RESEARCH ARTICLE



The remarkable scorpion diversity in the Ecuadorian Andes and description of a new species of *Tityus* C. L. Koch, 1836 (Scorpiones, Buthidae)

Wilson R. Lourenço^{1,†}, Eric Ythier^{2,‡}

I Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Département Systématique et Evolution, UMR7205, CP 053, 57 rue Cuvier, 75005 Paris, France **2** SynTech Research, 613 route du Bois de Loyse, 71570 La Chapelle de Guinchay, France

† urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:58448BD6-79D7-46CE-AFDD-91EFF2B7D4EF ‡ urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:06FD0852-A88E-49E5-B8E6-E1494B86C4E1

Corresponding author: Wilson R. Lourenço (arachne@mnhn.fr)

Academic editor: Lyubomir Penev Received 16 April 2013 Accepted 16 May 2013 Published 5 June 2013				
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:9FDEEA6E-DB6F-4170-B102-E8622ADBB429				

Citation: Lourenço WR, Ythier E (2013) The remarkable scorpion diversity in the Ecuadorian Andes and description of a new species of *Tityus* C. L. Koch, 1836 (Scorpiones, Buthidae). ZooKeys 307: 1–13. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.307.5334

Abstract

A new species of *Tityus*, subgenus *Atreus* (Scorpiones: Buthidae) is described from the Province of Pichincha in the Ecuadorian Andes. Ecuadorian scorpion fauna remains one of the less well studied among those of South America. Nevertheless, some comments are addressed about its remarkable diversity and high level of endemic elements.

Keywords

Scorpiones, Buthidae, Tityus, Ecuador, New Species, diversity

Introduction

The scorpion fauna inhabiting the regions between Southern Colombia and the Ecuadorian Andes has attracted the attention of experts since the middle of the 19th century (e.g. Gervais 1844; Thorell 1876; Pocock 1893, 1898; Borelli 1899; Kraepelin 1912a, b; Mello-Leitão 1945). Only since the 1980s has this fauna been more frequently studied and several new species have been described (Lourenço 1984, 1988, 1991, 1992, 1994a, 1995, 1997, 1999, 2000; Botero-Trujillo 2008, 2009; Botero-Trujillo and Francke 2009).

Although studies by Lourenço (1988, 1995) represented the first attempt to produce a synthesis of the Ecuadorian scorpion fauna, these contributions probably only represented a small part of the fauna actually present in Ecuador. This conjecture is supported by the descriptions of new species from different regions of the country (e. g. Lourenço 2005, 2007). In the winter (southern hemisphere) of 2012, scorpions were collected from mountains within the Ecuadorian Andes. Two specimens (1 male, 1 female) were discovered to be a new species belonging to the genus *Tityus*. Herein, we describe this new species and comment on the scorpion fauna found within the Ecuadorian region, and suggest several hypotheses regarding mechanisms that generated this remarkable diversity.

Methods

Illustrations and measurements were made with the aid of a Wild M5 stereo-microscope with a drawing tube (camera lucida) and an ocular micrometer. Measurements follow Stahnke (1970) and are given in mm. Trichobothrial notations follow Vachon (1974) while morphological terminology mostly follows Hjelle (1990).

Taxonomic treatment

Family Buthidae C. L. Koch, 1837 Genus *Tityus* C. L. Koch, 1836 Subgenus *Atreus* Gervais, 1843

Tityus (Atreus) crassicauda sp. n.


urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:2F53526B-67DB-419D-8F64-650AF221B19E http://species-id.net/wiki/Tityus_crassicauda Figs 1–18

Material examined. Ecuador, Pichincha Province, Tandayapa, 2200 m, VIII/2012 (G. Onore & I. Tapia leg.). Inside of Lauraceae rotten logs.

Male holotype and female paratype. Deposited in the Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris.

Etymology. Specific name refers to the strongly enlarged posterior metasomal segments.


Diagnosis. Scorpions of medium size in relation to other species within the genus. Total length in male and female, 51.9 and 50.5 mm, respectively. General coloration reddish-brown with darker blackish zones; carapace and tergites with three longitudi-




Figures 1-2. Tityus (Atreus) crassicauda sp. n., male holotype, dorsal and ventral aspects.

nal brownish stripes, separated by yellow zones. Metasomal segments flattened, comparatively to other species of the group; segments IV and V very strongly enlarged, especially in the male holotype. Dorsal carinae of metasomal II to IV terminating distally with two very strong spinoid granules, more distinct on the female paratype. Pectines small with 15–15 teeth on male and 15–14 on female; basal piece of the middle lamella strongly dilated on female, and oval in shape. Cutting edges of fixed and movable fingers of pedipalp chela with 12–13 and 12–14 rows of granules on the male and female, respectively.


Relationships. The new species is clearly allied to *Tityus forcipula* (Gervais, 1843) and other associated species such as *Tityus spinatus* Pocock, 1898 and *Tityus cuellari* Lourenço, 1994, species also known from the Colombian and Ecuadorian Andes and, previously defined as part of the *Tityus forcipula* complex (see Lourenço, 1984 for details). It can, however, be distinguished from all these species and in particular from *T. forcipula* by a number of features: (i) a distinct pattern of coloration and pigmentation, with brownish longitudinal stripes on the carapace and tergites, which are absent from the other species, (ii) sternites III to VII with a very strongly marked granulation but a moderately marked setation; granulations are less marked on the other species,



Figures 3-4. Tityus (Atreus) crassicauda sp. n., female paratype, dorsal and ventral aspects.



Figures 5–9. *Tityus (Atreus) crassicauda* sp. n. Trichobothrial pattern of male holotype **5–6** Chela dorso-external and ventral aspects **7–8** Patella, dorsal and external aspects **9** Femur, dorsal aspect (scale bar = 3 mm).



Figures 10–12. *Tityus (Atreus) crassicauda* sp. n. **10** Right chelicera, dorsal aspect (male holotype) **11–12** Metasomal segments III-V and telson, lateral aspect (male holotype and female paratype) **13** Segments IV, V and telson for *Tityus (Atreus) forcipula*, male holotype **14** Segments II to V and telson for *Tityus (Atreus) spinatus*, female holotype (scale bars = 3 mm).

(iii) metasomal segments strongly flattened and very strongly enlarged, especially in the male holotype; less enlarged in the other species, (iv) tergites with only moderately marked granulation, (v) pedipalp segments and chela are smooth to lustrous; somewhat granular in the other species.

Description based on male holotype and female paratype (measurements in Table 1).

Coloration. Reddish-brown with darker, blackish areas. Prosoma: carapace reddish-brown, with yellowish zones between posterior median carinae. Mesosoma: reddish-brown with three brown to blackish longitudinal stripes extending from the posterior zone of the carapace and over tergites I to VII. Metasomal segments I to III reddish-brown, with dark to blackish carinae; IV and V reddish-brown dorsally, blackish laterally and ventrally. Vesicle: brownish-black; aculeus yellowish. Venter is reddish-brown, with some yellowish zones. Chelicerae yellowish-brown, with a very dark thread of variegated spots; fingers dark; teeth reddish. Pedipalps: reddish; fingers blackish, with extremities yellowish. Legs reddish-yellow to reddish-brown, with some diffuse and discrete fuscous spots; tarsi yellowish.

Morphology. Carapace moderately to strongly granular; anterior margin with a moderately marked concavity. Anterior median superciliary and posterior median carinae moderate to strong. Furrows moderately to strongly deep. Median ocular tubercle distinctly anterior to the centre of carapace. Eyes separated by a little more than one ocular diameter. Three pairs of lateral eyes. Sternum triangular. Mesosomal


	<i>T. crassicauda</i> sp. n.		T. forcipula	
	3	- P	ð	
Total length (including telson)	51.9	50.5	58.4	57.8
Carapace			·	
length	5.7	5.7	6.4	6.3
anterior width	3.9	3.9	4.5	4.4
posterior width	6.5	6.9	7.4	7.8
Mesosoma length:	13.3	14.9	13.6	17.8
Metasomal segment I:			·	
length	4.1	3.7	4.3	4.4
width	3.7	3.5	4.2	3.7
Metasomal segment II:				
length	4.9	4.2	5.5	4.6
width	4.0	3.3	4.3	3.8
Metasomal segment III:				
length	5.3	4.6	6.2	5.4
width	4.3	3.5	4.8	3.9
Metasomal segment IV:				
length	5.9	5.2	7.2	5.9
width	5.4	4.0	5.3	4.5
Metasomal segment V:				
length	6.5	6.1	8.3	6.8
width	5.8	4.3	5.3	4.8
depth	3.2	2.6	4.3	3.6
Telson length:	6.2	6.1	6.9	6.6
Vesicle:				
width	3.0	2.6	3.3	3.2
depth	2.5	2.3	2.7	2.4
Pedipalp Femur:				
length	6.0	5.5	6.8	6.5
width	1.7	1.8	2.0	2.0
Pedipalp Patella:				
length	6.8	6.1	7.7	7.1
width	2.5	2.2	2.8	2.4
Pedipalp Chela:				
length	11.6	10.2	13.2	12.1
width	3.4	2.2	4.1	2.5
depth	3.5	2.0	3.9	2.6
Movable finger:				
length	7.6	7.1	8.2	8.2

Table I. Measurements (in mm) of male holotype and female paratype of *Tityus crassicauda* sp. n., and of male and female of *Tityus forcipula* from Pichincha.



Figure 15. Tityus (Atreus) crassicauda sp. n. Male holotype alive in thefield.

tergites moderately granular. Median carina strong on all tergites. Tergite VII pentacarinate. Venter: genital operculum divided longitudinally, forming two semi-oval plates. Pectines small; pectinal tooth count 15-15 in male holotype and 15-14 in female paratype; basal piece of the middle lamellae of the female pectines oval shaped and strongly dilated. Sternites very strongly granular, in particular on male holotype; spiracles moderately elongated; VII with four moderately to strongly marked carinae. Metasomal segments strongly flattened dorsally; IV and V very strongly enlarged, especially in the male holotype; segments I and II with ten carinae; III and IV with eight carinae, crenulate; V with five carinae. Dorsal carinae on segments II to IV with two very strong spinoid granules, distally. Lateral inframedian carinae on segment I complete, crenulate; on II almost complete; absent from III and IV. Ventrolateral carinae strong, crenulated; ventral submedian carinae strongly crenulate. Intercarinal spaces moderately granular. Segment V with weak dorsolateral carinae; ventrolateral and ventromedian carinae strong, crenulate. Lateral intercarinal spaces moderately to strongly granular. Telson moderately granular, with a long, strongly curved aculeus. Dorsal surface smooth; ventral surface weakly granular; subaculear tooth strong and spino-rhomboidal in shape. Cheliceral dentition characteristic of the family Buthidae (Vachon, 1963); movable finger with two basal teeth well distinct; ventral aspect of



Figures 16–17. The natural habitat of *Tityus (Atreus) crassicauda* sp. n. **16** General view of the Andean Mountains **17** Detail of the vegetation cover.




Figure 18. Map of Ecuador showing the distribution of the known species of *Tityus*.

both fingers and manus with long, dense setae. Pedipalps: femur pentacarinate; patella with seven carinae; chela with nine carinae, more distinct on female paratype; all faces with only a few granules; smooth. Fixed and movable fingers of chela with respectively 12 and 13 oblique rows of granules on the male holotype and 12–14 on the female paratype. Trichobothriotaxy; orthobothriotaxy A- α (alpha) (Vachon, 1974, 1975). Legs: tarsus with two series of 6–7 setae on ventral surface.

Habitat. The new species inhabits the tropical forests of the Ecuadorian Andean Mountains, in the Pichincha Province. The specimens were collected at an altitude of 2200 m, inside of rotten Lauraceae logs.

The remarkable diversity of the Ecuadorian scorpion fauna

As already outlined by Lourenço (1994b), many if not most authors working on the floras and faunas of the Neotropical region seem to agree that the possible 'epicentre' of global diversity occurs in the tropical and subtropical Andean region (the upper Amazon, North of Peru, and most of Ecuador and Southern Colombia). This hypothesis is supported by studies conducted on various taxa (plants, vertebrates and butterflies (Gentry 1988, 1992)). In the same line of thinking, Lourenço (1994b) suggested that one of the areas exhibiting the highest alpha-diversity for scorpions in the world ranged from Southern Colombia, Ecuador, the Northeast region of Peru, and the Upper Amazon region of Brazil. This region has been already the subject of other previous research programs, namely the 'Transecto Ecuadorial Pacifico Amazonico', as defined by Van Velzen (1992). Despite studies such as these, there still remain important gaps in the knowledge of scorpion communities within the Andean region. By expanding the area studied eastward, it would allow for a more accurate survey of Colombia, Peru and Brazil.

The number of endemic taxa in this region is very high - more than 80% - and is comparable to the number of endemic taxa found in other previously studied regions such as Baja California (about 75%) (Williams 1980; Lourenço 1994b). However, desert areas such as Baja California are much better studied than tropical areas. Consequently, a substantial increase in the total number of species in North American deserts is unlikely. The use of ultra-violet light in the detection of scorpions in open areas such as deserts has been intense for many decades. On the other hand, inventory work in tropical forests is still fairly new and UV light is not as efficient in this type of habitat, mainly because of the landscape vegetation. Moreover, for several sections of the tropical forests such as the canopy, knowledge of the scorpion fauna is virtually non-existent (Lourenço and Pézier 2002). Consequently, the effective number of species in rainforests may be much greater than what is presently estimated; see Lourenço (1994b) for comparative numbers.

The scorpion fauna of the Ecuadorian Andes is characterized by an outstanding concentration of species belonging to genera such as *Tityus* and *Teuthraustes* Simon, 1878 (Chactidae). Within each genus, the species show to be closely related. The speciation pattern of the scorpions corresponds to the explosive model proposed by Gentry (1992) for plants of the genus *Gasteranthus* which have a similar range of distribution in this same area. The proposed mechanism operating process is associated with some type of genetic transilience associated with genetic drift in small founder populations, a process also postulated for Hawaiian *Drosophila* (Gentry 1992).

Acknowledgements

We are most grateful to Michael M. Webber, University of Nevada, Las Vegas for her comments and review of the manuscript and to two anonymous referees for useful comments and suggestions; to Elise-Anne Leguin, MNHN, Paris for the preparation of some photos and to Peter Schwendinger of the Geneva Museum for the loan of specimens of *Tityus forcipula*. We also want to express our sincere gratitude to Prof. G. Onore for providing the collected specimens.

References

- Borelli A (1899) Viaggio del Dr. Enrico Festa nell'Ecuador e regioni vicine. XVIII: Scorpioni. Bollettino dei Musei di zoologia ed anatomia comparata della Real Università di Torino 14(345): 1–18.
- Botero-Trujillo R (2008) The scorpion genus Ananteris in Colombia: comments and description of two new species (Scorpiones, Buthidae). The Journal of Arachnology 36: 287–299. doi: 10.1636/CH07-98.1
- Botero-Trujillo R (2009) Two new species of *Ananteris* (Scorpiones, Buthidae) from El Tuparro Natural National Park, eastern Colombia. Comptes Rendus Biologies 332: 83–94. doi: 10.1016/j.crvi.2008.08.012
- Botero-Trujillo R, Francke OF (2009) A new species of troglomorphic leaf litter scorpion from Colombia belonging to the genus *Troglotayosicus* (Scorpiones: Troglotayosicidae). Texas Memorial Museum Speleological Monograph 7: 1–10.
- Gentry AH (1988) Tree species richness of upper Amazonian forests. Proceedings of the national Academy of Sciences USA 85: 156–159. doi: 10.1073/pnas.85.1.156
- Gentry AH (1992) Tropical forest biodiversity: distributional patterns and their conservation significance. Oikos 63(1): 19–28. doi: 10.2307/3545512
- Gervais P (1844) Remarques sur la famille des Scorpions et description de plusieurs espèces nouvelles de la collection du Muséum. Archives du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle 4: 203–240.
- Hjelle JT (1990) Anatomy and morphology. In: Polis GA (Ed). The Biology of Scorpions. Stanford University Press, Stanford, 9–63.
- Kraepelin K (1912a) Neue Beiträge zur Systematik der Gliederspinnen. Mitteilungen aus dem Naturhistorischen Museum 29: 45–88.
- Kraepelin K (1912b) Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Skorpione und Pedipalpen Columbiens. Mémoires de la Société des Sciences naturelles, Neuchâtel 5: 15–28.
- Lourenço WR (1984) Etude systématique de quelques espèces appartenant au complexe *Tityus forcipula* (Gervais, 1844) (Scorpiones, Buthidae). Bulletin du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris 4e sér., 6(A3): 729–739.
- Lourenço WR (1988) La faune des scorpions de l'Equateur. I. Les Buthidae. Systématique et biogéographie. Revue suisse de Zoologie 95(3): 681–687.
- Lourenço WR (1991) Les scorpions (Chelicerata) de Colombie. II. Les faunes des régions de Santa Marta et de la Cordillère Orientale. Approche biogéographique. Senckenbergiana Biologica 7(4–6): 275–288.
- Lourenço WR (1992) Biogéographie des espèces du groupe naturel '*Tityus clathratus*' (Chelicerata, Scorpiones, Buthidae). Bulletin du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, 4e sér., 14(A2): 473–481.

- Lourenço WR (1994a) Scorpions (Chelicerata) de Colombie. VI. Quatre nouvelles espèces de Buthidae des régions Amazonienne, Sud-Pacifique et de la Cordillère Orientale. Revista de la Academia Colombiana de Ciencias Exactas, Fisicas y Naturales 19(73): 387–392.
- Lourenço WR (1994b) Diversity and endemism in tropical versus temperate scorpion communities. Biogeographica 70(3): 155–160.
- Lourenço WR (1995) Les scorpions (Chelicerata, Scorpiones) de l'Equateur, avec quelques considérations sur la biogéographie et la diversité des espèces. Revue suisse de Zoologie 102(1): 61–88.
- Lourenço WR (1997) Synopsis de la faune de scorpions de Colombia, avec des considérations sur la systématique et la biogéographie des espèces. Revue suisse de Zoologie 104(1): 61–94.
- Lourenço WR (1999) New species of *Ananteris* from the north of Chocó, Colombia (Scorpiones, Buthidae). Anales del Instituto de Biologia, UNAM, ser. Zool. 70(2): 93–98.
- Lourenço WR (2000) Synopsis of the Colombian species of *Tityus* (Chelicerata, Scorpiones, Buthidae), with descriptions of three new species. Journal of Natural History 34: 449–461. doi: 10.1080/002229300299561
- Lourenço WR (2005) A new species of *Tityus* Koch, 1836 (Scorpiones, Buthidae) from Ecuador. Entomologische Mitteilungen aus dem Zoologischen Museum Hamburg 14(171): 221–227.
- Lourenço WR (2007) A new species of *Tityus* C. L. Koch, 1836 from Ecuador: the first element of the '*Tityus androcottoides*' subgroup for this country. Entomologische Mitteilungen aus dem Zoologischen Museum Hamburg 14(176): 375–385.
- Lourenço WR, Pézier A (2002) Addition to the scorpion fauna of the Manaus region (Brazil), with a description of two new species of *Tityus* from the canopy. Amazoniana 17(1/2): 177–186.
- Mello-Leitão C (1945) Escorpiões Sul Americanos. Arquivos do Museu Nacional, Rio de Janeiro 40: 1–468.
- Pocock RI (1893) A contribution to the study of Neotropical Scorpions. Annals and Magazine of Natural History, ser. 6, 12: 77–103.
- Pocock RI (1898) Description of some new scorpions from Ecuador. Annals and Magazine of Natural History, ser. 7, 1: 413–422. doi: 10.1080/00222939808677995
- Stahnke HL (1970) Scorpion nomenclature and mensuration. Entomological News 81: 297–316.
- Thorell T (1876) On the classification of scorpions. Annals and Magazine of Natural History: 1–15. doi: 10.1080/00222937608681889
- Vachon M (1963) De l'utilité, en systématique, d'une nomenclature des dents des chélicères chez les Scorpions. Bulletin du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris 2è sér. 35(2): 161–166.
- Vachon M (1974) Etude des caractères utilisés pour classer les familles et les genres de Scorpions (Arachnides). 1. La trichobothriotaxie en arachnologie. Sigles trichobothriaux et types de trichobothriotaxie chez les Scorpions. Bulletin du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, 3è sér. n° 140, Zool. 104: 857–958.

- Vachon M (1975) Sur l'utilisation de la trichobothriotaxie du bras des pédipalpes des Scorpions (Arachnides) dans le classement des genres de la famille des Buthidae Simon. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris 281: 1597–1599.
- Van Velzen HP (1992) Priorities for conservation of the biodiversity in the Colombian Andes. Novedades Colombianas 4: 1–32.
- Williams SC (1980) Scorpions of Baja California, Mexico, and adjacent islands. Occasional Papers of the California Academy of Sciences 135: 1–127.

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Maeropsis paphavasitae and Rotomelita longipropoda, two new species (Crustacea, Amphipoda) from Lower Gulf of Thailand

Koraon Wongkamhaeng^{1,†}, Charles Oliver Coleman^{2,‡}, Pornsilp Pholpunthin^{1,§}

 Excellence Center for Biodiversity of Peninsular Thailand, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University, 90112 Thailand 2 Museum für Naturkunde Berlin, Invalidenstraße 43, 10115 Berlin, Germany 3 Marine and Coastal Resources Institute (MACORIN), Prince of Songkla University,90112 Thailand

turn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:D34BFAAF-A3F5-47E4-9671-A1F02717D789
turn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:1EC18609-2D14-462B-8E59-B1CE40166FAF
turn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:52AAF3DE-00F0-47FC-90F0-8484B6F4F411

Corresponding author: Koraon Wongkamhaeng (koraon@gmail.com)

Academic editor: Alan Myers | Received 7 April 2013 | Accepted 4 June 2013 | Published 5 June 2013 urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:5BB39514-21B4-4DA1-A9CF-DC6DD0E782FE

Citation: Wongkamhaeng K, Coleman CO, Pholpunthin P (2013) *Maeropsis paphavasitae* and *Rotomelita longipropoda*, two new species (Crustacea, Amphipoda) from Lower Gulf of Thailand. ZooKeys 307: 15–33. doi: 10.3897/ zookeys.307.5273

Abstract

Two new species of maerid and melitid Amphipoda, *Maeropsis paphavasitae* and *Rotomelita longipropoda*, respectively, collected from a seagrassbed of the Lower Gulf of Thailand, are described. *Maeropsis paphavasitae* is characterized by it seven teeth on the palm of gnathopod 2 and *R. longipropoda* can be recognized by its long gnathopod 1 propodus. Their characters are described and illustrated. All specimens are deposited at Princess Maha Chakri Sirindhorn Natural History Museum, Prince of Songkla University, Thailand and the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin.

Keywords

Crustacea, Amphipoda, Maeridae, Melitidae, *Maeropsis paphavasitae*, *Rotomelita longipropoda*, seagrass bed, Gulf of Thailand, new species, taxonomy

Introduction

The Gulf of Thailand contains many seagrass beds on the coast both the mainland and the islands along the gulf. There are 12 species of seagrass reported from this area. The seagrass habitats in Thailand have been investigated since 1902, covering various topics from both fauna and flora (Prathep et al. 2010). However, only one contribution deals with gammaridean amphipods, *Cheiriphotis trifurcata* was report (Wongkamhaeng et al. 2012). In this study, we describe the two new gammaridean species *Maeropsis paphavasita* sp. n. and *Rotomelita longipropoda* sp. n. , both of which were found in the seagrass. The discovery of them represents the first record of these two genera in South China Sea. Figures and descriptions of both species are provided.

Material and methods

Amphipods were collected using a $20 \times 20 \text{cm}^2$ Ekman's grab in the mix of species seagrass beds of Talet Bay and Phangan Island (Figure 1). The sites were visited at low tide and the specimens were collected from the subtidal zone (2–5 m). The sediment was sieved with a 0.5 mm sieve. Amphipod specimens were sorted out and preserved in 70% ethanol. In the laboratory, the animals were examined using a compound microscope and later selected for dissection. The appendages were examined and figures were drawn using an Olympus CH30 light microscope with a camera lucida. The following abbreviations are used : A, antenna; G, gnathopod; HD, head; LL, lowerlip; MD, mandible; MX, maxilla; MP, maxilliped; P, pereopod; Pl, pleopod; T, telson; U, uropod; UR, urosome;UL, upperlip; r, right;l, left; \mathcal{J} , male; \mathcal{Q} , female. The type material of the new species is deposited at Prince of Songkla University Zoological Collection (PSUZC) and the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin (ZMB).

