RESEARCH ARTICLE



Three new species of Heteromastus (Annelida, Capitellidae) from Korean waters, with genetic evidence based on two gene markers

Man-Ki Jeong¹, Ho Young Soh², Hae-Lip Suh³

I Fishery resource management research center based on ICT (FMRC), Chonnam National University, Daehakro, Yeosu 59626, South Korea 2 Faculty of Marine Technology, Chonnam National University, Daehak-ro, Yeosu 59626, South Korea 3 Department of Oceanography, Chonnam National University, Yongbong-ro, Buk-gu, Gwangju 61186, South Korea

Corresponding author: Ho Young Soh (hysoh@chonnam.ac.kr); Hae-Lip Suh (suhhl@chonnam.ac.kr)

Academic editor: Greg Rouse Received 12 March 2019 Accepted 29 June 2019	Published 5 August 2019

Citation: Jeong M-K, Soh HY, Suh H-L (2019) Three new species of *Heteromastus* (Annelida, Capitellidae) from Korean waters, with genetic evidence based on two gene markers. ZooKeys 869: 1–18. https://doi.org/10.3897/zooKeys.869.34380

Abstract

Three undescribed species of *Heteromastus* Eisig, 1887 were collected from intertidal to sublittoral habitats in western and southern waters of Korea. *Heteromastus namhaensis* sp. nov. is distinguishable from other congeners by the presence of hemispheric notopodial lobes in the posterior abdomen. *Heteromastus gusipoensis* sp. nov. closely resembles *H. tohbaiensis* Yabe & Mawatari, 1998 in the absence of posteriorly extended abdominal notopodial lobes, but differs in the absence of eyespots on the prostomium and distinct node on the shaft of thoracic hooks in *H. gusipoensis. Heteromastus koreanus* sp. nov. is similar to *H. filiformis* sensu Hutchings & Rainer, 1982 in the shape of abdominal notopodia, but clearly differs in dentition of the abdominal hooks and methylene green staining pattern (MGSP). DNA sequences (mtCOI and histone H3) of these new Korean species were compared with all sequences of *Heteromastus* species available in the public database. Molecular results showed distinct genetic differences among these three new Korean species at species level. Comparison of mtCOI gene revealed significant genetic differences between *H. filiformis* and these Korean species. A comprehensive comparison between three *Heteromastus* species of present study and their closely related congeners is conducted based on morphological and genetic results.

Keywords

Genetic comparison, histone H3, morphology, mtCOI, new species

Introduction

The genus *Heteromastus* Eisig, 1887, which belongs to the family Capitellidae Grube, 1862, is commonly found from intertidal areas to shallow subtidal depths in a variety of sediment types, including fine and silty sand and mud (Blake 2000; Dean 2001). Feeding activity of *Heteromastus* plays an important role in the supply of overlying oxygenated water into anoxic muds below the redox potential discontinuity (Cadée 1979). Heteromastus is known as a biological indicator and opportunistic species in marine hypoxia condition (Cadée 1979). The genus Heteromastus was first designated by Eisig (1887) based on the description of H. filiformis Claparède, 1864 (as Capitella filiformis) from southern France. According to his diagnosis, Heteromastus is distinguished from other genera in the family by having 11 thoracic chaetigers, of which the first five only have capillaries. Green (2002) improved this generic definition by including the differences in the thoracic (long-shafted) and abdominal (short-shafted) hooks. However, the lack of good generic characteristics has led to taxonomic confusion in this genus (Blake 2000; Green 2002). For instance, although Heteromastus currently contains seven valid species (Read and Fauchald 2018), the chaetal arrangement of *H. giganteus* Zachs, 1933 does not match to the original generic definition (Zachs 1933). Among the recognized generic characteristics, the number of thoracic segments can be miscounted due to ambiguous boundaries among peristomium, thorax, and abdomen (Blake 2000). In addition, the thoracic chaetal arrangement varies depending on the degree of development (Fredette 1982).

Heteromastus filiformis (Claparède, 1864), the generic type species, is well known as a cosmopolitan species found in various types of the habitats and has been referred to in many ecological studies (Hutchings and Rainer 1982). Species-specific characters of this representative species have been controversial due to incomplete original description and the absence of the original type specimens from southern France, although Hutchings and Rainer (1982) have later designated the neotype from Egypt (Green 2002). In addition, the dental structure of abdominal hooks and the shape of posterior parapodial lobes of *H. filiformis* have been described differently in published records including the neotype (Blake 2000; Green 2002). In Korean waters, Choi and Yoon (2016) have reported that H. filiformis was the only species belonging to genus Heteromastus occurring in this region based on morphological features. They have suggested that Korean specimens have some minor differences with former records of *H. filiformis* in the morphology of abdominal hooks and methylene green staining pattern, although these characters have been used for identification of recorded species in family Capitellidae (Blake 2000; Green 2002; Jeong et al. 2017b). Recently, a combination of morphological and molecular analysis has been conducted to distinguish very close polychaete species and geographical populations (e.g. Glasby et al. 2013; Jeong et al. 2017b, 2018). The aim of the present study is to verify the taxonomic status of undescribed *Heteromastus* species inhabiting Korean waters based on morphological and molecular analysis using two different partial genes (mtCOI and histone H3) in comparison with their closest species in the genus.

Materials and methods

Morphological analysis

Samples were collected from eight stations of Korean sublittoral areas using a 0.05 m² Van Veen grab (Fig. 1). Sediment samples were elutriated over a 0.5 mm sieve in a 30 L seawater container and organisms were transferred to a 1 L collecting jar containing 7% MgCl₂ solution for anesthesia. Relaxed samples were fixed in a buffered solution of 10% formalin within one hour and then finally preserved in 95% ethanol. In the laboratory, *Heteromastus* specimens were sorted under a Zoom Stereomicroscope (SMZ745T, Nikon). Line drawings were performed using a differential interference contrast microscope (Eclipse Ci-L, Nikon) and a digital pen display (Cintiq 22HD, Wacom). Methyl green staining patterns (MGSP) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analyses were performed as delineated by Jeong et al. (2017b). The examined type materials were deposited in the collection of Marine Biodiversity Institute of Korea (MABIK) in Seocheon, Korea (Table 1).



Figure 1. Map of study area with main collecting locations indicated. Abbreviations (district names): AH, Anheung; CS, Cheongsando; GY, Gwangyang; JJ, Jejudo; MA, Muan; SC, Seochun; YG, Yeonggwang; YS, Yeosu.

Species name	L	ocation	Latitude / Type Longitude		Voucher number	Accession n Genba	References	
	Country	District	(DDM)			mtCOI	Histone H3	
H. namhaensis	Korea	Cheongsando	34°1.662'N, 127°4.272'E	Holotype	NA00155558	MK032276	MK032285	This study
sp. nov.		Jejudo	33°16.699'N, 127°16.230' E	Paratype	NA00155559	MK032277	MK032286	
		Yeosu	34°41.569'N, 127°51.848'E	Paratype	NA00155560	MK032278	MK032287	
Н.		Yeonggwang	35°25.819'N,	Holotype	NA00155561			
gusipoensis			126°25.482'E	Paratype	NA00155562	MK032279	MK032288	
sp. nov.				Paratype	NA00155563	MK032280	MK032289	
				Non-type	NA00155564	MK032281	MK032290	
<i>H. koreanus</i> sp. nov.		Muan	35°6.270'N, 126°20.093'E	Holotype	NA00155565			
		Anheung	36°40.740'N, 126°9.121'E	Paratype	NA00155566	MK032282	MK032291	
		Gwangyang	34°55.940'N, 127°36.252'E	Paratype	NA00155567	MK032283	MK032292	
		Seochun	36°0.95'N, 126°39.79'E	Non-type	NA00155568	MK032284	MK032293	
H. filiformis	China	Bohai Sea	38°N, 120°E		BIOUG03550-H04	HZPLY183-12 (AC of BOLD)		BOLD (2019)
H. filiformis	USA	Maryland	38°52.428'N, 76°31.482'W		USNM:IZ:1463490	MH235890		Unpublished

Table 1. A list of sampling localities, species name, sample type, voucher number, Genbank accession number, and references. AC: Accession number, BOLD: Barcode of life data system (http://www.boldsystems.org).

Molecular analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted from ethanol-preserved specimens. Specimens used for molecular analysis were partially dissected (ca 2 segments) in the middle part of the abdomen. To extract genomic DNA, 1.5 mL centrifuge tubes each containing 45 µL of 10% Chelex suspension (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc.), 5 μ L of Proteinase K (10 mg/ml, iNtRON Biotechnology, Inc.), and dissected tissues (ca 2 segments) were incubated at 56 °C for 3–12 hours. Extracted genomic DNA was used as a template to amplify the target region. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed on a MasterCycler PCR thermal cycler (Eppendorf Co.). The primer pair for mtCOI was LCO1490 and HCO2198 (Folmer et al. 1994). For histone H3, it was H3F and H3R (Colgan et al. 1998). PCR mixtures contained 17 μ L of deionized water, 1 μ L of each primer (10 μ M), 1 μ L of DNA template and PCR premix (20 μ L, BiONEER Co.). The temperature profile was as follows: 94 °C/180 s-(94 °C/30 s-46 °C/30 s-72 °C/60 s) * 40 cycles-72 °C/480 s for mtCOI and 94 °C/180 s-(94 °C/45 s-50 °C/60 s-72 °C/60 s) * 35 cycles-72 °C/420 s for histone H3. Purification and sequencing of obtained PCR products were performed at Macrogen Inc. facilities (Seoul, Korea). Forward and reverse sequences were edited using Chromas software version 2.3 (Technelysium Pty Ltd). Partial sequences of the mtCOI and histone H3 genes were aligned with the available sequences obtained from GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ Genbank) and BOLDSYSTEMS (http://www.boldsystems.org/) using the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 7.0 (Kumar et al. 2016).

Table 1 summarizes information for all sequences used in the analyses. These aligned sequences were used as data sets to generate genetic distance using Kimura's two-parameter (K2P) model (Kimura 1980). Based on K2P distances, intraspecific genetic differences within the Korean specimens and the interspecific genetic differences among the closest taxa were calculated.

Results

Systematics Family Capitellidae Grube, 1862

Genus Heteromastus Eisig, 1887

Type species. Heteromastus filiformis (Claparède, 1864).

Type locality. Port-Vendres, France.

Generic diagnosis (modified after Magalháes and Blake (in press)). Prostomium short to long, conical, eyespots present or absent. Thorax with 11 chaetigers. Chaetiger 1 biramous. Chaetigers 1–5 with only capillary chaetae, chaetigers 6–11 with long-shafted hooded hooks. Abdominal chaetigers with short-shafted hooded hooks. Branchiae present or absent on posterior abdomen. Genital pores presence on posterior thoracic chaetigers. Lateral organs distinct on thorax and indistinct on abdomen. Pygidium adorned with ventral caudal cirrus.

Heteromastus namhaensis sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/D41E7B49-B712-42A7-8095-94E906ABB121 Figures 2A–G, 5A, B, 6A

Material examined. Holotype: MABIKNA00155558, sex uncertain, Cheongsando, 34°1.662'N, 127°4.272'E, subtidal, sandy mud bottom, 34 m depth, March 2016, coll. Man-Ki Jeong. **Paratypes** (two specimens): MABIKNA00155560, Yeosu, 34°41.569'N, 127°51.848'E, subtidal, sandy mud bottom, 15 m depth, June 2018; MABIK NA00155559, Jejudo, 33°16.699'N, 127°16.230'E, subtidal, sandy mud bottom, 54 m depth, April 2018. Additional 6 specimens from type locality on SEM stub.

Diagnosis. Abdominal hooks with four rows of teeth, three teeth in basal row, three in second and third row, and four to six in superior row. Genital pores present in intersegmental furrows between chaetigers 7–8, 8–9, 9–10, and 10–11. Hemispheric notopodial lobes present on posterior abdominal segments.

Description. Holotype entire, about 60 mm long, 0.9 mm wide for 98 chaetigers (terminal part missing). Paratypes range from 19–41 mm in length, 0.5–0.8 mm width for 41–95 chaetigers. Body thread-like, rounded dorsally, flattened ventrally, widest in anterior thoracic chaetigers, and tapering from abdomen to pygidium. Color brownish yellow in alcohol.



Figure 2. *Heteromastus namhaensis* sp. nov. **A** anterior end, left lateral view (holotype, NA00155558) **B** same, dorsal view **C** posterior abdominal segments, right lateral view (holotype, NA00155558) **D** posterior end, dorsal view (holotype, NA00155558) **E** abdominal short-shafted hook, frontal view. **F** thoracic long-shafted hook, lateral view **G** abdominal short-shafted hook, lateral view. Abbreviations: ac, anal cirrus; cc, capillary chaetae; Ch, chaetiger; gp, genital pore; hh, hooded hooks; lo, lateral organ; mf, main fang; neu, neuropod; no, notopod; per, peristomium; pro, prostomium; prob, proboscis; pyg, pygidium.

Prostomium conical, with short and hemispherical palpode; nuchal organs not seen, eyespots absent (Fig. 2A, B). Everted proboscis with numerous small papillae (Fig. 2A). Peristomium uni-annulated and slightly longer than first thoracic chaetiger (Fig. 2A, B).

Thorax with 11 chaetigers (Fig. 2A, B). Thoracic segments biannulated, with shallow intra- and intersegmental grooves (Fig. 2A, B). Anterior five thoracic segments slightly expanded (Fig. 2A, B). First chaetiger biramous, with three or four bi-limbated capillaries; chaetigers 2–5 with six to 14 capillaries per fascicle in both parapodia; chaetigers 6–11 with five to 12 long-shafted hooded hooks per fascicle (Fig. 2A, B, F); thoracic hooks with indistinct node on shaft and at least six teeth in three rows above the main fang (Fig. 2F). Notopodia located dorso-laterally, dorsally located in last few thoracic segments; neuropodia located in lateral positions (Fig. 2A, B). Lateral organs present between noto- and neuropodia of all thoracic chaetigers, nearer to notopodia in chaetigers 5–11; sometimes indistinct on first thoracic chaetigers (Fig. 2A). Genital pores present in intersegmental furrows of between chaetigers 7–8, 8–9, 9–10, and 10–11 (Fig. 2A). Transition between thorax and abdomen distinguished by changes in ultrastructure of chaetae and shape of segment (Fig. 2A, B); abdominal segments multi-annulated, gradually longer posteriorly, with short-shafted hooded hooks in posterior parapodial lobes; thoracic chaetigers usually bi-annulated, wider than long, with long-shafted hooded hooks in center of segment (Fig. 2A, B).

Abdominal parapodial lobes located in posterior end of each segment, well separated from each other, and gradually developed posteriorly (Fig. 2A–D). Abdominal notopodia separated, mid-dorsal on anterior few segments, becoming dorsolateral in following abdominal region, with six to eight hooded hooks per fascicle, having dorsoposteriorly protruded and hemispheric lobes from chaetiger 90 to end of body (Figs 2A–D, 5B). Abdominal neuropodia well separated, with 10–12 hooded hooks per fascicle, having slightly protruded lobes in posterior abdomen; neuropodial lobes less developed than notopodial lobes (Figs 2C, D, 5B).

Hooded hooks with main fang extending slightly beyond hoods. Abdominal hooks with distinct node on shaft and four rows of small teeth above main fang; three teeth in basal row, three in second and third row, and four to six in superior row (Figs 2E, G, 5A). Pygidium with digitate anal cirrus (Figs 2D, 5B).

Methyl green staining pattern. Prostomium, peristomium and thoracic chaetigers 1–2 not stained (Fig. 6A). Thoracic chaetigers 3–11 stained blue; chaetigers 3–8 stained dark blue; chaetigers 9–11 stained light blue; post-chaetal region of chaetiger 11 not stained (Fig. 6A). Abdominal region without any distinct staining pattern.

Etymology. The species is named for its wide distribution in Namhae (=Korean name of southern sea of Korea).

Distribution. Subtidal areas (15–54 m) near southern part of Korea (Fig. 1).

Ecology. *Heteromastus namhaensis* was sampled from soft sediments in March of 2016 (10 ind./m²), April of 2018 (40 ind./m²), and June of 2018 (20 ind./m²). The most well-developed individual (having over 100 segments) was obtained in March and eggs in the coelom were $87-94 \mu m$ in diameter. Surface sediment of the station was mainly composed of sandy mud with fragmented shells. *Leiochrides yokjidoensis* Jeong, Wi & Suh, 2017 co-occurred in Jejudo of Korea (Jeong et al. 2017a; Fig. 1). The salinity range among sampling locations was about 31-32.5 PSU.

Remarks. *Heteromastus namhaensis* resembles *H. filiformis* sensu Hutchings & Rainer, 1982 in the absence of distinct eyespots on prostomium, three teeth in basal row above the main fang of abdominal hooks, and the presence of posteriorly extended abdominal notopodial lobes (Table 2). However, they differ in the shape of notopodial lobes in posterior abdomen (hemispheric protrusion in *H. namhaensis* vs broadly-based and rounded lamellae in *H. filiformis* sensu Hutchings & Rainer, 1982), the different dental structure of abdominal hooks (Table 2). *Heteromastus namhaensis* is also easily distinguished from Korean former record of *H. filiformis* (Choi and Yoon 2016) by the presence of hemispheric abdominal parapodial lobes and the absence of eyespots in *H. namhaensis*. In particular, the hemispheric notopodial lobe of *H. namhaensis* is a unique feature in the genus.

-					
Species	Eyespots	Dental structure of	Notopodial lobes in posterior	Methyl green staining	Habitat (locality)
		abdollillai liooks	abdomen	pattern	
<i>H. namhaensis</i> sp. nov.	А	4 rows (3/3/3/4–6)	Hemispheric notopodial lobes dorso-posteriorly extended	Ch 3–11 blue, abdomen not stained	Subtidal, 36 m, sandy mud with shell fragments (Korea)
<i>H. gusipoensis</i> sp. nov.	А	4 rows (3/3/4/2)	Not extended	Ch 3–10 with blue speckles, median part of each segment stained densely	Intertidal, 0–1 m, sandy mud (Korea)
<i>H. koreanus</i> sp. nov.	Р	3 rows (2/3/4)	Rounded notopodial lobes posteriorly extended	Ch 6–11 green, Ch 11 dark, abdomen not stained	Intertidal, estuarine, 0–1 m, sandy mud (Korea)
<i>H. filiformis</i> sensu Hutchings & Rainer, 1982	А	3 rows (3-4/4- 5/4-6)	Broadly-based and rounded notopodial lobes posteriorly extended	Unknown	Intertidal (Mediterranean)
<i>H. filiformis</i> sensu Choi & Yoon, 2016	Р	3-4 teeth in 3 rows	Rounded notopodial lobes posteriorly extended	Ch 1 & Ch 3–11	Intertidal (Korea)
<i>H. tohbaiensis</i> Yabe & Mawatari, 1998	Р	Variable (>11)	Not extended	Unknown	Lake, low salinity, fine mud (Japan)

Table 2. Morphological comparison between *Heteromastus* species of this study and their closely related species. A: absent; P: present; Ch: chaetiger.

Heteromastus gusipoensis sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/0E904D4E-8DED-483D-A503-C60AFCB1671F Figures 3A–G, 5C, D, 6B

Material examined. Holotype: MABIKNA00155561, sex uncertain, Yeonggwang, 35°25.819'N, 126°25.482'E, intertidal, tidal mud-flat, 1 m depth, November 2017, coll. Man-Ki Jeong. **Paratypes** (2 specimens): MABIK NA00155562 and NA00155563, same information as holotype.

Additional material examined. MABIK NA00155564, sex uncertain, Yeonggwang, 35°25.819'N, 126°25.482'E, intertidal, tidal mud-flat, 1 m depth, May 2015, coll. Man-Ki Jeong. Additional 16 specimens from type locality on SEM stub.

Diagnosis. Abdominal hooks with four rows of teeth; three teeth in basal row, three in second row, four in third row, and two in superior row. Genital pores present in intersegmental furrows of between chaetigers 5–6, 6–7, 7–8, 8–9, 9–10, and 10–11. Posteriorly extended parapodial lobes absent on abdominal segments.

Description. Holotype entire, about 26 mm long, 0.5 mm wide for 120 chaetigers. Paratypes range from 19–24 mm in length, 0.4–0.5 mm width for 75–110 chaetigers. Body thread-like, rounded dorsally, flattened ventrally, widest in anterior thoracic chaetigers, and tapering from abdomen to pygidium. Color yellowish white in alcohol.

Prostomium short, conical, with short and blunt palpode; nuchal organs not seen, eyespots absent (Fig. 3A, B). Everted proboscis with small hemispheric papillae (Fig. 3B). Peristomium weakly bi-annulated and subequal in length with chaetiger 1 (Fig. 3A, B).

Thorax with 11 chaetigers (Fig. 3A, B). Thoracic segments biannulated, with shallow intra- and intersegmental grooves (Fig. 3A, B). First chaetiger biramous, with three or four bi-limbated capillaries; chaetigers 2–5 with six or seven capillaries per fascicle in both parapodia; chaetigers 6–11 with six or seven long-shafted hooded hooks per fas-



Figure 3. *Heteromastus gusipoensis* sp. nov. **A** anterior end, dorsal view (holotype, NA00155561) **B** same, lateral view **C** posterior abdominal segments, left lateral view (holotype, NA00155561) **D** posterior end, dorsal view (holotype, NA00155561) **E** abdominal short-shafted hook, frontal view **F** thoracic long-shafted hook, lateral view **G** abdominal short-shafted hook, lateral view. Abbreviations: ac, anal cirrus; cc, capillary chaetae; Ch, chaetiger; gp, genital pore; hh, hooded hooks; lo, lateral organ; mf, main fang; neu, neuropod; no, notopod; per, peristomium; pro, prostomium; prob, proboscis; pyg, pygidium.

cicle (Fig. 3A, B, F); thoracic hooks with indistinct node on shaft and at least 10 small teeth in three rows above the main fang (Fig. 3F). Notopodia located in dorso-laterally, dorsally located in last few thoracic segments; neuropodia located in lateral positions (Fig. 3A, B). Lateral organs present between both parapodia of all thoracic chaetigers, nearer to notopodia in chaetigers three to 11 (Fig. 3B). Genital pores present in intersegmental furrows between chaetigers 5–6, 6–7, 7–8, 8–9, 9–10, and 10–11; sometimes indistinct between chaetigers 5–6 (Fig. 3B).

Transition between thorax and abdomen distinguished by changes in chaetation and shape of segment (Fig. 3A, B); abdominal segments multi-annulated, with shortshafted hooded hooks in posterior part of segment; thoracic chaetigers usually bi-annulated, with long-shafted hooded hooks in center of segment; last thoracic chaetiger usually shorter than first abdominal chaetiger (Fig. 3A, B).

Abdominal parapodial lobes well separated from each other, located in posterior end of each segment (Fig. 3A–C). Abdominal notopodia separated, mid-dorsal on anterior few segments, becoming dorsolateral in following abdominal region, with five or six hooded hooks per fascicle, not protruded in anterior abdominal region, and very weakly protruded above epidermis in mid-posterior abdomen; not extended over further segment (Figs 3A–D, 5D). Abdominal neuropodia separated, not protruded, with six to eight hooded hooks per fascicle; neuropodial lobes less developed than no-topodial lobes (Figs 3A–C, 5D).

Hooded hooks with main fang extending slightly beyond hoods. Abdominal hooks with distinct node on shaft and four rows of small teeth above main fang; three teeth in basal row, three in second row, four in third row, and two in superior row (Figs 3E, G, 5C). Pygidium with digitate anal cirrus (Figs 3D, 5D).

Methyl green staining pattern. Prostomium, peristomium and thoracic chaetigers 1–2 not stained (Fig. 6B). Thoracic chaetigers 3–10 stained blue; blue speckles restrictively present on the median part of each segment; blue speckles sparse in chaetigers 3–4 (Fig. 6B). Abdominal region without any distinct staining pattern; parapodial lobes of chaetigers 12–13 slightly stained in blue but rapidly fades.

Etymology. The new species is named for its limited distribution in Gusipo, Korea. **Distribution.** Intertidal area (0–1 m) near Gusipo, Korea.

Ecology. *Heteromastus gusipoensis* was sampled in May of 2015 (9 ind./m²) and November of 2017 (71 ind./m²). Most well-developed individuals (having over 120 segments) were obtained in November. Surface sediment of the collecting station was mainly composed of fine sand and silt. Unidentified nereidid polychaetes co-occurred in the same location. The salinity of the sampling location was about 32.

Remarks. *Heteromastus gusipoensis* closely resembles *H. tohbaiensis* Yabe & Mawatari 1998 in the chaetal arrangement and the absence of developed parapodial lobes in posterior abdomen (Table 2). However, they differ in the presence of eyespots on prostomium and distinct node on the shaft of thoracic hooks in *H. tohbaiensis* (Table 2; Yabe and Mawatari 1998). Moreover, they occur in different habitats and geographical areas. *H. gusipoensis* only occurs in the marine intertidal zone (salinity ca 32) of southwestern Korea, whereas *H. tohbaiensis* is only reported from the lacustrine habitat of northern Japan (Yabe and Mawatari 1998). *Heteromastus gusipoensis* is readily distinguished from the Korean former record, *H. filiformis* sensu Choi & Yoon, 2016, by the absence of prostomial eyespots and expanded abdominal parapodial lobes in *H. gusipoensis*.

Heteromastus koreanus sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/C70CE167-A93A-45B1-AD5F-45DD159511C7 Figures 4A–G, 5E, F, 6C

Material examined. Holotype: MABIKNA00155565, sex uncertain, Muan, 35°6.270'N, 126°20.093'E, intertidal, tidal mud-flat, 1 m depth, November 2017, coll. Man-Ki Jeong. **Paratypes** (2 specimens): MABIKNA00155566, sex uncertain, Anheung, 36°40.740'N, 126°9.121'E, intertidal, muddy sand beach, 1 m depth, April 2014, coll. Man-Ki Jeong; MABIK NA00155567, sex uncertain, Gwangyang, 34°55.940'N, 127°36.252'E, intertidal, tidal mud-flat, 1 m depth, November 2017, coll. Man-Ki Jeong.

Additional material examined. MABIKNA00155568, sex uncertain, Seochun, 36°0.95'N, 126°39.79'E, intertidal, tidal mud-flat, 1 m depth, May 2015, coll. Man-Ki Jeong. Additional seven specimens from type locality on SEM stub.



Figure 4. *Heteromastus koreanus* sp. nov. **A** anterior end, lateral view (holotype, NA00155565) **B** same, dorsal view **C** posterior abdominal segments, left lateral view (holotype, NA00155565) **D** posterior end, left lateral view (holotype, NA00155565) **E** abdominal short-shafted hook, frontal view **F** thoracic long-shafted hook, lateral view **G** abdominal short-shafted hook, lateral view. Abbreviations: ac, anal cirrus; cc, capillary chaetae; Ch, chaetiger; gp, genital pore; hh, hooded hooks; lo, lateral organ; mf, main fang; neu, neuropod; no, notopod; per, peristomium; pro, prostomium; prob, proboscis; pyg, pygidium.

Diagnosis. Abdominal hooks with three rows of teeth; two teeth in basal row, three in second row, and four in superior row. Genital pores present in intersegmental furrows between chaetigers 7–8, 8–9, 9–10, and 10–11. Posteriorly extended and rounded thin parapodial lobes present on posterior abdominal segments.

Description. Holotype entire, about 28 mm long, 0.5 mm wide for 115 chaetigers. Paratypes range from 36–51 mm in length, 0.6 mm width for 89–95 chaetigers. Body thread-like, rounded dorsally, flattened ventrally, widest in anterior thoracic chaetigers, and tapering from abdomen to pygidium. Color whitish yellow in alcohol.

Prostomium conical, with slender and relatively long palpode; nuchal organs not seen, eyespots usually not observed in preserved specimen (Fig. 4A), sub-epidermal eyespots observed in few preserved specimens from Anheung of Korea (Fig. 4B). Everted proboscis with numerous small papillae (Fig. 4A, B). Peristomium uniannulated and slightly longer than chaetiger 1 (Fig. 4A, B).

Thorax with 11 chaetigers (Fig. 4A, B). Thoracic segments biannulated, with shallow intra- and intersegmental grooves (Fig. 2A, B). Anterior five thoracic segments slightly expanded (Fig. 4A). First chaetiger biramous, with three or four bi-limbated capillaries; chaetigers 2–5 with five to eight capillaries per fascicle in both noto- and



Figure 5. *Heteromastus namhaensis* sp. nov. A abdominal hooded hook in lateral view B posterior end in dorsal view (holotype, NA00155558). *Heteromastus gusipoensis* sp. nov. C abdominal hooded hook in frontal view D posterior end in dorsal view (holotype, NA00155561). *Heteromastus koreanus* sp. nov.
E abdominal hooded hook in frontal view F posterior end in dorsal view (holotype, NA00155565). Abbreviations: ac, anal cirrus; mf, main fang; neu, neuropod; no, notopod; pyg, pygidium.

neuropodia; chaetigers 6–11 with six to 10 long-shafted hooded hooks per fascicle (Fig. 4A, B, F); thoracic hooks with indistinct node on shaft and at least eight small teeth in three or four rows above the main fang (Fig. 4F).

Notopodia located in dorso-laterally, dorsally located in last few thoracic segments; neuropodia located in lateral positions (Fig. 4A, B). Lateral organs present between



Figure 6. Methylene green staining patterns of Korean three new species **A** anterior end of *H. namhaensis* sp. nov., lateral view (paratype, NA00155560) **B** anterior end of *H. gusipoensis* sp. nov., lateral view (using additional specimens from type locality) **C** anterior end of *H. koreanus* sp. nov., lateral view (NA00065689).

noto- and neuropodia of all thoracic chaetigers, nearer to notopodia in chaetigers 5–11 (Fig. 4A). Genital pores present in intersegmental furrows of between chaetigers 7–8, 8–9, 9–10, and 10–11 (Fig. 4A).

Transition between thorax and abdomen distinguished by changes in shape of chaetae and segment (Fig. 4A); anterior abdominal segments multi-annulated, gradually longer posteriorly, with short-shafted hooded hooks placed posteriorly in segment; posterior thoracic chaetigers bi- or tri-anullated, with long-shafted hooded hooks in central part of segment; last thoracic chaetiger smaller than first abdominal chaetiger (Fig. 4A). Abdominal parapodial lobes located in posterior end of each segment, well separated from each other, and gradually developed posteriorly (Fig. 4C, D). Abdominal notopodia separated, middorsal on anterior few segments, becoming dorsolateral in following abdominal region, with 5 or 6 short-shafted hooded hooks per fascicle, having posteriorly extended and rounded thin lobes from chaetiger 70–80 to end of body; expanded notopodial lobes overlap dorso-anterior part of further segment (Figs 4C, D, 5F). Abdominal neuropodia well separated, with 10–12 short-shafted hooded hooks per fascicle, having slightly protruded lobes in posterior abdomen; neuropodial lobes less developed than notopodial lobes (Figs 4C, D, 5F).

Hooded hooks with main fang extending slightly beyond hoods. Abdominal hooks with distinct node on shaft and three rows of small teeth above main fang; two teeth in basal row, three in second row, and four in superior row (Figs 4E, G, 5E). Pygidium with digitate anal cirrus (Fig. 4D).

Methyl green staining pattern. Prostomium, peristomium and thoracic chaetigers 1–5 not stained (Fig. 6C). Thoracic chaetigers 6–11 stained green (Fig. 6C). Abdominal region without distinct staining pattern; first two or three abdominal segments stained light green but rapidly fades; anal segment stained blue in well-developed specimens.

Etymology. The new species is named for its wide distribution in coastal waters of Korea.

Distribution. Intertidal areas (0–1 m) near Korea (Fig. 1).

Ecology. *Heteromastus koreanus* was mainly sampled from Gwangyang in April of 2014 (35 ind./m²) and November of 2017 (470 ind./m²). Most well-developed individuals (having over 110 segments) were obtained from Muan and Gwangyang in November and coelomic eggs were $54-71 \mu m$ in diameter. Surface sediment of the collecting station was mainly composed of fine sand and silt. Unidentified cirratullid and nereidid polychaetes co-occurred in Gwangyang, Korea. The salinity range among sampling locations was about 15-33. Gwangyang is the only estuarine habitat. Other locations are situated in marine mud flats.

Remarks. *Heteromastus koreanus* closely resembles former records of *H. filiformis* reported by Hutchings and Rainer (1982) and Choi and Yoon (2016) in the chaetal arrangement, the presence of posteriorly extended notopodial lobes in posterior abdomen, and the absence of the spine-like uncini and the distinct branchial structure (i.e. filamentous or digitiform) in posterior abdomen (Warren 1994; Blake 2000; Table 2). However, they differ in the dentition of abdominal short-shafted hooks (2/3/4 in *H. koreanus* vs 3–4/4–5/4–6 in *H. filiformis* sensu Hutchings & Rainer, 1982 vs three or four teeth in three rows in *H. filiformis* sensu Choi & Yoon, 2016), and the species-specific MGSP (Table 2). Additionally, *H. filiformis* occurs in the marine intertidal areas of Atlantic, Mediterranean, and America (Blake 2000) whereas *H. koreanus* of present study is collected mainly from the estuarine environment (salinity of 15–23) of Korea (Table 2). *Heteromastus koreanus* is also similar to *H. tohbaiensis* in the chaetal arrangement and presence of eyespots. However, they clearly differ in absence of distinct node on shaft of thoracic hooks and presence of expanded abdominal parapodial lobes in *H. koreanus* (Yabe 1998).

Molecular comparisons. To verify the genetic divergence between examined specimens, partial sequences of mitochondrial (mtCOI) and nuclear (histone H3) genes were used. Intraspecific differences for mtCOI (MK032276-MK032284) and histone H3 (MK032285-MK032293) genes of each Korean species were very low (0-0.4%, Table 3). Based on mtCOI gene comparison, mean interspecific differences among these three new Korean species of the present study were distinct (16.0-18.9%, Table 3). All examined Korean Heteromastus species were well distinguished genetically from *H. filiformis* of China (13.3–19.6%, HZPLY183-12) and America (19.7-22.0%, MH235890). Based on histone H3 gene comparison, mean interspecific differences among the Korean Heteromastus species were 2.8-5.4% (Table 3). The known genetic difference for the mtCOI gene among capitellid species is 12.3-23.7% (Jeong et al. 2017b). In contrast, the published histone H3 gene difference between cryptic polychaetes is 2-9% (Glasby et al. 2013). Thus, genetic differences of these examined Heteromastus species (COI: 13.3-22.0%, H3: 2.8–5.4%) are significant at species level. Among all sequences of unidentified Heteromastus in Genbank database, sequences regarding two specimens from southern Japan (COI: LC208123-LC208124, H3: LC208100-LC208101) were genetically very close to H. koreanus of present study (COI gene difference: 2.1-3.3%, H3 gene difference: 0.9-1.3%). Among the described Heteromastus species from Japan, H. tohbaiensis resembles H. koreanus in the chaetal arrangement and presence of prostomial eyespots. However, they clearly differ in presence of distinct node on shaft of thoracic hooded hooks and absence of expanded abdominal parapodial lobes in H. tohbaiensis (Yabe 1998). Moreover, these two unidentified sequences (LC208123-LC208124) were originally reported from tidal mud flat and estuary near southern Japan, respectively (Tomioka et al. 2018). This distribution pattern is similar with those of *H. koreanus* (i.e. wide salinity range of 15-33) rather than *H. tohbaiensis*, which have been reported from lacustrine habitat of northern Japan. Despite the lack of morphological information regarding these Japanese specimens, the high similarity in genetic feature and inhabiting environment confirms the additional occurrence of H. koreanus in southern Japan.

mtCOI	1	2	3	4	5
1. H. namhaensis sp. nov.(Korea)	0.003				
2. H. gusipoensis sp. nov. (Korea)	0.184	0.001			
3. H. koreanus sp. nov. (Korea)	0.189	0.160	0.004		
4. H. filiformis (China)	0.133	0.196	0.182	_	
5. H. filiformis (USA)	0.218	0.220	0.197	0.194	_
histone H3	1	2	3		
1. H. namhaensis sp. nov. (Korea)	0.002				
2. H. gusipoensis sp. nov. (Korea)	0.054	0.000			
3. H. koreanus sp. nov. (Korea)	0.048	0.028	0.000		

Table 3. Mean genetic distances between examined *Heteromastus* species based on K2P distance. Bold numbers represent the mean intraspecific genetic distance of each species.

Key to species of Heteromastus

1	Thorax with 11 chaetigers; first chaetiger biramous; capillary chaetae only present
	on chaetigers 1–6 H. giganteus Zach, 1933
_	Thorax with 11 chaetigers; first chaetiger biramous; capillary chaetae only present
	on chaetigers 1–5
2	Thoracic hooded hooks with distinct node on shaft
_	Thoracic hooded hooks without distinct node on shaft
3	Abdominal hooks with node located posterior to middle of shaft
	H. similis Southern, 1921
_	Abdominal hooks with node located anterior to middle of shaft
4	Posterior abdominal segment with conspicuously projecting uncinial spines
	H. caudatus (Hartman, 1976)
_	Posterior abdominal segment without conspicuously projecting uncinial spines
5	Posterior abdomen with multiple filamentous branchiae
_	Posterior abdomen without multiple filamentous branchiae
6	Posterior abdomen with hemispheric and dorsally protruded notopodial lobes
_	Posterior abdomen with thin notopodial lobes
7	Notopodial lobes on posterior abdomen not extended over following segment
	<i>H. gusipoensis</i> sp. nov.
_	Notopodial lobes on posterior abdomen overlap dorso-anterior part of following
	segment
8	Abdominal hooded hooks with at least 9 teeth above main fang; 2 distinct teeth
	in basal row
_	Abdominal hooded hooks with at least $11-15$ teeth above main fang: 3 or 4 dis-
	tinct teeth in basal row <i>H. filiformis</i> sensu Hutchings & Rainer, 1982.
9	Hooded hooks with 7–8 teeth above main fang: 3 or 4 distinct teeth in basal
-	row H. hutchingsae Green. 2002

Acknowledgements

We thank the anonymous reviewer and the editor who made constructive and invaluable suggestions and comments. This research was a part of the project titled "Research center for fishery resource management based on the information and communication technology" (2019), funded by the Ministry of Oceans and Fisheries, Korea.

