

A new species of spider fly in the genus *Sabroskya* Schlinger from Malawi, with a key to Acrocerinae world genera (Diptera, Acroceridae)

Shaun L. Winterton^{1,†}, Jéssica P. Gillung^{2,‡}

1 California State Collection of Arthropods, California Department of Food & Agriculture, Sacramento, California, USA **2** Universidade de São Paulo, Departamento de Zoologia, Instituto de Biociências, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

† [urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:37F5AC48-EC3A-47ED-902B-2BD1467CCA72](https://doi.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:37F5AC48-EC3A-47ED-902B-2BD1467CCA72)

‡ [urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:97C1B803-BA98-4EBC-B400-C3289156E4EA](https://doi.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:97C1B803-BA98-4EBC-B400-C3289156E4EA)

Corresponding author: Shaun L. Winterton (wintertonshaun@gmail.com)

Academic editor: T. Dikow | Received 26 September 2011 | Accepted 17 February 2012 | Published 24 February 2012

[urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:7608FC64-DE28-4E46-984C-8CAA109C4A07](https://doi.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:7608FC64-DE28-4E46-984C-8CAA109C4A07)

Citation: Winterton SL, Gillung JP (2012) A new species of spider fly in the genus *Sabroskya* Schlinger from Malawi, with a key to Acrocerinae world genera (Diptera, Acroceridae). ZooKeys 171: 1–15. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.171.2137

Abstract

In this paper we diagnose the genus *Sabroskya* Schlinger, 1960 and describe *Sabroskya schlingeri* **sp. n.** from Malawi. We also provide dichotomous keys to species of *Sabroskya* and to world genera of the sub-family Acrocerinae, both extant and extinct.

Keywords

Acroceridae, spider parasitoid

Introduction

Spider flies (Diptera: Acroceridae) are a geographically cosmopolitan group although most species are relatively rarely collected. Adults have a distinctive morphology and a wide diversity of form, but typically with a small head, greatly enlarged lower calypter and swollen abdomen. Larvae are parasitoids of spiders, with a hypermetamorphic life cycle consisting of four instars (Schlinger 1981, 1987).

Acroceridae comprise approximately 520 species in 53 genera (Pape and Thompson 2011; Gillung and Winterton 2011) occupying most biogeographic regions. The family is presently classified in three extant subfamilies based on adult morphology and host specificity with Panopinae suggested as the most primitive and Acrocerinae the most derived, with Philopotinae supposedly occupying an intermediate position (Schlinger 1987; Schlinger 2009). Recent phylogenetic analyses using DNA sequence data suggest an opposite sequence of cladogenesis and that Acrocerinae are polyphyletic (Winterton et al. 2007).

Acrocerinae comprise 17 extant and 5 extinct described genera, found in all major biogeographical regions. The subfamily is distinguished from Philopotinae and Panopinae by the following characteristics: antennae styliform, postpronotal lobes widely separated, never medially contiguous, humeral crossvein rarely well developed, and tibial apical spines absent (rarely present) (Winterton 2012). In phylogenetic analyses of DNA sequences for six sampled genera by Winterton et al. (2007), *Acrocera* Meigen, 1803 and *Sphaerops* Philippi, 1865 were recovered as a sister clade to the rest of Acroceridae. The remaining acrocerine genera sampled (i.e. *Pterodontia* Gray 1832, *Ogcodes* Latreille, 1797, *Turbopsebius* Schlinger, 1972, *Psilodera* Gray, 1832, *Holops* Philippi, 1865) were recovered in a monophyletic clade sister to Panopinae. *Acrocera* displays very different adult and larval morphology from all other acrocerids, supporting this conclusion. Yet, the placement of *Sphaerops* as sister to *Acrocera* is problematic as the adult morphology is more similar to *Villalus* Cole, 1966 than to *Acrocera* and should be re-examined using both morphology and DNA sequence data.

Six genera of Acrocerinae are known from the Afrotropical Region, including the nearly cosmopolitan genera *Acrocera*, *Ogcodes* and *Pterodontia*, as well as the endemic genera *Psilodera*, *Meruia* Sabrosky, 1950 and *Sabroskya* Schlinger, 1960. *Sabroskya* includes two previously described species from South Africa (*S. ogcodoides* Schlinger, 1960 and *S. palpalis* Barraclough, 1984) (Schlinger 1960a; Barraclough 1984) and can be readily identified from all other acrocerine genera by the presence of a cervical collar, antennae located adjacent to mouthparts, wing vein R_{4+5} straight, cell m_3 absent and discal and basal r_{4+5} cells separate and closed. Herein we describe a new species of *Sabroskya* from Malawi and present a key to species. A key to living and fossil genera of Acrocerinae of the world is also presented.

Materials and methods

Terminology follows McAlpine (1981) and Schlinger (1981) as modified by Winterton (2012). The type specimen is deposited in the collection of the Tel Aviv University (TAU). Specimen images were taken at different focal points using a digital camera and subsequently combined into a serial montage image using Helicon Focus software. High-resolution digital images were deposited into Morphbank:: Biological Imaging with embedded URL links within the document between descriptions and Morph-

bank images. All new nomenclatural acts and literature are registered in Zoobank (Pyle and Michel 2008).

Taxonomy

Key to Acrocerinae genera of the World:

The extinct genus *Juracyrtus* Nartshuk, 1996 is not included as it is represented by a compression fossil and lacks sufficient detail to be thoroughly differentiated from other genera. Two recently described genera, *Schlingeromyia* Grimaldi & Hauser, 2011 and *Burmacyrtus* Grimaldi & Hauser, 2011, from Cretaceous aged amber (Grimaldi et al. 2011) are included here in Acrocerinae based on the presence of stylate antennae, non-arched body shape and widely separated postpronotal lobes. The placement of *Burmacyrtus* in Acroceridae is problematic and should be reassessed as this genus lacks characters typical of acrocerids, including a mediolobus and wing crossvein 2r-m, and has a relatively small calypter. Based on these characters, placement in Heterodactyla should be considered rather than in Acroceridae, although a stem-group position for the genus as suggested by Grimaldi et al. (2011) may also be reasonable.

- 1 Cell m_3 present and well formed (Fig. 1A) 2
- Cell m_3 clearly absent (Figs 1B, 2–3), *or*, fusion of m_3 with discal cell indicated by presence of spur veins (rare) 10
- 2 Antennae not adjacent to the ocellar tubercle; located on middle of frons, separated from ocellar tubercle by distance much greater than length of ocellar tubercle (Figs 3C, 5) 3
- Antennae adjacent to the ocellar tubercle 5
- 3 Wing vein R_{4+5} forking from R_{2+3} in distal half of cell r_{4+5} ; cells bm and br fused into a single cell; cell r_{4+5} relatively broad; eye emarginate (Burmese Amber) *Schlingeromyia* Grimaldi & Hauser, 2012
- Wing vein R_{4+5} forking from R_{2+3} before or at base of cell r_{4+5} ; cells bm and br separate; cell r_{4+5} relatively narrow along entire length; eye not emarginate .. 4
- 4 Eyes apilose; radial veins curved anteriorly, joining to anterior margin of wing (Southern Africa) *Psilodera* Gray, 1832
- Eyes pilose; radial veins relatively straight, joining wing apex (Chile) *Holops* Philippi, 1865
- 5 Eyes very sparsely pilose, few microscopic setae present (India) *Subcyrtus* Brunetti, 1926
- Eyes densely pilose 6
- 6 Mouthparts longer than head; palpi present; proboscis not pilose 7
- Mouthparts shorter than head; palpi apparently not present; proboscis pilose (Fig. 3C) 8

- 7 Antennae separated from ocellar tubercle by small depression (Europe) (Fig. 1A [wing])..... **Cyrtus Latreille, 1797**
- Antennae not separated from ocellar tubercle by depression (China) **Paracyrtus Schlinger, 1972**
- 8 Mouthparts very short, barely protruding from oral cavity (Palaeartic)..... **Asopsebius Nartshuk, 1982**
- Mouthparts longer, protruding from oral cavity, but not longer than head9
- 9 Labellum present; abdominal spiracles II - IV placed in intersegmental membranes (Taiwan) **Hadrogaster Schlinger, 1972**
- Labellum absent; abdominal spiracles II - IV placed in corresponding sternites (Taiwan and Japan) **Nipponcyrtus Schlinger, 1972**
- 10 Antennae located on upper half of head, usually proximal to ocellar tubercle...11
- Antennae located on lower half of head, adjacent to oral cavity.....17
- 11 Vein R_{4+5} represented as a single unforked vein12
- Veins R_4 and R_5 forked and petiolate basally (R_4 rarely incomplete basally) ...14
- 12 Eyes minutely pilose, setae barely evident; petiolate to wing margin; flagellum with minute terminal seta; male genitalic capsule enlarged and bulbous (Chile) (Fig. 1B) **Sphaerops Philippi, 1865**
- Eyes clearly pilose; flagellum with relatively large terminal seta; male genitalic capsule not enlarged or bulbous13
- 13 Microtrichia on the wing membrane absent; A_1 joined to wing margin separate from CuA_2 (Baltic Amber) **Villalites Hennig, 1966**
- Microtrichia on the wing membrane present; A_1 and CuA_2 approximated distally but incomplete, not joined to wing margin (Chile)..... **Villalus Cole, 1918**
- 14 Wing with single medial vein (M_3 ?); cell bm only well defined, other cells reduced or merged to form single cell open basally; alula well developed (most biogeographic regions) **Acrocera Meigen, 1803**
- Wing with three medial veins originating from discal cell; wing with three or four wing cells well defined; alula present or absent15
- 15 Mediolobus absent; crossvein 2r-m absent so that only three closed wing cells present; antennal style longer than rest of flagellum (Burmese Amber)..... **Burmacyrtus Grimaldi & Hauser, 2011**
- Mediolobus present and similar shaped to pulvilli; crossvein 2r-m present so that four closed wing cells are present; antennal style shorter than rest of flagellum.....16
- 16 Anterior ocellus reduced but present; costa circumambient; male wing with anterior costal process (Nearctic) **Turbopsebius Schlinger, 1972**
- Anterior ocellus absent; costa ending in radial field near wing apex; male wing without anterior process (Palaeartic)..... **Opsebius Costa, 1855**
- 17 Wing with remnants of cell m_3 indicated by presence of spur veins in cell $d+m_3$ (Hennig 1968: figs 5, 8) (Baltic Amber)..... **Glaesoncodes Hennig, 1968**




Figure 1. Acroceridae wings. Acrocerinae: **A** *Cyrtus gibbus* (Fabricius, 1794) **B** *Sphaerops appendiculata* Philippi, 1865. Scale line = 0.2 mm.

- Wing cell m_3 not indicated by spur veins **18**
- 18 Wing cells d and basal r_{4+5} separate; anteprenotum produced anteriorly as collar-like process behind head (Schlinger 1960a: fig. 13) **19**
- Wing cells d and basal r_{4+5} (and m_3) fused to form large single cell (Fig. 2B), or cells absent (Fig. 2A); anteprenotum not forming collar-like process behind head **20**
- 19 Thorax greatly enlarged dorsally; wing veins R_{2+3} and R_{4+5} curved anteriorly then reflexed towards wing apex; vein M_2 reaching wing margin; alula absent (Kenya) (Sabrosky 1950: fig. 2a) ***Meruia* Sabrosky, 1950**
- Thorax rounded but not greatly enlarged; radial veins straight; vein M_2 not reaching wing margin; alula present (southern Africa) (Figs 3–10) ***Sabroskya* Schlinger, 1960**
- 20 Tibial spines present apically; mouthparts present (Cosmopolitan) (Fig. 2B) ***Pterodontia* Gray, 1832**
- Tibial spines absent; mouthparts absent, oral cavity closed (Cosmopolitan) (Fig. 2A) ***Ogcodes* Latreille, 1797**




Figure 2. Acroceridae wings. Acrocerinae: **A** *Ogcodes basalis* Walker, 1852 **B** *Pterodontia davisi* Paramonov, 1957 (female). Scale line = 0.2 mm.

Sabroskya Schlinger

<http://species-id.net/wiki/Sabroskya>

Type species. *Sabroskya ogcodoides* Schlinger 1960: 479 by original designation.

Diagnosis. Body length: 6.0–7.0 mm. Body shape not arched. Head width slightly narrower than thorax; sub-spherical; postocular ridge and occiput rounded; three ocelli; posterior margin of eye rounded; eye pilose (dense); eyes contiguous above antennal base; antennae located adjacent to mouthparts; palpus present or absent; proboscis length less than head length, with sparse setal pile; flagellum stylate, apex with relatively large terminal seta; postpronotal lobes not enlarged or contiguous medially; antenotum expanded, collar-like behind head; subscutellum relatively enlarged; tibial spines absent; pulvilli present; wing hyaline or slightly smoky infusate, markings absent; costa ending near wing apex; costal margin straight; humeral crossvein absent; R₁ very slightly inflated at pterostigma; R₂₊₃ present or absent; veins R₄ and R₅ present as single vein R₄₊₅; radial veins straight, complete to wing margin; crossvein 2r-m present between M₁ and R₄₊₅, bisecting cell r₄₊₅, cell formed by 2r-m narrow elongate; medial vein complement: M₁, M₂ and M₃ present (M₃ fused with CuA₁), medial veins may or

may not reach wing margin; discal cell closed completely; cell m_3 absent; CuA_2 fused to A_1 before wing margin, petiolate; wing microtrichia absent; anal lobe well developed; alula well developed; abdominal tergites smooth, rounded; abdomen rounded, inflated, slightly wider than thorax.

Comments. *Sabroskya* is a highly specialized Acrocerinae spider fly genus morphologically similar to *Meruia*, *Ogcodes*, *Glaesoncodes* and *Pterodontia*. These five genera all have stylate antennae located on the lower side of the head adjacent to the often reduced or absent mouthparts. Other acrocerine genera related to this clade include *Turbopsebius*, *Opsebius*, *Villalus*, *Acrocera* and *Sphaerops*, all of which have a wing venation lacking cell m_3 . The Baltic amber genus *Glaesoncodes* is unique among this acrocerine clade as the wing retains remnants of cell m_3 , with spur veins present in cell $d+m_3$ (Hennig 1968); similar remnants of m_3 can also be found in more distantly related *Turbopsebius*. This provides important insights into the evolution of acrocerid wing venation, suggesting rampant reduction in number of cells and veins through loss or fusion, and can be found in derived clades in all three extant subfamilies (Winterton et al. 2007; Gillung and Winterton 2011).

In *Pterodontia*, *Sabroskya* and *Ogcodes* the costal margin has a membranous rim or flange between R_1 and wing apex (Figs 2–3). This character still needs to be confirmed in *Meruia*, but appears to be likely a synapomorphy for the group. The putative sister genus to *Sabroskya* is *Meruia*, and both have similar wing venation comprising well defined and complete discal and basal r_{4+5} wing cells. These cells are absent in *Ogcodes* and are fused to form a single cell in *Pterodontia*. *Sabroskya* can be immediately identified from other acrocerine genera by the presence of a cervical collar, antennae located adjacent to mouthparts, R_{4+5} straight, cell m_3 absent and discal and basal r_{4+5} cells separate and closed.

Schlinger (1960a) described the antennal flagellum of *Sabroskya* as stylate without a terminal seta, and with a large subterminal seta on the lateral surface of the flagellum. Detailed examination of the topotype series of *S. ogcodoides* (Schlinger 1960b) shows a similar condition as found in both *S. schlingeri* sp. n. and *S. palpalis*, with the flagellum actually having large terminal setae present (Fig. 3C) (see also Grimaldi (1995: fig. 5)). Only in *S. palpalis* are palpi present while in *S. ogcodoides* and *S. schlingeri* sp. n., the palpi are absent.

Included species. *Sabroskya ogcodoides* Schlinger, 1960; *S. palpalis* Barraclough, 1984; *S. schlingeri* sp. n.

Key to species of *Sabroskya*. (Females are unknown for *S. palpalis* and *S. schlingeri* sp. n.)

- 1 Flagellum with subterminal setae absent; palpi present; posterior surface of hind coxae apilose; paler areas of male abdominal tergites not connected medially (South Africa).....***S. palpalis* Barraclough, 1984**
- Flagellum with subterminal setae present (Fig. 3C); palpi absent; posterior surface of hind coxae pilose; paler areas of male abdominal tergites connected medially.....**2**




Figure 3. Acrocerinae: A, *Sabroskya ogcodoides* Schlinger, 1960a; B, *Sabroskya schlingeri* sp. n.; C, *Sabroskya schlingeri* sp. n., male head, lateral view. Scale line = 0.2 mm.

- 2 Male wing venation brown; vein R₂₊₃ absent (Fig. 3B); wing smoky infusate anteriorly; thoracic, abdominal and lower calypter pile dark (Malawi) (Figs 3–6) ... *S. schlingeri* sp. n.
- Male wing venation white, brown in female; vein R₂₊₃ present (Fig. 3A); wing hyaline; thoracic, abdominal and lower calypter pile white (South Africa) (Figs 7–10) ... *S. ogcodoides* Schlinger, 1960



Figure 4. *Sabroskya schlingeri* sp. n., male, oblique view [Morphbank: 705550]. Body length = 5.0 mm.

***Sabroskya schlingeri* sp. n.**

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:1C52AA02-CD70-4B6E-B2E9-F236A6C1DEB4

http://species-id.net/wiki/Sabroskya_schlingeri

Figures 3B, 3C, 4–6

Type material. Holotype male, MALAWI: Northern Province: North Viphya Mts, 1500 m, Rt. M1, 21–22.ix.1998, 10 km S Chikangawa [-11.929, 33.747], F. Kaplan, A. Freidberg (TAU).

Diagnosis. Wing venation black; vein R_{2+3} absent; wing hyaline, smoky infuscate anteriorly; flagellum with subterminal seta present; lower calypter pile short, dark;



Figure 5. *Sabroskya schlingeri* sp. n., male, lateral view [Morphbank: 705551]. Body length = 5.0 mm.

thoracic and abdominal pile black; palpi absent; hind coxae with setae on posterior surface; paler areas of abdominal tergites connected medially.

Description. Body length 5.0 mm (male). *Head.* Eye brown, densely pilose with setae approximately length of tarsal claw; posterior margin of eye not emarginate; ocellar tubercle glossy black and raised around ocelli; occiput glossy black, coriaceous, pile black; postocular ridge, gena to parafacial with narrow grey pubescent ridge; palpus absent; margin of oral cavity apilose; proboscis shorter than head length (Fig. 3C); antenna brown; flagellum apex with relatively elongate terminal seta, subterminal seta(e) present laterally. *Thorax.* Scutum glossy black with bronze suffusion anteriorly, postalar callus yellowish; vestiture as dense brown-black pile, paler on postalar callus; scutellum glossy black with dense black pile; pleuron glossy black with brown to yellowish pile; coxae black with yellow pile; femora dark yellow with black suffusion basally, pile yellow; tibiae yellow with short yellow pile; tarsi yellow; lower calypter hyaline with darkish margin; pile on membrane and along rim yellow to brown; wing hyaline, slightly smoky infusate anteriorly, venation dark; vein R_{2+3} absent (Fig. 3B); M_2 very short. *Abdomen.*



Figure 6. *Sabroskya schlingeri* sp. n., male, dorsal view [Morphbank: 705552]. Body length = 5.0 mm.

Elongate globose, slightly wider than thorax, tergites dark brown anteriorly, yellow laterally and meeting posteromedially; covered with brown-black setae, erect and tufted medially on each tergite. Male genitalia: not dissected, externally similar to *S. ogcodoides*.

Etymology. The specific epithet is named in honor of Evert I. Schlinger, a foremost expert on world Acroceridae taxonomy and patron of dipterology. Evert Schlinger had previously identified that this specimen represented a new species of *Sabroskya*.

Comments. *Sabroskya schlingeri* sp. n. is known only from a single male specimen from Malawi. A label on the pin of the holotype indicates that E. I. Schlinger had recognized that this species was a new taxon separate from the two previously described species. This is the most northern record for the genus, with both previously described species recorded from Eastern Cape and KwaZulu-Natal Provinces of South Africa. The lack of vein R_{2+3} , dark vestiture and wing venation, and smoky infusate wing readily differentiate this species from *S. palpalis* and *S. ogcodoides*.



Figure 7. *Sabroskya ogcodoides* Schlinger, male, lateral view.




Figure 8. *Sabroskya ogcodoides* Schlinger, male, dorsal view.



Figure 9. *Sabroskya ogcodoides* Schlinger, female, lateral view.




Figure 10. *Sabroskya ogcodoides* Schlinger, female, dorsal view.

Acknowledgements

Thank you to Chris Grinter and Norman Penny (CAS) for loan of specimens. Thank you also to Evert I. Schlinger and F. Christian Thompson for access to unpublished bibliographical and nomenclatural information. Much of this research was based on years of dedicated work, both published and unpublished, by E. I. Schlinger on Acroceridae of the world; we are grateful for the opportunity to consolidate some of this work and publish some of the results herein. This research was supported by the Australian Biological Resource Study (ABRS-209-48). Statements and viewpoints expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the opinion of ABRS.

References

- Barraclough DA (1984) Review of some Afrotropical Acroceridae, with descriptions of eight new species from South Africa (Diptera: Brachycera). *Journal of the Entomological Society of South Africa* 47: 45–66. <http://content.sabinet.co.za/u?/0012-8789,210>
- Gillung JP, Winterton SL (2011) New genera of philopotine spider flies (Diptera: Acroceridae) with a key to living and fossil genera. *Zookeys* 127: 15–27. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.127.1824
- Grimaldi DA (1995) A remarkable new species of *Ogcodes* (Diptera: Acroceridae) in Dominican amber. *American Museum Novitates* 3127: 1–8.
- Grimaldi DA, Arillo A, Cumming JM, Hauser M (2011) Brachyceran Diptera (Insecta) in Cretaceous ambers, Part IV, Significant New Orthorrhaphous taxa. *Zookeys* 148: 293–332. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.148.1809
- Hennig W (1968) Ein weiterer Vertreter der Familie Acroceridae im Baltischen Bernstein (Diptera: Brachycera). *Stuttgarter Beitr  ge zur Naturkunde aus dem Staatlichen Museum* 185: 1–6.
- McAlpine JF (1981) Morphology and terminology-Adults. In: McAlpine JF, Peterson BV, Shewell GE, Teskey HJ, Vockeroth JR, Wood DM (Ed) *Manual of Nearctic Diptera*. Research Branch, Agriculture Canada Monograph 1: 9–63.
- Pape T, Blagoderov V, Mostovski MB (2011) Order Diptera Linnaeus, 1758. In: Zhang Z-Q, (Ed) *Animal biodiversity: An outline of higher-level classification and survey of taxonomic richness*. *Zootaxa* 3148: 222–229. <http://www.mapress.com/zootaxa/list/2011/3148.html>
- Pyle RL, Michel E (2008) Zoobank: Developing and nomenclatural tool for unifying 250 years of biological information. *Zootaxa* 1950: 39–50.
- Schlinger EI (1960a) A review of the South African Acroceridae (Diptera). *Annals of the Natal Museum* 14: 459–504. <http://content.sabinet.co.za/u?/03040798,876>
- Schlinger EI (1960b) Additional notes on the South African acrocerid fauna, with descriptions of new species of *Acrocera* Meigen and *Psilodera* Gray (Diptera). *Annals of the Natal Museum* 15: 57–67. <http://content.sabinet.co.za/u?/03040798,809>
- Schlinger EI (1981) Acroceridae. In: McAlpine JF, Peterson BV, Shewell GE, Teskey HJ, Vockeroth JR, Wood DEM (Eds) *Manual of Nearctic Diptera*. vol. I. Research Branch, Agriculture Canada. Monograph 27, 575–584.

- Schlinger EI (1987) The biology of Acroceridae (Diptera): True endoparasitoids of spiders. In: Nentwig W (Ed) *Ecophysiology of spiders*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, xi + 319–327.
- Schlinger EI (2009) Acroceridae (spider flies, small-headed flies). In: Brown BV et al. (Eds) *Manual of Central American Diptera: Volume 1*. NRC Research Press, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, 551–556.
- Winterton SL, Wiegmann BM, Schlinger EI (2007) Phylogeny and Bayesian divergence time estimations of small-headed flies (Diptera: Acroceridae) using multiple molecular markers. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 43: 808–832. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2006.08.015
- Winterton SL (in press) Review of Australasian spider flies (Diptera, Acroceridae) with a revision of *Panops* Lamarck. *ZooKeys*.

New taxa of terrestrial molluscs from Turkey (Gastropoda, Pristilomatidae, Enidae, Hygromiidae, Helicidae)

Burçin Aşkım Gümüş^{1,†}, Eike Neubert^{2,‡}

1 Burçin Aşkım Gümüş, Gazi University, Science Faculty, Department of Biology (Zoology), Teknik Okullar, Beşevler, 06500, Ankara, Türkiye **2** Naturhistorisches Museum der Burgergemeinde Bern, Bernastr. 15, CH-3005 Bern, Switzerland

† [urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:225E94C4-0F11-4C0F-BFA2-297E7E921183](https://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:225E94C4-0F11-4C0F-BFA2-297E7E921183)

‡ [urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:1D24CA18-5F21-41ED-B270-41B2D9CA34A1](https://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:1D24CA18-5F21-41ED-B270-41B2D9CA34A1)

Corresponding author: Burçin Aşkım Gümüş (burcinaskim@gazi.edu.tr, burcinaskim@gmail.com)

Academic editor: E. Gittenberger | Received 24 November 2011 | Accepted 6 February 2012 | Published 24 February 2012

[urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:91A0AA56-4107-4E29-B847-BA6924FB7BC8](https://zoobank.org/pub:91A0AA56-4107-4E29-B847-BA6924FB7BC8)

Citation: Gümüş BA, Neubert E (2012) New taxa of terrestrial molluscs from Turkey (Gastropoda, Pristilomatidae, Enidae, Hygromiidae, Helicidae). ZooKeys 171: 17–37. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.171.2273

Abstract

This paper reports on results of several collecting trips of the authors in Turkey. In the course of this research, a long-lasting question was addressed. It could be proven that the nominal species *Bulimus frivaldskyi* L. Pfeiffer, 1847 is closely related to *Meijeriella canaliculata* Bank, 1985, and thus this species is shifted from the genus *Ena* Turton, 1831, to the genus *Meijeriella* Bank, 1985. *Meijeriella canaliculata* Bank, 1985, could be recorded from Turkey for the first time. The nomenclatural situation of the species *Euchondrus septemdentatus* (Roth, 1839) vs. its replacement name *Euchondrus borealis* (Mousson, 1874) is discussed. A new arrangement of the species formerly comprised in the genus *Zebrina* Held, 1837 is presented, and the genera *Rhabdoena* Kobelt & Moellendorff, 1902, and *Leucomastus* A. Wagner, 1927 are re-established. The following species and subspecies new to science could be described: *Vitrea gostelii* sp. n. (Pristilomatidae), *Turanena demirsoyi* sp. n., *Euchondrus paucidentatus* sp. n., *Rhabdoena gostelii* sp. n. (all Enidae), *Metafruticicola kizildagensis* sp. n. (Hygromiidae), and *Assyriella thospitis menkhorsti* ssp. n. (Helicidae). For several other species, new distribution records are listed.