Results

Systematics

Maeridae Krapp-Schickel, 2008

Maeropsis Chevreux, 1919 http://species-id.net/wiki/Maeropsis

Diagnosis (modified from Krapp-Schickel 2008). Eyes reniform or round. Body smooth. Mandible palp article 1 with tooth-shaped distal prolongation, article 3 slender, linear. Maxilla 2 inner plate setation also present laterally. Gnathopods subchelate,




Figure 1. Map of sampling area.

both with well-defined palmar corner. Gnathopod 2 dactylus outer margin smooth; palm with small U-shaped excavation, palmar corner with tooth-shaped elevation and bearing. Pereopod dactyli simple. Uropod 3 with subequal rami, distally truncated, no second article on outer ramus visible. Epimera 1–3 smooth, with posterodistal tip. Telson cleft, lobes distally incised, outer end of incision clearly longer than inner one; with 1-2 setae inserted in incision.

Type species. *Maeropsis perrieri* Chevreux, 1919 (type by monotypy).

Species composition. *Maeropsis brevispina* (Kim & Kim, 1991); *Maeropsis cobia* Krapp-Schickel, 2009; *Maeropsis griffini* (Berents, 1983), *Maeropsis perrieri* Chevreux, 1919 (type species); *Maeropsis paphavasitae* sp. n. (this study); *Maeropsis rathbunae* (Pearse, 1908); *Maeropsis revelata* (Krapp et al., 1996); *Maeropsis serratipalma* (Nagata, 1965).

Maeropsis paphavasitae sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:103B72E3-73B0-4DA4-A1CC-CC0BFD3D0C72 http://species-id.net/wiki/Maeropsis_paphavasitae

Type material. *Holotype.* ♂, THAILAND, Lower Gulf of Thailand, Talet Bay (09°18'39.5"N, 99°46'46.4"E), seagrass bed (associated with *Thalassia hemprichii*), 1 May 2008, Puttapreecha, R., PSUZC-CR-0198.

Paratypes, collected with holotype ZMB 27979 (3^{\bigcirc}); PSUZC-CR-0199 (3^{\bigcirc} ; 5^{\bigcirc})

Description. Based on male holotype. Body length 5.1 mm (from tip of rostrum to apex of telson). *Body* compressed, subcylindrical. *Head*, lateral cephalic lobe truncate, without rostrum, eyes round. *Antenna* 1, ratios of peduncular articles 1–3 9:10:2.5; peduncular article 1 with a ventromarginal robust seta and a distoventral robust seta; accessory flagellum with 6 articles.

Upper lip, (labrum) distally rounded. Lower lip, inner lobes small, pubescent; outer lobes covered with thin hair-like setae. Mandible, both incisors with 7 teeth; lacinia mobilis armed with 5 teeth on the left side and 4 teeth on the right side; molar short, cylindrical; palp 3-articulate with ratios of 4:9:3, article 2 with marginal setae and article 3 with apical setae. Maxilla 1, inner plate with 3 plumose setae apically and marginal fine setae medially; outer plate with 6 serrate robust setae apically; palp 2-articulate with apical fine setae. Maxilla 2, inner plate with lateral and medial marginal setae; outer plate broader than inner plate, distally setose. Maxil-liped, inner plate with 9 plumose setae; outer plate semi-oval, inner margin with 9 robust setae distally; palp 4-articulate, article 3 with 15 fine setae, article 4 with 6 fine marginal setae.

Pereon. Gnathopod 1 subchelate, smaller than gnathopod 2; coxa anterodistally produced; basis posterior margin with 3 setae, longer than ischium and merus combined; carpus posterior margin and lateral surface densely setose; palm oblique. Gnathopod 2, basis with 2 posterior marginal setae; ischium and merus short; carpus triangular; propodus robust, subrectangular, 1.5 × longer than broad with posterior marginal plumose setae, palm transverse with 6 blunt teeth and an acute palmar corner, inner face bearing 1 subposterodistal robust seta; dactylus fits with palm, inner margin smooth, outer marginal setae. Pereopod 3–4 similar to each other, basis with anterior and posterior marginal setae. Pereopod 6–7 similar, basis broader than pereopod 5, posterodistally produced with short anterior and posterior marginal setae; dactyli curved.

Pleon. Epimera 1–2 posteroventral corner smooth, not produced. Epimeron 3 produced posteroventrally, with 3 short ventral setae. Uropod 1 longest, peducle longer than rami, posterior margin fringed with robust setae, anterior with 1 basofacial robust seta. Uropod 2, peduncle shorter than both rami; inner ramus longer than outer ramus. Uropod 3, peduncle shorter than rami; inner ramus subequal to outer ramus, distally truncate; inner ramus bearing only 1 proximal robust seta and 4 terminal setae. Telson longer than wide, cleft more than 95% of its length, lobes




Figure 2. *Maeropsis paphavasitae* sp. n. holotype, male, (PSUZC-CR-00198), 5.1 mm. Talet Bay, Lower Gulf of Thailand. All scales represent 0.2 mm.

distally incised, outer end of incision longer than inner one, with 3 long apical setae and 2 lateral plumose setae.

Female. (sexually dimorphic characters). No sexual difference.




Figure 3. *Maeropsis paphavasitae* sp. n. holotype, male, (PSUZC-CR-00198), 5.1 mm. Talet Bay, Lower Gulf of Thailand. Scales for A1 and A2 represent 0.2 mm; MX2, LL, UL represent 0.1 mm.




Figure 4. *Maeropsis paphavasitae* sp. n. holotype, male, (PSUZC-CR-00198), 5.1 mm. Talet Bay, Lower Gulf of Thailand. Scales for P3, P4, U1-3 represent 0.2 mm;T represents 0.1 mm.




Figure 5. *Maeropsis paphavasita* sp. n. holotype, male, (PSUZC-CR-00198), 5.1 mm. Talet Bay, Lower Gulf of Thailand. All scales represent 0.5 mm.



Figure 6. *Maeropsis paphavasita* sp. n. holotype, male, (PSUZC-CR-00198), 5.1 mm. Talet Bay, Lower Gulf of Thailand. All scales represent 0.1 mm.

Etymology. The species is named in honor of Associate Professor Nittharatana Paphavasit of Chulalongkorn University, Thailand who contributed to the knowledge on seagrass habitats in Thailand.

Remarks. *Maeropsis paphavasitae* sp. n. is very similar to *Maeropsis serratipalma* (Nagata, 1965) in the palm of gnathopod 2, which is transverse with 6 blunt teeth and a large defining tooth in both species. But the new species can be distinguished from *M. serratipalma* by the following characters: gnathopod 1 palm without clear defining palmar corner; gnathopod 2, merus not produced into a posterodistal tooth (vs. produced); propodus of gnathopod 2 subtriangular (vs. subrectangular), palm inner surface with 1 subposterodistal robust seta (vs. without); dactyli of pereopods 3-7 curved and smooth (vs. bearing two minute teeth); uropod 3 inner ramus with only 1 proximal seta (vs. armed with several marginal robust setae) and telson longer than broad (vs. broader than long).

Melitidae Bousfield, 1973

Rotomelita J.L. Barnard, 1977

http://species-id.net/wiki/Rotomelita

Diagnosis (from Barnard 1977). Accessory flagellum 3+ articulate; mandibular palp thin, weak, articles linear, article 3 bearing few apical setae only; lower lip with small but fully discrete inner lobes; inner plate of maxilla 1 with only terminal setae; inner plate of maxilla 2 lacking medial setae; maxillipedal palp 4-articulate, article 4 unguiform; gnathopods ordinary, gnathopod 2 larger than gnathopod 1, lacking fuzz on article 5; uropod 3 greatly overreaching uropod 1, inner ramus small and scale-like, outer ramus immensely elongate, bearing short article 2; telson short, cleft, lobes very broad and apically truncate; urosomite 1 bearing 1 subdorsal spine on each side, otherwise pleonites dorsally smooth; anterior coxae (1–4) longer than posterior coxae (5–7); some gills pediculate.

Type species. Rotomelita lokoa J.L. Barnard, 1977 (type by original designation).

Species composition. *Rotomelita ana* J.L. Barnard, 1977; *Rotomelita lokoa* J.L. Barnard, 1977; *Rotomelita longipropoda* sp. n. (this study).

Rotomelita longipropoda sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:DAC77E5F-B08A-4640-96B9-A269E74ADABB http://species-id.net/wiki/Rotomelita_longipropoda

Type material. *Holotype.* ♂ (1.65 mm), THAILAND, Lower Gulf of Thailand, Phangan Island (9°41'48"N, 100°0'2"E), seagrass bed (associated with *Thalassia hemp-richii* and *Halophila ovalis*), 1 July 2009, Bantiwiwatkul, N.,PSUZC-CR-0195.

Allotype. \bigcirc , collected with holotype,PSUZC-CR-0196 (gravid female, 1.66 mm) *Other material.* Same data as for holotype, PSUZC-CR-0197 (5 \bigcirc ; 5 \bigcirc)

Description. Based on male holotype. Total body length 1.65 mm (from tip of rostrum to apex of telson). *Body*, rather slender and subcylindrical. *Head*, slightly shorter than first 2 pereonites; rostrum not developed; inferior antennal sinus shorter than eyes, concave, about 0.2 times head length; eye distinct. *Antenna 1*, longer than antenna 2, ratios of peduncular articles 1–3 2:2:1; article 1 slender; flagellum with 16 articles plus 1 rudimentary article, 2 times as long as peduncle; accessory flagellum with 3 articles, last article scale-like. *Antenna 2*, peduncle slender; gland cone fleshy, short, not reaching to end of peduncular article 3; articles 2-5 ratios 1:1:3:3; inner margin of article 4 and 5 with sparse setae; article 5 slightly shorter than 4; flagellum short with sparse setae, longer than peduncular article 5, composed of 7 articles, last article scale-like.

Upper lip (labrum), round and broad. Lower lip, inner lobe small, outer lobe pubescent, mandibular process well developed. Mandible, left incisor with 6 teeth and right incisor with 5 teeth; lacinia mobilis armed with 4 teeth on both sides; molar triturative; palp 3-articulate with ratios 1:2:3, article 3 with 3 apical setae. Maxilla 1, inner plate triangular, small, with 3 apical robust setae, outer plate with 8 apical serrate robust setae; palp 2-articulate, article 1 shorter than 2, article 2 apical margin with 4 robust setae. Maxilla 2, inner plate with 5 slender apical setae; outer plate subequal to inner plate, with 8 slender setae. Maxilliped, inner plate narrow, short, reaching half of outer plate, apically provided with 6 plumose setae and 3 stout robust setae; outer plate broad, subrectangular, almost reaching palp article 3, with 5 apical and marginal setae and 2 apical robust setae; palp 4-articulate, with ratios 4.5:4:4:3, article 4 unguiform.

Pereon. Gnathopod 1, subchelate, smaller than gnathopod 2; coxal plate subrectangular; length ratios of articles from basis to dactylus about 10:4:4:7:6:4; basis slender, posterior margin bearing long setae; ischium short, subrectangular with short posteromarginal setae;merus subrectangular with long and short posteromarginal setae; carpus trapezoidal with long setae on posterior margin; propodus subtriangular, shorter than carpus, palm transverse, produced, with sparse setae, posterodistal corner minutely serrate, slightly bending inwards; dactylus shorter than palm, falcate. Gnathopod 2, subchelate; coxal plate short and wide, subrectangular, length ratios of articles from basis to dactylus about 14:5:5:6:16:12; basis slender, 3 times as long as wide, anterior margin straight; ischium subrectangular, subequal to merus; carpus triangular; propodus enlarged, oval, 2 times as long as wide, palm strongly oblique with sparse setae; dactylus falcate, slightly shorter than palmar margin, inner margin smooth, the inner surface not excavate.

Pereopod 3, slender and elongate; coxal plate small and subtrapezoidal, with 5 fine setae on anterioroventral margin; length ratios of articles from basis to dacty-lus 10:2:6:5:6:2; basis slender; ischium short, subrectangular; merus slightly longer than carpus, produced anteriorly; carpus slender with apical setae; propodus sub-rectangular; basis to propodus bearing sparse setae on both sides; dactylus falcate,




Figure 7. *Rotomelita longipropoda* sp. n. holotype, male, (PSUZC-CR-00195), 1.65 mm, Phangan Island, Lower Gulf of Thailand. All scales represent 0.2 mm.




Figure 8. *Rotomelita longipropoda* sp. n. holotype, male, (PSUZC-CR-00195), 1.65 mm, Phangan Island, Lower Gulf of Thailand. All scales represent 0.2 mm.




Figure 9. *Rotomelita longipropoda* sp. n. holotype, male, (PSUZC-CR-00195), 1.65 mm, Phangan Island, Lower Gulf of Thailand. All scales represent 0.1 mm.




Figure 10. *Rotomelita longipropoda* sp. n. holotype, male, (PSUZC-CR-00195), 1.65 mm, Phangan Island, Lower Gulf of Thailand. All scales represent 0.05 mm.




Figure 11. *Rotomelita longipropoda* sp. n. allotype, female, (PSUZC-CR-00196), 1.66 mm, Phangan Island, Lower Gulf of Thailand. All scales represent 0.2 mm.

shorter than propodus. Pereopod 4, similar to pereopod 3, coxal plate subrectangular with fine setae on anteroventral margin; length ratios of articles from basis to dactylus about 12:2:6:5:6:2; basis slender; ischium short, subrectangular; merus produced anterodistally; carpus slender, subequal to propodus; basis to propodus with sparse setae on both margins; propodus long and narrow; dactylus falcate and short. Pereopod 5, shortest; coxa bilobed; length ratios of articles from basis to dactylus about 8:2:5:4:6:3; basis subrectangular with short fine setae on posterior margin; ischium shortest; merus with a posterodistal robust seta and 1 anterodistal seta; carpus with distal robust setae on both sides; propodus with 4 distal long fine setae; dactylus short and curved. Pereopod 6 elongate, 1.6 times as long as pereopod 5; coxa posteriorly produced with rounded lobe; length ratios of articles from basis to dactylus about 12:3:8:7:11:4; basisposterior margin straight with minute castellations, with fine setae on both margins; ischium short with fine setae on anteroventral corner; merus oblong, with robust setae on posterior margins and posterodistal corner; carpus subrectangular with 3 anterodistal robust setae; propodus oblong, slender with marginal robust setae, setose posterodistally; dactylus falcate. Pereopod 7, subequal to pereopod 6; coxa short and wide, semicircular; length ratios of articles from basis to dactylus about 12:3:8:8:14:5; basis posteriodistally produced, bearing fine setae on posterior margin; ischium short and subquadrate; merus elongate with robust setae on both sides; carpus subequal to merus, bearing 3 anterodistal robust setae; propodus oblong, longer than merus, bearing robust setae on both margins and anterodistal corner; dactylus falcate.

Pleon. *Epimera 1–3* each with small posterodistal tooth. *Uropod 1*, peduncle with 2 distal robust setae; rami slightly shorter than peduncle, armed with 3 apical robust setae. *Uropod 2*, peduncle subequal to rami; rami subequal, outer ramus with a marginal robust seta and apex armed with several long and short robust setae. *Uropod 3*, biramous; inner ramus minute, pointed apically, bearing 1 apicomedial robust seta; outer ramus biarticulate, with marginal robust setae, distal article short. *Telson*, broader than long, cleft, lobes very broad and apically truncate, each lobe with 2 apical setae.

Female. (allotype) (sexually dimorphic characters). Total body length 1.66 mm (from tip of rostrum to apex of telson).

Pereon. Gnathopod 1, subchelate, smaller than gnathopod 2; coxal plate subrectangular; length ratios from basis to dactylus about 10:4:4:6:5:4; propodus subtriangular, longer than dactylus, palm transverse with short marginal setae; dactylus falcate, tapering distally. Gnathopod 2, subchelate; length ratios from basis to dactylus about 10:5:5:6:8:5; basis slender, 2.5 times as long as wide, anterior margin weakly produced with sparse setae; ischium subrectangular; carpus triangular; propodus suboval, 1.6 times as long as wide, palm oblique, defined by 2 robust setae; dactylus falcate, slightly shorter than palmar margin, inner margin smooth.

Etymology. The specific name "longipropoda" is from latin 'longi = long' and 'propoda = propodus', referring to the relatively long propodus of male gnathopod 2 compared to congeners.

Remarks. *Rotomelita longipropoda* new species shares some characteristics with *R. lokoa* Barnard, 1977 and *R. ana* Barnard, 1977 from Hawaii by having stalked coxal gills; weakly sexually dimorphic gnathopod 1 and 2; coxa 1 not expanded distally; coxa 4 proximally excavated, smooth pleon segments 1-3 and urosomite 1, and a deeply cleft telson, with truncate lobes.

However, the new species can be easily distinguished from its congeners by having eyes (vs. lacking eyes in *R. lokoa* and *R. ana*); antenna 1 peduncle without robust setae (vs. with 2 robust setae on ventroproximal margin in *R. lokoa* and *R. ana*); male gna-thopod 2 with relatively long propodus (2.7 times as long as carpusvs, 1.6 times in the two other species) and the uropod 3 rami are shorter (2.2 times as long as peduncle) compared to the other two species (3.3 times as long as peduncle).

Rotomelita longipropoda is also similar to other Rotomelita subgroup i.e. Nainaloa Karaman & Barnard, 1979 and Tegano Barnard & Karaman, 1982. R. longipropoda can be distinguished from Nainaloa as follows: R. longipropoda gnathopod 1 palm has inner surface not excavate (inner face of propodus excavate in Nainaloa); pediculate gills (figure 8) (simple gill in Nainaloa); article 2 of pereopods 5—7 not lobed (lobed in Nainaloa) and truncate lateral cephalic lobes (prominent in Nainaloa). Besides, Rotomelita longipropoda is also allied to member of genus Tegano occurring in Indo-Pacific. They differ as follows: R. longipropoda has mandibular palp article 3 longer than article 2, bearing 3 apical setae (article 3 reduced with 1 apical seta in Tegano) and the telson short, cleft, lobes very broad and apically truncate (tapering and apically point in Tegano).

In terms of ecology *Rotomelita*, *Nainalao* and *Tegano* are recorded from fresh to brackish water (Barnard 1977; Bousfield 1971 and Lowry and Springthorpe, 2009) while *R. longipropoda* was collected from seagrass beds in a salinity range of 28—32 ppt. However, there is a small creek located 1 km northern of seagrass patch. The amphipods also can be considered as a brackish species. It is the first record of this genus from West Pacific.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Mrs Ratchanee Puttapreecha (Southern Marine and Coastal Resources Research Center) and Mrs Natthawadee Bantiwiwatkul (Marine and Coastal Resources Research Center, The Central Gulf of Thailand) for providing us withspecimens for this study. We are grateful to the Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University and Museum für Naturkunde, Germany for the laboratory facilities. Part of this work was supported by the Higher Education Research Promotion and National Research University Project of Thailand. The senior author likes to thank the Graduate School of the Prince of Songkla University for a scholarship which made an oversea research stay in Germany possible . We also thank Dr. Azman Abdul Rahim for drawing the map of the sampling area.

References

- Barnard JL (1977) The cavernicolous fauna of Hawaiian lava tubes 9. Amphipoda (Crustacea) from brackish lava ponds on Hawaii and Maui. Pacific Insects 17: 267–299.
- Barnard JL, Karaman G (1982) Classificatory revisions in Gammaridean Amphipoda (Crustacea), Part 2. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington, 95(1): 167–187.
- Berents PB (1983) The Melitidae of Lizard Island and Adjacent Reefs, The Great Barrier Reef, Australia (Crustacea: Amphipoda). Records of the Australian Museum 35:101–143. doi: 10.3853/j.0067-1975.35.1983.313
- Bousfield EL (1971) Amphipoda of the Bismarck Archipelago and adjacent Indo-Pacific islands (Crustacea). Steenstrupia. 1, 255–293
- Bousfield EL (1973) Shallow-water gammaridean Amphipoda of New England. Ithaca and London: Cornell University Press, 312 pp.
- Chevreux E (1919) Note préliminaire sur les amphipodes recueillis par les expéditions du Travailleur et du Talisman (1880–1883). Bulletin du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris 25(7): 574–580.
- Karaman G, Barnard JL (1979) Classificatory revisions in Gammaridean Amphipoda (Crustacea), Part 1. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington, 92(1): 106–163.
- Kim W, Kim CB (1991) The marine amphipod crustacean of Ulreung Island, Korea: Part III. The Korean Journal of Systematic Zoology 34: 323–337.
- Krapp-Schickel T (2008) On the genera Meximaera, Ruffomaera gen. nov., Maeropsis and Ceradocus from Atlantic coasts (Amphipoda: Melitidae). Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 88: 1425–1432. doi: 10.1017/S0025315408002014
- Krapp-Schickel T (2009) Maeridae, the *Ceradocus* group In: Lowry JK, Myers AA (Eds) (2009) Amphipoda (Crustacea: Peracarida) of the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. Zootaxa 2260: 1–930.
- Krapp T, Marti A, Ruffo S (1996) Three new Mediterranean Maera with remarks on the quadrimana complex. Beaufortia, 46: 27–51.
- Lowry JK, Springthorpe RT (2009) Melitidae, the Melita group.718–735. In: Lowry JK, Myers AA (Eds) Benthic Amphipoda (Crustacea: Peracarida) of the Great Barrier Reef, Australia. Zootaxa 2260: 1–930.
- Nagata K (1965) Studies on marine gammaridean Amphipoda of the Seto Inland Sea III. Publication of Seto Marine Biological Laboratory 13: 291–326.
- Pearse AS (1908) Descriptions of four new species of amphipodous Crustacea from the Gulf of Mexico. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 34: 27–32, 24 figs.
- Prathep A, Rattanachot E, Tuntiprapas P (2010) Seasonal variations in seagrass percentage cover and biomass at Koh Tha Rai, Nakhon Si Thammarat Province, Gulf of Thailand. The Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology, 32 (5): 497–504.
- Wongkamhaeng, K, Azman BAR, Puttapreecha R (2012) Cheiriphotis trifurcata, new species (Crustacea, Amphipoda, Corophiidae, Protomedeiinae) from the Seagrass Bed of the Lower Gulf of Thailand. ZooKeys 187: 71–89. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.187.3219

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Two new Korean earthworms (Annelida, Oligochaeta, Megadrilacea, Megascolecidae)

Robert J. Blakemore^{1,†}, Seunghan Lee^{1,‡}, Wonchoel Lee^{1,§}, Hong-Yul Seo^{2,1}

l Biodiversity Laboratory, College of Natural Science, Hanyang Uni., Seoul 133-791 **2** National Institute of Biological Resources (NIBR), Incheon 404-708, Korea

turn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:B468F96C-E6F5-4C92-92F0-1D0CB49B1E1B
turn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:C3224A11-BDBA-4EF0-B388-3C3CCE55FB7E
turn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:3758D22B-DC13-4E21-AC38-986C63BB7CF1
turn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:2274A9EB-B8FC-444E-BCC9-382368851B2A

Corresponding author: Robert J. Blakemore (rob.blakemore@gmail.com)

Academic editor: Chris Glasby	Received 19 April 2013 Accepted 5 June 2013 Published 6 June 2013				
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:5099B445-19EC-4F24-AD84-C29247007D31					

Citation: Blakemore RJ, Lee S, Lee W, Seo H-Y (2013) Two new Korean earthworms (Annelida, Oligochaeta, Megadrilacea, Megascolecidae). ZooKeys 307: 35–44. doi: 10.3897/zooKeys.307.5362

Abstract

Two Korean endemic pheretimoid *Amynthas* Kinberg, 1867 species belonging in family Megascolecidae s. stricto are sketched, dissected and described. *Amynthas daeari* Blakemore **sp. n.** has spermathecae in 6/7/8 complying with an *A. tokioensis* spp-group, whilst *Amynthas jinburi* Blakemore **sp. n.** has spermathecal pores in 5 & 6 strictly complying with Sims & Easton's (1972) *A. canaliculatus*-group. A definitive COI gene barcode is provided for the holotype of *A. daeari* but the age since collection or preservation of the *A. jinburi* type in 2000 precluded its mtDNA extraction at this time.