References

- Berkeley E, Berkeley C (1932) Some Capitellidae (Polychaeta) from the northeast Pacific with a description of a new genus. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London 1932: 669–675. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1932.tb01091.x
- Blake JA (2000) Family Capitellidae Grube, 1862. In: Blake JA, Hilbig B, Scott PV (Eds) Taxonomic Atlas of the Santa Maria Basin and Western Santa Barbara Channel. Annelida Part
 Polychaeta: Flabelligeridae to Sternaspidae. Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, California, 47–96.
- BOLD (2019) Chinese *Heteromastus* specimen. Barcode of life data systems. http://www. boldsystems.org/index.php/Public_RecordView?processid=HZPLY183-12 [Accessed on: 2019-3-8]
- Cadée G (1979) Sediment reworking by the polychaete *Heteromastus filiformis* on a tidal flat in the Dutch Wadden Sea. Netherlands Journal of Sea Research 13: 441–456. https://doi. org/10.1016/0077-7579(79)90017-6
- Choi HK, Yoon SM (2016) First record of *Heteromastus filiformis* (Polychaeta: Capitellidae) from Korean waters, with a taxonomic note on the genus. Journal of Species Research 5: 264–271. https://doi.org/10.12651/JSR.2016.5.3.264
- Claparède E (1864) Glanures zootomiques parmi les annelides de Port-Vendres (Pyrenées Orientales). Mémoires de la Société de Physique et d'Histoire Naturelle de Genève 17: 463– 600. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.14827
- Colgan D, McLauchlan A, Wilson G, Livingston S, Edgecombe G, Macaranas J, Cassis G, Gray M (1998) Histone H3 and U2 snRNA DNA sequences and arthropod molecular evolution. Australian Journal of Zoology 46: 419–437. https://doi.org/10.1071/ZO98048
- Day JH (1967) A monograph on the Polychaeta of Southern Africa. Part II. British Museum (Natural History), London, 450 pp. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.8596
- Dean HK (2001) Capitellidae (Annelida: Polychaeta) from the Pacific Coast of Costa Rica. Revista de Biologia Tropical 49: 69–84.
- Eisig H (1887) Monographie der Capitelliden des Golfes von Neapel. Fauna und Flora des Golfes von Neapel 16: 1–906. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.7348
- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R (1994) DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology 3: 294–299.
- Fredette T (1982) Evidence of ontogenetic setal changes in *Heteromastus filiformis* (Polychaeta: Capitellidae). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 95: 194–197.
- Glasby CJ, Wei NWV, Gibb KS (2013) Cryptic species of Nereididae (Annelida: Polychaeta) on Australian coral reefs. Invertebrate Systematics 27: 245–264. https://doi.org/10.1071/IS12031
- Green K (2002) Capitellidae (Polychaeta) from the Andaman Sea. Phuket Marine Biological Center Special Publication 24: 249–343.
- Grube AE (1862) Noch ein Wort über die Capitellen und ihre Stelle im Systeme der Anneliden. Archiv für naturgeschichte 28: 366–378.

- Hampel H, Cattrijsse A, Elliott M (2005) Feeding habits of young predatory fishes in marsh creeks situated along the salinity gradient of the Schelde estuary, Belgium and the Netherlands. Helgoland Marine Research 59: 151–162. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10152-004-0214-2
- Hartman O (1947) Polychaetous annelids. Part VII. Capitellidae. Allan Hancock Pacific Expeditions 10: 391–481.
- Hutchings P, Rainer S (1982) Designation of a neotype of *Capitella filiformis* Claparède, 1864, type species of the genus *Heteromastus* (Polychaeta: Capitellidae). Records of the Australian Museum 34: 373–380. https://doi.org/10.3853/j.0067-1975.34.1982.295
- Jeong MK, Wi JH, Suh HL (2017a) A new species of *Leiochrides* from the Korean subtidal waters with notes on the taxonomic status of the genus *Pseudomastus* (Annelida, Capitellidae). ZooKeys 685: 91–103. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.685.12700
- Jeong MK, Wi JH, Suh HL (2017b) A reassessment of *Capitella* species (Polychaeta: Capitellidae) from Korean coastal waters, with morphological and molecular evidence. Marine Biodiversity: 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-017-0707-2
- Jeong MK, Soh HY, Wi JH, Suh HL (2018) A new *Notomastus* (Annelida, Capitellidae) species from Korean waters, with genetic comparison based on three gene markers. ZooKeys: 141–155. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.754.23655
- Kimura M (1980) A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. Journal of Molecular Evolution 16: 111–120. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01731581
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K (2016) MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Molecular Biology and Evolution 33: 1870–1874. https:// doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054
- Magalhães WF, Blake JA (in press) Capitellidae Grube, 1862. In: Westheide W, Purschke G (Eds) Handbook of Zoology Online, a Natural History of the Phyla of the Animal Kingdom – Annelida, Polychaetes. De Gruyter, Berlin and Boston.
- Read G, Fauchald K [Ed.] (2018) World Polychaeta database *Heteromastus* Eisig, 1887. World Register of Marine Species. http://www.marinespecies.org/aphia. php?p=taxdetails&id=129214 [Accessed on: 2018-10-8]
- Rhoads D (1974) Organism-sediment relations on the muddy sea floor. Oceanography and Marine Biology 12: 263–300.
- Southern R (1921) Fauna of the Chilka Lake and also of fresh and brackish waters in other parts of India. Memoirs of the Indian Museum Calcutta 5: 563–659.
- Tomioka S, Kakui K, Kajihara H (2018) Molecular Phylogeny of the family Capitellidae (Annelida). Zoological Science 35: 436–446. https://doi.org/10.2108/zs180009
- Yabe K, Mawatari SF (1998) Two new species of Capitellidae (Annelida: Polychaeta) from Hokkaido, northern Japan. Species Diversity 3: 201–209. https://doi.org/10.12782/specdiv.3.201
- Warren L, Hutchings P, Doyle S (1994) A revision of the genus *Mediomastus* Hartman, 1944 (Polychaeta: Capitellidae). Records of the Australian Museum 46: 227–256. https://doi.or g/10.3853/j.0067-1975.46.1994.6
- Zachs I (1933) Annelid worm fauna North-Japanese sea (Polychaeta). Gosudarstvennyi Gidrologicheskii Institut, Issledovaniia Morei SSSR, Leningrad 14: 125–137.

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Taxonomic revision of the genus Copelatus of Madagascar (Coleoptera, Dytiscidae, Copelatinae): the non-erichsonii group species

Tolotra Ranarilalatiana¹, Lala Harivelo Raveloson Ravaomanarivo¹, Johannes Bergsten²

I Department of Entomology, Faculty of Sciences, Box 906, Antananarivo University, 101 Antananarivo, Madagascar **2** Department of Zoology, Swedish Museum of Natural History, Box 50007, SE-10405 Stockholm, Sweden

Corresponding author: Tolotra Ranarilalatiana (t.ranarilalatiana@gmail.com)

Academic editor: Mariano Michat Received 20 February 2019 Accepted 30 May 2019	Published 5 August 2019

Citation: Ranarilalatiana T, Raveloson Ravaomanarivo LH, Bergsten J (2019) Taxonomic revision of the genus *Copelatus* of Madagascar (Coleoptera, Dytiscidae, Copelatinae): the non-*erichsonii* group species. ZooKeys 869: 19–90. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.869.33997

Abstract

The genus *Copelatus* Erichson, 1832 (Coleoptera, Dytiscidae, Copelatinae) of Madagascar is revised in two parts. This review is restricted to the *Copelatus* species that have fewer than ten elytral + one submarginal stria, including all species except those of the *erichsonii* species group. Both morphological and molecular (mitochondrial COI) data are used in an integrative taxonomic approach. Thirteen species are recognised, of which five are described as new: *Copelatus ankaratra* **sp. nov.**, *Copelatus kely* **sp. nov.**, *Copelatus pseudostriatus* **sp. nov.**, *Copelatus safiotra* **sp. nov.** and *Copelatus vokoka* **sp. nov.** *Copelatus unguicularis* Régimbart, 1903 and *Copelatus apicalis* Fairmaire, 1898 are both transferred to the genus *Madaglymbus* Shaverdo & Balke, 2008 (**comb. nov.**). *Copelatus mimetes* Guignot 1957 is a junior synonym of the widespread Afrotropical–Arabian *Copelatus pulchellus* (Klug, 1834) (**syn. nov.**). *Copelatus marginipennis* (Laporte, 1835) is reinstated (**stat. nov.**) as a valid species with *Copelatus aldabricus* Balfour-Browne, 1950 and *Copelatus aldabricus* var. *simplex* Guignot, 1952 as junior synonyms (**syn. nov.**). We designate lectotypes for *Colymbetes marginipennis* Laporte, 1835 and *Copelatus obtusus* Boheman, 1848. *Copelatus peridinus* Guignot, 1955 is recorded for Madagascar for the first time and *Copelatus nodieri* Régimbart, 1895 is rejected as a species present in Madagascar.

Keywords

Conservation, distribution, diving beetles, freshwater, gene tree, GMYC, new combination, new species, new synonymy, phylogeny, species delimitation

Copyright Tolotra Ranarilalatiana et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

The subfamily Copelatinae of diving beetles is a diverse group of aquatic beetles represented by eight genera: Agaporomorphus Zimmermann, 1921, Aglymbus Sharp, 1880, Capelatus Turner & Bilton, 2015, Copelatus Erichson, 1832, Exocelina Broun, 1886, Lacconectus Motschulsky, 1855, Liopterus Dejean, 1833, and Madaglymbus Shaverdo & Balke, 2008. This subfamily is relatively homogeneous morphologically, and only the single tribe Copelatini is recognised (Miller 2001; Miller and Bergsten 2014, 2016). They are one of the most commonly encountered water beetles in many wet tropical and subtropical forests (Balke et al. 2004). After the revised circumscription of Aglymbus and the erection of the new genus Madaglymbus (Shaverdo et al. 2008; Miller and Bergsten 2016), this subfamily is represented by two genera on Madagascar: Copelatus and Madaglymbus. Copelatus is the most species-rich genus of Dytiscidae in the world, distributed throughout all zoogeographical regions and currently comprises 442 recognised species (Nilsson and Hájek 2018). Rocchi (1991) listed 22 species of Copelatus from Madagascar, 18 as endemic, after which only one new endemic species has been added by Pederzani & Hájek (2005) and one originally mislabelled species removed from the fauna by Balke et al. (2014).

To be able to deal with this diversity, *Copelatus* species have traditionally been organised in a number of species groups based on the number of elytral and submarginal striae following Sharp (1882; Zimmermann 1919, 1934; Balfour-Browne 1939; Guignot 1961; Guéorguiev 1968; Nilsson et al. 1997; Nilsson 2001). This is today a practical and not always a phylogenetically sound division (Balke et al. 2004). In fact Balke et al. (2004), reconstructing a phylogeny of Copelatinae with mitochondrial CO1 and 16S, found the number of elytral striae to be a highly variable and homplastic character. High variability in number of striae, both intraspecifically and between closely related species, was recently documented in Antillean species (Manuel et al. 2018).

The species that occur in Madagascar fall into five of these species groups: the *hy-droporoides* (= formerly *haemorrhoidalis*, no elytral striae), *longicornis* (3, 4 or 5 elytral striae), *irinus* (6 elytral + 1 submarginal stria), *consors* (10 elytral striae) and *erichsonii* (10 elytral + 1 submarginal stria) groups. About half of the described species are members of the *erichsonii* species group, and the other half of the remaining species groups with fewer striae. In this paper, we will treat the *Copelatus* species of Madagascar with fewer than 10 elytral and one submarginal stria, i.e., all the non-*erichsonii* group species. Again, this is a practical rather than a phylogenetic division and in fact *Copelatus safiotra* sp. nov., described below, we believe is most closely related to the species of the *erichsonii* group due to the shape of male genitalia.

This study is motivated by recent collecting efforts of aquatic beetles in Madagascar 2009–2018 in the Water Beetles of Madagascar Project. The project is a collaboration between the Swedish Museum of Natural History and the University of Antananarivo. The current study is based on this rich new material containing five new species of the *irinus* species group, together with type material and some additional museum accessions. We use both morphological and molecular data with a species delimitation anal-

ysis, in an integrative taxonomic approach to the revision. We provide an identification key and for each species a diagnosis, description, known distribution and habitat and ecology notes. Each species is also illustrated with a dorsal habitus photograph, and ventral and lateral views of male penis and parameres.

Materials and methods

Fieldwork

New collecting efforts of aquatic beetles were conducted mainly in National Parks, reserves and natural forests but also in degraded forests, open areas and along main roads from all parts of Madagascar, except scant from the very south (Fig. 1A). The fieldwork was supported by permits from the "Ministère de l'Environnement, de l'Ecologie et des Forêts". We collected in various habitats ranging from large rivers to small streams, cascades and hygropetric rocks, forest pools, rock pools, ditches and canals, ponds, marshes, and lakes. *Copelatus* can be found in diverse aquatic habitats, both running (lotic) and standing (lentic) waters. Along forest streams they are best sought for in stagnant parts such as side-pools and rock pools with dead leaves or vegetation or in residual pools in a partly dried out streambed. Leaf-choked forest



Figure 1. Maps of Madagascar with records and first level administrative divisions. **A** All localities of examined *Copelatus* specimens of non-*erichsonii* group species **B** The 22 current regions and six former provinces of Madagascar.

pools, vegetation-rich edges of ponds and lakes and marshes are also very good habitats for *Copelatus*. Some species are very good fliers and readily come to light, but the proportion of light catches (using a 22W black-ringlight) in the studied material is relatively small. A surprising number can be found even in minute seeps especially if visited with head lamp at night when they are more active and swim around. *Copelatus* was sampled mainly using white pans and GB water nets and sieves with various sizes depending on waterbody type. GB water net is often best for larger habitats while sieves were used for sampling smaller habitats like rockpools. Specimens were collected into plastic tubes with 95% ethanol for conservation.

Each locality was given a collecting event code and associated metadata included geographic name(s), forest type, waterbody type, habitat description, eventual disturbance, collecting date and collectors. Altitude, latitude and longitude were recorded with a handheld GPS (Garmin). Each locality was also documented with photographs using compact Canon and Olympus digital cameras.

Madagascar administrative divisions

Madagascar is since the 2007 revision of the constitution divided into 22 regions as a first level administrative division, followed by districts as a second level, a recent change from the former six provinces as first level (Fig. 1B). Studied material is listed in sections preceeded by geographical divisions (former province. region: district1, district2.) to give records by both current and, the faunistically much longer used, former first level divisions.

Depositories

Most of the studied material came from the new fieldwork and is shared between the Swedish Museum of Natural History (**NHRS**), Parc Botanique et Zoologique de Tsimbazaza/ Madagascar Biodiversity Center (**PBZT/MBC**) and Department of Entomology, Antananarivo University (**DEUA**). Some material originated from efforts organised by the Natural History Museum in London (**NHMUK**) 2004–2007.

Additional studied material came from earlier expeditions housed at museum collections in Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris (**MNHN**), Museum of Natural History, London (NHMUK), California Academy of Sciences (**CAS**), Naturhistorisches Museum, Vienna (**NMW**), and National Museum (Natural History), Prague (**NMPC**). Studied older types are housed in Paris (MNHN), London (NHMUK), Stockholm (NHRS) and Prague (NMPC), while holotypes of newly decribed species are housed in Stockholm (NHRS).

Depositories of studied specimens are referred to by above abbreviations (see Suppl. material 1). Long paratype series for new species with "NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC" given as depository will also be shared with other central institution collections.

Morphology

Specimens were examined under dissection microscopes from Leica (M165C and MZ12.5). Genitalia were extracted with a fine forceps or pin from the tip of the abdomen and glued onto cards on the same pin as the specimen. Dry-preserved specimens were first relaxed in warm water for 5–20 minutes before genitalia were carefully extracted. Photos of habitus were taken with a Canon EOS 5D Mark II DSLR camera equipped with a Canon MP-E 65mm 1–5X super macrolens and mounted on a motorised rail (Stackshot) from Cognisys. The system was operated using Canon EOS Utility and Zerene Stacker (Zerene Systems) softwares, the latter also used for stacking the Z-stack of captured images with the PMax or DMap algorithms. Photographs of dry-mounted genitalia were taken with a Canon EOS 7D DSLR camera mounted on a BALPRO 1 Universal bellow from Novoflex with a long working distance 10X Plano apochromatic microscope objective from Mitutoyo. The bellow was mounted on a motorised rail (Stackshot) from Cognisys and operated with the same software given above.

In describing the male penis we use the terminology suggested by Miller and Nilsson (2003).

Label data are given as written and separated by "//" if on separate labels and "|" if on different rows on the same label. Text within square brackets "[]" are our comments, explications or interpretations. Most examined specimens (individual mounted specimens, or single alcohol tubes with multiple specimens) have been given unique catalogue numbers and these are listed first, starting with "NHRS", "BMNH" (=NHMUK) or "CAS", followed by a number. A series of specimens with consecutive catalogue numbers are given as a range. The following additional abbreviations are used:

Alc.	in alcohol tube,	LT	Lectotype,
Ex.	exemplars (number of individuals),	PLT	Paralectotype,
GP	(Genital Preparation) male geni-	РТ	Paratype,
	talia have been examined,	ST	Syntype,
HT	Holotype,	TL	Type locality,

DNA extraction and PCR

DNA was extracted from one mesoleg or from soft abdominal tissue retrieved in association with dissection of male genitalia. The leg or soft tissue was incubated in lysis buffer at 56 °C overnight. Post-incubation protocol followed the cell and tissue DNA kit on a KingFisher Duo Prime. This system provides automated nucleic acid purification at a running time of approx. 25 minutes.

We used ready-to-go beads to prepare 25 μ l PCR reactions consisting of 21 μ l of water, 1 μ l of each primer and 2 μ l of DNA template. We used the primers Jerry (F, 5'-CAA CAT TTA TTT TGA TTT TTT GG-3') and PatDyt (R, 5'-TCA TTG CAC TAA TCT GCC ATA TTA G-3') to amplify an 825 bp fragment of mitochondrial cytochrome coxidase subunit 1 gene (COI or *cox1*) (Simon et al. 1994; Isambert et al. 2011). For two dry-mounted specimens, *Copelatus* sp. female (Bemaraha) (CASENT-8135000) and *Copelatus* sp. female (Andasibe) (NHRS-JLKB000065698), we used the primers Jerry (F, 5'-CAA CAT TTA TTT TGA TTT TGG are the formal (R, 5'-GGA AAT CAT TGA ATA AAT CCA GCT-3'); and Hal 1450fw (F, 5'-AGC TGG ATT TAT TCA ATG ATT TCC-3') – PatDyt (R, 5'-TCA TTG CAC TAA TCT GCC ATA TTA G-3') to amplify the same segment but in two shorter sections (Wallin et al. 2017). The PCR programme started with 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 50 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 50 s. A final extension step of 72 °C for 8 min followed the 40 cycles, and reactions were then stored in the block at 12 °C. Agarose gel electrophoresis was used to verify amplifications, including a negative control.

Successful PCR products were purified using EXOSAP Clean-up mix of two enzymes (Exonuclease and Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase) and run in a PCR machine with the programme 37 °C for 30 min followed by 80 °C for 15 min and finally 12 °C (∞). PCR products were sent to Macrogen for sequencing.

Gene regions were sequenced in both directions and sequence chromatograms were edited with SEQUENCHER version 4.10.1 (Gene Codes Corporation). The contigs were assembled from forward and reverse reads, and primer regions trimmed. New sequence data were then exported in fasta format and aligned together with Gen-Bank sequences of *Copelatus* from Isambert et al. (2011) in CLUSTALX using default settings. All new sequences are available in Genbank under accession numbers MK878825-MK878877 (Table 1).

Phylogenetic analysis

We first performed a Bayesian phylogenetic analysis to produce a CO1-genetree. As the taxon selection here is not aimed at producing a phylogeny, but to interprete genetic variation in light of morphological delimitations of a diagnosable set of species in a certain geographic region (Madagascar), the genetree was artificially rooted using *Copelatus befasicus*. We used PARTITIONFINDER Ver. 2.1.1 (Lanfear et al. 2016) to infer a suitable partitioning scheme (three codon-position specific partitions defined as input) and for each partition, a suitable model. The choice of models was limited to those available in MrBAYES, and selection was based on AICc scores. We ran 10M generations in MrBAYES Ver. 3.2.6 (Ronquist et al. 2012) to infer a non-clock CO1 genetree under selected partitioning scheme and models. Two runs, each with one cold and three heated chains were sampled every 1000th generation. A majority-rule consensus tree was calculated from both runs after removal of 25% as burn-in from each.

To explicitly compare our morphological delimitations with a single-locus species delimitation method we implemented the GMYC-method (Fujisawa and Barraclough 2013). An ultrametric strict clock tree was calculated with BEAST Ver. 1.8.4 (Drummond et al. 2012) using the same partitioning and model scheme selected by PARTI-TIONFINDER above. Rooting was here done with the strict clock model. 2×50M gen-

Table 1. Details of material used for DNA analysis and GenBank accession numbers for mitochondrial COI. New sequences submitted to GenBank have accession numbers starting with "MK". For samples without a separate extract number, the extract is identified by the ID Cat. No.

Species	ID Cat. No.	Extract	Field ID	Place	Lat/Long	Alt	Date	Accession numbers
С.	BMNH-797876	294:A4	P57BI31	Marojejy NP	14.4573S, 49.7908E	162	10/12/07	HQ382912
marginipennis	BMNH-797894	294:B10	P61BI15	Andasibe NP	18.9375S, 48.4167E	940	06/01/07	HQ382926
	BMNH-797906	294:C10	P58BI14	Masoala NP, E. of Marofototra	15.7587S, 49.9932E	10	17/12/06	HQ382937
	BMNH-797907	294:C11	P58BI14	Masoala NP, E. of Marofototra	15.7587S, 49.9932E	10	17/12/06	HQ382938
	BMNH-797908	294:C12	P58BI14	Masoala NP, E. of Marofototra	15.7587S, 49.9932E	10	17/12/06	HQ382939
	BMNH-797909	294:D01	P58BI14	Masoala NP, E. of Marofototra	15.7587S, 49.9932E	10	17/12/06	HQ382940
	BMNH-797910	294:D02	P58BI14	Masoala NP, E. of Marofototra	15.7587S, 49.9932E	10	17/12/06	HQ382941
	NHRS- JLKB000010729	JB196	MAD09-07	Ankarafantsika NP, Ampijoroa	16.3034S, 46.8107E	74	29/11/09	MK878864
	NHRS- JLKB000010730	JB197	MAD09-46	Kirindy Res.	20.0743S, 4.6631E	52	12/12/09	MK878871
	NHRS- JLKB000010731	JB198	MAD09-13	Ankarafantsika NP, Ampijoroa	16.3027S, 46.8100E	75	30/11/09	MK878865
	NHRS- JLKB000010732	JB199	MAD09-07	Ankarafantsika NP, Ampijoroa	16.3034S, 46.8107E	74	29/11/09	MK878869
	NHRS- JLKB000010733	JB200	MAD09-59	Tsingy de Bemaraha NP, Bekopaka	19.0342S, 44.7750E	41	15/12/09	MK878872
	NHRS- JLKB000010734	JB201	MAD09-03	Ankarafantsika NP, Ampijoroa	16.3035S, 46.8107E	87	29/11/09	MK878870
	NHRS- JLKB000010735	JB202	MAD09-29	Mahavavy Kinkony Res., Mitsinjo	16.0665S, 45.7767E	24	05/12/09	MK878866
	NHRS- JLKB000010736	JB203	MAD09-65	Tsingy de Bemaraha NP, Antsalova	18.7564S, 44.7140E	119	17/12/09	MK878873
	NHRS- JLKB000010737	JB191	MAD09-14	Ankarafantsika NP, Ampijoroa	16.3142S, 6.8173E	77	30/11/09	MK878862
	NHRS- JLKB000010738	JB192	MAD09-24	Mahavavy Kinkony Res., Makary village	16.1465S, 45.9493E	9	04/12/09	MK878863
	NHRS- JLKB000010739	JB193	MAD09-25	Mahavavy Kinkony Res., Makary village	16.1334S, 45.9578E	19	04/12/09	MK878874
	NHRS- JLKB000010740	JB194	MAD09-28	Mahavavy Kinkony Res., Mitsinjo	16.0578S, 5.8059E	22	05/12/09	MK878868
	NHRS- JLKB000010741	JB189	MAD09-30	Mahavavy Kinkony RS, Mitsinjo	16.0565S, 45.7637E	55	05/12/09	MK878867
	NHRS- JLKB000065749	JB809	MAD09-24	Mahavavy Kinkony RS, Makary village	16.1465S, 45.9493E	9	04/12/09	MK878861
C. kely sp. nov.	NHRS- JLKB000010890		TR18L14	Ambohidray Res., Andriambe	18.6132S, 8.3259E	1044	23/05/18	MK878839
	NHRS- JLKB000065738		TR18L04	Ambohidray Res., Andriambe	18.6132S, 48.3262E	1044	07/04/18	MK878842
	NHRS- JLKB000065739		TR18L04	Ambohidray Res., Andriambe	18.6132S, 48.3262E	1044	07/04/18	MK878843
	NHRS- JLKB000065740		TR18L07	Ambohidray Res., Andriambe	18.6131S, 48.3257E	1046	07/04/18	MK878844

Species	ID Cat. No.	Extract	Field ID	Place	Lat/Long	Alt	Date	Accession numbers
C. befasicus	NHRS- JLKB000010860	JB204	MAD09-74	btw Morafenobe- Ambohijanahary Res.	18.1909S, 45.1999E	290	19/12/09	MK878825
<i>Copelatus</i> sp. ♀ (Bemaraha)	CASENT-8135000		BLF4432	Tsingy de Bemaraha NP	19.1323S, 4.8147E	150	16/11/01	MK878860
C. distinguendus	BMNH-670601	007:E07	P27MD31	Ranomafana NP	21.2359S, 47.3963E	1123	06/12/04	HQ381662
	BMNH-729896		P30MD33	Sahatsiho Ambohimanjaka	20.2388S, 47.1002E	1442	08/12/04	HQ381870
	BMNH-792954		P39EM08	Andringitra NP	22.1043S, 6.9207E	1420	09/05/06	HQ382583
	BMNH-792955		P39EM08	Andringitra NP	22.1043S, 46.9207E	1420	09/05/06	HQ382584
	BMNH-792956		P39EM08	Andringitra NP	22.1043S, 46.9207E	1420	09/05/06	HQ382585
	BMNH-792962		P36C	RN7, Col de Tapias	20.7729S, 47.1792E	1717	06/05/06	HQ382591
	BMNH-792963		P36C	RN7, Col de Tapias	20.7729S, 47.1792E	1717	06/05/06	HQ382592
	BMNH-792964		P36C	RN7, Col de Tapias	20.7729S, 7.1792E	1717	06/05/06	HQ382593
	BMNH-792976		P30MD33	Sahatsiho Ambohimanjaka	20.2388S, 47.1002E	1442	08/12/04	HQ382604
	BMNH-792977		P30MD33	Sahatsiho Ambohimanjaka	20.2388S, 47.1002E	1442	08/12/04	HQ382605
	BMNH-792978		P30MD33	Sahatsiho Ambohimanjaka	20.2388S, 47.1002E	1442	08/12/04	HQ382606
	BMNH-792979		P30MD33	Sahatsiho Ambohimanjaka	20.2388S, 47.1002E	1442	08/12/04	HQ382607
	BMNH-792980		P30MD33	Sahatsiho Ambohimanjaka	20.2388S, 7.1002E	1442	08/12/04	HQ382608
	NHRS- JLKB000010627		MAD14-81	RN2, Betsabora river	18.9247S, 48.1828E	900	24/11/14	MK878834
	NHRS- JLKB000010670		MAD16-47	Manjakatompo Ankaratra Res., Ankafotra Mtn.	19.3375S, 47.2453E	2466	18/09/16	MK878835
C. insuetus	NHRS- JLKB000010609		MAD14-81	RN2, Betsabora river	18.9247S, 8.1828E	900	24/11/14	MK878836
	NHRS- JLKB000010875		MAD18-91	Zahamena NP, Sect. Antanandava	17.5225S, 48.7227E	1040	08/03/18	MK878841
	NHRS- JLKB000065745		MAD11-26	Analamazaotra NP	18.9357S, 48.4174E	930	08/11/11	MK878840
	NHRS- JLKB000065702		MAD14-18	Analamazaotra NP	18.9357S, 48.4174E	930	27/11/14	MK878837
	BMNH-797895		P60BI15	Zahamena NP, Sect. Antanandava	17.52S, 48.721E	1075	31/11/06	HQ382927
<i>C. safiotra</i> sp. nov.	NHRS- JLKB000010589		MAD11-37	Mantadia NP	18.8340S, 8.4378E	1000	11/11/11	MK878829
	NHRS- JLKB000010595		MAD14-70	Anjanaharibe Sud res.	14.7414S, 49.4975E	910	16/11/14	MK878833
	NHRS- JLKB000010846		MAD14-04	Ranomafana NP	21.2395S, 47.3947E	1130	02/11/14	MK878830
	NHRS- JLKB000010847		MAD12-03	Isalo NP, Canyon des Makis	22.4866S, 45.3797E	700	13/11/12	MK878831
	NHRS- JLKB000010848		MAD12-03	Isalo NP, Canyon des Makis	22.4866S, 45.3797E	700	13/11/12	MK878832
	NHRS- JLKB000065735		MAD13-55	Ivohibe RS	22.4567S, 46.9563E	874	09/12/13	MK878845
	NHRS- JLKB000065736		MAD13-55	Ivohibe RS	22.4567S, 46.9563E	874	09/12/13	MK878846

Species	ID Cat. No.	Extract	Field ID	Place	Lat/Long	Alt	Date	Accession
								numbers
C. mahajanga	NHRS- JLKB000010554		MAD14-81	RN2, Betsabora river	18.9247S, 48.1828E	900	24/11/14	MK878826
	NHRS- JLKB000010596		MAD14-81	RN2, Betsabora river	18.9247S, 48.1828E	900	24/11/14	MK878827
	NHRS- JLKB000010723	JB190	MAD09-58	Tsingy de Bemaraha NP, Bekopaka	19.0357S, 44.7751E	66	15/12/09	MK878875
	NHRS- JLKB000010724	JB195	MAD09-33	Mahavavy Kinkony Res., Anjohibe	16.0133S, 46.0038E	24	06/12/09	MK878876
	NHRS- JLKB000065747	JB802	MAD09-25	Mahavavy Kinkony Res., Makary village	16.1334S, 45.9578E	19	04/12/09	MK878828
<i>C. ankaratra</i> sp. nov.	NHRS- JLKB000010614		MJK12-13	Manjakatompo Ankaratra Res., Anosiarivo	19.3449S, 47.3041E	2073	24/01/12	MK878851
	NHRS- JLKB000010652		MAD16-11	Manjakatompo Ankaratra Res., Tsiafajavona Mtn.	19.3516S, 47.2428E	2597	07/02/16	MK878847
	NHRS- JLKB000010864		MAD16-11	Manjakatompo Ankaratra Res., Tsiafajavona Mtn.	19.3516S, 47.2428E	2597	07/02/16	MK878848
	NHRS- JLKB000010866		MAD16-11	Manjakatompo Ankaratra Res., Tsiafajavona Mtn.	19.3516S, 47.2428E	2597	07/02/16	MK878849
	NHRS- JLKB000065704		MAD16-11	Manjakatompo Ankaratra Res., Tsiafajavona Mtn.	19.3516S, 47.2428E	2597	07/02/16	MK878850
C. pulchellus	NHRS- JLKB000065733	JB808	MAD09-25	Mahavavy Kinkony RS, Makary village	16.1334S, 45.9578E	19	04/12/09	MK878859
	NHRS- JLKB000065703		MAD14-14	Analamazaotra NP	18.9355S, 48.4166E	930	27/11/14	MK878856
	NHRS- JLKB000065737		MAD14-14	Analamazaotra NP	18.9355S, 48.4166E	930	27/11/14	MK878857
<i>Copelatus</i> sp. ♀ (Andasibe)	NHRS- JLKB000065698			Analamazaotra NP, Andasibe	18.94S, 48.43E	938	17/01/15	MK878858
Copelatus ? insuetus ♀	NHRS- JLKB000010694	JB206	MAD09-07	Ankarafantsika NP, Ampijoroa	16.3034S, 46.8107E	74	29/11/09	MK878877
(Ankaraf.)	NHRS- JLKB000010781	JB205	MAD09-03	Ankarafantsika NP, Ampijoroa	16.3035S, 46.8107E	87	29/11/09	MK878838
<i>Copelatus</i> sp. ♀ (Ivohibe)	NHRS- JLKB000010856		MAD13-61	Ivohibe RS, Andranovory	22.4751S, 46.9559E	1106	10/12/13	MK878854
ĺ	NHRS- JLKB000065734		MAD13-61	Ivohibe RS, Andranovory	22.4751S, 46.9559E	1106	10/12/13	MK878855
<i>Copelatus</i> sp. ♀ (N Toam.)	NHRS- JLKB000010779		MAD11-52	RN5, Ivoloina	18.0649S, 49.3786E	0	15/11/15	MK878852
	NHRS- JLKB000010811		MAD17-12	Analalava Res., Analalava forest	17.7106S, 49.4500E	39	09/03/17	MK878853
<i>C. pseudostriatus</i> sp. nov.	BMNH-672727	027:A05	P32	Tsaratanana massif, Mangindrano	14.1824N, 48.9448E	1700	20/12/04	HQ381767
	BMNH-672728	027:A06	P32	Tsaratanana massif, Mangindrano	14.1824N, 48.9448E	1700	20/12/04	HQ381768
	BMNH-672729	027:A07	P32	Tsaratanana massif, Mangindrano	14.1824N, 48.9448E	1700	20/12/04	HQ381769

erations were sampled every 1000th generation, and treeannotator was used to calculate a maximum clade credibility tree with median node heights, removing 10% as burn-in from each run. The GMYC analysis was carried out in R using the SPLITS package (Ezard et al. 2009) on this tree, under the single-threshold method. Convergence and mixing of MCMC runs from MrBAYES and BEAST were checked by the statistics provided by respective programmes and with TRACER Ver. 1.7.1 (Rambaut et al. 2018).

Results

Molecular results

Our amplification of CO1 was successful for 53 samples which, together with sequences downloaded from GenBank, gave 77 terminals (Table 1). Alignment length was 825 bp and was gap-free but some sequences were unreadable near primer regions and therefore slightly shorter. The amplification of two shorter fragments from the degraded DNA originating from the two dry-preserved specimens was partially successful and gave 311 bp (CASENT-8135000) and 447 bp (NHRS-JLKB000065698) respectively and enabled inclusion in the phylogenetic analyses.

Specimens of morphologically identified species all clustered as monophyletic in the Bayesian analysis except *C. insuetus* and *C. kely* sp. nov. (Fig. 2). These are part of a closely related group of species we will refer to as the *Copelatus insuetus* complex with interspecific genetic divergences ranging between 0.1-7.1%, but several not more than 2-3% (Table 2). Morphological analysis was inconclusive for some female specimens or populations in this group and these are discussed under respective species.

	C. insuetus	<i>C. insuetus</i> ? (Ankaraf.)	C. kely	C. ankaratra (peak)	C. ankaratra	C. vokoka	<i>C.</i> sp. female (Ivohibe)	C. sp. female (N. Toam.)
C. insuetus	0-0.007							
	(0-0.007)							
C. insuetus?	0.005-0.009	0						
(Ankaraf.)	(0.005–0.009)	(0)						
C. kely	0.001-0.017	0.006-0.011	0-0.017					
	(0.001–0.017)	(0.006–0.011)	(0-0.017)					
C. ankaratra	0.032-0.036	0.028-0.031	0.028-0.038	0-0.004				
(peak)	(0.033–0.038)	(0.029–0.032)	(0.029–0.039)	(0-0.004)				
C. ankaratra	0.030-0.039	0.029-0.031	0.028-0.038	0.023-0.025	NA			
	(0.031-0.040)	(0.030-0.032)	(0.029–0.040)	(0.023–0.025)	NA			
C. vokoka	0.026-0.029	0.028	0.024-0.029	0.033-0.035	0.033	0		
	(0.026-0.030)	(0.028–0.029)	(0.024–0.030)	(0.034–0.036)	(0.034)	(0)		
C. sp. female	0.046-0.048	0.044-0.045	0.048-0.050	0.059-0.060	0,061	0.051-0.052	0	
(Ivohibe)	(0.048-0.049)	(0.045 - 0.047)	(0.050-0.053)	(0.062 - 0.064)	(0.065)	(0.054)	(0)	
C. sp. female	0.051-0.054	0.047-0.049	0.052-0.053	0.063-0.066	0.066	0.058-0.059	0.023-0.024	0
(N. Toam.)	(0.053–0.057)	(0.049 - 0.051)	(0.054-0.056)	(0.067 - 0.071)	(0.070–0.071)	(0.061 - 0.062)	(0.023–0.024)	(0)

Table 2. Uncorrected P genetic distances between closely related species or populations in the group near *Copelatus insuetus*. Numbers in parenthesis are genetic distances calculated under a Kimura-2-parameter model. NA = Not Applicable because of single sample in category.



Figure 2. Majority-rule consensus tree from Bayesian analysis of CO1. Values next to nodes indicate posterior probabilities.



Figure 3. Result of the single-locus GMYC species delimitation using an ultrametric CO1 genetree from BEAST. Black branches indicate interspecific divergences, red branches represent intraspecific coalescence events. Values above interspecific nodes indicate posterior probability from the Beast analysis under a strict clock model. The * indicates two nodes of further splitting from the GMYC analysis that is within the confidence interval of 2Log likelihood units from the optimal solution.

The GMYC species delimitation analysis of the strict clock tree resulted in 11 separate evolutionary units that were largely but not entirely consistent with our morphological delimitation (Fig. 3). Especially, the *Copelatus insuetus* complex of four morphologically delimited species where merged into one unit in the GMYC analysis. But in contrast the non-named females that we could not identify morphologically were separated in the GMYC analysis and these very likely represent one or two new species of which we have yet to discover the male. In no case, had the GMYC analysis split groups of individuals that were morphologically considered the same species. The approximative 2log-likelihood confidence interval included between 5–13 units and in the case (13) closest to the morphological delimitations, the *Copelatus insuetus* complex was divided into two species, but also the northernmost *Copelatus safiotra* sp. nov.

specimen was separated from geographically more southern populations. The drypreserved female from Bemaraha (CASENT-8135000) undoubtedly represents a fourth Malagasy species from the *Copelatus pulchellus* complex. However, the female from Andasibe (NHRS-JLKB000065698) was merged with *Copelatus peridinus*, despite a genetic distance of approximately 3%, and this is discussed below.

Identification key

Key to *Copelatus* species of Madagascar with fewer than ten discal and one submarginal elytral striae. Note that for some species males are necessary.

