2C, 4F, 5C) weakly asymmetrical, right process slightly more developed than left one and with different angle in lateral aspect. Both processes distinctly longer than length of mandible; base thickened, not exceeding lateral mandibular outline in dorsal aspect, with slightly concave external outline in basal half in frontal aspect; longitudinal keel on base laterally present, straight and distinctly subparallel to lateral mandibular outline, approximately as broad as maximum width of mandibles outline basally; in lateral aspect weakly arcuate, approximately subparallel to lateral mandibular outline, slightly divergent gradually basad approximately from middle of its length. Inferiobasal tooth absent; both processes bent inward approximately in middle of mandibles length in frontal view; anterior subapical tooth absent; apical emargination absent; apical tooth round.


Figures 4. Habitus of maximally developed males: A, D Lethrus (L.) apterus (Slovakia, Kamenica nad Hronom, body length: $27 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{DKCP}$ ) B, E $L$. (L.) ares (Greece, Evros dist., Polía, body length: 28 mm , holotype, NMPC) C, F $L$. (L.) schneideri sp. n. (holotype). A-C dorsal aspect D-F left frontolateral aspect.


Figures 5. Habitus: A Lethrus (L.) apterus (Slovakia, Kamenica nad Hronom, body length: 27 mm , male, DKCP) B $L$. (L.) ares (Greece, Evros dist., Polía, body length: 28 mm , male holotype, NMPC) C $L$. (L.) schneideri sp. n. (male holotype), D-E the same but female allotype. A-C, E left lateral aspect D dorsal aspect.

Pronotum (Figs 2F; 4C, F; 5C) transverse, distinctly broader than base of elytra, broadest just behind middle; margin entirely bordered, slightly crenulate in anterior parts. Anterior angles weakly but distinctly projecting anterolaterad, with angulate outline; lateral margin approximately weakly emarginate anteriorly, then straight to round posterior angle; basal margin straight. Punctation of dorsal surface simple, consisting of deep, sparsely and irregularly distributed punctures; punctures separated by approximately two to four their diameters discally, surface near lateral margins considerably shagreened and alutaceous.

Scutellar shield widely triangular, finely shagreened.


Figure 6. Sketch map of eastern and south-eastern part of Europe with known distribution of Lethrus (L.) apterus. Compiled from the following sources: Baraud (1992) - overall range; Burakovski et al. (1983) - Poland; Endrődi (1957) - Carpathian basin; Guéourguiev and Bunalski (2004) - Bulgaria; Horion (1958) - Austria; Juřena et al. (2008) - Czech Republic, Slovakia; Mikšić (1970) - Serbia; Nikolajev (2003) - overall range; Panin (1957) - Romania; Semenov-Tian-Shanskij and Medvedev (1936) - overall range. Base map source: http://www.naturalearthdata.com/downloads/10m-raster-data/.

Elytra almost semicircular, apices not prominent, each apex forming independent arc. Epipleuron strongly narrowed apicad, epipleural keel not reaching elytral apex. Whole surface alutaceous, finely transversally rugose; striae not indicated, entirely vanishing in rugosities.

Legs. Profemur not armed, protibia with row of eight gradually proximad diminishing external denticles, and with row of tubercles on ventromedial edge.

Aedeagus as in Fig. 3C.
Variability in males. Body length $19-30 \mathrm{~mm}$. Mandible processes in medium developed and underdeveloped (hypothelic) males short, more or less straight with simply rounded to almost acute apically.

Females (body length 18-24 mm, allotype 24 mm - Figs 5D, E) differ from males as follows: external outline of mandibles almost straight, in apical quarter round in dorsal aspect (Fig. 5D; ventral mandibular process absent (Fig. 5E); protibia broader, row of tubercles on ventromedial edge less pronounced.