Keywords

Soil fauna, invertebrate biodiversity, new endemic taxa, molecular barcodes

Introduction

Specimens from the collection of NIBR contribute to ongoing earthworm surveys as part of understudied non-insect invertebrates of the Korean Peninsula (Blakemore 2012a, b, 2013a, b, Blakemore et al. 2012a, b). Two specimens are described below belonging to a pheretimoid (*Pheretima* auct.) group of Oriental origin that provides approximately 970 valid species from 1,200 nominal taxa (Blakemore 2008a). *Amynthas* Kinberg, 1867 is the most diverse of the pheretimoid genera with some species common to Korea and Japan where faunal totals both approach 100 megadrile earthworm taxa (Blakemore 2003, 2008b, c, 2012c, d). The probable endemics are here added to the Korean list.

Materials and methods

Taxonomic determinations follow the methodology and classifications in Sims and Easton (1972) and Blakemore (2010). Abbreviations are: C – circumference, GMs – genital markings, lhs – left hand side and rhs – right hand side.

Specimens, now in 80% Ethanol, are lodged in the NIBR facility. Small tissue samples taken for mtDNA COI barcoding as proposed 10 yrs ago by Hebert et al. (2003) used similar methods as per Blakemore et al. (2010) with preliminary analysis via BLAST programs (www.blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST.cgi).

Discussion is confined to remarks after each species' description. For brevity, not all taxonomic authorities are cited in References as these may be sought elsewhere.

Taxonomic results

Annelida Lamarck, 1802 Oligochaeta Grube, 1850 Megadrilacea Benham, 1890 Megascolecidae Rosa, 1891 *sensu* Blakemore, 2000 *Amynthas* Kinberg, 1867

Amynthas daeari sp. n. urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:E6B103F4-2FFE-4A05-BCD8-356A90754AC5 http://species-id.net/wiki/Amynthas_daeari

Material examined. IV0000261261, mature specimen complete but broken in two at clitellum after being figured and dissected. Collected from small valley at Jeollabuk-do, Wanju-gun, Dongsang-myeon, Daea-ri (35.9801N, 127.2981E); collected 27th July, 2012 by Dr Hong-Yul Seo. DNA tissue sample code – w53.

Etymology. Noun from location.




Figure 1. *Amynthas daeari* sp. n. showing ventral view with spermathecae, their composite genital marking glands and 18lhs prostate *in situ* plus incised intestinal caecum in 27; dorsal view of prostomium; [boxed are lateral views of spermathecal pores and male field in 18rhs to same scale].

Diagnosis. *Amynthas* with two pairs of spermathecal pores in 6/7/8 complying with an *A. tokioensis* -group; spermathecae with compressed clavate diverticula; GMs median to spermathecal and male pores with patches around the former and the latter bracketed laterally by small C-shaped clefts.

Distribution. Only known from a single specimen from type locality.

Habitat. In litter layer in forest.

Behaviour. Habitat, pigmentation and gut contents indicate activity in the litter layer. **Description. Length.** 150 mm.

Width. ca. 7 mm at male pore level.

Segments. 107.

Colour. Brown in alcohol, possibly darker in life as liquid was stained.

Prostomium. Open epilobous.

First dorsal pore. 12/13.

Setae. Ca. 60 per segment, approximately 22-24 between spermathecal and male pores. **Nephropores.** Not found.

Clitellum. Annular 14-16, setae occluded.

Male pores. On 18 centred on small, round porophore (found by following a pin from prostate gland exit) with GMs anterio-median and shallow clefts laterally (that function as seminal ducts and/or suction cups?).

Female pores. Single on 14.

Spermathecal pores. 6/7/8 ca 0.3 C apart at edge of puckered area and lateral to GMs.

Genital markings. Paired discs just median to male and spermathecal pores as noted; composite glands on spermathecal pore GMs but none found for GMs near male pores although the body here is macerated and they may well have broken off and dissipated.

Septa. Nephridial forests on septa 5 & 6; 7/8 thin, 8/9/10 aborted.

Dorsal blood vessel (dbv). Single.

Hearts. Last hearts in 13 (preceding vascularization unclear/damaged).

Gizzard. Single in 8-9.

Calciferous glands. Absent.

Intestine. Indeterminate as specimen macerated; caeca ventrally incised from 27; typhlosole not noted.

Nephridia. Meroic.

Male organs. Holandric, seminal vesicles in 11 & 12.

Ovaries. In 13 as usual.

Prostates. Racemose glands in 17-19, duct short and muscular.

Spermathecae. Two pairs in 7 and 8; that in 7lhs inflated, that in 8lhs deflated (showing how meaningless such a distinction is although relied on by some authors).

Gut contents. Coarse organic debris, i.e., a litter diet suggesting superficial feeding. **DNA COI barcode.** >w53 *A. daeari* Holotype.

 megaBLAST result: "*Amynthas tappensis*" (AB542547.1) from Japan max. identity <88% this then is a different and likely new taxon. The closest match from current Korean studies with BLASTn identity 565/653 (87%) is WO49, an immature *Amynthas* sp. from Jeju that itself comes closest to the *A. tokioensis*/*M. hilgendorfi* spp. complexes (see Blakemore, 2013a: Appendix).

Remarks. Of *Amynthas* species with spermathecae in 6/7/8, twenty or so in the *Amynthas tokioensis*-group of Sims and Easton (1972) mostly have manicate caeca, such as *A. kanrazanus* (Kobayashi, 1937); about twenty other species, many placed in this group after 1972, have simple intestinal caeca. Only four have simple incised caeca as here, but they all differ in characteristics of their GMs, at least, and none of these latter are known from Korea (Blakemore unpublished). The incised caeca is assumed to be a characteristic transitional or intermediate from simple to complex/manicate. The GMs in 7-8 obviously correspond to those in 18 during amphimixis but it is not known whether they interlock serially. The shape of the spermathecae and spermathecal pores are further distinguishing characteristics of *A. daeari* that, along with its objective DNA barcode data, now serve to define this taxon.

Amynthas jinburi sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:9BA5299B-0BA0-4E50-8EAC-C76C2D66B2EA http://species-id.net/wiki/Amynthas_jinburi

Material examined. IV0000213690, sub-mature specimen, figured and dissected. From Gangwon-do, Goseong-gun, Ganseong-eup, Jinbu-ri (ca. 38.2961N, 128.3546E) just north of Seoraksan Park on East coast; collected $1^{st} - 2^{nd}$ June, 2000 by unknown person(s) and deposited in NIBR. DNA tissue sample w61b (unsuccessful at this time).

Etymology. Noun from location.

Diagnosis. *Amynthas* with two pairs of spermathecal pores in 5 & 6; long, clavate spermathecal diverticula; simple caeca; and GMs absent except for large patches surrounding male pores.

Distribution. Known only from single specimen from type locality.




Figure 2. *Amynthas jinburi* sp. n. showing ventral view with spermathecae and 18rhs prostate *in situ* plus simple intestinal caecum in 27; dorsal view of prostomium; [boxed is 2X lateral view of spermathecal pores in 5 & 6rhs].

Habitat. Jinburi is a remote, mountainous and forested area

Behaviour. Possibly deep burrowing and geophageous (from gut contents).

Description. Length. 210 mm.

Width. ca. 10 mm at male pore level.

Segments. 143 with some secondary annulation (from preservation?).

Colour. Bleached pale yellow in aged alcohol, possibly darker in life.

Prostomium. Open epilobous.

First dorsal pore. 11/12.

Setae. >100 per segment; e.g. 100+ on 11 and 112 counted on segment 12; approximately 16 setae intervene between male pore pads that are asetal on 18.

Nephropores. Not found.

Clitellum. Slightly darker at 14-16.

Male pores: On 18 on small, rounded and flat porophores.

Female pores. Single on 14.

Spermathecal pores. At posterior of 5 and 6 approximately 0.3 C apart. **Genital markings.** None (sub-adult?).

Septa. Nephridial forests on septa 5 & 6; 5/6/7/8 thick, 8/9 thin to base of gizzard, 9/10 aborted.

Hearts. Seen in 11-13 (aborted in 10?).

Gizzard. Single in 8-9.

Calciferous glands. Absent.

Intestine. From 15; caeca simple elongate from 27; typhlosole not noted.

Nephridia. Meroic.

Male organs. Holandric, testes small in 10 &11; seminal vesicles in 11 & 12. **Ovaries.** Compact in 13; ovisacs not found in 14.

Prostates: Racemose glands not fully developed in 18 on short, muscular duct.

Spermathecae. Two pairs in 6 & 7 exiting to anterior of 5/6 and 6/7 in 5 & 6 (Fig. 2).

Gut contents. Filled with yellow soil, i.e. probably a deep-burrowing subsoil geophage.

DNA COI barcode. >w61b – nil result, DNA not extractable on this older material that may have been fixed in formalin (although there was no odour) or denatured by pH.

Remarks. Of all 970 pheretimoid species (Blakemore 2008a), only two are known to have spermathecal pores in 5 & 6: viz. *Amynthas serenus* (Gates, 1936) from Pahang, Malaysia that also lacks GMs, and *A.? breviclitellatus* (Do & Tran, 1995) from Vietnam that differs, at least, in its GMs in 7, 18 and 19. From "Kôryô" Korea (about 30 Km from Seoul), *Amynthas fibulus fibulus* (Kobayashi, 1936: 159) is superficially similar but has spermathecal pores anteriorly in 6 & 7 (rather than posteriorly in 5 & 6) plus its caeca are incised ventrally (rather than smooth); ditto for *A. fibulus ranunculus* (Kobayashi, 1936: 162) that further has slits lateral to male pores. Interestingly, Kobayashi's (1936: fig. 6) sketch of a prostate gland of *A. fibulus* closely resembles the current specimen's gland (Fig. 2).

It should be here noted that Sims and Easton (1972) inadvertently place these two *fibulus* taxa in an *Amynthas morrisi*-group defined with spermathecae in 5/6/7 despite Kobayashi (1936: 159) stating "*Spermathecal pores, minute, 2 pairs anteriorly located on*

VI and VII, closely to the intersegmental furrows", i.e. strictly complying with Sims & Easton's *canaliculatus*-group (then comprised of *benignus* Chen, 1946; *canaliculata* Gates, 1932; *ralla* Gates, 1936: 104; and *rallida* Gates, 1936: 106). It appears that many of Hong and James' (2001: 67, 68, 69, 75) taxa have a similar attribute although their descriptions are ambiguously stated, such as: "*Spermathecal pores in 5/6 and 6/7...at or near leading edge of vi, vii*" and no useful figures are provided for the reader to decide.

If spermathecal pores were in 5/6/7 in any of the above taxa, then the *morrisi*group's possible nearest relatives from Korea would likely be *A. koreanus* (Kobayashi, 1938: 115) that, however, has manicate caeca; or *A. kobayashii* (Kobayashi, 1938: 119) and *A. geojeinsulae* (Song & Paik, 1970) that both have male fields from 17-19 but differ in simple or incised caeca, respectively; or *A. assimilis* Hong & Kim, 2002 that, like many of its similar cited taxa, has seminal grooves on 18.

The current species has simple, superficial male pores on large disc-like pads on 18. Although not fully mature, it appears unique in the Korea fauna on its combination of this aspect of its male field, spermathecal pores in 5 & 6 and its profusion of setae that number more than 100 per segment, combined with simple elongate intestinal caeca.

Fresh topotypic material is required to confirm these conclusions and to provide definitive DNA data, unless refinement of techniques allows extraction from older types.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant from the National Institute of Biological Resources (NIBR), funded by the Ministry of Environment (MOE) of the Republic of Korea. Dr Hong-Yul Seo of NIBR provided one of the samples, Seunghan Lee performed DNA analysis and Prof. Wonchoel Lee facilitated the study at Hanyang University, Seoul. All taxonomic work and decisions are the responsibility of the primary author. Thanks to ZooKeys editor and anonymous referees for constructive comments to improve the MS.

References

- Blakemore RJ (2000) Tasmanian Earthworms. CD-ROM. Monograph with review of World families. VermEcology, PO BOX 414 Kippax 2615. Canberra.
- Blakemore RJ (2003) Japanese Earthworms (Annelida: Oligochaeta): a Review and Checklist of Species. Organisms, Diversity and Evolution 3(3): 241–244. Electronic Supplement 2003-11, http://www.senckenberg.de/odes/03-11.htm
- Blakemore RJ (2008a) An updated checklist of pheretimoids (e.g. Amynthas, Duplodicodrilus, Metaphire, Pheretima, Polypheretima, etc.). In: A Series of Searchable Texts on Earthworm Biodiversity, Ecology and Systematics. Online: http://www.annelida.net/earthworm/ Pheretimoids.pdf
- Blakemore RJ (2008b) A review of Japanese earthworms. Op. cit. http://www.annelida.net/ earthworm/Japanese%20Earthworms/Japanese%20Earthworms.pdf

- Blakemore RJ (2008c) Checklist of Korean earthworms. Op. cit. http://www.annelida.net/ earthworm/Korean.pdf
- Blakemore RJ (2010) Cosmopolitan Earthworms. 4th Edition. VermEcology, Yokohama, CD-ROM Monograph.
- Blakemore RJ (2012a) *Amynthas carnosus* (Goto & Hatai, 1899) redescribed on its neotype (Oligochaeta: Megadrilacea: Megascolecidae). Journal of Species Research 1(1): 35–43. www.nibr.go.kr/download?attach_path=journal&attach=2012vol1no1_4.pdf
- Blakemore RJ (2012b) Earthworms from NIBR Jeju-do biosphere compared to historical and new Japanese types (Oligochaeta: Megadrilacea: Megascolecidae). Journal of Species Research 1(2): 133–115. http://www.nibr.go.kr/english/event/journal_spe_3.jsp
- Blakemore RJ (2012c) On Opening a Box of Worms (Oligochaeta, Megascolecidae) Historical Earthworm Specimens Transferred to Tokyo from the Saito Ho-on Kai Museum of Natural History in Sendai. Bulletin of the National Science Museum, Tokyo Series A 38(3): 95–124.
- Blakemore RJ (2012d) Japanese earthworms revisited a decade on (Oligochaeta: Megadrilacea). Zoology in the Middle East, Supplementum 4: 15–22. doi: 10.1080/09397140.2012.10648981
- Blakemore RJ (2013a) Jeju-do earthworms (Oligochaeta: Megadrilacea) Quelpart Island revisited. Journal of Species Research 2(1): 15–54. www.nibr.go.kr/eng/event/journal_spe_3. jsp, doi: 10.12651/JSR.2013.2.1.015
- Blakemore RJ (2013b) Ulleung-do earthworms Dagelet Island revisited. Journal of Species Research 2(1): 55–69. www.nibr.go.kr/eng/event/journal_spe_3.jsp, doi: 10.12651/ JSR.2013.2.1.055
- Blakemore RJ, Kupriyanova E, Grygier MJ (2010) Neotypification of *Drawida hattamimizu* Hatai, 1930 (Oligochaeta:Megadrili:Moniligastridae) as a model linking mtDNA (COI) sequences to an earthworm type, with a response to the 'Can of Worms' theory of cryptic species. ZooKeys 41: 1–29. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.41.374, http://www.pensoft.net/journal_home_page.php?journal_id=1&page=article&type=show&article_id=374
- Blakemore RJ, Park TS, Seo HY (2012a) A new Korean earthworm (Oligochaeta: Megadrilacea: Megascolecidae). Zootaxa 3368: 256–262. http://www.mapress.com/zootaxa/2012/f/ zt03368p262.pdf
- Blakemore RJ, Cho JL, Park TS (2012b) Six exotic terrestrial earthworms (Oligochaeta: Megadrilacea: Moniligastridae, Lumbricidae, Ocnerodrilidae & Megascolecidae) newly added to Korean species biodiversity list. Zootaxa 3368: 300–304. http://www.mapress.com/ zootaxa/2012/f/zt03368p304.pdf
- Hebert PDN, Cywinska A, Ball SL, deWaard JR (2003) Biological identifications though DNA barcodes. Proceedings of the Royal Society London, Biological Sciences 270 (1512): 313–321. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2002.2218
- Hong Y, James SW (2001) New species of Korean *Amynthas* Kinberg 1867 (Oligochaeta, Megascolecidae) with two pairs of spermathecae. Revue Suisse de Zoologie 108(1): 65–93.
- Hong Y, Kim TH (2002) Four New Earthworms of the genus Amynthas (Oligochaeta: Megascolecidae) from Korea. Korean Journal of Biological Science 6: 195–199. doi: 10.1080/12265071.2002.9647651

- Kobayashi S (1936) Distribution and some external characteristics of *Pheretima (Ph.) carnosa* (Goto et Hatai) from Korea. Science Report of the Tohoku Imperial University 11(1): 115–138.
- Kobayashi S (1937) Preliminary survey of the earthworms of Quelpart Island. Science Report of the Tohoku Imperial University (B) 11(3): 333–351.
- Kobayashi S (1938) Earthworms of Korea I. Science Report of the Tohoku Imperial University 13(2): 89–170.
- Sims RW, Easton EG (1972) A numerical revision of the earthworm genus *Pheretima* auct. (Megascolecidae: Oligochaeta) with the recognition of new genera and an appendix on the earthworms collected by the Royal Society North Borneo Expedition. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 4: 169–268. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.1972.tb00694.x

RESEARCH ARTICLE



A taxonomic guide to the brittle-stars (Echinodermata, Ophiuroidea) from the State of Paraíba continental shelf, Northeastern Brazil

Anne I. Gondim¹, Carmen Alonso¹, Thelma L. P. Dias², Cynthia L. C. Manso³, Martin L. Christoffersen¹

I Universidade Federal da Paraíba, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Biológicas (Zoologia), Laboratório de Invertebrados Paulo Young (LIPY), João Pessoa, PB.CEP. 58059-900, Brasil 2 Universidade Estadual da Paraíba, Laboratório de Biologia Marinha (LabMar), Departamento de Biologia, Campus I, Rua Baraúnas, 351, Bairro Universitário, CEP 58429-500, Campina Grande, PB, Brasil 3 Universidade Federal de Sergipe, Departamento de Biociências, Laboratório de Invertebrados Marinhos (LABIMAR). Av. Vereador Olimpio Grande S/nº, 49.500-000, Itabaiana, SE, Brasil

Corresponding author: Anne I. Gondim (anneisabelley@yahoo.com.br)

	Academic editor: Yves Samyn	Received 13 Januar	v 2013 A	Accepted 16 May	v 2013	Published 10	[une 2013
--	-----------------------------	--------------------	------------	-----------------	--------	--------------	-----------

Citation: Gondim AI, Alonso C, Dias TLP, Manso CLC, Christoffersen ML (2013) A taxonomic guide to the brittlestars (Echinodermata, Ophiuroidea) from the State of Paraíba continental shelf, Northeastern Brazil. ZooKeys 307: 45– 96. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.307.4673

Abstract

We provide the first annotated checklist of ophiuroids from the continental shelf of the State of Paraíba, northeastern Brazil. Identification keys and taxonomic diagnoses for 23 species, belonging to 14 genera and 8 families, are provided. The material is deposited in the Invertebrate Collection Paulo Young, at the Federal University of Paraíba. *Ophiopsila hartmeyeri* represents the first record for the northeastern region of Brazil, while *Ophiolepis impressa*, *O. paucispina*, *Amphiura stimpsoni*, *Amphiodia riisei*, *Ophiactis quinqueradia*, *Ophiocoma wendtii* and *Ophionereis olivaceae* are new records for the State of Paraíba. The number of species known for the state was increased from 16 to 23, representing approximately 17% of the species known for Brazil and 54% of the species known for northeastern Brazil. The recorded fauna has a large geographical and bathymetrical distribution.

Keywords

Echinoderms, Ophiurida, checklist, Brazilian coast, distribution

Introduction

The class Ophiuroidea includes the most agile and diverse animals within the phylum Echinodermata (Hyman 1955). Their representatives live associated with diverse substrates in all seas, oceans and depths (Borges and Amaral 2005). Although ophiurans are common and conspicuous animals, the scientific effort to describe their diversity has varied over the centuries, resulting in patchy knowledge (Stöhr et al. 2012).

The study of ophiuroids in Brazil started with Lyman (1875), who described the results of the Hassler Expedition, off Bahia and Rio de Janeiro (Borges and Amaral 2007). Occasional records of the occurrence of some species had already been made prior to the study of Lyman (e.g. Marcgrave 1648, Verrill 1868). However, knowledge on the diversity of Ophiuroidea remains scarce in Brazil, with 134 recorded species (Barboza and Borges 2012, Gondim et al. 2012). The north and northeastern coasts are poorly known, the State of Paraíba remaining one of the least studied regions in northeast Brazil. Existing knowledge to the Paraíba coast is limited to occasional citations in Rathbun (1879), Lyman (1882), H. L. Clark (1915), Tommasi (1970), Thomas (1973), Albuquerque (1986), Albuquerque and Guille (1991), Young (1986), and Gondim et al. (2008, 2010, 2011).

The coast of the State of Paraíba (Fig. 1) has 138 km and its continental shelf is narrow, shallow and relatively plane, with a mean width of 30 km, becoming broader in a north-south direction (Feitosa et al. 2005). Its topology is irregular, with many shallow and narrow channels. Like the remaining states in northeastern Brazil, the border of the shelf ends abruptly (Kempf et al. 1967), at the 60 m isobath between João Pessoa and Recife (Coutinho 1996).

Along the continental shelf of the Paraíba State, bottoms of calcareous algae prevail beyond the isobath of 20 m, with a predominance of the ramified corallinacean alga *Halimeda* Lamoroux, 1812 (Coutinho 1996). Sand and mud bottoms are usually restricted to shallower waters below 20 m, usually occurring as small isolated spots (Kempf et al. 1967).

During the 1980s the Superintendance for the Development of the Northeast (SUDENE) developed the Algae Project along the continental shelfs of the States of Rio Grande do Norte and Paraíba, in order to map and collect banks of calcareous algae in this region. The thoroughest coverage of this project was along the State of Paraíba, and representative samples of ophiuroids were obtained and deposited in the Federal University of that state.

Our aim is to provide a checklist and identification keys for the species of ophiuroids that inhabit the continental shelf of the State of Paraíba, describing species from based on the collected material and summarizing data on their ecology and distribution.

Materials and methods

The studied material belongs to the Invertebrate Collection Paulo Young, Department of Systematics and Ecology, Federal University of Paraíba (CIPY/DSE–UFPB). Col-




Figure 1. Collecting stations of Project Algae along the coast of the State of Paraíba, northeastern Brazil, with indication of the abundance of specimens in each site. \emptyset = absent in the collection point, \Box = occurrence of 1 to 10 specimens, \circ = occurrence of 11 to 50 specimens, and \Re = occurrence of more than 50 specimens.

lections were made in 1981 during the Algae Project, on the continental shelf of the State of Paraíba, between coordinates 6°58'S; 34°46'W and 7°34'S; 34° 45'W, between the isobaths of 10 and 35 m. The animals were captured with dredges at 93 stations positioned along 23 transects perpendicular to the coast (Fig. 1).

For taxonomic identifications, specimens were dried, observed with a dissecting microscope Olympus SZ40 and identified with the works of A. M. Clark (1953), John and Clark (1954), Fell (1960), Thomas (1973), Tommasi (1970), Manso (1988) and Hendler et al. (1995), Manso et al. (2008), and Benavides-Serrato et al. (2011). The diameter of the disk of each specimen was measured with a digital caliper EDC 6" and photos were obtained with a camera Canon A640 10MP coupled to a stereomicroscope Nikon SMZ800. The mean and standard deviation of the disk diameter were calculated using the software Statistica 7.0. The species names agree with Stöhr and O'Hara (2013) and are arranged systematically following Smith et al (1995). All material was preserved in ethanol at 70% and deposited in CIPY/DSE. The abundance of species in each collection point is provided on the basis of studied material.

Abbreviations: dd-disk diameter. Spec-specimens.