Keywords

Turkey, terrestrial molluscs, new records, description of new species and subspecies

Introduction

Regarding our knowledge of the terrestrial (as well as the freshwater) malacofauna of Turkey, large areas still remain under- or even unexplored. In this work, the results originating from several independent travels and projects in Turkey are published to contribute to a more complete survey of this largely neglected part of the Turkish fauna. In the following paragraphs, the projects are briefly introduced.

The “Kaz Dağı project” (TÜBİTAK; YDBAG, 103Y110: “The Determination of the Fauna of Kaz Dağı National Park”) was performed during the years 2004 and 2005. The area is declared a National Park and is located in Northwest Anatolia between the Marmara Sea and the Aegean regions north of the Bay of Edremit with a Mediterranean climate regime. The Kaz Dağı reaches an altitude of 1745 m (39°42'16"N, 26°49'50"E). Outcrops of Palaeozoic schist can be found in the southern parts of the mountain, and limestone outcrops are widely spread in the summit area of the mountain. Gastropod specimens were collected and determined from six stations. The aim of this project was to prepare a complete faunal list in order to identify endangered species and to start with an implementation of a conservation and management plan (Gümüş 2006).

The second project was called the “Kemaliye project” (TÜBİTAK; ÇAYDAG, 105Y016: “The Determination of the Biodiversity of Kemaliye (Erzincan) and its Vicinity”) and was located in Eastern Anatolia. Kemaliye is a small village settling in the upper valley of the Euphrates (Fırat Nehri) upstream of the Keban barrage (39°15'30"N, 38°30'E, 870 m alt.). In this place, the river cuts the Anatolian plate forming a moderately deep canyon. The village is surrounded by hills of over 1600 m altitude, but the Munzur Mountains east of Kemaliye reach up to 3250 m altitude. The climate regime is typical for Central Turkey, i.e. semiarid and winter-cold, and differs considerably from that of the nearby East and Black Sea Region. Due to the high relief differences, the area offers a multitude of habitats supporting a rich fauna and flora. The scope of this project was to perform a similar survey of animal and plant species living around Kemaliye comparable to that of Kaz Dağı. The gastropod specimens were collected and identified in the years 2005 and 2007. The collections are stored in the Kemaliye Natural Museum which is being founded as an initial core of the upcoming Turkish Natural History Museum (Prof. Dr. Ali Demirsoy Doğa Tarihi Müzesi, Erzincan Üniversitesi, Kemaliye Hacı Ali Akın Meslek Yüksek Okulu; <http://kemaliyemyo.erkincan.edu.tr/menuslem.php?x=47>).

Another survey was conducted as a joint project between the Natural History Museum of Berne, the University of Süleyman Demirel (Isparta) and the authors in 2006. The idea was to survey some spots in the area of the large Western Anatolian Lakes around Isparta and Eğirdir and to close a gap of records along the Mediterranean coast between Fethiye and Kaş, i.e. the valley of the Eşen Çayı and the surrounding mountains. The main results of this survey will be published elsewhere, however, the taxa new to science are already presented here, because of delay in identification of some of the species collected.

Abbreviations

BAG	private collection Burçin Aşkım Gümüş, Turkey
D	shell diameter
H	shell height
NMBE	Natural History Museum of the Burgergemeinde Bern, Switzerland
NNM	Nationaal Natuurhistorisch Museum, Leiden
PD	Peristome diameter
PH	Peristome height
SMF	Research Institute Senckenberg, Frankfurt
W	number of whorls
HMT	private collection H.P.M.G. Menkhorst, Krimpen op de IJssel

All measurements mentioned are in mm.

Results

Family Pristilomatidae

Vitrea gostelii sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:EE5FB4A7-8036-431F-8548-194168D16023

http://species-id.net/wiki/Vitrea_gostelii

Fig. 1

Type specimens. Holotype NMBE 23972. Type locality: Antalya İli, Kaş, Valley of the Eşen Çayı, Palamut, 36.4088°N, 29.3644°E, 350 m alt., limestone rocks, 10 km S of Saklıkent, 06.06.2006.

Diagnosis. A small species of *Vitrea* with a spiral sculpture of small threads and faint riblets crossing the spirals.

Description. The shell colour is pale whitish, with a broadly depressed spire. The protoconch is broadly enlarged and sculptured by fine spiral threads. The whorls of the teleoconch are narrow and increase regularly, suture of medium depth. The last whorl is broadened, non-descending, well rounded. The surface of the teleoconch is sculptured by fine widely spaced riblets. The spiral sculpture of the protoconch continues on the teleoconch whorls and becomes stronger, dissecting the riblets and giving them a fine granulated appearance. On the subsurface, the riblets become faint while the spiral sculpture increases in strength.

The umbilicus is of medium width and funnel-shaped to cylindriciform.

Measurements. Holotype: H = 1.29; D = 2.25; PH = not measured because of damage of shell; PD = 1.05; W = 4.75.

Distribution (Fig. 29): Hitherto, only the holotype is known from the type locality in the Kaş area.



Figure 1. *Vitrea gostelii* sp. n., D = 2.25 mm. – All figures scaled $\times 20$, phot H. Baur, NMBE.

Etymology. This new species is dedicated to our dear friend, the late Dr. Margret Gosteli from the Natural History Museum Berne, Switzerland. She very much enjoyed our joint visit to Turkey in 2006 and was eager to work about the malacofauna of Turkey.

Remark and differential diagnosis. The confinement of this undoubtedly new species to a pulmonate family is not easy, because only a single, dead collected shell is available. Although it looks somewhat similar to species from the family Punctidae (with *Punctum pygmaeum* (Draparnaud, 1801) known from Turkey), we have decided to place it in Pristilomatidae because of the pale color of the shell.

Under a conchological point of view, a classification within the pristilomatid genus *Lindbergia* Riedel, 1959, would also be possible, as the two genera can only be differentiated by the anatomy of their genital organs (Riedel 1959, 1960). We here confine this species to the genus *Vitrea* Fitzinger, 1833, because of its overall conchological resemblance to other species comprised in this genus. An assignment to *Oxychilus* Fitzinger is less probable, as even the smallest species of *Oxychilus* are clearly larger than the new species.

Vitrea gostelii sp. n. differs from all *Vitrea* species known from Turkey by the presences of riblets and a spiral sculpture. It somewhat resembles *V. riedeliana*, but the spire in *V. riedeliana* is more elevated. *Vitrea riedeli* has a smooth shell with a wider umbilicus with a deeper suture.

This species was found during our survey in 2006 below a large limestone rock face of probably about 50 m of height. Probably, this species lives subterraneously, and a more targeted search in the accumulated soil of carstic crevices will yield some living specimens, which then will help to corroborate the taxonomic position of this new species.

Family Enidae**Subfamilia Buliminusinae Kobelt, 1880*****Buliminus alepensis alepensis* (L. Pfeiffer, 1841)**

http://species-id.net/wiki/Buliminus_alepensis_alepensis

Fig. 12

Helix (*Cochlogena*) *alepi* Férussac, 1821, Tabl. syst. limaçons (= “Prodome”), livr. 10: 55 (Quarto edition) [Folio edition: 59. [“Alep, côte de Syrie, au lieu dit la Coupe, à une demi-lieue de la ville”. Nomen nudum].

Bulimus alepensis L. Pfeiffer, 1841, Symbolae ad historiam heliceorum, 1: 45. Type locality: see above.

Specimens examined. Vil. Erzincan, Kemaliye, Çit Köyü, 39.1119°N, 38.6043°E, 976 m alt. 05.05.2007, leg. B. A. Gümüş, NMBE 32709/2, NMBE 32710/2 preserved; Vil. Erzincan, Kemaliye, Dilli Deresi, 39.315°N, 38.44°E, 870 m alt., 10.7.2005, leg. B. A. Gümüş, NMBE 32711/1.

Remark. This species is known to inhabit the Levant area, northern Iraq to Central eastern Turkey (see also Gittenberger and Menkhorst 1991). Kemaliye is now the northernmost record for this species. The subspecific division of this species needs further attention. We here attribute the specimens from Kemaliye to the nominotypical subspecies in accordance to Gittenberger and Menkhorst (1991).

Subfamilia Eninae B. B. Woodward, 1903 (1880)**Tribus Enini B. B. Woodward, 1903 (1880)*****Turanena demirsoyi* sp. n.**

[urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:3A9A7F78-C144-4220-B5AD-22BD0C10F0CD](http://species-id.net/wiki/Turanena_demirsoyi)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Turanena_demirsoyi

Fig. 9

Type specimens. Holotype NMBE 32704; paratypes NMBE 32705/3; Vil. Erzincan, Kemaliye, Dilli Deresi, 39.315°N, 38.44°E, 870 m alt., 10.7.2005, leg. B. A. Gümüş. — Additional paratypes: SMF 312403/1, Erzincan, Kemaliye, 2.8.1987, leg. N. Kazancı, ex Slg. Neubert; Kemaliye, Yeşilyamaç (Geşo) Pass, 39.271°N, 38.567°E, 1680 m alt., 07.05.2007, leg. B. A. Gümüş, NMBE 32706/2; Kemaliye, Subatan, 39.188°N 38.406°E, 1885 m alt., 06.05.2007, leg. B. A. Gümüş, NMBE 32707/1, NMBE 32708/4 (preserved); Vil. Erzincan, Kemaliye, Muşaga village (= Kocaçimen), 39.296°N, 38.54°E, 1375 m alt., 13.07.2005, leg. B. A. Gümüş, NMBE 32715/2.

Diagnosis. A large species of *Turanena* with a brown shell; surface of last two whorls with whitish patchy colour pattern; teleoconch sculpture of more or less distinctive riblets.

Description. Protoconch of almost 2 whorls, smooth, dome-shaped; shell colour pale brown, with a whitish patchy colour pattern on the last two whorls; shell of conical shape with an acutely ovate aperture; whorls of the teleoconch slightly rounded, increasing regularly, the whitish suture of medium depth; last whorl broadened, occupying nearly half of the height of the shell; surface of the teleoconch sculptured by more or less distinctive riblets; aperture rounded at the base with a faint white lip, light brown to dirty whitish deeper in the aperture; columellar rim of the aperture slightly reflected, a thin parietal callus connecting the apertural rims; periomphalum wide, umbilicus open, elongate, of medium width.

Measurements. Holotype: H = 12.15; D = 6.22; PH = 4.65; PD = 3.25; W = 7.

Etymology. This species is dedicated to Prof. Dr. Ali Demirsoy from the Hacettepe University in Ankara to acknowledge his outstanding contributions to Turkish zoology and support for young scientists.

Remarks and differential diagnosis. This species differs from most other *Turanena* species by its relatively large size; *T. tuccari* (Gittenberger, 1986) differs by its reinforced and reflected lip, which is always sharp and simple in the new species. *Turanena andronakii* (Lindholm, 1913) from the Çoruh valley and its tributaries has a more elongate slender and always completely brown shell.

Distribution. This species is only known from the surroundings of Kemaliye, its area of occupancy (AOO) is around 70km².

Turanena cochlicopoides E. Gittenberger & Menkhorst, 1993

http://species-id.net/wiki/Turanena_cochlicopoides

Fig. 10, 11

Turanena cochlicopoides E. Gittenberger & Menkhorst, 1993, Archiv für Molluskenkunde, 122 (Zilch Festschr.): 74, map 1, pl. 1 fig. 1 [Vilayet Gaziantep: leere Gehäuse zwischen den Felsen der Straße Gaziantep-Bahçe entlang, 60 km ö. Bahçe, in 1000 m Meereshöhe; CB 31]

Specimens examined. Vil. Erzincan, Kemaliye, between Arslanoba and Dolunay villages (the rocks around the Cahit Bilgin Park), 39.249°N, 38.599°E, 1560 m alt., 07.05.2007, leg. B. A. Gümüş, NMBE 32712/2; ditto, 07.09.2007, NMBE 32713/2.

Remarks. This is the second record for this species since its description. Interestingly, the new location is quite far away from the type locality (ca. 250 km in direct line), so it can be assumed that this species lives in a much larger area than expected before, but seems to be quite rare.

Tribus Multidentulini Schileyko, 1978***Euchondrus* O. Boettger, 1883**

Euchondrus O. Boettger, 1883, Bericht über die Thätigkeit des Offenbacher Vereins für Naturkunde, 22/23: XX [Type species *Pupa chondriformis* Mousson, 1861 by monotypy].

***Euchondrus septemdentatus* (Roth, 1839)**

http://species-id.net/wiki/Euchondrus_septemdentatus

Figs 13–17

Pupa septemdentata Roth, 1839, Moll. spec. itinere Orientem: 19, pl. 2 fig. 2 [in insula quadam parva Oenussarum, sita inter Chium et Melaenam promontorium“; „Syriae vico quodam, dicto „Sasa“, prope Damascum“].

Bulimus triticeus Rossmässler, 1858, Iconographie der Land- und Süßwassermollusken Europa's, 3 (5/6): 98, textfig. [«bei Jerusalem»].

Chondrus septemdentatus var. *maximus* Mousson, 1861, Vierteljahresschrift der Naturforschenden Gesellschaft Zürich, 6: 132 [nomen nudum, ms name of Bourguignat].

Chondrus septemdentatus var. *elongatus* Mousson, 1861, Vierteljahresschrift der Naturforschenden Gesellschaft Zürich 6: 132 [nomen nudum, ms name of Roth].

Chondrus septemdentatus var. *borealis* Mousson, 1874, Journal de Conchyliologie, 22: 14 [„jusqu'à Merssina et à Tharsus“].

Buliminus septemdentatus var. *maximus* Westerlund, 1887, Fauna der in der paläarktischen Region lebenden Binnenmollusken, III: 45.

Buliminus septemdentatus var. *elongatus* Westerlund, 1887, Fauna der in der paläarktischen Region lebenden Binnenmollusken, III: 45.

Jaminia (*Euchondrus*) *borealis*, – Forcart, 1940, Verhandlungen der naturforschenden Gesellschaft Basel, 51: 202

Euchondrus borealis, – Schütt, 1983, Natur und Mensch, 1983: 57, Abb. 18.

Remarks. *Euchondrus septemdentatus* (Figs 13–17) is a remarkably variable species in terms of shell shape (see also Haas 1955), and one of the most widespread taxa within the genus ranging from Southern Turkey throughout the Eastmediterranean countries almost reaching the Negev Desert (Heller 2009; the single record north of Eilat may be due to a carryover by man).

Mousson's name *Chondrus septemdentatus* var. *borealis* (Fig. 16), which comprises the Turkish form of this species was used by Forcart (1940) as replacement name for *Pupa septemdentata* to remove the secondary homonymy with *Jaminia septemdentata* Risso, 1826 (= *Chondrina avenacea* Bruguiere, 1792). For names replaced before

1960, the rule “once a homonym, always a homonym” has to be applied (ICZN § 59). However, the replacement was never commonly accepted, and the name *septemdentatus* has always been applied for the populations of this species from Israel, the latest example for this use being Heller (2009). This results in a confusion of the correct application of the available names for this species under the condition that the specific identity is accepted. We consider Forcart’s replacement action as invalid, because he omitted the older name *Bulimus triticeus* Rossmässler, 1858 (Fig. 14), which is also a synonym of *Pupa septemdentata*. For this reason we herewith return to use the name *septemdentatus* for this species in order to eliminate an unstable nomenclatural situation. If this point of view is not accepted, this issue has to be clarified by a ruling of the Commission following § ICZN 59.3.1.

***Euchondrus paucidentatus* sp. n.**

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:E9CF2CD7-D99E-493C-BBC9-2AE8F83D5F7B

http://species-id.net/wiki/Euchondrus_paucidentatus

Fig. 18

Type specimens. Holotype NMBE 32688; paratypes NMBE 32689/15, coll. BANK/2; Turkey, Vil. Şanlıurfa, Nusaybin, bridge over the Çağ Çağ Deresi, 37.09°N, 41.215°E, 470 m alt., 4.8.1988, leg. E. Neubert.

Diagnosis. A medium sized species of *Euchondrus*, shell of broad conical shape, brown, dentition reduced to a parietalis, a columellaris and two palatal denticles.

Description. Shell medium sized, protoconch of 2 whorls, smooth; shell colour consistently brown; shell of broad conical shape with a rounded to semi-ovate aperture; whorls of the teleoconch well rounded, increasing regularly, the suture of medium depth with a whitish sutural line; surface of the teleoconch almost smooth, a faint sculpture of fine riblets or growth lines existing; aperture rounded, reinforced by a labial callus, apertural rims widely gaping on the parietum, reflected; dentition reduced, consisting of a cone shaped parietalis, a small columellaris, and two palatal denticles, with the lower denticle being always stronger if compared to the upper palatalis; columellar rim of the aperture widely reflected; umbilicus slit-like open, elongate.

Measurements. Holotype: H = 7.76; D = 3.74; PH = 2.95; PD = 2.4; W = 8.

Etymology. This species is called *paucidentatus* (Latin = poor in teeth) reflecting the reduced dentition of this species.

Remarks and differential diagnosis. This species is similar to *E. ledereri* (L. Pfeiffer, 1868) by its reduced dentition (Figs 19, 20), but in this species, there is always an angularis (and sometimes even an infraparietalis) present. Moreover it differs by its larger shell, which is usually white (and not brown), and the more cylindrical shape of the teleoconch. Some species of *Euchondrus* from Cyprus show a similar tendency to teeth reduction (*E. limbodentatus* (Mousson, 1854), *E. nucifragus* (L. Pfeiffer, 1848)

and its subspecies, and *E. parreyssi* (L. Pfeiffer, 1846)). However, these species show an extreme development of the labial callus, which is in clear contrast to the regularly sized lip in the new species (Bank and Hovestadt 1991).

Distribution. So far, this new species is only known from its type locality.

Tribus Chondrulini Wenz, 1923

Genus *Meijeriella* Bank, 1985

<http://species-id.net/wiki/Meijeriella>

Meijeriella Bank, 1985, *Heldia* 1 (2): 41.

Borlumastus Örstan & Yildirim, 2004, *Basteria*, 68: 126.

Type species. *Meijeriella canaliculata* Bank, 1985 (by original designation).

In their paper, Örstan and Yildirim (2004) used the following autapomorphic characters to separate their new genus *Borlumastus*: presence of a single palatal tooth, and secondly, attachment position of the penial retractor muscle.

However, presence or absence of the palatal, columellar, and parietal teeth cannot be used for characterization of genera within the family Enidae. For example, *Pseudochondrula tetrodon* (Mortillet, 1854) displays a number of variations in its apertural dentition, there are specimens without teeth as well as specimens with up to four teeth, sometimes to be found mixed up in the same population. On the other hand, browsing the species currently affiliated to *Euchondrus* Boettger, 1883 it becomes clear that in this genus, species may differ in their dentition, but still are considered to belong to the same genus. Thus it is obvious that presence or absence of a single tooth does not qualify as autapomorphic character on genus-level taxa.

The attachment position of the penial retractor muscle on the male genital system is said to differ in *Borlumastus* from that in *Meijeriella*, because in the latter genus, the muscle would attach at the epiphallus. Having investigated the genital anatomy of both species, *M. canaliculata* and *M. frivaldskyi* from Turkey it can be said that the muscles embraces the distal end of the epiphallus including a small area of the terminal part of the proximal penis section. This makes clear that there is virtually no difference in the attachment position of this muscle in all three species. For these reasons we see no argument left to keep the genus *Borlumastus*, and relegate it into the synonymy of *Meijeriella* Bank, 1985.

Remark: It has been argued that the generic name *Meijeriella* Bank, 1985 is preoccupied by *Meyeriella* Krausse, 1917 (*Arch. Naturgesch.*, 82, A1: 95, in Hymenoptera). However, genus-level names are ruled by §56.1 and §56.2 ICZN clearly stating “Even if the difference between two genus-group names is only one letter, they are not homonyms”. Thus, *Meijeriella* has to be kept as a valid genus.

***Meijeriella canaliculata* Bank, 1985**

http://species-id.net/wiki/Meijeriella_canaliculata

Figs 2, 4

Meijeriella canaliculata Bank, 1985, Heldia 1 (2): 42 [Greece, Mytilini (= Lesvos), at the road Keraia-Agiassos, 800 m SW Pigi Karini, approx. 39.11°N 26.39°E].

Type specimens. Holotype NNM 55671 (not checked).

Specimens examined. Vil. Balıkesir, Edremit, Kaz Dağı, Camp Area, 39.67°N, 26.95°E, 800 m alt., 24. July 2004, leg. B. A. Gümüş, NMBE 28360/1 (preserved), NMBE 28359/1 (dry), SMF 330175/1, several specimens in coll. Gümüş.

Description of the genital organs (Fig. 2, shell size of dissected animal: 11.9 × 3.15 mm). The morphology of the genital organs of the Turkish specimens equals that of the paratype from Lesvos shown by Bank (1985).

Discussion. The shells do not differ from the illustrated holotype from the Island of Lesvos, particularly the canaliculated last whorl is clearly visible. The known distribution of this species was hitherto restricted to the island of Lesvos, where it is abundant (pers. comm. Bank 2011). This is the first record for this species from Turkey and shows that this species has a larger distribution. It mirrors the geological development of the area, since Lesvos is situated in the Eastmediterranean subduction zone and had been connected to the Turkish mainland during the Miocene.




Figure 2–3. 2 Genital organs of *Meijeriella canaliculata* (Kaz Dağı) 3 Genital organs of *Meijeriella frivaldskyi* (ruins of Truva).

***Meijeriella frivaldskyi* (L. Pfeiffer, 1847)**

http://species-id.net/wiki/Meijeriella_frivaldskyi

Figs 3, 5–8

Bulimus frivaldskyi L. Pfeiffer, Zeitschrift für Malakozoologie, 1847, 4 (12): 191–192

[Type locality: “prope Brussa Natoliae”].

Bulimus fuscus L. Pfeiffer, Zeitschr. Malakozool., 1847, 4 (12): 192. Type locality: not given. Nomen nudum (in synonymy).

Bulimus raynevalianus Bourguignat, Amen. Malac. 1855, 29: 128, pl. 8 fig. 5–9 (shell).

Type locality: “les vignes des environs de Gallipoli, où il vit sous les mottes de terre”.

Buliminus [*Brephulus*] *frivaldskyi* f. *minor* Westerlund, 1887, Fauna pal. Reg. Binnenconch., 3: 10. Type locality: not given.

Buliminus trojanus Kobelt, Icon., 1893, (2) 6 (5–6): 81, pl. 171 fig. 1100 (shell).

Type locality. “im westlichen Theile der Troas”.

Type specimens. *frivaldskyi*: Syntype SMF 63750, “Bursa”, coll. Kobelt ex L. Pfeiffer; *raynevalianus*: Syntype MHNG 12106.

Specimens examined. Vil. Çanakkale, Truva, 10.06.2003, leg. B. A. Gümüş, NMBE 28357/3 (preserved).

Description of the genital organs (Fig. 3, shell size of dissected animal: 10.6 × 3.7 mm). Penis without penial appendix, subdivided in a distal and proximal section; proximal section containing a centrally perforated verge; epiphallus very long, reaching ca. 5 × the length of penis, with a small caecum at its central part, a short flagellum present; penial retractor muscle attaching at the border of penis and epiphallus; vagina as long as penis, pedunculus with a long diverticulum largely surmounting the bursa copulatrix in length.

Remarks. The principal morphological structure of the genital organs does not differ from the holotype of *M. canaliculata* (cf. Bank 1985) from the Island of Lesbos nor from that from the southern slope of the Kaz Dağı (Fig. 2). For this reason, the species *Bulimus frivaldskyi* is here confined to the genus *Meijeriella* and removed from the genus *Ena*, as already suspected by other authors (Bank 1985: 42, Hausdorf 2001). The shell of *M. frivaldskyi* differs from *M. canaliculata* by its rounded and not canaliculated last whorl; additionally, the majority of specimens of *M. frivaldskyi* differ by presence of a palatal swelling of the labium, which is said to miss in the Lesvian specimens of *M. canaliculata* (and which is also true for the Kaz Dağı population).

***Rhabdoena* Kobelt & Moellendorff, 1902**

<http://species-id.net/wiki/Rhabdoena>

Rhabdoena Kobelt & Moellendorff, 1902, Syst. Conch.-Cab., (1) 13 (2, 475): 1021, 1027.

Type species. *Buliminus* (*Zebrina*) *caesius* O. Boettger, 1885 (= *Bulimus cosensis* Reeve, 1849) (original designation).