1	Impressed elytral striae absent (rows of points may be present) (Fig. 7A, B)
	La grand alerta lating grant (East 7D, 8, 10)
_	Impressed elytral stride present (Figs / D, δ -10)
2	Body length less than 4 mm (Fig. /B); penis shape unknown (only 1 female
	known)
_	Body length between 5.7 and 6.6 mm (Fig. 7A); penis in lateral view with non-
	even curvature creating two gentle angles (Fig. 4A) C. peridinus
3	Elytra with five discal but no submarginal stria; dorsal surface reddish brown with
	a lighter elytral base; first elytra stria abbreviated and only present in posterior
	third; body length 4.1–4.2 mm (Fig. 10D) C. befasicus (longicornis group)
_	Elytra with six discal and one submarginal stria (Figs 7D, 8, 9, 10A-C); other
	characters the same or different
4	First, third, and fifth elytral striae distinctly abbreviated anteriorly; dorsal col-
	ouration largely black (Fig. 7D); penis distinctive in lateral view resembling a
	"pumpjack" with a long sub-erect neck and a downturned apex (Fig. 4B)
	C. distinguendus
_	Third and fifth elvtral striae not abbreviated anteriorly: first elvtral stria abbrevi-
	ated or not: dorsal colouration rarely mostly black: penis shape variable
5	Body shape more broadly oval (Fig. 8)
)	Body shape norrow elongate subparalell (Figs 9, 10)
6	Elytra without a transverse testacoous hand at base black event laterally and no
0	Eight without a transverse testaceous band at base, black except laterally and pos-
	terioriy (Fig. 8D); penis in lateral view rather evenly curved with neither dorsal
	knob nor ventral invagination; penis in ventral view non-expanded apically and
	with apex left-curved (Fig. 5C) C. pulchellus
—	Elytra with basal testaceous transverse band; penis apex in ventral view right-
	curved7
7	Body broadly oblong; first elytral stria often longer and basal testaceous elytral

^{*} Note that on the continent this species may have a basal testaceous band although we have yet not seen this colour form from Madagascar. It is not impossible that this colour form may show up eventually also in Madagascar, which is why the shape of male genitalia should always be verified for identification

band narrower but both characters overlapping; penis in lateral view with dorsal

knob and ventral invagination; penis in ventral view not broadly expanded api-Body more narrowly oblong and attenuating posteriorly; first elytral stria often _ shorter and elytra with a broader testaceous transverse band at base; penis in ventral view bisinuate and widened at apex (Fig. 5B)...... C. mahajanga Elytra with irregular traces of intermediate striae or "pseudostriae" between first 8 and second stria and between second and third stria; body length larger, 5.3 to 5.6 mm (Fig. 10B); penis curvature in lateral view rather weak (Fig. 4C)..... Elytra without pseudostriae in elytral intervals; body length smaller, less than 5.3 9 Body subparallell and head with a broad interocular distance; pronotum without strioles (Fig. 10C); penis in lateral view with a distinct expansion subapically followed by a narrow apical blade (Fig. 6E) C. safiotra sp. nov. Body more attenuating anteriorly and posteriorly and interocular distance narrower; pronotum with strioles posterolaterally; penis slender, without subapical Dark colouration dorsally, with narrow testaceous band at base of elytra and 10 testaceous, sometimes strongly contrasting, anterolateral pronotal corners; head distinctly infuscated (Fig. 10A); base of penis in posteroventral view distinctly angled; apex in lateral view without a dorsal ridge crossing posterior inner outline (Fig. 6D)...... C. ankaratra sp. nov. Colouration usually lighter brown, testaceous band at base of elytra variable; base of penis in posteroventral view not angled; apex in lateral view with or without a Body length smaller, 3.8 to 4.3 mm (Fig. 9C); penis apex in lateral view without a 11 dorsal ridge crossing posterior inner outline (Fig. 6B)...... C. kely sp. nov. Body length larger, 3.9 to 5.0 mm; penis in lateral view with a dorsal ridge cross-_ ing posterior inner outline near apex......12 Elytra usually with a more narrow basal testaceous band; penis in lateral view with 12 distinct shoulder definition interrupting an evenly curved outer outline (Fig. 6A); penis on ventral to right-lateral side with coarse longitudinal sulci (Fig. 6A) C. insuetus Elytra often with broader basal testaceous band; penis in lateral view evenly curved without a distinct shoulder definition (Fig. 6C); penis on ventral to right-lateral side with only weak longitudinal microsculpture (Fig. 6C)...... C. vokoka sp. nov.

Taxonomy

The following three taxa were described as *Copelatus* species from Madagascar and were listed as such by Rocchi (1991):

Exocelina subjecta (Sharp, 1882)

Copelatus subjectus Sharp, 1882: 568.

Copelatus bilunatus Guignot, 1955: 73; TL: Madagascar [mislabelled, likely New Caledonia; see Balke et al. (2014)]

Type locality. New Caledonia.

Remarks. Copelatus bilunatus Guignot, 1955 is a synonym of Exocelina subjecta (Sharp, 1882) following Balke et al. (2014). Copelatus bilunatus was described by Guignot (1955a) from Zimmermann's collection based on a " \bigcirc unique" from "Madagascar" without any further locality data. The species was assigned to Copelatus based on the presence of complete metacoxal lines and placed in the Copelatus hydroporoides species group, as it lacked impressed elytral striae. Balke et al. (2014) concluded that the specimen is identical to Exocelina subjecta (Sharp, 1882), a common species on New Caledonia, and synoymised the two assuming the specimen was mislabelled. Apparently the holotype is a male in contrast to what is stated in the original description. Balke et al. (2014) document the presence of a stout spine-like setae on the anterodistal angle of protarsomere IV, which is characteristic of the Copelatinae genus Exocelina (but also of Malagasy Madaglymbus). We have not examined the type ourselves but it is clear that in any case it is not a Copelatus species.

Madaglymbus apicalis (Fairmaire, 1898), comb. nov.

Copelatus apicalis Fairmaire, 1898: 465.

Type locality. Madagascar, Suberbieville [= Maevatanana].

Type material examined. Mahajanga. Betsiboka: Maevatanana: -ST \circlearrowleft (GP) (MNHN, "coll. Régimbart"): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000030208 // Madag. Perrier | // Type [red writing] // Museum Paris | Coll. Régimbart | apicalis // *Copelatus* | *apicalis* n. sp. //

Remarks. *Copelatus apicalis* was described by Fairmaire (1898) from Suberbieville [=Maevatanana]. It is classified in the genus *Copelatus* and currently placed in the *Copelatus hydroporoides* species group (Guignot 1961; Nilsson et al. 1997; Nilsson 2001; Nilsson and Hájek 2018). We have studied a male syntype of this species which was not found by Guignot (1961: note 638) and conclude that is not a *Copelatus*. The syntype male has a stout spine-like setae on a protruding anterodistal corner of protarsomere IV which places it in *Madaglymbus* (Shaverdo et al. 2008) and we hereby transfer it to that genus: *Madaglymbus apicalis* (Fairmaire, 1898) comb. nov.; in fact Guéorguiev (1968: 32) suggested it might belong to *Aglymbus* "Peut-être c'est une *Aglymbus*?" [Eng. translation "Maybe it is an *Aglymbus*"], which was correct since this was before *Madaglymbus* was erected for the Madagascar species of *Aglymbus* (Shaverdo et al. 2008).

Madaglymbus unguicularis (Régimbart, 1903), comb. nov.

Copelatus unguicularis Régimbart, 1903:19.

Type locality. Madagascar, Suberbieville [= Maevatanana].

Type material examined. Mahajanga. Betsiboka: Maevatanana: -HT ♂ (GP) (MNHN, "coll. Régimbart"): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000030225 // Suberbieville | Madag. Perier // Type [red label] // MUSEUM PARIS | Coll. Maurice Régimbart | 1908 // *C. unguicularis* | Type // *unguicularis* Rég. //

Remarks. Copelatus unguicularis was described by Régimbart (1903), based on a single male from Suberbieville [= Maevatanana]. It was classified by Régimbart in the fifth species group of Sharp (1882) (the *consors* species group sensu Guignot 1961) based on ten discal but no submarginal elytral stria where it is still classified today (Guignot 1961; Guéorguiev 1968; Nilsson et al. 1997; Nilsson 2001; Nilsson and Hájek 2018). We have examined the male holotype and like the type of *Copelatus apicalis*, it has a stout spine-like setae on a protruding anterodistal corner of protarsomere IV and is not a *Copelatus*. In addition, the elytral striae are very irregular, more like very elongate and deep strioles and possibly not homologous to the regular impressed striae in *Copelatus*. We here transfer it to *Madaglymbus*: *Madaglymbus unguicularis* (Régimbart, 1903) comb. nov.

The Copelatus hydroporoides group

This group is defined by the lack of elytral striae (Sharp 1882; Guignot 1961; Guéorguiev 1968). It is certainly not a natural group. A number of species formerly placed in this group has lately been moved into other genera. Perhaps it still remains useful as a "trash can" for *Copelatus* species lacking elytral striae, but it is very probably not monophyletic and likely still mixed with some copelatine species that should be transferred to other genera.

Copelatus baculiformis Guignot, 1955

Fig. 7B

Copelatus baculiformis Guignot, 1955b: 193.

Type locality. Madagascar, Massif Ankaratra, Manjakatompo, alt. 1700–1800 m.

Type information from original description. based on a single female specimen (holotype), collected December 1951 by R. Benoist.

Type material studied. Antananarivo. Vakinankaratra: Ambatolampy: -HT \bigcirc (MNHN "coll. Guignot"): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000030226 // Madagascar: Massif An- | karatra 1700/1800 Man- | jakatompo XII-51 Benoist // Type [red label] // Guignot det., 1954 | *Copelatus* | *baculiformis* n. sp. | Type \bigcirc //

Diagnosis. Small size (4 mm). Elytra uniformly dark brown ferrugineous, without a basal testaceous area (Fig. 7B) which separates the species from small species of *Madaglymbus*. The absence of deep impressed elytral striae (remnants of four elytral striae present) separates this species from all other *Copelatus* of Madagascar except *Copelatus peridinus*, a larger species (5.7–6.6 mm).

Description. (based on holotype \bigcirc):

Body length 4 mm. Body shape elongate oval and dark brown to blackish ferrugineous. Head uniformly dark brown ferrugineous to slightly darker posteriorly inside eyes, with thin sparse punctation. Pronotum dark brown ferrugineous, same colour medially and laterally but darker along anterior and posterior third. Disc of pronotum less densely punctuated, posterolateral corners with dense superficial strioles. The entire dorsal surface covered with a microsculpture. Elytra uniformly coloured in same dark brown to blackish ferrugineous colour as anterior and posterior parts of pronotum (Fig. 7B). Impressed striae absent but four elytral rows of impressed points present. Innermost, a presumed first row completely lacking, row 2, 3, and 4 visible from base but row 3 less distinct than 2 and 4. Row 5 very vague and only visible posteriorly. Elytra densely covered with punctures which laterally of approximately the third row of points is replaced by strioles (probably only in the female). Appendages testaceous.

Ventral side testaceous to weakly infuscated. Prosternal process carinate also onto apical process. Lateral parts of metaventrite ("metasternal wings") rather broad. Metacoxal lines anteriorly diverging and abbreviated before metaventral margin. Metacoxa with fine and long longitudinal strioles, continuing onto abdominal ventrites, and with 6–7 transverse "wrinkles" anterolaterally.

Male: unknown.

Distribution. Madagascar, central highlands, only known from type locality Manjakatompo, Ankaratra Massif (Fig. 11A).

Habitat and ecology. Unknown, but according to original description collected at at an altitude of 1700–1800 m. See Hjalmarsson et al. (2013) for a description of the locality Manjakatompo and its conservation priority.

Comments. No other specimen than the female holotype is known of this species and it is a bit of a "mystery species". We have conducted fieldwork at the type locality Manjakatompo multiple times (2011, 2012, 2014, and 2016) but never found any specimens resembling this species. The species belongs in the *hydroporoides* species group of *Copelatus*, but two other Malagasy species placed in this group have turned out to be misidentified *Madaglymbus* or *Exocelina* (see above). *Copelatus baculiformis* was described by Guignot the same year (1955) that he described *C. bilunatus*, considered mislabeled (Balke et al. 2014) from the Zimmermann collection. It is certainly possible that also *C. baculiformis* is based on a mislabeled specimen, but in contrast to *C. bilunatus* the type locality data is more exact; Massif Ankaratra (mountain), Manjakatompo (locality), 1700–1800 m (altitude in meters), XII-51 (collecting month and year), and R. Benoist (collector), speaking against such a mistake. Based on general external morphology, body shape and lack of striae the species resembles *C. peridinus*. It is much smaller and therefore likely not conspecific, but they could be closely related, as well as with the female sequenced from Andasibe (NHRS-JLKB000065698).

Copelatus peridinus Guignot, 1955

Figs 4A, 7A

Copelatus peridinus Guignot, 1955c: 188.

Copelatus seydeli Guignot, 1958: 107; TL: Elisabethville, Zaire [DR Congo, Haut-Katanga, Lubumbashi].

Type locality. Elisabethville, Zaire [DR Congo, Haut-Katanga, Lubumbashi].

Type material studied. -PT \bigcirc (MNHN, "Coll. Guignot"): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000030317 // Allotype [red label] // [female symbol] // Elisabethville | XI. 1951 // *Copelatus* | *peridinus* | Allotype \bigcirc //

Additional material studied. -1d (GP) (MNHN, "Coll. Guignot"): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000030318 // Congo Belge Lac | Edouard: Vitshumbi | U.V. 27.XI.1953 3091 // Antananarivo. Analamanga: Antananarivo: -3 (GP), 3 (MNHN, "Coll. Legros Magasin"): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000030319-24 // MADAGASCAR | TANANARIVE | BETONGOLO | 2 XII 1946 // Piège | lumineux // Museum Paris 1983 | Coll. Cl. Legros // Toamasina. Alaotra Mangoro: Moramanga: -1d/(GP), 2° (MNHN, "Coll. Paulian"): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000030316, 30325–6 // Madagascar Est | P.K.57-Rte d'Anosibe | Moramanga | II.58 R. Vieu // -1&(GP), 1º (NMPC, "Coll. J. Hájek"): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000030327, 30328 // Madagascar | Lokato, near | Andasibe Mantadia NP | M. Tryzna leg., 9-10.i.2007 // coll. Jiri HÁJEK | National Museum | Prague, Czech Republic // ["Lokato near Andasibe Mantadia NP" interpreted as the bifurcation of the road to Lakato which is near Andasibe Mantadia NP. Lakato itself is not near Andasibe Mantadia NP.] $-2\mathcal{J}(GP)$, 1 \bigcirc (teneral), 1 \bigcirc , 1 \bigcirc (Alc. teneral) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010887, 65737, 65703, 10888(Alc.) // MAD: TOAM: Alaotra Mangoro: | Andasibe Mantadia NP, Analamazaotra: | 150m E of park entrance: Mad14-14 | shallow partly dried out forest pond: | 18.9355S | 48.4166E: 930m: 27.XI.2014 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, S. Holmgren, J.H. Randriamihaja & T. Ranarilalatiana //

Diagnosis. Similar to *C. baculiformis* based on shape and colouration, but *C. peridinus* is bigger with body length between 5.7 and 6.6 mm (Fig. 7A). Elytra lacking deeply impressed striae, but elytral rows of impressed points present out of which two rows are most distinct. Penis in lateral view curved with two points at which it curves slightly more abruptly creating two gentle angles (Fig. 4A); parameres rather broad at base and evenly curved (Fig. 4A).

Description. Body length 5.7–6.6 mm. Body shape elongate oval, from midpoint uniformly tapering towards a rather pointed apex. Head and pronotum both in the same dark brown to blackish ferrugineous colouration; elytra anteriorly in the same colour as pronotum, but posterior part brown ferrugineous with largely testaceous lateral margins (Fig. 7A). Head, pronotum and elytra with thin dense punctation, in addition pronotum and elytra with small and shallow punctures, and microsculptured. At posterolateral corners of pronotum, punctures almost a little joined and corrugated. Elytra lacking deeply impressed striae. Two continuous rows


Figure 4. Male genitalia. From left to right, aedeagus in right lateral, ventral, left lateral views and left paramere. **A** *Copelatus peridinus* **B** *Copelatus distinguendus* **C** *Copelatus pseudostriatus* sp. nov.

of punctures are most obvious and divide the elytra in three more or less equal intervals. A third and a fourth row of punctures also present, albeit less distinct and more fragmented. These occur between the two first distinct rows and between the second distinct row and the elytral margin. A fifth row suggested between the two outermost rows by a few spaced out punctures, almost unidentifiable in most Malagasy specimens seen but more distinct in specimens seen from mainland Africa. Appendages testaceous to rufus.

Ventral side dark ferrugineous. Prosternal process strongly carinate anteriorly and with a rather short process. Lateral parts of the metaventrite medium-broad. Metacoxal lines short, anteriorly diverging and abbreviated well before metaventral margin. Metacoxa with short fine strioles continuing onto abdominal ventrites.

Male: first three pro- and mesotarsomeres widened. Protibia modified, narrow at base and with an early abrupt bend, extended and broadened towards middle with a straight ventral side but angled dorsal side. Pro- and mesotarsal claws unmodified.

Penis in ventral view with apical part more or less straight and even, gently pointed at apex but with the very apex minutely twisted to the right (Fig. 4A). Penis in lateral view curved with two points $(1/3^{rd}$ and $2/3^{rd}$ from base) at which it curves slightly more abruptly creating two gentle angles (Fig. 4A). Parameres rather broad at base and evenly curved and tapering towards apex (Fig. 4A).

Female: dorsal sculpture similar to male.

Distribution. Likely a more widespread distribution in at least central and eastern continental Africa than the current records (Lubumbashi and Kivu in DR Congo) indicate. In Madagascar, only know from the eastern central parts: Betongolo (Antananarivo), the Analamazaotra NP, and P.K.57 Route d'Anosibe [RN23] (Fig. 11C).

Habitat and ecology. A series of six specimens collected with light trap ("piège lumineux") in the capital Antananarivo 1946, indicates flight capacity and anthropogenically disturbed habitats. All records from DR Congo are also from light trap catches (Bilardo 1982). We collected a second series of teneral specimens in a shallow forest pool with vegetation, near the entrance to the Analamazaotra NP.

Comments. This species was described from Lubumbashi, DR Congo, and has not been recorded from Madagascar before. Earliest record found is from November 1946. It seems to be a dispersive good flier and often collected at light so its presence in Madagascar is therefore not surprising. However, it is not widespread in Madagascar as far as we know. In fact, the known distribution is restricted to the surroundings of the capital and east of the capital along the main national route towards Toamasina, which could suggest a recent incidental human-mediated introduction from mainland Africa.

Note that it may be that this is a species with intraspecific variation with regards to elytral striation, ranging from five puncture lines out of which two are more distinct, to five weakly impressed striae (see further discussion under *Copelatus* sp. 2 below).

The Copelatus longicornis group

Sharp (1882) defines this group as those having 3, 4, or 5 discal striae on elytra but lacking a submarginal stria. There is only one species in Madagascar from this group, *Copelatus befasicus* Guignot, 1956, and it has five discal striae.

Copelatus befasicus Guignot, 1956

Fig. 10D

Copelatus befasicus Guignot, 1956: 79.

Type locality. Madagascar, Morondava, forest south of Befasy.

Type information from original description. based on an unknown number of female type specimens but holotype and paratypes are distinguished in introduction. Collected in January 1956 by R. Paulian.

Type material studied. Toliara. Menabe: Morondava: -HT♀ (MNHN, "coll. IRSM"): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000030021 // Morondava | fôret sud | de Befasy | I-56 R.P // Type [red label] // INSTITUT | SCIENTIFIQUE | MADAGASCAR // Guignot det., 1956 | *Copelatus* | *befasicus* n. sp. | Type // -2PT ♀ (MNHN, "coll. Guignot"): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000030300-1// Morondava | fôret sud | de Befasy // I-56 R.P // Paratype [red label] // [female symbol] //

Additional material studied. Mahajanga. Melaky: Morafenobe: -1^Q (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010860 (JB204) // Madagascar: Mahajanga: Melaky | Btw. Morafenobe–Ambohijanahary | S18.19091; E045.19986, 290 m.a.o | 19.XII.2009 Water Net, Field# MAD09-74 | Leg: J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, T. | Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja //

Diagnosis. Similar to *C. insuetus* and related species in habitus by being small, elongate, and subparalell, but *C. befasicus* is distinguished from *C. insuetus* and from all other Malagasy *Copelatus* species by the presence of only five elytral striae, and without submarginal striae. In addition, the first stria is shortened, present only in the posterior third (Fig. 10D).

Description. Body length 4.1–4.2 mm. Body shape elongate and subparallel, dorsal surface reddish brown with a lighter elytral base. Head and pronotum uniformly rufus brown. Head, pronotum and elytra with dense punctation. Elytra and pronotum covered with dense punctures and the whole dorsal surface with a microsculpture. Lateral sides of pronotum striolate with the widest striolate area in the posterior corners. Elytra light brown with a distinct testaceous band basally (Fig. 10D). First elytral stria shortened and present only in posterior third. Second to fifth elytral stria starting more or less at base and all striae approaching the apex of elytron, but the second and fourth a little shorter. Submarginal striae absent. Appendages testaceous.

Ventral side light brown. Metacoxa and abdominal ventrites punctate and striolate. Prosternal process rather short and medially raised, triangular in cross-section. Lateral parts of metaventrite medium broad. Metacoxal lines anteriorly diverging but rather weakly so, abbreviated well before metaventral margin. Antennae, palps and legs testaceous.

Male: unknown.

Distribution. Known only from two localities in the western part of Madagascar, the deciduous forest south of Befasy, Morondava, and at one locality between Morafenobe and Beravina village (Fig. 12D).

Habitat and ecology. Paulian collected the species in 1956 in the western dry deciduous forest south of Befasy, Morondava. We rediscovered the species in 2009, when we found one female specimen of *C. befasicus* also in the western part but a bit further north than the type locality, along the road between Morafenobe and Beravina village at an altitude of 290 m. This locality consisted of dry savannah with mixed wood and grassland ecosystem after deforestation. The habitat consisted of muddy/sandy residual pools with some dead leaves in a temporary stream after the rainy season. The dry deciduous forest ecosystem in western Madagascar has suffered immensely from deforestation and very little of this habitat remains (Ganzhorn et al. 2001; Whitehurst et al. 2009). The species is rare and likely threatened due to the disappearance of western deciduous forests in Madagascar. That all four known specimens are females may suggest an uneven sex ratio as a test of equal sex ratio is marginally non-significant (p = 0.0625) if considered randomly picked from the population.

Comments. This is the only species in the *Copelatus longicornis* group from Madagascar. The *longicornis* species group currently contains 38 species distributed mainly in the Neotropical and Afrotropical regions but also with few species present in Japan, New Guinea, and Fiji Islands (Nilsson and Hájek 2018). The group as currently defined is certainly artificial from a phylogenetic perspective and the only character they have in common is the low number of elytral striae.

The Copelatus irinus group

The *irinus* group is characterised by the presence of six discal and one submarginal elytral striae (Sharp 1882; Guignot 1961; Guéorguiev 1968; Nilsson et al. 1997). There are six species reported from Madagascar from this group (Rocchi 1991): *Copelatus distinguendus* Régimbart, 1903, *Copelatus mahajanga* Pederzani & Hájek, 2005, *Copelatus aldabricus* J. Balfour-Browne, 1950, *Copelatus mimetes* Guignot, 1957, *Copelatus insuetus* Guignot, 1941, and *Copelatus nodieri* Régimbart, 1895. Here we describe five new species of *Copelatus* belonging to the *irinus* group based on the elytral striae: *Copelatus ankaratra* sp. nov., *Copelatus kely* sp. nov., *Copelatus pseudostriatus* sp. nov., *Copelatus safiotra* sp. nov., and *Copelatus vokoka* sp. nov. It is clear from the male genitalia, however, that *C. safiotra* sp. nov. is phylogenetically closer to the radiation of the *C. owas* complex in the *erichsonii* group with ten discal and one submarginal striae. We consider the record of *C. nodieri* from Madagascar as misidentified, and this is discussed below (see under *Copelatus* sp_Bemaraha: sp 1).

Copelatus distinguendus Régimbart, 1903

Figs 4B, 7D

Copelatus distinguendus Régimbart, 1903: 19 [nom. nov., referring to his description of Malagasy material under the name *Copelatus duodecimstriatus* Aubé in Régimbart 1895: 163] **Type locality.** Environs de Tananarive [surroundings of Antananarivo] and Fianarantsoa, Madagascar.

Type information from original description. Based on an unknown number of specimens (syntypes) collected by Sikora (Antananarivo) and Perrot (Fianarantsoa).

Type material studied. Type material in MNHN not studied, as it was out on loan.

Additional material studied. Fianarantsoa. Matsiatra Ambony: Ambalavao, Ambohimahasoa, Lalangina: -2, $13^{\circ}(GP)$ (NHMUK): // BMNH-792954–6 // MAD: FIAN: Andringitra | Zomandao R.: River edge: Bottle trap | P39EM08: N: -22.1043: E:46.92: 1420 m | 09/V/2006: Leg. Bergsten et al // BMNH (DNA Voucher) // -1 (NMW): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000010718 // Madagascar: Ambohimahasoa (Fianarantsoa) | RN7 (Km 378) | 16.04.2011: Leg. R. Gerecke (MD211) // spring area with meadow swamps Exp. E: 21°15′41.5″S, 47°14′10.9″E, 1500 m // -2♀ (NMW): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000065754–5 // Madagascar Est, 1100– | 1200m, P.N. Ranomafana // Vohiparara, 21.–24.1.1993 | J. Janák lgt // $-13^{\circ}(GP)$, 12, 5 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010618-9, 10787(Alc.) // Madagascar: Fianarantsoa: Matsiatra | Ambony: Ranomafana NP: | Sahamalaotra 2Km from Vohiparara: | S21.23807, E047.39489, 1140 m.| 01:XI:2011: stamping with sieves: forest bog in rainforest: Field# MAD11-12 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, T. | Ranarilalatiana & | H.J. Randriamihaja // -1♀(Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010830 // MAD: FIAN: Matsiatra Ambony | Ranomafana NP: 450m along | Sahamalaotra trail, left at the first | junction: Mad14-07: forestmarsh: | 21.2382S 49.3947E: 1130 m: 02.XI.2014 // Leg. J. Bergsten, | T. Ranarilalatiana | & S. Holmgren // -1 \bigcirc (NHMUK): // BMNH-670601 MSL007 | 06.xii.2004, Ranomafana, | Madagascar: lat - 21.2359 Lon 47.3963 Coll Balke_M; Monaghan_M // DNA Voucher | BMNH <670601> | MSL007:E07 // Fianarantsoa. Amoron'i Mania: Ambositra: -39 (NHMUK): // BMNH-792962-4 // Col de Tapias: Rte Tana-Fianarantsoa: Pond | P36C: N: -20.772: E:47.179: 1717 m | 06/V/2006: Leg. Bergsten et al // BMNH (DNA Voucher) // -1 (NHMUK): // BMNH-792912 // Ambositra: Ankazomivady forest | 01.xii.2005 // BMNH (DNA Voucher) // -9♀ (NHMUK), 8 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS): // BMNH-729890, 729893, 729896-7, 792976-80, 10793(Alc.) // 08.xii.2004, Col de Tapias, P30MD33: lat -20.238 | Lon 47.1 Coll Balke_M | Monaghan_M // BMNH (DNA Voucher) // Antananarivo. Vakinankaratra: Ambatolampy: -33 (GP), 3, 10 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010620-5, 10786(Alc.) // Madagascar: Antananarivo: | Vakinankaratra: Manjakatompo Stn. | forestière: 500m E Lac Froid by the | road: S19.34485 E047.33381, 1620 m. | 04.XI.2011: GB Nets and sieves: pond | and inlet stream: MAD11-16 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, T. | Ranarilalatiana & | H.J. Randriamihaja // -1♂(GP) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010626 // Madagascar: Antananarivo: | Vakinankaratra: Manjakatompo Stn. | forestière: Analafandriana 500 m S | fish farm by the road: S19.36191 E | 47.31495, 1730 m, 03.XI.2011: GB | Nets: grassy pond: Field# MAD11-14 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, T. | Ranarilalatiana & | H.J. Randriamihaja // -1Å(GP) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010660 // Madagascar: Ambatolampy: Manjaka- | tompo Ankaratra Reserve: MAD16-03: | "Lac froid": S-19.34292; E047.33893; | 1651 m: lake with grass at margins: 03/02/2016 Leg. T. Ranarilalatiana $1/-43^{\circ}(GP)$, 4° , 5 ex.

(Alc.) (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010662-9, 10791(Alc.) // Madagascar: Ambatolampy: Manjaka- | tompo Ankaratra Reserve: MAD16-46: | "Lac froid": S-19.34292; E047.33893; | 1651 m: lake with grass at margins: | 17/09/2016 Leg. T. Ranarilalatiana // -1 (GP) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010661 // Madagascar: Ambatolampy: Manjaka- | tompo Ankaratra Reserve: MAD16-10: | Ankafotra mountain: S-19.33753; | E047.24530; 2466 m: streampools: | 07/02/2016; Leg. T. Ranarilalatiana // $-4^{\uparrow}(GP)$, 2^{\bigcirc} , 18 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010670-5, 10792(Alc.) // Madagascar: Ambatolampy: Manjaka-| tompo Ankaratra Reserve: MAD16-47: | Ankafotra mountain: S-19.33753; | E047.24530; 2466 m: streampools: | 18/09/2016; Leg. T. Ranarilalatiana // -1 Q (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010832 // Madagascar: Antananarivo: | Vakinankaratra: Manjakatompo Stn | forestière: Analamitana: S19.363972 E | 047.299083, 1757 m. 22:I:2012: swamp | near stream: Field# MJK12-02: Leg. T. | Ranarilalatiana & J.H. Randriamihaja // Antananarivo. Analamanga: Anjozorobe, Ankazobe: -1∂(GP), 1♀ (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010684–5 // Madagascar: Anjozorobe: MAD16-36: Amboasarianala: S-18.45792; E047. 93438; 1367 m: Ambatovikinina stream: | 04/04/2016; Leg. T. Ranarilalatiana // -1♂(GP), 1♀ (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010686-7 // Madagascar: Anjozorobe: MAD16-43: | Amboasarianala, Antanambe stream: | S-18.4671; E047.93807; 1271 m: Stream | with sidepools: 07/04/2016 | Leg. T. Ranarilalatiana // -2Å(GP), 2Q, 1Q(Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010688-91, 10790(Alc.) // Madagascar: Anjozorobe: MAD16-44 | Amboasarianala, Mangarivotra stream: | S-18.4676; E047.92535; 1271 m: stream | with bedrock and grass at edge | 07/04/2016; Leg. T. Ranarilalatiana // -2 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010831 // MAD: ANTA: Analamanga: Anjoz | orobe forest reserve: Marsh next | to the stream by Saha forest, 10Km E of Anjozorobe: MAD14- | 78: forestmarsh: 18.4128S | 47.9439E; 1320 m; 23.XI.2014 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, S. Holmgren, | J.H. Randriamihaja | & T. Ranarilalatiana // -23 (GP), 29, 6 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010676-9, 10797(Alc.) // Madagascar: Ankazobe: MAD16-24: | Firarazana: S-18.13132; E047.23976; | 1551 m; Lake with grass at margins: | 12/03/2016; Leg. T. Ranarilalatiana // -2^Q, 11 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010680-1, 10789(Alc.) // Madagascar: Ankazobe: MAD16-26: | Maharidaza, Large stream by the road to | military camp: S-18.22102; E047.27087; | 1547 m: stream and bog with grass: | 14/03/2016; Leg. T. Ranarilalatiana // -1 (GP), 1 , 7 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010682-3, 10788(Alc.) // Madagascar: Ankazobe: MAD16-29: | Firarazana, SW of Ambohitantely | reserve: S-18.16717; E047.26090; | 1532 m: Bog with grass: 17/03/2016; | Leg. T. Ranarilalatiana // -3 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010829 // MAD: ANTA: Analamanga: Mana- | nkazo river by the bridge of | RN4: Mad14-75: medium size river over bedrock: 18.158S | 47.2104E: 1450 m: 21.XI.2014 // Leg. J. Bergsten, | J.H. Randriamihaja | & T. Ranarilalatiana // -14 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010834 // MAD: ANTA: Analamanga: Andra- | nofeno river by the bridge of | RN4, next to Andranofeno Sud | village: Mad14-74: medium size, | slow flowing

river: 18.0844S | 47.1776E: 1430 m: 21.XI.2014 // Leg. J. Bergsten, | J.H. Randriamihaja | & T. Ranarilalatiana // - 19 (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010835 // Madagascar: Ankazobe: TR18L10: | Stream by the bridge S of Ambohitantely | reserve: S-18.2023; E047.2780; 1556 m: | Hygropetric rock and stagnant pools: | 11/04/2018 Leg. T. Ranarilalatiana -1 Q (NMW): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000065756 // RM: Betsiboka Bas (PO533) | Andranofeno Sud Riv. | 47°10'46"E, 18°05'00"S | 06.11.1995 | Leg. Elouard, J.-M., Oliarinony. R. // Toamasina. Alaotra Mangoro: Ambatondrazaka, Andilamena, Moramanga: -19 (NMW): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000065759 // E-Madagascar (09) Ambaton- | drazaka Region, 5Km N Didy | 1100-1200 m. asl. 14–16.01.1995 | G. Dunay & J. Janák coll. // -1º (NMW): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000065760 // E-Madagascar (10) | Ambatombe, near Andilamena | 900 m asl. 17.01.1995 | G. Dunay & J. Janák coll. // -1 d (NMW): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000065761 // E-Madagascar (11) Ampamoho | near Andilamena, 1200–1300 m. | asl. 18–20.01.1995 | G. Dunay & J. Janák coll. // -1 d (GP) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010627 // MAD: TOAM: Alaotra Mangoro | Betsabora river by RN2 near Antsapanana village, 6 Km W | of Moramanga: MAD14-81: river | with side pools: 18.92475 | 48.1828E; 900 m; 24.XI.2014 // Leg. J. Bergsten, | J.H. Randriamihaja | & T. Ranarilalatiana // -1&(Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010833 // Madagascar: Toamasina: Alaotra | Mangoro: Analamazaotra SR: bog | at S border of reserve: S18.95456 E | 048.44048, 910 m: 09.XI.2011: GB | Nets and sieves: bog with red mud: | Field# MAD11-27 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, T. | Ranarilalatiana & | H.J. Randriamihaja // -1^Q (NHMUK): // BMNH-677103 // 11.xii.2004, Andasibe, | Madagascar: P27MD36 | Coll Balke M; | Monaghan M // (DNA Voucher) // -1♀ (NMW): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000065757 // MADAGASCAR (Md-4) | Andasibe, NP Perinet | 1150 m, Pfütze auf waldwiese | und in Kleinen Bach | 7.12.2000, Leg. W. Dolin // -1 Q (NMW): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000065758 // E-Madagascar (07) | Andranokobaka, N Moramanga | 800 m. Asl, 13.01.1995 | G. Dunay & J. Janák coll. // Antsiranana. Sava: Andapa: -13 (GP), 13 (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010827, 10828 (Alc.) // MAD: ANTS: Sava: Anjanaharibe | Sud NP: river Marolakana at the | crossing place: Mad14-64: larger | river with rocks; 14.7623S | 49.4834E: 920 m: 15.XI.2014 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, S. Holmgren, | J.H. Randriamihaja | & T. Ranarilalatiana //

Diagnosis. Body shape elongate oval, convex, and attenuate posteriorly, with uniform black colouration (Fig. 7D). The pattern of abbreviation of the elytral striae separates this species from all other Malagasy *Copelatus* species. Striae 1, 3, and 5 present only in posterior one third (1) or two thirds (3, 5); striae 2, 4, and 6 fragmented anteriorly and, except for stria 4, never reach the base as clearly impressed striae. Penis profile in lateral view is characteristic, resembling a "pumpjack" (Fig. 4B).

Description. Body length: 5.3–6.3 mm. Body shape elongate oval, convex, and attenuate posteriorly. Head, pronotum, and elytra all the same colour, ferrugineous black and finely punctate.

Lateral margin of pronotum rusty ferrugineous, with short sparse strioles. Pronotum with puncture rows and microsculpture. Elytra narrowly testaceous to ferrugineous posterolaterally. Six elytral striae present and one submarginal stria (Fig. 7D). Striae 2, 4, and 6 longer than striae 1, 3, and 5, at least as continued fragments; first stria shortest, present only in posterior fourth to posterior third. Second and third striae present as clearly impressed striae in posterior half or a little longer, but second stria continues, albeit fragmentary and less impressed, more distinctly all the way to base. Fourth stria the most complete, more or less present to base but less deeply impressed or fragmentary in anterior third. Fifth stria abbreviated a little less than third stria, present in approximately posterior two thirds, and like stria 3 has small isolated point remnants of striae more anteriorly. Sixth stria longer than fifth and with more distinct remnants of striae present anteriorly. Elytra covered with punctures in apical part.

Ventral side ferrugineous dark brown. Metacoxa and abdominal sternite II, III, IV, striolate. Prosternal process raised medially but rounded, not carinate. Lateral parts of metaventrite broad. Metacoxal lines short and strongly diverging anteriorly. Antenna, palps, pro- and mesothoracic legs brown to yellowish, but metathoracic legs dark brown.

Male: protibia slightly widened at apex, somewhat curved and angulate basally. Penis in lateral view curved with two points where curvature is more abrupt, constricted before apex at a narrow "neck" and expanding to apical part (Fig. 4B). As a whole in lateral view, the penis bears resemblance to the silhouette of a "pumpjack". Penis apex in ventral view left-turned. Parameres broad at base, curved and tapering towards apex, apical part with numerous setae on ventral margin (Fig. 4B).

Female: dorsal sculpture similar to male.

Distribution. Occurs on Madagascar and Mauritius (Gomy 2016). Guignot (1948) tentatively refer a single female specimen from Lulua in DR Congo to *C. distinguendus* but this was very likely a different species. In Madagascar, it has been recorded from the provinces of Antananarivo, Fianarantsoa Toamasina, and Antsiranana; more specifically from Anjozorobe Angavo reserve, around Ankazobe, Manankazo, Andranofeno Sud, around Ambohitantely reserve, Manjakatompo Ankaratra reserve; also from the eastern central area around Moramanga, Analamazaotra NP; in the southeast from Ambositra in col de Tapia, Ankazomivady forest, Ranomafana NP, Andringitra NP; and from the northeast in Anjanaharibe Sud reserve (Fig. 11D).

Habitat and ecology. This species has been collected in various localities, mostly from open, partly deforested areas at mid- to high altitudes or open forest marshes. It occurs at altitudes above 900 m and is often associated with grass vegetation along lake shores and in marshes, found by stamping, and at vegetation-rich margins of rivers.

Comments. Régimbart (1895) described this species under the name *Copelatus duodecimstriatus* Aubé but realised later (1903) that what he had described from Madagascar was not conspecific with Aubé's *C. duodecimstriatus* from the Mascarene islands and he gave it the new name *C. distinguendus*, referring to his description from 1895. *Copelatus duodecimstriatus* lacks submarginal striae, has a distinctly different penis shape, and is endemic to Mauritius (Vinson 1967; Guignot 1961; Gomy 2016). The closest relatives of *Copelatus distinguendus* are likely a group of species on continental Africa with similar genitalia (e.g., *C. ateles* Guignot, 1955, *C. sylvaticus* Guignot, 1952, *C. andreinii* Régimbart, 1905, and additional species described by Bilardo and Rocchi 2013). These have a variable number of elytral striae from five discal to ten discal plus one submarginal, but similar "pumpjack"-shaped genitalia (Bilardo and Rocchi 2013). *Copelatus distinguendus* differs from these especially by the lack of a lateral tooth on the male penis in ventral view.

Copelatus pulchellus (Klug, 1834)

Figs 5C, 8D

Agabus pulchellus Klug 1834: t. XXXIII: 7

Copelatus africanus Sharp, 1882: 583; TL: Botswana, Lake Ngami;

? Copelatus basalis Boheman, 1848: 244; TL: South Africa (Caffraria interiore);

Copelatus discoideus Sharp, 1882: 582; TL: Mesopotamia;

Copelatus obtusus Boheman, 1848: 242; TL: South Africa (Caffraria orientali);

Copelatus strigulosus Sharp, 1882: 582; TL: Mesopotamia;

Copelatus mimetes Guignot, 1957: 73; TL: Madagascar, Sakaraha, Lambomakandro; syn. nov.