Acronym: UFPB.Ech.-Echinodermata Collection of Federal University of Paraíba.

Results

The fauna of ophiuroids recorded along the shelf of the State of Paraíba contains species known to have a wide geographical and bathymetrical distribution, occurring, in general, along a considerable extension of the coast of Brazil. The number of known species in the State of Paraíba increased from 16 to 23, corresponding to 17% of the Brazilian species and 54% of the northeastern species.

We examined 647 samples (totaling 1.379 specimens), identifying 23 species, 14 genera, 8 families, and 1 order of Ophiuroidea (Tab. 1, Tab. 2, Supplementary Material).

Checklist of brittle-stars from the continental shelf of the State of Paraíba

Class Ophiuroidea Gray, 1840 Order Ophiurida Müller & Troschel, 1840 Family Ophiomyxidae Ljungman, 1867 *Ophiomyxa flaccida* (Say, 1825) Family Ophiolepididae Ljungman, 1867 *Ophiolepis impressa* Lütken, 1859 *Ophiolepis paucispina* (Say, 1825) Family Amphiuridae Ljungman, 1867 *Amphiodia planispina* (von Martens, 1867) *Amphiodia riisei* (Lütken, 1859) *Amphipholis januarii* Ljungman, 1866

Amphipholis squamata (Delle Chiaje, 1828) Amphiura stimpsoni Lütken, 1859 Ophiocnida scabriuscula (Lütken, 1859) Ophiophragmus brachyactis H. L. Clark, 1915 Ophiostigma isocanthum (Say, 1825) Family Ophiotrichidae Ljungman, 1867 Ophiothrix (Ophiothrix) angulata (Say, 1825) Family Ophiactidae Matsumoto, 1915 Ophiactis quinqueradia Ljungman, 1872 Ophiactis savignyi (Müller & Troschel, 1842) Family Ophionereididae Ljungman, 1867 Ophionereis reticulata (Say, 1825) Ophionereis squamulosa Koehler, 1914 Ophionereis dolabriformis John & A.M. Clark, 1954 Ophionereis olivacea H.L. Clark, 1900 Family Ophiocomidae Ljungman, 1867 Ophiocoma echinata (Lamarck, 1816) Ophiocoma wendtii Müller & Troschel, 1842 Ophiopsila hartmeyeri Koehler, 1913 Family Ophiodermatidae Ljungman, 1867 Ophioderma appressa (Say, 1825) Ophioderma cinerea Müller & Troschel, 1842

Key to the families of brittle-stars from the continental shelf of the State of Paraíba

1	Presence of a clump of dental papillae at the apex of the jaw (Fig. 2h, 10c)3
_	Without dental papillae
2	One pair of infradental oral papillae on apex of jaw (Fig. 4c) Amphiuridae
_	One apical papilla on apex of jaw (Fig. 9c, 13c)4
3	A continuous series of oral papillae (Fig. 11c) Ophiocomidae
_	Without oral papillae (Fig. 2h)Ophiotrichidae
4	Disk and arms covered with a thick and naked tegument Ophiomyxidae
_	Disk covered with scales, granules or spines
5	Two pairs of bursal slits in each interradius (Fig. 13b, g) . Ophiodermatidae
_	One pair of bursal slits in each interradius (Fig. 3b, 8b, 9b)6
6	Disk covered only with scales. Oral papillae in continuous series7
_	Disk covered by scales and spines. Presence of a diastema separating the lat-
	eral oral papillae from the apical papillae (Fig. 8b, i) Ophiactidae
7	Dorsal scales of disk thin and imbricating (Fig. 9a, f, 10a, f). Genital papillae
	present or absent (Fig. 9b, 10b) Ophionereididae
_	Dorsal scales of disk thick and imbricating (Fig. 3a). Dorsal arm plate present
	or absent Ophiolepididae

Key to the members of the family Ophiolepididae known from the continental shelf of the State of Paraíba

1	Accessory dorsal arm plates small, restricted to the	ne first segments (Fig. 3a, f).
	Four to five arm spines	Ophiolepis impressa
_	All arm segments with accessory dorsal plates (Fig. 3g, j), except near tip.
	Two arm spine	Ophiolepis paucispina

Key to the members of the family Amphiuridae known from the continental shelf of the State of Paraíba

1	Distal oral papilla never opercular
_	Distal oral papilla opercular (Fig. 5i, 7j)2
2	Disk covered by scales. Two perpendicular tentacle scales
_	Disk covered by small papillae (Fig. 7f, h). Two almost parallel tentacle
	scales Ophiostigma isocanthum
3	Three or four arm spines, the second and third with two hyaline denticles at tip
	(Fig. 5f). Radial shields narrow and long (Fig. 5a)Amphipholis januarii
_	Three arm spines with tip tapering (Fig. 5j). Radial shields slightly longer
	than broad (Fig. 5g)
4	Oral papillae continuous (Fig. 6g). Two tentacle scales
_	Oral papillae separated from infradentals by a gap (Fig. 6c). Single reduced
	tentacle scale (Fig. 6e)
5	Radial shields divergent (like a sheep hoof) (Fig. 6e). Three arm spines slight-
	ly flattenedOphiocnida scabriuscula
_	Radial shields contiguous, separated only proximally
6	With a fence of broadened papillae on margin of disk (Fig. 7a). Radial shields
	small and rounded Ophiophragmus brachyatis
_	Without spines on margin of disk7
7	Primary scales not evident (Fig. 4a). Three arm spines compressed, flattened
	and blunt
_	Primary scales very evident (Fig. 4f). A well marked row of large scales in in-
	terbrachial region. Three short and blunt arm spines, compressed in median
	and distal region

Key to the members of the family Ophiactidae known from the continental shelf of the State of Paraíba

Key to the members of the family Ophionereididae known from the continental shelf of State of Paraíba

1	Genital papillae present (Fig. 9b)2
_	Genital papillae absent (Fig. 10b)
2	A distinct line forming a reticulated pattern on the aboral surface of the disk
	(Fig. 9a). Arm spines markedly compressed, with apex blunt. With dark
	bands on arm segment separated by three-six light bands (Fig. 9a, d)
	Ophionereis reticulata
_	Dark blotches on aboral surface of disk. Arm spines markedly compressed.
	Dark bands occupying two or three arm segments, separated by one light
	bands Ophionereis squamulosa
3	Sometimes several superposed accessory dorsal arm plate are observed. Three
	arm spines longer than arm segment (Fig. 10i), match-shaped
	Ophionereis olivacea
_	Accessory dorsal arm plates small (Fig. 10a, d). Three long, thin spines on
	arm (Fig. 10d, e) Ophionereis dolabriformis

Key to the members of the family Ophiocomidae known from the continental shelf of the State of Paraíba

1	Disk covered by scales and granules (Fig. 11a, e)2
_	Disk covered only by scales (Fig. 12a). Four to 6 arm spines, ventral spines
	longest and slightly curved Ophiopsila hartmeyeri
2	Dorsal arm spines robust and broadened (Fig. 11d). Two tentacle scales
	Ophiocoma echinata
_	Dorsal arm spines long and slender (Fig. 11h). One tentacle scale on first arm
	segmentsOphiocoma wendtii

Key to the members of the family Ophiodermatidae known from the continental shelf of the State of Paraíba

1	Radial shields covered by granules (Fig. 13a) Ophioderma appressa
_	Radial shields visible, not covered by granules (Fig. 13f)
	Ophioderma cinerea

Systematics

Family Ophiomyxidae Ljungman, 1867

Ophiomyxa flaccida (Say, 1825)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Ophiomyxa_flaccida Figure 2 a–e, 14 a

Description. Disk pentagonal (dd = 5.67 to 12.16 mm). Covered by thick and naked tegument (Fig. 2a). Radial shields enlarged along internal margin. Marginal interradius with a row of 8 to 10 large and overlapping scales (Fig. 2a). Bursal slits short and narrow (Fig. 2b). Oral shields triangular to circular, distal margin rounded. Adoral shields narrow and enlarged laterally. Three enlarged oral papillae on each side of jaw angle, distal free end totally dentate, the two proximal papillae being longer and wider than distal papilla (Fig. 2c). Dorsal arm plate long, narrow, fragmented into two (Fig. 2d). Ventral arm plates small, pentagonal, with a small notch on the distal margins. Four to five small, compressed, arm spines, with denticles on tips. Without tentacle scale (Fig. 2e).

Distribution. Bermuda, the islands off southern Florida, the Bahamas, the Antilles, Mexican Caribbean, Belize, Guatemala, Honduras, Panama, islands off Caribbean Colombia, Venezuela, and Brazil (Devaney 1974, Hendler et al. 1995, Chavarro et al. 2004, Durán-Gonzáles et al. 2005, Trujillo-Luna and González-Vallejo 2006, Alvarado et al. 2008, Hernandéz-Herrejón et al. 2008). In Brazil it has been recorded from the States of Amapá, Pará, Maranhão, Ceará, Rio Grande do Norte, Paraíba, Pernambuco, Alagoas (Gondim et al. in press), Abrolhos islands off southern Bahia (Rathbun 1879), Bahia (Alves and Cerqueira 2000), and Rio de Janeiro (Manso 1993). Intertidal to 367.5 m. In this study it occurred between 11 and 33m.

Remarks. According to Hendler et al. (1995), young individuals live associated with the phytal community, while adults are more commonly found on gravel bottoms from seagrass beds. This species feeds ingesting large portions of algae and sponges, detritus being collected by lateral movements of the arms. We observed specimens with stomachs filled with sponge spicules. Although Ophiomyxidae is a taxonomically problematic family (Franklin and O'Hara 2008), with the placement of several genera being uncertain (Martynov 2010). The identification of *O. flaccida* is easy, because the taxonomic characters are relatively constant intraspecifically, except for coloration that can vary between geographic areas (green, yellow, orange, red, reddish with a white spot on the disc or brown). Individuals analyzed in this study had a number of interradial scales smaller than observed by Tommasi (1970) for specimens from southeastern Brazil (about 12 scales). This is apparently a rare species in reef environments of Paraiba, and has been reported only in two reefs of this coast (Gondim et al. 2008, 2011).




Figure 2. Species of the families Ophiomyxidae (**A**–**E**) and Ophiotrichidae (**F–J**). *Ophiomyxa flaccida*. **A** dorsal view, in detail the marginal interradius with a row of large scales **B** ventral view **C** jaw **D** dorsal view of the arm **E** ventral view of the arm. *Ophiothrix (O.) angulata* **F** dorsal view, in detail the radial shields **G** ventral view **H** jaw **I** dorsal view of the arms **J** ventral view of the arms. Scale bar = 1 mm.

Family Ophiolepididae Ljungman, 1867

Ophiolepis impressa Lütken, 1859

http://species-id.net/wiki/Ophiolepis_impressa Figure 3 a–e, 14 b

Description. Disk circular to pentagonal (dd = 4.26 to 9.82 mm). Covered by large, imbricating scales, surrounded by smaller scales of different sizes and irregular shapes (Fig. 3a). Primary plates conspicuous, central primary plate rounded. Interradius with three rows of large scales. Radial shields triangular, separated distally by three large scales disposed in a triangle, and proximally by a large scale (Fig. 3a). Ventral interradius covered by imbricating scales, slightly smaller and narrower than dorsal scales (Fig. 3b). Bursal slits long and narrow. Oral shields pentagonal, elongate, distal margin convex. Adoral shields broad, enlarged laterally and contiguous along internal median line of jaw. Four to five oral papillae on each side of jaw angle, the three proximal of which are pointed and subequal, the penultimate one is longest and broadest (Fig. 3c). Dorsal arm plate wider than long. Accessory dorsal arm plate reduced and restricted to the first arm segments (Fig. 3f). Ventral arm plate on first segments as large as long, on last segments slightly broader than long, tending to become pentagonal in shape, with lateral margins concave and distal margin rounded (Fig. 3e). Three or four arm spines short and conical, blunt, the two dorsal ones smaller. Tentacle pore large. Two large tentacle scales, the outer one slightly broader than the inner one (Fig. 3e).

Distribution. Bermuda, the Bahamas, the islands off southern Florida, Texas, the Antilles, Mexican Caribbean, Belize, Honduras, Costa Rica, Panama, Colombia, Venezuela, and Brazil (Hendler et al. 1995, Laguarda-Figueras et al. 2004, Durán-Gonzáles et al. 2005, Alvarado et al. 2008, Borrero-Pérez et al. 2008, Hernández-Herrejón et al. 2008). In Brazil from Alagoas (Miranda et al. 2012), and Bahia (Tommasi 1970, Magalhães et al. 2005). Intertidal to 24 m in deph. In this study they were found for the first time in the State of Paraíba, between 10 and 33m.

Remarks. According to Hendler et al. (1995), individuals of this species are usually sedentary, but show some nocturnal activity. They live on bottoms with corals and dead shells (Tommasi 1970), predominantly on sand, under corals and rocks, sometimes occurring on algae. They are moderately palatable for some fish, although their strongly calcified arms furnish some protection against predators (Hendler et al. 1995). Only some specimens show a small accessory dorsal arm plate, and when present, it is restricted to the first segments. According to Devaney (1974), Lyman transferred *Ophiolepis impressa* and *O. pacifica* Lütken, 1856 to the genus *Ophiozona* Lyman, 1865, based only on the supposed absence of the accessory dorsal arm plate. However, Devaney (1974) rejected the new genus proposed by Lyman and placed it in synonymy with the genus *Ophiolepis*, given that there are no criteria to separate the two taxa. Hendler (1988) questions the use of this character as a synapomorphy of the genus *Ophiolepis*, since it is expressed in different ways. According to this autor, the expression of accessory dorsal arm plates within and between the different species is variable. Moreover, they are barely discernible or absent in juveniles.




Figure 3. Species of the family Ophiolepididae . *Ophiolepis impressa* **A** dorsal view **B** ventral view **C** jaw **D** detail of the radial shield **E** ventral view of the arms **F** dorsal view of the arms. *Ophiolepis paucispina* **G** dorsal view **H** ventral view **I** jaw **J** dorsal view of the arms (adp: accessory dorsal arm plate) **L** ventral view of the arms. Scale bar = 1 mm.

Ophiolepis paucispina (Say, 1825)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Ophiolepis_paucispina Figure 3 g–l

Description. Disk circular (dd = 2.74 to 3.55 mm). Covered by large scales, and surrounded by smaller ones of similar size. Central primary plate circular, well defined, surrounded by five small primary plates, and intercalated by two smaller scales (Fig. 3g). Radial shield triangular, separated distally by three large scales disposed in a triangle. Ventral interradius covered by scales, slightly smaller and narrower than dorsal scales (Fig. 3h). Bursal slits long and narrow. Oral shields pentagonal, elongate, distal margin convex (Fig. 3i). Adoral shields broad, enlarged laterally. Four oral papillae on each side of jaw angle, the three proximal of which are pointed and subequal, the last one being longest and broadest (Fig. 3i). Dorsal arm plate fan-shaped (Fig. 3j). Arm segments with accessory dorsal plate, except near the tip (Fig. 3j). Ventral arm plate pentagonal, with lateral margins concave and distal margin rounded. Two tentacle scales oval, outher one larger. Two arm spines small (Fig. 3l).

Distribution. Bermuda, the Bahamas, Florida, Caribbean Sea, Panama, Colombia, Brazil, and off Africa (Hendler et al. 1995, Laguarda-Figueras et al. 2009, Alvarado 2011, Benavides-Serrato et al. 2011, Barboza and Borges 2012). In Brazil, from Alagoas (Lima et al. 2011), and Bahia (Abrolhos) (Magalhães et al. 2005). Intertidal to 37 m in depth (Laguarda-Fiqueras et al. 2009). In this study they were found for the first time in the State of Paraíba, between 30 and 33 m.

Remarks. This species is known from shallow, sandy reef flats, mangrove, lagoonal, and seagrass environments, under coral rubble on sand, in calcareous algae such as *Halimeda*, and among plant debris (Hendler et al. 1995). *Ophiolepis paucispina* is an oviviparous and simultaneous hermaphroditic species (Byrne 1989, Hendler et al. 1995) that broods up to 41 embyos in the genital bursae (Hendler 1979a). In the examined specimens the shape of the adoral shields varied from fan-shape to pentagonal and the number of papillae varied from 3 to 4. It is difficult to separate young individuals of this species from its congener *O. impressa*. Yet, the presence of acessory dorsal plates along the entire arm and only two arm spines in *O. paucispina* are the most reliable differential characters to distinguish these two species. Personal observations suggest that this is a rare species along the littoral of the State of Paraíba.

Family Amphiuridae Ljungman, 1867

Amphiodia planispina (von Martens, 1867) http://species-id.net/wiki/Amphiodia_planispina Figure 4 a–e

Description. Disk circular (dd= 4.46 to 5.80 mm). Covered by numerous small and imbricating scales (Fig. 4a). Radial shields slightly longer than wide, with external mar-




Figure 4. Species of the family Amphiuridae. *Amphiodia planispina* **A** dorsal view, detail of the radial shields **B** ventral view **C** jaw **D** dorsal view of the arms **E** ventral view of the arms. *Amphiodia riisei* **F** dorsal view, detail of the primary plates **G** ventral view **H** jaw **I** dorsal view of the arms **J** ventral view of the arms. Scale bar = 1 mm.

gin convex and internal margin straight, united except at proximal ends, where one or two small triangular and elongate scales separate them (Fig. 4a). Ventral interradius covered by scales slightly smaller than dorsal scales (Fig. 4b). Bursal slits narrow. Oral shields arrow-shaped (Fig. 4c). Madreporite with one or two pores at distal margin. Adoral shields narrow and enlarged laterally. Two oral papillae at each side of jaw angle, distal one longer and broader (Fig. 4c). Infradental papillae small. Dorsal arm plate broader than long, rectangular (Fig. 4d). Ventral arm plate pentagonal, wider than long, with a slight notch in distal margin. Three arm spines enlarged, compressed and blunt, the ventral one being the longest (Fig. 4e). Two small, perpendicular, tentacle scales.

Distribution. Florida, the islands off southern Florida, the Antilles, Panama Brazil, and off Mar del Plata, Argentina (Tommasi 1970, Bernasconi and D'Agostino 1977, Hendler et al. 1995, Alvarado et al. 2008). In Brazil from Maranhão, Ceará, Rio Grande do Norte, Paraíba (Albuquerque 1986), Bahia (Thomas 1962, Magalhães et al. 2005), Espírito Santo (Albuquerque and Guile 1991), Rio de Janeiro (Von Martens 1867, type locality) and São Paulo (Tommasi 1970). Depth 0-300 m. In the present study collected between 11 and 27m.

Remarks. This species is known from bottoms of sand, mud, gravel and algae (Tommasi 1970). It is found burrowed in the sediment together with other ophiuroids such as *Ophiophragmus pulcher* H. L. Clark, 1918 and *Amphioplus albidus* (Ljungman, 1867) in Florida and *Hemipholis elongata* (Say, 1825) in Brazil (Hendler et al. 1995). Thomas (1962) showed that the shape of the arm spines (compressed and blunt) and the noncontiguous adoral shields are important characters to separate *Amphiodia planispina* from the other more closely related species such as *Microphiopholis atra* (Stimpson, 1854). Thomas (1962) remarked that these morphological characters may not be present in all specimens, as was also observed by us. The specimens observed in this study differed from the description provided by Tommasi (1970) only in relation to the number of scales between the radial shields. The specimens (dd = 8.5 mm) analized by Tommasi showed two to seven scales between the radial shields, while the specimens of this study (dd = 5.80 mm) had one or two scales. This fact is probably related to size of the specimens of both studies.

Amphiodia riisei (Lütken, 1859)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Amphiodia_riisei Figure 4 f–j

Description. Disk circular (dd = 4.16 mm). Covered by numerous imbricating scales of irregular shapes (Fig. 4f). Primary plates very conspicuous (Fig. 4f). Central primary plate slightly pentagonal, surrounded by the radial primary plates. Radial shields enlarged distally, contiguous, except at proximal end, where there is a small, elongate, triangular scale (Fig. 4f). Ventral interradius covered by small, imbricating scales (Fig. 4g). Bursal slits long and broadened. Oral shields diamond-shaped (Fig. 4h). Adoral shields enlarged laterally. Two oral papillae on each side of jaw angle, the distal one a

little larger than the proximal one (Fig. 4h). Infradental papillae rectangular and robust. Dorsal arm plate broader than long, rectangular, with the distal border rounded (Fig. 4i). Ventral arm plate pentagonal. Three arm spines slightly bigger than one arm segment, which is laterally flattened, with blunt tip (Fig. 4j). Two tentacle scales subequal and perpendicular.

Distribution. Off Florida, the Antilles, possibly Panama and Puerto Rico, and Brazil (Hendler et al. 1995). In Brazil from Amapá, Pará (Albuquerque 1986), Bahia (Magalhães et al. 2005), Rio de Janeiro (Lütken 1859, type locality), São Paulo (Tommasi 1970), and Paraná (Borges and Amaral 2005). Depth 1-311 m. Found for the first time in the State of Paraíba, at 16 m, in the present study.

Remarks. This species is known from sand and mud bottoms (Tommasi 1970). Thomas (1962) suggests that the species may be found in shallow waters, given that several reports collected specimens from 37m. Thomas (1962) suggests that Ophiophragmus brachyactis and Amphiodia riisei are synonyms. However, we have considered them distinct species belonging to different genera, on the basis of several noted differences, among which we stress the presence of a fence of papillae on the margin of the disk in O. brachyactis and the presence of well developed primary plates in A. riisei. In the original description of *Ophiophragmus brachyactis*, based on a specimen of 7 mm in disk diameter (dd), H. L. Clark (1915) did not observe the presence of well developed primary plates, a character emphasized by Tommasi (1970) for individuals of A. riisei with different dd (1.64 to 9 mm). Manso (1988) analysed a specimen of O. brachyatis with 4 mm in dd and Thomas (1962) examined a specimen with a dd of 8 mm and none of them noticed the presence of well developed primary plates. However, Tommasi (1970) remarked that young individuals of A. riisei may present marginal scales slightly elevated on the border of the disk. In these specimens a single tentacle scale is observed, and the scales on the dorsal surface of the disk, mainly the primary plates, are well developed and elevated, characters that do not agree with the diagnosis of O. brachyatis. According to H. L. Clark (1918), one of the most important characters of the genus Ophiophragmus is the presence of a fence of papillae along the margin of the disk, although he notes that some species of Amphiodia may also have elevated scales on the interbrachial areas, despite their appearance being different from those in Ophiophragmus. Thus the proposed synonymy and the combination Ophiophragmus riisei proposed by Thomas (1962) and accepted by authors such as Hendler et al. (1995), and Stöhr and O'Hara (2013), are not followed herein. We emphasize that the previously mentioned authors did suggest that this complex of species must be revised.

Amphipholis januarii Ljungman, 1866

http://species-id.net/wiki/Amphipholis_januarii Figure 5 a–f

Description. Disk circular or pentagonal (dd = 1.90 to 2.70 mm). Covered by small and imbricating scales (Fig. 5a). Radial shields long, narrow, contiguous, separated




Figure 5. Species of the family Amphiuridae. *Amphipholis januarii* **A** dorsal view, detail of the radial shields **B** ventral view **C** jaw **D** dorsal view of the arms **E** ventral view of the arms **F** detail of the arm spine. *Amphipholis squamata* **G** dorsal view **H** ventral view **I** jaw **J** dorsal view of the arms **L** ventral view of the arms. Scale bar = 1 mm.

proximally by a scale (Fig. 5a). Ventral interradius covered by slightly smaller scales, but similar to those on dorsal surface (Fig. 5b). Oral shields diamond-shaped (Fig. 5c). Adoral shields broadened laterally, almost united medially. Two elongated and broadened oral papillae on each side of jaw angle (Fig. 5c). Infradental papillae rectangular and robust. Dorsal arm plate broader than long, with proximal margin rounded and distal margin almost straight (Fig. 5d). Ventral arm plates pentagonal (Fig. 5e). Three to four elongate and blunt arm spines, the second or third with hyaline denticles on tip (Fig. 5f). Two perpendicular tentacle scales, inner scale slightly larger than outer.