Figures 4–12. Enidae. **4–10** *Meijeriella* spp. **4** *Meijeriella canaliculata*, Vil. Balıkesir, Edremit, Kaz Dağı, Camp Area, 39.67°N, 26.95°E, H = 14.65 mm. **5–8** *Meijeriella frivaldskyi*. **5** syntype *Bulimus frivaldskyi*, SMF 63750, H = 14.8 mm **6** syntype *Bulimus raynevalianus*, MHNG 12106, H = 15.8 mm **7** *Meijeriella frivaldskyi*, SMF 312495, Ruins of Truva, leg. H.P.M.G. Menkhorst, H = 11.45 mm **8** *Meijeriella frivaldskyi*, NMBE 28357, Ruins of Truva, leg. B. A. Gümüş, 10.06.2008, H = 10.6 mm. **9–11** *Turanena* spp. **9**. *Turanena demirsoyi* sp. n., holotype NMBE 32704, Erzincan, Kemaliye, Dilli Deresi, 870 m alt., leg. B. A. Gümüş, H = 12.15 mm **10** *Turanena cochlicopoides*, Paratype SMF 309776, Vil. Gaziantep, along the road Gaziantep → Bahçe, ca. 12 km NW Gaziantep, 1000 m alt., leg. Menkhorst, 15.07.1986, H = 5.6 mm **11** *Turanena cochlicopoides*; NMBE 32713, between Arslanoba and Dolunay villages (the rocks around the Cahit Bilgin Park), 39.249°N, 38.599°E, 1560 m alt., leg. B. A. Gümüş, 07.09.2007 **12** *Buliminus alepensis alepensis* NMBE 32709, Çit Köyü, 39.1119°N, 38.6043°E, 976 m alt. 05.05.2007, leg. B. A. Gümüş. — All figures scaled × 5.

Until today, the genus *Zebrina* Held, 1837 comprises a dozen of species mainly from the Eastmediterranean area (Schileyko 1998). According to Bank (1988: 70), Bank and Menkhorst (1992: 126) and Bank (pers. comm. 2011), there are important differences in the anatomy of the genital organs between these species showing that this genus is very probably a paraphyletic unit. Particularly the relative position of the caecum on the epiphallus separates the species in these groups: in *Z. detrita* and *Z. fasciolata*, the epiphallar caecum is found at the terminal distal end of the epiphallus, while in all other species, the epiphallar caecum is found in a central position on the epiphallus. In the remaining group, the species of *Rhabdoena* can easily be separated from all others because of their narrow, conical, elongate shell combined with the rounded aperture, the parietal callus connecting the inclining to almost connected apertural rims, the fine riblets on the teleoconch, and the fact that they all seem to be obligate rock dwellers. The remaining group then comprises species with a broader and usually larger shell with a wide aperture, and being bottom to vegetation dwellers. This group then has to bear the generic name *Leucomastus* (type species *Leucomastus buresi* A. Wagner, 1927 = *Bulimus kindermanni* L. Pfeiffer, 1857). To illustrate the consequences, a table with some of the most important Chondrulini genera is given (Table 1).

Table 1. Character states in some major genera of Chondrulini (table provided by R. Bank).

Genus	Penis appendix	Epiphallar caecum	Taxa
<i>Thoanteus</i>	present	subterminal	<i>corneus</i> , <i>ferrarii</i> , <i>gibber</i> , <i>zilchi</i>
<i>Peristoma</i>	present	subterminal	<i>merduenianum</i> , <i>lanseum</i> , <i>rupestre</i> , <i>boettgeri</i>
<i>Caucasicola</i>	present	subterminal	<i>raddei</i>
<i>Zebrina</i>	present	subterminal	<i>detrita</i> , <i>fasciolata</i>
<i>Georginapaeus</i>	present	middle	<i>hobenackeri</i>
<i>Rhabdoena</i>	present	middle	<i>armenica</i> , <i>cosensis</i> , <i>mirifica</i> , <i>zasiensis</i> , <i>stokesi</i>
<i>Chondrus</i>	present	middle	<i>lycaonicus</i> , <i>tournefortianus</i> , <i>zebra</i>
<i>Brephulopsis</i>	present	middle	<i>cylindrica</i> , <i>bidens</i> , <i>subulata</i> , <i>konovalovae</i>
<i>Ayna</i>	present	middle	<i>mienisi</i>
<i>Leucomastus</i>	present	middle	<i>eburnea</i> , <i>kindermanni</i> , <i>dardana?</i> , <i>varnensis</i>
<i>Chondrula</i>	absent	middle	> 10 taxa
<i>Mastus</i>	absent	middle	> 20 taxa
<i>Meijeriella</i>	absent	middle	<i>canaliculata</i> , <i>frivaldskyi</i> , <i>yildirimi</i>
<i>Eubrephulus</i>	absent	middle	<i>bicallosus</i> , <i>orientalis</i>


***Rhabdoena gostelii* sp. n.**

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:9CA63D44-A467-418D-BD75-7BC254948A12

http://species-id.net/wiki/Rhabdoena_gostelii

Fig. 21

Type specimens. Holotype NMBE 33331, Vil. Erzincan, Kemaliye, Dilli Deresi, 39.315°N, 38.44°E, 870 m alt., 10.7.2005, leg. B. A. Gümüş; paratype NMBE



Figures 13–23. Enidae. **13–20** *Euchondrus* spp. **13** *Euchondrus septemdentatus*, syntype *Pupa septemdentata*, SMF 236888, Israel, Jerusalem, coll. Rossmässler ex Roth, Original figure from Iconographie (1), No. 922, H = 9.75 mm **14** lectotype *Bulimus triticeus* (type designation herewith based on an unpublished designation by Zilch), SMF 236889, Israel, Jerusalem, coll. Rossmässler ex Stentz, H = 9.4 mm **15** *Euchondrus septemdentatus*, NMBE 503001, Lebanon, Beirut, next to Soha water plant, 33°45.117'N, 35°45.213'E, 1474 m alt., 17.08.2008, leg. E. Neubert, H = 9.4 mm **16** syntype *Chondrus septemdentatus* var. *borealis*, ZMZ 514110, Turkey, between Mersin and Tarsus, coll. Mousson ex Schläfli, 1861, H = 10.5 mm; **17** *Euchondrus septemdentatus*, SMF 312409, Turkey, 2.5 km SE Belen, leg. Menkhorst **18** *Euchondrus paucidentatus* sp. n., holotype NMBE 32688, Turkey, Vil. Şanlıurfa, Nusaybin, bridge over the Çağ Çağ Deresi, 37.09°N, 41.215°E, 470 m alt., 4.8.1988, leg. E. Neubert, H = 7.76 mm **19** *Euchondrus ledereri*, SMF 312412, Turkey, Meşindağı Geçidi, 15 rkm SE Erüh (= Dih), along the road to Şırnak, 37.672°N, 42.316°E, 1620 m alt., 03.08.1988, leg. Neubert, H = 10.2 mm **20** *Euchondrus ledereri*, NMBE 503488, Lebanon, Nahr Abu Ali close to Seraad, 34.283°N, 35.9288°E, 573 m alt., 19.08.2008, leg. Neubert, H = 8.32 mm **21–23** *Rhabdoena* spp. **21** *Rhabdoena gostelii* sp. n., holotype NMBE 33331, Vil. Erzincan, Kemaliye, Dilli Deresi, 39.315°N, 38.44°E, 870 m alt., 10.7.2005, leg. B. A. Gümüş, H = 20.96 mm **22** *Rhabdoena armenica*, lectotype SMF 63431, “NW Armenia (?)”, coll. Nägele ex Tembé, H = 14.6 mm **23** *Rhabdoena cosensis*, lectotype *Buliminus (Zebrina) caesius* O. Boettger, 1885, SMF 14485, Turkey, “Smyrna” [= Izmir], coll. O. Boettger ex Goldfuss, H = 17.9 mm. -- All figures scaled $\times 5$.

33332/1, Vil. Erzincan, Kemaliye, Muşaga village (= Kocaçimen 39.296°N, 38.54°E), 02.08.1987, leg. N. Kazancı, ex coll. Neubert.

Diagnosis. Shell large, protoconch dome-shaped, sculpture of fine irregularly arranged riblets, apertural rims slightly inclined, connected by a weak parietal callus.

Description. Shell large; protoconch of 2.5 whorls, smooth, dome-shaped; shell colour basically creamy whitish, with a few irregularly scattered brownish mottles; shell elongate conical with a semi-ovate aperture; whorls of the teleoconch almost flat, increasing regularly, suture of medium depth; surface of the teleoconch almost smooth, with a sculpture of fine irregularly arranged riblets on the teleoconch; apertural rims sharp, reinforced by a very weak labial callus, somewhat reflected; a shallow angularis indicated on the parietum, apertural rims slightly inclined, connected by a weak parietal callus; columellar rim of the aperture widely reflected; umbilicus slit-like open, elongate.

Measurements. Holotype: H = 20.96; D = 8.56; PH = 7.14; PD = 5.4; W = 8.5.

Etymology. This new species is dedicated to our dear friend, the late Dr. Margret Gosteli from the Natural History Museum Berne, Switzerland.

Distribution. This species is only known from a very small range in the area of Kemaliye.

Remarks and differential diagnosis. This new species is currently confined to *Rhabdoena* because of the overlap of conchological characters with other species of this subgenus. The new species differs from all other known species by its size (it is the largest species in the subgenus). The conchologically closest taxon is *R. cosensis* (Reeve, 1849) (Fig. 23), which has a more conical shell, a more mammillate protoconch, a more open umbilicus, and a much smaller last whorl. The other Turkish species, *R. armenica* (Nägele, 1903) (Fig. 22), is much smaller, has a widely open umbilicus, and a more rigid mode of ribbing. The latter species is known from two lots in the collection of SMF only, and has not been found back until now. The second lot in SMF (not illustrated here) is said to originate from Tokat (coll. Nägele). Probably, this species is a local endemic species with a restricted distribution area like *R. gostelii* sp. n.

Family Hygromiidae

Metafruticicola kizildagensis sp. n.


urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:FDBFEEA4-D4C8-40CB-A47D-9BB7B8D8780C

http://species-id.net/wiki/Metafruticicola_kizildagensis

Fig. 24

Type specimens. Holotype NMBE 32690; paratypes NMBE 32691/3, coll. Gümüş/5; Turkey, Vil. Isparta, Şarkikaraağaç, Kızıldağ, ca. 5 km SE of Şarkikaraağaç, 38.0403750°N, 31.3653850°E, 1500 m alt., 24.12.2005, leg. B. A. Gümüş.

Diagnosis. A large species of *Metafruticicola*, depressed white shell with a single brown spiral band below the periphery, last whorl bluntly angled, surface of teleoconch with irregular axial stripes, smooth, umbilicus open.




Figures 24–26. *Metafruticicola* spp. **24.** *Metafruticicola kizildagensis* sp. n., holotype NMBE 32690 Vil. Isparta, Şarkikaraağaç, Kızıldağ, ca. 5 km SE of Şarkikaraağaç, 38.0403750°N, 31.3653850°E, 1500 m alt., 24.12.2005, leg. B. A. Gümüş, D = 20.44 mm **25** *Metafruticicola dedegoelensis*, Vil. Isparta, Dedegöl Dağı, Yenişarbademli, Alma Uşağı Mevki, 2350 m alt., 26.05.2002, leg. B. A. Gümüş, D = 15.5 mm **26** *Metafruticicola oerstani*, Isparta, Barla Dağı, southern slope, 2000 m alt., 38.02°N 30.7°E, NMBE 23902. — All figures scaled $\times 2$.

Description. Protoconch of 2.25 whorl, sculptured by small radial riblets with interspersed pits, white to pale yellow; teleoconch of 4 whorls, basic colour white, with two partly fused brown spirals on the upper surface, and a single brown spiral band below the periphery; shell depressed, spire only slightly elevated, last whorl bluntly angled; surface of teleoconch with irregularly arranged axial stripes, smooth; last whorl only slightly descending below the shell's periphery; aperture depressed oval, reinforced by a weak labial callus, peristomial rim slightly reflecting over the umbilicus; umbilicus open, initially cylindrical, with the last whorl somewhat eccentrically increasing.

Measurements. Syntype: H = 10.8; D = 20.44; PH = 5.65; PD = 10.3; W = 6.25.

Etymology. This species is named after the Kızıldağ mountain NE of the city of Isparta.



Figures 27–28. ssp. Figure **27** *Assyriella thospitis thospitis*, holotype NNM 56804, Bitlis, Kermate, 10 km SW of Setek, 1550 m alt., May 1990, leg. Neuteboom, D = 37.6 mm **28** *Assyriella thospitis menkhorsti* ssp. n., holotype NMBE 33333, Bitlis, Kireçtaşı, quarry, 38.37°N, 42.1°E, 9.5.2011, D = 33.46 mm. — All figures scaled $\times 1.5$.

Distribution. This species is only known from its type locality. However, we assume that as is the case in the other *Metafruticicola* species mentioned above, this species may locally be present in the alpine to subalpine region of the inner Anatolian mountain chain.

Remarks and differential diagnosis. This species is considerably larger than the two species of *Metafruticicola*, *M. dedegoelensis* Hausdorf et al., 2004 (Fig. 25), and *M. oerstani* Hausdorf et al., 2004 (Fig. 26), which live nearby. The shell of *M. dedegoelensis* differs by its shell sculptured with strong ribs. The shell of *M. oerstani* is smaller, more depressed with short, bristle-like hairs on the teleoconch surface, which usually are lost in adult shells but still can be found on the umbilicus walls, and scattered hair scars on the teleoconch surface. Moreover, its umbilicus is wider and more perspective if compared to *M. kizildagensis* sp. n., which has no hairs nor hair scars and a narrower and more cylindrical umbilicus.

Family Helicidae

Assyriella thospitis menkhorsti ssp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:C94D7CB6-0483-4B41-BA38-0355A52AD6C8

http://species-id.net/wiki/Assyriella_thospitis_menkhorsti

Fig. 28

Type specimens. Holotype NMBE 33333, paratypes NMBE 16599/3, Turkey, Bitlis, Kireçtaşı, quarry, 38.37°N, 42.1°E, 9.5.2011, leg. & ex coll. Menkhorst; additional paratypes HMT/16.




Figure 29. Distribution map. ▲ *Vitrea gostelii* sp. n. ● *Turanena demirsoyi* sp. n. and *Rhabdoena gostelii* sp. n. ■ *Euchondrus paucidentatus* sp. n. ▼ *Metafruticicola kizildagensis* sp. n. ► *Assyriella thospitis menkhorsti* ssp. n.

Additional specimens examined. Bitlis 1.8 km NE. Kokarsu, 1723 m alt., 38.3942°N, 42.2685°E, 10.5.2011, HMT/6.

Diagnosis. Stronge rib sculpture on the teleoconch, presence of greenish-yellowish periostracum and a columellar ridge on the basal apertural rim.

Description. Large shells, depressed to slightly elevated spire; protoconch with 1.5 flat and slightly ribbed whorls; last whorl strongly descending at the aperture; teleoconch sculpture of regular axial riblets; basic shell colour greenish to yellowish due to the periostracum; spiral bands bluish; aperture cross-oval, reinforced by a labial callus, moderately reflected; umbilicus slit-like open to sometimes closed; columellar labial callus or ridge present.

Measurements. Holotype: H = 19.8; D = 33.46; PH = 7.2; PD = 21.6; W = 4.75.

Etymology. This new subspecies is named in honor of Dipl. Ing. H. P. M. G. Menkhorst, a keen malacologist, to acknowledge his deep interested in and outstanding contributions to the knowledge of the biodiversity of the Turkish malacofauna.

Distribution. *Assyriella thospitis menkhorsti* ssp. n. lives in a small area southeast to the range of the nominotypical subspecies (Schütt and Subai 1996: 118, 141).

Remarks and differential diagnosis. This subspecies differs from the nominotypical subspecies in several character states (Fig. 27). The main character states are the stronger rib sculpture on the teleoconch, the bluish spiral bands (brown in *A. t. thospitis* Schütt & Subai, 1996), the greenish-yellowish periostracum (almost transparent and colourless in *A. t. thospitis*), the usually slit-like umbilicus (always closed and thickly calloused in *A. t. thospitis*), presence of a ± well developed columellar ridge on the basal apertural rim.

Acknowledgement

For access to the collection of the Research Institute Frankfurt we are very grateful to Dr Ronald Janssen (SMF, Frankfurt). Hannes Baur, Dr. Charles Huber and Dr. Werner Marggi (all NMBE, Bern) are here acknowledged for photographic support and help during our field work in 2006. We are very grateful to Prof. Dr. M. Z. Yıldırım, Mehmet Akif Ersoy University (Burdur), for his support during our visit to Turkey in 2006. We are very grateful to Prof. Dr. R. A. Bank, who generously shared his views on the Chondrulini with us and allowed us to use his ideas. Dr. B. A. Gümüş would like to thank to Prof. Dr. Ali Demirsoy for his guidance and great support not only for herself but also for many other Turkish biologists to find their way into the academic world, and for his efforts in improvement of biology in Turkey. Specimens have been collected under contract No 07 March 2006/B.30.2.SDÜ.0.70.00.301-1/2520.

References

- Bank RA (1985) Eine neue Enide von der griechischen Insel Mytilini (Gastropoda: Pupillacea). *Heldia* 1 (2): 41–44.

- Bank RA (1988) Die Molluskenfauna der griechischen Insel Lesbos (= Mytilini). *Basteria* 52: 61–76.
- Bank RA, Hovestadt AD (1991) Notes on Enidae, 3: Revision of the Enidae of Cyprus (Gastropoda: Pupilloidea). *Schriften zur Malakozoologie* 4: 1–25, Taf. 1–4; Cismar.
- Bank RA, Menkhorst HPMG (1992) Notizen zur Familie Enidae, 4. Revision der griechischen Arten der Gattungen *Ena*, *Zebrina*, *Napaeopsis* und *Turanena* (Gastropoda Pulmonata: Pupilloidea). *Basteria* 56: 105–158.
- Boettger O (1883) Malakozoologische Mittheilungen. II. Binnenconchylien aus Syrien. Bericht über die Thätigkeit des Offenbacher Vereins für Naturkunde 22/23: 162–176, Taf. 1.
- Bourguignat JR (1855) Aménités malacologiques, XXIX. Catalogue des Coquilles recueillies en Crimée et dans l'Empire ottoman. *Revue et Magasin de Zoologie*, Paris (2) 7 (12): 556–574. [= Separatum (complete print) 1 [1856]: 107–129].
- Forcart L (1940) Monographie der türkischen Enidae (Moll., Pulm.). *Verhandlungen der naturforschenden Gesellschaft Basel* 51: 202.
- Gittenberger E, Menkhorst HPMG (1991) The Turkish Enidae: the genus *Buliminus* Beck (Gastropoda Pulmonata: Pupillacea). *Basteria* 55 (1–3): 73–88.
- Gittenberger E, Menkhorst HPMG (1993) Die türkischen Enidae: die Gattung *Turanena* Lindholm (Pulmonata: Pupillacea). *Archiv für Molluskenkunde*, 122 (Zilch-Festschr.): 71–87, Frankfurt a. M.
- Gümüş BA (2006) Kaz Dağı (İda) Milli Parkı Malakofaunası (The Malacofauna of Kaz Dağı National Park). In: Proceedings. II. Ulusal Kazdağları Sempozyumu (2nd National Kazdağları Symposium), Onsekiz Mart Uni., Çanakkale, Türkiye, 22–25 June 2006, 65–67.
- Haas G (1955) Palestinian species and races of *Jaminia* Risso (Mollusca, Gastropoda). *Fieldiana, Zoology* 37: 415–443.
- Hausdorf B (2001) The genus *Ena* in Turkey, with remarks on its phylogenetic relationships (Gastropoda: Buliminidae). *Journal of Natural History* 35 (11): 1627–1638. doi: 10.1080/002229301317092360
- Hausdorf B, Gümüş BA, Yıldırım MZ (2004) Two new *Metafruticicola* species from the Taurus Mountains in Turkey (Gastropoda: Hygromiidae). *Archiv für Molluskenkunde*, Frankfurt am Main, 133 (1/2): 167–171.
- Heller J (2009) Land snails of the land of Israel – Natural history and a field guide, 360 pp.
- Kobelt W (1893) In: Rossmässler EA, *Iconographie der Land- & Süßwasser- Mollusken mit vorzüglicher Berücksichtigung der europäischen noch nicht abgebildeten Arten*, (2) 6 (1/6): 1–102. Taf. 151–180.
- Örstan A, Yıldırım MZ (2004) *Borlumastus* gen. Nov. (Gastropoda, Pulmonata, Enidae), a new land snail genus from Turkey. *Basteria*, Leiden, 68 (4/6): 125–129.
- Pfeiffer L (1847) Diagnosen neuer von Frivaldsky gesammelter Landschnecken. *Zeitschrift für Malakozoologie* 4 (12): 191–192.
- Riedel A (1959) Zonitidae (Gastropoda) zebrane w Grecji przez dra K. Lindberga. *Annales Zoologici* 18: 89–117.
- Riedel A (1960) Rodzaj *Lindbergia* Riedel (Gastropoda, Zonitidae) i uwagi o *Vitrea illyrica* (A. J. Wagner). *Annales Zoologici* 18: 333–346.

- Schileyko AA (1998) Treatise on recent terrestrial Pulmonate Molluscs. Part 2. Ruthenica, Moscow, Supplement 2: 127–261.
- Schütt H, Subai P (1996) Revision der Gattung *Assyriella* P. Hesse 1908 (Gastropoda: Pulmonata: Helicidae: Helicinae). Archiv für Molluskenkunde, Frankfurt a. M 125 (1/2): 117–161.
- Prof. Dr. Ali Demirsoy Doğa Tarihi Müzesi, Erzincan Üniversitesi, Kemaliye Hacı Ali Akın Meslek Yüksek Okulu: <http://kemaliyemyo.erkincan.edu.tr/menuislem.php?x=47>

Contribution to knowledge of the genus *Chydaeus* in Xizang Autonomous Region [Tibet] and Yunnan Province, China (Coleoptera, Carabidae, Harpalini)

Boris M. Kataev^{1,†}, Hongbin Liang^{2,‡}, David H. Kavanaugh^{3,§}

1 Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Universitetskaya nab. 1, St. Petersburg 199034, Russia

2 Key Laboratory of Zoological Systematics and Evolution, Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

3 Department of Entomology, California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, California 94118 USA

† [urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:703FB1C9-4453-4623-9D5E-D771B47EC017](https://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:703FB1C9-4453-4623-9D5E-D771B47EC017)

‡ [urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:F49ACA4C-E387-489C-8890-F9890E028934](https://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:F49ACA4C-E387-489C-8890-F9890E028934)

§ [urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:BB6CA906-35B2-4AF0-824C-93A9E7CEFC40](https://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:BB6CA906-35B2-4AF0-824C-93A9E7CEFC40)

Corresponding author: Hongbin Liang (lianghb@ioz.ac.cn)

Academic editor: T. Erwin | Received 1 November 2011 | Accepted 30 January 2012 | Published 24 February 2012

[urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:1C0AE742-AF19-4DCE-8A6E-86B6D72ECCB2](https://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:1C0AE742-AF19-4DCE-8A6E-86B6D72ECCB2)

Citation: Kataev BM, Liang H, Kavanaugh DH (2012) Contribution to knowledge of the genus *Chydaeus* in Xizang Autonomous Region [Tibet] and Yunnan Province, China (Coleoptera, Carabidae, Harpalini). ZooKeys 171: 39–92. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.171.2306

Abstract

Five new species of the genus *Chydaeus* Chaudoir, 1854 are described from China: *C. fugongensis* **sp. n.** (Shibali, Fugong County, Yunnan Province), *C. gutangensis* **sp. n.** (Gutang, Medog County, Xizang Autonomous Region [Tibet]), *C. hanmiensis* **sp. n.** (Hanmi, Medog County, Xizang Autonomous Region [Tibet]), *C. asetosus* **sp. n.** (NE of Fugong, Yunnan Province), and *C. baoshanensis* **sp. n.** (N of Baoshan, Yunnan Province). Taxonomic and faunistic notes on eleven other species occurring in Xizang and Yunnan are also provided. *Chydaeus shunichii* Ito, 2006 is re-described, based on specimens from Lushui County, Yunnan. *Chydaeus kumei* Ito, 1992 is treated as a subspecies of *C. andrewesi* Schaubberger, 1932 [NEW STATUS]. The taxonomic status of *C. guangxiensis* Ito, 2006 is discussed. The following taxa are recorded from China for the first time: *C. obtusicollis* Schaubberger, 1932 (Xizang and Yunnan), *C. malaisei* Kataev & Schmidt, 2006 (Yunnan), *C. semenowi* (Tschitschérine, 1899) (Xizang and Yunnan), *C. andrewesi andrewesi* Schaubberger, 1932 (Xizang and Yunnan), *C. andrewesi kumei* Ito (Yunnan), *C. bedeli interjectus* Kataev & Schmidt, 2002 (Xizang), and *C. bedeli vietnamensis* Kataev & Schmidt, 2002 (Yunnan).

Keywords

Coleoptera, Carabidae, Harpalini, *Chydaeus*, China, Xizang, Yunnan, Gaoligong Shan, taxonomy, distribution, new species

Introduction

Genus *Chydaeus* Chaudoir, 1854 is a moderately diverse group of anisodactyline species, members of which are distinctly recognizable in having the dentate mentum completely fused with the submentum. The genus includes more than 40 species distributed mainly over mountainous regions of southeastern Asia, from the Himalayas and China to the Sunda Isles; however, three species are known from New Guinea (Baehr 2007) and one from Australia (Baehr 2004). Many species of *Chydaeus* are apterous and have very restricted geographical distributions. Some species, including ones with both fully-winged and brachypterous adults, demonstrate considerable geographical variation in their morphology, and several of them are treated as polytypic, with between two and five subspecies each. No comprehensive revision of this genus has been published since Schauburger's work (1934b) dealing with the 11 species known at that time. *Chydaeus* species occurring in the Himalayan region were studied by Kataev and Schmidt (2002, 2006), who assigned these species to several species groups based mainly on the male genitalic structure and metacoxal and elytral chaetotaxy. The *Chydaeus* fauna of China is still inadequately known. Thirteen species have been described or recorded from China (three of them only from Taiwan), but many species in the region remain undescribed.

The present paper provides descriptions for five new species from Xizang Autonomous Region (Tibet) and Yunnan Province, as well as taxonomic and faunistic notes on some previously described species also occurring in this region. These species belong to seven species groups, two of them (the *kasaharai* and *gutangensis* groups) are established in this paper. The order of presentation of species treatments here follows that of Kataev and Schmidt (2002, 2006), with new species arranged according to likely species group relationships. Because additional new species of *Chydaeus* undoubtedly remain to be discovered and described from inadequately sampled portions of the study area, presentation of a key to species would be of dubious value at this time.