Type locality. Egypt, Sinai.

Type material studied. -HT⁽¹⁾ (GP) (*Copelatus mimetes*) (MNHN, "coll. Guignot"): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000030032 // Sakaraha | Lambomakandro | III-56 A.R. // Type [red label] // F. Guignot Det., 1956 | Copelatus | mimetes n. sp. | Type 🖒 // INSTITUT SCIENTIFIQUE MADAGASCAR // -LTQ (lectotype here designated) (Copelatus obtusus) (NHRS): // Caffra | ria. // J. Wahlb // Type. // HoloTypus [red label, "Typus" printed, "Holo" handwritten with small letters in front, possibly by J. Balfour-Browne who studied the type] // obtusus Boh. // Cop. pulchellus var: | obtusus Boh. | J. Balfour-Browne det. // 5657 | E91 // NHRS-JLKB | 000065335 // Lectotype Copelatus obtusus | Boheman, 1842 | Des. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019// -? ST & (Copelatus basalis) (NHRS): // Caffra | ria. // J Wahlb // Type. // Typus [red label, printed] // 158 | 61 // Copelatus | basalis Bhn. // Copelatus | pulchellus | Klug. | Det. 19.iv.1961 | J. Omer-Cooper. // 5597 | E91 // NHRS-JLKB | 000065337 // -? ST♀ (Copelatus basalis) (NHRS): // Caffra | ria. // J. Wahlb // Paratypus [red label, printed] // 160 | 61 // 5596 | E91 // NHRS-JLKB | 000065338 // -? STQ (Copelatus basalis) (NHRS): // Caffra | ria. // J. Wahlb // Paratypus [red label, printed] // 159 | 61 // 5595 E91 // NHRS-JLKB | 000065339 //

Additional material studied. Antsiranana. Diana: Antsiranana: -1♂(GP) (MNHN): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000030259 // Madagascar | Diego-Suarez | Ch. Alluaud 1893 // Mahajanga. Boeny: Mitsinjo: -1♀ (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000065733 // Madagascar: Mahajanga: Boeny: | Mahavavy Kinkony RS: S 16.13337 | E 045.95778, 19 m.a.o. 04.XII.2009 | Water Net, Field# MAD09-25 | leg. J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, | T. Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja // Mahajanga. Melaky: Antsalova: -1♂(GP) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010695 // Madagascar: Mahajanga: Melaky: | Tsingy de Bemaraha NP: S19.03572 | E044.77507, 66 m.a.o. 15.XII.2009 | Water Net, Field# MAD09-58 | Leg. J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, T. | Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja //



Figure 5. Male genitalia. From left to right, aedeagus in right lateral, ventral, left lateral views and left paramere. **A** *Copelatus marginipennis* **B** *Copelatus mahajanga* **C** *Copelatus pulchellus*.

Diagnosis. Similar to *C. marginipennis* (Laporte, 1835) and *C. mahajanga* in overall habitus, but body shape more like the elongated shape of *C. mahajanga. Copelatus pulchellus* on Madagascar is ferrugineous black in overall colouration with or without a rather narrow or vague testaceous band basally on elytra (Fig. 8D). Instead testaceous regions on elytra are limited to posterolateral and apical parts. The first elytral stria almost full length. Penis in lateral view rather abruptly curved past middle so that basal and apical parts are approximately at right angles (Fig. 5C). Penis overall simpler compared to that of *C. marginipennis* and *C. mahajanga* and apex slightly twisted.

Description. (based on Malagasy specimens):

Body length 5.5–6.1 mm. Body shape oblong oval, rather convex and attenuate posteriorly, dark brown to blackish ferrugineous. Head infuscated brown ferrugineous, somewhat lighter posteriorly, covered with dense microreticulation and sparser punctation.

Pronotum dark brown to ferrugineous black with testaceous anterolateral corners. Disc covered with fine microsculpture forming regular cells and regularily spread small punctures of about same size as cells. Punctuation becomes coarser in posterolateral corners with a weak tendency to corrugate.

Elytra predominantely dark brown to ferrugineous black on disc and along striae with or without a rather narrow and vague basal testaceous band (Fig. 8D). Outer intervals and apical region testaceous to varying degrees, often a testaceous interval 4 stands out. Elytral surface covered with same type of microsculpture and punctures as on pronotum. Six clearly impressed elytral striae present on disc and one submarginal stria: second to sixth stria starting at base, first stria somewhat abbreviated anteriorly (by approximately 1/8th the length of elytra); submarginal stria starting at approx. middle.

Ventral side ferrugineous dark brown, with testaceous spots laterally on abdominal ventrites. Metacoxa and ventrites with strioles. Prosternal process more elongate lanceolate and with blunter apex compared with *C. marginipennis* and *C. mahajanga*. Lateral parts of metaventrite medium broad. Metacoxal lines short and rather strongly diverging anteriorly. Antennae, palps, pro- and mesolegs testaceous, metalegs somewhat darker testaceous.

Female: elytral striolation limited to the medial parts of the outer three elytral intervals in the single female studied from Madagascar. From other parts of the distribution a female form is known that has the entire elytra striolated (Sharp 1882; Hájek and Reiter 2014).

Male: protibia bisinuate and angled at base, distally expanded. Penis thin, strongly angled at middle in lateral view, and apex somewhat twisted to the left in ventral view (Fig. 5C). Parameres more narrowly triangular apically compared to those in *C. marginipennis* and *C. mahajanga*.

Distribution. As the species *C. pulchellus* is currently interpreted, this is a very widely distributed Afrotropical and Middle Eastern species. Balfour-Browne (1950) recorded the species from Senegal in the west, Tanzania in the east, South Africa in the south, and Mesopotamia (Iraq and adjacent regions) in the Middle East. Hájek

and Reiter (2014) recorded the species from Yemen and stated that the likely distribution in the Middle East included the entire Arabian Peninsula. Guignot (1961) also gives India and Ceylon (= Sri Lanka) but this was likely based on the misidentification of closely related oriental species (see Ghosh and Nilsson 2012; Sheth et al. 2018). *Copelatus pulchellus* was not previously recorded from Madagascar following the revision by Balfour-Browne (1950) but as we synonymise *C. mimetes* with *C. pulchellus*, Madagascar now forms part of the distribution. From Madagascar we have seen specimens from Antsiranana, Mahavavy Kinkony Reserve, Tsingy de Bemaraha National Park (Bekopaka), and from Lambomakandro, Sakaraha (Fig. 11C). It can likely show up anywhere in lowland Madagascar, but especially in the western lowlands.

Habitat and ecology. On Madagascar we have collected the species associated with a small forest stream with sidepools in a karstic limestone area ("tsingy") and in a muddy stagnant pool in a dried-out river bed. Both localities are in dry deciduous forests of lowland western Madagascar.

Comment. *Copelatus pulchellus* forms part of a diverse species group with many externally very similar species. Balfour-Browne (1950) admitted that his previous treatment of the species (Balfour-Browne 1939) was entirely wrong as he had then not studied the male genitalia. When he did so in 1950 it resulted in the description of several new species previously lumped under *C. pulchellus*.

Copelatus pulchellus is now interpreted as a widespread Afrotropical and Middle Eastern species with the male penis similar to that illustrated in Figure 5C. Dorsal colouration is interpreted as very variable, even consisting of several distinct colour forms such as the darker forms described as C. obtusus Boheman and later as C. africanus Sharp (compare figure 24 with photographs in Perissinotto et al. (2016: fig. 24) and Hájek and Reiter (2014: fig. 30)). Females are also interpreted as variable in the striolation pattern on the elytra. Sharp described a female form from Mesopotamia that was entirely striolated over the elytra under the name C. strigulosus, which is interpreted today as intraspecific variation of C. pulchellus (see photograph in Hájek and Reiter (2014: fig. 30)). We have seen three males and one female from Madagascar. All males are of the darker colour form, lacking a basal transverse testaceous band on elytra, similar to Boheman's C. obtusus. The female has a weak basal testaceous band but is otherwise also most similar to the dark colour form. Striolation is limited to the middle of the outer three intervals. We have no doubt that the three non-type specimens examined are conspecific with C. mimetes Guignot. But after our comparison of the male genitalia of the type with C. pulchellus specimens from mainland Africa, we concluded that C. mimetes cannot be upheld as a separate species given how C. pulchellus is interpreted. We noted very minor differences in the penis apex which are not greater than differences between east, south, and west African specimens of C. pulchellus. The penis figured by Guignot (1957: fig. 2, reproduced by Nilsson et al. 1997: fig. 8) is very inaccurate, depicting a shape quite different from C. pulchellus but that does not correspond with the holotype. Copelatus pulchellus may still be a species complex for future studies to solve but currently it is a widespread and variable species distributed over Africa, Madagascar, and the Middle East.

Copelatus basalis Boheman, 1848 was synonymised with C. pulchellus by Omer-Cooper (1965). We have studied the same type material as Omer-Cooper and agree with this conclusion. However, we are not convinced that the material housed at NHRS as these types are the correctly identified types of the name C. basalis. Boheman (1848) describes in Latin four species from Johan August Wahlbergs collectings in Caffraria (South Africa) of which three are new, numbered 259 – Copelatus pulchellus Klug; 260 – Copelatus obtusus; 261 - Copelatus striatellus; and 262 - Copelatus basalis. For C. pulchellus the disc of elytra is described as having six striae. For the longer description of C. obtusus this is further elaborated to detail that there are six discal stria but entire elytra has seven striae "septemstriata" (six discal and one submarginal). This pattern and number of striae is consistent with the Copelatus pulchellus species group. The following species is correctly identified as C. striatellus with nine discal striae, and is clearly stated as such: "disco striis 9 tenuibus", of which the innermost is much abbreviated. This description matches very well with the types preserved at NHRS. Finally, C. basalis is described as the last Copelatus species in Boheman's work and elytra is described as "12-striata". The supposed types at NHRS for both C. obtusus and C. basalis have six discal and one submarginal striae. The type for C. obtusus matches the original description of "septem-striata" but the three types of C. basalis with the same number of striae does not match the original description of "12-striata". It would be very inconsistent of Boheman to describe the total number of striae on one elytron in one case "elytra...septem-striata", and in the other only the discal striae but summing up the number from both elytral halves "elytra...12-striata". It would also be very illogical to place C. basalis after the 9-striated C. striatellus if it has the same number of striae as C. pulchellus and C. obtusus, which come first. Similarly, Guignot (1961) was confused about Boheman's C. basalis and listed the name both under the 12-striated C. mocquerysi Régimbart with a question mark, and under C. pulchellus. We consider the status of C. basalis Boheman as uncertain but we have not found any alternative potential type material at NHRS. We designate the single undoubted syntype of C. obtusus Boheman, 1848 in the NHRS collection as lectotype to preserve the stability of the name.

Copelatus marginipennis (Laporte, 1835)

Figs 5A, 8A

Colymbetes marginipennis Laporte, 1835: 102.

Copelatus aldabricus J. Balfour-Browne, 1950: 368 syn. nov.; TL: Seychelles, Aldabra Islands.

Copelatus aldabricus var. simplex Guignot 1952: 28 syn. nov.; TL: Madagascar.

Type locality. Senegal [possibly mislabelled].

Type information from original description. housed in Buquet collection and originating from Senegal; of *aldabricus*: based on male (holotype), J.C. Fryer collection, collected 1908-9 from Aldabra; of *simplex*: based on male and female syntypes from Madagascar without further locality data.

Type material studied. -LT (lectotype here designated) (*Colymbetes marginipennis*) (MNHN): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000065416 // *Copelatus marginipennis* Buquet | pulchellus var. Aubé | h. in Senegal D. Buquet // D. Sharp | Monogr. // Ex-Musaeo Déjean // *pulchellus* // Lectotype | *Colymbetes marginipennis* | Laporte, 1835 | Des. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019// -HT (*Copelatus aldabricus*) (NHMUK): // Aldabra, 08-9. J.C.F. Fryer // Perey Sladen Trust | expedition. | 1913-170. // Type [red round label] // *Copelatus | aldabricus* Type | J. Balfour-Browne det. //

Additional material studied. Toamasina. Alaotra Mangoro: Andilamena, Moramanga: -1^Q (NMW): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000010726 // Madagascar 17.01.1995 | Ambatombe | nr. Andilamena 900 m | leg. Dunay & Janák (10) // -1 (NHMUK): // BMNH-797894 // MAD: AMPA: Moramanga: Andasibe | Andasibe NP: Big Pond | P61BI15: N: -18.937: E:48.416: 940 m | 06/I/2007: Leg. Isambert et al. // DNA Voucher | BMNH <797894> | MSL294:B10 // -2⁽³⁾ (GP), 15 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010587--8, 10799(Alc.) // Madagascar: Tamatave: Alaotra Mangoro: | Analamazaotra RS; Bas fond, non-permanent | pond near trail to "lac rouge"MAD15-1| 943 m, 18°56'26.7"S, 048°25'03.9"E, 16.III.2015 | Among vegetation and dead leaves in the pond, | Leg. T. Ranarilalatiana & H.J. Randriamihaja // -2♂ (GP), 2♀ (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010604– 5, 10800(Alc.) // Madagascar: Tamatave: Alaotra Mangoro: Mantadia NP. | Non-permanent pond at PK18,50 m; E of Park road | 973 m, 18°46'09.9"S, 048°26'10.4"E, 17.III.2015 | Under dead leaves & vegetation at the edge of the pond, | Leg.T. Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja; MAD15-5 // -1 (GP) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB 000010815 // Madagascar: Toamasina: Alaotra | Mangoro: RN2, Mangoro river | 10Km W of Moramanga: S18.92438 | E048.18273, 940 m. 06.XI.2011 | GB Nets and sieves: river and | pools: Field# MAD11-21 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, T. Ranarilalatiana & J.H. Randriamihaja // -12 (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB 000010822 // Madagascar: Toamasina: Alaotra | Mangoro: Analamazaotra SR: | close to park entrance: S18.9355 E | 048.41656, 970 m: 08.XI.2011: GB | Nets and sieves: dried up forest | pond: Field# MAD11-25 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, T. | Ranarilalatiana & | H.J. Randriamihaja // -6 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010823 // Madagascar: Toamasina: Alaotra | Mangoro: by RN2 S border of | Analamazaotra reserve 1Km E | Antsampanana: S18.94987 E048.42331 980m: 09. XI.2011: GB Nets & sieves | ditch next to road: Field# MAD11-29 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, T. | Ranarilalatiana & | J.H. Randriamihaja // Toamasina. Analan**jirofo: Maroantsetra:** -3♂ (GP), 2♀ (NHMUK): // BMNH-797906–10 // MAD: TOAM: Maroantsetra: Masoala | Masoala NP: Pool | P58BI14: N: -15.758: E: 49.993: 10 m 17/XI/2006: Leg. Isambert et al. // BMNH (DNA Voucher) // -13 (GP) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010794 // MAD: TOAM: Maroantsetra: Masoala | Masoala NP: Pool | P58BI14: N: -15.758: E: 49.993: 10 m | 17/XI/2006: Leg. Isambert et al. // -1 d (NMW): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000010728 // E-Madagascar: Fenerive | Foret de Tampolo | 28.12.1998 | leg. J. Moravec // -1^Q(Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000011122 // Madagascar: Toamasina: Analajinrofo: | Masoala NP: degraded lowalt. forest: | MAD18-47: small waterpools on path | ~0.5km NW of

Marofototra village, | 15.7606S, 49.9926E, 15 m, 17.II.2018 | Leg. T. Ranarilalatiana // Toliara. Menabe: Morondava: -3d (GP) 2Q (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-ILKB | 000010580, 10730 (JB197), 10751, 10802(Alc.) //Madagascar: Toliara: Menabe: Kirindy RS: S20.07430 | E044.66307, 52 m.a.o. 12.XII.2009 | Water Net, Field# MAD09-46 | Leg: J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, T. | Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja // Mahajanga. Boeny: Ambato-Boeny, Mitsinjo: -13 (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB 000010734 (JB201) // Madagascar: Mahajanga: Boeny: | Ankarafantsika NP. S16.30350 | E046.81068, 87 m.a.o. 29.XI.2009 | Water Net, Field# MAD09-03 | Leg. J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, | T. Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja // -6d (GP), 3° , 11 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010567–71, 10729 (JB196), 10732 (JB199), 10742-3, 10798(Alc.) // Madagascar: Mahaianga: Boeny: | Ankarafantsika NP. S16.30341 | E046.81073, 74 m.a.o. 29.XI.2009 | 22W Black Light, Field# MAD09-07 | Leg. J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, | T. Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja // -1 d (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010731 (JB198) // Madagascar: Mahajanga: Boeny | Ankarafantsika NP, S16.30270 | E046.80996; 75 m.a.o. 30.XI.2009 | 22W Black light, Field# MAD09-13 | Leg. J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, | T. Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja // -19 (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010737 (JB191) // Madagascar: Mahajanga: Boeny: | Ankarafantsika NP. S16.31418 | E046.81731, 30.XI.2009 | Hand picking, Field# MAD09-14 | Leg. J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, | T. Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja // -3º, 1ô(GP), 11 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000065749 (JB809), 10738 (JB192), 10744-5, 10806(Alc.) // Madagascar: Mahajanga: Boeny: | Mahavavy Kinkony RS. S16.14653 | E045.94926, 9 m.a.o. 04.XII.2009 | Water net, Field# MAD09-24 | Leg. J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, T. | Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja // -2^(GP), 2^(Q), 18 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB 000010578-9, 10739 (JB193), 10746, 10807(Alc.) // Madagascar: Mahajanga: Boeny: | Mahavavy Kinkony RS. S16.13337 | E045.95778, 19 m.a.o. 04.XII.2009 | Water net, Field# MAD09-25 | Leg. J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson | T. Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja // -5 (GP), 4 \bigcirc , 79 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010572-7, 10740 (JB194), 10747-8, 10808 (Alc.) // Madagascar: Mahajanga: Boeny: | Mahavavy Kinkony RS. S16.05776 | E045.80585, 22 m.a.o. 05.XII.2009 | Water net, Field# MAD09-28 | Leg. J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, | T. Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja // -13, 19, 4 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010735 (JB202), 10749, 10809(Alc.) // Madagascar: Mahajanga: Boeny: | Mahavavy Kinkony RS. S16.06651 | E045.77672, 24 m.a.o. 05.XII.2009 | Water net, Field# MAD09-29 | Leg. J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, T. | Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja // -1♀ (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010741(JB189) // Madagascar: Mahajanga: Boeny | Mahavavy Kinkony RS, 16.05648S | 045.76371E; 55 m.a.o. 05.XII.2009 Water net, Field# MAD09-30 | Leg: J. Bergsten, N. Jonsson, T. | Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja // -13° , 6ex. (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010750, 10810(Alc.) // Madagascar: Mahajanga: Boeny: | Mahavavy Kinkony RS. S16.01334 | E046.00376, 24 m.a.o. 06.XII.2009 | Water net, Field# MAD09-33 | Leg. J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, T. Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja // **Mahajanga. Melaky: Antsalova:** -2 (GP)

(CAS): // CASENT-8135015-6 // Madagascar: Mahajanga | Prov. Parc National Tsingy | de Bemaraha, 2.5 Km 62° ENE | Bekopaka, Ankidrodroa river | elev 100 m: 11-15 Nov 2001 // 19°7'56"S, 44°48'53"E | Coll: Fisher, Griswold et al. | California Acad. of Sciences | sifted litter - tropical dry forest | on Tsingy, code: BLF4340 // -1 (GP) (CAS): // CASENT-8131891 // Madagascar: Mahajanga | Prov. Parc National Tsingy | de Bemaraha, 2.5 Km 62° ENE | Bekopaka, Ankidrodroa river | elev 100 m: 11-15 Nov 2001 // 19°7'56"S, 44°48'53"E | Coll: Fisher, Griswold et al. | California Acad. of Sciences | at light- tropical dry forest | on Tsingy, code: BLF4343 // -1 (GP) (CAS): // CASENT-8135006 // Madagascar Mahajanga | Prov. Foret de Tsimembo | 11.0Km 346° NNW Soatana | elev 50 m: 21-25 Nov 2001 | 18°59'43"S, 44°26'37"E // Coll: Fisher, Griswold et al. | California Acad. of Sciences | sifted litter (leaf mold, rotten wood) | in tropical dry forest | coll. Code: BLF4508 // -1°_{\circ} (GP), 22, 3 ex. (Alc.), 2^(Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010581-2, 10752, 10803(Alc.), 10821(Alc.)// Madagascar: Mahajanga: Melaky: | Tsingy de Bemaraha NP: S19.03572 | E044.77507, 66 m.a.o. 15.XII.2009 | Water Net, Field# MAD09-58 | Leg: J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, T. | Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja // -3 GP, 1 Q, 5 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010583-4, 10736 (JB203), 10754, 10805(Alc.) // Madagascar: Mahajanga: Melaky: | Tsingy de Bemaraha NP: S18.75643 | E044.71398, 119 m.a.o. 17.XII.2009 | Water Net, Field# MAD09-65 | Leg: J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, T. | Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja // -1, 1° , 1° (GP), 2° (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010733 (JB200), 10753, 10804(Alc.) // Madagascar: Mahajanga: Melaky: | Tsingy de Bemaraha NP: 19.03419S | 044.77499E, 41 m.a.o. 15.XII.2009 Water Net, Field# MAD09-59 | Leg: J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, T. | Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja // Antsiranana. Diana: Ambanja, Ambilobe, Antsiranana II: -1 (GP) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010585 // Madagascar: Antsiranana: Diana: Ambilomagodra: Stream under the | bridge of the road RN6 in | Ambilomagodra village, S13.00780 | E49.13313, 139 m, 30.XI.2012, GB nets: | dried up stream with some pools in | the village: Field# MAD12-33 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, T | Ranarilalatiana & | J.H. Randriamihaja // -1♂ (GP), 2♀ (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010586, 10801(Alc.) // Madagascar: Antsiranana: Diana: | Andrafiabe: Antsoha stream | 200m from Andrafiabe, 12.93022S | 49.03466E, 32 m, 01.XII.2012, GB | nets: stream with some pools: | Field# MAD12-39 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, T | Ranarilalatiana & | J.H. Randriamihaja // -1 (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000011123 // Madagascar: Antsiranana: Diana: | Antsaba: 1km W of Antsaba, 13.63474S; 48.72918E, 67 m, 28.XI.2012, GB nets: forest | stream: Field# MAD12-32 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, T | Ranarilalatiana & | J.H. Randriamihaja // -1^Q (NMW): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000010725 // MADAGASCAR: Sakaramy (M. d'Ambre, Antsiranana) | lake Farihy Makery | 29.03.2011 | leg. R. Gerecke (MD189) // riparian area near outflow | 12°26'20.5"S, 49°14'20.0"E | 377 m. 29.5 °C // -1 d (NMW): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000010727 // N. Madagascar | Antseranana distr. | Sambirano riv. | Marovato vill. | 5–12.12.01, leg. J. Horák // Antsiranana. Sava: Sambava, Vohemar: -1♀ (NHMUK): // BMNH-797876 // MAD: DIEG: Sambava: Marojejy | Marojejy NP: Pool | P57BI31: N: -14.457: E:49.79: 162m | 10/

XI/2006; Leg. Isambert et al // DNA Voucher | BMNH <797876> | MSL294:A4 // -1\$\overline\$ (CAS): // CASENT-8135007 // Madagascar Antsiranana | Foret d'Ampondrabe. | 26.3Km 10° NNE Daraina | elev 175m: 10 December 2003 // 12°58'12"S, 049°42'00"E | California Acad. of Sciences | Coll: B.L.Fisher, sifted | litter (leaf mold, rotten wood) | tropical dry forest, BLF9974 //

Diagnosis. Copelatus marginipennis is distinguished from all other Malagasy Copelatus except C. pulchellus and C. mahajanga by the presence of six discal elytral striae and a broadly oval body shape. Copelatus marginipennis is most easily separated by the distinct shape of the male penis in lateral view (Fig. 5A). Copelatus pulchellus (from Madagascar) lacks a transverse testaceous band basally on the elytra and females of C. marginipennis can usually be distinguished from C. mahajanga by the normally narrower testaceous band, a longer first elytral stria, and a broader body shape.

Description. Body length 5.2–6.6 mm. Body shape oval, rather convex and attenuate posteriorly, dark brown to brown ferrugineous. Head, pronotum and elytra in the same dark brown ferrugineous, covered with fine dense punctation. Lateral sides of pronotum more brownish, with short strioles and the widest striolate area in the posterior corners. Elytra dark brown to brown ferrugineous, with a testaceous transverse band at base (Fig. 8A). Six clearly impressed elytral striae present and one submarginal: first stria abbreviated and slightly shorter, starting at approx. 1/7th posterior of elytral base, second to sixth full length, and submarginal stria starting at approx. the middle; all striae approaching the apex of elytron except the sixth, which is abbreviated posteriorly and a little shorter. Sometimes, the first and second elytral striae, like fifth and sixth, unite posteriorly. Elytral surface covered with dense punctures.

Ventral side brownish to ferrugineous, metacoxa with microsculpture, densely and finely punctate. Metacoxa and abdominal ventrites striolate. Prosternal process rather short and spear-shaped, medially only weakly raised and rounded. Lateral parts of metaventrites rather broad. Metacoxal lines short and rather strongly diverging anteriorly. Antennae and palps both in the same brown colour. Pro- and mesothoracic legs brown ferrugineous. Metathoracic legs dark brown ferrugineous.

Male: Protibia strongly angled basally and expanded in apical two thirds. Penis in ventral view with a small preapical tooth on right side; in lateral view very characteristic with a subbasal dorsal knob, and post-middle with a deep ventral invagination (Fig. 5A).

Females from Madagascar usually with elytral striolation rather weak and restricted to outer intervals, but rarely the elytra are entirely and distincly striolate. Females on average smaller than males.

Distribution. Endemic to the western Indian Ocean islands as far as is modernly known, but the 1835 type locality of the original description and labels read "Senegal", which indicates either mislabeling or that the species in fact also occurs on continental Africa. Known from Madagascar, Reunion, Comores, Seychelles, and Aldabra Island (Gomy 2016). Widespread in Madagascar and recorded from Montagne d'Ambre NP and Masoala NP in the north and northeast to Kirindy in the southwest (Fig. 11A), but apparently lacking from most of the central plateau. It can probably show up anywhere around the island below 1000 m in altitude.

Habitat and ecology. This is a lowland species that seems to be most common in the deciduous western parts of Madagascar. It was common in the newly designated protected areas of Mahavavy Kinkony when we visited it in 2009. In the deciduous forest biome it has been recorded from Kirindy in the south to Ankarana NP in the north. The species seems to be a generalist and can as well show up on lowland humid east coast and at midaltitudes up to at least 1000 m. It is an apt flier collected with light traps and often in very temporary and small shallow pools including water-filled wheel tracks. Found in all kinds of temporary pools, as well as in streams and in residual pools in dried-up riverbeds. It occurred sympatrically with *C. mahajanga* in Betsabora river near Moramanga and at a 22W black light trap by a forest pool in Ankarafantsika NP.

Comments. *Copelatus aldabricus* was described by J. Balfour-Browne (1950) from Aldabra Island in the Seychelles. Guignot (1952) named a non-striolated female variety from Madagascar as var. *simplex*, and again in 1961 as var. *aequabilis* (non-available infrasubspecific name) from Andranofotsy (E of Maroantsetra NE Madagascar), and stated (1961) that females of *C. aldabricus* are normally striolated, but that on Madagascar the non-striolated var. *aequabilis* is most common or even ubiquitous. We have found at least one female on Madagascar (from Masoala NP) with the elytra entirely striolated (the "normal" variety sensu Guignot 1961), but indeed the form where striolation is rather weak and only at outer elytral intervals is most common. Guignot's (1961) distinction between female forms either having or lacking strioles is, however, a simplification. All females we have seen have at least some weak tendencies of striolation on outer intervals and the extent can vary significantly between individuals.

Copelatus marginipennis (Laporte, 1835) has been treated as a junior synonym of Copelatus pulchellus Klug, 1834 since Aubé (1838). We discovered the type material of Laporte's C. marginipennis in MNHN, Paris. This could be identified based on a folded blue label bearing the information "Copelatus marginipennis Buquet, pulchellus var Aubé, h. in Senegal, D. Buquet", which fits perfectly the description by Laporte (1835). The genitalia of this male show without any doubt that it is a synonym of the younger name C. aldabricus and not of C. pulchellus. The name marginipennis has to our knowledge not been used as a valid name after 1899, fulfilling the first of the two criteria for protecting a younger currently used synonym from an older available name (ICZN 23.9.1.1). However, Copelatus aldabricus is a relatively recent name from a region with a low-intensity taxonomic research and we do not believe it fulfils the second criteria of at least 25 papers published by at least ten authors in the immediately preceding 50 years and encompassing a span of not less than ten years (ICZN 23.9.1.2). Therefore it is not justifiable to protect the younger synonym C. aldabricus and the older C. marginipennis is therefore brought back as the valid name of this species. We designate the discovered syntype of Colymbetes marginipennis Laporte, 1835 in the MNHN collection as lectotype to preserve stability of the name. At the same time as this type specimen was discovered, also a likely "type" specimen of the nomen nudum Copelatus fimbriolatus Dejean, 1837 from "Ile de France" [= Mauritius] was found with the same type of blue folded label (see supplementary file 1: NHRS-JLKB000065420). This nomen nudum is currently considered a synonym of C. pulchellus Klug (Nilsson

and Hajek 2018). Unfortunately, it is a female specimen, which is why its identity cannot be established with certainty, but it is more likely identical with *C. marginipennis* since *C. pulchellus* is not yet known from any of the Mascarene Islands (Gomy 2016).

Copelatus mahajanga Pederzani & Hájek, 2005

Figs 5B, 8B

Copelatus mahajanga Pederzani & Hájek, 2005: 104.

Type locality. Madagascar, Mahajanga Distr., Mahajanga env. [ca. 15°43'S, 46°18'E]

Type information from OD. Based on male (holotype), 3 male and 4 female (paratypes). I. Janis 1–10 December 1996. HT & 5PT in NMPC. Two paratypes in collection of Pederzani, Ravenna, Italy.

Type material studied. Mahajanga. Boeny: Mahajanga I/Mahajanga II [district cannot be verified based on original description or labels]: -HT^(*)(GP) (NMPC): // MADAGASCAR 1996, Mahajanga Distr., Mahajanga env., Dec. 1–10., I. Janiš leg. // HOLOTYPE, COPELATUS, *mahajanga* sp. nov., F. Pederzani & J. Hájek det.2005 //

Additional material studied. Mahajanga. Boeny: Ambato-Boeny, Mitsinjo, Soalala: -3 (GP), 1 (NMW): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000010719–22 // RM: Betsiboka Bas (PO124) | Loc. Ambohimanatrika | Kamoro Riv. // 47°10'06", 16°28'55" | alt. 40 m 01.04.1993 // Leg. ORSTOM ANTANANARIVO // -1♂ (GP) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010717 // Madagascar: Mahajanga: Boeny: | Ankarafantsika NP. S16.30341 | E046.81073, 74 m.a.o. 29.XI.2009 | 22W Black Light, Field# MAD09-07 | Leg. J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, | T. Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja // -2♀ (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000065747 (JB802), 65732 // Madagascar: Mahajanga: Boeny: | Mahavavy Kinkony RS. S16.13337 | E045.95778, 19 m.a.o. 04.XII.2009 | Water net, Field# MAD09-25 | Leg. J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, | T. Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja // -2^Q (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010724 (JB195), 65748 // Madagascar: Mahajanga: Boeny: | Mahavavy Kinkony RS. S16.01334 | E046.00376, 24 m.a.o. 06.XII.2009 | Water net, Field# MAD09-33 | Leg. J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, | T. Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja // -2 (GP), 3 (CAS): // CASENT-8131811-5 // Madagascar: Mahajanga | Province, Parc National de | Namoroka 16.9 km 317° NW | Vilanandro 12-16 Nov 2002 // 16°24'24"S, 045°18'36"E // Colls. Fisher, Griswold et al.| California Acad. of Sciences | sifted litter, (leaf mold, rotten | wood) in tropical dry forest | elev 100 m code: BLF6582 // -1^Q (CAS): // CASENT-8135013 // Madagascar: Mahajanga | Prov. Parc National de | Namoroka 16.9 Km 317° NW | Vilanandro elev 100 m | 12–16 November 2002 // 16°24'24"S, 045°18'36"E | Coll. Fisher, Griswold et al. | California Acad. of Sciences | malaise trap- | tropical dry forest | collection code BLF6581 // -1d (GP) (CAS): // CASENT-8135001 // Madagascar: Mahajanga | Province Parc National de | Namoroka | 17.8 Km 329° WNW Vilanandro | elev 100 m: 08–12 Nov 2002 // 16°22'36"S, 045°19'36"E | Colls. Fisher, Griswold et al. | California Acad. of Sciences | pitfall trap- in tropical dry forest, | collection code BLF6506 // Mahajanga. Melaky: Antsalova: -1 3° (GP) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010723 (JB190) // Madagascar: Mahajanga: Melaky: | Tsingy de Bemaraha NP: S19.03572 | E044.77507, 66 m.a.o. 15.XII.2009 | Water Net, Field# MAD09-58 | Leg. J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, T. | Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja // Toamasina. Alaotra Mangoro: Moramanga: -5 3° (GP), 3° , 11 ex. (Alc) (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010554–5, 10596–601, 10795 (Alc.) // MAD: TOAM: Alaotra Mangoro: | Betsabora river by RN2 near | Antasmpanana village, 6Km W | of Moramanga: MAD14-81: river | with side pools: 18.9247S | 48.1828E: 900 m: 24.XI.2014 // Leg. J. Bergsten, | J.H. Randriamihaja | & T. Ranarilalatiana // - 3° (GP) 26 ex. (Alc.), 7 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010602–3, 10825, 10796(Alc.), 10826(Alc.) // Madagascar: Toamasina: Alaotra | Mangoro: RN2, Betsabora river | 10Km W of Moramanga: S18.92438 | E048.18273, 940 m. 06.XI.2011: | GB Nets and sieves: river and | pools: Field# MAD11-21 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, T. | Ranarilalatiana & | H.J. Randriamihaja //

Diagnosis. Close to *C. marginipennis* in habitus appearance but body shape more elongate. On average *C. mahajanga* have a broader transverse testaceous band at base of elytra (Fig. 8B), and the first elytral stria is more abbreviated anteriorly, starting at approx. one quarter to one half posterior of base. Penis shape is unique in *C. mahajanga*, bisinuate in ventral view with an expanded apex, not resembling that of any other *Copelatus* species in the *irinus* group from Madagascar.

Description. Body length 5.2–6.2 mm. Body shape oblong oval, rather convex, brown to dark brown. Head ferrugineous brown, paler in front, sometimes darker around eyes, finely microreticulate and punctate, two shallow depressions between eyes. Pronotum dark brown, paler at sides. Dorsal surface with fine microsculpture and scattered punctures, lateral sides of pronotum striolate with the widest striolate area in the posterior corners. Elytra brown, paler at sides and at apex, with a broad testaceous transverse band at base (Fig. 8B). Elytral surface finely reticulate and punctate. Six clearly impressed elytral striae present and one fairly long submarginal stria; first stria abbreviated anteriorly, starting at approx. one quarter to one half posterior of elytral base; other striae (second to sixth) starting more or less at base; submarginal stria starting around middle; striae 1–5 approaching apex of the elytron, but the second and fourth are a little shorter; the sixth stria much abbreviated posteriorly and sometimes the sixth unites with fifth apically approx. 1/5 from apex.

Ventral side ferrugineous brown, metacoxa and abdominal ventrites striolate and punctate. Prosternal process similar to *C. marginipennis* but lateral parts of metaventrite slightly narrower and metacoxal lines less divergent anteriorly. Antennae, palps, pro- and mesothoracic legs brown, but metathoracic legs ferrugineous dark brown.

Male: protibia modified, widened in front, strongly angled after base, with several long spines on the outer side of distal half. Tarsomeres I–III of pro- and mesolegs enlarged, with pads of numerous setae. Penis unique: in ventral view with a bisinuate shape and a widening asymmetrical apex; in lateral view abruptly curved near middle, with a right angle of more or less 90 degrees, the basal part robust (Fig. 5B). Parameres broad (Fig. 5B).

Female: similar but smaller than the male, legs not modified.

Distribution. Endemic to Madagascar. Known from several places in Mahajanga province and near Moramanga (Fig. 11B). We have seen material from around Mahajanga (Type series), Mahavavy Kinkony Reserve, Namoroka NP, Tsingy de Bemaraha NP, Ankarafantsika NP, Kamoro River south of Ankarafantsika NP and collected the species both in 2011 and 2014 at Betsabora River 6 km W of Moramanga which expanded the known distribution significantly. Note that the name Betsabora is uncertain and recovered from locals on site for this river which is a tributary to Mangoro

Habitat and ecology. Type series probably collected by light trap (Pederzani and Hájek 2005), and we collected it with black light by a leaf-choked forest pool in Ankarafantsika NP. Also collected with pitfall traps and from leaf-litter in Namoroka NP before the rainy season in November, indicating the species can tolerate periods when the habitats dry up without immediately seeking new water. We collected the largest series at Betsabora River at an elevation of 940 m. This small river runs through a savannah type area with mixed woodland-grassland ecosystem subsequent to degradation and deforestation. The river site consisted of grassy, vegetation-rich margins, bays and side pools, further described in Michat et al. (2017). Around the Mahajanga area all sites are lowaltitude, 100 m or lower.

Comments. Copelatus mahajanga was the most recently described Copelatus species from Madagascar (Peredzani and Hájek 2005) and was previously only known from the type series and lowland west type locality "Mahajanga env." without further details. The discovery of the species in Betsabora River at mid-altitude in the east indicates that the species may have a much wider distribution and ecological niche. As the species is very similar to *C. marginipennis* habitually, it might be misidentified as such in collections if male genitalia were not examined.

Copelatus insuetus Guignot, 1941 Figs 6A, 9A

River. Ampanihifana may be the correct name.

Copelatus insuetus Guignot, 1941: 39.

Type locality. Madagascar, Perinet [= Analamazaotra NP].

Type information from original description. Based on single male specimen (holotype). Madagascar, Perinet.

Type material studied. Toamasina. Alaotra Mangoro: Moramanga: -HT³ (GP) (MNHN, "coll. Guignot"): Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000030227 // Madagascar | Perinet // Type [red label] // [male symbol] // *Copelatus* | *insuetus* | guign. Type //

Additional material studied. Toamasina. Alaotra Mangoro: Moramanga, Ambatondrazaka, Andilamena: -2^Q (MNHN, "coll. Guignot"): Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000030228–9 // Perinet // INSTITUT | SCIENTIFIQUE | MADAGASCAR // [female symbol] // -5^Q (NMW): Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000030302–6 // Madagascar (Md 4/5) | Andasibe NP, Perinet | 1250 m Pfütze im Urwald | 5.12.2000, leg. W. Dolin // -1^A (NMCP, "coll. Hájek"): Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000030312 // Madagascar



Figure 6. Male genitalia. From left to right, aedeagus in right lateral, ventral, left lateral views and left paramere. **A** *Copelatus insuetus* **B** *Copelatus kely* sp. nov. **C** *Copelatus vokoka* sp. nov. **D** *Copelatus ankaratra* sp. nov. **E** *Copelatus safiotra* sp. nov.