Distribution. South Carolina, Florida, the islands off southern Florida, Texas, the Antilles, Belize, Panama, and Brazil (Hendler et al. 1995, Alvarado et al. 2008). In Brazil from Pará (Albuquerque 1986, Borges and Amaral 2005), Ceará (Lima-Verde 1969), Paraíba (Gondim et al. 2008), Alagoas (Lima et al 2011), Bahia (Magalhães et al. 2005), Rio de Janeiro (Ljungman 1867, type locality), and São Paulo (Tommasi 1970). Depth 1 to 85 m. Recorded in this study from 10 to 26 m.

Remarks. Species known from bottoms of mud, sand, shells (Tommasi 1970), between algae, under rocks, tending to be abundant in seagrass beds (Hendler et al. 1995). According to Boffi (1972), juveniles are very abundant in algae.

Amphipholis squamata (Delle Chiaje, 1828)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Amphipholis_squamata Figure 5 g–l

Description. Disk circular (dd = 1.08 to 2.47 mm). Covered by large, irregular, and only slightly imbricating scales (Fig. 5g). Sometimes with the central primary plate evident. Radial scales slightly longer than broad, contiguous, separated proximally by a small scale, with outer margin rounded and inner margin straight. Ventral interradius covered by strongly imbricating scales, which are smaller than dorsal scales (Fig. 5h). Distinct line of demarcation between the scales of the dorsal and ventral surface. Bursal slits long and broad (Fig. 5h). Oral shields fan-shape, distal margin enlarged and convex, slightly longer than wide (Fig. 5i). Adoral shields large, united proximally. Two oral papillae on each side of jaw angle, distal long and opercular (Fig. 5i). A pair of infradental papillae. Dorsal arm plate broader than long, proximal margin rounded and distal margin straight (Fig. 5j). Ventral arm plate pentagonal, twice as long as wide. Three arm spines conical, erect, serrate at tip (Fig. 5l). Two tentacle scales small, narrow and elongated.

Distribution. Traditionally considered cosmopolitan, except for the extreme polar regions (but see remarks). Western Atlantic from Canada, United States, Mexico, the Antilles, Belize, Costa Rica, Panama, Colombia, Brazil, Uruguay, and Santa Cruz Province, Argentina (Bernasconi 1965, Hendler et al. 1995, Hernández-Herrejón et al. 2008, Martínez 2008, Benavides-Serrato et al. 2011). In Brazil, from Pará, Maranhão, Ceará, Paraíba, Alagoas, Bahia (Gondim et al. in press), Rio de Janeiro (H.L. Clark 1915) and, São Paulo (Borges et al. 2002). Intertidal to 1962 m. Found between 21 and 26m in present study.

Remarks. Viviparous polychromatic species, presenting simultaneous hermaphroditism (Nisolle 1990), bioluminescence and fluorescence (Hendler 1996). Commonly found associated with algae and other biological substrates (sponges, cnidarians, bryozoans and molluscs). It may also be found on bottoms of sand, rock, seagrass beds, mangroves, estuaries, and in hypersaline waters. This species has been assigned at least 25 different names (Poulin et al. 1998), now synonymyzed as Amphipholis squamata. A. M. Clark (1987) has proposed conservation of this name and the suppression of the older name Ophiura elegans (Leach, 1815). Amphipholis squamata is regarded as the only species of echinoderm distributed world-wide. This vast distribution area contrasts with a low dispersal potential due to the lack of a pelagic larval stage and an aggregative spatial distribution (Féral et al. 2001). According to Fell (1946), the species extends its distribution by coastal migrations. However, Tortonese (1965) questioned the authenticity of a pandemic species. This species is known to have a strong inter- and intra-population variability among adult individuals for both phenotype and genotype, although the species seems to be anatomically uniform. Dupont et al. (2000) found that polychromatism and bioluminescence might be good indicators of variability of genotypes only at the intra-population level. Féral et al. (2001) confirmed that each color variety possesses its own luminous capabilities and that color varieties are genetically differentiated, although no clear genetic differences were demonstrated between colour varieties. The study of Sponer and Roy (2002) finally confirmed the existence of cryptic species and cryptic dispersal potential in New Zealand. The analysed specimen presented a small variation in the shape of the oral shield (see description) when compared with the specimens described by Thomas (1962), which presented diamond-shaped and wider than long oral shields. Thomas (1962) observed that the vertral surface of the disk was spinulose in two specimens from Puerto Rico (apparently belonging to this species). No specimen from the coast of Paraíba presents this character. According to Hendler (1996), the structure of the arm spines is the most reliable differential character in adult specimens.

Amphiura stimpsoni Lütken, 1859

http://species-id.net/wiki/Amphiura_stimpsoni Figure 6 a–e

Description. Disk pentagonal (dd = 2.63 to 3.03 mm). Covered by imbricating scales of different sizes (Fig. 6a). Radial shields narrow, three times as long as wide, almost completely separated by two or three broad and elongated scales (Fig. 6a). Ventral interradius covered by scales similar to dorsal, but slightly smaller (Fig. 6b). Bursal slits narrow. Oral shields longer than wide, tending to be diamond-shaped (Fig. 6c). Adoral shields enlarged laterally. Two oral papillae on each side of jaw angle, distal spatuliform and proximal spiniform, the latter positioned more internally on jaw (Fig. 6c). Dorsal arm plate slightly wider than long, proximal angle acute and distal margin rounded, tending to be fan-shaped (Fig. 6d). Ventral arm plate rectangular and narrow (Fig. 6e). Four to five subequal arm spines, crown of denticles on tip. One small tentacle scale (Fig. 6e).




Figure 6. Species of the family Amphiuridae (**A–I**). Amphiura stimpsoni. **A** dorsal view, detail of the radial shields; **B** ventral view **C** jaw **D** dorsal view of the arms **E** ventral view of the arms. *Ophiocnida scabriuscula* **F** dorsal view, detail of the radial shields **G** ventral view **H** jaw **I** dorsal view of the arms **J** ventral view of the arms. Scale bar = 1 mm.

Distribution. The Bahamas, the islands off southern Florida, west coast of Florida, Texas offshore reefs, the Antilles, Belize, islands off Caribbean Colombia, and Brazil (Hendler et al. 1995, Chavarro et al. 2004, Alvarado et al. 2008). In Brazil from Amapá, Maranhão, Ceará, Bahia (Gondim et al. in press), Rio de Janeiro (Rathbun 1879), and São Paulo (Netto et al. 2005). Depth 1 to 126 m. Recorded herein for the first time in the State of Paraíba, between 10 and 18 m.

Remarks. Hermaphroditic and viviparous species. It lives in bottoms of mud, sand, calcareous algae (Tommasi 1970), and gravel of corals and shells (Abreu-Pérez et al. 2005). Two species of genus *Amphiura* are known for the litoral of northeastern Brazil, *A. stimpsoni* and *A. kinbergi* Ljungman, 1872. The latter is recorded only for the states of Alagoas and Bahia (Lima et al. 2011, Manso et al. 2008). *A. stimpsoni* differs formits congener *A. kinbergi* in the number of tentacle scales (one in *A. stimpsoni* and two in *A. kinbergi*) and in the number of arm spines (six to seven in *A. kinbergi*). Personal observations suggest that this species is rare along the litoral of Paraíba, both in shallow coastal waters as in deeper isobates (up to 35 m).

Ophiocnida scabriuscula (Lütken, 1859)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Ophiocnida_scabriuscula Figure 6 e–i

Description. Disk circular with slight indentations in interradius (dd = 4.45 mm). Dorsal and ventral surfaces covered by numerous small spines, also in between the radial shields (Fig. 6e). Scales numerous on disk, imbricating and of different sizes, the largest surrounding the radial shields. Radial shields longer than wide, divergent and with two small accessory plates (Fig. 6e). Bursal slits long. Oral shields arrowhead-shape (Fig. 6g). Adoral shields enlarged laterally. Two oral papillae on each side of jaw angle, small, rounded, and slightly elongated (Fig. 6g). Dorsal arm plate rectangular, narrow, with distal margin rounded (Fig. 6h). Ventral arm plate pentagonal (Fig. 6i). Two small tentacle scales. Three arm spines slightly flattened, the dorsal one slightly longer and wider than the other two.

Distribution. Bermuda, Florida, the islands off southern Florida, the Antilles, Mexican Caribbean, Panama, Colombia, Venezuela, and Brazil (Hendler et al. 1995, Hernández-Herrejón et al. 2008). In Brazil from Maranhão (Albuquerque 1986), Paraíba (Gondim et al. 2008, 2011), Pernambuco (Lima and Fernandes 2009), Alagoas (Lima et al. 2011), Bahia (Rathbun 1879, Magalhães et al. 2005), Abrolhos off southern Bahia, Rio de Janeiro, São Paulo, and Paraná (Tommasi 1970). Intertidal to 68 m. Recorded in this study between 18 and 30 m.

Remarks. This littoral species has a limited bathymetric distribution (H. L. Clark 1933). It is known from hard substrates (sand and gravel) (Tommasi 1970) and from marine seagrasses (Hendler et al. 1995). We observed the formation of a third tentacle scale in some arm segments.

http://species-id.net/wiki/Ophiophragmus_brachyactis Figure 7 a–e

Description. Disk circular (dd = 4.45 mm). Covered by imbricating scales of irregular shape (Fig. 7a). Margin of interradial field with 12-13 small, enlarged, blunt spines that decrease in size in the direction of the radial shields (Fig. 7a). Radial shields slightly longer than wide, united, except at proximal end, where a small triangular scale occurs between the pair of radial shields. Ventral interradius covered by scales similar to dorsal scales (Fig. 7b). Oral shields arrow-shaped (Fig. 7c). Adoral shields enlarged laterally, contiguous in proximal region. Two papillae on each side of jaw angle, the distal one longer and wider (Fig. 7c). Infradental papillae robust, and rectangular. Dorsal arm plate wider than long, rectangular, with rounded borders (Fig. 7d). Ventral arm plate pentagonal, with small notch on distal margin (Fig. 7e). Two small, perpendicular, tentacle scales, inner scale slightly longer than outer scale. Three small, compressed, blunt, arm spines, the ventral one largest (Fig. 7e).

Distribution. Florida and Dry Tortugas, the Antilles, Gulf of Mexico, and Brazil (Thomas 1962, Hendler et al. 1995). In Brazil from Paraíba (Gondim et al. 2008), Espírito Santo, and Rio de Janeiro (Manso 1988, 1993). Depth 22 to 87 m. Recorded from 30 m in this study.

Remarks. Species known from bottoms with sand, in which bryozoans predominate (Manso 1988). We consider *Amphiodia riisei* distinct from *Ophiophragmus brachyactis* and use the name *A. riisei* for the former taxon (see discussion under this species).

Ophiostigma isocanthum (Say, 1825)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Ophiostigma_isocanthum Figure 7 f–l

Description. Disk circular to pentagonal (dd = 1.58 to 3.31 mm). Covered by small blunt tubercles (Fig. 7f). Some large and blunt tubercles distributed in the interradius, usually near the radial shields. Radial shields small (Fig. 7f, h). Ventral interradius covered by short and blunt tubercles similar to the dorsal ones (Fig. 7g). Bursal slits narrow and long. Oral shields fan-shape (Fig. 7i). Adoral shields united proximally and almost touching the adoral shield of the neighbouring jaw along median arm line (Fig. 7i). Two oral papillae on each side of jaw angle, distal operculate, closing oral slit (Fig. 7i). Dorsal arm plate with proximal margin rounded and distal margin straight (Fig. 7j). Ventral arm plate pentagonal, long. Three conical arm spines (Fig. 7l). Two elongate tentacle scale (Fig. 7l).

Distribution. Bermuda, North Carolina to Florida and the island off southern Florida, Texas offshore reefs, the Bahamas, the Antilles, Mexican Caribbean, Panama, Colombia and islands off Caribbean, Colombia, Venezuela, and Brazil (Hendler et




Figure 7. Species of the family Amphiuridae. *Ophiophragmus brachyactis* **A** dorsal view, detail of the fence of papillae **B** ventral view **C** jaw **D** dorsal view of the arms **E** ventral view of the arms. *Ophiostigma isocanthum* **F** dorsal view **G** ventral view **H** detail of the radial shields **I** jaw **J** dorsal view of the arms **L** ventral view of the arms. Scale bar = 1 mm.

al. 1995, Chavarro et al. 2004, Laguarda-Figueras et al. 2004, Durán-Gonzáles et al. 2005, Borrero-Pérez et al. 2008). In Brazil from Pará, Ceará, Paraíba (Albuquerque 1986), Pernambuco (Lima and Fernandes 2009), Alagoas (Albuquerque 1986), Bahia (Magalhães et al. 2005), and Rio de Janeiro (Rathbun 1879). Intertidal to 244 m in depth. Recorded from 14 to 34 m in this study.

Remarks. This species rarely exceeds 7 mm in disk diameter (H. L. Clark 1933). It lives on rocky bottoms, on or in fine sediment, among shell, corals, calcareous algae, under sponges (Tommasi 1970, Hendler 1995, Manso et al. 2008), and among the roots of seagrasses (Thomas 1962). This is a cryptic species that hides by burrowing into the sediment. Individuals with 1mm in disk diameter may already have mature gonads (Hendler et al. 1995). In specimens having the disc in the process of regenerating, only small granules were observed distributed over the whole disc, the large granules being absent from the inter-radial regions.

Family Ophiotrichidae Ljungman, 1867

Ophiothrix (Ophiothrix) angulata (Say, 1825)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Ophiothrix_angulata Figure 2 f–j, 14 c

Description. Disk circular (dd = 0.63 to 4.79 mm). Covered by small, hyaline bifid or trifid spines, also on the radial shields (Fig. 2f). Radial shields longer than wide, separated by a row of scales (Fig. 2f). Ventral interradius covered by spines similar to dorsal (Fig.2g). Bursal slits short and wide. Oral shields enlarged laterally, triangular, with distal margin (Fig. 2h). Adoral shields united proximally. No oral papillae, but jaws bear terminal clump of dental papillae (Fig. 2h). Dorsal arm plate fan-shaped (Fig. 2i). Ventral arm plate slightly longer than wide, hexagonal, with distal margin long and slightly concave (Fig. 2j). Nine long arm spines, vitreous and denticulate, the one but last smallest and the last modified into a hook. Single tentacle scale small.

Distribution. Bermuda, North Carolina to Texas coast and offshore reefs, Dry Tortugas, the Bahamas, the Antilles, Mexican Caribbean, Honduras, Belize, Panama, islands off Caribbean, Colombia, Venezuela, Brazil, Uruguay, and off La Plata river, Argentina (Tommasi 1970, Devaney 1974, Hendler et al. 1995, Chavarro et al. 2004, Durán-Gonzáles et al. 2005, Laguarda-Figueras et al. 2005, Alvarado et al. 2008, Borrero-Pérez et al. 2008, Hernandéz-Herrejón et al. 2008, Martínez 2008). In Brazil from Amapá (Albuquerque 1986), Piauí (Gondim and Giacometti 2010), Paraíba (Rathbun 1879), Pernambuco (Tommasi 1970), Alagoas (Miranda et al. 2012), Bahia (Alves and Cerqueira 2000), Abrolhos off southern Bahia, Trindade oceanic island off Espírito Santo (Tommasi 1970), Rio de Janeiro (Rathbun 1879), São Paulo, Paraná, Santa Catarina, and Rio Grande do Sul (Tommasi 1970). Intertidal to 540 m depth. Sampled between 10 and 34 m depth in this study. **Remarks.** Associated with seaweeds, such as the brown alga *Sargassum* spp. (Jacobucci et al. 2006), living between stones, and in sponges (Tommasi 1967), in oyster banks, mangroves, seagrass beds and on sessile animals such as *Millepora* sp. and gorgonians (Hendler et al. 1995). Also reported in Brazil from colonies of the octocoral *Carijoa riisei* (Neves et al. 2007), from the tubes of the polychaete *Phyllochaetopterus socialis* Claparède, 1870 (Nalesso et al. 1995), in the sponge *Zygomycale parishii* (Bowerbank, 1875) (Duarte and Nalesso 1996), and from colonies of the bryozoan *Schizoporella errata* (Walters, 1878) (Morgado and Tanaka 2001). Individuals with a disk diameter smaller than 4.0 mm do not have long spines on the median region of the dorsal disk surface (Monteiro 1987). This is a common and highly variable species, with planktotrophic larvae (Hendler 2005). It displays great variation in color, Tommasi (1970) listed 21 different color forms of this species (Hendler et al. 1995). On the coast of Paraíba, the most commonly observed color is violet or specimens which are violet only on the disk and have aniline-blue arms.

Family Ophiactidae Matsumoto, 1915

Ophiactis quinqueradia Ljungman, 1872

http://species-id.net/wiki/Ophiactis_quinqueradia Figure 8 a–f

Description. Five arms. Disk circular to pentagonal (dd = 2.48 to 7.62 mm). Covered by imbricating scales of irregular sizes. Scales at center of disk smaller, the largest on interradial field and mainly on lateral margins of radial shields (Fig. 8a). Sparsely distributed small spines on aboral region of disk. Radial shields long and separated over almost full length by three long scales (Fig. 8f). Ventral interradius covered by small spines on small and imbricating scales (Fig. 8b). Bursal slits long and wide. Oral shields long, diamond-shaped, proximally enlarged and distally narrow (Fig. 8c). Adoral shields large, laterally wide. Two or three small, spatulate, oral papillae, distal papilla broader and proximal papilla slightly curved towards the interior of the mouth (Fig. 8c). Dorsal arm plate wider than long, rectangular, with proximal margin rounded (Fig. 8d). Ventral arm plate hexagonal (Fig. 8e). Five or seven serrated arm spines, with a crown of denticles at tip. First dorsal spine small, second largest, and remaining decrease in size in the ventral direction. Single tentacle scale spatulate (Fig. 8e).

Distribution. The Bahamas, the islands off southern Florida, off Mississippi, Texas offshore reefs, the Antilles, Mexican Caribbean, Cuba, Belize, Panama, and Brazil (Hendler et al. 1995, Durán-Gonzáles et al. 2005, Hernández-Herrejón et al. 2008). In Brazil from Maranhão, Ceará, Rio Grande do Norte, Pernambuco, Alagoas (Albuquerque 1986, Gondim et al. *in press*), and Espírito Santo (Tommasi 1970). This is the first record for the State of Paraíba. Intertidal to 640 m. Recorded between 11 and 34 m in the present study.




Figure 8. Species of the family Ophiactidae. *Ophiactis quinqueradia* **A** dorsal view **B** ventral view **C** jaw **D** dorsal view of the arms **E** ventral view of the arms **F** detail of the radial shields. *Ophiactis savignyi* **G** dorsal view, detail of the radial shields **H** ventral view **I** jaw **J** dorsal view of the arms **L** ventral view of the arms. Scale bar = 1 mm.

Remarks. This species lives on bottoms of mud, sand, gravel or corals, being very common in sponges (Tommasi, 1970). According to Hendler et al. (1995), it is an endocommensal of sponges. In Cuba, concentrations of 200 to 300 individuals of *Ophiactis quinqueradia* were recorded as endocommensal of sponges *Agelas* sp. (Abreu-Pérez et al. 2005), *Verongia lacunosa* (Lamarck, 1816) and *Neofibularia notitangere* (Duchassaing and Michelotti, 1864) (Hopkins et al. 1977, Kissling and Taylor 1977, Hendler 1984). In the examined specimens a dorsal arm plate was sometimes subdivided into two or three small plates of irregular shapes.

Ophiactis savignyi (Müller & Troschel, 1842)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Ophiactis_savignyi Figure 8 g–l

Description. Six arms. Disk circular (dd = 1.3 mm). Covered by numerous imbricating scales. Some small spines on scales at margin of disk (Fig. 8g). Radial shields large, triangular, contiguous (Fig. 8g). Ventral interradus with some spines on the scales (Fig. 8h). Bursal slit enlarged. Oral shields oval (Fig. 8i). Adoral shields widened laterally. One oral papilla on each side of jaw angle (Fig. 8i). Apical papilla well developed. Dorsal arm plate wider than long, sometimes subdivided into two plates (Fig. 8j). Ventral arm plate octogonal. Six arm spines, with denticles along margin and at tip (Fig. 8l). Single tentacle scale semi-elliptical (Fig. 8l).

Distribution. Cosmopolitan, in warm waters throughout the western Indo-Pacific, eastern Pacific, including Malpelo Island off western coast of Colombia, and both sides of the Atlantic, including Ascension island in the South Atlantic. Western Atlantic from South Carolina, Bermuda, Mexican Caribbean, Honduras, and Brazil (Devaney 1974, Pawson 1978, Hendler et al. 1995, Durán-Gonzáles et al. 2005, Cohen-Rengifo et al. 2009). In Brazil from Amapá, Pará, Maranhão (Albuquerque 1986), Ceará (Lima-Verde 1969), Paraíba (Gondim et al. 2008), Pernambuco (Tommasi 1970), Alagoas (Miranda et al. 2012), Bahia (Alves and Cerqueira 2000), Abrolhos off southern Bahia (Tommasi 1970), Rio de Janeiro (Brito 1960), and São Paulo (Tommasi 1970). Intertidal to 518 m. Found at 10 m in this study.

Remarks. Species found in all reef zones, seagrass beds, mangroves, and in contaminated communities (Hendler et al. 1995). According to Madsen (1970), *O. savignyi* is very polymorphic, resulting in a vast synonymy. Juveniles are frequently found in large densities inside sponges, possibly as commensals (Hyman 1955). Cuènot (1948) considers this behavior a case of pseudocommensalism, due to its marked positive stereotropism. Young forms (up to 4 mm in disk diameter) reproduce by fissiparity, although Devaney (1974) found no indications of this reproductive mode, while large specimens may reproduce both sexually and asexually (Tommasi 1970). Gondim et al. (2008) observed that they may live permanently in phytal communities, in which several life stages were found.

Family Ophionereididae Ljungman, 1867

Ophionereis reticulata (Say, 1825)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Ophionereis_reticulata Figure 9 a–e

Description. Disk circular to pentagonal (dd = 1.94 to 6.59 mm). Covered by numerous small and imbricating scales (Fig. 9a). Radial shields small, triangular, elongated and largely separated (Fig. 9a). Aboral surface of disk finely reticulated by fine brownish lines (Fig. 9a). Bursal slits large and with genital papillae (Fig. 9b). Oral shields diamond-shape, longer than wide (Fig. 9c). Adoral shields distally flaring. Three to five oral papillae on each side of jaw angle (Fig. 9c). Distal oral papilla slightly larger, other papillae diminishing progressively in size towards the mouth. Two to three apical papillae. Dorsal arm plates as wide as long, with rounded borders (Fig. 9d). Accessory dorsal arm plates well developed, not touching the neighbouring dorsal plates. Three slightly flattened spines on lateral arm plates (Fig. 9e). Single large, rounded, tentacle scale. Dark brown band (same colour as disk reticulation) along one arm segment, alternated by 3-6 light bands (Fig. 9d).

Distribution. Bermuda, North Carolina, South Carolina offshore reefs, Florida and the islands off southern Florida, the Bahamas, Texas offshore reefs, the Antilles, Mexican Caribbean, Belize, Honduras, Costa Rica, Panama, coast and islands off Caribbean Colombia, Venezuela, and Brazil (Hendler et al. 1995, Chavarro et al. 2004, Durán-Gonzáles et al. 2005, Alvarado et al. 2008, Hernández-Herrejón et al. 2008). In Brazil from Maranhão (Albuquerque 1986), Paraíba (Gondim et al. 2008), Pernambuco (Fernandes et al. 2002), Alagoas (Miranda et al. 2012), Bahia (Brito 1962), Abrolhos, off southern Bahia (Tommasi 1970), Rio de Janeiro (Brito 1960) and São Paulo (Brito 1962). Intertidal to 560 m. Found between 10 and 33 m in this study.