Material and methods

As in our preceding papers (Kataev and Liang 2004, 2005, 2007), this study is based mainly on examination of the collections of the Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, but also on material collected during the period 1998–2007 through a joint project of the Institute of Zoology (Beijing) and the Kunming Institute of Zoology (Yunnan) of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and the California Academy

of Sciences (San Francisco) for a biodiversity inventory of the Gaoligong Shan (Mountains) of western Yunnan Province.

For the present study, we examined a total of 1,666 specimens of *Chydaeus* species. The following abbreviations are used for the depositories of the specimens examined:

CAS	California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, U.S.A
cBL&KB	Coll. I. Belousov & I. Kabak (St. Petersburg, Russia)
cFED	Coll. D. Fedorenko (Moscow, Russia)
cSCH	Coll. J. Schmidt (Marburg, Germany)
cWR	Coll. D.W. Wrase (Berlin, Germany)
IOZ	Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
ZIN	Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia.

Measurements were taken as follows: body length, measured from the anterior margin of the clypeus to the elytral apex; width of head, measured as the maximum linear distance across the head, including the compound eyes (HWmax), and as the minimum linear distance across the neck constriction just behind the eyes (HWmin); length of pronotum (PL), measured along its median line; length of elytra (EL), measured from the basal border in the scutellar region to the apex of the sutural angle; maximum width of pronotum (PWmax) and of elytra (EW), both measured at their broadest point; minimum width of pronotum (PWmin), measured at its narrowest point near the hind angles; length and width of metepisterna, measured along their inner and anterior margins, respectively.


Taxonomy

Chydaeus shunichii Ito, 2006

http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_shunichii

Figs 1–9, 56, 64–65

Material examined. A total of 15 specimens (6 males and 9 females, including 2 males and 5 females in CAS, 3 males and 4 females in IOZ, and 1 male in ZIN) were examined from the following localities: **CHINA, Yunnan Province.** *Lushui County*: 1 male, Gaoligongshan, Nujiang Pref., Pianma Yakou, 3200 m, 25°54.4'N 98°41.0'E, 11.X.1998, Stop 98-113A, D.H. Kavanaugh, C.E. Griswold, C. Ferraris & C.-L. Long leg. (IOZ); 3 females, Pianma, Fengxue Yakou, roadside, 25.97244°N, 98.68376°E, 3150 m, 11.V.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (CAS, IOZ); 3 males, 4 females, Luzhang, Fengxue Yakou, roadside, 25.97347°N, 98.68780°E, 3130 m, 17.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ, ZIN); 1 female, same data, but 25.97360°N, 98.68905°E, 3120 m, 17.V.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 1 female, same data, but Y.H. San leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Luzhang, Fengxue Yakou, road, 25.97410°N, 98.67716°E, 3120 m,



Figures 1–9. *Chydaeus shunichii* Ito (Pianma Yakou). **1** Pronotum **2** Left metacoxa (m. p. = medial setigerous pore, p.-m. p. = postero-medial setigerous pore) **3** Left metepisternum. **4** Right hemisternite and stylus, ventral view **5** Stylus, lateral view **6** Terminal lamella of median lobe, dorsal view **7** Median lobe, left lateral view **8** Median lobe, dorsal view **9** Middle portion of median lobe, right lateral view. Scale lines: A = 1.0 mm (Fig. 1), B = 0.5 mm (Fig. 6), C = 1.0 mm (Figs 2–5, 7–9).

18.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS); 1 male, Luzhang, Yaojiaping, roadside, 25.97526°N, 98.71000°E, 2513 m, 19.V.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ).

Re-description (based on the specimens from Lushui County, Yunnan). Size: Body length 6.9–8.0 mm, width 3.5–3.9 mm.

Color: Body black, slightly shiny on dorsum, labrum and bases of mandibles paler, reddish brown in some specimens. Antennae brown, with antennomere 1 more or less infuscated. Palpi yellowish brown. Legs dark brown to black, with tibiae basally and tarsi brown.

Microsculpture: Head with dorsal microsculpture visible throughout, comprised of very fine, more or less isodiametric meshes. Pronotum with microsculpture clearly visible throughout, comprised of distinct isodiametric meshes. Elytra with microsculpture developed throughout, comprised of distinct, slightly transverse meshes.

Head: Comparatively large ($HW_{max}/PW_{max} = 0.69\text{--}0.72$ and $HW_{min}/PW_{max} = 0.59\text{--}0.61$), impunctate, with small and moderately convex eyes; tempora flat, sloped to neck. Clypeus slightly concave and with slightly convex bead along anterior margin. Frontal suture distinct, slightly impressed. Frontal foveae small, faintly deepened;

clypeo-ocular prolongations absent. Supraorbital setae situated slightly behind hind margins of eyes. . Antennae short, not extended to pronotal basal margin, with antennomeres 5 to 7 each 1.4–1.6 times as long as wide. Labrum deeply emarginate apically. Left mandible truncate at apex. Ligular sclerite more or less parallel-sided, with apex straight and apical angles slightly extended laterad.

Pronotum (Fig. 1): Markedly transverse ($PW_{max}/PL = 1.55\text{--}1.63$), narrowed basad ($PW_{max}/PW_{min} = 1.22\text{--}1.30$) and widest in anterior third. Sides distinctly rounded in anterior two-thirds and very slightly rounded, almost rectilinearly convergent basad in posterior third, with one lateral seta on each side in apical third. Apical margin arcuately emarginate, bordered only laterally. Basal margin nearly straight, slightly oblique at basal angles, distinctly bordered throughout, slightly wider than apical margin and slightly shorter than elytral base between humeral angles. Apical angles slightly less than 90° , produced anteriorly and narrowly rounded at apices. Basal angles obtuse, sharp at apices, each with a small, obtuse denticle extended laterad. Pronotal disc moderately convex, slightly depressed basally, slightly sloped to sides and more abruptly sloped to apical angles. Lateral depressions present as narrow furrows behind apical angles, slightly widened behind lateral setigerous pore, and either extended basad to pronotal base or fused in basal quarter with large latero-basal depressions. Basal foveae distinct within latero-basal depressions, comparatively small, somewhat flat or slightly deepened. Areas between basal foveae and between basal foveae and lateral depressions slightly convex in most specimens. Pronotal surface coarsely and irregularly punctate along base and in lateral depressions, finer and sparser punctation present also along apical margin.

Elytra: Oval, markedly rounded at sides, wide ($EL/EW = 1.20\text{--}1.29$, $EL/PL = 2.58\text{--}2.69$, $EW/PW_{max} = 1.32\text{--}1.37$), widest approximately at middle, not fused along suture. Humeri widely rounded at apices, each with indistinct denticle hardly visible from behind; sides just behind humeral angles markedly rounded. Subapical sinuations present, shallow. Sutural angles not separated from each other, less than 90° , with apices blunt in both sexes. Basal borders faintly sinuate, joined with lateral margin at a markedly obtuse angle. Striae smooth, impunctate, faintly impressed along entire length. Parascutellar striae present, short, basal setigerous pores present; in some specimens, distal part of parascutellar stria connected with first (sutural) stria. Intervals faintly convex along entire length, impunctate, only slightly narrowed toward apices. Umbilicate setal series widely interrupted at middle, with anterior group comprised of 7 or 8 setigerous pores and posterior group comprised of 8 or 9 such pores.

Hindwings: Reduced to small scales.

Venter: Prosternum with scattered and short setae apically. Prosternal medial process not projected posteriorly. Proepisterna smooth, impunctate. Metepisterna (Fig. 3) distinctly narrowed posteriorly, approximately as wide as long. Sternum VII (anal) in both sexes with two pairs of setae along apical margin and widely rounded at apex. Tergum VII (anal) of female rounded at apex.

Legs: Metacoxae (Fig. 2) with additional postero-medial setigerous pore and, in most specimens, with additional medial setigerous pore at least on one side. Metafem-

ora with two setae along posterior margin. Protibiae with one ventroapical spine, the outer margin in both sexes with three or four uniform preapical spines. Tarsi glabrous dorsally, at most with a few setae on enlarged male protarsomeres; tarsomere 5 with three pairs of lateroventral setae. Metatarsi in both sexes notably shorter than minimum linear distance across neck constriction just behind eyes; tarsomere 1 longer than tarsomere 2 and shorter than tarsomeres 2+3. In males, protarsi moderately enlarged, with tarsomere 1 about as long as wide, tarsomeres 2 to 4 distinctly wider than long and tarsomeres 1 to 4 with adhesive vestiture ventrally (only apically on tarsomere 1); mesotarsi comparatively slightly enlarged, with tarsomere 1 slightly longer than wide, tarsomere 2 approximately as long as wide, tarsomere 3 slightly wider than long, tarsomere 4 much smaller than tarsomeres 2 and 3 and deeply concave apically; mesotarsomeres 2 and 3 with adhesive vestiture ventrally.

Female genitalia (Figs 4–5): Apical stylocere comparatively slightly curved.

Aedeagus (Figs 6–9): Median lobe markedly widened medially (dorsal aspect), markedly bent ventrad behind basal bulb and with terminal lamella slightly curved dorsad (lateral aspect), its ventral margin nearly straight medially. Terminal lamella (Fig. 6) flat, triangular in dorsal aspect, slightly wider than long, narrowly rounded at apex and without any apical capitulum. Apical orifice in dorsal position, prolonged to basal bulb. Internal sac with several spiny patches.

Distribution. Fig. 56. This species is known only from the Gaoligong Shan in Lushui and Tengchong counties, western Yunnan Province, China, at elevations of 2500–3200 m.

Habitat. Specimens were collected in roadside and road cut open areas, hidden under stones and other debris during daylight hours and active on the soil surface at night (Figs 64–65).

Remarks. *Chydaeus shunichii* was originally described on the basis of one male from “Dakei, alt. 2430–2440 m, Mts. Gaoligongshan, Tengchong Xian, Yunnan” (Ito 2006). The specimens from Lushui County share with the holotype most of the characters listed by Ito in the original description, but they differ slightly in the male genitalia. The apical part of the median lobe is less markedly curved dorsad than that illustrated by Ito (2006) and with a more acute, unbordered apex. The ventral side of the median lobe is nearly straight medially in lateral aspect, and the internal sac possesses the very characteristic spiny armature, without “a peg-shaped sclerite” mentioned by Ito (2006) for the holotype. In addition, there are also differences in several characters of external morphology. In the specimens from Lushui County, the head is smooth (“sparsely and coarsely punctate and transverse rugosities on frons” in the holotype), the pronotal dorsal microsculpture consists of the isodiametric meshes (“consisting of transverse meshes” in the holotype), the elytra have seven to eight setigerous pores in anterior group of the umbilicate setal series (five in the holotype), and only mesotarsomeres 2 and 3 in males have adhesive vestiture ventrally (“mid tarsi of male bearing spongy adhesive hairs on ventral surface of 2nd to 4th segments” in the holotype). One of us [HBL] was able to examine the holotype of *C. shunichii* at Ito’s home (Kawanishi City, Japan) and found that at least the aedeagus of the holotype was described and il-

lustrated inaccurately by Ito (2006) because it is identical to that of the specimens from Lushui County as described above. The extent to which specimens of *C. shunichii* from Lushui County actually differ morphologically from those from Tengchong County requires further study based on additional material from Tengchong.

Chydaeus shunichii members are similar in habitus and male genitalia to those of *C. kasaharai* Ito, 2002, which was described from Dashennongjia Mountain in the eastern part of the Daba Shan (western Hubei Province). However, in members of the latter species, the head and elytra are punctate at least laterally, the basal borders of the elytra are markedly sinuate laterally and form with lateral margin of elytra a sharp, nearly rectangular angle, the elytral striae are distinctly crenulate, the tarsi are pubescent dorsally, and the median lobe of the aedeagus is narrower, with much longer terminal lamella and with only one narrow, curved spiny patch in the internal sac apically. These two species (*C. shunichii* and *C. kasaharai*) are distinct from other known species of *Chydaeus* in their morphology and, in our opinion, form a natural species group named here the *kasaharai* group. The main distinctive characters of members of this group are: the wide body, the elytra with comparatively short parascutellar stria and with basal parascutellar setigerous pore present, the umbilicate setal series of elytra usually with a more or less wide gap medially, rarely continuous, the metepisterna slightly wider than long or approximately as wide as long, and the metacoxae with a posterolateral setigerous pore and (in most specimens) additional medial setigerous pores. Members of the *kasaharai* group are very similar in these characters to those of the *irvinei* group (sensu Kataev and Schmidt 2002, 2006), but the latter are distinguished from members of the *kasaharai* group in having elytra without a parascutellar setigerous pore and without a parascutellar stria (the latter present as only a rudiment in a few specimens).

***Chydaeus fugongensis* Kataev & Kavanaugh, sp. n.**

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:E9FE9140-4A0A-4A14-AADB-EF636C7CE0F6

http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_fugongensis


Figs 10–15, 51, 56, 66

Type material. Holotype, a male, deposited in IOZ, labeled: “CHINA, Yunnan, Fugong Co., Lishadi town, Shibali, 6 km up, roadside, 27.17628°N 98.74167°E, 2920 m, 2.V.2004, H.B. Liang & X.Y. Li leg”.

Description (male holotype only). Dorsal habitus as in Fig. 51. Size: Body length 8.2 mm, width 3.8 mm.

Color: Body black, shiny on dorsum; mandibles basally, pronotum at basal angles and elytral epipleura slightly paler, reddish black. Antennae brown, with antennomeres 3 and 4 infuscated. Palpi light brown. Tarsi dark brown.

Microsculpture: Head with dorsal microsculpture comprised of fine isodiametric meshes, visible throughout, except effaced on frons and vertex. Pronotum with microsculpture visible throughout, comprised of fine isodiametric meshes basally and



Figures 10–15. *Chydaeus fugongensis* sp. n. (holotype) **10** Pronotum **11** Left metepisternum **12** Terminal lamella of median lobe, dorsal view **13** Median lobe, left lateral view **14** Median lobe, dorsal view **15** Middle portion of median lobe, right lateral view. Scale lines: A = 1.0 mm (Fig. 10), B = 0.5 mm (Fig. 12), C = 1.0 mm (Figs 11, 13–15).

laterally and of slightly transverse, nearly effaced meshes on remaining surface. Elytra with microsculpture distinct throughout, comprised of fine, slightly transverse meshes.

Head: Comparatively large ($HW_{max}/PW_{max} = 0.73$ and $HW_{min}/PW_{max} = 0.63$), covered with micropunctures and very fine wrinkles; tempora slightly convex, almost flat, sloped to neck. Clypeus slightly concave and with slightly convex bead apically. Frontal suture distinct, slightly impressed. Frontal foveae small, oval, slightly deepened; clypeo-ocular prolongations absent. Supraorbital setae situated just behind hind margins of eyes. Eyes small and moderately convex. Antennae slightly extended beyond pronotal basal margin, with antennomeres 5 to 7 each about 1.6 times as long as wide. Labrum distinctly emarginate apically. Left mandible truncate at apex. Ligular sclerite nearly parallel-sided, straight at apex.

Pronotum (Fig. 10): Markedly transverse ($PW_{max}/PL = 1.64$), narrowed basad ($PW_{max}/PW_{min} = 1.26$) and widest before middle. Sides rounded in anterior half and very faintly sinuate in basal half, with one lateral setigerous pore on each side before middle. Apical margin arcuately emarginate, bordered only laterally. Basal margin very broadly emarginate, almost straight, distinctly bordered throughout, slightly wider than apical margin and slightly narrower than elytral base between humeral angles. Apical angles slightly less than 90° , extended antierad and narrowly rounded at apices. Basal angles slightly more than 90° , sharp at apices, each with a tiny denticle produced laterad. Pronotal disc moderately convex, slightly depressed basally, slightly sloped to sides

and more abruptly sloped to apical angles. Lateral depressions present as narrow furrows behind apical angles, slightly widened behind lateral setigerous pore, and fused in basal quarter with large laterobasal depressions. Basal foveae elongate, moderately deep. Areas between basal foveae and between basal foveae and lateral depressions slightly convex. Pronotal surface coarsely and irregularly punctate along base and in lateral depressions, finer and sparser punctation present along apical margin and in central portion of disc.

Elytra: Oval, rounded at sides, moderately wide ($EL/EW = 1.34$, $EL/PL = 2.83$, $EW/PW_{max} = 1.29$), widest approximately at middle, not fused along suture. Humeri slightly prominent, widely rounded at apices, each with indistinct denticle visible only from behind; sides just behind humeral angles markedly rounded. Subapical sinuations shallow. Sutural angles not separated from each other, less than 90° , blunt at apices. Basal borders slightly sinuate, joined with lateral margin at very obtuse angle. Striae impunctate, faintly impressed along entire length. Parascutellar striae present, short, with basal setigerous pores present. Intervals slightly convex, almost flat, impunctate. Umbilicate setal series widely interrupted at middle, with anterior group comprised of six setigerous pores and posterior group comprised of seven setigerous pores on right side and of nine setigerous pores on left side.

Hindwings: Reduced to small scales.

Venter: Prosternum covered with scattered and very short setae. Prosternal medial process not projected posteriad. Proepisterna smooth, impunctate. Metepisterna (Fig. 11) distinctly narrowed posteriad, slightly wider than long. Sternum VII (anal) in male with two pairs of setae along apical margin and slightly truncate at apex.

Legs: Metacoxae with additional posteromedial setigerous pore and with one or two additional medial setigerous pores. Metafemora with two setae along posterior margin. Protibiae with one ventroapical spine, the outer margin with one stouter spine and three slenderer spines apically. Tarsi glabrous dorsally, tarsomere 5 with three or four pairs of lateroventral setae. Metatarsi approximately equal to minimum linear distance across neck constriction just behind eyes; tarsomere 1 distinctly longer than tarsomere 2 and slightly shorter than tarsomeres 2+3. Protarsi (in male) moderately enlarged, with tarsomere 1 slightly longer than wide, tarsomeres 2 slightly wider than long, tarsomeres 3 and 4 distinctly wider than long and tarsomeres 1 to 4 with adhesive vestiture ventrally (only apically on tarsomere 1); mesotarsi comparatively slightly enlarged, with tarsomere 1 (not enlarged) distinctly longer than wide, tarsomere 2 slightly longer than wide, tarsomere 3 slightly wider than long, tarsomere 4 much smaller than tarsomeres 2 and 3 and deeply concave apically; mesotarsomeres 2 and 3 with adhesive vestiture ventrally.

Aedeagus (Figs 12–15): Median lobe moderately widened medially (dorsal aspect), bent ventrad behind basal bulb and with terminal lamella slightly curved dorsad (lateral aspect) and with ventral margin nearly straight medially. Terminal lamella (Fig. 12) flat, in dorsal aspect triangular, slightly longer than wide, narrowly rounded at apex and without any apical capitulum. Apical orifice in dorsal position, prolonged to basal bulb. Internal sac with several spiny patches.

Distribution. Fig. 56. This new species is known only from the type locality (Shibali, Lishadi town, Fugong County) in the northern part of Gaoligong Shan, northwestern Yunnan Province, China.

Habitat. The holotype specimen was collected in a roadside open area hidden under a stone (Fig. 66).

Specific epithet. The specific epithet refers to Fugong, the county in Yunnan Province, where the type specimen was collected.

Remarks. Like *C. shunichii* re-described above, *C. fugongensis* belongs to the *kasaharai* group because the holotype specimen has all the distinctive features of that group, namely: elytra with comparatively short parascutellar striae and with basal setigerous pores, the umbilicate setal series with wide gap medially, metepisterna slightly wider than long, and metacoxae with a posterolateral setigerous pore and additional medial setigerous pores. Within this group, the single known *C. fugongensis* male is most similar to those of *C. shunichii*, differing from them in the following characters: body, particularly the elytra, more elongate, pronotal sides slightly sinuate in basal half, pronotal microsculpture comprised of fine isodiametric meshes basally and laterally and of slightly transverse and nearly effaced meshes on the remaining surface, sternum VII (anal) slightly truncate at apex, median lobe of the aedeagus narrower, less markedly curved ventrad and with a longer terminal lamella, and the internal sac with characteristic armature. The *C. fugongensis* male is similar to those *C. kasaharai* in the shape of the median lobe, but differs from them in having impunctate elytra with much wider inner humeral angles (formed by the junction of the basal border and lateral elytral margin) and dorsally glabrous tarsi, and in the structure of the internal sac of the aedeagus. In addition, the basal bulb of the median lobe in *C. fugongensis* is relatively smaller than that in *C. kasaharai* males.

***Chydaeus gutangensis* Kataev & Liang, sp. n.**

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:D7C76382-D513-47C5-8240-D09F599D2965

http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_gutangensis


Figs 16–22, 52, 56

Type material. Holotype, a male, deposited in IOZ, labeled: “CHINA, Xizang Autonomous Region, Medog Co., Gutang Township, 2000 m, 16.X.1982, Han Yinheng leg.”

Description (male). Dorsal habitus as in Fig. 52. Size: Body length 9.3 mm, width 4.0 mm.

Color: Body black, slightly shiny on dorsum, almost matte due to fine micropunctuation and distinct, granulate microsculpture; two penultimate abdominal sterna V and VI along posterior margin and sternum VII (anal) in apical portion clearly paler, light brown. Antennae, palpi, and legs light brown, femora slightly infuscated.

Microsculpture: Head with dorsal microsculpture distinct throughout, comprised of very distinct, isodiametric, almost granulate meshes. Pronotum with microsculpture distinct throughout, comprised of distinct isodiametric and slightly transverse meshes.



Figures 16–22. *Chydacus gutangensis* sp. n. (holotype) **16** Pronotum **17** Left metepisternum **18** Ventral side of prosternum, right lateral view **19** Left metacoxa (p.-m. p. = postero-medial setigerous pore) **20** Terminal lamella of median lobe, dorsal view **21** Median lobe, left lateral view. **22** Median lobe, dorsal view. Scale lines: **A** = 1.0 mm (Fig. 16), **B** = 0.5 mm (Fig. 20), **C** = 1.0 mm (Figs 17–19, 21–22).

Elytra with microsculpture distinct throughout, comprised of isodiametric meshes, granulate on three lateral intervals.

Head: Comparatively large ($HW_{max}/PW_{max} = 0.70$ and $HW_{min}/PW_{max} = 0.59$), covered with micropunctures and very fine wrinkles on dorsum; tempora short, slightly convex. Clypeus slightly depressed laterally and apically, with apical margin slightly concave and unbordered. Frontal suture superficial. Frontal foveae moderately wide and shallow, clypeo-ocular prolongations short and faintly impressed. Supraorbital setae situated at level of hind margins of eyes. Eyes slightly convex. Antennae short [apical antennomeres missing], with antennomeres 5 to 7 each about 1.8–2.0 times as long as wide. Labrum distinctly emarginate apically. Left mandible blunt at apex. Apex of ligular sclerite concave, with apical angles slightly projected laterally.

Pronotum (Fig. 16): Relatively long ($PW_{max}/PL = 1.36$), distinctly narrowed basad ($PW_{max}/PW_{min} = 1.27$) and widest in anterior third. Sides gently rounded anteriorly, moderately sinuate in basal half and slightly divergent just before base; each side with one lateral setigerous pore in anterior third. Apical margin almost straight, bordered only near apical angles. Basal margin slightly emarginate medially, slightly

oblique laterally, faintly bordered throughout, very slightly wider than apical margin and slightly narrower than elytral base between humeral angles. Apical angles acute, distinctly but not markedly produced anteriorly. Basal angles almost 90°, with sharp apices, not denticulate. Pronotal disc moderately convex, not depressed basally, moderately sloped to sides and abruptly sloped to apical angles. Lateral depressions narrow anteriorly, slightly widened behind middle and indistinct at basal angles. Basal foveae wide and very shallow. Area at basal angles flat. Pronotal surface throughout densely micropunctate and covered with very fine wrinkles; laterobasal areas slightly more coarsely punctate.

Elytra: Oval, gradually rounded at sides, moderately wide ($EL/EW = 1.40$, $EL/PL = 2.38$, $EW/PW_{max} = 1.25$), widest at middle and fused along suture. Humeri rounded, without denticles at apices. Subapical sinuations very shallow. Sutural angles acute, sharp at apices, not separated from each other. Basal borders markedly sinuate, joined with lateral margin at an acute angle. Striae superficial, very fine, impunctate, slightly crenulate; inner striae nearly effaced at apex. Parascutellar striae rudimentary, each with a basal setigerous pore. Intervals absolutely flat, impunctate. Umbilicate setal series without distinct gap medially.

Hindwings: Reduced to small scales.

Venter: Prosternum finely punctate and pubescent. Medial prosternal process prominent and projected posteriorly (Fig. 18). Proepisterna smooth. Metepisterna (Fig. 17) distinctly wider than long and slightly narrowed posteriorly. Sternum VII (anal) with two pairs of setae along apical margin, rounded at apex.

Legs: Metacoxae (Fig. 19) with posteromedial setigerous pore and without additional, setigerous or non-setigerous foveae medially. Metafemora with two setae along posterior margin. Protibiae with one preapical spine at outer distal margin and one ventroapical spine. Tarsi distinctly setose dorsally, tarsomere 5 with three pairs of lateroventral setae. Metatarsi slender, slightly longer than maximum width of head, with tarsomere 1 much longer than tarsomere 2, but distinctly shorter than tarsomeres 2+3. Male protarsi markedly enlarged (tarsomeres 2 and 3 much wider than long and tarsomeres 1 to 4 with adhesive vestiture ventrally); mesotarsi moderately enlarged (tarsomere 1 narrow, distinctly longer than wide and with only a pair of adhesive scales apically; tarsomere 2 about 1.2 times as long as wide, with adhesive vestiture ventrally; tarsomere 3 approximately as long as wide, with adhesive vestiture ventrally; and tarsomere 4 small, deeply concave apically and without adhesive vestiture ventrally).

Aedeagus (Figs 20–22): Median lobe symmetrical, comparatively slender, markedly bent ventrad just behind basal bulb and with straight ventral margin. Sides rounded in middle portion and convergent in apical portion. Terminal lamella (Fig. 20) about 1.5 times as long as wide, triangular, narrowly rounded at apex, without apical capitulum. Apical orifice in dorsal position, prolonged to basal bulb. Internal sac without distinct sclerotic elements.