10.1.2007 Andasibe NP. Lokato-near Andasibe Mracek Z. leg. // coll. Jiri HÁJEK National Museum | Prague, Czech Republic // -12, 13 (NMW): Data in NHRS | JLKB 000030307-8 // E-Madagascar (10) | Ambatombe near Andilamena | 900 m asl. 17.01.1995 | G. Dunay & J. Janák coll. -1♀, 1♂ (NMW): Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000030309-10 // E-Madagascar (11) Ampamoho | near Andilamena, 1200-1300 m. | asl. 18–20.01.1995 | G. Dunay & J. Janák coll. // -19 (NMW): Data in NHRS | JLKB 000030311 // Madagascar 18–20.1.1995 | 5km S Ampamoho | nr. Andilamena, 950– 1000 m | Dunay & Janák (11) // -1 Q (NHMUK): // BMNH-797884 // MAD: AMPA: Moramanga: Andasibe | Andasibe NP: Chanel| P61BI02: N: -18.935: E:48.418: 944 m | 04/I/2007 Leg. Isambert et al // DNA Voucher | BMNH <797884> | MSL294:A12 // -2^Q (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010692–3 // Madagascar: Tamatave: Alaotra Mangoro: | Analamazaotra RS; Bas fond, non-permanent | pond near trail to "lac rouge"MAD15-1 | 943 m, 18°56'26.7"S, 048°25'03.9"E, 16.III.2015 | Among vegetation and dead leaves in the pond | Leg.T. Ranarilalatiana & H.J. Randriamihaja // -1 \Im , 2^Q (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000065745, 10783 (Alc.) // Madagascar: Toamasina: Alaotra | Mangoro: Analamazaotra RS: close to | park entrance: 18.93573S; 048.41741E | 930 m. 08.XI.2011 GB Nets and sieves: | stagnant pools in tributary to | Analamazaotra river; Field# MAD11-26 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, T. | Ranarilalatiana & | H.J. Randriamihaja // -1♂ (GP), 1♀, 3 ex. (1♂, 2♀) (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010839, 65702, 10840 (Alc.) // MAD: TOAM: Alaotra Mangoro | Andasibe Mantadia NP, Analamazaotra | 250m E of park entrance: Mad14-18: | dried up river bed with stagnant pools: | 18.9357S 48.4174E: 930 m: 27.XI.2014 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, S. Holmgren, | J.H. Randriamihaja | & T. Ranarilalatiana // -12 (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010841 // MAD: TOAM: Alaotra Mangoro | Andasibe Mantadia NP, Analamazaotra | pond: 100m E from Lac vert: Mad14-15: | shallow forest pool: 18.9385S 48.4219E: | 940 m: 27.XI.2014 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, S. Holmgren, | J.H. Randriamihaja | & T. Ranarilalatiana // -13 (GP), 19, 19(Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000065705, 65777, 10844(Alc.) // MAD: TOAM: Alaotra Mangoro | Andasibe Mantadia NP, Analamazaotra | 150 m E of park entrance: Mad14-14: | shallow partly dried out forest pond: | 18.9355S 48.4166E: 930 m: 27. XI.2014 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, S. Holmgren, | J.H. Randriamihaja | & T. Ranarilalatiana // -1 d (GP), 34 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010842, 10843(Alc.) // MAD: TOAM: Alaotra Mangoro | Andasibe Mantadia NP, | Mantadia: 12Km N of park | entrance: Mad14-83: | forest pond with some | vegetation: 18.7911S | 48.4259E: 960 m: 28.XI.2014 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, S. Holmgren, | J.H. Randriamihaja | & T. Ranarilalatiana // -6^{\uparrow}_{\circ} (GP), 4^{\bigcirc}_{\circ} , 33 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010534-7, 10606-11, 10780(Alc.) // MAD: TOAM: Alaotra Mangoro: | Betsabora river by RN2 near | Antasmpanana village, 6Km W | of Moramanga: MAD14-81: river | with side pools: 18.9247S | 48.1828E: 900 m: 24.XI.2014 // Leg. J. Bergsten, | J.H. Randriamihaja | & T. Ranarilalatiana // -1 Q (NHMUK): // BMNH-797895 // MAD: TOAM: Ambatondrazaka | Zahamena: Zahamena NP: Stream | P60BI15:N: -17.52: E:48.721: 1075 m | 31:XI:2006: Leg. Isambert et al // DNA Voucher | BMNH <797895> | MSL294:B11 //

-4^(d) (GP), 1^(Q) 11 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB 000010817, 10872-4, 65700, 10880(Alc.) // Madagascar: Toamasina: Alaotra Mangoro: | Zahamena NP: Sect. Antanandava: | path towards Camp Cascade: \$17.5166; | E048.7227; 1040 m. 07.III.2018; GB Nets, | white pan & sieves: Waterfilled goldigging | hole in forestswamp: Field# MAD18-80 | Leg. J. Bergsten, & T. Ranarilalatiana // -1♀ (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010885 // Madagascar: Toamasina: Ambatondrazaka | Zahamena NP: the way to Camp | Cascade: 19.III.2013, GB Nets & sieves: slow | stream, Leg. J.H. Randriamihaja & | T. Ranarialalatiana: Field# ZAH13-02 // -1 Q (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010881 // Madagascar: Toamasina: Alaotra Mangoro: | Zahamena NP: Sect. Antanandava: | Analamaitso forest: S17.5179; E048.7232; 1050 m. 07.III.2018; GB Nets, white pan & | sieves: small foreststream: Field# MAD18-81 | Leg. J. Bergsten, & T. Ranarilalatiana // -3° (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010882 // Madagascar: Toamasina: Alaotra Mangoro: | Zahamena NP: Sect. Antanandava: | Ambavahala forest: S17.5300; E048.7161; | 1070 m. 08.III.2018; GB Nets, white pan & | sieves: larger shaded forestswamp: Field# MAD18-90 | Leg. J. Bergsten, & T. Ranarilalatiana // -1 (GP), 2 (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010875, 10883(Alc.) // Madagascar: Toamasina: Alaotra Mangoro: | Zahamena NP: Sect. Antanandava: | Pandanus Swamp: S17.5166; E048.7227; | 1040 m. 08.III.2018; GB Nets, white pan & | sieves: forestswamp: Field# MAD18-91 | Leg. J. Bergsten, & T. Ranarilalatiana -1^Q (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010884 // Madagascar: Toamasina: Alaotra Mangoro: | Zahamena NP: Sect. Antanandava: | Analamaitso forest: S17.5225; E048.7250; | 1090 m. 08.III.2018; GB Nets, white pan & | sieves: waterfilled golddigging hole in flat: Field# MAD18-92 | Leg. J. Bergsten, & T. Ranarilalatiana // -23 (GP), 3♀, 6♀ (Alc.), 6ex. (3♂, 3♀) (Alc.), (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB 000010816, 10876-9, 10886(Alc.), 65753(Alc.) // Madagascar: Toamasina: Alaotra Mangoro: | Zahamena NP: Sect. Antanandava: 150 m | upstream to Camp Cascade: S17.5458; E048.7244; | 1270 m. 10-11.III.2018; GB Nets, white pan & | sieves: waterpool with dead leaves: Field# MAD18-109, | Leg. J. Bergsten, & T. Ranarilalatiana // Toamasina. Analanjirofo: Maroantsetra: -13, 12 (MNHN, "coll. Legros"): Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000030314-5 // Maroantsetra | Vadon IV.50 // 1/6 //? insuetus | guign. // [These two specimens were seen in MNHN, but their identity, after the discovery of additional very similar species in the complex, was not confirmed. We therefore only tentatively refer them to this species.] Fianarantsoa. Vatovavy Fitovinany: Ifanadiana: -1^Q (CAS): // CASENT-8131927 // Madagascar: Province | Fianarantsoa, Parc National | Ranomafana, radio tower | at forest edge, elev 1130 m | 19-26 February 2002 // 21°15.05'S, 47°24.43' | coll: M. Irwin, R. Harin'Hala | California Acad. of Sciences | malaise. Mixed tropical | forest MA-02-09B-17 // -1 d (teneral) (NMPC, "coll. Hájek"): Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000030313 // Madagascar 26-31.I.2007 | Ranomafana NP | Ranomafana vill. env. | Z. Mracek leg. // coll. Jiri HÁJEK | National Museum | Prague, Czech Republic // Fianarantsoa. Matsiatra Ambony: Lalangina: -19 (CAS): // CASENT-5004000 // Madagascar, Fianarantsoa | Province, Ranomafana National | Park, Vohiparara village, 1160m | mixed tropical forest | 2–22 January 2001 | 21.23906S / 47.38487E | COL-DHK-2001-003 // D.H. & K.M. Kavanagh | R.L. Brett, E. Elsom,

F. Vargas, | R. Ranaivosolo, E.F. Randrianirina, | N. Rasoamanana, T.J. | Ravelomanana and H.C. | Raveloson collectors // -4♂ (CAS): // CASENT-5004056, 5004070–1, 5004075 // Madagascar, Fianarantsoa | Province, Ranomafana | National Park, Vohiparara | area, 1170m mixed tropical | forest 2–22 January 2001 | 21.22644S, 47.36979E, | Stop# DHK-01-004 // D.H. & K.M. Kavanagh | R.L. Brett, E. Elsom, F. | Vargas, R. Ranaivosolo, | E.F. Randrianirina, N. | Rasoamanana, T.J. | Ravelomanana and H.C. | Raveloson collectors // **Antsiranana. Diana: Nosy-Be:** -1♂(GP) (MNHN): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000030230 // Nosy-Be | Sokobe [=Lokobe NP] | V. 57 Hoyt Coll // ♂ [male symbol] // **Mahajanga. Boeny: Ambato-Boeny:** -1♀ (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010694 (JB206) // Madagascar: Mahajanga: Boeny: | Ankarafantsika NP. S16.30341 | E046.81073, 74 m.a.o. 29.XI.2009 | 22W Black Light, Field# MAD09-07 | Leg. J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, | T. Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja // -1♀ (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010781 (JB205) // Madagascar: Mahajanga: Boeny: | Ankarafantsika NP. S16.30350 | E046.81068, 87 m.a.o. 29.XI.2009 | Water Net, Field# MAD09-03 | Leg. J. Bergsten, N. Jönsson, | T. Ranarilalatiana, H.J. Randriamihaja //

Diagnosis. Body shape elongate oval, elytra brown with basal testaceous band, with six discal and one submarginal stria (Fig. 9A). *Copelatus insuetus* can be distinguished from the following species based on the penis ventral outline in lateral view with a distinct "shoulder" (Fig. 6A), an apex in left lateral view with a dorsal ridge crossing posterior dorsal margin and medial part longitudinally coarsely sulcate vent-rolaterally in right lateral view (Fig. 6A).

Description. Body length 4.3–5.0 mm. Body shape elongate, weakly oval, some specimens appearing subparalell. Head rufotestaceous anteriorly and posteriorly but infuscated by two blotches inbetween the eyes. Blotches usually meet in middle and form an M-mark, but sometimes infuscation reduced to nearly absent. Pronotum usually with extensive medial infuscation leaving only lateral, anterolateral and posterolateral areas testaceous. Some specimens with less extensive medial infuscation (Fig. 9A). Elytra brown with an irregular testaceous band at base. Testaceous band with longest projection posteriorly in second interval. All appendages testaceous.

Elytra with six clearly impressed discal and one submarginal stria. Fifth and sixth striae abbreviated anteriorly, and also first stria may be somewhat abbreviated. Submarginal stria starting between one third from the base and midway between base and apex. Head, pronotum, and elytra microreticulate and finely micropunctate. Posterolateral corners of pronotum with strioles that extend along posterior surface, reduced or absent medially.

Ventral side ferrugineous brown, except prothorax, epipleura, appendages, and gula of head which are testaceous. Area around metacoxal lines lighter (not all individuals) and also spots laterally on abdominal sternites II–VII and along some sternite margins are lighter. Metacoxa and abdominal sternites II–IV marked with strioles. Prosternal process short, broad, with blunt apex. Lateral parts of metaventrite broad. Metacoxal lines long, abbreviated only slightly before metaventral margin, diverging anteriorly.

Male: first three pro- and mesotarsomeres widened and ventrally equipped with suction cups; number of suction cups per segment for I–III: 7:4:4 for both pro- and mesotarsus. Protibia modified, narrow with a bisinuate angulate ventral margin at

base, broadened distally. Pro- and mesotarsal claws unmodified. Penis in ventral view thin and simple, apical part slightly left-turned. Penis in lateral view angled after basal third forming a "shoulder". Apex in left lateral view with a characteristic dorsal ridge crossing posterior dorsal margin (Fig. 6A). Penis serrated with fine transverse ridges preapically on the left ventral ridge and halfway down the left side. Penis medially strongly longitudinally sulcate ventrolaterally in right lateral view (Fig. 6A). Parameres as in Figure 6A.

Female: anterior half of elytra finely striolated from second or third elytral interval to external margin. Degree of elytral striolation in females quite variable between specimens. These strioles are finer than the strioles on pronotum found in both sexes.

Distribution. Endemic to Madagascar. Known with certainty from the eastern escarpment, Ranomafana NP in the south, Analamazaotra NP, and Mantadia NP in the central region, and Zahamena NP and Andilamena further north (Fig. 12A). We have also seen older material including males from Paris museum from lowland Lokobe NP (island of Nosy Be) in NW and Maroantsetra in NE (not reconfirmed) that correspond to the same species. Finally, two females (NHRS) from Ankarafantsika NP is likely this species.

Habitat and ecology. We have collected this species in Analamazaotra NP in stagnant forest pools with vegetation or with plentiful of dead leaves. Also found in a sidepool next to a river in semi-open degraded area near Moramanga. The eastern escarpment localities range in altitude between 900–1300 m, but if the localities of Nosy Be and Maroantsetra are correct this species can also occur at lowland sea level altitudes. It seems to be most abundant in tropical eastern forests, but if the Ankarafantsika females belong to this species, then it can also occur in western deciduous forests.

Comments. Eventual older records of *C. insuetus* should be regarded with caution in light of the habitually very similar new species described below.

Copelatus kely Ranarilalatiana & Bergsten, sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/3AFB0F44-539F-4621-9002-F2E44EEDC73A Figs 6B, 9C

Type locality. Ambohidray reserve, Andriambe [18.61317S, 048.32593E] [Madagascar, Alaotra Mangoro region, Moramanga district].

Type material. Toamasina. Alaotra Mangoro: Moramanga: $-HT \overset{\circ}{O}(GP)$ (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010890 // Madagascar: Moramanga: Ambohidray | reserve: TR18L14: Andriambe stream: | S-18.61317; E48.32593; 1044m: | stagnant pool in pitfall holes: | 23/05/2018; Leg. T. Ranarialalatiana // Holotype | *Copelatus kely* sp. nov. | Det. Ranarialalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // **Paratypes:** $-2\overset{\circ}{O}(GP)$, $3\overset{\circ}{Q}$, 11 ex. ($7\overset{\circ}{O}$, $4\overset{\circ}{Q}$) (Alc.) (NHRS, NHMUK, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010891, 65741–4, 10889(Alc.) // Madagascar: Moramanga: Ambohidray | reserve: TR18L14: Andriambe stream: | S-18.61317; E48.32593; 1044m: | stagnant pool in pitfall holes: | 23/05/2018; Leg. T. Ranarialalatiana // Paratype | *Copelatus kely* sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // $-2\overset{\circ}{O}$ GP (teneral), $2\overset{\circ}{Q}$, 10 ex. (Alc.) (teneral) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010858–9, 65738–9, 10861(Alc.) // Madagascar: Moramanga: Ambohidray | reserve: TR18L04: Andriambe stream: | S-18.6132; E48.3262; 1044m: | stagnant pool in pitfall holes: | 07/04/2018; Leg. T. Ranarialalatiana & al. // Paratype | *Copelatus kely* sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // -2 (teneral), 5 ex. (Alc.) (teneral) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000065701, 65740, 10862(Alc.) // Mada-gascar: Moramanga: Ambohidray | reserve: TR18L07: Andriambe stream: | S-18.6131; E48.3257; 1046m: | stagnant pools in path: | 07/04/2018; Leg. T. Ranarialalatiana & al. // Paratype | *Copelatus kely* sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // -1° (GP) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000065746 // MAD: TOAM: Alaotra Mangoro | Andasibe Mantadia NP, Analamazaotra | 250m E of park entrance: Mad14-18: | dried up river bed with stagnant pools: | 18.9357S; 48.4174E: 930m: 27.XI.2014 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, S. Holmgren, | J.H. Randriamihaja | & T. Ranarilalatiana // Paratype | *Copelatus kely* sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 //

Diagnosis. Similar body shape to *C. insuetus* but *C. kely* is smaller than all other species in the *Copelatus insuetus* complex on Madagascar; body length 3.8–4.4 mm (Fig. 9C). The penis is most similar to that of *C. insuetus* but the "shoulder" in lateral view is less distinct; there is no crossing of a dorsal ridge against the posterior dorsal margin at apex in left lateral view and longitudinal ventrolateral sulcation is lacking (Fig. 6B).

Description. Body length 3.8–4.3 mm. Body shape elongate oval to subparallel. Head rufo-testaceous and only vaguely infuscated medially and around eyes. Pronotum largely rufotestaceous with only faint infuscation medially. Lateral margins lighter testaceous but pronotum also medially lighter than elytra. This gives the habitus appearance of a lighter more rufous anterior part of body contrasting with brown elytra. Elytra brown with a testaceous band basally. Testaceous band narrower and with less of a tendancy to be extended posteriorly in second interval (Fig. 9C) compared with *C. insuetus*. All appendages testaceous.

Elytra with six impressed discal and one submarginal striae. First to fourth striae full length or first stria slightly abbreviated at base; fifth and sixth striae abbreviated anteriorly; submarginal stria starting approx. one third to one half from the base. Head, pronotum, and elytra microreticulate and finely micropunctate. Striolation of pronotum rather restricted and present only in posterolateral corners and somewhat inwards along posterior margin but not posteromedially.

Ventral side largely testaceous to faintly infuscated, similar to *C. insuetus* but lighter. Prosternal process and lateral parts of metaventrite similar to *C. insuetus* but metacoxal lines less strongly diverging anteriorly.

Male: first three pro- and mesotarsomeres widened, but less so than in *C. insuetus*, and ventrally equipped with suction cups (same constellation as in *C. insuetus*). Protibia modified, bisinuate and angled basally and broadened distally. Pro- and mesotarsal claws unmodified. Penis thin and simple, in ventral view with apical part slightly left-turned; in lateral view slightly angled after basal third giving a suggested "shoulder" (Fig. 6B) but which is less distinct compared with *C. insuetus*. Apex in left lateral view without a dorsal ridge crossing posterior dorsal margin, but finely serrated preapically (only visible at high magnification). Parameres as in Figure 6B.

Female: with very weak, faint and dispersed strioles on anterior half of elytra from third or fourth interval to the lateral margin.

Etymology. The new species is named after the Malagasy word for small, "kely", referring to the small body size. It is the smallest species so far known from the *C. insuetus* species complex on Madagascar. It is a non-latinised adjective.

Distribution. Known from the eastern central part of Madagascar, at Ambohidray Reserve and in Analamazaotra NP (Fig. 12B).

Habitat and ecology. This species occurs in the eastern central rainforest. Most specimens were collected from small waterfilled pitfalltrap holes for *Mantella* frogs, but we've also found it in muddy, stagnant, forest pools with dead leaves. The altitude of known localities ranges from 930 to 1050 m. At Analamazaotra NP, we found one specimen occurring sympatrically with *Copelatus insuetus* at the same locality.

Comments. This species may be endemic to a very limited area and apart from one specimen found in Analamazaotra NP, we collected the remaining series from the Ambohidray reserve. Most specimens were in fact found in water-filled pitfall trap holes set for a microendemic *Mantella* frog species. *Copelatus kely* adds to the importance of this reserve for conservation of rare and microendemic eastern rainforest species. Ambohidray reserve was established in 2013 and managed through collaboration between the local people association (VOI MMA) and Antananarivo University. During fieldwork at the reserve in April 2018 however, we observed worrying signs of disturbances; "tavy" or slash and burn of the forest for agriculture, the cutting of woods for charcoal, signs of zebu-cattle along forest paths. These factors could cause a serious threat to the aquatic insect fauna of the reserve. The reserve of Ambohidray harbours some species not known from anywere else on Madagascar. If the reserve has any ambition to serve as a refugium for these species, activities destroying or degrading the forests or aquatic habitats should be avoided. *Copelatus kely* is very close to *C. insuetus*, and the two species were not reciprocally monophyletic in the CO1 gene tree (Fig. 2).

Copelatus vokoka Ranarilalatiana & Bergsten, sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/8FF486E9-5A55-4581-9CE8-5990C65FAF6D Figs 6C, 9B

Type locality. Ivohibe Special Reserve [22.456683S, 46.956283E] [Madagascar, Ihorombe region, Ivohibe district]

Type material. Fianarantsoa. Ihorombe: Ivohibe: $-HT_{\odot}^{\circ}$ (GP) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010849 // Madagascar: Fianarantsoa: Ihorombe: R.S. | Pic d'Ivohibe Corridor: at the confluence of | two rivers Inganga and Anefitany: | S22.456683; E046.956283; 874 m; 09.XII.2013 | GB Nets & sieves: forest pools with dead | leaves, Leg. J.H. Randriamihaja & | T. Ranarialalatiana: Field# MAD13-55 // Holotype | *Copelatus vokoka* sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // **Paratypes:** -6 $^{\circ}$ (GP), 2 $^{\circ}$, 16 ex. (6 $^{\circ}$, 10 $^{\circ}$) (Alc.) (NHRS, NHMUK, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010850–4, 10857, 65735–6, 10855(Alc.) // Madagascar: Fianarantsoa: Ihorombe: R.S. | Pic d'Ivohibe Corridor: at the confluence of | two rivers Inganga and Anefitany: | S22.456683; E046.956283; 874 m; 09.XII.2013 | GB Nets & sieves: forest pools with dead | leaves, Leg. J.H. Randriamihaja & | T. Ranarialalatiana: Field# MAD13-55 // Paratype | *Copelatus vokoka* sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // -1 $^{\circ}$ (GP), 1 $^{\circ}$, 3 $^{\circ}$ (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010512–3, 10782(Alc.) // Madagascar: Fianarantsoa: Ihorombe: | R.S. Pic d'Ivohibe Corridor: The | confluence of rivers Inganga and | Anefitany: S22.457283; E046.95535; 870 m, | 09.XII.2013, GB Nets & sieves: big | muddy pool, Leg. J.H. Randriamihaja & | T. Ranarilalatiana: Field# MAD13-57 // Paratype | *Copelatus vokoka* sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // Fianarantsoa. Vatovavy Fitovinany: Ifanadiana: -1 $^{\circ}$ (GP), 4 $^{\circ}$, 11 $^{\circ}$ (coll. Michaël Manuel, Paris, NHRS): // Madagascar. Exprov. Fiana- | rantsoa. ca. 3.3 km WSW | Ranomafana. 28 XII 2017. | Ramahandrison & Manuel leg. // 21°16'05"S, 47°25'28"E Alt. 993 m. | Shallow shaded pond with large | quantity of dead tree leaves and | some Poaceae, in forest. | Ranomafana NP. // Coll. | M. Manuel | Paris // Paratype | *Copelatus vokoka* sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 //

Diagnosis. Body shape slightly shorter, less elongate and slightly more oval than *C. insuetus*, and eyes smaller. Elytral striae more deeply impressed and intervals therefore slightly more convex (Fig. 9B). Penis shape in lateral view gently curved from base to apex (Fig. 6C), lacking the defined "shoulder" of *C. insuetus* and *C. kely*. Penis more or less straight in ventral view, which separates the species from all other in the *insuetus* complex.

Description. Body length 3.9–4.5 mm. Body shape elongate oval, but slightly less elongate compared with *C. insuetus*. Head rufotestaceous with only a faint suggesstion of an M-shaped infuscation between eyes. Pronotum rufotestaceous as the head, with weak medial infuscation Elytra dark brown, with testaceous band at base. Testaceous band generally broader and more diffusely transitioning into the darker elytral colour posteriorly (Fig. 9B) compared with *C. insuetus*. Antennae, palps and legs testaceous.

Elytra with six discal and one submarginal striae. First to fourth more or less full length, fifth and sixth slightly abbreviated anteriorly; submarginal stria starting approximately one half to one third from base. Striae more deeply impressed and intervals therefore slightly more convex compared to *C. insuetus*. Head, pronotum, and elytra microreticulate and finely micropunctate. Pronotum extensively and coarsely striated on posterior surfaces, although reduced posteromedially. On some specimens striolation covers most of pronotum, also on anterior surfaces, but is reduced medially.

Ventral side entirely testaceous except metacoxal plate which is variably infuscated, especially laterally in some individuals; metacoxa and abdominal sternites II–IV striolate. Prosternal process with a slightly more pointed apex than in *C. insuetus* and *C. kely*, and lateral parts of metaventrite not as broad. Metacoxal lines long and not strongly diverging, as in *C. kely*.

Male: first three pro- and mesotarsomeres widened, ventrally equipped with suction cups (same constellation as in *C. insuetus*). Protibia modified, bisinuate and angled basally and broadened distally. Pro- and mesotarsal claws unmodified. Penis long, thin and simple; apex in ventral view straight and not leftturned; in lateral view rather evenly arched, lacking the distinct "shoulder" characteristic of *C. insuetus* but with a different type of postmedial and preapical suggested humps in the curvature. Apex in lateral view also broder closer to apex. Apex in left lateral view with a dorsal ridge crossing posterior dorsal margin but at a more acute angle (Fig. 6C) compared with *C. insuetus*. Preapically left side with fine transverse ridges. Right lateral and ventral side with fine longitudinal microsculpture but not forming coarse sulci as in *C. insuetus*. Parameres as in Figure 6C.

Female: very faint to no striolation on outer elytral intervals in the series from Ivohibe. All females from Ranomafana NP were densely striolated over the entire elytral surface except at the apical part, and entire pronotum. Density of striation approx. 5–8 striae in breadth across each elytral interval.

Etymology. Vokoka is a Malagasy adjective for curvature, also used for an old person with a hunched back. Here it refers to the even curvature of the male aedeagus in lateral view where the even curvature sets it apart from *C. insuetus*. It is a non-latinised adjective.

Distribution. Known from the mountainous escarpment of southeastern Madagascar at Ivohibe Special Reserve and Ranomafana NP (Fig. 12B). Our sampling is rather scant in the humid forests south of Ivohibe, for instance down to Andohahela NP so it is possible the species distribution continues further south.

Habitat and ecology. We collected this species in 2013 from forest pools with dead leaves next to streams at the Ivohibe reserve in pristine humid forest at an altitude of 870 m. The reserve of Pic d'Ivohibe was established in 1964 and is managed through collaboration between Madagascar National Parks, local people associations, and other partners. During our visit in 2013, there were little signs of degradation except at the entrance and at the west edge of the reserve. Local people sometimes take zebu cattle with them on a path through the forest. At a larger scale zebu excrement could influence freshwater quality and species assemblages through eutrophication, but there were no signs of this inside the intact pristine forest. In Ranomafana NP it was collected in a shallow clear-water shaded forest pond with large quantity of dead tree leaves and some Poaceae (M. Manuel pers. comm.).

Comments. Copelatus vokoka sp. nov. falls in the irinus group, based on the number of elytral striae. The new species is most closely related to *C. ankaratra* according to the mitochondrial gene CO1 and belongs to the *C. insuetus* species complex on Madagascar.

Copelatus ankaratra Ranarilalatiana & Bergsten, sp. nov. http://zoobank.org/2E3F790E-F146-4865-8BBE-4B521FF74094 Figs 6D, 10A

Type locality. Manjakatompo Ankaratra Reserve, Tsiafajavona mountain [19.35163S, 47.24278E] [Madagascar, Vakinankaratra region, Ambatolampy district]

Type material. Antananarivo. Vakinankaratra: Ambatolampy: -HT♂ (GP) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000065412 // Madagascar: Ambatolampy: Manjaka- | tompo Ankaratra Reserve: MAD16-11: | Tsiafajavona mountain: S-19.35163; | E47.24278; 2597 m: stream near source: | 07/02/2016; Leg. T. Ranarialalatiana // Holotype | *Copelatus ankaratra* sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // **Paratypes:** -12♂ GP, 10♀, 77 ex. (Alc) (NHRS, NHMUK, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010644–59, 10863–7, 65704, 10778(Alc.) // Madagascar: Ambatolampy: Manjaka- | tompo Ankaratra Reserve: MAD16-11: | Tsiafajavona moun-

tain: S-19.35163; | E47.24278; 2597 m: stream near source: | 07/02/2016; Leg. T. Ranarialalatiana // Paratype | *Copelatus ankaratra* sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // -6 $^{\circ}$ (GP), 3 $^{\circ}$, 60 ex. (Alc) (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010612–7, 65750–1, 10870, 10776(Alc.) // Madagascar: Antananarivo: | Vakinankaratra: Manjakatompo Stn | forestière: Anosiarivo: S19.344889 E | 047.304139, 2073 m. 24.I.2012: lake near source | Field# MJK12-13 : Leg. T. | Ranarilalatiana & J.H. Randriamihaja // Paratype | *Copelatus ankaratra* sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // -12 $^{\circ}$ GP, 6 $^{\circ}$, 115 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010628–43, 10868–9, 10777(Alc.) // Madagascar: Ambatolampy: Manjaka- | tompo Ankaratra Reserve: MAD16-08: | Anosiarivo: S-19.34505; E47.30384; | 2062 m: large pond in stream source: | 06/02/2016; Leg. T. Ranarilalatiana // Paratype | *Copelatus ankaratra* sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // -12 $^{\circ}$ GP. 6 $^{\circ}$, 115 ex. (Alc.) (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010628–43, 10868–9, 10777(Alc.) // Madagascar: Ambatolampy: Manjaka- | tompo Ankaratra Reserve: MAD16-08: | Anosiarivo: S-19.34505; E47.30384; | 2062 m: large pond in stream source: | 06/02/2016; Leg. T. Ranarilalatiana // Paratype | *Copelatus ankaratra* sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 //

Diagnosis. The best diagnostic character for the species is the angled base of penis in posteroventral view (Fig. 6D) which separates the species from all other species in the *C. insuetus* complex. The penis also lacks any apical dorsal ridge crossing dorsal posterior margin in left lateral view and is devoid of any sulcation ventrolaterally other than a faint microsculpture.

Description. Body length 4.4–5.2 mm. Body shape very elongate and subparallel. Head infuscated around eyes and medially, testaceous on clypeus and as a posterior band. Pronotum entirely infuscated except lateral margins and especially the anterolateral corners more broadly testaceous. Elytra in same dark brown colour as infuscated parts of head and pronotum, except an irregular basal testaceous band (Fig. 10A). Testaceous basal band overall narrower than in *C. insuetus*. All appendages testaceous.

Elytra with six clearly impressed discal and one submarginal stria. First to fourth elytral striae more or less full length, fifth and sixth striae slightly abbreviated anteriorly; submarginal stria starting $1/3^{rd}$ to 1/2 posterior of base and does not reach apex. Head, pronotum, and elytra microreticulate and finely micropunctate. Posterior third to posterior half of pronotum striolate. Strioles on average coarser than in *C. insuetus* and in some specimens more extensive onto disc and posteromedially.

Ventral side similar to *C. insuetus*, slightly darker on average so that medial light area around metacoxal lines may be more contrasting. Strioles on metacoxa rather short. Prosternal process more rhomboid and apex more strongly raised medially than in *C. insuetus*. Lateral parts of metaventrite rather broad. Metacoxal lines long and anteriorly diverging, similar to *C. insuetus*.

Male: first three pro- and mesotarsomeres widened and ventrally equipped with suction cups (same pattern as in *C. insuetus*). Protibia modified, bisinuate, angled basally, and broadened distally. Pro- and mesotarsal claws unmodified. Penis in posteroventral view distinctly angled at base (Fig. 6D) so that main blade appears tilted. Penis in lateral view evenly curved, lacking a distinct "shoulder", long, thin, and simple apex without a dorsal ridge crossing posterior dorsal margin in left lateral view. Faint longitudinal microsculpture visible at high magnification but lacking coarse longitudinal sulci ventrolaterally and lacking serrations as in *C. insuetus*. Parameres as in Figure 6D.

Female: all but one specimen examined lack finer elytral striolation and is in elytral structure similar to males. However, the entire pronotum and elytra except apical quarter are coarsely longitudinally striolate in one female specimen examined. Striolation coarser and made up of longer irregular but connected strioles and very different to the short separate fine strioles seen in external intervals of *C. insuetus* females. Density approx. 5–7 strioles in breadth across an elytral interval.

Etymology. The new species is named after the mountain massif Ankaratra where it was found and in honour of the newly created Ankaratra Massif Reserve in 2015. The epithet is a noun in apposition (ICZN 11.9.1.2).

Distribution. Known only from a few localities in the Ankaratra Massif Reserve on the central highland plateau of Madagascar (Fig. 12C).

Habitat and ecology. This new species was collected in the mountains of Ankaratra at altitudes above 2000 m. The first locality, Anosiarivo forest, consisted of water from a source flowing over grass vegetation at an altitude of 2070 m. The second locality, Tsiafajavona Mountain, was a small stream with grass vegetation downstream but very near to the source at an altitude of 2600 m near Ankaratra peak. *Copelatus ankaratra* seems to be a high-altitude alpine species associated with spring water.

Comments. Copelatus ankaratra sp. nov. belongs to the *irinus* group, based on the number of elytral striae. It belongs to the *Copelatus insuetus* species complex radiation on Madagascar and based on its CO1 it is most closely related to *C. vokoka* from Ivohibe. Notably, both these species have a densely striolated female form. There was a surprisingly large genetic distance (2.3–2.5% uncorrected p-distance) between the locality near the peak (2600 m) and the locality in the forested region ca. 5 km away at a lower altitude (2070 m). We find the male genitalia and other characters very similar and treat them here as conspecific.

The Ankaratra Massif is an area known for several microendemic species not known from anywhere else on Madagascar. This includes two critically endangered micro-endemic frogs, *Boophis williamsi* (Guibé, 1974) and *Mantidactylus pauliani* Guibé, 1974 only found in montane streams at elevations above 2000 m.

Manjakatompo forestry station was established in 1923 in the forested part of the mountains to preserve an area of 8320 ha, out of which only 650 ha is natural forest and 2300 ha has been replanted with exotic trees (Nicoll and Langrand 1989; Goodman et al. 1996). Even the natural forest part is largely composed of secondary forest mixed with exotic trees. The forests still support endangered highland fauna and are important sites for some of the last remaining central plateau forests (Hjalmarsson et al. 2013). The higher elevation of the Ankaratra Massif has, until recently, lacked any protection despite the unique faunal components and characteristics (Vences et al. 2002; Goodman et al. 1996). The area has suffered severe degradation due to anthropogenic activities, mainly heavy deforestation and fire (Rabemananjara et al. 2012), but also overgrazing by livestock and expanding potato farming (IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group 2016). However, in 2015 the Ankaratra Massif Reserve was created which encompasses Manjakatompo Special Reserve and the higher elevations where the endangered montane frogs are found (Moore 2015). It is managed by

the local Malagasy conservation association (VIF) in collaboration with Ministère de l'Environnement, de l'Ecologie et des Forêts. This is one of the results of an ambitious conservation programme involving habitat restoration, alternative livelihood initiatives, and public awareness (Rabemananjara et al. 2012). Nevertheless, during a visit as late as 2016, there were many signs of continuous degradation from "tavy" slash and burn agriculture, commercial logging, and charcoal extraction exposing forest streams. Very large parts of the forest were burnt, causing erosion that spills into the streams. Manjakatompo forest and the Ankaratra Massif are very important forest and montane refugia of highland fauna (Vences et al. 2002; Goodman et al. 1996; Hjalmarsson et al. 2013; Andreone et al. 2014), and it should be highly prioritised for protection; we hope that the new reserve status on paper will lead to actual changes in practice.

Copelatus pseudostriatus Ranarilalatiana & Bergsten, sp. nov. http://zoobank.org/576CE645-9356-483E-806F-3030D772B260

Figs 4C, 10B

Type locality. Tsaratanana reserve, Antetikalambazaha Camp [14.1824S, 48.9448E] [Madagascar, Sofia region, Bealanana district].

Type material. Mahajanga. Sofia: Bealanana: $-HT \triangle$ (GP) (NHRS): // BMNH-672729 // HOLOTYPE // Madagascar: Tsaratanana NP | [Antetykalambazaha Camp], 14.1824S, | 48.9448E, 1700 m, 20–24.xii.2004 | P32, Leg. Lees_D, Ranaivosolo_R // DNA Voucher | BMNH <672729> | MSL027:A07 // Holotype | *Copelatus* | *pseudostriatus* sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // **Paratypes:** -1 \bigcirc (NHRS): // BMNH-672728 // PARATYPE // Madagascar: Tsaratanana NP | [Antetykalambazaha Camp], 14.1824S, | 48.9448E, 1700 m, 20–24. xii.2004 | P32, Leg. Lees_D, Ranaivosolo_R // DNA Voucher | BMNH <672728> | MSL027:A06 // Paratype | *Copelatus* | *pseudostriatus* sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // -1 \bigcirc (NHMUK): // BMNH-672727 // PARATYPE // Madagascar: Tsaratanana NP | [Antetykalambazaha Camp], 14.1824S, | 48.9448E, 1700 m, 20–24.xii.2004 | P32, Leg. Lees_D, Ranaivosolo_R // DNA Voucher | BMNH <672727> | MSL027:A05 // Paratype | *Copelatus* | *pseudostriatus* sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 //

Diagnosis. The best diagnostic character for the species is the pseudostriae between first and second, and second and third striae (Fig. 10B), which separates the species from all other *Copelatus* species from Madagascar; the penis is gently curved from base to apex and parameres are long and thin (Fig. 4C).

Description. Body length 5.3–5.6 mm. Body shape elongate oval. Head and pronotum of all three specimens exposed to DNA extraction lysis buffer which has discoloured them slightly. Colour descriptions of head and pronotum below should therefore be taken with caution and can differ somewhat from other specimens. Head and pronotum rather uniformly brown but head likely infuscated between and around eyes (more visible in one paratype) and pronotum may have been infuscated medially prior to exposure to lysis buffer. Elytra uniformly testaceous brown with a faint suggestion of a darker transverse field preapically (Fig. 10B). Appendages testaceous.

Elytra with six clearly impressed striae and one submarginal stria. Stria five distincly shorter basally and the submarginal stria starts 1/4th to 1/3rd posterior from the base. Between first and second, and between second and third striae, there are irregular traces of intermediate striae, or "pseudostriae", extending from just after base until 1/4th from the apex (Fig. 10B). Anteriorly they are continuous or almost so and posteriorly they become fragmented. Few traces of pseudostriae can also be seen between the third and fourth striae but only anteriorly, and these are fragmented. Head, pronotum, and elytra micro-reticulate and finely micropunctate. Pronotum rather weakly striolate along posterior margin, slightly more at posterolateral corners, and sporadically anteriorly and on disc.

Ventral side largely ferrugineous, a little lighter testaceous-ferrugineous around metacoxal processes, medially on the metaventrite and on sternite II. Prosternal process short, medially raised and rounded and with a fairly pointed apex. Lateral parts of metaventrite medium broad. Metacoxal lines anteriorly diverging and abbreviated well before metaventral margin. Metacoxal plate distinctly striolate with short strioles.