Remarks. Occurs in moderate densities in seagrass beds, and on sand with pebbles (Hendler et al. 1995). It is known for its cannibalistic and predatory habit (Majer et al. 2009). Ophionereis reticulata is common in shallow waters, living in reef zones under rocks, in coral fragments, and among algae. It has nocturnal habits. Autotomy is frequent (Ventura et al. 2007). It displays negative phototaxy, prefering dark crevices (Borges and Amaral 2005). The species is omnivorous, but feeding mainly on food of vegetable origin (Yokoyama and Amaral 2008). The ambulacral feet are used to dig, to maintain a flow of particles for feeding, including algae and diatom filaments from the surface sediment, and for locomotion (Hendler et al. 1995). The moderately large eggs suggest that this species has a lecithothrophic development (Hendler and Littman 1986). O. reticulata has been recorded in commensal association with the polychaete Malmgreniella variegata (Treadwell, 1917) (Pettibone 1993, Santa-Isabel et al. 1996, Martin and Britayev 1998), and *Hesione picta* (De Assis et al. 2012). This worm-brittle star symbiosis has also been reported for Ophionereis annulata (LeConte, 1851) in the Gulf of Panama, suggesting that the association predates the Pliocene separation of the Atlantic and Pacific oceans (Hendler et al. 1995). According to Clark (1953) this species

is closely related to *O. annulata* (Le Conte, 1851), which differs as to the length of the arm spine, aspect of the dorsal arm accessory plate, and reticulate pattern of the disc. The reticulate pattern is a taxonomic character widely used in distinguishing among the species of the genus *Ophionereis*. Unfortunately the material studied has lost much of this information. But the characters of the arms were important in distinguishing species.

Ophionereis squamulosa Koehler, 1914

http://species-id.net/wiki/Ophionereis_squamulosa Figure 9 f–j

Description. Disk circular (dd = 2.46 to 5.33 mm). Covered by numerous small and imbricating scales (Fig. 9f). Radial shields small, narrow and widely separated. Dark blotches on aboral surface of disk. Disk scales extending onto first brachial segment. Ventral interradius covered by scales similar to dorsal ones (Fig. 9g). Oral shields oval (Fig. 9h). Adoral shields enlarged laterally. Four oral papillae on each side of jaw angle (Fig. 9h). One pair of apical papillae. Dorsal arm plates longer than wide, proximal margin enlarged and distal margin narrow. Accessory dorsal arm plates well developed (Fig. 9f, i). Ventral arm plates slightly longer than wide (Fig. 9j). Three arm spines slightly flattened, with blunt tip (Fig. 9i, j). Single large tentacle scale.

Distribution. The Bahamas, the islands off southern Florida, the Antilles, Mexican Caribbean, Belize, Panama, and Brazil (Hendler et al. 1995, Abreu-Pérez et al. 2005, Trujillo-Luna and González-Vallejo 2006, Alvarado et al. 2008). In Brazil from Amapá (Albuquerque 1986), Paraíba (H.L. Clark 1915), Pernambuco (Albuquerque 1986), Alagoas (Miranda et al. 2012), Bahia (Manso et al. 2008), Abrolhos off southern Bahia (Tommasi 1970), and Rio de Janeiro (Manso 1993). From 1 to 40 m. Recorded between 12 and 30 m in this study.

Remarks. Known from bottoms of sand, gravel, dead shells (Tommasi 1970), and seagrass beds. This species has yolky, non-feeding vitellaria larvae (Hendler et al. 1995). Although similar to *O. reticulata*, *O. squamulosa* differs in color-pattern, maximum size, and often size and shape of the accessory dorsal arm plates (Thomas 1973). Yet according to Thomas (1973), *O. squamulosa* has a difuse, poorly delineated reticulate pattern on the disk and light arm bands separed by a single arm segments. Unfortunately our material is do not retained such features, being not possible to accurately observe their reticulate pattern of the disc.

Ophionereis dolabriformis John & A. M. Clark, 1954

http://species-id.net/wiki/Ophionereis_dolabriformis Figure 10 a–e

Description. Disk circular to pentaradial (dd = 3.20 to 5.09 mm). Covered dorsally by imbricating scales of different sizes (Fig. 10a). Radial shields small, narrow, trian-

gular (Fig. 10a). Pentaradial olive-green colour pattern on dorsal surface of disk (Fig. 10a). Bursal slits long and without genital papillae (Fig. 10b). Oral shields arrowheadshaped, partially covering the adoral shields (Fig. 10c). Adoral shields united proximally and enlarged distally. Four oral papillae on each side of jaw angle (Fig. 10c). Dorsal arm plate longer than wide, distal region strongly convex (Fig. 10d). Ventral arm plate rectangular, lateral margins concave, distal margin enlarged and slightly convex (Fig. 10e). Single large, oval, tentacle scale. Three elongate arm spines, needle-shaped (Fig. 10d, e), fully denticulate. Olive-green stripe on 1 to 2 ¹/₂ dorsal arm segments.

Distribution. Caribbean coast of Mexico and Colombia, Venezuela (A.M. Clark 1953, Thomas 1973, Pomory 2007, Benavides-Serrato et al. 2011), and Brazil, from Paraíba and Bahia (Gondim et al. 2010). From 14 to 97 m. Found in this study between 14 and 35 m.

Remarks. *O. dolabriformis* seems to be a rare species, with a high tolerance of river influence (Gondim et al. 2010). Gondim et al. (2010) noted that this species may present variations in the design of the disc patterns, but the pentaradial pattern was maintained, and could be completely uniform, or empty, or with the pattern rays being connected by fine lines.

Ophionereis olivacea H. L. Clark, 1900

http://species-id.net/wiki/Ophionereis_olivacea Figure 10 f–j

Description. Disk usually pentagonal (dd = 3.15 to 3.75 mm). Covered by small and imbricating scales (Fig. 10f). Radial shields small, triangular, narrow, elongated and broadly separated (Fig. 10f). Largest scales surrounding and in between radial shields. Ventral interradius covered by imbricating scales similar to dorsal ones (Fig. 10g). Oral shields tending to heart-shape (Fig. 10h). Adoral shields enlarged laterally. Four oral papillae on each side of jaw angle (Fig. 10h). Dorsal arm plate slightly longer than wide (Fig. 10i). Accessory dorsal arm plate small, sometimes with several overlapping plates (Fig. 10f, i). Ventral arm plate slightly longer than wide (Fig. 10f, i). Three arm spines slightly larger than arm segment. Single large tentacle scale.

Distribution. The Florida Keys, the Antilles, the Mexican Caribbean, Belize, Panama, the Colombian Caribbean (Hendler et al. 1995, Abreu-Pérez et al. 2005, Alvarado et al. 2008), and Brazil, from Pará (Albuquerque 1986), and Rio de Janeiro (Manso 1993). Intertidal to 77m. Sampled for the first time in the State of Paraíba, between 18 and 30 m, in this study.

Remarks. Known from bottoms of quartz sand, corals, coral fragments, mangroves, and phytal communities (Hendler et al. 1995). This is a protandric hermaphrodite (Byrne 1991) that broods its young (Hendler and Littman 1986). The ciliated embryo lacks both ophiopluteus and vitellaria features and develops directly (Hendler et al. 1995). According to Hendler et al. (1995) this species has a gray disk, with graygreen blotches and an irregular dense or netlike pattern of the same color.




Figure 9. Species of the family Ophionereididae. *Ophionereis reticulata* **A** dorsal view, detail of the radial shields **B** ventral view, detail of the genital scale (gs) **C** jaw **D** dorsal view of the arms **E** ventral view of the arms. *Ophionereis squamulosa* **F** dorsal view, detail of the accessory dorsal arm plate (adp) **G** ventral view **H** jaw **I** dorsal view of the arms. Scale bar = 1 mm.




Figure 10. Species of the family Ophionereididae. *Ophionereis dolabriformis* **A** dorsal view, detail of the radial shields **B** ventral view, detail of the genital scale (gs) **C** jaw **D** dorsal view of the arms **E** vental view of the arms. *Ophionereis olivacea* **F** dorsal view, detail of the radial shields **F** ventral view, detail of the accessory dorsal arm plate (adp) **H** jaw **I** dorsal view of the arms **J** ventral view of the arms. Scale bar = 1 mm.

Family Ophiocomidae Ljungman, 1867

Ophiocoma echinata (Lamarck, 1816)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Ophiocoma_echinata Figure 11 a–e, 14 d

Description. Disk circular to pentagonal (dd = 3.06 to 16.68 mm). Uniformly covered by small granules (Fig. 11a), which are smaller in central region than in marginal region. These granules occupy a v-shaped area on the ventral interradius (Fig. 11b). In the areas without granules there are large and imbricating scales. Bursal slits enlarged, with well developed genital scales in margins (Fig. 11b). Oral shields large and rectangular, proximal margin slightly rounded (Fig. 11c). Adoral shields small, almost totally covered by oral shield. Four oral papillae on each side of jaw angle (Fig. 11c). Two proximal papillae slightly cylindrical and subequal, two distal papillae longer and broader. Cluster of well developed dental papillae on apex of jaw (Fig. 11c). Dorsal arm plate longer than wide, fan-shaped (Fig. 11d). Ventral arm plate longer than wide, octogonal, with distal margin slightly convex (Fig. 11e). Two tentacle scales, internal one slightly larger than external one. Three or four arm spines alternating on arm segments. Dorsal spine longer and broader (bottle-shaped) (Fig. 11d), median ones of equal size and ventral one smaller and slightly flattened.

Distribution. Bermuda, Florida and the islands off southern Florida, the Bahamas, the Antilles, the Mexican Caribbean, Belize, Nicaragua, Guatemala, Honduras, Costa Rica, Panama, Colombia, Venezuela, and Brazil (Lyman 1865, H.L. Clark 1933, Hendler et al. 1995, Durán-Gonzáles et al. 2005, Alvarado et al. 2008). In Brazil from Ceará (Albuquerque 1986), Paraíba (Rathbun 1879), Pernambuco (Tommasi 1970), Alagoas (Miranda et al. 2012), Bahia (Tommasi 1970), and Rio de Janeiro (Manso 1993). Intertidal to 24m. Recorded herein between 10 and 34m.

Remarks. This species has diurnal habits. It lives in reef zones, seagrass beds, mangroves, being particularly abundant under rocks (Hendler *et al.* 1995). It is frequently recorded together with *O. pumila* Lütken, 1856, *O. wendtii* and *Ophioderma appressa*, although it has an agressive defensive reaction and competes for space with *O. wendtii* (Side and Woodley 1985).

Ophiocoma wendtii Müller & Troschel, 1842

http://species-id.net/wiki/Ophiocoma_wendtii Figure 11 e–i

Description. Disk pentagonal with small notches on interradius (dd = 2.71 to 15.07 mm) (Fig. 11e). Covered by small, imbricating scales, totally covered by small granules that extend over the first three dorsal arm segments (Fig. 11e). Ventral interradius covered by granules, that form a V-shaped area (Fig. 11f). Bursal slits long and enlarged. Oral shields triangular (Fig. 11g). Adoral shields distally flaring, not touching medi-




Figure 11. Species of the family Ophiocomidae. *Ophiocoma echinata* **A** dorsal view **B** ventral view, detail of the genital scale (gs) **C** jaw **D** dorsal view of the arms **E** ventral view of the arms. *Ophiocoma wendtii* **F** dorsal view **G** ventral view **H** jaw **I** dorsal view of the arms **J** ventral view of the arms. Scale bar = 1 mm.

ally. Four oral papillae on each side of jaw angle (Fig. 11g), the one but last largest and partially covering last papilla. A cluster of papillae on jaw apex (Fig. 11g). Dorsal arm plate wider than long, fan-shaped (Fig. 11h). Ventral arm plate pentagonal, with distal margin rounded (Fig. 11i). Single large tentacle scale, but the six first arm segments may present two scales. Three or four long, pointed, arm spines, with blunt tip, the dorsal one biggest, four or five arm segments long (Fig. 11h). They decrease in size in the direction of the ventral spine, which is slightly curved. Arm segments with three or four alternating spines.

Distribution. Bermuda, the Bahamas, the islands off southern Florida, Texas offshore reefs, the Antilles, Mexican Caribbean, Belize, Honduras, Costa Rica, Panama, islands off Caribbean Colombia, Venezuela, and Brazil (Lyman 1865, H.L. Clark 1933, Hendler et al. 1995, Chavarro et al. 2004, Durán-Gonzáles et al. 2005, Alvarado et al. 2008). In Brazil from Ceará, Rio Grande do Norte (Albuquerque 1986), Pernambuco (Tommasi 1970), Alagoas (Miranda et al. 2012), Bahia (Magalhães et al. 2005), Trindade oceanic island off Espírito Santo (Tommasi 1970) and Rio de Janeiro (Manso 1993). Found from 1 to 384m. In this study, recorded for the first time in the State of Paraíba, between 10 and 34 m.

Remarks. Lives in bottoms of coral, dead shells (Tommasi 1970), calcareous algae, in all reef zones, mangroves, seagrass beds, below rocks, in coral colonies, and under sponges (Hendler et al. 1995). The presence of alimentary particles on the arms during the day, when *O. wendtii* is hidden inside shelters, suggests that this species is a suspension or detritivorous feeder (Hendler et al. 1995). All samples examined herein have granules on the disk. This differs from the observation of H. L. Clark (1918), who only observed granules in specimens with a disk diameter above 5 mm.

Ophiopsila hartmeyeri Koehler, 1913

http://species-id.net/wiki/Ophiopsila_hartmeyeri Figure 12 a–e

Description. Disk circular (dd = 1.30 to 6.90 mm). Covered by imbricating scales of different sizes, largest between radial shields and on interradial margin of disk (Fig. 12a). Radial shields narrow, long, broadly separated, distal end slightly broader, distinct from remaining disk because of their white coloration (Fig. 12a). Numerous olive-green patches on the dorsal and ventral sides of the disk (Fig. 12a). Ventral interradius with scales similar to dorsal disk surface (Fig. 12b). Bursal slits broad and elongated. Oral shields large, diamond-shaped, laterally broadened, some with a dark spot on distal margin. Two spatulate oral papillae (Fig. 12c), borders slightly denteate, on each margin of jaw, the outer one slightly longer. A cluster of dental papillae on apex of jaw (Fig. 12c). Dorsal arm plate slightly wider than long, distal border slightly wider than anterior border (Fig. 12d). Ventral arm plate longer than wide, pentagonal, posterior margin concave (Fig. 12e). Two tentacle scales (Fig. 12b, e), outer smaller and inner longer, flattened and overreaching median ventral plane of arm. Tentacle pore large. Four to




Figure 12. Specimen of the family Ophiocomidae (**a–e**). *Ophiopsila hartmeyeri* **A** dorsal view, detail of the radial shields **B** ventral view, detail of the tentacle scale **C** jaw **D** dorsal view of the arms **E** ventral view of the arms. Scale bar = 1 mm.

six arm spines, ventral one longest and slightly curved (Fig. 12d, e). Remaining spines decrease in size ventralwards, with small denticles at apex. Two close brown stripes on lateral arm plate, and a lighter median band on the dorsal arm plate (Fig. 12a, d). Ventral surface sometimes with two brown stripes close to insertion of spines.

Distribution. Florida Keys, the Mexican Caribbean, the Antilles, Costa Rica, Colombia, Venezuela, and Brazil (Hendler et al. 1995, Alvarado et al. 2008, Borrero-Pérez et al. 2008). In Brazil, from Abrolhos off southern Bahia (Tommasi 1970). From 12 to 161m (Hendler et al 1995). Recorded 12 and 30 m in present account.

Remarks. Typically, this is a coralline bottom species (Tommasi 1970). Abreu-Pérez et al. (2005) also record the species for sandy substrates and on rocks and corals. We record this species mainly in rhodolites. *Ophiopsila hartmeyeri* showed a mosaic distribution of characters described for *O. maculata* (Verrill, 1899), and *O. riisei* Lütken, 1859. *Ophiopsila hartmeyeri* was similar to *O. maculata* in having black dots on each oral shield, originally diagnosed by Tommasi (1970). Comparing *O. hartmeyeri* with *O. riisei*, both share these black spots also on the dorsal surface of the disk, a character previously considered diagnostic for *O. riisei* (Koehler 1913). The specimens of *O. hartmeyeri* from the Paraíba coast have a smaller number of spines (four or six) than that recorded in the literature (eight arm spines). However, their shape is characteristic for *O. hartmeyeri* (sword-shaped). In the present study we follow the older classification, in which the genus *Ophiopsila* belong to the family Ophiocomidae, as this genus does not have a pair of infradental papillae (diagnostic character of Amphiuridae), but has tooth papillae (a cluster of short, granule-like apical papillae on the dental plate) (one of the diagnostic characters of Ophiocomidae). Martynov (2010) proposed transfering the genus *Ophiopsila* to the family Amphiuridade on the basis of the morphology of the lateral arm plate. Murakami (1963), in his exaustive study on the dental and oral plates, suggests that the dental plate of the genus *Ophiopsila* is most closely related to the family Ophionereididae. The systematic position of *Ophiopsila* is thus still uncertain and needs further studies.

Family Ophiodermatidae Ljungman, 1867

Ophioderma appressa (Say, 1825)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Ophioderma_appressa Figure 13 a–e, 14 f

Description. Disk circular to pentagonal (dd = 4.18 to 7.89 mm), covered by small granules (Fig. 13a). Radial shields oval and covered with granules (Fig. 13a). Ventral interradius covered by similar granules. Four short bursal slits (Fig. 13b). Oral shields oval (Fig. 13c). Adoral shields broadened laterally, not covered by granules (Fig. 13c). Seven or eight oral papillae on each side of jaw angle (Fig. 13c), the three proximal ones small and elongated the last one narrow and partially covered by the previous papilla. Single apical papillae. Dorsal arm plate longer than wide, with distal margin rounded (Fig. 13e). Seven small, compressed, arm spines, the ventral-most one partially covered by outer tentacle scale. Two tentacle scales, the inner one longest (Fig. 13d).

Distribution. Western Atlantic from Bermuda, South Carolina, the islands off southern Florida, Texas offshore reefs, the Bahamas, the Antilles, Mexican Caribbean, Belize, Honduras, Costa Rica, Panama, islands off Caribbean Colombia, Venezuela, and Brazil (Tommasi 1970, Hendler et al. 1995, Chavarro et al. 2004, Durán-Gonzáles et al. 2005, Alvarado et al. 2008). In Brazil from Paraíba, Pernambuco (Rathbun 1879), Alagoas (Miranda et al. 2012), Bahia (Rathbun 1879), Rio de Janeiro, and São Paulo (Tommasi 1970). Intertidal to 364 m deep. Recorded from 10 to 35 m in the present account.

Remarks. This cryptic species lives in reef environments, seagrass beds, on gravel and coral rubble. Usually found together with other ophiuroids, such as *Ophioderma cinerea*, *Ophiocoma echinata*, and *O. wendtii* (Hendler et al. 1995). According to Hendler et al. (1995), records from the East Atlantic are based on misidentified specimens. This species presumably has a vitellaria larva (Hendler 1979b, Hendler and Littman 1986). It is variable both in color and in morphology (Hendler et al. 1995). Among the variable characters are the number of arms pines, that may vary from 7 to 10 spines; Ziesenhenne (1955) observed specimens with 9 to 10 arm spines.




Figure 13. Species of the family Ophiodermatidae (**a–d**). *Ophioderma appressa* **A** dorsal view **B** ventral view **C** jaw **D** ventral view of the arms **E** ventral view of the arms. *Ophioderma cinerea* **F** dorsal view, detail of the radial shields **G** ventral view **H** jaw **I** dorsal view of the arms **J** ventral view of the arms. Scale bar = 1 mm.




Figure 14. Some ophiurans in their natural habitat. **A** *Ophiomyxa flaccida* **B** *Ophiolepis impressa* **C** *Ophiothrix angulata* **D** *Ophiocoma echinata* **E** *Ophioderma cinerea* **F** *Ophioderma appressa*. Photos by Thelma L. P. Dias.

Ophioderma cinerea Müller & Troschel, 1842

http://species-id.net/wiki/Ophioderma_cinerea Figure 13 f–j, 14 e

Description. Disk circular to pentagonal (dd = 4.96 to 9.67 mm). Covered by small granules, except on radial shields (Fig. 13f). Radial shields oval. Ventral interradius covered by granules similar to dorsal ones (Fig. 13g). Four short bursal slits. Oral shields heart-shaped (Fig. 13h). Adoral shields small, laterally broadened, not covered

by granules. Seven to nine oral papillae on each side of jaw angle (Fig. 13h), the three proximal ones small and elongate, the following ones becoming progressively wider, the last being elongate, narrow and partially covered by preceeding papilla. Single long and robust apical papilla. Dorsal arm plate wider than long (Fig. 13i). Ventral arm plate longer than large, with distal margin rounded (Fig. 13j). Seven to nine small and compressed arm spines, the ventral largest and partially covered by the outer tentacle scale. Two tentacle scales, the inner one long and narrow, the outer one small and subtriangular.

Distribution. The Bahamas, the islands off southern Florida, the Antilles, Mexican Caribbean, Belize, Honduras, Costa Rica, Panama, coast and islands off Caribbean Colombia, Venezuela, and Brazil (H.L. Clark 1915, 1933, Tommasi 1970, Chavarro et al. 2004, Durán-Gonzáles et al. 2005, Alvarado et al. 2008, Hernández-Herrejón et al. 2008). In Brazil from Ceará (Lima-Verde 1969), Rio Grande do Norte (Albuquerque 1968), Paraíba (Gondim et al. 2008), the oceanic island Fernando de Noronha off Pernambuco (Tommasi 1970), Alagoas (Miranda et al. 2012), Abrolhos off Bahia (Tommasi 1970), Bahia (H.L. Clark 1915, Costa and Costa 1962), oceanic island Trindade off Espírito Santo (Brito 1971), Rio de Janeiro (Brito 1962), and São Paulo (Netto et al. 2005). Intertidal to 1.718 m. In present study, recorded from 10 to 34 m.

Remarks. This is one of the most common and largest species in the genus, and differs from other *Ophioderma* from Brazil, such as *O. appressa* (Say, 1825), and *O. januarii* Lütken, 1856, by the following characteristics: 1. radial shields within granular covering; 2. dorsal arm plates partitioned. Tommasi (unpublished data) suggested that *Ophioderma besnardi* Tommasi, 1970 represents the young of *Ophioderma cinerea* Müller & Troschel, 1842 before the dorsal plates were divided. More detailed studies are currently being developed to elucidate the taxonomic status of these species. It lives in muddy bottoms, corals (Tommasi 1970), mangroves, and seagrass beds (Hendler et al. 1995).

Bathymetric distribution

Of the 23 studied species of ophiuroids, 17 occur along practically the whole continental shelf of the State of Paraíba. Only five, *O. savignyi*, *O. brachyactis*, *O. scabriuscula*, *A. squamata*, and *A. riisei* had punctual occurrence, being recorded from only one or two collection sites (Fig. 15, Tab. 1, Supplementary material). *Ophiothrix angulata* was the most common, occurring in 73% of the collection stations (Tab. 2, Fig. 16). The bathymetric range of three species, *Ophiolepis impressa*, *Ophiocnida scabriuscula*, and *Ophiocoma echinata* was extended. *Ophionereis squamulosa* was the most abundant species, representing 17.04% of the specimens studied, being more abundant in isobaths 20–24 m deep (Fig. 16). Figure 1 indicates the abundance of specimens in each collection point studied over the continental shelf of Paraíba state, in the isobaths studied.

Among the eight recorded families, Amphiuridae, Ophiactidae, Ophiomyxidae, and Ophiuridae had a patchy occurrence. On the other hand, Ophiocomidae, Ophio-




Figure 15. Number of individuals/species of the families Ophiomyxidae, Amphiuridae and Ophiactidae, according to different bathymetric ranges.




Figure 16. Number of individuals/species of the families Ophiocomidae, Ophiodermatidae, Ophionereididae, Ophiotrichidae and Ophiuridae, according to different bathymetric ranges.

dermatidae, Ophionereididae, and Ophiotrichidae were well represented, being frequent over all the extent of the continental shelf (Fig. 17).

In general the species richness was constant along the different depth intervals, the highest species richness occurring between 26 and 30 m (n = 19 spp.) and the lowest spe-




Figure 17. Number of individuals per family, according to different bathymetric ranges.

cies richness occurred between 31 and 35 m (n = 11 spp.) (Fig. 15, 16). Essentially the same pattern was observed for individual families, all families occurred with their greatest numbers at depths between 20 and 29 m (Tab. 2, Supplementary Material) (Fig. 17).