Distribution. Fig. 56. This new species is known only from the type locality (Gutang Township) in the eastern Himalaya, the southeastern part of Xizang Autonomous region (Tibet), Medog County, China.

Specific epithet. The specific epithet refers to Gutang in Xizang Autonomous Region, where the type specimen was collected.

Remarks. This new species is isolated taxonomically from other known species of the genus and we include it in separate species group (the *gutangensis* group). Its members may be recognized by the following combination of characters: medial prosternal process distinctly projected posteriad, elytra with parascutellar striae rudimentary and basal setigerous pores present, inner striae nearly effaced at apex, the umbilicate setal series without a gap at middle, metepisterna distinctly wider than long, slightly narrowed posteriad, metacoxae with a posteromedial setigerous pore and without additional setigerous or nonsetigerous foveae medially, and tarsi distinctly setose dorsally. The prosternum with the medial process distinctly projected posteriad is unique among all species of *Chydaeus* known to us. In adults of all other species, the medial prosternal process is either not or only slightly projected posteriad (as in *C. hanmiensis* described below).

The single known male of *C. gutangensis* is most similar to members of the *irvinei* group species (sensu Kataev and Schmidt 2002, 2006), which have metacoxae with an additional posteromedial setigerous pore, wide metepisterna and rudimentary parascutellar striae, but is distinguished from them by the presence of the parascutellar setigerous pore on the elytra, dorsally setose tarsi, and greater body size (body length less than 8.0 mm in species of the *irvinei* group) among other features. Like members of some species of the *irvinei* group, the elytra of the holotype of *C. gutangensis* are fused along the suture.

The holotype male of *C. gutangensis* is similar also to the members of the *kasaharai* group in having wide metepisterna and additional posteromedial setigerous pores on the metacoxae, however it differs from them in having the body more elongate, the medial prosternal process distinctly projected posteriad, and the elytra with almost completely reduced parascutellar striae, very fine striae that are effaced apically, and the umbilicate setal series more uniformly spaced, without a distinct gap near the middle.

***Chydaeus hanmiensis* Kataev & Liang, sp. n.**

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:DC93417A-362E-4AD4-8D37-2EA70DF3508E


http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_hanmiensis

Figs 23–29, 53, 56

Type material. Holotype, a male, deposited in IOZ, labeled: “CHINA, Xizang Autonomous Region, Medog Co., Baibung town, Hanmi, 2200 m, 14.VIII.2005, Tang Liang leg.”

Description (male). Dorsal habitus as in Fig. 53. Size: Body length 8.7 mm, width 3.8 mm.

Color: Body dark piceous; antennae brown, palpi slightly lighter brown; femora black, tibiae dark brown, tarsi brown.



Figures 23–29. *Chydaeus hanmiensis* sp. n. (holotype) **23** Pronotum **24** Left metepisternum **25** Ventral side of prosternum, right lateral view **26** Left metacoxa **27** Terminal lamella of median lobe, dorsal view **28** Median lobe, left lateral view **29** Median lobe, dorsal view. Scale lines: **A** = 1.0 mm (Fig. 23), **B** = 0.5 mm (Fig. 27), **C** = 1.0 mm (Figs 24–26, 28, 29).

Microsculpture: Head with dorsal microsculpture very fine, distinct throughout, comprised of more or less isodiametric meshes. Pronotum with microsculpture comprised of distinct isodiametric and slighty transverse meshes, except effaced on disc. Elytra with microsculpture very fine, comprised of slightly transverse meshes on disc and of isodiametric meshes on two lateral intervals.

Head: Comparatively large ($HW_{max}/PW_{max} = 0.73$ and $HW_{min}/PW_{max} = 0.62$), very finely micropunctate on dorsum and covered with fine wrinkles mainly in and around frontal foveae; tempora moderately long, slightly convex. Apex of clypeus concave, very faintly bordered. Frontal suture thin, faintly impressed, clypeo-ocular prolongations thin, not deepened, extended to supraorbital furrows. Supraorbital seta situated at level of hind margin of eye. Eyes moderately convex. Antennae short, not extended to pronotal basal margin, with antennomeres 5 to 7 each about 1.4–1.5 times as long as wide. Labrum distinctly emarginate apically. Left mandible truncate at apex. Ligular sclerite slightly widened anteriorly, with straight apex.

Pronotum (Fig. 23): Slightly transverse ($PW_{max}/PL = 1.45$), distinctly narrowed basad ($PW_{max}/PW_{min} = 1.24$) and widest in anterior third. Sides rounded in anterior two-thirds and slightly sinuate before base; each side with one lateral setigerous pore in anterior third. Apical margin slightly emarginate, bordered only laterally. Basal mar-

gin almost straight, distinctly bordered throughout, approximately equal in width to apical margin and slightly narrower than elytral base between humeral angles. Apical angles slightly protruded anteriad, acute and very narrowly rounded at apices. Basal angles obtuse, with sharp, slightly denticulate apices. Pronotal disc moderately convex, not depressed basally, markedly sloped toward apical angles in apical half and slightly sloped toward sides in basal half. Lateral depressions very narrow from apical angles to pronotal base. Basal foveae oval and shallow, isolated from basal border. Areas at basal angles and between basal foveae slightly convex. Pronotal surface punctate almost throughout, very finely punctate medially and along apical margin and more densely and coarsely punctate basally and along sides, punctures confluent and particularly coarse within basal foveae.

Elytra: Oval, markedly rounded at sides, comparatively short and wide (EL/EW = 1.34, EL/PL = 2.38, EW/PWmax = 1.22), widest at middle, not fused along suture. Humeri not prominent, with a tiny acute denticle at apices (visible only from behind). Subapical sinuations distinct, moderately deep. Sutural angle slightly less than 90°, slightly blunted at apex. Basal borders slightly sinuate to humeri, joined with lateral margin at an obtuse angle. Striae impunctate, thin, superficial along their entire length. Parascutellar striae present but short, basal setigerous pore present. Intervals flat up to apices, impunctate. Umbilicate setal series divided into two groups (humeral and apical), with an isolated setigerous pore medially.

Hindwings: Reduced to small scales.

Venter: Prosternum glabrous. Medial prosternal process slightly projected posteriad (Fig. 25). Proepisterna smooth. Metepisterna (Fig. 24) approximately as long as wide, markedly narrowed posteriad. Sternum VII (anal) with two pairs of setae along apical margin, widely rounded at apex.

Legs: Metacoxae (Fig. 26) without posteromedial setigerous pore or any additional setigerous or nonsetigerous foveae medially. Metafemora with two setae along posterior margin. Protibiae with 1 stouter and 1–2 slenderer spines at outer distal margin and with one ventroapical spine. Tarsi glabrous dorsally, tarsomere 5 with three or four pairs of lateroventral setae. Metatarsi short, shorter than width of head just behind eyes, with tarsomere 1 about 1.4 times as long as tarsomere 2 and notably shorter than tarsomeres 2+3. Male protarsi markedly enlarged (tarsomeres 2 and 3 much wider than long and tarsomeres 1–4 with adhesive vestiture ventrally); mesotarsi moderately enlarged (tarsomere 1 slightly longer than wide and lacking adhesive vestiture ventrally; tarsomere 2 approximately as long as wide and with adhesive vestiture ventrally; tarsomere 3 about 1.2 times as wide as long and with adhesive vestiture ventrally; and tarsomere 4 distinctly smaller than tarsomeres 2 and 3, deeply concave apically and without adhesive vestiture ventrally).

Aedeagus (Figs 27–29): Median lobe asymmetrical, markedly bent ventrad behind basal bulb, more faintly bent ventrad in apical portion, and slightly directed dorsad just at apex to form a faintly recognizable apical capitulum; middle portion of ventral margin convex. Terminal lamella (Fig. 27) about 2.0 times as long as wide, flat, triangular, slightly blunted at apex (dorsal aspect) and distinctly directed to right, its dorsal

side with a triangular depression in basal quarter. Apical orifice in dorsal position, prolonged to basal bulb. Internal sac with two small and narrow spiny patches basally.

Distribution. Fig. 56. Known only from the type locality (Hanmi) in the eastern Himalaya, in the southeastern part of Xizang Autonomous region (Tibet), Medog County, China.

Specific epithet. The specific epithet refers to Hanmi, the locality in Tibet, from where the new species is described.

Remarks. This new species belongs to the *semenowi* group (sensu Kataev and Schmidt 2006), members of which are characterized by metacoxae without additional posteromedial setigerous pores and the aedeagus with a flat, triangular terminal lamella. Within this group, *C. hanmiensis* adults are distinguished by the slightly sinuate pronotal sides combined with comparatively short metepisterna (approximately as long as wide). In addition, the prosternal medial process is slightly projected posteriad in *C. hanmiensis* members, whereas this process is not or only faintly projected in members of other species of the *semenowi* group. The holotype of *C. hanmiensis* is externally similar to males of *C. obtusicollis* Schauberge, 1932, but, in the latter, the medial lobe is more arcuate and with an additional medial spiny patch in the internal sac on the left side.


***Chydaeus asetosus* Kataev & Kavanaugh, sp. n.**

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:E568BCDF-110A-4CDD-A644-0F4C7A0507F9

http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_asetosus

Figs 30–37, 54, 57, 67

Type material. Holotype, a male, deposited in IOZ, labeled: “CHINA, W Yunnan, NE Fugong, 26°57'09"N, 98°54'00"E – 26°56'30"N, 98°55'14"E, 2160–2690 m, 29.V.2006, I. Belousov & I. Kabak leg.". A total of 22 paratypes (16 males and 6 females, including 5 males and 2 females in CAS, 5 males and 2 females in IOZ, and 6 males and 2 females in ZIN and cBL&KB) from the following localities: **CHINA. Yunnan Province. Fugong County:** 3 males, 2 females, same data as holotype, 29.V.2006, I. Belousov & I. Kabak leg. (ZIN, cBL&KB); 3 males, W Yunnan, NE Fugong, 26°56'51"N, 98°54'12"E, 2190 m, 29.V.2006, I. Belousov & I. Kabak leg. (ZIN, cBL&KB); 1 male, 2 females, Fugong, Lishadi, 0.3 km above Shibali on Yaping road, 27.16337°N, 98.78208°E, 2475 m, 7.V.2004, D. Kavanaugh leg. (IOZ, CAS); 1 male [teneral], Fugong, Lishadi Town, 4 km below Shibali, road, 27.15727°N 98.79784°E, 2280 m, 11.VIII.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Fugong, Lishadi, Shibali, around hotel, 27.16530°N, 98.77980°E, 2530 m, 4.VIII.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 1 male [teneral], Fugong, Lishadi Town, 0.5 km below Shibali, 27.16520°N, 98.77980°E, 2530 m, 5.VIII.2005, H.B. Liang & G. Tang leg. (CAS); 1 male, Fugong Co., Lumadeng, 5 km below Shibali, road, 27.16520°N, 98.77980°E, 2190 m, 7.V.2004, X.-Y. Li & M. Xie leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Fugong Co., Lumadeng, Yaping - Shibali, roadside, 27.14627°N, 98.81559°E, 2030 m, 3.V.2004, H.B. Liang



Figures 30–37. *Chydaeus asetosus* sp. n. (holotype) **30** Pronotum **31** Ventral side of prosternum, right lateral view **32** Left metepisternum **33** Right hemisternite and stylus, ventral view **34** Stylus, lateral view **35** Terminal lamella of median lobe, dorsal view **36** Median lobe, left lateral view **37** Median lobe, dorsal view. Scale lines: **A** = 1.0 mm (Fig. 30), **B** = 0.5 mm (Fig. 35), **C** = 1.0 mm (Figs 31–34, 36–37).

leg. (CAS); 1 male, Fugong Co., Lumadeng, Yaping - Shibali, 11 km, 27.13839°N, 98.82147°E, 1850 m, 25.IV.2004, H.B. Liang & M. Xie leg. (CAS); 3 males, 2 females, Fugong Co., Lumadeng, Yaping, Yejiadi, roadside, 27.08004°N, 98.77325°E, 2307 m, 10.V.2004, H.B. Liang & B.-X. Zhu leg. (IOZ, CAS).

Description. Dorsal habitus as in Fig. 54. Size: Body length 8.8–10.8 mm, width 3.8–4.6 mm.

Color: Body black, shiny on dorsum; labrum, also mandibles basally and lateral bead of pronotum in many specimens paler, reddish brown; antennae, palpi, tibiae, and tarsi reddish brown, femora blackish brown.

Microsculpture: Head with dorsal microsculpture present throughout in most specimens, comprised of fine isodiametric meshes, more or less effaced on frons and vertex. Pronotum with microsculpture comprised of more or less effaced meshes, more distinct in females than in males. Elytral microsculpture in males comprised of more

or less isodiametric meshes, distinct on two or three lateral intervals and in area along basal border, otherwise more or less effaced; in females comprised of isodiametric, nearly granulate meshes in basal half and on two or three lateral intervals apically and of slightly transverse meshes on inner intervals in apical half.

Head: Comparatively large ($HW_{max}/PW_{max} = 0.70\text{--}0.73$ and $HW_{min}/PW_{max} = 0.60\text{--}0.66$), with micropunctures in areas near frontal foveae and around and behind supraorbital setae in most specimens, micropunctures also present on clypeus and frons in some specimens, micropunctuation absent from head in a few specimens; tempora short, nearly flat, sloped to neck. Clypeus slightly concave and distinctly bordered apically. Frontal suture distinct, superficial or slightly impressed. Frontal foveae small and shallow, clypeo-ocular prolongations superficial, short in most specimens but distinct to supraorbital furrows in some specimens. Supraorbital seta situated at level of hind margin of each eye. Eyes small, moderately convex. Antennae short, not extended to pronotal basal margin, with antennomeres 5 to 7 each about 1.6–2.0 times as long as wide. Labrum distinctly emarginate apically. Left mandible truncate at apex. Ligular sclerite slightly widened and rounded at apex.

Pronotum (Fig. 30): Slightly transverse ($PW_{max}/PL = 1.40\text{--}1.51$), narrowed basad ($PW_{max}/PW_{min} = 1.25\text{--}1.36$) and widest in anterior third. Sides rounded along their entire length, but in basal half less distinctly than in apical half; without any lateral setigerous pores. Apical margin very slightly concave or nearly straight medially, bordered only laterally. Basal margin more or less straight (very broadly rounded in some specimens), distinctly bordered throughout, width approximately equal to apical margin and slightly narrower than elytral base between humeral angles. Apical angles nearly 90° (lateral aspect), slightly protruded anteriorly. Basal angles obtuse, each with small denticle at apex. Pronotal disc convex, only faintly depressed basally, markedly sloped to apical angles. Lateral depressions varied, from very narrow along entire length, indistinct, and with area at basal angles convex, to distinctly widened and deepened in basal third and depressed at basal angles. Basal foveae small, either flat or slightly impressed. Pronotal surface densely and distinctly punctate, mainly along sides laterobasally and lateroapically, with punctuation more widely distributed over entire basal and apical portions in some specimens, or with very fine punctures also present in central portion in a few specimens, or with punctuation restricted only to lateral and latero-basal areas in a few other specimens; in all specimens, punctures coarsest in latero-basal portion and in narrow area along sides.

Elytra: Oval, rounded at sides, moderately wide ($EL/EW = 1.33\text{--}1.44$, $EL/PL = 2.42\text{--}2.67$, $EW/PW_{max} = 1.22\text{--}1.30$), widest at middle, not fused along suture. Humeri subangulate, rounded at apices, each with a tiny denticle visible only from behind. Subapical sinuations moderately deep. Sutural angles not separated from each other medially, slightly less than 90° , with apices blunted in male and sharp in female. Basal borders slightly sinuate, joined with lateral margin at very obtuse angle. Striae impunctate, slightly impressed along entire length. Parascutellar striae present, short, basal setigerous pores present; in some specimens, first (sutural) striae interrupted ba-

sally with distal part of parascutellar striae connected to proximal part of isolated distal portion of first striae. Intervals slightly convex or nearly flat, in some specimens two or three lateral intervals very finely micro-punctate. Umbilicate setal series more or less widely interrupted at middle.

Hindwings: Reduced to small scales.

Venter: Prosternum smooth and glabrous, with at most a few very fine and barely evident setae apically. Prosternal medial process slightly prominent, not projected posteriad (Fig. 31). Proepisterna smooth, at most finely micropunctate. Metepisterna (Fig. 32) markedly narrowed posteriad, approximately as long as wide or slightly wider than long. Sternum VII (anal) in both sexes with two pairs of setae along apical margin and rounded at apex. Tergum VII (anal) of female rounded at apex.

Legs: Metacoxae generally without posteromedial setigerous pore or any additional setigerous or nonsetigerous foveae medially [in one female collected at 0.3 km above Shibali on Yaping road, left metacoxa with an additional posteromedial setigerous pore]. Metafemora with two setae along posterior margin. Protibiae with one ventroapical spine, outer margin with one or two stouter spines and also two or three slenderer spines apically in most males, with four or five uniform, stout spines in females. Tarsi glabrous dorsally, tarsomere 5 with three (four in some specimens) pairs of lateroventral setae. Metatarsi approximately equal in length to minimum linear distance across neck constriction just behind eyes in males, slightly shorter in females; tarsomere 1 distinctly longer than tarsomere 2, but distinctly shorter than tarsomeres 2+3. In males, protarsi markedly enlarged (tarsomeres 2-4 much wider than long, tarsomere 1 about as long as wide, and tarsomeres 1-4 with adhesive vestiture ventrally); mesotarsi moderately enlarged (tarsomere 1 slightly longer than wide; tarsomere 2 approximately as long as wide; tarsomere 3 about 1.3 times as wide as long; and tarsomere 4 distinctly smaller than tarsomeres 2 and 3, and deeply concave apically, and tarsomeres 2-4 with adhesive vestiture ventrally).

Female genitalia (Figs 33-34): Apical stylomere comparatively faintly curved.

Aedeagus (Figs 35-37): Median lobe markedly bent ventrad behind basal bulb and convex on ventral side medially. Terminal lamella directed ventrad, triangular in dorsal aspect, about two times as long as wide and very narrowly rounded at apex (Fig. 35), dorsal side basally with large triangular depression prolonged apicad up to or beyond middle of terminal lamella; apical capitulum very small, slightly prominent ventrad and dorsad. Apical orifice slightly shifted to right, prolonged to basal bulb. Internal sac with two basal and (in most specimens) one medial spiny patches; medial spiny patch, if present, located on right side of medial lobe.

Geographical variation. Specimens examined from the area around Shibali are smaller on average (body length 8.8-10.2 mm, mean = 9.7 mm) than the specimens from northwest of Fugong (body length 9.7-10.8 mm, mean = 10.4 mm) and their pronota are slightly narrower [$PW_{max}/PL = 1.40-1.43$ (mean = 1.41) and $1.42-1.50$ (mean = 1.48), respectively] and with deeper basal foveae.

Distribution. Fig. 57. This new species is known only from the northern part of the Gaoligong Shan (Fugong County) in northwestern Yunnan Province, China.

Habitat. Specimens were collected in roadside and road cut open areas (Fig. 67) and in other disturbed areas, hidden under stones and other debris during daylight hours and active on the soil surface at night.

Specific epithet. The specific epithet refers to the asetose sides of the pronotum in members of this species.

Remarks. Like members of *C. hamiensis*, those of *C. asetosus* have the metacoxae without additional posteromedial setigerous pores and the aedeagus with flat, triangular terminal lamella, which suggest that they belong to the *semenowi* group (sensu Kataev and Schmidt 2006). Members of this new species are easily distinguished from those of all known species of *Chydaeus* (not just of the *semenowi* group species) by the absence of lateral pronotal setae. Members of all other *Chydaeus* species known to us have from one to several lateral setae on each pronotal side. Among the species of the *semenowi* group, *C. asetosus* members are similar in habitus and male genitalia to members of *C. obtusicollis*, but the median lobe is less markedly arcuate, the metepisterna are much shorter, the body is more convex, and the pronotum is relatively larger in *C. asetosus* members than in *C. obtusicollis* members. The short metepisterna and male genitalia of *C. asetosus* members are similar to those of *C. hanmiensis* members, but the former differ from the latter in having rounded pronotal sides, impressed elytral striae, and a longer terminal lamella of the aedeagus. In external morphology, *C. asetosus* adults are also similar to those of *C. satoi* Ito, 2003; but in males of latter species, the median lobe has the terminal lamella much longer and more markedly bent dorsad.

***Chydaeus baoshanensis* Kataev & Liang, sp. n.**

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:EDE8E8EE-75B2-48E7-9B56-ED540BA92033

http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_baoshanensis

Figs 38–43, 55, 57

Type material. Holotype, a male, in ZIN, labeled: “CHINA, Yunnan, N Baoshan, 25°30'10"N, 99°06'40"E – 25°29'26"N, 99°06'16"E, 2265–2530 m, 08.V.2006, I. Belousov & I. Kabak leg.”


Description (male). Dorsal habitus as in Fig. 55. Size: Body length 9.5 mm, width 4.1 mm.

Morphological characters as described for *C. asetosus* except as follows:

Color: Body black, shiny on dorsum; labrum, tibiae and tarsi paler, blackish brown; antennae dark brown, palpi lighter brown.

Microsculpture: Head with dorsal microsculpture effaced. Pronotum with microsculpture more or less effaced.

Head: Large ($HW_{max}/PW_{max} = 0.71$ and $HW_{min}/PW_{max} = 0.62$), covered with very fine micro-punctures on labrum and on areas around frontal foveae, above supraorbital furrows, and around and behind supraorbital setae. Frontal suture thin, slightly impressed. Frontal foveae very shallow, clypeo-ocular prolongations extended to supraorbital furrows. Ligular sclerite with apical angles slightly projected laterally.



Figures 38–43. *Chydaeus baoshanensis* sp. n. (holotype) **38** Pronotum. **39** Left metepisternum **40** Terminal lamella of median lobe, dorsal view **41** Median lobe, left lateral view **42** Median lobe, dorsal view **43** Median lobe, right lateral view. Scale lines: **A** = 1.0 mm (Fig. 38), **B** = 0.5 mm (Fig. 40), **C** = 1.0 mm (Figs 39, 41–43).

Pronotum (Fig. 38): Slightly transverse ($PW_{max}/PL = 1.47$), slightly narrowed basad ($PW_{max}/PW_{min} = 1.23$), with one lateral seta on each side before middle. Basal margin nearly straight medially and slightly oblique laterally, slightly wider than apical margin. Lateral depressions very narrow and barely evident along entire length. Basal foveae absent and area near basal angles slightly convex, almost flat. Pronotal surface punctate almost throughout, but punctures extremely fine medially.

Elytra: Elytra relatively short ($EL/EW = 1.40$, $EL/PL = 2.45$, $EW/PW_{max} = 1.19$), widest just behind middle, without humeral denticles. Subapical sinuations slightly shallower than in *C. asetosus*. Parascutellar striae short, about 2.0–3.5 times as long as diameter of parascutellar setigerous pore, not connected distally with first (sutural) striae. Intervals nearly flat, lateral intervals very finely and indistinctly micro-punctate. Umbilicate setal series without distinct gap at middle.

Venter: Metepisterna (Fig. 39) markedly narrowed posteriad, slightly wider than long.

Legs: Metatarsi slightly shorter than minimum linear distance across neck constriction just behind eyes

Aedeagus (Figs 40–43): Median lobe similar to that of *C. asetosus*, but terminal lamella (Fig. 40) narrower, slightly curved dorsad at apex and without apical capitulum; basal triangular depression on its dorsal side prolonged apicad up to middle of terminal lamella. Internal sac with two basal and one medial spiny patches; medial spiny patch located on left side of median lobe.

Distribution. Fig. 57. This new species is known only from the type locality, N Baoshan (Baoshan City) in the southern part of the Nu Shan (Mountains) in western Yunnan Province, China.

Specific epithet. The specific epithet refers to Baoshan city in Yunnan Province, where the type specimen was collected.

Remarks. *Chydaeus baoshanensis* belongs to the *semenowi* group and the holotype specimen is morphologically very similar to specimens of *C. asetosus*, differing from them mainly in the presence of one lateral seta on each pronotal side, the wider pronotal base and form of the male genitalia. The terminal lamella of the aedeagus of *C. baoshanensis* males is narrower than that of *C. asetosus* males, slightly curved dorsad and without an apical capitulum. As with *C. asetosus*, *C. baoshanensis* members are very similar externally to those of *C. satoi* and *C. hanmiensis*, including in having short metepisterna; but males of all four species are very distinct in genitalic form. In addition, *C. baoshanensis* differs from *C. hanmiensis* in having deeper elytral striae, rounded, not sinuate, pronotal sides, and the prosternal medial process not projected posteriad. Members of *C. baoshanensis* differ most distinctly from those of *C. obtusicollis* in having much shorter metepisterna.


Chydaeus satoi Ito, 2003

http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_satoi

Figs 44–46, 58, 64

Material examined. A total of 14 specimens (9 males and 5 females, including 4 males and 2 females in CAS, 4 males and 2 females in IOZ; and 1 male and 1 female in ZIN) were examined from the following localities: **CHINA. Yunnan Province. Lushui County:** 5 males, 2 females, Luzhang, Yaojiaping, riverside, 25.97722°N, 98.71091°E, 2527 m, 20.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, same data, but 19.V.2005 (IOZ); 3 males, 3 females, Luzhang, Yaojiaping, roadside, 25.97526°N, 98.71000°E, 2515 m, 20.V.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (CAS, IOZ, ZIN).

Distribution. Fig. 58. *Chydaeus satoi* was previously known only from the type locality (Zhonghe Feng) at an elevation of 2620 m in the Diangcang Shan (Mountains), situated north of Dali, western Yunnan Province, China (Ito, 2003). The additional material listed above was also collected in western Yunnan, but in the Gaoligong Shan, about 150 km to the west of the type locality.



Figures 44–46. *Chydaeus satoi* Ito (Luzhang, Yaojiaping) **44** Terminal lamella of median lobe, dorsal view **45** Median lobe, left lateral view **46** Median lobe, dorsal view. Scale lines: **A** = 0.5 mm (Fig. 44), **B** = 1.0 mm (Figs 45–46).