Differential diagnosis. Among the species distributed in the Balkan Peninsula, the new species is most similar and probably closely related to Lethrus (L.) ares Král, Rejsek \& Schneider, 2001 and L. (L.) apterus (Laxmann, 1770). Distinguishing features are: absence of anterior subbasal tooth of ventral mandibular processes (L. (L.) schaumii Reitter, 1890 and L. (L.) elephas Reitter, 1890 have distinct anterior sub-


Figure 7. Sketch map of north-eastern part of Greece with marked distribution of Lethrus (L.) ares triangles, compiled from Král et al. (2001) and L. (L.) schneideri sp. n. - circles, red circle represents the type locality.
basal tooth); absence of anterior subapical tooth of ventral mandibular processes ( $L$. (L.) halkidikiensis Hillert \& Král, 2013, L. (L.) perun Hillert \& Král, 2013, L. (L.) raymondi Reitter, 1890 and L. (L.) strymonensis Hillert \& Král, 2013 have distinct anterior subapical tooth); presence of approximately symmetrical ventral mandibular processes and regularly round or obtuse-angular anterior pronotal angles (L. (L.) fallax Nikolajev, 1975 and L. (L.) liviae Pittino, 2011 have remarkably asymmetrical ventral mandibular processes and strongly produced acute-angular anterior pronotal angle). For characters to separate $L$. (L.) apterus, $L$. (L.) ares, and $L$. (L.) schneideri sp. n. see the character matrix (Table 1). Additionally, L. (L.) schneideri sp. n. is probably an endemic species of the southernmost slopes of the Rhodope Mountains approximately between the towns of Xánthi and Komotiní, while $L$. (L.) ares is known so far only from four spots all situated in the Eridropótamos river basin (Fig. 7) and L. (L.) apterus is a widely distributed Pannonian species known from Burgenland (Austria), Moravia (Czech Republic) and Serbia in the west to the Don river basin in the east (Fig. 6). The geographic range of the latter is separated from that of the new species by the Thracian lowlands in Bulgaria inhabited by L. (L.) schaumii, and by the Rhodope Mountains.


Figures 8. A Collecting habitat of Lethrus (L.) schneideri sp. n., Greece: Thrace distr., N of Komotiní, April 2011 (photo by Oliver Hillert) B Type locality of L. (L.) schneideri sp. n., Greece: Thrace distr., Karydia, April 2009 (left PŠ, right DK) (photo by Hana Podskalská-Šípková).
Table I. Character matrix for separation of males of Lethrus (L.) apterus, $L$. (L.) ares and $L .(L$.$) schneideri sp. n.$

| Species character | Lethrus (L.) apterus | Lethrus (L.) ares | Lethrus (L.) schneideri sp. n . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lateral longitudinal keel on base of ventral mandible process in dorsal aspect | straight and approximately parallel to lateral mandibular outline, distinctly broader as maximum width of mandibles outline basally (Fig. 1A) | straight and distinctly subparallel to lateral mandibular outline, approximately as broad as maximum width of mandibles outline basally (Fig. 1B) | straight and distinctly subparallel to lateral mandibular outline, approximately as broad as maximum width of mandibles outline basally (Fig. 1C) |
| lateral longitudinal keel on base of ventral mandible process in lateral aspect | weakly arcuate, approximately parallel to lateral mandibular outline, divergent gradually basad approximately from middle of its length (Figs 2A, 4D, 5A) | almost straight, distinctly subparallel to lateral mandibular outline, distinctly divergent gradually basad approximately from middle of its length (Figs 2B, 4E, 5B) | weakly arcuate, approximately subparallel to lateral mandibular outline, slightly divergent gradually basad approximately from middle of its length (Figs 2C, 4F, 5C) |
| mandibular processes | both processes symmetrical (Figs 1D, 2A) | both processes symmetrical (Figs 1E, 2B) | weakly asymmetrical, right process slightly more developed than left one and with different angle in lateral aspect (Figs 1F, 2C) |
| shape of left ventral mandible process in lateral aspect | anterior subapical tooth present, round; apical tooth not projected apically (Figs 2A, 4D, 5A) | anterior subapical tooth present, broadened distad, angulate; apical tooth projected apically (Figs 2B, 4E, 5B) | anterior subapical tooth absent, apical tooth not projected apically (Figs 2C, 4F, 5C) |
| shape of ventral mandible process in frontal aspect | external outline concave basally, inferiobasal tooth present, round; subapical tooth distinct, apical emargination present, remarkably deep (Fig. 1D) | external outline strongly concave basally, inferiobasal tooth present, round; subapical tooth distinct, apical emargination present, shallow (Fig. 1E) | external outline concave basally, inferiobasal tooth absent; subapical tooth absent, apical emargination absent (Fig. 1F) |
| shape of anterior pronotal angle | projected anterolaterad, angulate (Figs 2D; 4A, D; 5A) | not projected anterolaterad, broadly round (Figs 2E; 4B, E; 5B) | projected anterolaterad, angulate (Figs 2F; 4C, F; 5C) |
| shape of parameres in lateral aspect | shallowly sinuate distally (Fig. 3A) | distinctly sinuate distally (Fig. 3B) | shallowly sinuate distally (Fig. 3C) |
| distribution pattern | widely distributed from Austria (Burgenland), Czech Republic (Moravia) and Serbia to approximately right bank of the Don river in the eastern Ukraine, suthernmost to the northern foothills of the Stara planina Mts in Bulgaria (Fig. 6) | restricted only to south-easternmost foothills of the Rhodope Mts (Eridropótamos river basin region in Greece) (Fig. 7) | restricted only to southernmost foothills of the Rhodope Mts (Komotiní and Xánthi regions in Greece) (Fig. 7) |