The taxa Ophiactidae and Ophiocomidae showed a similar bathymetric occurrence, being best represented in the intervals 20-29 m and 30–35 m (Fig. 17), and least represented in the interval 10–19 m (Fig. 17). Ophiodermatidae showed the same depth occurrence, but the number of specimens was similar at 10–19 m and 30–35 m (Fig. 16). Ophiomyxidae, Ophionereididae, Ophiotrichidae, and Ophiuridae formed another grouping, in which the interval with the smallest number of specimens was 30–35 m.

General discussion

The ophiuroid fauna recorded for the continental shelf of the State of Paraíba is composed of species with a large bathymetric distribution, considering that most of them occur from shallow waters to depths greater than 50 m, as pointed out by Tommasi (1970). They are still common along most of the Western Tropical Atlantic, being recorded along the Brazilian coast and in the Caribbean Sea (see Hendler et al. 1995).

We recorded two supposedly cosmopolitan species, *Amphipholis squamata* and *Ophiactis savignyi*, and one amphiatlantic species, *Ophionereis reticulata*. The first two species are visually abundant in the intertidal zone of Paraíba coast, mainly associated with sponges and macroalgae, but were very scarce sampled on the continental shelf.

Only two and one specimen of these species was sampled in this study. This may be due to scarcity in the biological material collected, which was composed mainly of calcareous unattached algae (rhodoliths), composed by are calcareous and rigid thallus. Another possibility relates to a possible low abundance of *O. savignyi* in deeper waters.

The occurrence of the fauna of ophiuroids on the continental platform of the State of Paraíba could be the result of the homogeneity of the bottom types along the sampled area (Coutinho 1996). The availability of food, oxygen and water movement may be sufficient to guarantee a large bathymetric distribution (Fell 1966). Comparing the number of species found in this study (n = 23 spp.) with those recorded for other states in the northeastern region of Brazil, such as the States of Bahia (Magalhães et al. 2005, Manso et al. 2008–42 spp.), Ceará (Martins and Martins de Queiroz 2006 – 12 spp.), Pernambuco (Lima and Fernandes 2009 – 15 spp.), Alagoas (Miranda et al. 2012 – 19 spp.), and Sergipe (Manso and Farias 1999 – 3 spp.), we conclude that the species richness found for the continental shelf of the State of Paraíba is representative in comparison with for the total species recorded for the most studied region in Brazil, the southeastern coast. For example, 61 ophiuroid species are known only for the State of São Paulo (Borges et al. 2002, Hadel et al. 1999), where several studies were performed. It is evident that an increase in sampling effort in northeastern Brazilian coast may increase the number of species of this taxon.

In general the most diverse places in the Western Atlantic Ocean with respect to the ophiuran fauna are the Gulf of Mexico (182 spp.), and the Caribbean Sea (148 spp.) (Pawson et al. 2009, Alvarado 2011). On the Brazilian coast a total of 134 species are currently known (Barboza and Borges 2012, Gondim et al. 2012), which is quite similar to the fauna recorded for the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean.

This suggests that, despite the existence of the barrier of the Amazon River, there is some connectivity between some Caribbean species and those found in the South Atlantic. Studying reef fishes, Joyeux et al. (2001) indicate that an occasional bridge of larval flow can interrupt the isolation between Brazil and the Caribbean. Moreover, according to Collette & Rützler (1977), below 50 m depth, sponges and gorgonians can function as "stepping stones" providing habitat for reef fish species, linking the Caribbean and Brazilian faunas. Although this has not been tested for brittle stars, considering that several species in Brazil and the Caribbean are associated with sponges and gorgonians, this could also be a possibility to explain the similarity in species composition between Brazil and the Caribbean ophiuroids.

Although it has long been postulated that diversity in marine species or communites may follow latitudinal gradients with diversity peaking at the equator and declining towards higher latitudes (Hillebrand 2004, Pianka 1966), the diversity of echinoderms seems to be higher in the southeastern Atlantic, reaching a peak in Antarctica (O'Loughlin et al. 2011). Southern Ocean sites may have acted as refuge, allowing the persistence of crinoid- and ophiuroid-dominated assemblages, which were widespread in the Paleocene, and were apparently displaced by the radiation of mobile predators (Bowden et al. 2011). There are now 129 described species of ophiuroids from the Antarctic (De Broyer and Danis 2011). From a taxonomic point of view even sporadic collecting efforts are extremely important documents of scientific knowledge, and scientific collections represent the main source of knowledge on the biodiversity of a given region (Zaher and Young 2003).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Federal University of Paraíba, for providing the infrastructure enabling this research, to the National Science Foundation (CNPq) for the scholarships that contributed to this research. MLC is supported by a CNPq productivity research grant (Process number: 300198/2010-8). Special thanks are due to our Graduate Course (Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Biológicas) through Project CT-Infra and Projeto Biota - Paraíba: Macrofauna de Praias com Substrato Consolidado da Zona de Entre-Marés ao Infralitoral, financed by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico - CNPq, through Edital Universal (Process n° 484601/2007-5), with which the essential photographic equipment for the illustration of specimens was obtained. We also wish to express our sincere gratitude to two anonymous reviewers for their critical reading of the manuscript and constructive comments, and Carolina Nunes Liberal for help with photographs.

References

- Abreu-Pérez M, Solís-Main FA, Laguarda-Figueras A (2005) Catalogue of neritic-benthonic echinoderms (Echinodermata: Asteroidea and Ophiuroidea) from the Cuban Archipelago. Revista de Biologia Tropical 53: 29–52.
- Albuquerque MN (1986) Ophiuroidea Gray, 1840 (Echinodermata) da plataforma continental do norte e nordeste brasileiro. PhD thesis, São Paulo: University of São Paulo.
- Albuquerque MN, Guille A (1991) Ophiuroidea (Echinodermata) ao largo do Brasil: Banco dos Abrolhos, Cadeia Submarina Vitória-Trindade e Plataforma Continental adjacente. Boletim do Museu Ncional, Nova Série, Zoologia (353): 1–29.
- Alvarado JJ, Solís-Marin FA, Ahearn C (2008) Echinoderms (Echinodermata) from Central America Caribbean. Revista de Biologia Tropical 56: 37–55.
- Alvarado JJ (2011) Echinoderm diversity in the Caribbean Sea. Marine Biodiversity 41: 261–285. doi: 10.1007/s12526-010-0053-0
- Alves OFF, Cerqueira WRP (2000) Echinodermata das praias de Salvador (Bahia, Brasil). Revista Brasileira de Zoologia 17: 543–553. doi: 10.1590/S0101-81752000000200024
- Barboza CAM, Borges M (2012) A checklist of the extant species of ophiuroids (Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea) from Brazilian waters. Zootaxa 3447: 1–21.
- Benavides-Serrato M, Borrero-Pérez GH, Dias Sanchez CM (2011) Equinodermos del Caribe colombiano I: Crinoidea, Asteroidea y Ophiuroidea. Serie de Publicaciones Especiales de Invemar, 22. Santa Marta, 384pp.
- Bernasconi I (1965) Ophiuroidea de Puerto Deseado (Santa Cruz, Argentina). Physis 25: 143–152.

- Bernasconi I, D'Agostino MM (1977) Ofiuroideos del mar epicontinental Argentino. Revista del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales "Bernardino Rivadavia" Hidrologia 5: 65–114.
- Boffi E (1972) Ecological aspects of ophiuroids from the phytal of S. W. Atlantic Ocean warm waters. Marine Biology 15: 316–328. doi: 10.1007/BF00401391
- Borges M, Amaral ACZ (2005) Classe Ophiuroidea. In: Amaral ACZ, Rizzo AE, Arruda EP (Eds) Manual de Identificação dos Invertebrados Marinhos da região Sudeste-Sul do Brasil. Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, 272–283.
- Borges M, Amaral ACZ (2007) Ophiuroidea (Echinodermata): four new records for Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Zoologia 24: 855–864. doi: 10.1590/S0101-81752007000400001
- Borges M, Monteiro AMG, Amaral ACZ (2002) Taxonomy of Ophiuroidea (Echinodermata) from the continental shelf and slope of the southern and southeastern Brazilian coast. Biota Neotropica 2: 1–69. http://www.biotaneotropica.org.br/v2n2/pt/abstract?article+BN02302022002
- Borrero-Pérez GH, Benavides-Serrato M, Solano O, Navas GR (2008) Brittle-stars (Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea) from the continental shelf and upper slope of the Colombian Caribbean. Revista de Biologia Tropical 56: 169–204.
- Bowden DA, Schiaparelli S, Clark MR, Rickard GJ (2011) A lost world? archaic crinoid-dominated assemblages on an Antarctic seamount. Deep-Sea Research Part II Topical Studies in Oceanography 58: 119–127. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.09.006
- Brito IM (1960) Os ofiuroides do Rio de Janeiro. Parte I Ophiotrichidae, Ophiochtonidae e Ophiactidae. Avulsos do Centro de Estudos Zoológicos da Universidade de Brasília 6: 1–4.
- Brito IM (1962) Ensaio de catálogo dos equinodermas do Brasil. Avulso do Centro de Estudos de Zoologia da Universidade de Brasília 13: 1–11.
- Brito IM (1971) Contribuição ao conhecimento dos equinodermos da Ilha da Trindade, Brasil. Arquivos do Museu Nacional do Rio de Janeiro 54: 261–265.
- Byrne M. (1989) Ultrastructure of the Ovary and Oogenesis in the Ovoviviparous Ophiuroid *Ophiolepis paucispina* (Echinodermata). Biological Bulletin 176: 79–95.
- Byrne M (1991) Reproduction, development and population biology of the Caribbean ophiuroid *Ophionereis olivacea*, a protandric hermaphrodite that broods its young. Marine Biology 111: 387–399. doi: 10.1007/BF01319411
- Chavarro SB, Zea S, Manuel Díaz JM (2004) Esponjas y otros microhábitats de ofiuros (Ophiuroidea: Echinodermata) em ambientes arrecifales del Archipiélago de San Bernardo (Caribe Colombiano). Boletín de Investigaciones Marinas y Costeras 33: 27–46.
- Clark AM (1987) Asterias squamata Delle Chiaje, 1828 (currently Amphipholis squamata; Echinodermata, Ophiuroidea): proposed conservation of the specific name. Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature 52: 246–247.
- Clark AM (1953) A revision of the genus *Ophionereis* (Echinodermata, Ophiuroidea). Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London 123: 65–94. doi: 10.1111/j.1096-3642.1953.tb00157.x
- Clark HL (1915) Catalogue of recent ophiurans: Based on the collection of the Museum of Comparative Zoology. Memoirs of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College 25: 166–376.
- Clark HL (1918) Brittle-stars, new and old. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology at Harvard College 62: 265–338.

- Clark HL (1933) A handbook of the littoral echinoderms of Porto Rico and other West Indian Islands. Scientific Survey of Porto Rico and the Virgin Islands 16: 1–147.
- Cohen-Rengifo M, Bessudo S, Soler G (2009) Echinoderms, Malpelo fauna and flora sanctuary, Colombian Pacific: New reports and distributional issues. Check List 5: 702–711.
- Collette BB, Rützler K (1977) Reef fishes over sponge bottoms off the mouth of the Amazon River. Proceedings og the Third International Coral Reef Symposium Miami, Florida, pp. 305–310.
- Costa HR, Costa LS (1962) Sobre espécies brasileiras do gênero *Ophioderma* (Echinodermata, Ophiuroidea). Avulsos do Centro de Estudos Zoológicos da Universidade de Brasília 16: 1–4.
- Coutinho PN (1996) Levantamento do estado da arte da pesquisa dos recursos vivos marinhos do Brasil - Oceanografia Geológica. Região Nordeste. Programa REVIZEE. Ministério do Meio Ambiente, dos Recursos Hídricos e da Amazônia Legal (MMA). Brasília, 97pp.
- Cuénot L (1948) Anatomie, éthologie et systématiques des echinoderms. In: Grassé PP (Ed), Traité de Zoologie, volume XI. Masson, Paris, 3–275.
- De Assis JE, Bezerra EAS, Brito RJ, Gondim AI, Christoffersen ML (2012) An association between *Hesione picta* (Polychaeta: Hesionidae) and *Ophionereis reticulata* (Ophiuroidea: Ophionereididae) from the Brazilian coast. Zoological Studies 51: 762–767.
- De Broyer C, Danis B (2011) How many species in the southern Ocean? Towards a dynamic inventory of the Antarctic marine species. Deep-Sea Research Part II Topical Studies in Oceanography 58: 5–17. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.10.007
- Devaney DM (1974) Shallow-water echinoderms from British-Honduras, with a description of a new species of *Ophiocoma* (Ophiuroidea). Bulletin of Marine Science 24: 122–164.
- Duarte LFL, Nalesso RC (1996) The sponge Zygomycale parishii (Bowerbank) and its endobiotic fauna. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science 42: 139–151. doi: 10.1006/ecss.1996.0011
- Dupont S, Chaufer S, Poulin E, Féral JP, Mallefet J (2000) Is there a link between morphological, physiological and genetic variability of the ophiuroid *Amphipholis squamata*. Netherlands Journal of Zoology 50: 355–364.
- Durán-González A, Laguarda-Figueras A, Solís-Marín FA, Sánchez BEB, Ahearn CG, Torres-Vega J (2005) Echinoderms (Echinodermata) from the Mexican waters of the Gulf of Mexico. Revista de Biologia Tropical 53: 53–68.
- Feitosa BM, Rosa RS, Rocha LA (2005) Ecology and zoogeography of deep reef fishes in northeastern Brazil. Bulletin of Marine Science 76: 725–742.
- Fell HB (1946) The embryology of the viviparous ophiuroid *Amphipholis squamata* Delle Chiaje. Transactions of the Royal Society of New Zealand 75: 419–464.
- Fell HB (1960). Synoptic Keys to the Genera of Ophiuroidea, Zoology Publications, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand 26: 1–44
- Fell HB (1966) The ecology of ophiuroids. In: Boolootian (Ed) Physiology of Echinodermata. John Wiley, New York, 129–145.
- Féral JP, Villard AM, Dupont S, Mallefet J (2001) Morphological, physiological and genetic variability of the ophiuroid *Amphipholis squamata* from the lagoon system of Oliveri-Tindari (Sicily). In: Barker (Ed.) Echinoderms. Swets & Zeitlinger, Lisse, 261–266.
- Fernandes MLB, Tommasi LR, Lima EJB (2002) Filo Echinodermata de Pernambuco. In: Tabarelli M, Silva JMC (Eds) Diagnóstico da biodiversidade de Pernambuco. Massanga, Recife, 405–428.

- Franklin AM, O'Hara TD (2008) A new species in the genus *Ophiomyxa* from South-west Australian waters (Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea: Ophiomyxidae). Memoirs of Museum Victoria 65: 57–62.
- Gondim AI, Giacometti ACM (2010) Echinodermata. In: Giacometti ACM, Loebmann D (Eds) Biodiversidade do litoral do Piauí, 1a ed. Paratodos, Sorocaba, 1–50.
- Gondim AI, Lacouth P, Alonso C, Manso CLC (2008) Echinodermata da Praia do Cabo Branco, João Pessoa, Paraíba, Brasil. Biota Neotropica 8: 151–159. http://www.biotaneotropica.org.br/v8n2/pt/abstract?inventory+bn02408022008, doi: 10.1590/S1676-06032008000200016
- Gondim AI, Manso CLC, Alonso C (2010) First record of *Ophionereis dolabriformis* (Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea: Ophinereididae) for the Brazilian coast. Marine Biodiversity Records 3: 1–4. doi: 10.1017/S1755267210000096
- Gondim AI, Dias TLP, Campos FF, Alonso C, Christoffersen ML (2011) Macrofauna bêntica do Parque Estadual Marinho de Areia Vermelha, Cabedelo, Paraíba, Brasil. Biota Neotropica 11: 75–86. http://www.biotaneotropica.org.br/v11n2/en/abstract?article+bn01811022011, doi: 10.1590/S1676-06032011000200009
- Gondim AI, Dias TLP, Manso CLC (2012) Updated morphological description of *Aster-oporpa (Asteroporpa) annulata* (Euryalida: Gorgonocephalidae) from the Brazilian coast, with notes on the geographic distribution of the subgenus. Revista de Biologia Marina y Oceanografia 47: 141–146. doi: 10.4067/S0718-19572012000100013
- Gondim AI, Dias TLP, Manso CLC, Christoffersen ML (in press) Annotated checklist of Echinoderms from Maranhão and Piauí States, Northeastern Brazil. Check List.
- Hadel VF, Monteiro AMC, Ditadi ASF, Tiago CC, Tommasi LR (1999) Echinodermata. In: Migotto AE, Tiago CC (Eds), Biodiversidade do Estado de São Paulo, Brasil: síntese do conhecimento ao final do século XX, Volume 3: invertebrados marinhos. Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do estado de São Paulo, São Paulo, 260–271.
- Hendler G (1979a) Sex-reversal and viviparity in *Ophiolepis kieri*, n. sp., with notes on viviparous brittlestars from the Caribbean (Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 92: 783–795.
- Hendler G (1979b) Reproductive periodicity of ophiuroids (Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea) on the Atlantic and Pacific coasts of Panamá. In: Stancyk SE (Ed), Reproductive ecology of marine invertebrates. University of South Carolina Press, Columbia, South Carolina. 145–156.
- Hendler G (1984) The association of *Ophiothrix lineata* and *Callyspongia vaginalis*: A brittlestar-sponge cleaning symbiosis? Marine Ecology (Pubblicazioni della Stazione Zoologica di Napoli I) 5: 9–27. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0485.1984.tb00304.x
- Hendler G (1988) Western Atlantic *Ophiolepis* (Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea): a description of *O. pawsoni* new species, and a key to the species. Bulletin of Marine Science 42: 265–272.
- Hendler G (1996) Taxonomic Atlas of the benthic fauna of the Santa Maria Basin and western Santa Barbara Channel. Class Ophiuroidea. Volume 14, Miscellaneous Taxa. Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, Santa Barbara, 113–179.
- Hendler G (2005) Two new brittle star species of the genus *Ophiothrix* (Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea: Ophiotrichidae) from coral reefs in the southern Caribbean Sea, with notes on their biology. Caribbean Journal of Science 41: 583–599.

- Hendler G, Littman BS (1986) The ploys of sex: relationships among the mode of reproduction, body size and habits of coral reef brittlestars. Coral Reefs 5: 31–42. doi: 10.1007/ BF00302169
- Hendler G, Miller JE, Pawson DL, Kier PM (1995) Sea stars, sea urchins and allies: echinoderms of Florida and the Caribbean. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, 390pp.
- Hernandéz-Herrejón LA, Solís-Marín FA, Laguarda-Figueras A (2008) Ophiuroids (Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea) from the Mexican waters of the Gulf of Mexico. Revista de Biologia Tropical 56: 83–167.
- Hillebrand H (2004) On the generality of the latitudinal diversity gradient. American Naturalist 163: 192–211. doi: 10.1086/381004
- Hopkins TS, Blizzard DR, Brawley SA, Earle SA, Grimm DE, Gilbert DK, Johnson PG, Livingston E, Lutz CH, Shaw JK, Shaw BB (1977) A preliminary characterization of the biotic components of composite strip tracts on the Florida Middlegrounds, northeastern Gulf of Mexico. In: Taylor DL (Ed), Proceedings. Third International Coral Reef Symposium, volume 1 (Biology). Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, University of Miami, Florida, 31–37.
- Hyman LH (1955) The Invertebrates: Echinodermata. Volume 4. McGraw-Hill, New York, 63pp.
- Jacobucci GB, Güth AZ, Turra A, Magalhães CA, Denadai MR, Chaves AMR, Souza ECF (2006) Levantamento de Mollusca, Crustacea e Echinodermata associados a Sargassum spp. na Ilha da Queimada Pequena, Estação Ecológica dos Tupiniquins, litoral sul do Estado de São Paulo, Brasil. Biota Neotropica 6: 1–8. doi: 10.1590/S1676-06032006000200023
- John DD, Clark AM (1954) The "Rosaura" expedition. 3. The Echinodermata. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) Zoology 2: 139–162.
- Joyeux JC, Floeter SR, Ferreira CEL, Gasparini JL (2001) Biogeography of tropical reef fishes: the South Atlantic puzzle. Journal of Biogeography 28(7): 831–841. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2699.2001.00602.x
- Kempf M, Coutinho PN, Morais JO (1967) Plataforma continental do Norte e Nordeste do Brasil. Trabalhos Oceanográficos, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco 9: 9–26.
- Kissling DL, Taylor GT (1977) Habitat factors for reef-dwelling ophiuroids in the Florida Keys. In: Taylor DL (Ed) Proceedings. Third International Coral Reef Symposium, volume 1 (Biology). Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, University of Miami, Florida, 225–231.
- Laguarda-Figueras A, Abreu-Pérez M, Torres-Vega J, Solís-Marín FA, Durán-González A (2004) Ofiuróideos (Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea) del Caribe Mexicano: Puerto Morelos, Quintana Roo, México. Avicennia 17: 13–34.
- Laguarda-Figueras A, Solís-Marín FA, Durán-González A, Ahearn CG, Sanchez BEB, Torres-Veja J (2005) Echinoderms (Echinodermata) of the Mexican Caribbean. Revista de Biologia Tropical 53: 109–122.
- Laguarda-Figueras A, Hernández-Herrejón LA, Solís-Marín FA, Durán-González A (2009) Ofiuroideos del Caribe Mexicano y Golfo de México. Conabio, ICMyL-UNAM, México, 249 pp.
- Lima EJB, Fernandes MLB (2009) Diversidade de equinodermos (Echinodermata) no Estado de Pernambuco (Brasil). Revista Brasileira de Zoociências 11: 55–63.

- Lima MLF, Correia MD, Sovierzoski HH, Manso CLC (2011) New records of Ophiuroidea (Echinodermata) from shallow waters off Maceió, State of Alagoas, Brazil. Marine Biodiversity Records 4: 1–10. doi: 10.1017/S175526721100090X
- Lima-Verde JS (1969) Primeira contribuição ao inventário dos equinodermos do Nordeste brasileiro. Arquivos de Ciências do Mar 9: 9–13.
- Ljungman A (1867) Om några nya arter af Ophiurider. Öfversigt af Konglige Vetenskaps-Akademiens Förhandlingar 1866: 163–166.
- Lütken C (1859) Additamenta ad historiam ophiuridarum. Beskrivelser af nye eller hidtil kun ufuldstaendigt kjendte Arter af Slangestjerner. Anden Afdeling. Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskabs Skrifter 5: 177–271.
- Lyman T (1865) *Ophiuridae and Astrophytidae*. Illustrated catalogue of the Museum of Comparative Zoology, at Harvard College, Vol. 1, 200pp.
- Lyman T (1882) Report of the Ophiuroidea dredged by H. M. S. Challenger, during the years 1873–1876. In: Zoology Part XIV. Report of the Scientific Results of the Voyage of the Challenger 1873–1876. London, 1–386.
- Marcgrave G. (1648) Historiae rerum naturalium Brasiliae, libri octo. Cum appendice de Tapuyis, et Chilensibus. Ioannes de Laet, Antwerpianus, In ordinem digessit & annotationes addidit, & varia ab auctore omissa supplevit & illustravit. In: Piso, W. & Macgrave, G. Historia naturalis Brasiliae: in qua non tantum plantae et animalia, sed et indigenarum morbi, ingenia et mores describuntur et iconibus supra quingentas illustrantur. Lugdun. Batavorum: Apud Franciscum Hackium, et Amstelodami: Apud Lud. Elzevirium. Available from http://www.botanicus.org/item/31753000818648. Accessed on 2013-04-02
- Madsen FJ (1970) West African Ophiuroids. Atlantide Report 11: 151-243.
- Magalhães WF, Martins LR, Alves OFS (2005) Inventário dos Echinodermata do Estado da Bahia. Brazilian Journal of Aquatic Science and Technology 9: 61–65.
- Majer AP, Trigo JR, Duarte LFL (2009) Evidence for an alarm signal in Ophiuroidea (Echinodermata). Marine Biodiversity Records 2: 1–7. doi: 10.1017/S1755267209001067
- Manso CLC (1988) Sobre a ocorrência de Ophionema intricata Lütken, 1869 e Ophiophragmus brachyactis H. L. Clark, 1915 no Brasil (Echinodermata, Ophiuroidea, Amphiurudae). Revista Brasileira de Biologia 48: 965–968.
- Manso CLC (1993) Ofiuróides da Plataforma Brasileira. Parte II: Norte do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Estado do Espírito Santo, Sul do Estado da Bahia e Bancos Royal Charlotte, Hostpur e Davis (Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea). Revista Brasileira de Biologia 35: 189–195.
- Manso CLC, Farias MCV (1999) Ocorrência de ofiuróides no conteúdo gastrointestinal de "baiacu" Sphoeroides testudineus (Linnaeus, 1758) (Teleostei: Tetraodontidae) no estuário do rio Sergipe (Sergipe). Revista Nordestina de Zoologia 2: 35–37.
- Manso CLC, Alves OFS, Martins LR (2008) Echinodermata da Baía de Todos os Santos e da Baía de Aratu (Bahia, Brasil). Biota Neotropica 8: 179–196. http://www.biotaneotropica.org. br/v8n3/pt/abstract?article=bn02208032008, doi: 10.1590/S1676-06032008000300017
- Martin D, Britayev TA (1998) Symbiotic polychaetes: review of known species. Oceanography and Marie Biology, Annual Review 36: 217–340.
- Martinez S (2008) Shallow water Asteroidea and Ophiuroidea of Uruguay: composition and biogeography. Revista de Biologia Tropical 56: 205–214.