Male: first three pro- and mesotarsomeres widened. Protibia modified, narrow but not bisinuate with an angulate ventral margin at base, broadened, almost club-like, distally. Protarsal and mesotarsal claws unmodified. Penis in ventral view narrowed one third from apex and the very last apical tip angled to the left; in lateral view evenly and weakly curved from base to apex (Fig. 4C). Parameres as in Figure 4C, long and thin and form an evenly curved elongated triangle.

Female: elytral structure similar to male.

Etymology. The name *pseudostriatus* is a compound word formed from *pseudo*-(false) and *striatus* (furrowed or striated) and refers to the intermediate non-complete striae in-between the complete continuous striae on the elytra in this species. It is the only species of *Copelatus* on Madagascar with this characteristic. The word *striatus* (masculine) is a participle (verb as adjective) in the nominative singular (ICZN 11.9.1.1).

Distribution. Endemic to Madagascar, only known from the type series from Tsaratanana Massif (Fig. 12A).

Habitat and ecology. Not known, but the type series of specimens were collected in 2004 likely from a stream, near Antetikalambazaha Camp at an altitude of 1700 m.

Comments. Species group assignment of *Copelatus pseudostriatus* sp. nov. is hardly possible: based on the complete striae it would fall in the *irinus* group, but the incomplete pseudostriae are likely reduced striae of an ancestor with a higher number of complete striae. This is a very distinct species with no recognisable close relatives, either based on genitalia or the CO1 gene. Tsaratanana Massif contains the highest peak in Madagascar at 2876 m and possibly this species is endemic to the Tsaratanana Massif. However, Hjalmarsson et al. (2013) showed that a high-altitude diving beetle species, *Rhantus manjakatompo* Pederzani & Rocchi, 2009, collected at the same place and time as the type series of *C. pseudostriatus*, is a species shared between Tsaratanana and Ankaratra Massif in central Madagascar.

Copelatus safiotra Ranarilalatiana & Bergsten, sp. nov. http://zoobank.org/137C62A2-22DD-4550-8697-9E81CED4ED45 Figs 6E, 10C

Type locality. Anjanaharibe Sud reserve, [14.7414S, 049.4975E] [Madagascar, Sava region, Andapa district]

Type material. Antsiranana. Sava: Andapa: -HT⁽¹⁾ (GP) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000065415 // MAD: ANTS: Sava: Anjanaharibe | Sud NP: stream next to Camp | site: Mad14-62: medium size | sandy forest stream: 14.7414S | 49.4975E; 910 m: 14.XI.2014 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, S. Holmgren, | J.H. Randriamihaja 8 T. Ranarilalatiana // Holotype | Copelatus safiotra sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana & Bergsten, 2019 // Paratypes: -2^(Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010785 // MAD: ANTS: Sava: Anjanaharibe | Sud NP: stream next to Camp | site: Mad14-62: medium size | sandy forest stream: 14.7414S | 49.4975E; 910 m: 14.XI.2014 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, S. Holmgren, | J.H. Randriamihaja | & T. Ranarilalatiana // Paratype | Copelatus safiotra sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // -43 (GP), 1♀, 12 ex. (Alc.), 3 ex. (Alc.): (NHRS, NHMUK, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010506-7, 10566, 10595, 65414, 10775(Alc.), 10845(Alc.) // MAD: ANTS: Sava: Anjanaharibe | Sud NP: Camp site: Mad14-70: | forest stream: 14.7414S | 49.4975E; 910 m: 16.XI.2014 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, S. Holmgren, | J.H. Randriamihaja | & T. Ranarilalatiana // Paratype | Copelatus safiotra sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // Toamasina. Alaotra Mangoro: Am**batondrazaka, Moramanga:** -4 \cancel{O} (GP), 29 ex. (Alc.), 6 ex. (3 \cancel{O} , 3 \cancel{Q}) (Alc.) (NHRS, NHMUK, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010818, 10836-7, 65413, 10838(Alc.), 65752(Alc.) // Madagascar: Toamasina: Alaotra | Mangoro: Zahamena NP: Sect. | Antanandava: close to Camp Bemoara | S17.5108; E048.7287; 1060 m. 07.III.2018 | GB Nets, white pan and sieves: Waterfilled | goldigging holes: Field# MAD18-87 // Leg. J. Bergsten, & | T. Ranarilalatiana // Paratype | Copelatus safiotra sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // -1 (teneral) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010871 // Madagascar: Toamasina: Alaotra | Mangoro: Zahamena NP: Sect. | Antanandava: Manambato stream by Camp | Cascade: S17.545; E048.7237; 1290 m. 09.III.2018 | GB Nets, white pan and sieves: large | foreststream: Field# MAD18-100 // Leg. J. Bergsten, & | T. Ranarilalatiana // Paratype | Copelatus safiotra sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // -43 GP, 22, 6 ex. (13, 52) (Alc.) (NHRS, DEUA & PBZT/MBC): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010589-94, 10774(Alc.) // Madagascar: Toamasina: Alaotra | Mangoro: Mantadia NP: Waterfall | 6km from park entrance: S18.83396 | E048.43777, 1000 m, 11.XI.2011 GB | Nets and sieves: forest stream in | rainforest: Field# MAD11-37 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, T | Ranarilalatiana & | J.H. Randriamihaja // Paratype | Copelatus safiotra sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // -4^Q (Alc.) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010784 // Madagascar: Toamasina: Alaotra | Mangoro: Mantadia NP: River | Sahanody 9 km from park entrance: S | 18.80973 E 048.42861, 930 m. 11.XI.2011 | GB Nets and sieves: forest stream in | rainforest: Field# MAD11-34 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, T | Ranarilalatiana & | J.H. Randriamihaja // Paratype | *Copelatus safiotra* sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // Fianarantsoa. Matsiatra Ambony: Lalangina: -1Å (GP) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010846 // MAD: FIAN: Matsiatra Ambony | Ranomafana NP: next to Sahamalaotra | trail entrance: Mad14-04: forest stream: | with sandy bottom: 21.2395S 49.3947E: 1130 m: 02.XI.2014 // Leg. J. Bergsten, | T. Ranarilalatiana | & S. Holmgren // Paratype | *Copelatus safiotra* sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // Fianarantsoa. Ihorombe: Ihosy: -2Å (GP) (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010847–8 // Madagascar: Fianarantsoa: Ihorombe: | Isalo NP: 300m into the canyon de | Makis: S22.48665 E45.37966, 700 m | 13.XI.2012, GB nets and sieves: canyon | river with side pools: Leg. R. Bukontaite | & J.H. Randriamihaja: Field# MAD12-03 // Paratype | *Copelatus safiotra* sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // Fianarantsoa. Ihorombe: Matsiatiana | & J.H. Randriamihaja: Field# MAD12-03 // Paratype | Copelatus safiotra sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // Fianarantsoa: Ihorombe: | & J.H. Randriamihaja: Field# MAD12-03 // Paratype | Copelatus safiotra sp. nov. | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 //

Diagnosis. Somewhat similar to *C. insuetus* on habitus appearance, but sturdier, broader pronotum and head with a much greater interocular distance compared to width of eyes, and subparalell along a longer distance of body with more rapidly attenuating anterior and posterior ends; easily distinguished from all other *irinus* group species of Madagascar by the penis shape, which is of a type otherwise found in species closely related to *Copelatus owas*; penis has a large medial expansion in lateral view followed by an apical blade (Fig. 6E).

Description. Body length 4.3–5.2 mm. Body shape elongate and subparallel along a very long part of the body. Pronotum and head broad and eyes small creating a very wide interocular distance. Maximum width of pronotum clearly in front of hind corners. Head rufotestaceous with weak or absent infuscation in between and posterior of eyes. Pronotum infuscated medially with broadly testaceous lateral sides. Elytra brown, with a broad testaceous transverse band basally (Fig. 10C). Testaceous band broader and transitioning posteriorly more diffusely into the brown colour compared with *C. insuetus*. Antennae, palps and legs testaceous.

Elytra with six discal and one submarginal stria. Fifth stria abbreviated anteriorly, variably also first and third striae. In some individuals especially fifth but also first and sixth striae are rudimentary or with very shallow impressions. Interval between fifth and sixth striae narrow, approx. half interval between first and second striae. Submarginal stria short, starting around middle. Head, pronotum, and elytra microreticulate and finely micropunctate. Pronotum not striolate.

Ventral side entirely testaceous except last three abdominal ventrites may be vaguely infuscated and have lighter lateral spots. Metacoxa and abdominal sternite II–IV striolate, but strioles shallower and finer than in *C. insuetus*. Compared with *C. insuetus*, the metacoxal lines are shorter, and the anterior traces suggest an inward curve towards the posterior metaventral margin. The lateral part of metaventrite is narrower than in *C. insuetus* at level of mesocoxa, equal to the width of mesofemur at middle. Posterior metaventral margin not straight but slightly angular at level of apex of mesotrochanter. Prosternal process is slightly more elongate.

Male: first three pro- and mesotarsomeres widened, ventrally equipped with suction cups. Pattern of suction cups same as for *C. insuetus* but tarsomeres not as wide
and less developed as an integrated protarsal palett. Protibia modified, bisinuate, angled basally, and broadened distally. Pro- and mesotarsal claws unmodified. Penis very characteristic, rather broad and short and in lateral view with a medial expansion followed by a sharp constriction before the narrow blade-like apex (Fig. 6E) which is characteristic of several species related to *C. owas* Régimbart, 1895; apical blade curved leftwards in ventral view and serrated by transverse ridges on the convex right side. Parameres as in Figure 6E, rather long and thin with a medial emargination on the concave side.

Female: on average smaller than males (Table 3). At least some females with elytral microreticulation slightly more strongly impressed than in males and therefore appearing more matt.

Etymology. The species name *safiotra* is a Malagasy noun for hybrid, here referring to the unusual combination of a male genitalia type, typical of the *Copelatus owas* species complex, in a body with a 6+1 striated elytra very much resembling the *C. insuetus* complex of species. It is a non-latinised noun in apposition.

Distribution. Endemic to Madagascar. This species has a rather large distribution in the eastern humid forest from Anjanaharibe Sud reserve in the NE, all along the eastern escarpment including Zahamena NP, Mantadia NP, and Ranomafana NP,

Species	Sex	N	Min	Max	Mean	SD
C. befasicus	F	4	4.13	4.19	4.15	0.03
-	М					
C. marginipennis	F	26	5.16	6.32	5.75	0.30
	М	45	5.48	6.58	6.02	0.26
C. mahajanga	F	12	5.16	6.13	5.63	0.24
	М	16	5.42	6.19	5.88	0.20
C. pulchellus	F	1	5.74	5.74	5.74	
	М	3	5.48	6.06	5.83	0.30
C. distinguendus	F	44	5.29	6.32	5.75	0.21
	М	26	5.48	6.26	5.92	0.18
C. peridinus	F	7	5.74	6.58	6.05	0.27
	М	7	5.81	6.26	6.10	0.16
C. baculiformis	F	1	4.00	4.00	4.00	
	М					
C. kely sp. nov.	F	7	3.81	4.26	4.01	0.16
	М	5	3.87	4.32	4.03	0.17
C. insuetus	F	27	4.26	5.03	4.60	0.20
	М	24	4.26	4.90	4.61	0.17
C. safiotra sp. nov.	F	3	4.32	4.71	4.58	0.22
	М	13	4.52	5.16	4.86	0.19
C. vokoka sp. nov.	F	6	3.94	4.32	4.18	0.13
	М	8	4.06	4.45	4.29	0.12
C. ankaratra sp. nov.	F	19	4.39	5.03	4.70	0.17
	М	30	4.65	5.16	4.87	0.16
C. pseudostriatus sp. nov.	F	2	5.42	5.61	5.52	0.14
	М	1	5.29	5.29	5.29	

Table 3. Measurements of body length summarised as Min, Max, Mean, and Standard Deviation (SD) for each species, separated by sex. N = number of measured individuals, F = females, M = males.

and even extending to the rather isolated western patch of subhumid forest at Isalo NP (Fig. 12D).

Habitat and ecology. This species seems to be strongly associated with clean streams having sandy substrate in humid forests. At these localities, individuals can be found in sidepools, at margins or sites protected from waterflow (e.g., by fallen logs) where dead leaves and debris accumulate. The species has been found at altitudes between 700 and 1300 m but most numerous at elevations above 900 m in primary humid forest. The discovery in Isalo NP, in a sandy river running through a Canyon, indicates that subhumid forests may also be part of the species' niche.

Comments. *Copelatus safiotra* sp. nov. falls in the *irinus* group, based on the number of elytral striae (six discal and one submarginal striae). However, the genitalia is of a very different type and characteristic of the complex of species close to *C. owas* in the *erichsonii* group with ten discal and one submarginal striae. We hypothesize that this species, despite the body shape and number of elytral striae, is not related to the other species treated here, but belongs to the radiation of species around *C. owas*. This would reinforce the idea that the number of elytral striae is a very homoplastic character and not reliable to create phylogenetically sound groups (Balke et al. 2004).

Additional non-erichsonii group species of Madagascar

We are aware of several *Copelatus* species not of the *erichsonii* group on Madagascar but of which we have only seen females. Some of these are undoubtedly new, confirmed with DNA data (Figs. 2, 3), but we refrain from formally naming these prior to the discovery of males. We discuss these below and list the examined material.

Copelatus (Bemaraha): sp. 1

Fig. 8C

Type material studied [of *C. nodieri* & *C. nodieri* var. *somalicus* for comparison with sp1]: -LT \bigcirc (MNHN, "coll. Guignot"): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000065417 // Haut Sénégal | Khayes | Dr. Nodier | 11–12. 1881 // Type [red label] // \bigcirc [female symbol] // *nodieri* // Coll. Guignot // -PLT \bigcirc (MNHN, "coll. Régimbart"): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000065418 // Khayes | H Senegal // *Nodieri* Reg // Coll. Régimbart // -PT \bigcirc (MNHN, "coll. Guignot"): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000065418 // Khayes | H Senegal // *Nodieri* Reg // Coll. Régimbart // -PT \bigcirc (MNHN, "coll. Guignot"): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000065419 // SOMA-LIA IT. | Belet Amin. | (Giuba) Apr. 1923 | Patrizi // Paratype [red label] // \bigcirc [female symbol] // Museo Civico | di Genova // *Copelatus* | *nodieri* | var. \bigcirc *somalicus* | Guign. Paratype // var. *somalicus* | Det. J. Bergsten, 2011 //

Additional material studied. Mahajanga. Melaky: Antsalova: -1^Q (CAS): // CASENT 8135000 // Madagascar: Mahajanga | Prov Parc National Tsingy | de Bemaraha, 10.6 km ESE | 123° Antsalova, Elev 150 m | 16–20 November 2001 // 19°42'34"S, 44°43'5"E |coll: Fisher, Griswold et al | California Acad. Of Sciences | sifted litter - tropical dry forest | on Tsingy, BLF4432 // *Copelatus* sp. nov. | *C. pulchel-lus* complex | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 //

Description. This species is broad and oval in body shape and belongs to the *pul-chellus* complex. Three species of the *pulchellus* complex are known from Madagascar, *C. pulchellus, C. marginipennis*, and *C. mahajanga*. It is most similar to *C. marginipennis* based on its very short and broad body shape. However, it differs in being almost entirely black dorsally, only slightly rufous laterally on the elytra, pronotum, and part of head. As such it is most similar in colouration to the dark form of *C. pulchellus* on Madagascar, but the body is shorter and broader. A second distinguishing characteristic is the very abbreviated first stria, present only in the posterior third, hence abbreviated even more than in *C. mahajanga*. Finally, this female specimen has fine but dense striolations mediolaterally on the elytra, and is densely punctured in the posterolateral corners of the pronotum (Fig. 8C). This undoubtedly represents a fourth species of the group present on Madagascar, which was confirmed based on the DNA data sequenced from this unique dry-preserved female specimen (Figs 2, 3). It is intriguing that it was collected through litter sifting on the tsingy (stone carst formations) of Tsingy de Bemaraha NP.

It is plausible that it was one or several female specimens of this species that Guignot (1960:101) referred to as Copelatus nodieri var. Q somalicus, a species described from Mali, continental Africa. He recorded it from "Andobo, 190 m, foret Antsingy, dct Antsalova", the same locality as for our female (Fig. 11D). We consider Guignot's record as based on a misidentification and remove C. nodieri from the list of Copelatus species known from Madagascar after having studied the type material of both C. nodieri Régimbart, 1895, described from Somalia and of C. nodieri var. somalicus Guignot, 1952. These were synonymised in the world catalogue by Nilsson (2001). We are not convinced that they should be synonyms, but we do not formally elevate var. somalicus here as we have not seen enough continental material to evaluate character variations. We have studied female type specimens of both species and compared them with the new female referred to here as Copelatus sp. 1: it is similar to C. nodieri in that the first stria is present only in the posterior third. It differs from C. nodieri in that the elytra are largely black and lack a basal transverse testaceous band. It also differs in having a large lateral patch of anastomosing striolations not found in C. nodieri. Copelatus sp. 1 is similar in colour to C. nodieri var. somalicus and in having anastomosing striolation on the elytra. The striolation type differs markedly in expanse on the elytra, in impression, and in density. The striolations of the elytra reach the base in C. nodieri var. somalicus but starts first after the anterior third or fourth in Copelatus sp. 1. The striolation is formed by shorter, shallower, and denser strioles in *Copelatus* sp. 1 so that there are approx. ten parallel strioles medially across the width of the fourth interval on elytra. The strioles are longer and more deeply impressed in *C. nodieri* var. somalicus and only 5-6 strioles fit across the fourth interval medially on elytra. Finally, the posterolateral corners of the pronotum are striolated in C. nodieri var. somalicus but punctated in Copelatus sp. 1. Therefore, we conclude that Copelatus sp. 1 cannot be conspecific with either C. nodieri Régimbart or C. nodieri var. somalicus Guignot. It is

more likely a new endemic species of Madagascar, the male of which should be sought for SE of Antsalova, Tsingy de Bemaraha NP, western Madagascar. We managed to sequence partial CO1 (311 bp) of this female which differed substantially from the three other species in the complex (K2P: 8.2–13.6%). DNA matching of the male, once discovered, would be straightforward.

Copelatus (Andasibe and Ranomafana): sp. 2

Fig. 7C

Material studied. Toamasina. Alaotra Mangoro: Moramanga: -1 \bigcirc (coll. Wewalka): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000065698 // Madagascar, E, Andasibe | Analamazoatra Res. | 48°25'12.1"E /18°56'14.2"S | 938 m (26) 17.1.2015, | leg. Berger & Dostal // coll. Wewalka // Copelatus | longicornis group? | Det. Wewalka 2017 // *Copelatus* sp. nov.? | near *C. peridinus* | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // **Fianarantsoa. Vatovavy Fitovinany: Ifanadiana:** -1 \bigcirc (NMW): // Data in NHRS | JLKB | 000065762 // RM: Namorona Bas. (PO221) | Loc. 1km de Vohiparara | Aff. de Namorona Riv. | 47°22'43"E, 21°13'53"S | Alt. 1200 m; 20.04.1994 | Leg. Elouard, J.-M., Sartori, M. // *Copelatus* sp. nov.? | near *C. peridinus* | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 //

Description. This species has a configuration of elytral striae not found in any of the other species treated here. It has five discal striae and is lacking a submarginal stria and would fall in the *longicornis* species group together with C. befasicus. However, the five striae are likely not homologous as C. befasicus has an abbreviated first stria, whereas the first stria is entirely lacking in this specimen, therby creating an interstriae space double in width compared to the outer intervals. But despite the lack of the first stria, it has five striae on the central and lateral parts of elytra where C. befasicus only has four. In addition, the striae are very faintly impressed, intermediate between real striae and the puncture lines found in C. peridinus. The colouration is uniformly brownish black like in C. peridinus and the body size is also similar. This specimen is possibly a different species compared to all others presented here; however, we cannot rule out that intraspecific variation of C. peridinus ranges from two defined puncture lines to five weakly impressed striae. They are very similar in all other aspects. We managed to sequence a partial fragment of CO1 (447 bp) from the Andasibe specimen (NHRS-JLKB000065698) and this indeed showed that this specimen is closely related to, or possibly conspecific with, C. peridinus. Genetic distance between them was 3.0% (K2P). Such a level of intraspecific variation is not impossible but unlikely given they were from the same locality (Analomazaotra reserve). Together with the morphological characters we believe this may be a different and distinct species, but we await the discovery of a male before the identity can be established with confidence. The second female from Namorona River near Ranomafana NP (NHRS-JLKB000065762) is substantially larger (6.4 mm) than the female from Andasibe (5.6 mm) but otherwise shares the same characteristics (for distribution see Fig. 11B).



Figure 7. Habitus, dorsal view. **A** Male: *Copelatus peridinus* **B** Female: *Copelatus baculiformis* **C** Female: *Copelatus* sp. 2 (Andasibe) **D** Female: *Copelatus distinguendus*.



Figure 8. Habitus, dorsal view. **A** Male: *Copelatus marginipennis* **B** Female: *Copelatus mahajanga* **C** Female: *Copelatus* sp. 1 (Bemaraha) **D** Male: *Copelatus pulchellus*.

Copelatus (Ivohibe and North of Toamasina): sp. 3 Fig. 9D

Material studied. Fianarantsoa. Ihorombe: Ivohibe: -3♀ (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010856, 65699, 65734 // Madagascar: Fianarantsoa: Ihorombe: R.S. Pic | d'Ivohibe: Andaranovory: close to botanical | transect R.S. Pic d'Ivohibe: S22.47511667 | E046.9559, 1106 m, 10.XII.2013, GB Nets and | sieves: small lake with dead leaves and | vegetation, Leg. J.H. Randriamihaja & | T. Ranarialalatiana: Field# MAD13-61 // *Copelatus* sp. nov. | *C. insuetus* complex | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // Toamasina. Atsinanana: Toamasina, Toamasina II: -1♀ (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010811 // Madagascar: Toamasina II: Analalava | reserve: MAD17-12: S of nursery plants: | S17.71055; E49.45002; 39 m: Forest | stream with side pools: 09/03/2017; | Leg. T. Ranarilalatiana // *Copelatus* sp. nov. | C. insuetus complex | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 // -1♀ (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010779 // Madagascar: Toamasina: ana: | Antsinanana: RN2, 6Km N | Toamasina by bridge: S18.06493 | E049.37856, 0 m. 15.XI.2011, | GB Nets and sieves: river and | sidepool: Field# MAD11-52 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, T | Ranarilalatiana & | J.H. Randriamihaja // *Copelatus* sp. nov. | *C. insuetus* complex | Jut. Randriamihaja // *Copelatus* sp. nov. | *C. insuetus* complex | Jut. Randriamihaja // Copelatus sp. nov. | C. insuetus complex | Det. Ranarilalatiana | Nature Stepseter, 2019 // -1♀ (NHRS): // NHRS-JLKB | 000010779 // Madagascar: Toamasina ana: | Antsinanana: RN2, 6Km N | Toamasina by bridge: S18.06493 | E049.37856, 0 m. 15.XI.2011, | GB Nets and sieves: river and | sidepool: Field# MAD11-52 // Leg. J. Bergsten, R. | Bukontaite, T | Ranarilalatiana & | J.H. Randriamihaja // *Copelatus* sp. nov. | *C. insuetus* complex | Det. Ranarilalatiana | & Bergsten, 2019 //

Comments. The DNA data revealed that these females represent one or possibly two additional new species in the C. insuetus complex (Figs 2, 3). In fact, the CO1 data reveals that they are the most divergent in that group and are sister to a clade with all the other species: C. insuetus, C. vokoka, C. kely, and C. ankaratra. The genetic distance between members of these two clades ranges from a minimum of 4.5% to a maximum of 7.1%, strongly indicating a separately evolving unit. The genetic distance between the specimens from Ivohibe and those from north of Toamasina was 2.3–2.4% (K2P), a distance that does not rule out conspecificity as the geographic and altitudinal distance are substantial between these localities (for distribution see Fig. 12C). It is also on the same level as the intraspecific distance found within C. ankaratra between a peak population and a population at lower altitude of the Ankaratra Massif between which we do not find any morphological character differences to justify further separation. On the other hand, C. kely and C. insuetus are indistinguishable based on CO1 squences alone (Figs 2, 3). We refrain from describing these as a new species since the shape of male genitalia is very important for identification in this group. Morphologically we note the following based on the females: in body size this species is similar to C. vokoka, slightly larger than C. kely but slightly smaller than C. insuetus and C. ankaratra. Compared with females of C. vokoka, Copelatus sp. 3 has a narrower testaceous basal band, flatter elytral intervals between striae, and more limited striolation on pronotum, restricted to posterolateral corners. Copelatus ankaratra can be distinguished based on its dark colour and extremely elongate body shape. Small C. insuetus females can often be distinguished on the posteriorly extended testaceous basal medial band. It is most difficult to distinguish Copelatus sp. 3 females from large female specimens of C. kely.



Figure 9. Habitus, dorsal view. A Female: *Copelatus insuetus* B Male: *Copelatus vokoka* sp. nov. C Female: *Copelatus kely* sp. nov. D Female: *Copelatus* sp. 3 (Ivohibe).



Figure 10. Habitus, dorsal view. A Female: *Copelatus ankaratra* sp. nov. B Male: *Copelatus pseudostriatus* sp. nov. C Male: *Copelatus safiotra* sp. nov. D Female: *Copelatus befasicus*.



Figure 11. Distribution maps of *Copelatus* species. **A** *C. marginipennis* (circle), *C. baculiformis* (square) **B** *C. mahajanga* (circle), *C.* sp. 2 (square) **C** *C. pulchellus* (circle), *C. peridinus* (square) **D** *C. distinguendus* (circle), *C.* sp. 1 (square).



Figure 12. Distribution maps of *Copelatus* species. A *C. insuetus* (circle), *C. pseudostriatus* sp. nov. (square) B *C. kely* sp. nov. (circle), *C. vokoka* sp. nov. (square) C *C. ankaratra* sp. nov. (circle), *C.* sp. 3 (square) D *C. safiotra* sp. nov. (circle), *C. befasicus* (square).

Discussion

Madagascar is known for an extremely rich endemic flora and fauna which, together with the unfortunate level of deforestation, has rewarded the island with a top spot among the world's biodiversity hotspots (Myers et al. 2000). This high level of endemism, also among insects, is particularly manifested at species level but also at higher taxonomic level such as endemic genera (Goodman and Benstead 2003). For diving beetles (family Dytiscidae), there are currently three endemic genera. Other Dytiscidae genera like *Copelatus* are not endemic but may still contain endemic species radiations within. Here we have revised the species of *Copelatus* on Madagascar excluding the *erichsonii* species groups. We recognise 13 species with names and three additional nonnamed species based on females. Of the named species nine are endemic to Madagascar, two (*C. marginipennis* and *C. distinguendus*) are regional endemics to Madagascar and nearby west Indian Ocean islands, and two (*C. pulchellus* and *C. peridinus*) also occur on the African continent. This gives an endemic proportion at species level of approximately 70%, an intermediate level compared to other insect groups on Madagascar (Goodman and Benstead 2005).

The Copelatus diversity on Madagascar represents four of the traditional species groups in the genus based on the number of elytral striae (Sharp 1882; Balfour-Browne 1939; Guignot 1961; Guéorguiev 1968; Nilsson et al. 1997; Nilsson 2001): the hydroporoides (2 species), longicornis (1 species), irinus (10 species), and erichsonii (> 20 species) species groups. Copelatus unguicularis of the consors species group turned out to be a species of the genus *Madaglymbus*. As has been flagged before, these species groups are commonly not monophyletic (Balke et al. 2004), and in fact the number of elytral striae is a highly homoplastic character which can even vary within a single species (Bilardo and Rocchi 2008; personal observations). A better system based on phylogenetic relationships can likely be approached by using the shape of male aedeagus, and by using genetic data. We informally refer to some species complexes which we believe are groups of closely related species based on the shape of male aedeagus. Hence, the C. insuetus complex contains four named and one or two additional unnamed species where males are currently unknown, and we hypothesise that these constitute a hitherto unrecognised monophyletic radiation on Madagascar, to be tested with a larger sample. It is also highly likely that additional species of this complex exist on Madagascar and will be discovered in the future. This complex includes young species not yet delimitable based on the mitochondrial CO1 gene (Fig. 3). This can be due to incomplete lineage sorting or hybridisation, but they are recognisable morphologically. Copelatus safiotra sp. nov., in contrast, although in habitus similar to the C. insuetus complex, did not belong to this complex. Despite the low number of elytral striae, the male aedeagus is of the C. owas complex type, with a subapical expansion followed by an apical blade, and likely, phylogenetically, belongs with this group.

Copelatus as a genus is widespread all over Madagascar. Species can be found from the humid forest in the east to the dry forest in the west and from lowlands to the highest peaks. But the different species complexes have particular niches. Species in

the *C. insuetus* complex are predominantely inhabitants of the eastern humid forests. *Copelatus distinguendus* and related species (*C. peridinus*, *C.* sp. 2, and likely *C. baculiformis*) mostly occupy open, often anthropogenically disturbed, habitats of the Central Highlands. The *C. pulchellus* complex (*C. marginipennis*, *C. mahajanga*, *C. pulchellus*, and *C.* sp. 1), and *C. befasicus* seem to be most abundant in the dry deciduous western forests, lowlands with open to semiopen landscapes. Finally, some species are specialists like the high altitude crenophile *C. ankaratra* sp. nov. and the sandy stream specialist *C. safiotra* sp. nov. Not until we have this knowledge – what are the species, how can we recognise them, where do they occur and how do they live – can we attempt to protect them and their habitats in the face of constantly increasing human pressure on the environment.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the "Ministère de l'Environnement, de l'Ecologie et des Forêts" (MEEF) and the Madagascar National Parks (MNP) for permits, access and continuous long-term support over the years. We thank collection curators Antoine Mantilleri (MNHN), Christine Taylor (NHMUK), David Kavanaugh (CAS), Manfred Jäch (NMW), Jiri Hájek (NMPC), Michaël Manuel and Günther Wewalka for loans and access to specimens. Finally, we thank field participants over the years to the Water Beetle Fauna of Madagascar Project, Jacquelin Herisahala Randriamihaja, Desiré Razafimahatratra, Rasa Bukontaite, Sandra Holmgren and Niklas Apelqvist for collecting efforts. Rasa Bukontaite also helped with the administrative division map.

References

- Andreone F, Crottini A, Rabemananjara FCE, Randrianirina JE, Razafindrabe T, Tessa G (2014) Age structure, population estimate and *Bd*-status of two Critically Endangered frogs from the Ankaratra Massif (Madagascar), *Boophis williamsi* and *Mantidactylus pauliani* (Amphibia: Mantellidae). In: Capula M, Corti C (Eds) Scripta Herpetologica. Studies on Amphibians and Reptiles in honour of Benedetto Lanza. Monografie Societas Herpetologica Italica – III. Edizioni Belvedere, Latina, 17–29.
- Aubé C (1838) Species général des hydrocanthares et gyriniens; pour faire suite au species général des coléoptères de la collection de M. le comte Dejean. Méquignon Père et Fils, Paris, 804 pp. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.59232
- Balfour-Browne J (1939) On Copelatus Er. and Leiopterus Steph. (Col. Dytiscidae) with description of new species. The Transactions of the Royal Entomological Society of London 88(2): 57–88. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2311.1939.tb00250.x
- Balfour-Browne J (1950) On the aquatic Coleoptera of Northern Rhodesia (Dytiscidae, Gyrinidae and Palpicornia). Occasional Papers of the National Museum of Southern Rhodesia 2(16): 359–399.

- Balke M, Ribera I, Vogler AP (2004) MtDNA phylogeny and biogeography of Copelatinae, a highly diverse group of tropical diving beetles (Dytiscidae). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 32: 866–880. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2004.03.014
- Balke M, Hájek J, Hendrich L, Wewalka G (2014) Exocelina nehoue n. sp. from New Caledonia, with a new synonym and new collecting records for other species in the genus (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae) [183–192]. In: Guilbert É, Robillardt T, Jourdan H, Grancolas P (Eds) Zoologica Neocaledonica 8. Biodiversity Studies in New Caledonia. Mémoires du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle 206: 1–524.
- Bilardo A (1982) Contributo alla conoscenza dei Dytiscidae del Cameroun (Coleoptera). Atti della Società Italiana di Scienze Naturali del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Milano 123: 441–461.
- Bilardo A, Rocchi S (2008) Haliplidae, Noteridae, Dytiscidae (Coleoptera) du Gabon (6ème partie). Parc National des Plateaux Batéké (missions 2005 et 2006). Atti della Società Italiana di Scienze Naturali e del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Milano 149(2): 195–238.
- Bilardo A, Rocchi S (2013) Haliplidae, Noteridae, Dytiscidae (Coleoptera) du Gabon (9ème partie). Parc National des Plateaux Batéké (missions 2010 et 2012). Atti della Società Italiana di Scienze Naturali e del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Milano 154(2): 131–155. https://doi.org/10.4081/nhs.2013.131
- Bilton DT, Toussaint EFA, Turner CR, Balke M (2015) Capelatus prykei gen. et sp. n. (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae: Copelatinae) – A phylogenetically isolated diving beetle from the Western Cape of South Africa. Systematic Entomology 40: 520–531. https://doi.org/10.1111/syen.12128
- Boheman CH (1848) Insecta Caffraria annis 1838–1845 a J.A. Wahlberg collecta. 1(1). Coleoptera (Carabici, Hydrocanthari, Gyrinii et Staphylinii). Norstedtiana, Holmiae, viii + 297 pp. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.9375
- Broun T (1886) Manual of the New Zealand Coleoptera. Parts III and IV. Government Printer, Wellington, 745–973.
- Dejean PFMA (1833) Catalogue des coléoptères de la collection de M. le Comte Dejean. Livraisons 1 & 2. Méquignon-Marvis, Paris, 176 pp. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.8771
- Drummond AJ, Suchard MA, Xie D, Rambaut A (2012) Bayesian phylogenetics with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. Molecular Biology and Evolution 29: 1969–1973. https://doi. org/10.1093/molbev/mss075
- Erichson WF (1832) Genera Dyticeorum. Nietackianis, Berolini, 48 pp. https://doi. org/10.5962/bhl.title.48804
- Ezard T, Fujisawa T, Barraclough TG (2009) SPLITS: SPecies' LImits by Threshold Statistics. R package version 1.0–18/r45. http://R-Forge.R-project.org/projects/splits/
- Fairmaire L (1898) Matériaux pour la faune coléoptérique de la région malgache. 7e note. Annales de la Société Entomologique de Belgique 42: 463–499.
- Fujisawa T, Barraclough TG (2013) Delimiting species using single-locus data and the Generalized Mixed Yule Coalescent approach: a revised method and evaluation on simulated data sets. Systematic Biology 62: 707–724. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syt033
- Ganzhorn JU, Lowry PP, Schatz GE, Sommer A (2001) The biodiversity of Madagascar: one of the world's hottest hotspots on its way out. Oryx 35: 346–348. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3008.2001.00201.x

- Ghosh SK, Nilsson AN (2012) Catalogue of the diving beetles of India and adjacent countries (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae). Skörvnöpparn Supplement 3: 1–77. http://www.norrent.se/images/stories/upload/SN_Supplement_03_hq.pdf
- Gomy Y (2016) Nouvelle liste chronologique des Coléoptères de l'archipel des Mascareignes. In: Gomy, Lemagnen, Poussereau (Eds) Les Coléoptères de l'île de La Réunion. ORPHIE, 633–712.
- Goodman SM, Benstead JP (2003) (Eds) The Natural History of Madagascar. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1709 pp.
- Goodman SM, Benstead JP (2005) Updated estimates of biotic diversity and endemism for Madagascar. Oryx 39(1): 73–77. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605305000128
- Goodman SM, Rakotondravony D, Schatz G, Wilme L (1996) Species richness of forest dwelling birds, rodents and insectivores in a planted forest of native trees: a test case from the Ankaratra, Madagascar. Ecotropica 2: 109–112.
- Guéorguiev VB (1968) Essai de classification des coléoptères Dytiscidae. I. Tribus Copelatini (Colymbetinae). Izvestija na Zoologitjeskija Instituts Musei Sofia 28: 5–45.
- Guignot F (1941) Description de nouveaux Dytiscidae d'Afrique. Bulletin de la Société d'Étude des Sciences Naturelles de Vaucluse 11(3–4) (1940): 31–39.
- Guignot F (1948) Vingt-sixième note sur les hydrocanthares. Dytiscides et Gyrinides nouveaux ou peu connus du Congo belge. Bulletin de Musée Royal d'Histoire Naturelle de Belgique 24: 1–14.
- Guignot F (1952) Description de dytiscides inédits de la collection Régimbart. Revue Française d'Entomologie 19: 17–31.
- Guignot F (1955a) Dytiscides inédits de la collection Zimmermann (Col. Dytiscidae). Annales de la Société Entomologique de France 123(1954): 67–73.
- Guignot F (1955b) Nouveaux dytiscides et gyrinides du Congo Belge et de Madagascar. Revue de Zoologie et Botanique Africaines 51: 190–196.
- Guignot F (1955c) Hydrocanthares capturés à la lumière dans le Haut-Katanga (3e série). Revue de Zoologie et Botanique Africaines 51: 181–189.
- Guignot F (1956) Contribution à la connaissance de la faune dystiscidienne Malgache. Le Naturaliste Malgache 8: 75–80.
- Guignot F (1957) Un haliplide et deux dytiscides nouveaux de Madagascar. Le Naturaliste Malgache 9: 71–74.
- Guignot F (1958) Dytiscides nouveaux ou intéressants du Musée Royal du Congo Belge. Revue de Zoologie et Botanique Africaines 57: 101–108.
- Guignot F (1960) Haliplides et dytiscides nouveaux ou intéressants de Madagascar. Le Naturaliste Malgache 11 (1959): 95–101.
- Guignot F (1961) Revision des hydrocanthares d'Afrique (Coleoptera Dytiscoidea). 3. Annales du Musée Royal du Congo Belge, Série 8vo (Sciences Zoologiques) 90: 659–995.
- Hájek J, Reiter A (2014) Adephagous water beetles (Coleoptera: Gyrinidae, Haliplidae, Noteridae, Dytiscidae) of Yemen and Dhofar region (Oman) with description of a new *Hyphydrus* from Socotra Island. Acta Entomologica Musei Nationalis Pragae 54(Supplementum): 63–99.
- Hjalmarsson AE, Bukontaite R, Ranarilalatiana T, Randriamihaja JH, Bergsten J (2013) Taxonomic revision of Madagascan *Rhantus* (Coleoptera, Dytiscidae, Colymbetinae) with an

emphasis on Manjakatompo as a conservation priority. ZooKeys 350: 21–45. https://doi. org/10.3897/zookeys.350.6127

- Isambert B, Bergsten J, Monaghan MT, Andriamizehy H, Ranarilalatiana T, Ratsimbazafy M, Andriniainimanana JR, Vogler AP (2011) Endemism and evolutionary history in conflict 501 over Madagascar's freshwater conservation priorities. Biological Conservation 144: 1902–1909. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2011.04.016
- IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group (2016) Boophis williamsi. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016: e.T57435A84165560. https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN. UK.2016-1.RLTS.T57435A84165560.en
- IUCN SSC Amphibian Specialist Group (2016) Mantidactylus pauliani. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016: e.T57509A84175039. https://doi.org/10.2305/IUCN. UK.2016-1.RLTS.T57509A84175039.en
- Klug JCF (1834) Symbolae physicae, seu icones et descriptiones Insectorum, quae ex itinere per Africam borealem et Asiam occidentalem Friderici Guilelmi Hemprich et Christiani Godofredi Ehrenberg studio novae aut illustratae redierunt. -Insecta. Decas quarta. Officina Academica, Berolini, 41 pp. [unpaginated + pls XXXI–XL]
- Lanfear R, Frandsen PB, Wright AM, Senfeld T, Calcott B (2016) PartitionFinder 2: new methods for selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and morphological phylogenetic analyses. Molecular Biology and Evolution 34: 772–773. https://doi.org/10.1093/ molbev/msw260
- Laporte FLN (1835) Caumont de 1834–1835: Études entomologiques. Première partie. Carnassiers. Méquignon-Marvis Père et Fils, Paris, 159 pp. [+ 4 pls] [Pp. 1–94 + pls 1–2 published in 1834, pp 95–159 + pls 3–4 in 1835.]
- Manuel M, Deler-Hernández A, Megna YS, Hájek J (2018) Copelatus Erichson from the Dominican Republic, with the description of a new species, comments on elytral striation and faunistic notes on Antillean species (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae: Copelatinae). Zootaxa 4399: 371–385. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4399.3.7
- Michat MC, Gustafson GT, Bergsten J (2017) Larval description and chaetotaxic analysis of Dineutus sinuosipennis Laporte, 1840, with a key for the identification of larvae of the tribe Dineutini (Coleoptera, Gyrinidae). ZooKeys 718: 95–114. https://doi.org/10.3897/ zookeys.718.20726
- Miller KB (2001) On the phylogeny of the Dytiscidae (Insecta: Coleoptera) with emphasis on the morphology of the female reproductive system. Insect Systematics & Evolution 32: 45–92. https://doi.org/10.1163/187631201X00029
- Miller KB, Bergsten J (2014) The phylogeny and classification of predaceous diving beetles, [49–171] In: Yee DA (Ed.) Ecology, systematics, and the natural history of predaceous diving beetles (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae). Springer, New York, 468 pp. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-94-017-9109-0_3
- Miller KB, Bergsten J (2016) Diving beetles of the World. systematics and biology of the Dytiscidae. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 320 pp.
- Miller KB, Nilsson AN (2003) Homology and terminology: Communicating information about rotated structures in water beetles. Latissimus 17: 1–4.
- Moore R (2015) Imperiled Madagascan amphibians find shelter in new protected area. http:// www.amphibians.org/news/ankaratra-massif-reserve/ [accessed: 24 February 2019]

Motschulsky V de (1856) Nouveautés. Études Entomologiques Motschulsky 4(1855): 82–84.