Habitat. Specimens were collected in roadside and road cut open areas (Fig. 64) and on open, disturbed stream banks, hidden under stones and other debris during daylight hours.

Remarks. This species belongs to the *semenowi* group (Kataev and Schmidt 2006). Male members are very similar in their appearance to those of *C. baoshanensis*, but easily distinguished from them by the peculiar median lobe with the terminal lamella very long and markedly bent ventrad (Figs 44–46).

In male specimens from the Gaoligong Shan, the terminal lamella is slightly narrower in dorsal aspect than in males from the Diangcang Shan as illustrated by Ito (2003). The significance of this difference should be tested by examination of additional material. One of us (HBL) examined the type specimens of *C. satoi* at Ito's home (Kawanishi City, Japan) and found no differences between the type and specimens from the Gaoligong Shan.

***Chydaeus obtusicollis* Schauberger, 1932**http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_obtusicollis

Figs 57, 68

Material examined. A total of 95 specimens (49 males and 46 females, including 23 males and 23 females in CAS, 24 males and 22 females in IOZ, and 2 males and one female in ZIN) were examined from the following localities: **CHINA. Xizang Autonomous Region:** *Cona County:* 1 female, 2000 m, 7.VIII.1974 (IOZ). *Medog County:* 1 male, Medog, Baibung, 850 m, 17.III.1983 (IOZ). **Yunnan Province.** *Gongshan County:* 1 female, Dulongjiang, Bapo, along roadside, 27.73902°N, 98.34975°E, 1412 m, 3.XI.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 2 males, 2 females, Gongshan, Dulongjiang, 1 km S of Bapo, 27.73453°N, 98.35042°E, 1333 m, 3.XI.2004, V.F. Lee leg. (IOZ, CAS); 1 male, Dulongjiang, Bapo, along roadside, 27.73902°N 98.34975°E, 1412 m, 26.X.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 1 female, Dulongjiang, Bapo, Mabiluo, river-side, 27.76208°N, 98.34567°E, 1503 m, 27.X.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 3 males, 2 females, Dulongjiang, Maku village, roadside, 27.68533°N, 98.30425°E, 1823 m, 2.XI.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (CAS, IOZ, ZIN); 1 male, same data, but 1.XI.2004 (IOZ); 2 females, Dulongjiang, Maku village, roadside, 27.68533°N, 98.30425°E, 1823 m, 1.XI.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, Dulongjiang, 0.5 km WSW Maku, trail, 27.68310°N, 98.30038°E, 1845 m, 29.VIII.2006, D. Kavanaugh leg. (CAS); 1 male, Dulongjiang, Lawaduo, roadside, 27.69666°N, 98.34934°E, 1466 m, 4.XI.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 2 females, Dulongjiang, Kongdang, roadside, 27.87696°N, 98.33587°E, 1525 m, 5.XI.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 3 males, 3 females, Dulongjiang, Kongdang, roadside, 27.87696°N, 98.33587°E, 1525 m, 25.X.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (CAS, IOZ); 8 males, 3 females, Cikai Town, 0.5 km of Kongdang, 27.88111°N, 98.34063°E, 1500 m, 25.X.2004, D. Kavanaugh leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, Dulongjiang, Bapo, along roadside, 27.73902°N, 98.34975°E, 1412 m, 26.X.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 3 males, Dulongjiang, Pengjiwang, above Bapo, 27.72999°N, 98.40650°E, 2250 m, 28.X.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (CAS, IOZ, ZIN); 1 male, Dulongjiang, Miliwang, above Bapo, 27.72383°N, 98.36117°E, 1956 m, 31.X.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 3 males, 2 females, Dulongjiang, 0.6 km N Dizhengdang, 28.08442°N, 98.32652°E, 1880 m, 29.X.2004, D. Kavanaugh leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, Dulongjiang, S edge of Dizhengdang, 28.07654°N, 98.32603°E, 1890 m, 29.X.2004, D. Kavanaugh leg. (ZIN); 1 male, same data, but 28.X.2004 (IOZ); 1 male, Dulongjiang, Dizhengdang, Silalong He, 28.07654°N 98.32603°E, 1890 m, 30.X.2004, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS); 1 male, 1 female, Dulongjiang, 2.8 km S Longyuan Vill., 28.00905°N, 98.32204°E, 1660 m, 31.X.2004, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS); 3 males, 3 females, Dulongjiang, 2.3 km S Longyuan, 28.00532°N, 98.32145°E, 1685 m, 2.XI.2004, D. Kavanaugh leg. (CAS, IOZ); 5 males, 8 females, Dulongjiang, Elidang Village, beach, 28.00287°N, 98.32145°E, 1640 m, 3.XI.2004, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 3 males, 4 females, Dulongjiang, Xianjiudang Village, 27.94092°N, 98.33340°E, 1580 m, 4.XI.2004, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, Dolongiang Township, Moqie Wang at Gongshan-Dulong Road km 91, 1550 m, 27.90085°N,

98.34721°E, 6.XI.2004, Stop DHK-2004-077, D. Kavanaugh & H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Dulongjiang, 0.2 km S Mukewang He, 27.84125°N, 98.32979°E, 1450 m, 7.XI.2004, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS); 5 males, 8 female, Dulongjiang, 0.5 km N Kongdang, 27.88111°N, 98.34062°E, 1500 m, 7.XI.2004, D. Kavanaugh leg. (CAS, IOZ); 2 females, Dulongjiang, Moqiewang He, 27.91040°N, 98.41076°E, 2185 m, 8.XI.2004, D. Kavanaugh & M. Dixon leg. (IOZ). *Lushui County*: 1 male, Nujiang Prefecture, Gangfang sancha lukou, 26.07.3°N, 98.34.5°E, 1500 m, 14–15.X.1998, D.H. Kavanaugh, C.E. Griswold, C. Ferraris & C.L. Long leg. (IOZ).

Distribution. Fig. 57. All the previous records for species were restricted to the Central Himalaya, from the eastern part of Nepal to West Bengal (Darjeeling) and Sikkim, at elevations of 1800–2900 m (Kataev and Schmidt 2006). Specimens recorded here extend the range of *C. obtusicollis* eastward to Xizang Autonomous Region (Cona and Medog counties) and northwestern Yunnan Province (Gongshan and Lushui counties), China. The elevational range of Yunnan records extends from 1400 to 2250 m.

Habitat. Specimens were collected in roadside and road cut open areas (Fig. 68), on open, disturbed stream banks, and in other disturbed areas, hidden under stones and other debris during daylight hours and active on the soil surface at night.

Remarks. Kataev and Schmidt (2006) included *C. obtusicollis* in the *semenowi* group and redescribed this species based on Central Himalayan specimens. Specimens examined from China are similar to those from the Central Himalaya in the main morphological characteristics, including the male genitalia; but the basal pronotal angles, in some specimens from Yunnan, have more or less distinct apical denticles. The taxonomic status of specimens from Yunnan merits further study. As with populations in the Central Himalaya, Yunnan populations of *C. obtusicollis* are dimorphic for wing length, with both brachypterous and macropterous individuals present.

Chydaeus convexus Ito, 2002

http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_convexus

Figs 58, 70

Material examined. A total of 125 specimens (71 males and 54 females, including 35 males and 26 females in CAS, 35 males and 27 females in IOZ, and 1 male and 1 female in ZIN) were examined from the following localities: **CHINA. Yunnan Province.** *Longling County*: 1 female, Longjiang, Xiaoheishan, tree & log, 24.83696°N, 98.75735°E, 2120 m, 27.V.2005, H.B. Liang & J.L. Yang leg. (IOZ); 1 male, same data, but riverside, 24.82886°N, 98.75917°E, 2010 m, 25.V.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 3 males, 1 female, same data, but roadside, 24.82888°N, 98.76001°E, 2020 m, 28.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS); 2 female, same data, but riverside, 26.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh leg. (CAS). *Longyang County (District)*: 1 female, Bawan, 34 km from Bawan on Tengchong Road, 2310 m, 24.92944°N, 98.75917°E, 16.X.2003, D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Bawan, Luokeng, 41 km on road to Tengchong, 24°56'23.2"N, 98°45'11.6"E, 2440 m, 15.X.2003, day, H.-B.

Liang & X.C. Shi leg. (IOZ); 1 female, Bawan, 41 km on old road to Tengchong, 25°56'15.0"N, 98°45'02.8"E, 2486 m, 11.X.2003, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Gaoligong Shan, Baoshan Pref., Nankang Yakou, 24°49.9'N 98°46.0'E, 2130 m, 4-7 IX.1998, Stop 98–129A, D. Kavanaugh, C.E. Griswold, C.L. Long, R. Li & H.-X. He leg. (CAS); 1 male, same data as preceding (IOZ); 1 female, same data, but 24.81944°N, 98.77111°E, 2130 m, 27.X.2003, D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 1 male, 1 female, Bawan Town, Nankang Yakou, roadside, 24°49'33.4"N, 98°46'20.0"E, 2130 m, 26.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Shi leg. (IOZ); 1 male, 6 females, same data, but 24.81944°N, 98.77111°E, 2130 m, 31.X.2003, D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 5 males, 4 females, Bawan Town, Nankang forest station, 24°49'28.8"N, 98°46'43.6"E, 2085 m, 27.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Shi leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, Bawan, Nankang station, 24.82614°N, 98.77602°E, 1900 m, 26.V.2005, D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 1 female, same data, but 24.83178°N, 98.76462°E, 2180 m, 22.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS); 6 males, 4 females, Bawan, 36–37 km on old road to Tengchong, 24°56'03.3"N, 98°46'46.4"E, 2150 m, 12.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Shi leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, 3 females, Bawan, Bawan - Tengchong Road km 29–35, 2000–2350 m, 24.92916°N, 98.75861°E, 12.X.2003, D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, 4 females, Bawan, Yakou to Sanchawa, along road, 24°56'50.5"N, 98°45'20.0"E, 2300 m, 13.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Li leg. (CAS, IOZ); 4 males, Bawan, Dasheyao forest station - Yakou, 24°55'37.4"N, 98°45'09.8"E, 2404 m, 12.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Shi leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, 2 females, Bawan, Dasheyao, 24.92989°N, 98.75862°E, 2320 m, 1.VI.2005, Dao Zhilong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 2 males, same data, but 24.92994°N, 98.75850°E, 2300 m, 3.VI.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 2 males, 2 females, Bawan, Nankang station, 24.82260°N, 98.78201°E, 2060 m, 23.V.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, same data, but 24.82600°N, 98.77690°E, 2090 m, 28.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh leg. (CAS); 1 female, same data, but 24.82587°N, 98.76832°E, 2048 m, 22.V.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 1 female, same data, but 24.83178°N, 98.76462°E, 2180 m, 22.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS); 1 female, same data, but 24.82614°N, 98.77602°E, 1900 m, 26.V.2005, D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 2 males, Bawan, Luoshuidong, 2300–2480 m, 24.93278°N, 98.75333°E, 13.X.2003, D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 11 males, 4 females, Bawan, Sanchahe, 24.94755°N, 98.75564°E, 2300 m, 3.VI.2005, H.B. Liang & H.M. Yan leg. (CAS, IOZ); 4 males, same data, but 24.94865°N, 98.75193°E, 2350 m, 30.V.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, same data, but 2300 m, 24.94849°N, 98.75699°E, 30.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh leg. (IOZ); 2 males, 2 females, same data, but 3.VI.2003, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, same data, but D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ). *Tengchong County*: 1 male, Jietou, Datang, Dahan, 25.69700°N, 98.68059°E, 1800–2000 m, 16.VI.2005, Huang Hao leg. (IOZ); 8 males, 6 females, Dahanping, 46–51 km on old road, 24°57'25.6"N, 98°44'12.3"E, 2220 m, 17.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Shi leg. (IOZ, ZIN); 2 males, Dahanping, 43 km from Bawan on Tengchong Road, 2410 m, 24.95361°N, 98.73333°E, 14.X.2003, D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 3 males, 3 females, Dahanping, along a small stream, 24°58'20.8"N, 98°44'20.1"E, 2170 m, 18.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Shi

leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, Shangying, 5–8 km E of Dahaoping, 2358 m, 24.93417°N, 98.74750°E, 18.X.2003, D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 4 males, Shangying, 42–46 km on road from Bawan, 24°57'13.0"N, 98°44'32.1"E, 2290 m, 14.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Shi leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, Shangying, Longjiang bridge, riverside, 25°02'29.7"N, 98°40'22.9"E, 1335 m, 19.X.2003, H.-B. Liang & S. Yang leg. (IOZ).

Distribution. Fig. 58. This species was previously known from several localities, all restricted to the southern parts of the Gaoligong Shan in western Yunnan Province, China, at elevations of 2200–2400 m (Kataev and Schmidt 2006). The new material was also collected on the southern parts of Gaoligong Shan, at elevations of 1800–2500 m, within Longling, Longyang, and Tengchong counties, western Yunnan Province, China.

Habitat. Specimens were collected in roadside and road cut open areas, on open, disturbed stream banks, and in other disturbed areas (Fig. 70), hidden under stones and other debris during daylight hours and active on the soil surface at night.

Remarks. Kataev and Schmidt (2006) indicated the absence of the parascutellar setigerous pore on elytra in *C. convexus* as one of the distinctive features of this species belonging to the *semenowi* group. The examination of the addition material revealed the high variability of this character. Among 125 specimens examined, the parascutellar setigerous pore was absent from only 55 specimens, in a few from only one elytron.

Chydaeus malaisei Kataev & Schmidt, 2006

http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_malaisei

Fig. 59

Material examined. A total of 5 specimens (2 males and 3 females, including 1 male and 1 female in CAS and 1 male and 2 females in IOZ) were examined from the following localities: **CHINA. Yunnan Province. Lushui County:** 1 male, Pianma, Ganheluo, riverside, 26.06210°N, 98.61966°E, 2100 m, 14.V.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 1 female, Pianma, Gangfang Yakou, road, 26.03672°N, 98.62026°E, 2250 m, 12.V.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (CAS); 1 female, Pianma, 6 km Pianma to Liuku, 26.00808°N, 98.65921°E, 2310 m, 15.V.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 1 female, Pianma, 6 km ESE Pianma, river, 26.00703°N, 98.16209°E, 2254 m, 15.V.2005, D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Pianma, Changyanhe, riverside, 25.99414°N, 98.66336°E, 2454 m, 15.V.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (CAS).

Distribution. Fig. 59. This species was described from a series collected in Kam-baiti, northeastern Myanmar. The new material from Yunnan was collected about 100 km to the northeast of the type locality, in the Pianma area, near the Myanmar border, on the western slope of the Gaoligong Shan, at elevations of 2100–2500 m. These new records support Kataev and Schmidt's (2006) suggestion that the geographical range of *C. malaisei* is confined to the region west of the crest of the Gaoligong Shan.

Remarks. This species, a member of the *semenowi* group, is closely related to *C. convexus* and appears to be a geographical vicariant of the latter (Kataev and Schmidt

2006). The specimens examined from Yunnan demonstrate all the distinctive features of *C. malaisei* listed in the original description, except for the absence of the parascutellar setigerous pore on elytra. In all the specimens from Yunnan examined, this pore is present. As in the case for *C. convexus*, this character appears to be variable in *C. malaisei*.

***Chydaeus semenowi* (Tschitschérine, 1899)**

http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_semenowi

Figs 59, 71

Material examined. A total of 18 specimens (13 males and 5 females, including 5 males and 2 females in CAS, 7 males and 3 females in IOZ, and 1 male in ZIN) were examined from the following localities: **CHINA. Xizang Autonomous Region. Nyalam County:** 1 male, Xigaze, 3800 m, 6.VI.1961 (IOZ); 1 male, Nyalam, Zham, 3300 m, 7.VII.1975 (IOZ); 1 male, Nyalam, Zham, 3400 m, 7.VII.1975 (IOZ); + many additional specimens from Nyalam county (IOZ). **Yunnan Province. Gongshan County:** 2 males, 2 females, No 12 Bridge to Dulongjiang, 27°42'54"N, 98°30'08"E, 2770 m, 30.IV.2002, H.B. Liang, W. Ba & X. Li leg. (CAS, IOZ); 5 males, 1 female, same data, but 3.V.2002, H.B. Liang, W. Ba, G. Yang & L. Dou leg. (CAS, IOZ, ZIN); 1 male, Heiwadi, on new road to Dulongjiang, 27°47'39"N, 98°35'13"E, 2020 m, 20.IV.2002, H.B. Liang, W. Ba, G. Yang & X.Q. Li leg. (IOZ); 2 females, new road to Dulongjiang, 27°45'57"N, 98°36'12"E, 2200 m, 12.IV.2002, H.B. Liang & W. Ba leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, Gaoligong Shan, Nujiang Pref., Danzhu He drainage, 13.5–15.7 air km SSW of Gongshan, 27°00'00"N, 98°00'00"E, 2700–3100 m, 27.63063°N, 98.62074°E to 27.62705°N, 98.59204°E, 30.VI.–5.VII.2000, Stop 00-17A, D. Kavanaugh, C.E. Griswold, H.B. Liang, D. Ubick & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS); 1 male, Dulongjiang, Sandui, Bapo to Yakou, 27.71672°N, 98.42231°E, 1333 m, 30.X.2004, V.F. Lee leg. (CAS).

Distribution. According to Kataev and Schmidt (2006), *C. semenowi* is widely distributed over the Himalaya from Uttar Pradesh (India) to Bhutan, at elevations of 2400–3800 m. This species is recorded here from China (southern Xizang and north-western Yunnan) for the first time (Fig. 59).

Habitat. Specimens were collected in roadside and road cut open areas, hidden under stones and other debris during daylight hours and active on the soil surface at night (Figs 71).

Remarks. *Chydaeus semenowi*, a member of the *semenowi* group (Kataev and Schmidt 2006), is the most frequently encountered Himalayan species of *Chydaeus*. Specimens examined from southern Tibet are very similar in their morphology to other specimens from the Himalaya, but those from Yunnan are characterized by a smaller denticle at the apex of each pronotal basal angles, so they may represent a distinct geographical form.

***Chydaeus andrewesi* Schauberger, 1932**

http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_andrewesi

Remarks. Kataev and Schmidt (2006) treated *C. andrewesi* as a member of the *obscurus* group and recognized two subspecies: the nominotypic form, *C. a. andrewesi*, distributed over the eastern Himalaya, and *C. a. szetschuanus* Schauberger, 1932, occurring in Sichuan Province, China. Our study of additional material from China and Vietnam (see below) has convinced us that *C. kumei* Ito, 1992, described from Vietnam, is conspecific with *C. andrewesi* and represents a third subspecies of that species.

***Chydaeus andrewesi andrewesi* Schauberger, 1932**

http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_andrewesi_andrewesi

Figs 60, 68–70, 72

Material examined. A total of 358 specimens (183 males and 175 females, including 82 males and 82 females in CAS, 85 males and 82 females in IOZ, and 16 males and 11 females in ZIN and cBL&KB) were examined from the following localities: **CHINA. Xizang Autonomous Region. Nyalam County:** 1 male, Nyalam, Zham, 1700 m, 22.VI.1975 (IOZ). **Yunnan Province. Fugong County:** 1 male, Pihe Town, Jianjiu Vill., roadside, 26.52842°N, 98.86997°E, 2132 m, 22.IV.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 1 female, Lumadeng, Laoshibali, roadside, 27.07978°N, 98.77328°E, 2305, 15.VIII.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Lumadeng, 4 km E Laoshibali, 27.09700°N, 98.80750°E, 2120 m, 21.VIII.2005, D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 7 males, 1 female, Lumadeng, Yanping-Shibali, 11 km, 27.13839°N, 98.82147°E, 1850 m, 25.IV.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (CAS, IOZ); 2 males, 2 females, Lumadeng, Yanping-Shibali, roadside, 27.14627°N, 98.81559°E, 2030 m, 3.V.2004, H.B. Liang & M. Xie leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, 1 female, Lumadeng, Yaping, Yamuhe, roadside, 27.11876°N, 98.83118°E, 1800 m, 26.IV.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 2 males, 2 females, Lumadeng, Yaping, Rimalige, road, 27.09728°N, 98.80475°E, 2040 m, 4.V.2004, H.B. Liang & B.-X. Zhu leg. (CAS, IOZ); 2 males, Lumadeng, Yaping, Yejiadi, roadside, 27.08004°N, 98.77325°E, 2307 m, 10.V.2004, H.B. Liang & B.-X. Zhu leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, Lumadeng, 4 km up Yaping Bridge, 27.12817°N, 98.85944°E, 1500 m, 11.VIII.2005, H.B. Liang & J.F. Zhang leg. (IOZ); 1 male, 1 female, Lumadeng Town, Aludi Vill., Nuijiang, 27.09830°N, 98.87272°E, 1195 m, 23.IV.2004, D. Kavanaugh leg. (IOZ); 4 males, 5 females, Lumadeng Town, Shilajia on Yaping road, 27.13419°N, 98.82641°E, 1800 m, 24–25.IV.2004, D. Kavanaugh leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, Lumadeng Town, Yaping road above Shilajia, 27.13086°N, 98.83874°E, 1630 m, 26.IV.2004, D. Kavanaugh & C. Griswold leg. (IOZ); 1 female, Maji Town, Majimi Vill., riverside, 27.39630°N, 98.81701°E, 1567 m, 28.IV.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 1 female, Lishadi Town, Shibali, 1.5 km down road, 27.16284°N, 98.78989°E, 2420 m, 2.V.2004, H.B. Liang & G.-X. Peng leg. (IOZ); 1 male [tener-

al], Lishadi Town, 4 km below Shibali, road, 27.15727°N, 98.79784°E, 2280 m, 11.VIII.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ). *Gongshan County*: 1 male, 1 female, Cikai Town, Dandang Park, roadside, 27.74853°N, 98.66492°E, 1605 m, 23.X.2004, V.F. Lee leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Cikai Town, Pulahe, river & road side, 27°44'55"N, 98°40'01"E, 1445 m, 8.X.2002, D. Kavanaugh & H.B. Liang leg. (CAS); 1 female, Cikai, Pulahe, Pulahe Power Station dam, 27.76305°N, 98.62540°E, 1605 m, 23.X.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Cikai Town, Pulahe, joint with Nujiang, 27.74843°N, 98.66498°E, 1530 m, 11.XI.2004, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 2 males, Cikai Township, 16.8 km of Gongshan on Dulong valley Road at Heiwadi, 2150 m, 10.X.2002, Stop DHK-2002-044E, D. Kavanaugh, P.E. Marek, H.B. Liang & D.-Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, Cikai Town - Qiqi Station, 27.43086°E, 98.34150°E, 1700–2000 m, 29.IV.2002, H.B. Liang & W. Ba leg. (IOZ); 1 female, Cikai Town, Gazu Station, 27°44'35"N, 98°36'17"E, 1500 m, 4.V.2002, H.B. Liang & W. Ba leg. (IOZ); 2 males, Cikai Town, Cikaihe, 27°43'59"N, 98°39'32"E, 1730 m, 22.IV.2002, H.B. Liang, W. Ba, X. Li & G.D. Yang leg. (IOZ); 3 males, 4 females, Cikai Town, along street, 27°44'43"N, 98°39'53"E, 1500 m, 13.IV.2002, H.B. Liang & W. Ba leg. (CAS, IOZ); 3 males, 1 female, same data, but 20.IV.2002 (CAS, IOZ); 2 males, Cikai Town, Heiwadi, road side, 27°47'40"N, 98°35'21"E, 2010 m, 10.X.2002, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 2 males, 1 female, Heiwadi, on new road to Dulongjiang, 27°47'39"N, 98°35'13"E, 2020 m, 20.IV.2002, H.B. Liang & W. Ba leg. (IOZ); 3 males, 2 females, same data, but H.B. Liang, W. Ba, G. Yang & X.Q. Li leg. (CAS, IOZ); 2 males, 3 females, Heiwadi, night, 27°47'39"N, 98°35'13"E, 2030 m, 22.IV.2002, H.B. Liang, W. Ba, X. Li & G.D. Yang leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, Cikai Town, Heiwadi Dabadi, 27°45'24"N, 98°34'56"E, 2470 m, 10.X.2002, X. Li leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Shunglawacilou, 27°46'14"N, 98°39'16"E, 1650 m, 5.V.2002, H.B. Liang, W. Ba, G. Yang & X.Q. Li leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Cilou (Power Station), 27°46'14"N, 98°39'16"E, 1510 m, 6.V.2002, H.B. Liang, W. Ba, G. Yang & X.Q. Li leg. (IOZ); 3 males, 5 females, Yenigu, along road, 27°43'3"N, 98°44'14"E, 2020 m, 16.IV.2002, H.B. Liang & W. Ba leg. (CAS, IOZ); 2 female, new road to Dulongjiang, 27°45'57"N, 98°36'12", 2200 m, 12.IV.2002, H.B. Liang & W. Ba leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, Dulongjiang, Qinlangdang, 27.69033°N, 98.27901°E, 1300 m, 31.VIII.2006, D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 20 males, 30 females, Dulongjiang, 0.6 km N Dizhengdang, 28.08442°N, 98.32652°E, 1880 m, 29.X.2004, D. Kavanaugh leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, same data, but 30.X.2004, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 1 male, 4 females, Dulongjiang, Dizhengdang, Silalong He, 28.07654°N, 98.32603°E, 1890 m, 30.X.2004, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, Dulongjiang, 2.8 km S Longyuan Vill., 28.00905°N, 98.32204°E, 1660 m, 31.X.2004, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 1 female, Dulongjiang, 2.3 km S Longyuan Vill., 28.00532°N, 98.32145°E, 1685 m, 2.XI.2004, D. Kavanaugh leg. (IOZ); 2 males (1teneral), Dulongjiang, Elidang Village, beach, 28.00287°N, 98.32145°E, 1640 m, 3.XI.2004, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, Dulongjiang, Xianjiudang Village, 27.94092°N, 98.33340°E, 1880 m, 4.XI.2004, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 1 male, 2 females, Dulongjiang, Pengjiawang, above Bapo, 27.72999°N, 98.40650°E, 2250 m,