Collecting circumstances. The type series was collected from uncultivated fields on moderately steep, approximately SE oriented slope consisting of loess soil (Figs $8 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{B}$ ) in a millitary area.

Distribution. Greece: Thrace, southernmost foothills of the Rhodope (Роסó $\pi \eta$ ) Mountains. (Fig. 7), the regional units of Rhodope and Xánthi.

Name derivation. Patronymic, named in honour of our longtime friend, entomologist Jan Schneider (Praha, Czech Republic), an excellent Geotrupidae and Silphidae specialist.

## Acknowledgements

Our thanks go to the following institutions and persons: Jiří Hájek (NMPC) and Olivier Montreuil (MNHN) allowed us to study the material in their care, Hana Pod-skalská- Šípková (Czech University of Life Sciences in Prague, CZ), Aneta VenderováFuchsová (Praha, CZ) and Stephan Gottwald (Berlin, GE) were excellent companions during the collecting trips in 2009 and 2011 respectively and Petr Janšta (Charles University in Prague, CZ) provided us with useful comments during our research. Special thanks are due to Robert Angus (Natural History Museum, London, UK) for stimulating comments on the manuscript. Preparation of the paper was supported in part by the Charles University Grant Agency (grant \#GAUK 566213) (DD and DK). Petr Šípek would like to acknowledge the institutional resources of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic for the support of science and research.

## References

Baraud J (1992) Coléoptères Scarabaeoidea d'Europe. Faune de France. Volume 78. Fédération Française des Sociétés de Sciences Naturelles \& Société Linnéenne de Lyon, Paris \& Lyon, 856 pp.
Burakovski B, Mroczkowski M, Stefańska J (1983) Chrąszcze - Coleoptera (Scarabaeoidea, Dasciloidea, Byrrhoidea, Parnoidea). Katalog Fauny Polski. Część 23, Tom 9 [Beetles Coleoptera (Scarabaeoidea, Dasciloidea, Byrrhoidea, Parnoidea). Catalogue of the fauna of Poland. Issue 23, Volume 9]. Państwowe wydawnictwo naukowe, Warszawa, 294 pp. [in Polish]
Drožová D, Janšta P, Šípek P, Král D (in prep.) Phylogeography of the earth-boring dung beetles of the genus Lethrus (Coleoptera: Geotrupidae) in the Eastern Mediterranean region.
Endrődi S (1957) A lemezecsápú bogarak (Lamellicornia) kárpátmedencei lelőhelyadatai [Lamellicorn beetles (Coleoptera) of the Carpathian basin]. Rovartani Kőzlemények (Series Nova) 10: 145-226. [in Hungarian]
Guéourguiev B, Bunalski M (2004) Critical review of the families Glaresidae, Lucanidae, Trogidae, Bolboceratidae, Geotrupidae, Hybosoridae and Ochodaeidae in Bulgaria (Coleoptera: Scarabaeoidea). Acta Zoologica Bulgarica 56: 253-275.

Horion A (1958) Faunistik der mitteleuropäischen Käfer. Band VI: Lamellicornia (Scarabaeidae - Lucanidae). August Feyel Verlag, Überlingen-Bodensee, xxiv + 343 pp.
Juřena D, Týr V, Bezděk A (2008) Příspěvek k faunistickému výzkumu listorohých brouků (Coleoptera: Scarabaeoidea) na území České republiky a Slovenska). Contribution to the faunistic research on Scarabaeoidea (Coleoptera) in the Czech Republic and Slovakia. Klapalekiana 44 (Supplementum): 17-176. [in Czech with English summary]
Král D, Nikolajev GV (2006) Geotrupidae: Lethrinae. In: Löbl I, Smetana A (Eds) Catalogue of Palaearctic Coleoptera, Vol. 3. Scarabaeoidea - Scirtoidea - Dasciloidea - Buprestoidea - Byrrhoidea. Apollo Books, Stenstrup, 93-95.