- Myers N, Mittermeier RA, Mittermeier CG, Da Fonseca GA, Kent J (2000) Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. Nature 403(6772): 853–858. https://doi.org/10.1038/35002501
- Nicoll ME, Langrand O (1989) Madagascar, Revue de la conservation et des aires protégées. WWF International, Gland, 374 pp.
- Nilsson AN (2001) World catalogue of insects. Volume 3. Dytiscidae (Coleoptera). Apollo Books, Stenstrup, 395 pp.
- Nilsson AN, Bilardo A, Rocchi S (1997) A check list of Copelatus Erichson 1832 species (Coleoptera Dytiscidae) from Afrotropical and Madagascan regions with a review of published penis drawings. Tropical Zoology 10: 11–48. https://doi.org/10.1080/03946975.1997.10539325
- Nilsson AN, Hájek J (2018) A world catalogue of the family Dytiscidae, or the diving beetles (Coleoptera, Adephaga). Version 1.I.2018. http://www.waterbeetles.eu [accessed 10 May 2018]
- Omer-Cooper J (1956) Tikoloshanes, a new genus of Dytiscidae (Col.) from South Africa. Proceedings of the Royal Entomological Society of London (B) 25: 79–82. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-3113.1956.tb01095.x
- Pederzani F, Hájek J (2005) Copelatus mahajanga sp. nov. from Madagascar (Insecta Coleoptera Dytiscidae). Quaderno di Studi e Notizie di Storia Naturale della Romagna 21: 103–107.
- Perissinotto R, Bird MS, Bilton DT (2016) Predaceous water beetles (Coleoptera, Hydradephaga) of the Lake St Lucia system, South Africa: biodiversity, community ecology and conservation implications. ZooKeys 595: 85–135. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.595.8614
- Rabemananjara F, Randriamahazo H, Rahantamalala J, Rahantalisoa H, Rakotoarisoa JM, Rabibisoa NHC, Andreone F (2012) The Conservation Effort for Two Critically Endangered Amphibian Species of the Ankaratra Massif, *Boophis williamsi* and *Mantidactylus pauliani*. FrogLog 103: 29–31.
- Rambaut A, Drummond AJ, Xie D, Baele G, Suchard MA (2018) Posterior summarisation in Bayesian phylogenetics using Tracer 1.7. Systematic Biology 67: 901–904. https://doi. org/10.1093/sysbio/syy032
- Régimbart M (1895) Révision des Dytiscidae et Gyrinidae d'Afrique, Madagascar et îles voisines. Mémoires de la Société Entomologique de Belgique 4: 1–244.
- Régimbart M (1903) Coléoptères aquatiques (Haliplidae, Dytiscidae, Gyrinidae et Hydrophilidae) recueillis dans le sud de Madagascar par M. Ch. Alluaud (juillet 1900–mai 1901). Annales de la Société Entomologique de France 72: 1–51.
- Rocchi S (1991) Contributo alla conoscenza degli Haliplidae e dei Dytiscidae del Madagascar con descrizione di due nuove specie (Coleoptera). Frustula Entomologica (Nuova Serie) 14(27): 71–89.
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, Van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Hohna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard M, Huelsenbeck JP (2012) MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Systematic Biology 61: 539–542. https://doi. org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029
- Sharp D (1880) Avis préliminaire d'une nouvelle classification de la famille des Dytiscidae. Annales de la Société Entomologique de Belgique 23, Comptes Rendus: cxlvii–cli. https:// doi.org/10.1038/023098a0
- Sharp D (1882) On aquatic carnivorous Coleoptera or Dytiscidae. Scientific Transactions of the Royal Dublin Society (2) 2: 179–1003. [+ pls 7–18]

- Shaverdo HV, Monaghan MT, Lees DC, Ranaivosolo R, Balke M (2008) Madaglymbus, a new genus of Malagasy endemic diving beetles and description of a highly unusual species based on morphology and DNA sequence data (Dytiscidae: Copelatinae). Systematics and Biodiversity 6(1): 43–51. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1477200007002599
- Sheth SD, Ghate HV, Hájek J (2018) Copelatus Erichson, 1832 from Maharashtra, India, with description of three new species and notes on other taxa of the genus (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae: Copelatinae). Zootaxa 4459(2): 235–260. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4459.2.2
- Simon C, Frati F, Beckenbach A, Crespi B, Liu H, Flook P (1994) Evolution, weighting, and phylogenetic utility of mitochondrial gene sequences and a compilation of conserved polymerase chain reaction primers. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 87: 651– 701. https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/87.6.651
- Vences M, Andreone F, Glaw F, Raminosoa N, Randrianirina JE, Vieites DR (2002) Amphibians and reptiles of the Ankaratra Massif: reproductive diversity, biogeography and conservation of a montane fauna in Madagascar. Italian Journal of Zoology 69: 263–284. https:// doi.org/10.1080/11250000209356469
- Vinson J (1967) Liste chorologique des Coléoptères des Mascareignes. The Mauritius Institute Bulletin 4: 299–372.
- Wallin H, Kvamme T, Bergsten J (2017) To be or not to be a subspecies: Saperda studies and description of Saperda populnea lapponica nov. ssp. (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae), developing in Salix lapponum L. ZooKeys 691: 103–148. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.691.12880
- Whitehurst AS, Sexton JO, Dollar L (2009) Land cover change in western Madagascar's dry deciduous forests: a comparison of forest changes in and around Kirindy Mite National Park. Oryx 43: 275–283. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605309001756
- Zimmermann A (1919) Die Schwimmkäfer des Deutschen Entomologischen Museums in Berlin-Dahlem. Archiv für Naturgeschichte, Abteilung A 83(12) (1917): 68–249.
- Zimmermann A (1921) Beiträge zur Kenntnis der südamerikanischen Schwimmkäferfauna nebst 41 Neubeschreibungen. Archiv für Naturgeschichte, Abteilung A 87(3): 181–206.
- Zimmermann A (1934) Monographie der paläarktischen Dytisciden. III. Colymbetinae (1. Teil). Koleopterologische Rundschau 20: 138–214.

Supplementary material I

Examined specimens, species occurrence records

Authors: Tolotra Ranarilalatiana, Lala Harivelo Raveloson Ravaomanarivo, Johannes Bergsten

Data type: species data

Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

Link: https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.869.33997.suppl1

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Tipula (Vestiplex) butvilai sp. nov., a new crane fly (Diptera, Tipulidae) from Yunnan, China

Pavel Starkevich¹, Aidas Saldaitis¹, Qiu-Lei Men²

I Nature Research Centre, Akademijos 2, LT-08412 Vilnius, Lithuania 2 School of Life Sciences, Provincial Key Laboratory of the Biodiversity Study and Ecology Conservation in Southwest Anhui Province, Research Center of Aquatic Organism Conservation and Water Ecosystem Restoration in Anhui Province, Anqing Normal University, Anqing, Anhui 246011, China

Corresponding author: Qiu-Lei Men (menqiulei888@126.com)

Academic editor: Christopher Borkent | Received 27 February 2019 | Accepted 22 July 2019 | Published 5 August 2019

http://zoobank.org/9A802128-2F2F-4131-BE1F-08DAAE6BEFC6

Citation: Starkevich P, Saldaitis A, Men Q-L (2019) *Tipula (Vestiplex) butvilai* sp. nov., a new crane fly (Diptera, Tipulidae) from Yunnan, China. ZooKeys 869: 91–101. https://doi.org/10.3897/zooKeys.869.34166

Abstract

A new crane fly, *Tipula (Vestiplex) butvilai* **sp. nov.,** is described and illustrated based on new material collected in the Nu Jiang Valley of Yunnan Province, China. The hypopygium for the most closely related species, *Tipula (Vestiplex) testata* Alexander, 1935, is also illustrated. A key is provided to distinguish males of the new species from those of other species in the *T. (V.) bicornigera* Alexander, 1938 species group.

Keywords

hypopygium, nematoceran, ovipositor, taxonomy, Tipuloidea

Introduction

The world fauna of the subgenus *Tipula* (*Vestiplex*) Bezzi, 1924 including the type species, *Tipula cisalpina* Riedel, 1913, currently contains 156 described species distributed throughout the Nearctic, Palaearctic and Oriental Regions (Oosterbroek 2019). The Chinese fauna of *T.* (*Vestiplex*) is richly represented, with 69 species and one subspecies (Oosterbroek 2019).

Females belonging to the subgenus *T.* (*Vestiplex*), are characterized by having an ovipositor with a powerfully constructed and heavily sclerotised cerci and serrate outer margins, although margins may be smooth in several Asiatic species. The hypovalvae

are small to rudimentary (Alexander 1935, 1965, Alexander and Byers 1981). The male genitalia are extremely polymorphic (Savchenko 1964), typically with tergite 9 forming a shallowly concave and sclerotised saucer, although some other species have their tergite 9 completely divided longitudinally by a pale membrane (Alexander 1935, Alexander and Byers 1981).

Tipula (Vestiplex) can be separated into various species groups based on hypopygium structures. The group of species with a saucer-shaped tergite 9 has been investigated by several authors (Mannheims 1953; Hemmingsen 1956; Savchenko 1960, 1964; Theow-ald and Mannheims 1963;), with seven species groups currently recognized: *T. (V.) coquil-lettiana*, *T. (V.) erectiloba*, *T. (V.) excisa*, *T. (V.) leucoprocta*, *T. (V.) nubeculosa*, *T. (V.) scripta*, and *T. (V.) virgatula*. Taxonomic studies of species with a longitudinally divided tergite 9 are still unresolved. Edwards (1928) proposed the *T. (V.) himalayensis* species subgroup of the *T. (V.) arctica* group for *T. (V.) avicularia* Edwards, 1928. Alexander later adopted *T. (V.) himalayensis* as a species group and included a number of species with a divided tergite 9 (Alexander, 1932, 1933, 1934, 1935, 1936, 1959, 1963). Savchenko (1960) also proposed several species groups: *T. (V.) avicularia*, *T. (V.) divisotergata*, and *T. (V.) subtincta*, for various Asiatic species based on features of the male hypopygium.

A revisionary study of this subgenus on a global basis was conducted by Starkevich (2012) and a phylogenetic review of the group is ongoing. Those species with a divided tergite 9 were grouped into the following species groups according to the phylogenetic tree: *T.* (*V.*) *hymalayensis*, *T.* (*V.*) *avicularia*, *T.* (*V.*) *divisotergata*, *T.* (*V.*) *subtincta*, *T.* (*V.*) *eurydice* and *T.* (*V.*) *deserrata.* Some species placements remain unresolved due to a lack of fresh material. As part of an ongoing morphological analysis, the *Tipula* (*Vestiplex*) *bicornigera* Alexander, 1938 species group is proposed and diagnosed herein for the first time. The new species, belonging to this group, was detected while sorting and identifying specimens of *T.* (*Vestiplex*) from China's Sichuan and Yunnan Provinces.

Materials and methods

Adult crane flies were collected at night using an ultraviolet light trap and preserved in 96% ethanol. Specimens were studied with a Nikon SMZ800 stereomicroscope. Pictures were taken with an INFINITY–1 camera mounted on a Nikon Eclipse 200 stereomicroscope and Canon EOS 80D mounted on an Olympus SZX10 dissecting microscope. Genitalia were studied after boiling them in 10% NaOH solution for 5–10 minutes.

Descriptive terminology generally follows that of Alexander and Byers (1981) and Frommer (1963) with some additions for particular features for some *T. (Vestiplex)*. The term appendage of sternite 9 (A9S) is adopted from Mannheims (1953), and terms ventral lobe and dorsal lobe of A9S were adopted from Gelhaus (2005).

Abbreviations for institutional collections used herein: **USNM** United States National Museum of Natural History, Washington, DC, USA; **NRC** Nature Research Centre, Vilnius, Lithuania; other abbreviation: **PS** slide Pavel Starkevich.

Taxonomy

Tipula (Vestiplex) bicornigera species group

The *bicornigera* group can be easily distinguished from other *T.* (*Vestiplex*) species by a remarkable tergite 9 with its ventral part flattened and hypertrophic (Figs 5, 16). The following species, all distributed in China (Sichuan, Hubei and Taiwan), are placed in the *T.* (*V.*) *bicornigera* group: *Tipula* (*Vestiplex*) *bicornigera* Alexander, 1938, *Tipula* (*Vestiplex*) *subtestata* Alexander, 1938, *Tipula* (*Vestiplex*) *testata* Alexander, 1935 and *Tipula* (*Vestiplex*) *subtestata* Alexander, 1938, *Tipula* (*Vestiplex*) *testata* Alexander, 1935 and *Tipula* (*Vestiplex*) *subtestata* Alexander, 1938, *Tipula* (*Vestiplex*) *testata* Alexander, 1935 and *Tipula* (*Vestiplex*) *subtestata* Alexander, 1938, *Tipula* (*Vestiplex*) *testata* Alexander, 1935 and *Tipula* (*Vestiplex*) *subtestata* Alexander, 1938, 1997. Males of the *bicornigera* group can be recognized by the following features: tergite 9 divided by a pale membrane into two parts, ventral part shaped as a hypertrophic and flattened plate, its inner and posterior margin blackened, and microscopically roughened; each half of dorsal part of tergite 9 with a posterior lobe on the posterior margin or with an additional posteromedial lobe which is covered with setae and pointed caudad; gonocoxite dorsally produced into a black spine; sternite 9 with A9S dorsal lobe usually reduced into a small triangular or rod-shaped sclerite , or narrow and distinct in case of *T.* (*V.*) *butvilai* sp. nov.

Key to species (male) of the bicornigera group

1	Each half of dorsal part of tergite 9 with a single posterior lobe on posterior mar-
	gin (Figs 2, 14)
_	Each half of dorsal part of tergite 9 with two lobes on posterior margin (Alexan-
	der 1938a: pl. 2, fig. 26; Alexander 1938b: pl. 2, fig. 30; Yang and Yang 1997: fig.
	3a)
2	Mesonotal prescutum golden yellow with three olive-brown stripes that are poorly
	defined against the background; femur without preapical yellow ring; inner gonosty-
	lus with small lower beak and dorsal crest rounded (Fig. 19); dorsal lobe of A9S in
	the shape of a short process (Fig. 20) Tipula (Vestiplex) testata Alexander, 1935
_	Mesonotal prescutum yellowish with four olive-yellow stripes that are narrowly bor-
	dered by yellow; femur with yellow preapical ring (Fig. 1); inner gonostylus without
	lower beak; dorso-median margin with blackened tooth; dorsolateral margin in the
	shape of blackened obtuse outgrowth; dorsal crest nearly rectangular (Fig. 8); dorsal
	lobe of A9S long and narrow (Fig. 4)
3	Antenna with first flagellar segment brown; femur with preapical yellow ring
_	Antenna with basal half of first flagellar segment yellow; femur without preapical
	yellow ring
4	Mesonotal prescutum with four reddish brown stripes
_	Mesonotal prescutum with three pale grayish stripes

Tipula (Vestiplex) butvilai Starkevich, Saldaitis & Men, sp. nov. http://zoobank.org/FA8969F2-485F-4FCD-AFF4-D11CD9C977D2 Figs 1–13

Holotype. male, China, NW. Yunnan, Nu Jiang Valley, S. from Gongshan, elevation 2100 m, 27°43.42'N, 98°45.15'E, 15–16.v.2018, leg. Butvila & Saldaitis (NRC).

Paratypes. 1 male and 3 females topotypic, male genitalia slide No. PS0419m, female genitalia slide No. PS0420f (NRC), same data as holotype.

Diagnosis. Among other members of *T.* (*V.*) *bicornigera* group *T.* (*V.*) *butvilai* sp. nov. can be recognized by yellow body, elongate antennae which if bent backward reach the base of the abdomen, brown flagellum and femur with a preapical yellow ring. Dorsal portion of tergite 9 posteriorly with a pair of oval lobes. Appendage of sternite 9 with dorsal lobe blackened, long and narrow. Sternite 8 provided with long setae.

Description. Adult male (Fig. 1) (N = 2). General body coloration yellow. Body length 11.9–12.4 mm, wing length 14.1–14.9 mm.

Head. Yellowish, vertex and occiput with dark brown median line (Fig. 1). Rostrum yellow, short, nasus inconspicuous. Antenna 13-segmented, elongate, if bent backward reaching base of abdomen; scape, pedicel yellow, first flagellomere basally yellow, the rest of flagellum brown. Flagellar segments except first one with basal enlargements. Verticils slightly longer than corresponding segments. Palpus yellowish.

Thorax. Pronotum yellow. Mesonotal prescutum, yellowish, with 4 olive-yellow longitudinal stripes, narrowly bordered by yellow and median pair separated by brownish interspace. Scutum yellow, scutal lobes each with two olive-yellow spots bordered by yellow. Scutellum and mediotergite yellow with dark median line. Pleura yellow. Leg with coxa and trochanter yellow; femur brown with broad preapical yellow ring, tip dark brown; tibia and tarsal segments dark brown; tarsal claw with tooth. Wing (Fig. 1) yellowish-brown, cells c and sc slightly darker than ground color; stigma brown; Rs suffused with dark brown at origin point and the level of its branch; discal cell transparent with the exception of outer end which suffused with brown; apical half of cells r_3 , r_4 and r_5 , and entire cells m also suffused with brown, some large hyaline areas at cells cup and a_1 . Venation: R_{1+2} entire, discal cell narrow, elongated, petiole of cell m1 distinctly shorter than discal cell. Halter pale yellow with brown knob.

Abdomen. Abdominal segments 1–5 yellow, with dorsal and lateral lines, remaining segments brownish black. Tergites 6–7 laterally pale, sternites 6–7 with posterior margin pale.

Hypopygium. Brownish black. Tergite 9 completely divided at midline by pale membrane (Figs 2, 6). Posterior margin with V-shaped notch; posterolateral margin of tergite 9 triangular; dorsal portion with posterior margin of tergite 9 covered with setae, with a pair of lobes oval in dorsal view directed caudad (Figs 2, 3). Ventral portion of tergite 9 hypertrophied, occupying ~half of entire tergite area. Small black-ened glabrous process on either side of midline, a depressed oval area on each half of ventral portion of tergite 9. Gonocoxite entirely separate from sternite 9, dorsally



Figure 1. T. (Vestiplex) butvilai sp. nov., adult male, lateral view of holotype.

produced into curved spine with acute tip (Figs 3, 6). Outer gonostylus club-shaped (Fig. 7). Inner gonostylus blackened, lower beak missing, distal surface covered with setae; upper beak straight triangular, dorsal crest nearly rectangular in ventral view; dorso-median margin proximally from upper beak produced into blackened tooth; dorsolateral margin bent outwardly forming blackened obtuse outgrowth (Fig. 8). Appendage of sternite 9 provided with setae, with dorsal lobe blackened, long and narrow, ventral lobe nearly triangular (Fig. 4). Adminiculum triangular in ventral view, median sclerite) anteriorly with elevated margin (Fig. 4). Strenite 8 provided with long setae.

Female. Body length 18.1–19.2 mm, wing length 16.6–18.1 mm (N = 3). Generally similar to male. Antenna short, if bent backward reaching pronotum. Scape and pedicel yellow, flagellum brown, flagellar segments cylindrical. Abdomen yellow, dorsal abdominal stripe broad, black.

Ovipositor (Figs 9–13). Tergite 10 light brown. Cercus yellow, nearly straight, with tip acute and outer margin with rough indistinct serration (Fig. 9). Sternite 8 brown, pale area before hypovalvae (Fig. 10). Hypovalva strongly sclerotised, black, shaped posteriorly as an obliquely truncated plate with acute tip, anteriorly nearly rectangular, with additional submedian denticle on inner side in ventral view. Lateral angle of sternite 8 strongly sclerotised, nearly triangular and acute, covered with setae. Median



Figures 2–8. Hypopygium of male *T. (Vestiplex) butvilai* sp. nov. **2** hypopygium, dorsal view **3** hypopygium, lateral view **4** sternite 9, ventral view (tergite 9, gonocoxites, left outer and inner gonostyles removed) **5** tergite 9, dorsal view **6** left gonocoxite **7** left outer gonostylus **8** left inner gonostylus, lateral view. Abbreviations: adm, adminiculum; da, depressed area; dl, dorsal lobe of appendage of sternite 9; dp, dorsal portion of tergite 9; gcx, gonocoxite; ig, inner gonostylus; ms, median sclerite; og, outer gonostylus; pl, posterior lobe of dorsal portion of tergite 9; st9, sternite 9; t9, tergite 9; vl, ventral lobe of appendage of sternite 9; vp, ventral portion of tergite 9. Scale bar: 0.6 mm (**2–3**), 0.5 mm (**4–8**).

incision between hypovalvae with serrated medial area. Sternite 9 with lateral sclerites nearly straight, obtuse anteriorly, the surface is covered by short setae (Fig. 11). Furca long and narrow (Fig. 12). Three spherical spermathecae (Fig. 13).

Comparative material examined. *Tipula (Vestiplex) bicornigera* Alexander, 1938: holotype, male, China, Taiwan, Oiwake, Noko-gun, altitude 7570 feet [2307 m], Au-



10

Figures 9–13. Ovipositor of female *T. (Vestiplex) butvilai* sp. nov. **9** ovipositor, left lateral view **10** sternite 8 with hypovalvae, ventral view **11** sternite 9, dorsal view **12** furca, dorsal view **13** spermatheca, lateral view. Abbreviations: c, cerci; h, hypovalvae; st8, sternite 8; st9, sternite 9; t10, tergite 10. Scale bar: 0.75 mm (**9**), 0.5 mm (**10–13**).

gust 12, 1936 (Takahashi) (USNM); *Tipula (Vestiplex) subtestata* Alexander, 1938: holotype, male, China, Sichuan, Mount Omei, Chu Lao Tong Temple, altitude 6500 feet [1981 m], June 5–6, 1937 (Tsen); paratype, the same data as holotype (USNM); *Tipula (Vestiplex) testata* Alexander, 1935: holotype, male, China, Beh-Luh-Din, 30 miles north of Chengdu, altitude 6000 feet [1829 m], August 8–10, 1933 (Graham); paratypes, 3 males, topotypic, August 12–17, 1933 (Graham) (USNM).

Biology and distribution. Two males and three females were collected during mid May, 2018. All were collected at ultraviolet lights over two nights in a Nu Jiang (Salween) river valley in the northwestern part of China's Yunnan Province bordering North Myanmar (Kachin State). The new species was collected at altitudes of approxi-

mately 2100 meters in mixed mountain forest, dominated by various deciduous trees, bamboo and bushes (Figs 21–22).

Discussion. *Tipula* (V.) *butvilai* sp. nov., is closest to *T*. (V.) *testata* (China, Sichuan) based on the shape of the male hypopygium. Both species are characterized by their hypertrophied ventral portion of tergite 9 and a pair of lobes on posterior margin of dorsal portion of tergite 9 but can be easily separated by the shape of the appendage of sternite 9, inner gonostylus and details of tergite 9. They can also be separated by the yellow preapical ring on the femur which is present in *T*. (V.) *butvilai* sp. nov., but absent in *T*. (V.) *testata*. Other species closely related to *T*. (V.) *butvilai* sp. nov., and *T*. (V.) *testata* are *T*. (V.) *bicornigera* (Taiwan; Alexander 1938a: pl. 2, fig. 26), *T*. (V.) *subtestata* (China, Sichuan; Alexander 1938b: pl. 2, fig. 30) and *Tipula* (*Vestiplex*) *xingshana* Yang & Yang, 1997(China, Hubei; Yang and Yang 1997, p. 1438, fig. 3a–c) which all share a hypertrophied ventral portion of tergite 9, but can be separated by the shape of posterior margin of the dorsal portion of tergite 9, which includes two pairs of lobes.

Etymology. The new species is named after our colleague and prominent Lithuanian collector Rimantas Butvila (Joniškis, Lithuania).

Tipula (Vestiplex) testata Alexander, 1935

Figs 14-20

Tipula (Vestiplex) testata Alexander, 1935: 119; *Tipula (Vestiplex) testata*: Savchenko 1964: 157; *Tipula (Vestiplex) testata*: Oosterbroek and Theowald 1992: 159.

Material examined. 1 male, China, W. Sichuan, road Yaan/Kangding, Erlang Shan Mt., 29°52.23'N, 102°18.35'E, elevation 2100 m, 10–11.IV.2010, genitalia slide No. PS0421m, leg. A. Saldaitis; 5 males, W. Sichuan, road Yaan/Kangding, Erlang Shan Mt., 30°32.40'N, 102°46.10'E, elevation 2161 m, 20.VIII.2014, leg. Floriani & Saldaitis (NRC).

Hypopygium. Black. Tergite 9 completely divided at midline by pale membrane (Figs 14, 16). Posterior margin with U-shaped notch, posterolateral margin of tergite 9 rounded. Anal plate a short process (Fig. 16). Dorsal portion with posterior margin of tergite 9 with a pair of lobes directed caudad and covered with setae. Ventral portion of tergite 9 flattened, hypertrophied and occupying almost entire tergite area. Each half of ventral portion of tergite 9 shallowly concaved and with blackened rim. A blackened glabrous area on either side of midline dividing tergite 9. The inner margin of midline slightly elevated, terminated into short obtuse process. Gonocoxite entirely separate from sternite 9, dorsally produced into gently curved spine with acute tip (Figs 15, 17). Outer gonostylus club-shaped (Fig. 18). Inner gonostylus brown, lower beak small, upper beak short, dorsal crest rounded (Fig. 19). Appendage of sternite 9 covered with setae, with short dorsal lobe, ventral lobe nearly triangular (Fig. 20). Adminiculum triangular in ventral view with median sclerite (Fig 20).



Figures 14–20. Hypopygium of male *T. (Vestiplex) testata.* **14** Hypopygium, dorsal view **15** hypopygium, lateral view **16** sternite 9, ventral view (tergite 9, gonocoxites, outer and inner gonostylus removed) **17** left outer gonostylus **18** tergite 9, dorsal view **19** left gonocoxite **20** left inner gonostylus, lateral view. Abbreviations: ap, anal plates; dl, dorsal lobe of appendage of sternite 9; dp, dorsal portion of tergite 9; vp, ventral portion of tergite 9. Scale bar: 0.6 mm (**14–15**), 0.5 mm (**16–20**).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr S. Podenas of the Nature Research Centre (Lithuania) and Dr J. K. Gelhaus of The Academy of Natural Sciences of Drexel University (USA) for their valuable help and their assistance in obtaining specimens from the Smithsonian Institution. We express our thanks to A. Floriani (Milan, Italy) and R. Butvila (Joniškis, Lithuania) for their enthusiastic camaraderie during the China trips and to R. Borth (Milwaukee, United States) for language improvements. We also sincerely thank two anonymous reviewers who provided valuable comments on the manuscript.



Figures 21, 22. Type locality of *T. (Vestiplex) butvilai* sp. nov., China, NW. Yunnan, Nu Jiang valley, S. from Gongshan, 27°43.42'N, 98°45.15'E.

References

- Alexander CP (1932) New or little-known Tipulidae from eastern Asia (Diptera). X. Philippine Journal of Science 49: 105–136.
- Alexander CP (1933) New or little-known Tipulidae from eastern Asia (Diptera). XIV. Philippine Journal of Science 51: 507–544.
- Alexander CP (1934) New or little-known Tipulidae from eastern Asia (Diptera). XXI. Philippine Journal of Science 55: 19–60. https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/27.1.55
- Alexander CP (1935) New or little-known Tipulidae from eastern Asia (Diptera). XXV. Philippine Journal of Science 57: 81–148.
- Alexander CP (1936) New or little-known Tipulidae from eastern Asia (Diptera). XXXI. Philippine Journal of Science 60: 323–360.
- Alexander CP (1938a) New or little-known Tipulidae from eastern Asia (Diptera). XXXVI. Philippine Journal of Science 66: 93–134.

- Alexander CP (1938b) New or little-known Tipulidae from eastern Asia (Diptera). XXXIX. Philippine Journal of Science 66: 439–478.
- Alexander CP (1959) Undescribed species of crane-flies from the Himalaya mountains (Tipulidae, Diptera). IV. Journal of the New York Entomological Society 67: 223–235.
- Alexander CP (1963) Some Tipulidae from Tibet and upper Burma in the British museum (natural history). https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.8785
- Alexander CP (1965) New subgenera and species of crane-flies from California (Diptera: Tipulidae). Pacific Insects 7: 333–386.
- Alexander CP, Byers GW (1981) Tipulidae. In: McAlpine JF, Peterson BV, Shewell GE, Teskey HJ, Vockeroth JR, Wood DM (Eds) Manual of Nearctic Diptera. Vol. 1. Biosystematics Research Institute, Ottawa, Ontario. 27: 153–190.
- Bezzi M (1924) Una nuova *Tipula* delle Alpi con ali ridotte anche nel maschio (Dipt.). Annali del Museo Civico di Storia naturale di Genova 51: 228–233.
- Edwards FW (1928) Some nematocerous Diptera from Yunnan and Tibet. Annals and Magazine of Natural History 1: 681–703. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222932808672840
- Frommer SI (1963) Gross morphological studies of the reproductive system in representative North American crane flies (Diptera: Tipulidae). The University of Kansas Science Bulletin 44: 535–625.
- Gelhaus JK (2005) Systematics and biogeography of the desert crane fly subgenus *Tipula* (*Eremotipula*) Alexander (Diptera: Tipulidae). Memoirs of the American Entomological Society 46: 1–235.
- Hemmingsen AM (1956) Deep-boring ovipository instincts of some crane-fly species (Tipulidae) of the subgenera *Vestiplex* Bezzi and *Oreomyza* Pok. and some associated phenomena. Videnskabelige Meddelelser fra Dansk Naturhistorisk Forening 118: 243–315.
- Mannheims B (1953) 15. Tipulidae. In: Lindner E (Ed.) Die Fliegen der palaearktischen Region, 3(5)1, Lief. 238: 137–176.
- Oosterbroek P (2019) Catalogue of the Craneflies of the World (CCW). https://ccw.naturalis. nl/index.php [Last update: 24 Jan.2019]
- Oosterbroek P, Theowald Br (1992) Family Tipulidae. In: Soós Á, Papp L (Eds) Catalogue of Palaearctic Diptera 1. Hungarian Natural History Museum, Budapest, 56–178.
- Savchenko EN (1960) A contribution to the taxonomy of crane-flies (Diptera, Tipulidae) of the subgenus Vestiplex Bezzi of the genus Tipula L. Horae Societatis Entomologicae Rossicae Unionis Soveticae 47: 143–216.
- Savchenko EN (1964) Crane-flies (Diptera, Tipulidae), Subfam. Tipulinae, Genus *Tipula* L., 2. Fauna USSR, N.S. 89, Nasekomye Dvukrylye [Insecta Diptera] 2(4): 1–503. [in Russian]
- Starkevich P (2012) Taxonomic and phylogentic review of the subgenus *Tipula (Vestiplex)* Bezzi, 1924 (Diptera, Tipulidae). Doctoral dissertation, Vilnius University, Biomedical sciences, Zoology, 1–219.
- Theowald Br, Mannheims B (1963) Die Arten der *Tipula (Vestiplex) excisa*-Gruppe in der Palaarktis (Diptera, Tipulidae). Bonner Zoologische Beitrage 13: 360–402.
- Yang D, Yang JC (1997) Diptera: Tipulidae. In: Yang XK (Ed) Insects of the Three Gorge Reserve Area of Yangtze River. Chongqing Publishing House, Chongqing, China, 1437– 1440. [in Chinese with English summary]

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Fourteen new species of the spider genus *Thaiderces* from Southeast Asia (Araneae, Psilodercidae)

Wan-Jin Chang¹, Shuqiang Li¹

I Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

Corresponding author: Shuqiang Li (lisq@ioz.ac.cn)

	Academic editor: Yuri Marusik Received 18 April 2019 Accepted 16 July 2019 Pul	ublished 5 August 2019
http://zoobank.org/AFF62E38-4FD4-4E5D-9D92-3EC97E4A4368		

Citation: Chang W-J, Li S (2019) Fourteen new species of the spider genus *Thaiderces* from Southeast Asia (Araneae, Psilodercidae). ZooKeys 869: 103–146. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.869.35546

Abstract

Fourteen new species of the genus *Thaiderces* F.Y. Li & S.Q. Li, 2017 from Indonesia, Myanmar, and Thailand are described: *T. shuzi* Li & Chang, **sp. nov.** ($\mathcal{F} \ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$), *T. peterjaegeri* Li & Chang, **sp. nov.** ($\mathcal{F} \ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$), *T. galauindahensis* Li & Chang, **sp. nov.** ($\mathcal{F} \ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$), *T. galauindahensis* Li & Chang, **sp. nov.** ($\mathcal{F} \ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$), *T. zuichun* Li & Chang, **sp. nov.** ($\mathcal{F} \ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$), *T. miantiao* Li & Chang, **sp. nov.** ($\mathcal{F} \ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$), *T. tuoyuan* Li & Chang, **sp. nov.** ($\mathcal{F} \ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$), *T. fengniao* Li & Chang, **sp. nov.** ($\mathcal{F} \ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$), *T. thaima* Li & Chang, **sp. nov.** ($\mathcal{F} \ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$), *T. thaima* Li & Chang, **sp. nov.** ($\mathcal{F} \ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$), *T. haima* Li & Chang, **sp. nov.** ($\mathcal{F} \ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$), *T. thaimphadaengensis* Li & Chang, **sp. nov.** ($\mathcal{F} \ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$), and *T. thamprikensis* Li & Chang, **sp. nov.** ($\mathcal{F} \ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$). In addition, two species of *Psiloderces* Simon, 1892 are transferred to *Thaiderces: Thaiderces rimbu* (Deeleman-Reinhold, 1995) **comb. nov.** ($\mathcal{F} \ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$).

Keywords

endemic, Indonesia, Myanmar, new combination, Ochyroceratidae, Sumatra, Thailand, tropical

Introduction

The spider family Psilodercidae Machado, 1951 was recently elevated from a subfamily of Ochyroceratidae Fage, 1912 to family rank (Wunderlich 2004, 2008). Psilodercidae currently includes 127 species belonging to eleven genera (Li and Quan 2017; WSC 2019). All species are restricted to tropical Asia. More than half the genera were described only recently: *Flexicrurum* Y.F. Tong & S.Q. Li, 2007, *Luzonacera* F.Y. Li &

S.Q. Li, 2017, *Priscaleclercera* Wunderlich, 2017, *Qiongocera* F.Y. Li & S.Q. Li, 2017, *Relictocera* F.Y. Li & S.Q. Li, 2017, *Sinoderces* F.Y. Li & S.Q. Li, 2017, and *Thaiderces* F.Y. Li & S.Q. Li, 2017 (Liu et al. 2017).

Prior to this study, only two species of *Thaiderces* were known: *T. jian* Li & Li, 2017 and *T. vulgaris* (Deeleman-Reinhold, 1995) (WSC 2019). Both species are endemic to Thailand. While studying new material collected in Southeast Asia, we found fourteen new species of *Thaiderces* from Myanmar, Thailand, and Sumatra Island of Indonesia. The goal of this paper is to provide detailed descriptions of these new species.

Materials and methods

Types are deposited in the Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (**IZCAS**) in Beijing, except *Thaiderces peterjaegeri* sp. nov. which is lodged in the Senckenberg Research Institute in Frankfurt, Germany (**SMF**). All specimens collected were preserved and observed in a 95% ethanol solution. The specimens were measured and examined under a Leica M205 C stereomicroscope, and further morphological details were observed using an Olympus BX41 compound microscope. The left male palp was dissected for further examination. The carapace measurements exclude the clypeus. The endogyne and the male palp were dissected and immersed in lactic acid for digestion. An Olympus C7070 wide zoom digital camera (7.1 megapixels) mounted on an Olympus SZX12 stereomicroscope was used to take photos at different focal planes. The photos were assembled with the image stacking software Helicon Focus 6.7.1. to generate high quality photos before further revision with Adobe Photoshop CC 2014. Leg measurements are given as total length (femur, patella, tibia, metatarsus, and tarsus). Leg segments were measured from their retrolateral side. All measurements are given in millimetres (mm). All terminology follows Li et al. (2014).

Taxonomy

Family Psilodercidae Machado, 1951

Genus Thaiderces F.Y. Li & S.Q. Li, 2017

Type species. *Thaiderces jian* from Thailand, details and figures of the type species as in figs 1A, 2A, and Liu et al. (2017: figs 11–12).