28.X.2004, H.B. Liang. (IOZ); 1 male, Dulongjiang, Penjiasheng, above Bapo, 27.73053°N, 98.40561°E, 2233 m, 31.X.2004, V.F. Lee leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Dulongjiang, 0.5 km N Kongdang, 27.88111°N, 98.34062°E, 1500 m, 7.XI.2004, D. Kavanaugh leg. (IOZ); 4 males, 8 females, Dulongjiang, Maku Vill., roadside, 27.68533°N, 98.30425°E, 1823 m, 1–2.XI.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, Dulongjiang, 0.5 km WSW Maku, trail, 27.68310°N, 98.30038°E, 1845 m, 29.VIII.2006, D. Kavanaugh leg. (IOZ); 1 male, 1 female, Dulongjiang, Maku Vill., 27.68545°N, 98.30419°E, 1814 m, 29.VIII.2006, D.Z. Dong & P.Hu leg. (IOZ); 1 female, same data, but 27.68804°N, 98.30758°E, 1615 m, 3.IX.2006, D. Kavanaugh & Y. Liu leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Maxidang, along road, 27°52'41"N, 98°39'15"E, 1550 m, 19.IV.2002, H.B. Liang, W. Ba, G. Yang & X.Q. Li leg. (IOZ); 30 males, 23 females, Bingzhongluo, Gongdangshen Shan, 27°59'51"N, 98°37'7"E, 2480 m, 24.IV.2002, H.B. Liang, W. Ba, G. Yang & X.Q. Li leg. (CAS, IOZ, ZIN); 1 male, Bingzhongluo, Gongdangshen Shan, 27.99725°N, 98.62003°E, 2489 m, 12.XI.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 15 males, 15 females, Bingzhongluo vill., 1700 m, 26.IV.2002, H.B. Liang & W. Ba leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, Bingzhongluo, Yimaluo, riverside, 28°01'30"N, 98°37'33"E, 1606 m, 8.X.2002, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Bingzhongluo, Niwaluo, under rocks, tree, 28.03287°N, 98.56995°E, 1862 m, 15.VIII.2006, Y. Liu & P. Hu leg. (IOZ). *Longyang County (District)*: 1 female, Bawan, 36–37 km on old road to Tengchong, 24°56'03.3"N, 98°46'46.4"E, 2150 m, 12.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Shi leg. (IOZ); 1 male, 2 females, Bawan Town, Nankang forest station, 24°49'28.8"N, 98°46'43.6"E, 2085 m, 27.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Shi leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, Bawan, Nankang station, 24.82260°N, 98.78201°E, 2060 m, 23.V.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 1 male, 1 female, same data, but 2048 m, 22.V.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 2 males, 1 female, Bawan Township, Nankang Yakou, 24.81944°N, 98.77111°E, 2130 m, 31.X.2003, under rocks, D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS); 2 females, same data, but 24.82583°N, 98.77222°E, 2130 m, 26.X.2003 (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, Bawan subdist., 34 km from Bawan on Tengchong Road, 2310 m, 24.92944°N, 98.75917°E, 16.X.2003, under rocks, D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ). *Lushui County*: 1 male, 2 females, Pianma, 6 km Pianma to Liuku, 26.00808°N, 98.65921°E, 2310 m, 15.V.H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 2 males, 1 female, Pianma, 6 km ESE Pianma, river, 26.00703°N, 98.16209°E, 2254 m, 15.V.2005, D. Dong leg. (IOZ); 1 female, Pianma, Xiapianma, roadside, 26.00992°N, 98.61670°E, 1780 m, 15.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D. Dong leg. (IOZ); 1 male, 1 female, same data, but 26.00950°N, 98.61704°E, 1780 m, riverside, 15.V.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 1 female, same data, but H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 1 female, Pianma, Gangfang, roadside, 26.11781°N, 98.59342°E, 1787 m, 16.V.2005, H.B. Liang & Y.H. San leg. (IOZ); 4 males, 1 female, Pianma, Gangfang, Xuetaang, 26.12218°N 98.57546°E, 1625 m, 16.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ, CAS); 1 male, 7 females, Pianma, Changyanhe, riverside, 25.99414°N, 98.66336°E, 2540 m, 12.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D. Dong. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, Pianma, Gulangba, roadside, 26.11253°N, 98.06250°E, 1563 m, 14.V.2005, D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 1 male, 2 females, Luzhang, Langbazhai, Lusaihe, 25.96567°N, 98.77091°E, 1820 m, 20.V.2005, D.Z. Dong leg.

(IOZ). *Shuangjiang County*: 1 male, Mt. NW Mengku Town, 23°40'28"N, 99°48'11"E, 23°40'29"N, 99°46'53"E, 2125–2720 m, 26.V.2010, I. Belousov & I. Kabak leg. (cBL&KB). *Tengchong County*: 1 male, Dahaoping, 46–51 km on old road, 24°57'25.6"N, 98°44'12.3"E, 2220 m, 17.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Shi leg. (IOZ); 1 female, Jietou, Datang, Dahelingganjiao, 25.73939°N, 98.69633°E, 2010 m, 19.V.2006, H.B. Liang & Z.C. Liu leg. (IOZ); 5 males, 2 females, same data, but 25.73947°N, 98.69630°E, 2010 m, 14–15.V.2006, D. Kavanaugh & R. Brett leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, same data, but 19.V.2006 (IOZ); 2 males, same data, but 25.73678°N, 98.69639°E, 2005 m, 18.V.2006, D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, 1 female, same data, but 25.73947°N, 98.69630°E, 2010 m, 18.V.2006, D. Kavanaugh & R. Brett leg. (CAS); 3 males, 1 female, same data, but 16.V.2006, D. Kavanaugh & R. Brett leg. (CAS, IOZ); 2 males, same data, but 14.V.2006, D.Z. Dong & X.P. Wang leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, same data, but 25.75523°N, 98.69305°E, 1970 m, 16.V.2006, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 2 males, Jietou, Datang, Dahetou, 25.69700°N, 98.68059°E, 1865 m, 14.V.2006, H.B. Liang leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, Houqiao, Gaoshidong, roadside, 25.39858°N, 98.30533°E, 2580 m, 27.V.2006, D. Kavanaugh & R. Brett leg. (ZIN); 1 male, Houqiao, Guyong Linchang, ground, 25.36538°N, 98.32412°E, 2950 m, 27.V.2006, H.B. Liang & Z.C. Liu leg. (IOZ). *Xinping County*: 1 male, Ailaoshan Mt. Range, W Shuitangzhen Town, 24°08'07.1"N, 101°26'17"E, 2300 m, 1.VI.2011, I. Belousov, I. Kabak & A. Korolev leg. (cBL&KB); 3 males, same data, but 24°08'24"N, 101°25'16"E, 1940 m, 2.VI.2011, I. Belousov, I. Kabak & A. Korolev leg. (cBL&KB); 3 males, Ailaoshan Mt. Range, NW Shuitangzhen Town, 24°09'51"N, 101°25'29"E, 2005 m, 7.VI.2011, I. Belousov, I. Kabak & A. Korolev leg. (cBL&KB, ZIN); 6 males, 9 females, SSE Shuangjiang Town, 23°23'19"N, 99°55'28"E, 2255 m, 21.VI.2011, I. Belousov, I. Kabak & A. Korolev leg. (cBL&KB, ZIN); 1 male, same data, but 23°22'22"N, 99°54'47"E, 2540 m, 22.VI.2011, I. Belousov, I. Kabak & A. Korolev leg. (cBL&KB).

Distribution. Fig. 60. The nominotypic subspecies of *C. andrewesi* was known previously from the eastern part of the Himalaya, from Central Nepal to Bhutan and Myanmar, at elevations of 1600–2700 m (Kataev and Schmidt 2006). Based on the new records presented here, this subspecies ranges farther east into western Yunnan Province, China, along the Myanmar border (the Gaoligong Shan in Fugong, Gongshan, Longyang, Lushui, and Tengchong counties, and the Bangma Shan and Ailao Shan (Mountains), at elevations of 1200–2720 m. This subspecies is also recorded here from the southern part of Xizang Autonomous Region.

Habitat. Specimens were collected in roadside and road cut open areas (Figs 68, 72), on open, disturbed stream banks, and in other disturbed areas (Figs 69–70), hidden under stones and other debris during daylight hours and active on the soil surface at night.

Remarks. This is the first record of *C. a. andrewesi* from China. There are no significant morphological differences between the specimens from China and those from the areas in the western part of its geographical range; however, specimens from Yunnan have the elytral microsculpture more fully effaced than specimens from the Himalaya.

***Chydaeus andrewesi kumei* Ito, 1992, stat. n.**

http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_andrewesi_kumei

Figs 47–50, 60, 74

Chydaeus kumei Ito, 1992: 52

? *Chydaeus* (*Chydaeus*) *guangxiensis* Ito, 2006: 198


Material examined. A total of 35 specimens (25 males and 10 females, including 1 male in IOZ and 24 males and 10 females in cFED, cSCH, cWR, and ZIN) were examined from the following localities: **CHINA. Yunnan Province. Jinping County:** 1 male, Fenshuiling, Leidazhan, roadside, 22°51'41.0"N, 103°13'40.5"E, 2060 m, 17.XII.2003, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ). **VIETNAM. Lao Cai Province:** 2 males, 2 females, 6 km W of Sa Pa, N slope of Phansipan Mt. Area, 2000–2100 m, near Tram don (base of Hoang Lien Nature Park), 22°21'N, 103°46'E, V.2005, A.V. Abramov leg. (Exp. of Russia-Vietnam Tropical Centre) (ZIN); 1 female, same data, but 1930–2000 m, V.2010, A.V. Abramov leg. (ZIN); 18 males, 6 females, 6 km W of Sa Pa, northern slope of Phansipan Mt. area, May 2008, A.V. Abramov leg. (Exp. of Russia-Vietnam Tropical Centre) (cSCH, cWR, ZIN); 4 males, 1 female, Sa Pa env., ca 1600 m, V.2006, A. Anitchkin leg. (cFED).

Distribution. Fig. 60. Previously, this taxon was known only from the single male collected in Sa Pa, northern Vietnam. Based on the new records presented here, this species occurs not only in the mountains of northern Vietnam, but also in southern Yunnan Province (China) adjacent to the Vietnamese border.

Habitat. Specimens were collected in roadside and road cut open areas and other disturbed areas adjacent to moderately disturbed forest (Fig. 74), hidden under stones and other debris during daylight hours.

Remarks. Based on Ito's original description, Kataev and Schmidt (2006) treated *C. kumei* as a distinct species of the *obscurus* group, closely related to *C. andrewesi* as characterized by Ito (1992). Examination of specimens of *C. kumei* revealed that this taxon possesses all the distinctive features of *C. andrewesi* listed by Kataev and Schmidt (2006), including the elongate metepisterna (in both taxa, their length along inner margin is much greater than the width along the anterior margin). Moreover, the structure of the aedeagus in *C. kumei* males (Figs 47–50) is virtually identical to that in *C. andrewesi* males and, in our opinion, *C. kumei* should be treated as a subspecies of *C. andrewesi*. The vicariant distributions of these taxa in Yunnan also supports the subspecific status of *C. kumei*.

The main distinctive characters of adults of *C. andrewesi kumei* are: pronotum markedly narrowed basad, distinctly depressed and comparatively coarsely punctate laterobasally; pronotal basal angles each with a denticulate apex protruded laterad; elytral disc in males with very fine, more or less markedly effaced microsculpture comprised of thin, transverse meshes; proepisterna distinctly punctate anteriorly; and base of the terminal lamella of the median lobe of aedeagus (Fig. 47) slightly wider than that of other subspecies. We add the following mensural features to Ito's description:




Figures 47–50. *Chydaeus andrewesi kumei* Ito (Vietnam, Sa Pa area) **47** Terminal lamella of median lobe, dorsal view **48** Median lobe, left lateral view **49** Median lobe, dorsal view. **50** Median lobe, right lateral view. Scale lines: **A** = 0.5 mm (Fig. 47), **B** = 1.0 mm (Figs 48–50).

Size: Body length 8.6–10.2 mm, width 3.8–4.5 mm. Proportions: $HW_{max}/PW_{max} = 0.71–0.74$; $HW_{min}/PW_{max} = 0.59–0.63$; $PW_{max}/PL = 1.41–1.48$; $PW_{max}/PW_{min} = 1.26–1.40$; $EL/EW = 1.38–1.43$, $EL/PL = 2.39–2.59$ (2.39–2.51 in male and 2.42–2.59 in female), $W/PW_{max} = 1.19–1.29$.


Specimens of *C. andrewesi kumei* are very similar to those of *C. a. szetschuanus* from Sichuan in morphological features, particularly in the shape of the pronotum (with denticulate basal angles), the punctate proepisterna, and the relatively wide elytra; but they differ from the latter mainly in having finer and sparser punctation on the apical half of the pronotum (pronotum densely and coarsely punctate anteriorly in *C. a. szetschuanus* specimens).

Chydaeus guangxiensis Ito, 2006 was described from one male from Guangxi ("Below Tienshan Ping, Mt. Miao'er, Xing'an Xian"). We examined two females from the same mountain [one labeled: "Guangxi, Mt. Miao'er, 2000m, 1985.VIII.1, Song Shimei leg. (IOZ); for the other, see Kataev and Schmidt (2006: 145)], that matched the original description of *C. guangxiensis* very well. Judging from Ito's original drawings (2006: Fig. 4), the aedeagus of *C. guangxiensis* males is identical to that of *C.*




Figures 51–55. Dorsal habitus, *Chydaeus* species. **51** *C. fugongensis* sp. n. (holotype). **52** *C. gutangensis* sp. n. (holotype). **53** *C. hanmiensis* sp. n. (holotype). **54** *C. asetosus* sp. n. (holotype) **55** *C. baoshanensis* sp. n. (holotype). Scale lines = 1.0 mm.


andrewesi males. The pronotum of *C. guangxiensis* adults is smooth or finely punctate anteriorly, as in *C. a. kumei* adults, but the denticle at each basal pronotal angle is only slightly evident or absent, as in *C. a. andrewesi* specimens. Further study, based on additional specimens, is necessary to determine the taxonomic status of *C. guangxiensis*; but it is very likely that this taxon is either consubspecific with *C. a. kumei* or, at most, another subspecies of *C. andrewesi*.



Figures 56–57. Toporelief map of southeastern Asia, illustrating localities for *Chydaeus* species **56** *C. shunichii* Ito = red dots, *C. fugongensis* sp. n. = pink diamond, *C. gutangensis* sp. n. = yellow square, and *C. hanmiensis* sp. n. = light blue triangle **57** *C. baoshanensis* sp. n. = dark blue square, *C. asetosus* sp. n. = yellow triangles, and *C. obtusicollis* Schauburger = red dots. Only new records reported here are shown. Scale bar = 300 km (in 100 km increments).



Figures 58–59. Toporelief map of southeastern Asia, illustrating localities for *Chydaeus* species **58** *C. satoi* Ito = yellow square and *C. convexus* Ito = red dots **59** *C. semenowi* (Tschitschérine) = red dots and *C. malaisei* Kataev & Schmidt = yellow squares. Only new records reported here are shown. Scale bar = 300 km (in 100 km increments).



Figures 60–61. Toporelief map of southeastern Asia, illustrating localities for *Chydaeus* species **60** *C. andrewsi* Schauburger: *C. andrewsi andrewsi* Schauburger = red dots and *Chydaeus andrewsi kumei* Ito = green squares **61** *C. salvazae* Schauburger = red dots. Only new records reported here are shown. Scale bar = 300 km (in 100 km increments).

***Chydaeus salvazae* Schauberger, 1934a**http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_salvazae

Figs 61, 70

= *Chydaeus* (*Chydaeus*) *nigricans* Ito, 2002: 300.= *Chydaeus oblongulus* Ito, 2003: 83, nomen nudum, NEW STATUS

Material examined. A total of 542 specimens (297 males and 245 females, including 110 males and 107 females in CAS, 114 males and 109 females in IOZ, and 73 males and 29 females in cBL&KB and ZIN) were examined from the following localities:

CHINA. Guizhou Province. *Chishui County*: 1 male, 2 females, Jinsha Vill., 500 m, 21.IX.2000, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ). **Yunnan Province.** *Longling County*: 1 female, Longjiang, Xiaoheishan, roadside, 24.82888°N, 98.76001°E, 2020 m, 2005.V.25, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS); 1 female, same data, but riverside, 24.82886°N, 98.75917°E, 2010 m, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ). *Longyang County (District)*: 58 males, 43 females, Bawan Town, Nankang forest station, 24°49'28.8"N, 98°46'43.6"E, 2085 m, 27.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Shi leg. (CAS, IOZ, ZIN); 1 male, Bawan, Nankang station, 24.82600°N, 98.77690°E, 2090 m, 28.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh leg. (ACS); 11 females, same data, but 24.82614°N, 98.77602°E, 1900 m, 26.V.2005, D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 2 males, 1 female, same data, but 24.83178°N, 98.76462°E, 2180 m, 22.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 54 males, 32 females, Bawan, Nankang station, 24.82284°N, 98.78207°E, 2060 m, 23.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 4 males, 3 females, same data, but 24.82600°N, 98.77690°E, 2090 m, 28.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh leg. (CAS, IOZ); 36 males, 21 females, same data, but 24.82587°N, 98.76832°E, 2048 m, 22.V.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (CAS, IOZ); 20 males, 55 females, Bawan Town, Nankang Yakou, roadside, 24°49'33.4"N, 98°46'20.0"E, 2130 m, 26.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Shi leg. (IOZ); 11 males, 10 females, same data, but 24.81944°N, 98.77111°E, 2130 m, 31.X.2003, D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 1 male, 6 females, same data, but 27.X.2003 (CAS, IOZ); 13 males, 25 females, same data, but 24.82583°N, 98.77222°E, 2130 m, D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 3 males, 6 females, Bawan, Dasheyao forest station - Yakou, 24°55'37.4"N, 98°45'09.8"E, 2404 m, 12.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Shi leg. (IOZ); 1 female, Bawan, Dasheyao, 24.92989°N, 98.75862°E, 2320 m, 3.VI.2005, J. Yang leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Bawan, 36–37 km on old road to Tengchong, 24°56'03.3"N, 98°46'46.4"E, 2150 m, 12.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Shi leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Bawan, 35 km on old road to Tengchong, 24°56'01.5"N, 98°47'04.1"E, 2010 m, 16.X.2003, H.B. Liang & J.J. Yang leg. (IOZ); 1 male, 1 female, Bawan, Luokeng, 41 km on road to Tengchong, 24°56'23.2"N, 98°45'11.6"E, 2440 m, 15.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Shi leg. (IOZ). *Nanjian County*: 3 males, Wuliangshan Mt. Range, 24°45'02"N, 100°30'24"E, 2270 m, 12.VI.2011, I. Belousov, I. Kabak & A. Korolev leg. (cBL&KB, ZIN). *Shuangjiang County*: 1 female, Mt. NW Mengku Town, 23°40'28"N, 99°48'11"E, 23°40'29"N, 99°46'53"E, 2125–2720 m, 26.V.2010, Belousov & Kabak leg. (cBL&KB). *Tengchong County*: 1 male, Jietou, Datang, Da-

helingganjiao, 25.73947°N, 98.69630°E, 2010 m, 18.V.2006, D. Kavanaugh & R. Brett leg. (IOZ); 1 male, same data, but 19.V.2005 (CAS); 1 female, same data, but 14.V.2006, D.Z. Dong & X.P. Wang leg. (IOZ); 1 male, same data, but 25.72717°N, 98.69322°E, 1960 m, 19.V.2006, D. Kavanaugh & R. Brett leg. (CAS); 1 female, same data, but 25.69700°N, 98.68059°E, 1800–2000 m, 16.VI.2005, H. Huang leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Dahaoping, 46–51 km on old road, 24°57'25.6"N, 98°44'12.3"E, 2220 m, 17.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Shi leg. (IOZ); 4 males, 2 females, Dahaoping, along a small stream, 24°58'20.8"N, 98°44'20.1"E, 2170 m, 18.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Shi leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, Dahaoping, Forest station, roadside, 24°58'31.8"N, 98°43'47.8"E, 2014 m, 18.X.2003, Tang Guo et al. (IOZ); 1 female, same data, but 24.96942°N, 98.73472°E, 2072 m, 31.V.2005, D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 3 males, Shangying, Dahaoping Station, 24.96976°N, 98.73142°E, 2040 m, 31.V.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (CAS, IOZ); 4 males, Tengyue, Laifengshan, headlamp, 25.01734°N, 98.47719°E, 1920 m, 1.VI.2006, D. Kavanaugh leg. (CAS, IOZ); 2 females, Dahaoping, 46–51 km on old road, 24°57'25.6"N, 98°44'12.3"E, 2220 m, 17.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Shi leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, Shangying, 42–46 km on road from Bawan, 24°57'13.0"N, 98°44'32.1"E, 2290 m, 14.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Shi leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Dahaoping, 46–51 km on old road, 24°57'25.6"N, 98°44'12.3"E, 2220 m, 17.X.2003, H.B. Liang & X.C. Shi leg. (IOZ); 1 male, 1 female, Wuhe, Zhengding Forest Station, 24.85458°N, 98.73743°E, 1828 m, 26.V.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ). *Xinping County*: 2 males, Ailaoshan Mt. Range, W Shuitangzhen Town, 24°07'18"N, 101°27'44"E, 1965 m, 31.V.2011, I. Belousov, I. Kabak & A. Korolev leg. (cBL&KB); 4 males, 2 females, same data, but 24°08'07"N, 101°26'17"E, 2300 m, 1.VI.2011, I. Belousov, I. Kabak & A. Korolev leg. (cBL&KB, ZIN); 3 males, 1 female, same data, but 24°08'24"N, 101°25'16"E, 1940 m, 2.VI.2011, I. Belousov, I. Kabak & A. Korolev leg. (cBL&KB); 2 males, 1 female, Ailaoshan Mt. Range, NW Shuitangzhen Town, 24°09'51"N, 101°25'29"E, 2005 m, 7.VI.2011, I. Belousov, I. Kabak & A. Korolev leg. (cBL&KB, ZIN); 56 males, 21 females, SSE Shuangjiang Town, 23°23'19"N, 99°55'28"E, 2255 m, 21.VI.2011, I. Belousov, I. Kabak & A. Korolev leg. (cBL&KB, ZIN); 1 female, same data, but 23°22'22"N, 99°54'47"E, 2540 m, 22.VI.2011, I. Belousov, I. Kabak & A. Korolev leg. (cBL&KB); 2 males, ENE Lincang Town, 23°54'58"N, 100°18'33"E, 2190 m, 25.VI.2011, I. Belousov, I. Kabak & A. Korolev leg. (cBL&KB, ZIN). *Yongping County*: 1 female, Bonan, Zhuopan Vill., 2000 m, 25.44507°N, 99.43715°E, 22.VIII.2007, B. Kataev & H.B. Liang leg. (ZIN).

Distribution. *Chydaeus salvazae* is distributed over northern Vietnam, southwestern China (Guizhou, Sichuan, and Yunnan provinces) and the Central Himalaya (Sikkim and Nepal) (Kataev and Schmidt 2006). In Yunnan, the species is common in southern (Pingbian and Jinping counties) and western (Longling, Longyang, Shuangjiang, Tengchong, and Yongping counties) parts of the province (Fig. 61).

Habitat. Specimens were collected in roadside and road cut open areas, on open, disturbed stream banks, and in other disturbed areas (Fig. 70), hidden under stones and other debris during daylight hours and active on the soil surface at night.

Remarks. This species belongs to the monobasic *salvazae* group (Kataev and Schmidt 2006). Among the species of *Chydaeus* occurring in Yunnan, Ito (2003: 83) listed the name of *Chydaeus oblongulus* but omitted his recently described species *C. nigricans* Ito, 2002. However, according to our data, *C. oblongulus* has never been described, and therefore is a *nomen nudum*. Ito probably was referring to *C. nigricans* when he listed *C. oblongulus* because the characters he mentioned (Ito 2003) for *C. oblongulus* and his reference to his 2002 paper, in which he described *C. nigricans*, are consistent with this assumption. *Chydaeus nigricans* was treated as a junior synonym of *C. salvazae* by Kataev and Schmidt (2006).

***Chydaeus bedeli* (Tschitschérine, 1897)**

http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_bedeli

Remarks. *Chydaeus bedeli* is widely distributed over the Himalaya, and in the mountains of western China and northern Indochina. According to Kataev and Schmidt (2002), this species belongs to the *bedeli* group and is represented by five subspecies: the nominotypic subspecies (in the mountains of Sichuan), *C. b. difficilis* Kataev & Schmidt, 2002 (in northeastern Yunnan), *C. b. interjectus* Kataev & Schmidt, 2002 (in the East Himalaya), *C. b. longipennis* Kataev & Schmidt, 2002 (in the Western and Central Himalaya), and *C. b. vietnamensis* Kataev & Schmidt, 2002 (in northern Vietnam).

***Chydaeus bedeli difficilis* Kataev & Schmidt, 2002**

http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_bedeli_difficilis

Figs 62, 67, 72–73


Material examined. A total of 341 specimens (184 males and 157 females, including 89 males and 76 females in CAS, 88 males and 78 females in IOZ, and 7 males and 3 females in ZIN and cBL&KB) were examined from the following localities: **CHINA. Xizang Autonomous Region. Medong County:** 1 female, Medog, Baibung, E Doxong Pass, under rocks along trail, 29.49009°N, 94.95566°E, 3100–4010 m, 15–20.VIII.2005, Huang Hao leg. (IOZ); 1 female, Medog, 1900 m, 27.XI.1998 (IOZ). **Yunnan Province. Fugong County:** 19 males, 16 females, Lumadeng, Laoshibali, roadside, 27.07978°N, 98.77328°E, 2305 m, 15.VIII.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 5 males, 3 females, same data, but 27.07831°N, 98.77416°E, 2305 m, 15.VIII.2005, H.B. Liang & J.F. Zhang leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, Lishadi Town, Shibali, around hotel, 27.16536°N, 98.78003°E, 2535 m, 18.VIII.2005, D. Kavanaugh & P. Paquin leg. (CAS); 3 males, 3 females, same data, but 5–6.VIII.2005, D. Kavanaugh leg. (CAS, IOZ, ZIN); 3 males, 1 female, same data, but 27.16530°N, 98.77980°E, 2530 m, 4.VIII.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (CAS, IOZ); 6 males, 5 females, Lishadi Town, 2.8 km W Shibali, road, 27.17405°N, 98.76722°E, 2750 m, 9.