Král D, Hillert O (2013) Three new Lethrus species close to L. raymondi (Coleoptera: Geotrupidae) from the Balkan Peninsula. Acta Entomologica Musei Nationalis Pragae 53: 219-244.
Král D, Rejsek J, Schneider J (2001) Lethrus (Lethrus) ares sp. n. (Coleoptera: Geotrupidae) from Greece. Klapalekiana 37: 253-260.
Mikšić R (1970) Katalog der Lamellicornia Jugoslawiens. Institut za šumarstvo, Sarajevo, 71 pp.
Nikolajev GV (1975) Neue und wenig bekannte Scarabaeiden-Arten aus dem palearktischen Faunenegebiet (Coleoptera). Annales Historico-Naturales Musei Nationalis Hungarici 67: 147-149.
Nikolajev GV (2003) Zhuki-kravchiki (Scarabaeidae, Geotrupinae, Lethrini): biologiya, sistematika, rasprostraneniye, opredelitel' [Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae, Geotrupinae, Lethrini: biology, taxonomy, distribution, key]. Kazak universiteti, Almaty, 254 pp. [in Russian].
Panin S (1957) Fauna Republicii Populare Romîne, Insecta. Volumul 10, Fascicula 4. Coleoptera Familia Scarabaeidae (Subfamiliile: 1 Coprinae, 2 Geotrupinae, 3 Aphodiinae, 4 Aegialiinae, 5 Hybosorinae, 6, Ochodaeinae, 7 Orphninae, 8 Troginae, 9 Glaphyrinae, 10 Sericinae, 13 Hopliinae, 14 Dynastinae, 15 Valginae, 16 Trichiinae și 17 Cetoniinae). [Fauna of the Peoples's Republic of Romania, Insecta, volume 10, fascicle 4. Coleoptera family Scarabaeidae (subfamilies 1 Coprinae, 2 Geotrupinae, 3 Aphodiinae, 4 Aegialiinae, 5 Hybosorinae, 6, Ochodaeinae, 7 Orphninae, 8 Troginae, 9 Glaphyrinae, 10 Sericinae, 13 Hopliinae, 14 Dynastinae, 15 Valginae, 16 Trichiinae and 17 Cetoniinae]. Editura Academiei Republicii Populare Romîne, București, 316 pp +36 pls. [in Romanian]
Pittino R (2011) Lethrus (Lethrus) liviae n. sp. from European Turkey (Coleoptera Geotrupidae). Giornale Italiano di Entomologia 12: 381-394.
Reitter E (1890) Analytische Uebersicht der bekannten Lethrus-Arten. Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift 1890: 289-295.
Sémenov-Tian-Shanskij A, Medvedev SI (1936) Opredelitel zhukov-kravchikov (triba Lethrini sem. Scarabaeidae). (Synopsis des genres et espèces de la tribu Lethrini (fam. Scarabaeidae)). Opredeliteli po faune SSSR, izdavaemiye zoologicheskim institutom akademii nauk 18. [Keys to identification of the USSR fauna, published by the Institute of Zoology of the Academy of Sciences 18]. Izdatelstvo Akademii Nauk SSSR, Moskva-Leningrad, 104 pp. [in Russian, French title]


[^0]:    Academic editor: N. Bogutskaya | Received 22 February 2013 | Accepted 12 September 2013 | Published 3 October 2013
    Citation: Borkenhagen K, Krupp F (2013) Taxonomic revision of the genus Carasobarbus Karaman, 1971 (Actinopterygii, Cyprinidae). ZooKeys 339: 1-53. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.339.4903

[^1]:    1 Results of the Himalaya Expeditions of Dr. Jochen Martens.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Subías (2004, online version 2013) includes the genus Onazetes Bugrov, 1991 with the type species, Onazetes umbellatus Bugrov, 1991 (see Bugrov 1991), in Lepidozetes. However, O. umbellatus has an additional pair of porose areas close to notogastral setae $c$ (it absent in all Lepidozetes species) and five pairs of genital setae (six pairs in all Lepidozetes-species). Further research is needed to establish the taxonomical position of Onazetes.