- Martins IX, Martins de Queiroz AC (2006) Echinodermos do litoral do Estado do Ceará. In: Matthews-Cascon H, Lotufo TMC (Eds), Biota Marinha da Costa Oeste do Ceará. Ministério do Meio Ambiente, Brasília, 199–220.
- Martynov A (2010) Reassessment of the classification of the Ophiuroidea (Echinodermata), based on morphological characters. I. General character evaluation and delineation of the families Ophiomyxidae and Ophiacanthidae. Zootaxa 2697: 1–154.
- Miranda ALS, Lima MLF, Sovierzoski HH, Correia MD (2012) Inventory of the Echinodermata collection from the Universidade Federal de Alagoas. Biota Neotropica 12: 136–146. doi: 10.1590/S1676-06032012000200014
- Monteiro AMG (1987) Ophiuroidea (Echinodermata) da região de Ubatuba (SP) Aspectos morfológicos e ecológicos. PhD thesis, University of São Paulo, São Paulo.
- Morgado EH, Tanaka MO (2001) The macrofauna associated with the bryozoan *Schizoporella errata* (Walters) in southeastern Brazil. Scientia Marina 65: 173–181.
- Murakami S (1963) The dental and oral plates of Ophiuroidea. Transactions of the Royal Society of New Zealand 4: 1–47.
- Nalesso RC, Duarte LFL, Pierozzi I, Enumo EF (1995) Tube epifauna of the polychaete *Phyllochaetopterus socialis* Claparède. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science 41: 91–100. doi: 10.1006/ecss.1995.0055
- Netto LF, Hadel VF, Tiago CC (2005) Echinodermata from San Sebastian Channel (São Paulo, Brazil). Revista de Biologia Tropical 53: 207–218.
- Neves BM, Lima EJB, Pérez CD (2007) Brittle stars (Echinodermata; Ophiuroidea) associated with the octocoral *Carijoa riisei* (Cnidaria: Anthozoa) from the littoral of Pernambuco, Brazil. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 87: 1263–1267. doi: 10.1017/S0025315407056263
- Nisolle V (1990) Modalités de l'incubation chez l'ophiure *Amphibolus squamata* (Delle Chiaje, 1829) (Echinodermata). Mémoire de License, Université de Mons-Hainaut.
- O' Loughlin PM, Paulay G, Davey N, Michonneau F (2011) The Antarctic region as a marine biodiversity hotspot for echinoderms: diversity and diversification of sea cucumbers. Deep-Sea Research Part II Topical Studies in Oceanography 58: 264–275.
- Pawson DL (1978) The echinoderm fauna of Ascension Island, South Atlantic Ocean. Smithsonian Contributions to Marine Science 2: 1–31. doi: 10.5479/si.01960768.2.1
- Pawson DL, Vance DJ, Messing CG, Solís-Marín FA, Mah CL (2009) Echinodermata of the Gulf of Mexico. In: Felder DL, Camp DK (Eds) Gulf of Mexico: origin, waters, and biota. Vol. 1, Biodiversity. Texas A & M University Press, College Station, 1177–1204.
- Pettibone MH (1993) Scaled polychaetes (Polynoidae) associated with ophiuroids and other invertebrates and review of species refered to *Malmgrenia* McIntosh and replaced by *Malmgreniella* Hartman, with descriptions of new taxa. Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology 538: 1–92. doi: 10.5479/si.00810282.538
- Pianka ER (1966) Latitudinal gradients in species diversity: a review of concepts. American Naturalist 100: 33–46. doi: 10.1086/282398
- Pomory CM (2007) Key to the common shallow-water brittle stars (Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea) of the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea. Caribbean Journal of Sciences Special Publication 10: 1–42.

- Poulin E, Féral JP, Mireia F, Cornudella L, Alvà V (1998) Selfing and outcrossing in the brood protecting ophiuroid *Amphipholis squamata*. In: Carnevalli C, Bonasoro F (Eds) Echinoderm Research. Balkema, Rotterdam, 147–150.
- Rathbun R (1879) A list of the Brazilian echinoderms, with notes on their distribution. Transactions Academy of Arts and Science 5: 139–158.
- Santa-Isabel LM, Cerqueira WRP, Alves OFS (1996) Associação entre Ophionereis reticulata (Say) (Ophiuroidea, Ophionereididae) e Malmgreniella variegata (Treadwell) (Polychaeta, Polynoidae) das praias de Salvador, Bahia, Brasil. Revista Brasileira de Zoologia 13: 137–142.
- Side EM, Woodley JD (1985) Niche separation in three species of *Ophiocoma* (Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea) in Jamaica, West Indies. Bulletin of Marine Science 36: 701–715.
- Smith AB, Paterson GLJ, Lafay B (1995) Ophiuroid phylogeny and higher taxonomy: morphological, molecular and palaeontological perspectives. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 114: 213–243.
- Sponer R, Roy MS (2002) Phylogeographic analysis of the brooding brittle star *Amphipholis squamata* (Echinodermata) along the coast of New Zealand reveals high cryptic genetic variation and cryptic dispersal potential. Evolution 56: 1954–1567.
- Stöhr S, O'Hara T (2013) World Ophiuroidea database. Available online at http://www.marinespecies.org/ophiuroidea. Accessed on 2013–04–02.
- Stöhr S, O'Hara TD, Thuy B (2012) Global diversity of brittle stars (Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea). PlosOne 7(3): e31940. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0031940
- Thomas LP (1962) The shallow water Amphiurid brittle stars (Echinodermata, Ophiuroidea) of Florida. Bulletin of Marine Science of the Gulf and Caribbean 12: 623–694.
- Thomas LP (1973) Western Atlantic brittlestars of the genus *Ophionereis*. Bulletin of Marine Science 23: 587–599.
- Tommasi LR (1967) Sobre alguns equinodermas da região do Golfo de México e do Mar das Antilhas. Anales del Instituto de Biologia 37: 155–166.
- Tommasi LR (1970) Os ofiuróides recentes do Brasil e de regiões vizinhas. Contribuições do Instituto Oceanográfico, Universidade de São Paulo Série Oceanografia Biológica 20: 1–146. doi: 10.1590/S0373-55241971000100001
- Tortonese E (1965) Echinodermata. Fauna d'Italia, 6. Calderini, Bologna, 422pp.
- Trujillo-Luna BR, González-Vallejo NE (2006) Equinodermos (Echinodermata) de la colección de referencia de bentos costero de ecosur. Universidad y Ciencia Trópico Humedo 22: 83–88.
- Ventura CRR, Veríssimo I, Nobre CC, Zama PC (2007) Filo Echinodermata. In: Lavrado HP, Viana MS (Eds) Atlas de invertebrados marinhos da região central da Zona Econômica Exclusiva brasileira. Parte 1. Museu Nacional, Rio de Janeiro. Série Livros. 217–258.
- Verrill AE. 1868. Notes on the Radiata in the Museum of Yale College; 4 Notice of coral and echinoderms collected by Prof. C. F. Hartt at the Abrolhos Reefs province of Bahia, Brazil. Transactions of The Connecticut Academy of Arts and Sciences 1:351–371.
- Martens E von (1867) Über vier neue Schlangensterne, Ophiuren, des Kgl. zoologischen Museums. Monatsberichte der deutschen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin 1867: 345–348.

- Yokoyama LQ, Amaral ACZ (2008) The diet of *Ophionereis reticulata* (Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea) in southeastern Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Zoologia 25: 576–578. doi: 10.1590/ S0101-81752008000300029
- Young PS (1986) Análise qualitativa e quantitativa da fauna associada a corais hermatípicos dos recifes de João Pessoa, PB. Revista Brasileira de Biologia 46: 99–126.
- Zaher H, Young PS (2003) As coleções zoológicas brasileiras: panorama e desafios. Ciência e Cultura 55: 24–26.

Appendix I

Collection data of voucher specimens (spec.) collected at Paraíba State continental shelf, Northeastern Brazil. (doi: 10.3897/zookeys.307.4673.app1) File format: Mircrosoft Word Document (doc).

Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

Citation: Gondim AI, Alonso C, Dias TLP, Manso CLC, Christoffersen ML (2013) A taxonomic guide to the brittlestars (Echinodermata, Ophiuroidea) from the State of Paraíba continental shelf, Northeastern Brazil. ZooKeys 307: 45– 96. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.307.4673.app1

Appendix I I

Data on the size (measured by disk diameter), number of individuals and relative abundance of voucher specimens collected at Paraíba State continental shelf, Northeastern Brazil. SD = standard deviation. (doi: 10.3897/zookeys.307.4673.app2) File format: Mircrosoft Word Document (doc).

Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

Citation: Gondim AI, Alonso C, Dias TLP, Manso CLC, Christoffersen ML (2013) A taxonomic guide to the brittlestars (Echinodermata, Ophiuroidea) from the State of Paraíba continental shelf, Northeastern Brazil. ZooKeys 307: 45– 96. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.307.4673.app2 RESEARCH ARTICLE



Revision of the genus Neometopina (Hemiptera, Fulgoromorpha, Delphacidae) from China

Xiao-hui Hou^{1,2}, Lin Yang¹, Xiang-sheng Chen¹

1 The Provincial Key Laboratory for Agricultural Pest Management of Mountainous & Region/ Institute of Entomology, Guizhou University, Guiyang, 550025, China **2** Zunyi Medical College, Zunyi, 563099, China

Corresponding author: Xiang-sheng Chen (chenxs3218@163.com)

Academic editor: Mike Wilson | Received 9 January 2013 | Accepted 6 June 2013 | Published 10 June 2013

Citation: Hou X-h, Yang L, Chen X-s (2013) Revision of the genus *Neometopina* (Hemiptera, Fulgoromorpha, Delphacidae) from China. ZooKeys 307: 97–104. doi: 10.3897/zooKeys.307.4660

Abstract

The planthopper genus *Neometopina* Yang (Hemiptera: Fulgoroidea: Delphacidae: Delphacinae: Delphacinae: Delphacinae: Delphacinae: Delphacinae: Delphacinae: Delphacinae: Delphacinae: Cini) is revised to include 2 species: *Neometopina penghuensis* Yang, 1989 (China: Guizhou: Maolan), and *Neometopina orientalis* (Qin & Zhang) **comb. n.** (China: Hainan: Bawangling) (transferred from *Laminatopina* Qin & Zhang). The included species are described and illustrated, and a key to species is provided.

Keywords

Hemiptera, Fulgoroidea, Delphacidae, Delphacini, Neometopina, new combination, synonymy

Introduction

The genus *Neometopina* (Hemiptera: Fulgoroidea: Delphacidae: Delphacinae: Delphacinae: Delphacinia) was erected by Yang (1989) with 1 species from Penghu island near Taiwan. Subsequently *Laminatopina*, based on the *L. orientalis* (from Hainan Province, China), was erected by Qin and Zhang (2007). Here *Laminatopina* is placed as a junior synonym of *Neometopina* Yang, with the only included species, *L. orientalis* (Qin & Zhang) is transferred as *Neometopina orientalis* (Qin & Zhang, 2007) comb. n.

A key for identifying the species of genus *Neometopina* is also provided.

Material and methods

Morphological terminology used in this work follows that of Yang and Yang (1986). The genital segments of the examined specimens were macerated in 10% KOH and drawn from preparations in glycerin jelly aid of a light microscope. Illustrations of the specimens were made with a Leica MZ 12.5 stereomicroscope. Spinal formula means the numbers of apical spines of the hind tibiae and 1st and 2nd hind tarsomeres. The type specimens and examined specimens are deposited in the Insect Collection at the Institute of Entomology, Guizhou University, Guiyang, Guizhou Province, China (IEGU).

Taxonomy

Neometopina Yang & Yang

http://species-id.net/wiki/Neometopina

- *Neometopina* Yang & Yang 1989: 301. Type species: *Neometopina penghuensis* Yang & Yang, 1989, by original designation.
- Laminatopina Qin & Zhang 2007: 168. Type species: Laminatopina orientalis Qin & Zhang, 2007. Monotypic. syn. n.

Diagnosis. Species of *Neometopina* are characterized by the large size (lengths ranging from 4.62–4.82mm in macropterous males), body slender; general color pale yellowish brown with narrow brown stripes on frons and postclypeus, vertex with outer area black, median carina and two sides of pro- and mesonotum whitish yellow; forewings without spot, rounded at apex; vertex longer medially than broad at base, acutely rounding into frons; median carina of frons forked at base; antennal cylindrical, extending to the level of frontoclypeal suture; lateral carinae of pronotum not attaining hind margin; male anal segment ring-like, caudoventral margin produced medially into a large spinose process; pygofer without medioventral process, diaphragm broad with a distinct plate-like process at each side of dorsal margin; phallus tubular with one strong process arising; suspensorium with dorsal part Y-shaped, ventral part ring-like; genital styles extremely long, distinctly narrowed subapically to acute apex.

Description. Head including eyes narrower than pronotum. Vertex longer than broad at base, lateral carinae straight, submedian carinae not really uniting at apex, fastigium acutely rounded, Y-shaped carina with stem existence, basal compartment wider at base than greatest length. Frons longer in middle line than wide at widest part about 3:1, widest at apex or median, with median carina forked at base. Rostrum reaching to meso-trochanters or metacoxae. Antennae cylindrical, relatively long, basal segment longer than wide, shorter than second. Pronotum with lateral carinae not attaining hind margin. Spinal formula of hind leg 5-7-4. Post-tibial spur with about 20 teeth.

Male genitalia: Anal segment ring-like, apical margin produced medially into a large spinose process. Pygofer without medioventral process. Diaphragm broad, with

dorsal margin produced at median and plate-like process at each side. Phallus simple with large process at base or median. Genital styles long, simple.

Host plant. Unknown.

Distribution. China (Taiwan, Guizhou, Hainan).

Discussion. Members of this genus are superficially similar to species of the genus *Eumetopina* Breddin, but differs in having the following combination of characters: pygofer without medioventral process but with a plate-like process at each side of dorsal margin of diaphragm; shape of phallus and frons.

Laminatopina Qin & Zhang (2007) is a junior synonym of Neometopina Yang. Features purporting to separate the genera are not generic features, but only species-level differences. For example, the stem of Y-shaped carina weak or distinct, rostrum reaching to meso-trochanters or metacoxae, and the shape and process of phallus. Secondly, based on the examined specimens, the description of the genera Neometopina and Laminatopina have some question due to the observation angle. For example, specimens of Neometopina basal compartment wider at base than greatest length, male anal segment with median process not produced in ventral margins, suspensorium with the dorsal portion Y-shaped, diaphragm distinctly projected dorsomedially, the slender plate-like of diaphragm processes at each side, the specimens of Laminatopina male genital styles diverging.

Key to Species of Neometopina


Neometopina orientalis (Qin & Zhang, 2007), comb. n.

http://species-id.net/wiki/Neometopina_orientalis Figs 1–14

Laminatopina orientalis Qin & Zhang 2007: 168 (orig. descrip.).

Diagnosis. This species is distinguished by the apical forking of anal segment process, suspensorium with dorsal part Y-shaped tenuous, phallus tubular with basal process as long as phallus, diaphragm with large and plate-like process at each side of dorsal margin.

Description. *Macropterous male*: Body length (from apex of vertex to the tip of forewing): male 4.62–4.82 mm; forewing length: male 3.88–4.08 mm.



Figures 1–14. *Neometopina orientalis* (Qin & Zhang, 2007) comb. n. **I** head and thorax, dorsal view **2** fons and clypeus **3** forewing **4** male genitalia, posterior view **5** the same, lateral view **6** male pygofer, posterior view **7** the same, lateral view **8** anal segment, aedeagus and genital style, left lateral view **9** suspensorium, posterior view **10** anal segment, suspensorium and aedeagus, posterior- lateral view **11** aedeagus, lateral view **12** aedeagus, ventral view **13** genital style, posterior view **14** the same, lateral view. Scale 0.2 mm (Figures **1–7**); 0.1 mm (Figures **8–14**).

Coloration: General color uniformly pale yellowish orange. Vertex with outer area to submedian carinae dark brown, intercarinae of frons and postclypeus with narrow brown stripes, genae dark brown. Median carina of pro- and mesonotum whitish yellow, inner lateral carinae with light yellowish brown stripe. Ocelli dark. Eyes dark brown to black. Dorsum of abdomen yellowish orange.

Structure: Head including eyes narrower than pronotum (0.88:1). Vertex longer submedially than wider at base about 1.3:1, as wide at apex as at base, lateral margins of vertex in dorsal view subparallel, submedian carinae originating from near 1/3 base of lateral carinae, not uniting at apex, basal compartment wider at base than greatest length. Frons longer in middle line than wide at widest part about 2.5:1, widest at level of ocelli, lateral carinae slightly sinuate, median carina forked at base. Postclypeus wider at base than frons at apex. Rostrum reaching to metacoxae. Antennae terete, reaching frontoclypeal suture, basal segment longer than wide about 1.5:1, shorter than second about 1:2.6. Lateral carinae of pronotum slightly curved. Post-tibial spur with about 20–22 black-tipped teeth. Tegmina longer than widest part about 3.6:1, rounded at apex.

Male genitalia: Anal segment of male large, median spinose process straight, apex bifurcated. Pygofer in profile distinctly wider ventrally than dorsally, laterodorsal angle not produced, in posterior view with opening longer than wide. Phallus tubular, apex enlarged, basal process as long as phallus, broad at basal half. Suspensorium with the dorsal portion Y-like and slender. Diaphragm broad, dorsomedially with a distinct projection, pigmented and sclerotized, bilaterally with a large plate-like process. Opening for genital styles large, dorsal margin produced into a small lobe medially, ventral margin evenly curved. Genital styles extremely long, sinuate, slightly widened subapically and greatly narrowed suddenly to acute apex, obviously concave medially.

Host plant. Unknown.

Distribution. South China (Hainan Province).

Specimens examined. 2♂♂(IEGU): CHINA: Hainan: Wuzhishan, 18°53'N, 109°41'E, 13 Apr 2009, lamping, X. X. Hou.


Neometopina penghuensis Yang

http://species-id.net/wiki/Neometopina_penghuensis Figs 15–27

Neometopina penghuensis Yang 1989: 301 (orig. Descrip.); Ding 2006: 470.

Diagnosis. This species is distinguished by the acute end of anal segment process, suspensorium with dorsal part Y-shaped stubby, phallus strongly compressed laterally with large plate-like process turning left dorsomedially, diaphragm with moderate and plate-like process at each side of dorsal margin.

Description. *Macropterous*: Body length (from apex of vertex to the tip of forewing): male 4.76 mm, female 5.56 mm; forewing length: male 4.24 mm, female 4.66 mm.



Figures 15–27. *Neometopina penghuensis* Yang, 1989 **15** head and thorax, dorsal view **16** fons and clypeus **17** forewing **18** male genitalia, posterior view **19** the same, lateral view **20** male pygofer, posterior view **21** the same, lateral view **22** anal segment, aedeagus and genital style, left lateral view **23** suspensorium, posterior view **24** anal segment, suspensorium and aedeagus, right lateral view **25** the same, posterior view **26** genital style, posterior view **27** the same, lateral view. Scale 0.2 mm (Figures **15–21**); 0.1 mm (Figures **22–27**).

Coloration: General color pale yellowish brown to dirty yellowish brown. Vertex with outer area to submedian carinae black brown, frons with narrow stripe, brown, genae yellowish brown. Ocelli dark. Eyes dark brown to black. Dorsum of abdomen brown.

Structure: Head including eyes narrower than pronotum (0.79:1), Vertex longer submedially than wide at base about 1.3:1, as wide at apex as at base, apical margin transverse, lateral margins of vertex in dorsal view subparallel, submedian carinae originating from near 1/4 base of lateral carinae, not uniting at apex, basal compartment wider at base than greatest length. Frons longer in middle line than wide at widest part about 2.2:1, widest at level of ocelli, lateral carinae straight, median carina forked at base. Postclypeus large, as wide at base as frons at apex. Rostrum reaching to mesochanters. Antennae cylindrical, reaching slightly beyond frontoclypeal suture, basal segment longer than wide about 1.4:1, shorter than second about 1:2.5. Lateral carinae of pronotum curved. Post-tibial spur with about 20–23 teeth. Tegmina longer than widest part about 3.5:1, rounded at apex.

Male genitalia: Anal segment of male large, with a stout, twist median spinose process which pointing to the right apically, with a small process in ventral margins each side. Pygofer in profile distinctly wider ventrally than dorsally, laterodorsal angle not produced, in posterior view with opening longer than wide. Phallus strongly compressed laterally with large plate-like process turning left dorsomedially, which gradually tapering to apex. Suspensorium with the dorsal portion Y-shaped and stubby. Diaphragm broad, dorsomedially with a large process, with a pair small plate-shaped projection at each side. Opening for genital styles, with a small process at dorsal margin medially, with curved at ventral margin. Genital styles long and slender, narrowed near apex, evenly convex laterad medially.

Host plant. Unknown.

Distribution. South China (Guizhou and Taiwan Province).

Specimens examined. 6 3, 4 \bigcirc (IEGU): CHINA: Guizhou: *Libo*: Maolan, 25°30'N, 108°10'E, 22 Oct 1998, X. S. Chen.

Discussion

The characteristics of genus *Laminatopina* are similar to the genus *Neometopina*, so the former as a new genus was erected by Qin and Zhang (2007). But the feature of the *L. orientalis* (such as vertex with basal compartment wider at base than greatest length; the stem of Y-shaped carina weak; rostrum reaching to metacoxae; especially by the features of the male genitalia: male anal segment with median process not produced in ventral margins; diaphragm distinctly projected dorsomedially; suspensorium with the dorsal portion Y-shaped; phallus tubular, decurved, and with one strong process arising basally; genital styles strongly diverging) are only the characteristics of species, and are correspond with the characteristics of genus *Neometopina* completely. So *the genus Laminatopina only is a* junior synonym of *Neometopina* Yang, and *the species L. orientalis* (Qin & Zhang) is transferred to the genus *Neometopina* as a new combination (*Neometopina orientalis* (Qin & Zhang, 2007) comb. n.).

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31160163, 31260178) and the International Science and Technology Cooperation Program of Guizhou (No. 20107005).

References

- Ding JH (2006) Fauna Sinica. Insecta Vol. 45. Homoptera Delphacidae. Editorial Committee of Fauna Sinica, Chinese Academy of Science. Science Press, Beijing, China. 776 pp.
- Yang CT (1989) Delphacidae of Taiwan (II) (Homoptera: Fulgoroidea). National Science Council Special Publication 6: 334 pp.
- Qin DZ, Zhang YL (2007) Laminatopina Orientalis gen. et sp. nov. (Hemiptera: Fulgoroidea: Delphacidae) from China. Entomological News 118 (2): 168–172. doi: 10.3157/0013-872X(2007)118[168:LOGESN]2.0.CO;2