Figures 62–63. Toporelief map of southeastern Asia, illustrating localities for *Chydaeus* species **62** *C. bedeli* (Tschitschérine): *C. bedeli difficilis* Kataev & Schmidt = red dots, *C. bedeli interjectus* Kataev & Schmidt = green diamonds, and *C. bedeli vietnamensis* Kataev & Schmidt = yellow squares **63** *C. similis* Kataev & Schmidt = yellow squares and *C. irvinei* (Andrewes) = red dot. Only new records reported here are shown. Scale bar = 300 km (in 100 km increments).

VIII.2005, D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, 1 female, Lishadi Town, 7 km SW Shibali, river, 27.10220°N, 98.73107°E, 2800 m, 13.VIII.2005, D. Kavanaugh & P. Raquin leg. (CAS); 1 male, 1 female, Lumadeng, 8.4 km W Shibali, roadside, 27.18740°N 98.71936°E, 3160 m, 14.VIII.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS); 1 male, 1 female, Lishadi Town, 0.5 km below Shibali, 27.16520°N, 98.77980°E, 2530 m, 5.VIII.2005, H.B. Liang & G. Tang leg. (IOZ); 2 females, Lishadi Town, 0.5 km W Shibali, 27.20192°N, 98.71371°E, 3250 m, 7.VIII.2005, P. Paquin leg. (CAS); 2 females, Lishadi Town, 4 km W Shibali, roadside, 27.17740°N, 98.75490°E, 2800 m, 16.VIII.2005, D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, Lishadi Town, 2 km E Shibali, roadside, 27.16100°N, 98.79370°E, 2300 m, 18.VIII.2005, D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Lishadi Town, 8.5 km up Shibali, river, 27.18408°N, 98.71882°E, 3095 m, 8.VIII.2005, H.B. Liang & J.F. Zhang leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Lishadi, 9.5 km up Shibali, road, 27.19436°N, 98.71487°E, 3195 m, 14.VIII.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 6 males, 4 females, Lishadi Town, 10 km up Shibali, road, 27.19980°N, 98.71375°E, 3200 m, 16.VIII.2005, J. Zhang leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, same data, but 12.VIII.2005, H.B. Liang & J.F. Zhang leg. (IOZ); 1 male, same data, but 12.VIII.2005, H.B. Liang & J.F. Zhang leg. (IOZ); 2 males, 1 female, Lishadi Town, 6 km up, roadside, 27.17628°N, 98.74167°E, 2920 m, 2.V.2004, H.B. Liang & X.Y. Li leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, 1 female, Lishadi Town, Shibali, 1 km up, roadside, 27.17084°N, 98.76983°E, 2687 m, 1.V.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 2 males, 4 females, Lishadi Town, Shibali, 2 km up, roadside, 27.17156°N, 98.77098°E, 2733 m, 1.V.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, Lishadi Town, Shibali, 4 km up, roadside, 27.17750°N, 98.75508°E, 2820 m, 3.V.2004, H.B. Liang & M. Xie leg. (IOZ); 1 male, 3 females, Lishadi Town, Shibali, 12 km up, roadside, 27.20654°N, 98.71772°E, 3280 m, 8.V.2004, H.B. Liang & B.-X. Zhu leg. (CAS, IOZ); 2 males, 2 females, Lishadi Town, Shibali, 10 km up, roadside, 27.19980°N, 98.71375°E, 3200 m, 6.V.2004, day, H.B. Liang & B.X. Zhu leg. (CAS, IOZ, ZIN); 4 males, 1 female, Lishadi Town, Shibali, 1.5 km down road, 27.16284°N, 98.78989°E, 2420 m, 2.V.2004, H.B. Liang & G.X. Peng leg. (CAS, IOZ); 4 males, 5 females, Lishadi Town, 4.3 km above Shibali on Yaping road, 27.17262°N, 98.76943°E, 2826 m, 3.V.2004, D. Kavanaugh leg. (IOZ); 1 male, 1 female, Lumadeng, Yaping-Shibali, roadside, 27.14627°N, 98.81559°E, 2030 m, 3.V.2004, H.B. Liang, M. Xie leg. (IOZ, CAS); 1 female, Lumadeng, Yaping, Rimalige, road, 27.09728°N, 98.80475°E, 2040 m, 4.V.2004, H.B. Liang & B.-X. Zhu leg. (IOZ); 6 males, 6 females, Lumadeng, Yaping, Yejiadi, roadside, 27.08004°N, 98.77325°E, 2307 m, 10.V.2004, H.B. Liang & B.X. Zhu leg. (CAS, IOZ); 3 males, 5 females, Lumadeng, Laoshibali, riverside, 27.07831°N, 98.77416°E, 2305 m, 21.VIII.2005, H.B. Liang & J.F. Zhang leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, Lumadeng, 8 km up Laoshibali, road, 27.10421°N, 98.73274°E, 2800 m, 13.VIII.2005, H.B. Liang & J.F. Zhang (IOZ); 1 male, Fugong (IN BURMA side), Lumadeng, Laoshibali Yakou, road, 27.06427°N, 98.75129°E, 3267 m, 13.VIII.2005, J.F. Zhang leg. (IOZ); 5 males, 10 females, Lumadeng, Laoshibali Yakou, 27.06429°N, 98.75123°E, 3270 m, 13.VIII.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 3 males, 1 female, Maji Town, Majimi Vill., riverside,



Figures 64–65. Digital photographs of habitats for *Chydaeus* species **64** Yaojiaping, Lushui County, Yunnan Province, China, ca. 2500 m; locality for *C. satoi* Ito and *C. shunichii* Ito **65** Fengxue Yakou, Lushui County, Yunnan Province, China, ca. 3150 m; locality for *C. bedeli difficilis* Kataev & Schmidt and *C. shunichii* Ito.



Figures 66–67. Digital photographs of habitats for *Chydaeus* species **66** Shibali (6 km W), Fugong County, Yunnan Province, China, ca 2920 m; locality for *C. fugongensis* sp. n. **67** Shibali, Fugong County, Yunnan Province, China, ca. 2530 m; locality for *C. asetosus* sp. n. and *C. bedeli difficilis* Kataev & Schmidt



Figures 68–69. Digital photographs of habitats for *Chydaeus* species **68** Kongdang, Gongshan County, Yunnan Province, China, ca. 1525 m; locality for *C. andrewsi andrewsi* Schauberger **69** Dulong Valley N of Kongdang, Gongshan County, Yunnan Province, China, ca. 1550 m; locality for *C. andrewsi andrewsi* Schauberger and *C. obtusicollis* Schauberger.



Figures 70–71. Digital photographs of habitats for *Chydaeus* species **70** Nankang Yakou, Longyang County, Yunnan Province, China, ca. 2130 m; locality for *C. andrewsi andrewsi* Schaubberger, *C. convexus* Ito, and *C. salvazae* Schaubberger **71** Danzhu Valley, Gongshan County, Yunnan Province, China, ca. 2700 m; locality for *C. semenowi* (Tschitschérine).



Figures 72–73. Digital photographs of habitats for *Chydaeus* species **72** Gongshan-Dulongjiang road at Dabadi, Gongshan County, Yunnan Province, China, ca. 2470 m; locality for *C. andrewsi andrewsi* Schaubberger and *C. bedeli difficilis* Kataev & Schmidt **73** Heipu Yakou, Gongshan County, Yunnan Province, China, ca. 3340 m; locality for *C. bedeli difficilis* Kataev & Schmidt.



Figure 74. Digital photograph of landscape at Fenshuiling, Jinping County, Yunnan Province, China, ca. 2060 m; locality for *Chydaeus andrewsi kumei* Ito.

27.39630°N, 98.81701°E, 1567 m, 28.IV.2004, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 1 female, Shangpa, Nujiang River, roadside, 27.06428°N, 98.75105°E, 3276 m, 6.V.2004, X. Li leg. (IOZ); 2 males, W Yunnan Province, SW Weideng, 27°00'09"N, 99°00'47"E, 3145 m, 4.VI.2006, I. Belousov & I. Kabak leg. (cBL&KB, ZIN); 2 males, 1 female, W Yunnan Province, NE Fugong, 26°56'46"N, 98°56'13"E - 26°57'32"N, 98°56'44"E, 3240–3449 m, 31.V.2006, 29.V.2006, I. Belousov & I. Kabak leg. (cBL&KB, ZIN). *Gongshan County*: 14 males, 7 females, Cikai Town, Dabadi, riverside, 27°47'48"N, 98°30'21"E, 3000 m, 1.X.2002, X. Li leg. (CAS, IOZ, ZIN); 1 male, Cikai Town, Heipu Yakou to Dahaituo, 27.78440°N, 98.46038°E, 3342 m, 13.VIII.2006, Y. Liu leg. (ZIN); 4 males, 2 females, Cikai Township, 41 km W of Gongshan on Dulong Valley Road at Dabadi, 3000 m, 27.79655°N, 98.50562°E, 27.IX.–6.X.2002, Stop DHK-2002–031A, D. Kavanaugh, P. Marek, H.B. Liang & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 2 females, Cikai Township, 8.3–13.1 km to NW of Gongshan on Dulong Valley Road, 2620–3000 m, 27.75653°N 98.58214°E to 27.78982°N, 98.52802°E, 23.IX.2002, D. Kavanaugh, P. Marek, H.B. Liang & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, Cikai Town, 16.8 km W of Gongshan on Dulong Valley Road at Heiwadi, 2150 m, 27.79584°N, 98.58443°E, 10.X.2002, D. Kavanaugh, P. Marek, H.B. Liang & D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 1 female, Dulongjiang, Moqiewang He, 27.91040°N, 98.41076°E, 2185 m, 8.XI.2004, D. Kavanaugh & M. Dixon leg. (IOZ); 1 male, Bingzhongluo, Gongdangshen Shan, 27°59'51"N, 98°37'7"E, 2540 m, 17.IV.2002,

H.B. Liang, W. Ba, G. Yang & X.Q. Li leg. (IOZ); 7 males, 1 females, same data, but 2480 m, 24.IV.2002 (IOZ); 15 males, 14 females, No 12, Bridge to Dulongjiang, 27°42'54"N, 98°30'8"E, 2770 m, 30.IV.-3.V.2002, H.B. Liang & W. Ba leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, Yeniugu, along road, 27°43'3"N, 98°44'14"E, 2020 m, 16.IV.2002, H.B. Liang & W. Ba leg. (IOZ); 3 males, 1 female, Heiwadi, on new road to Dulongjiang, 27°47'39"N, 98°35'13"E, 2020 m, 20.IV.2002, H.B. Liang & W. Ba leg. (IOZ); 2 males, Danzhu, along road, 27°37'50"N, 98°37'14"E, 2600 m, 14.IV.2002, H.B. Liang & W. Ba leg. (IOZ); 18 males, 18 females), Dongshaofang-Yakou, 27°41'40"N, 98°28'47"E, 3400 m, 1.V.2002, H.B. Liang, W. Ba, G. G. Yang & Z.Q. Li leg. (IOZ, CAS); 2 females, Dulongjiang Yakou, 27°46'51"N, 98°28'11"E, 2350 m, 1.X.2002, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 1 female, Danzhu He, 13.5–13.8 km SSW of Gongshan, 2720–2840 m, 27.63267°N, 98.60861°E to 27.63331°N, 98.60356°E, 30.VI.–5.VII.2000, D. Kavanaugh et al. (CAS); 5 males, 3 females, Nujiang State Nature Reserve, Dong Shao Fang area, 18–20 km W of Gongshan, 27.69504°N, 98.48433°E, 3230–3300 m, 16–17.VII.2000, D. Kavanaugh et al (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, Nujiang Pref., Dulong Co., Dulong Jiang, 2 km N of Bapo, 31.4 km W of Gongshan, 1510 m, 27.76000°N, 98.34611°E, 16–17.VII.2000, P. Tomas leg. (IOZ). *Longyang County*: 1 female, Bawan, Nankang station, 24.83178°N, 98.76472°E, 2180 m, 26.V.2005, D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 1 female, same data, but 22.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS); 5 males, 2 females, same data, but 24.82587°N, 98.76832°E, 2048 m, 22.V.2005, H.B. Liang leg. (CAS, IOZ); 2 males, Bawan, Sanchahe, 24.94849°N, 98.75699°E, 2300 m, 3.VI.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS); 1 male, same data, but 2325 m (IOZ); 2 males, 2 females, same data, but 24.94755°N, 98.75564°E, 2300 m, 3.VI.2005, H.B. Liang & H.M. Yan leg. (CAS, IOZ). *Lushui County*: 1 male, 1 female, Pianma, 6 km ESE Pianma, river, 26.00703°N, 98.16209°E, 2254 m, 15.V.2005, D.Z. Dong leg. (IOZ); 2 males, Pianma, Fengxue Yakou, roadside, 25.97288°N, 98.68336°E, 3150 m, 11.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh leg. (CAS); 2 females, same data, but 25.97347°N, 98.68780°E, 3130 m, 17.V.2005 (CAS); 2 males, same data, but 25.97410°N, 98.67716°E, 3120 m, 18.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 male, Pianma, Changyanhe, riverside, 25.99414°N, 98.66336°E, 2540 m, 12.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS); 2 males, 1 female, Pianma Yakou, 58.1 km W of Nu Jiang Road on Pianma Road, 3140 m, 25.97288°N, 98.68336°E, 15.X.2002, D. Kavanaugh, P. Marek & H.B. Liang leg. (CAS, IOZ); 6 males, 4 females, Luzhang, Yaojiaping, riverside, 25.97722°N, 98.71091°E, 2527 m, 19.V.2005, D. Kavanaugh & D.Z. Dong leg. (CAS, IOZ); 4 males, 2 females, same data, but 20.V.2003 (CAS, IOZ). *Tengchong County*: 1 female, Jietou, Datang, Dahelingganjiao, 25.7394°N, 98.69630°E, 2010 m, 14.V.2006, D.Z. Dong & X.P. Wang leg. (IOZ); 3 males, same data, but 16.V.2006, D. Kavanaugh leg. (CAS, IOZ); 1 female, same data, but 15.V.2006, H.B. Liang leg. (IOZ); 2 males, 1 female, Mingguang, No. 8 Boundary Post, 25.80984°N, 98.62084°E, 2887 m, 23.V.2006, D. Kavanaugh leg. (CAS, IOZ).

Distribution. Fig. 62. Subspecies *C. bedeli difficilis* was known previously from only the type series, which was collected in the Hengduan Shan (Mountains) in north-

western Yunnan Province, China (Kataev and Schmidt 2002). Based on the new records presented here, it occurs also in southeastern Xizang Autonomous Region (Medog County) and western Yunnan (in the Gaoligong Shan and Nu Shan mountains in Fugong, Gongshan, Longyang, Lushui, and Tengchong counties).

Habitat. Specimens were collected in roadside and road cut open areas (Figs 67, 72), on open, disturbed stream banks, and in other disturbed areas (Fig. 73), hidden under stones and other debris during daylight hours and active on the soil surface at night.

***Chydaeus bedeli interjectus* Kataev & Schmidt, 2002**

http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_bedeli_interjectus

Fig. 62

Material examined. A total of 61 specimens (40 males and 21 females in IOZ) were examined from the following localities: **CHINA. Xizang Autonomous Region. Cona County:** 2 males, Mama, 2900 m, 6.VIII.1974 (IOZ). **Nyalam County:** 8 males and 7 females, Nyalam, 3900 m, 13.V.1974 (IOZ); 1 male, Nyalam, Zham, 2650 m, 15.V.1966, Wang Shuyong leg. (IOZ); 8 males, 3 females, Nyalam, Zham, Quxam, 3300 m, 8.VII.1975, Huang Fusheng leg. (IOZ); 1 male, same data, but 2250 m, 17.V.1974, Wang Shuyong leg. (IOZ); 1 female, same data, but 3500 m, 21.V.1966, Wang Shuyong leg. (IOZ); 11 males, 2 females, same data, but 3300 m, 7 and 8.VII.1975 (IOZ); 3 males, 1 female, same data, but 3400 m, 7.VII.1975 (IOZ); 3 males, 1 female, same data, but 6.VII.1975 (IOZ); 1 male, same data, but 3500 m, 21.V.1966, Wang Shuyong leg. (IOZ); 1 female, same data, but 2400–3000 m, 11.V.1966, Wang Shuyong leg. (IOZ); 1 female, same data, but 3370 m, 22.V.1966, Wang Shuyong leg. (IOZ). **Yadong County:** 1 male, Tibet, Yadong, 30.V.1975 (IOZ); 1 female, same data, but 31.V.1975 (IOZ); 2 female, same data, but 1.VIII.1981 (IOZ); 1 male, same data, but 2800 m, 30.V.1975 (IOZ); 1 male, same data, but 2800 m, 31.V.1974 (IOZ); 1 female, same data, but 2800 m, 5.VI.1961 (IOZ).

Distribution. Fig. 62. *Chydaeus bedeli interjectus* is common in the Eastern Himalaya, from the eastern part of Nepal to Bhutan (Kataev and Schmidt 2002). This taxon was not previously recorded from China; and new records presented here extend its known range to include the southern part Xizang Autonomous Region (Cona, Nyalam, and Yadong counties), at elevations of 2250–3900 m.

***Chydaeus bedeli vietnamensis* Kataev & Schmidt, 2002**

http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_bedeli_vietnamensis

Fig. 62

Material examined. A total of 6 specimens (4 males and 2 females, including 4 males and 1 female in cBL&KB and ZIN and 1 male in cFED) were examined from the

following localities: **CHINA. Yunnan. Longxiang County (District):** 1 male, ENE Lincang, 23°57'36"N, 100°15'21"E, 3340 m, 28.VI.2011, I. Belousov, I. Kabak & A. Korolev leg. (ZIN). **Shuangjiang County:** 3 males, SSE Shuangjiang Town, 23°22'32"N, 99°53'47"E – 23°22'22"N, 99°53'26"E, 2790–2950 m, 23.VI.2011, I. Belousov, I. Kabak & A. Korolev leg. (cBL&KB, ZIN). **VIETNAM. Lao Cai Province.** 1 female, 6 km W of Sa Pa, N slope of Phansipan Mt. Area, 2000–2100 m, near Tram don (base of Hoang Lien Nat. Park), 22°21'N, 103°46'E, V.2005, A.V. Abramov leg. (Exp. of Russia-Vietnam Tropical Centre) (ZIN); 1 male, Sa Pa env., ca 1600 m, V.2006, A. Anitchkin leg. (cFED).

Distribution. Previously, *C. bedeli vietnamensis* was known from a single male collected in northern Vietnam (type locality: northern slope of Fansipan Mt., Sa Pa, 22°17'N, 103°44'E, 1525 m). Based on the new records reported here, this subspecies occurs also in southern Yunnan Province, China (Fig. 62).

Remarks. Specimens from Yunnan are similar in external features and male genitalia to those from Vietnam, but they are slightly smaller (body length 9.0–10.2 mm versus 10.7–10.8 mm in males from Vietnam, including the holotype) and have more markedly prominent denticles at their basal pronotal angles. We add the following mensural data to describe the Yunnan specimens and thereby expand on Kataev and Schmidt's description of this taxon: Proportions (males from Yunnan): HWmax/PWmax = 0.71–0.72; HWmin/PWmax = 0.59–0.61; PWmax/PL = 1.52–1.59; PWmax/PWmin = 1.26–1.37; EL/EW = 1.34–1.44, EL/PL = 2.62–2.85, EW/PWmax = 1.23–1.28.

Chydaeus similis Kataev & Schmidt, 2002

http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_similis

Fig. 63

Material examined. A total of 19 specimens (15 males and 4 females in cBL&KB and ZIN) were examined from the following localities: **CHINA. Yunnan: Xiping County:** 1 female, Ailaoshan Mt. Range, W Shuitangzhen Town, 24°08'24"N, 101°25'16"E, 1940 m, 2.VI.2011, I. Belousov, I. Kabak & A. Korolev leg. (cBL&KB); 15 males, 3 females, SSE Shuangjiang Town, 23°22'22"N, 99°54'47"E, 2540 m, 22.VI.2011, I. Belousov, I. Kabak & A. Korolev leg. (cBL&KB, ZIN).

Distribution. The geographical range of *C. similis* in China extends from Central Sichuan to Yunnan. In Yunnan, it had been recorded previously only from the Gang Shan (Mountains) (Kataev and Schmidt 2002). Based on the new records reported here (Fig. 63), *C. similis* is more widely distributed in Yunnan.

Remarks. Like the preceding species, *C. similis* belongs to the *bedeli* group (Kataev and Schmidt 2002). In specimens of *C. similis* from Yunnan, the denticles at the pronotal basal angles are small and only slightly prominent, smaller in most specimens than in Yunnan specimens of *C. b. vietnamensis*, members of which are very similar in habitus to *C. similis* and occur in the same area.

***Chydaeus irvinei* (Andrewes, 1930)**

http://species-id.net/wiki/Chydaeus_irvinei

Fig. 63

Material examined. A total of 5 specimens (3 males and 2 females, all in IOZ) were examined from the following locality: **CHINA. Xizang Autonomous Region. Nyalam County:** 2 males, 28°10'N, 85°57'E, 3300 m, 17.V.1966, Wang Shuyong leg.; 1 female, same data, but 3570 m, 18.V.1966; 1 female, same data, but 2400–3400 m, 11.V.1966; 1 male, same data, but 3400 m, 6.VIII.1971, Zhang Xuezhong leg.

Distribution. This species, a member of the *irvinei* group, was known previously from two isolated areas in the Central Himalaya: to the north of Sikkim in southern Xizang and in the upper Tama Koshi valley in southern Xizang and Central Nepal (Kataev and Schmidt 2002). The new record reported here (Fig. 63) is in the upper Bhote Koshi valley in southern Xizang, not far to the west from the Tama Koshi valley.

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Dr. A.V. Abramov, Dr. I.A. Belousov, Dr. I.I. Kabak, and Dr. A. Korolev (St. Petersburg), Dr. D.N. Fedorenko (Moscow), Dr. Tang Liang and Mr. Huang Hao (Shanghai) for providing material used in this study. We also thank Miguel Fernandez (CAS), who created the distribution maps. This project was partly supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant No. 10-04-00539), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 30570213), the Knowledge Innovation Program (grant No. KSCX2-YW-Z-0907), and U. S. National Science Foundation (grant No. DEB-0103795).

References

- Andrewes HE (1930) The Carabidae of the third Mount Everest Expedition, 1924. Transactions of the Entomological Society of London 78: 1–44. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2311.1930.tb01198.x
- Baehr M (2004) First record of the Oriental ground beetle genus *Chydaeus* Chaudoir from Australia (Insecta, Coleoptera, Carabidae, Harpalinae). Spixiana 27: 19–22.
- Baehr M (2007) A third species of the Oriental ground beetle genus *Chydaeus* Chaudoir from New Guinea (Insecta, Coleoptera, Carabidae, Harpalinae). Spixiana 30: 173–176.
- Ito N (1992) Study on Asian Carabidae, IV. (Coleoptera). Entomological Review of Japan 47: 43–57.
- Ito N (2003) Notes on species of the harpaline subtribe Anisodactylina (Coleoptera, Carabidae) from China. Special Bulletin of the Japanese Society of Coleopterology 6: 79–86.
- Ito N (2006) Two new species of the genus *Chydaeus* from China (Coleoptera: Carabidae: Harpalini). Entomological Review of Japan 61: 195–200.

- Kataev BM, Liang HB (2004) Review of the *pumilus* group of *Harpalus* Latreille, 1802 from China, with description of a new species from Sichuan and Qinghai (Coleoptera: Carabidae: Harpalini). Russian Entomological Journal 13: 13–17.
- Kataev BM, Liang HB (2005) New and interesting records of ground beetles of the tribe Harpalini from China (Coleoptera: Carabidae). Zoosystematica Rossica 13[2004]: 209–212.
- Kataev BM, Liang HB (2007) Contribution to the knowledge of the genus *Harpalus* in China, with description of new taxa (Coleoptera: Carabidae: Harpalini). Zootaxa 1604: 1–20.
- Kataev BM, Schmidt J (2002) Contribution to knowledge of *Chydaeus* Chaudoir, 1854: Revision of the *Chydaeus bedeli* (Tschitschérine, 1897), *Chydaeus irvinei* (Andrewes, 1930), and *Chydaeus semenowi* (Tschitschérine, 1898) species groups from the Himalaya and China (Coleoptera, Carabidae, Harpalini). Coleoptera, Schwanfelder Coleopterologische Mitteilungen 5[2001]: 389–425.
- Kataev BM, Schmidt J (2006) To the knowledge of the genus *Chydaeus* Chaudoir, 1854 (Coleoptera, Carabidae, Harpalini). II. Revision of the *C. obscurus*, *C. semenowi* (in new sense), and *C. salvazae* species-groups of the Himalaya and adjacent areas. In: Hartmann M, Weipert J (Eds). Biodiversität und Naturlandschaft im Himalaya – Biodiversity and natural heritage of the Himalaya, Bd. II. Verein der Freunde und Förderer des Naturkundemuseums Erfurt e. V., Erfurt, 133–174.
- Schauberger E (1932) Zur Kenntnis der paläarktischen Harpalinen (Neunter Beitrag). Koleopterologische Rundschau 18: 49–64.
- Schauberger E (1934a) Zur Kenntnis der indo-orientalischen Harpalinen. (Dritter Beitrag). Entomologischer Anzeiger 14: 9–13.
- Schauberger E (1934b) Zur Kenntnis der indo-orientalischen Harpalinen. (Vierter Beitrag). Entomologischer Anzeiger 14: 69–72.
- Tschitschérine T (1897) Carabiques nouveaux ou peu connus. L'Abeille 29: 4–75.
- Tschitschérine T (1899) Carabiques nouveaux de Darjeeling rapportés par M. le capitaine B. Nowitzky. Horae Societatis Entomologicae Rossicae 32[1898]: 657–662.