Emended diagnosis. *Thaiderces* resembles *Sinoderces* by the absence of an apical protrusion on the cymbium, cheliceral lamina with 3 triangular extensions, shallow fovea, and clypeus and labium slanting, but it can be differentiated by the following combination of characters: 1) presence of embolic stalk or embolic 'stubble' (vs. absence of embolic stalk or 'stubble'); 2) absence of setae on ocular region (vs. presence

of numerous setae); 3) embolus shorter than bulb (vs. embolus longer than bulb); 4) absence of conductor (vs. presence); and 5) 3 retromarginal cheliceral teeth (vs. one retromarginal tooth).

Composition. Thaiderces jian $(\mathcal{J} \, \mathbb{Q})$ (the type species), *T. vulgaris* (Deeleman-Reinhold, 1995) $(\mathcal{J} \, \mathbb{Q})$, *T. shuzi* sp. nov. $(\mathcal{J} \, \mathbb{Q})$, *T. peterjaegeri* sp. nov. (\mathcal{J}) , *T. ganlan* sp. nov. $(\mathcal{J} \, \mathbb{Q})$, *T. ngalauindahensis* sp. nov. (\mathcal{J}) , *T. yangcong* sp. nov. $(\mathcal{J} \, \mathbb{Q})$, *T. tuoyuan* sp. nov. $(\mathcal{J} \, \mathbb{Q})$, *T. fengniao* sp. nov. $(\mathcal{J} \, \mathbb{Q})$, *T. haima* sp. nov. $(\mathcal{J} \, \mathbb{Q})$, *T. thamphadaengensis* sp. nov. $(\mathcal{J} \, \mathbb{Q})$, *T. thamphrikensis* sp. nov. $(\mathcal{J} \, \mathbb{Q})$, *T. jiazi* sp. nov. (\mathcal{Q}) , *T. zuichun* sp. nov. (\mathbb{Q}) , *T. chujiao* sp. nov. (\mathbb{Q}) , and *T. miantiao* sp. nov. (\mathbb{Q}) .

Distribution. Thailand, Myanmar, and Sumatra Island of Indonesia.

Key to species of Thaiderces, males only

1	Embolic stubble absent
_	Embolic stubble present7
2	Embolus is shorter than bulb
_	Embolus and bulb almost equal in length (Fig. 1H) T. ganlan sp. nov.
3	Embolus with long stalk
_	Embolus without stalk
4	Bulb with lamina, embolic stalk with distinct inclination of 30° (Fig. 1B)
	T. ngalauindahensis sp. nov.
_	Bulb without lamina, embolic stalk flat and tapering (Fig. 1I)
	T. thamphadaengensis sp. nov.
5	Embolus thin, straight and elongated, perpendicular to the axis of the bulb
	(Fig. 1C)
_	Embolus thick, curved and short, directed upward
6	Bulb obovate; embolus originating prolaterally (Fig. 1D) <i>T. tuoyuan</i> sp. nov.
_	Bulb oblong; embolus originating retrolaterally (Fig. 1A) T. jian
7	Embolic stalk long
_	Embolic stalk absent (Fig. 1E)
8	Embolic stubble divided into 2 rows
_	Embolic stubble not divided10
9	Bulb with lamina (Fig. 1F) T. fengniao sp. nov.
_	Bulb without lamina (Fig. 1G)
10	Embolic stubble serrated, covers the entire embolic stalk (Fig. 1J)
	<i>T. shuzi</i> sp. nov.
_	Embolic stubble not serrated, covers only distal end of embolic stalk11
11	Embolic stubble aligned, almost as wide as bulb (Fig. 1K)
	T. thamphrikensis sp. nov.
_	Embolic stubble not aligned, 3 times thinner than bulb (Fig. 1L)
	<i>T. haima</i> sp. nov.



Figure 1. Prolateral view of left bulb in *Thaiderces* species A *T. jian* B *T. ngalauindahensis* sp. nov.
C *T. peterjaegeri* sp. nov. D *T. tuoyuan* sp. nov. E *T. yangcong* sp. nov. F *T. fengniao* sp. nov. Ventral view of the bulb in *Thaiderces* species G *T. vulgaris* H *T. ganlan* sp. nov. I *T. thamphadaengensis* sp. nov. J *T. shuzi* sp. nov. K *T. thamphrikensis* sp. nov. L *T. haima* sp. nov. Abbreviations: LA, laminal apophysis, ST, stubble. A, G Modified from Liu et al. (2017).

Key to species of *Thaiderces*, females only

1 One pair of spermathecae	2
- Two pairs of spermathecae	7
2 Spermathecae tubular, without stalks	
- Spermathecae with stalks	
3 Wavy ducts present medially, connected with tubular spern	mathecae laterally
(Fig. 2C)	<i>prikensis</i> sp. nov.
- Wavy ducts lacking, tubular spermathecae bend towa	ards each other
(Fig. 2D) <i>T. f</i>	<i>fengniao</i> sp. nov.
4 Spermathecae with twisted stalks	5
- Stalk of spermatheca not twisted or simply bent at a right as	ngle6
5 Spermathecae with globular heads 2 times wider than stalk	(Fig. 2E)
	<i>C. ganlan</i> sp. nov.
- Spermathecae with globular heads 3 times wider than stalk	(Fig. 2F)
	<i>tuoyuan</i> sp. nov.
6 Spermathecae connected by a funnel-like base (Fig. 2G)	. <i>T. jiazi</i> sp. nov.
- Spermathecae connected by a wavy horizontal duct (Fig. 2F	Н)
<i>T</i> .	<i>zuichun</i> sp. nov.
7 Spermathecae without stalks, tubular	8
- Spermathecae with stalks, twisted	
8 Paired spermathecae touching	9
- Paired spermathecae separated	
9 Lateral spermathecae similar to median spermathecae but	t embedded with
ovoid duct structure (Fig. 2I)7	<i>T. haima</i> sp. nov.
- Lateral spermathecae distinctly shorter and wider than med	lian spermathecae
(Fig. 2J) <i>T</i> .	<i>chujiao</i> sp. nov.
10 Lateral spermathecae with stalks (Fig. 2K) <i>T. thamphada</i>	<i>nengensis</i> sp. nov.
- Lateral spermathecae 2 times longer than median pair and o	directed horizon-
tally (Fig. 2B)	T. vulgaris
11 Lateral and median spermathecae of similar shape	
- Lateral spermathecae short and tubular, median spermatheca	ae long and twist-
ed (Fig. 2A)	<i>T. jian</i>
12 Spermathecae with globose heads	
- Spermathecae without globose heads (Fig. 2L)	angcong sp. nov.
13 Heads of spermathecae 3 times wider than stalk (Fig. 2M	[)
-	<i>T. shuzi</i> sp. nov.
- Heads of spermathecae almost equally as wide as stalk (Fig.	2N)
	<i>niantiao</i> sp. nov.



Figure 2. Endogyne of *Thaiderces* species A *T. jian* B *T. vulgaris* C *T. thamprikensis* sp. nov. D *T. fengniao* sp. nov. E *T. ganlan* sp. nov. F *T. tuoyuan* sp. nov. G *T. jiazi* sp. nov. H *T. zuichun* sp. nov. I *T. haima* sp.nov. J *T. chujiao* sp. nov. K *T. thamphadaengensis* sp. nov. L *T. yangcong* sp. nov. M *T. shuzi* sp. nov. N *T. miantiao* sp. nov. Abbreviation: SP, spermathecae. A, B Modified from Liu et al. (2017).
Thaiderces shuzi Li & Chang, sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/D3DB4169-236B-4C6E-85ED-98C160CED4F3 Figs 1J, 2M, 3, 4, 27C, 29

Types. Holotype: ♂ (IZCAS), Thailand, Prachuap Kiri Khan Province, Hua Hin District, Nong Phiap Subdistrict, Dao Cave, 12°35.449'N, 99°43.692'E, 123 m, 30.X.2014, Zhao H., Li Y., and Chen Z. **Paratype:** 1♀ (IZCAS), same data as holotype.

Etymology. The species name is a noun in apposition derived from the Chinese pinyin *shūz*ĭ (comb) and refers to the serrated stubble on the embolic stalk that resembles a comb.

Diagnosis. Males of *T. shuzi* sp. nov. can be distinguished from all other species of the genus by the unique structure of the male bulb with a long embolic stalk bearing serrated embolic stubble (Fig. 4A) that resembles a comb (vs. absence of serrated embolic stubble in congeners); females can be differentiated from congeners by two pairs of stalked spermathecae with globose distal parts (Fig. 3A) (vs. one pair of spermathecae or spermathecae without stalk in congeners).

Description. Male (holotype). Total length 1.78; carapace 0.78 long, 0.70 wide; abdomen 1.00 long, 0.70 wide. Carapace round and pale yellow (Fig. 3C). Chelicerae brown (Fig. 27C). Clypeus pale yellow. Endites pale yellow. Labium light brown. Sternum with purplish pattern. Abdomen elongated, dorsum with 3 distinct brown spots medially (Fig. 3C), anteroventrally with a pair of circular purplish patches, posterior part with a pattern ranging from light purple to dark purple. Legs uniformly brown; measurements: I 5.29 (1.40, 0.20, 1.56, 1.25, 0.88), II 7.36 (2.00, 0.20, 2.19, 1.88, 1.09), III 5.27 (1.56, 0.31, 1.40, 1.30, 0.70), IV 7.25 (2.00, 0.25, 2.20, 1.80, 1.00). Palp (Fig. 4A–D): femur slender, 5 times longer than patella; patella not swollen, tibia almost equal in length to femur, basally swollen (length/width = 2.30); cymbium pale, three times shorter than femur; bulb light yellow, spatulate, with a long embolic stalk bearing serrated stubble, embolic stalk almost equal in length to the bulb, 2/3 the width of the bulb; embolus straight and short apically (Fig. 4B).

Female (Paratype). General features and coloration similar to that of male (Fig. 3D– E). Measurements: total length 2.00; carapace 0.70 long, 0.70 wide; abdomen 1.30 long, 1.00 wide. Leg measurements: I–II missing, III 4.54 (1.28, 0.25, 1.25, 1.13, 0.63), IV 4.51 (1.25, 0.25, 1.25, 1.13, 0.63). Endogyne: two pairs of stalked spermathecae bearing globose distal parts, lateral spermathecae directed horizontally, medial spermathecae pointed vertically, width of globose part ca. 3 times wider than stalk. (Fig. 3A).

Distribution. Known only from the type locality (Fig. 29).

Thaiderces peterjaegeri Li & Chang, sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/6E65FBCD-43A5-4C8B-AB90-943E9F8EF1A1 Figs 1C, 5, 6, 27E, 29

Types. Holotype: ♂ (SMF), Myanmar, Chin State, Nat Ma Taung National Park, Road S of Nat Ma Taung Summit, Pristine Primary Forest, 21°10.125'N, 93°54.892'E, 2543 m, 16.V.2014, P. Jäger leg.



Figure 3. *Thaiderces shuzi* sp. nov., male holotype and female paratype **A** endogyne, dorsal view **B** female epigastric area, ventral view **C** male habitus, dorsal view **D** female habitus, dorsal view **E** female habitus, ventral view. Abbreviation: SP, spermatheca.



Figure 4. *Thaiderces shuzi* sp. nov., male holotype **A** palp, ventral view **B** palpal bulb, ventral view **C** palp, prolateral view **D** palp, retrolateral view. Abbreviations: EM, embolus, ST, stubble.



Figure 5. Thaiderces peterjaegeri sp. nov., male holotype A habitus, dorsal view B habitus, ventral view.

Etymology. The species is named in honor of Peter Jäger (Frankfurt am Main, Germany), a prolific spider taxonomist.

Diagnosis. Males of *T. peterjaegeri* sp. nov. resemble those of *T. ganlan* sp. nov. but can be distinguished by the narrow oblong shape of the bulb (Fig. 6C) (vs. elliptical in *T. ganlan* sp. nov. (Fig. 8C)), the embolus is half the length of the entire bulb (Fig. 6C) (vs. embolus almost equal in length to the entire bulb in *T. ganlan* sp. nov. (Fig. 8C)), and the embolus is thin and straight (Fig. 6C) (vs. embolus is thicker and curved in *T. ganlan* sp. nov. (Fig. 8B)).

Description. Male (holotype). Total length 1.87; carapace 0.78 long, 0.75 wide; abdomen 1.09 long, 0.75 wide. Carapace round and brown, with 3 longitudinal brown bands, lateral bands 5 times wider than the middle band (Fig. 5A). Chelicerae brown (Fig. 27E). Clypeus light brown. Endites light brown. Labium dark brown. Sternum with dark brown pattern. Abdomen elongated, dorsum with gradual light to dark brown pattern extending from anterior to posterior (Fig. 5A), ventrum with gradual brown to dark brown pattern extending from anterior to posterior, anterior with elliptical dark brown patter medially, and a pair of lateral pale yellow patches, posterior part delimited with a pair of pale yellow straight lines (Fig. 5B). Legs uniformly brown. Measurements: I–III missing, IV 3.80 (1.00, 0.20, 1.00, 1.00, 0.60). Palp with scattered purplish spots (Fig. 6C–D): femur four times longer than patella; patella not swollen, tibia 2/3 the



Figure 6. *Thaiderces peterjaegeri* sp. nov., male holotype **A** palp, ventral view **B** palpal bulb, ventral view **C** palp, prolateral view **D** palp, retrolateral view. Abbreviation: EM, embolus.

length of femur; cymbium dark with concentrated purplish spots, half the length of femur; bulb light yellow, narrow, and oblong, length/width ratio = 1.90; embolus thin and straight, arises distally, half the length of the entire bulb (Fig. 6).

Female. Unknown.

Distribution. Known only from the type locality (Fig. 29).

Thaiderces ganlan Li & Chang, sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/177CD9B3-65CA-4E72-B5FB-A253B6A0C7A0 Figs 1H, 2E, 7, 8, 28E, 29

Types. Holotype: ♂ (IZCAS), Myanmar, Chin State, roadside between Kampellet to Nat Ma Taung National Park, 21°13.436'N, 93°58.819'E, 2402 m, 1.V.2017, Wu J. and Chen Z. **Paratype:** 1♀ (IZCAS), same data as holotype.

Etymology. The species name is a noun in apposition derived from the Chinese pinyin *gănlăn* (olive) and refers to the structure of the bulb that resembles an olive or a rugby ball (Fig. 8A).

Diagnosis. Diagnostic features of males are discussed under *T. peterjaegeri* sp. nov. Females of *T. ganlan* sp. nov. can be distinguished by a pair of twisted ribbon-liked spermathecae, with globular distal ends two times wider than stalk (vs. spermathecae with globular heads three times wider than stalk in *T. tuoyuan* sp. nov., Fig. 6A).

Description. Male (holotype). Total length 1.63; carapace 0.63 long, 0.63 wide; abdomen 1.00 long, 0.75 wide. Carapace round and brown with 3 longitudinal brown bands, lateral bands three times wider than the median band (Fig. 7C). Chelicerae brown (Fig. 28E). Clypeus dark brown medially and light brown laterally. Endites light brown. Labium dark brown. Sternum with dark brown pattern. Abdomen brown and elongated, dorsum with complex yellow dotted patterns, anteroventrally brown with pair of dark brown circular patches followed by a light brown elliptical patch, posterior part with a pair of yellow dotted lines laterally and a V-shaped mark medially. Legs uniformly brown; measurements: I 5.23 (1.40, 0.20, 1.60, 1.25, 0.78), II 4.99 (1.09, 0.15, 1.41, 1.25, 1.09), III 3.54 (0.94, 0.25, 0.90, 0.90, 0.55), IV 4.80 (1.20, 0.20. 1.40, 1.25, 0.75). Palp with scattered purplish spots (Fig. 8C, D): femur three times longer than patella; patella not swollen, tibia 2/3 the length of femur; cymbium dark with concentrated purplish spots, half the length of femur; bulb light yellow and elliptical, with a distinct protrusion arising distally, adjacent to embolus; embolus thick and branched, arising distally, almost equal in length to the entire bulb (Fig. 8A).

Female (Paratype). General features and coloration similar to that of male (Fig. 7D, E). Measurements: total length 2.03; carapace 0.78 long, 0.75 wide; abdomen 1.25 long, 0.94 wide. Leg measurements: I 5.23 (1.28, 0.32, 1.60, 1.25, 0.78), II 4.84 (1.28, 0.32, 1.40, 1.09, 0.75), III missing, IV 5.61 (1.44, 0.32, 1.60, 1.50, 0.75). Endogyne with a pair of twisted ribbon-liked spermathecae with long stalks and globular heads, heads 2 times wider than stalks, stalks almost equal to the interdistance of stalk bases (Fig. 7A).



Figure 7. *Thaiderces ganlan* sp. nov., male holotype and female paratype **A** endogyne, dorsal view **B** female epigastric area, ventral view **C** male habitus, dorsal view **D** female habitus, dorsal view **E** female habitus, ventral view. Abbreviation: SP, spermatheca.



Figure 8. *Thaiderces ganlan* sp. nov., male holotype **A** palp, ventral view **B** palpal bulb, ventral view **C** palp, prolateral view **D** palp, retrolateral view. Abbreviation: EM, embolus.

Thaiderces ngalauindahensis Li & Chang, sp. nov. http://zoobank.org/E59B7F10-44A0-442F-A698-54A4FA2130B3 Figs 1B, 9, 10, 27F, 29

Types. Holotype: ♂ (IZCAS), Indonesia, Sumatra, West Sumatra Province, Pavakumbuh, Ngalau Indah Cave, 00°15.296'S, 100°36.256'E, 626 m, 14.V.2014, Yao Z.

Etymology. The species name is an adjective referring to the type locality.

Diagnosis. *Thaiderces ngalauindahensis* sp. nov. is similar to *T. rimbu*, but males can be distinguished by lamina connected to the embolus (Fig. 10D) (vs. the absence of lamina connected to the embolus in *T. rimbu*) and the embolic stalk is slanted at a 30° incline (Fig. 10D) (vs. embolic stalk rather round and curved in *T. rimbu*).

Description. Male (holotype). Total length 1.16; carapace 0.54 long, 0.55 wide; abdomen 0.62 long, 0.31 wide. Carapace round and brown, with three longitudinal dark brown bands, median band with distinct patch centrally, lateral bands four times wider than the middle band (Fig. 9A). Chelicerae brown (Fig. 27F). Clypeus dark brown medially and light brown laterally. Endites light brown. Labium dark brown. Sternum with dark brown pattern. Abdomen elongated, dorsum with dark brown complicated veined pattern (Fig. 9A), ventrum dark brown with indistinct pattern (Fig. 9B). Legs uniformly brown; measurements: I missing, II 3.35 (0.90, 0.20, 0.93, 0.78, 0.54), III missing, IV missing. Palp (Fig. 10C–D): femur four times longer than patella; patella not swollen, tibia almost equal in length to femur; cymbium with concentrated purplish spots, 1/2 the length of femur; bulb light brown, with 30° inclined embolic stalk, embolic stalk half the width of the bulb, lamina attached to embolus; embolus short and dark, arising distally (Fig. 10).

Female. Unknown.

Distribution. Known only from the type locality (Fig. 29).

Thaiderces yangcong Li & Chang, sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/12BF7080-7804-4552-BF98-3ADE137B13C4 Figs 1E, 2L, 11, 12, 27B, 29

Types. Holotype: ♂ (IZCAS), Indonesia, Sumatra, Jambi Province, Kerinci, Talang Cindang, near river, 02°04.834'S, 101°22.448'E, 1054 m, 23.V.2014, Yao Z. **Paratype:** 1♀ (IZCAS), same data as holotype.

Etymology. The species name is a noun in apposition derived from the Chinese pinyin *yángcōng* (onion) and refers to the entire structure of the bulb which resembles an onion bulb.

Diagnosis. Thaiderces yangcong sp. nov. is similar to T. djojosudharmoi, but males can be distinguished by the thin and long embolus (vs. a thick and short embolus in T. djojosudharmoi), a rather plump and rounded bulb (vs. a rather ovate bulb), and the presence of embolic stubble (vs. absence of embolic stubble); females can be distinguished by a pair of short, twisted, and rather distant wavy spermathecae (vs. two pairs of short petal-like spermathecae that are close together in T. djojosudharmoi).



Figure 9. Thaiderces ngalauindahensis sp. nov., male holotype A habitus, dorsal view B habitus, ventral view.

Description. Male (holotype). Total length 1.95; carapace 0.70 long, 0.75 wide; abdomen 1.25 long, 0.90 wide. Carapace round and pale brown, with three longitudinal dark brown bands, median band only half the length of carapace, lateral bands three times wider than the middle band (Fig. 11C). Chelicerae brown (Fig. 27B). Clypeus dark brown medially and light brown laterally. Endites pale yellow. Labium light brown. Sternum with dark brown pattern. Abdomen elongated, dorsum with brown stripes medially (Fig. 11C), anteroventrally brown with pair of dark brown circular patches followed by a light brown elliptical patch, posterior part with a pair of yellow dotted lines laterally and a V-shaped mark medially. Legs uniformly brown; measurements: I missing, II 5.15 (1.25, 0.16, 1.56, 1.40, 0.78), III 4.68 (1.25, 0.31, 1.25, 1.25, 0.62), IV 6.32 (1.56, 0.25, 1.87, 1.71, 0.93). Palp (Fig. 12A–D): femur four times longer than patella; patella not swollen, tibia 2/3 the length of femur; cymbium darker anteriorly, half the length of femur; bulb light yellow, round with sparse embolic stubble; embolus thin and dark, arising distally, almost the same length as bulb (Fig. 12A).

Female (paratype). General features and coloration similar to that of male (Fig. 11D–E). Measurements: total length 1.62; carapace 0.62 long, 0.70 wide; abdomen 1.00 long, 0.70 wide. Leg measurements: I 5.39 (1.40, 0.25, 1.56, 1.40, 0.78), II 5.50 (1.00, 0.25, 1.25, 1.13, 1.12, 0.75), III 3.72 (0.87, 0.25, 1.00, 1.00, 0.60), IV 5.42



Figure 10. *Thaiderces ngalauindahensis* sp. nov., male holotype **A** palp, ventral view **B** palpal bulb, ventral view **C** palp, prolateral view **D** palp, retrolateral view. Abbreviations: EM, embolus, LA, lamina apophysis.



Figure 11. *Thaiderces yangcong* sp. nov., male holotype and female paratype **A** endogyne, dorsal view **B** female epigastric area, ventral view **C** male habitus, dorsal view **D** female habitus, dorsal view **E** female habitus, ventral view. Abbreviation: SP, spermatheca.



Figure 12. *Thaiderces yangcong* sp. nov., male holotype **A** palp, ventral view **B** palpal bulb, ventral view **C** palp, prolateral view. Abbreviations: EM, embolus, ST, stubble.

(1.37, 0.25, 1.60, 1.40, 0.80). Endogyne: a pair of short, twisted, and paired coiled spermathecae, ratio of spermathecae interdistance and spermatheca width 1 : 5 (Fig. 11A).

Distribution. Known only from the type locality (Fig. 29).

Thaiderces zuichun Li & Chang, sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/3D32A377-Ā33F-47B7-9844-1A286D5A7F7B Figs 2H, 13, 27G, 29

Types. Holotype: \bigcirc (IZCAS), Thailand, Satun Province, Thung Wa District, Cave without name, 07°3.04278'N, 99°48.03915'E, 28 m, 01.XII.2013, F. Ballarin.

Etymology. The species name is a noun in apposition derived from the Chinese pinyin *zuĭchún* (lip) and refers to the overall structure of the spermathecae which is similar to a human lip.

Diagnosis. *Thaiderces zuichun* sp. nov. is similar to *T. miantiao* sp. nov. but can be easily distinguished by a pair of stalked spermathecae with an oblong distal part connected to a wavy horizontal duct (vs. two pairs of strongly twisted spermathecae in *T. miantiao* sp. nov.).

Description. Female. Total length 1.58; carapace 0.50 long, 0.63 wide; abdomen 1.08 long, 0.78 wide. Carapace round and brown, with three longitudinal purplish bands, median band rather pale and only half the length of the carapace, lateral bands three times wider than the median band (Fig. 13C). Chelicerae brown (Fig. 27G). Clypeus purple. Endites purple. Labium brown. Sternum with purplish pattern. Abdomen elongated, posterior with indistinct dark brown pattern (Fig. 13C), ventrum with indistinct brown pattern, anterior epigastric area forming a semi-circle (Fig. 13D). Legs uniformly brown; measurements: I missing, II 4.13 (1.09, 0.15, 1.25, 1.09, 0.55), III 3.45 (0.94, 0.16, 0.94, 0.94, 0.47), IV 4.70 (1.20, 0.20, 1.40, 1.30, 0.60). Endogyne: a pair of stalked spermathecae, with oblong distal parts, stalk almost equal in length to and half the width of the oblong distal part, connected by a wavy horizontal duct (Fig. 13A)

Male. Unknown.

Distribution. Known only from the type locality (Fig. 29).

Thaiderces miantiao Li & Chang, sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/04C6EF20-9E2D-4C42-BAE2-EE2EFB19704D Figs 2N, 14, 27H, 29

Types. Holotype: \bigcirc (IZCAS), Thailand, Nakhon Srithammarat Province, Thung Song District, outside of Ta Lod Cave, 08°2.3667'N, 99°44.8333'E, 120 m, 14.X.2015, Zhao Q., Zhou G., Chen Z.

Etymology. The species name is a noun in apposition derived from the Chinese pinyin *miàntiáo* (noodle) and refers to the spermathecae structure which resembles curly noodles (twisted structure).



Figure 13. *Thaiderces zuichun* sp. nov., female paratype **A** endogyne, dorsal view **B** epigastric area, ventral view **C** habitus, dorsal view **D** habitus, ventral view. Abbreviation: SP, spermatheca.



Figure 14. *Thaiderces miantiao* sp. nov., female paratype **A** endogyne, dorsal view **B** epigastric area, ventral view **C** habitus, dorsal view **D** habitus, ventral view. Abbreviation: SP, spermatheca.

Diagnosis. See diagnosis for *T. zuichun* sp. nov.

Description. Female. Total length 1.56; carapace 0.54 long, 0.60 wide; abdomen 1.02 long, 0.86 wide. Carapace round and brown, with two longitudinal dark brown bands laterally, and a central dark brown patch (Fig. 14C). Chelicerae brown (Fig. 27H). Clypeus brown. Endites brown. Labium dark brown. Sternum with dark brown pattern. Abdomen elongated, with indistinct brown pattern (Fig. 14C), ventrum with two circular patches followed by an ovate epigastric area anteriorly, posterior with yellow dotted lines laterally and indistinct brown pattern (Fig. 14D). Legs uniformly brown; measurements: I 5.05 (1.20, 0.15, 1.50, 1.50, 0.70), II–IV missing. Endogyne: two pairs of strongly twisted helical spermathecae, with globose distal part nearly the same width as the stalk, stalk en times longer than the globose head, ratio of lateral pairs of spermathecae interdistance to median pair interdistance 1 : 3.5 (Fig. 14A).

Male. Unknown.

Distribution. Known only from the type locality (Fig. 29).

Thaiderces jiazi Li & Chang, sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/C21D07D6-0B6C-4AE3-847E-70B9953F5DEB Figs 2G, 15, 27I, 29

Types. Holotype: \bigcirc (IZCAS), Thailand, Satun Province, Khuang Kalong District, Khao Wang Cave, 06°56.3167'N, 100°1.3083'E, 127 m, 17.X.2015, Zhao Q., Zhou G., Chen Z.

Etymology. The species name is a noun in apposition derived from the Chinese pinyin *jiázĭ* (clamp) and refers to the spermathecae structure which resembles a face clamp (Fig. 15A).

Diagnosis. *Thaiderces jiazi* sp. nov. can be distinguished from other species by the unique pattern on the carapace, with trident purplish stripes medially and purplish stripes laterally (Fig. 15C), a pair of spermathecae that resemble a face clamp (curved perpendicularly and oppositely directed), attached to a funnel-shaped structure posteriorly (Fig. 15A) (vs. stalked spermathecae with oblong heads connected by a horizon-tal arched duct in *T. zuichun* sp. nov.).

Description. Female. Total length 1.47; carapace 0.54 long, 0.62 wide; abdomen 0.93 long, 0.65 wide. Carapace round and pale yellow, with trident purplish stripes medially and purplish stripes laterally. Chelicerae brown (Fig. 27I). Clypeus brown medially. Endites purple. Labium yellow. Sternum with purplish pattern. Abdomen elongated, pale yellow, almost plain without distinct pattern (Fig. 15C), ventrum with purplish and yellowish indistinct pattern, with medial epigastric area semi-circular (Fig. 15D). Legs uniformly brown; measurements: I missing, II 5.27 (1.40, 0.25, 1.50, 1.37, 0.75), III 4.23 (1.12, 0.20, 1.20, 1.09, 0.62), IV 4.12 (1.09, 0.20, 1.20, 1.09, 0.54). Endogyne: a pair of stalked spermathecae curved perpendicularly and oppositely



Figure 15. *Thaiderces jiazi* sp. nov., female paratype **A** endogyne, dorsal view **B** epigastric area, ventral view **C** habitus, dorsal view **D** habitus, ventral view. Abbreviation: SP, spermatheca.

directed, stalk length is eight times the width of the spermathecae, spermathecae connected by stalks to funnel-shaped base, ratio of the width of funnel to the width of a stalked spermatheca 1 : 10 (Fig. 15A).

Male. Unknown.

Distribution. Known only from the type locality (Fig. 29).

Thaiderces tuoyuan Li & Chang, sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/66DE60D1-CCC5-47AE-91A2-09498FDBA3AB Figs 1D, 2F, 16, 17, 28B, 29

Types. Holotype: \mathcal{J} (IZCAS), Thailand, Yala Province, Than To District, outside Krasaeng Cave, 02°11.9998'N, 101°11.5512'E, 86 m, 25.X.2015, Yao Z. **Paratype:** 1 \mathcal{Q} (IZCAS), same data as holotype.

Etymology. The species name is a noun in apposition derived from the Chinese pinyin *tuŏyuán* (oval) and refers to the ovoid shape of the bulb.

Diagnosis. *Thaiderces tuoyuan* sp. nov. is similar to *T. jian*, but males can be distinguished by the obovate bulb (vs. oblong bulb in *T. jian*), the position of the entire bulb is a mirror image of that of *T. jian* but theembolus arises from the opposite position in the two species; females can be distinguished by having one pair of spermathecae (vs. two pairs in *T. jian*).

Description. Male (holotype). Total length 1.40; carapace 0.54 long, 0.55 wide; abdomen 0.86 long, 0.54 wide. Carapace round and brown, with three longitudinal dark brown bands of nearly equal width (Fig. 16C). Chelicerae brown (Fig. 28B). Clypeus dark brown medially and light brown laterally. Endites pale yellow. Labium dark brown. Sternum dark brown, with median pale yellow band delimitating the two halves of the sternum. Abdomen elongated, dorsum with light brown stripes posteriorly (Fig. 16C), anteroventrally with a brown semi-circle, posterior part with pair of pale yellow vertical dotted lines laterally and a V-shaped mark medially. Legs uniformly brown; measurements: I–III missing, IV 5.38 (1.38, 0.20, 1.60, 1.40, 0.80). Palp (Fig. 17A–D): femur four times longer than patella; patella not swollen, tibia 2/3 the length of femur; cymbium scattered with concentrated purplish spots, half the length of femur; bulb light yellow, obovate with embolus arising distally; embolus short and curved, 1/3 the length of the bulb (Fig. 17C, D).

Female (Paratype). General features and coloration similar to that of male (Fig. 16D, E). Measurements: total length 1.62; carapace 0.50 long, 0.62 wide; abdomen 1.12 long, 0.70 wide. Leg measurements: I 4.30 (1.09, 0.20, 1.30, 1.09, 0.62), II missing, III 3.46 (0.80, 0.16, 0.94, 0.93, 0.63), IV 5.47 (1.25, 0.16, 1.56, 1.41, 1.09). Endogyne: a pair of twisted spermathecae, opposing one another, blunt distal parts 3 times wider than the width of stalks, stalk 2 times longer than the head (Fig. 16A).



Figure 16. *Thaiderces tuoyuan* sp. nov., male holotype and female paratype **A** endogyne, dorsal view **B** female epigastric area, ventral view **C** male habitus, dorsal view **D** female habitus, dorsal view **E** female habitus, ventral view. Abbreviation: SP, spermatheca.



Figure 17. *Thaiderces tuoyuan* sp. nov., male holotype **A** palp, ventral view **B** palpal bulb, ventral view **C** palp, prolateral view **D** palp, retrolateral view. Abbreviation: EM, embolus.



Figure 18. *Thaiderces fengniao* sp. nov., male holotype and female paratype **A** endogyne, dorsal view **B** female epigastric area, ventral view **C** male habitus, dorsal view **D** female habitus, dorsal view **E** female habitus, ventral view. Abbreviation: SP, spermatheca.

http://zoobank.org/79BB2169-2674-4888-BF44-B77A406644F5 Figs 1F, 2D, 18, 19, 27D, 29

Types. Holotype: ♂ (IZCAS), Thailand, Kanchanaburi Province, Sai Yok District, Wang Krachae Subdistrict, unnamed Cave, 14°12.127'N, 99°01.195'E, 438 m, 1.XI.2014, Zhao H., Li Y., Chen Z. **Paratype:** 1♀ (IZCAS), same data as holotype.

Etymology. The species name is a noun in apposition derived from the Chinese pinyin *fēngniǎo* (hummingbird) and refers to the entire structure of the bulb, including the embolic stalk and laminar apophysis, resembling the head of a hummingbird.

Diagnosis. Thaiderces fengniao sp. nov. is similar to T. haima sp. nov. but can be distinguished by a lighter color of pale yellow and purplish pattern as a whole (vs. rather darker color of brown pattern as a whole in T. haima sp. nov.), the embolic stubble is divided into two rows (Fig. 19C) (vs. the embolic stubble is undivided in T. haima sp. nov.), presence of laminar apophysis adjacent to embolus (Fig. 19C) (vs. the absence of laminar apophysis in T. haima sp. nov.), and the tibia is 2/3 the length of femur (vs. tibia almost equal to the length of femur in T. haima sp. nov.); females can be distinguished by a pair of short tubular spermathecae (vs. a pair of circular doublet spermathecae in T. haima sp. nov.).

Description. Male (holotype). Total length 1.70; carapace 0.80 long, 0.78 wide; abdomen 0.90 long, 0.50 wide. Carapace round and brown, with 3 longitudinal dark brown bands, lateral bands four times wider than the median band (Fig. 18C). Chelicerae brown (Fig. 27D). Clypeus purplish medially and light brown laterally. Endites pale yellow. Labium brown. Sternum with purplish pattern. Abdomen elongated, dorsum with indistinct purplish pattern, posterior with dark purple stripes, ventrum with indistinct brown pattern. Legs uniformly brown; measurements: I 7.18 (1.87, 0.25, 2.18, 1.88, 1.00), II missing, III 5.72 (1.60, 0.25, 1.62, 1.50, 0.75), IV 9.63 (2.60, 0.31, 2.81, 2.66, 1.25). Palp (Fig. 19A–D): femur four times longer than patella; patella not swollen, tibia 2/3 the length of femur; cymbium lightly scattered with purplish spots, 1/3 the length of the femur; bulb light yellow, lanceolate with bent distal part, presence of two rows of embolic stubble on distal part of embolic stalk, embolic stalk half the width of bulb, embolus short, arising distally, with laminar apophysis adjacent to embolus, greatly resembles the head of hummingbird with the distinct beak (Fig. 19C, D).

Female (Paratype). General features and coloration similar to that of male (Fig. 18D–E). Measurements: total length 1.85; carapace 0.75 long, 0.60 wide; abdomen 1.10 long, 1.00 wide. Leg measurements: I 6.80 (1.80, 0.25, 2.00, 1.75, 1.00), II 5.26 (1.40, 0.20, 1.56, 1.30, 0.80), III 4.35 (1.28, 0.20, 1.12, 1.13, 0.62), IV 6.18 (1.56, 0.20, 1.80, 1.62, 1.00). Endogyne: a pair of short, tubular spermathecae bent towards each other, with a length 2 times the width, ratio of interdistance between spermatheca and the width of spermatheca 1 : 3.75 (Fig. 18A).



Figure 19. *Thaiderces fengniao* sp. nov., male holotype **A** palp, ventral view **B** palpal bulb, ventral view **C** palp, prolateral view **D** palp, retrolateral view. Abbreviations: EM, embolus, LA, laminal apophysis, ST, stubble.



Figure 20. *Thaiderces haima* sp. nov., male holotype and female paratype **A** endogyne, dorsal view **B** female epigastric area, ventral view **C** male habitus, dorsal view **D** female habitus, dorsal view **E** female habitus, ventral view. Abbreviation: SP, spermatheca.



Figure 21. *Thaiderces haima* sp. nov., male holotype **A** palp, ventral view **B** palpal bulb, ventral view **C** palp, prolateral view **D** palp, retrolateral view. Abbreviations: EM, embolus, ST, stubble.

Thaiderces haima Li & Chang, sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/91D1E11C-CF9D-48FB-86D0-7720F2ACA4B8 Figs 1L, 2I, 20, 21, 28C, 29

Types. Holotype: ∂ (IZCAS), Thailand, Tak Province, Uaphang District, Umphang Subdistrict, Huai Lao Poo Cave, 15°57.680'N, 98°52.510'E, 534 m, 16.XI.2016, Zhao H., Li Y., Chen Z. **Paratype:** 1♀ (IZCAS), same data as holotype.

Etymology. The species name is a noun in apposition derived from the Chinese pinyin hăIimă (seahorse) and refers to the distal bending of bulb that resembles the head of a seahorse.

Diagnosis. See diagnosis of T. fengniao sp. nov.

Description. Male (holotype). Total length 1.80; carapace 0.60 long, 0.70 wide; abdomen 1.20 long, 0.90 wide. Carapace round and brown, with three longitudinal dark brown bands, lateral bands two times wider than the median band (Fig. 20C). Chelicerae brown (Fig. 28C). Clypeus dark brown medially and light brown laterally. Endites light brown. Labium dark brown. Sternum with dark brown pattern. Abdomen elongated, dorsum with indistinct brown pattern, posterior with brown stripes, anteroventrally with dark brown semi-circle, posterior part with pair of pale yellow vertical dotted lines laterally and a funnel-shaped mark medially. Legs uniformly brown; measurements: I missing, III missing, III 4.78 (1.28, 0.25, 1.38, 1.25, 0.62), IV 7.12 (1.75, 0.31, 2.12, 2.00, 0.94). Palp (Fig. 21A–D): femur 3 times longer than patella; patella not swollen, tibia almost equal in length to femur; cymbium scattered with purplish spots anteriorly, 1/4 the length of femur; bulb brown, lanceolate with bent distal part, presence of embolic stubble on embolic stalk, embolic stalk 1/3 the width of the bulb, embolus short, arises distally, resembles the head of a seahorse (Fig. 21A).

Female (paratype). General features and coloration similar to that of the male (Fig. 20D, E). Measurements: total length 1.60; carapace 0.50 long, 0.63 wide; abdomen 1.10 long, 0.70 wide. Leg measurements: I missing, II 4.29 (1.09, 0.16, 1.25, 1.09, 0.70), III 4.92 (1.25, 0.16, 1.41, 1.30, 0.80), IV missing. Endogyne: two pairs of circular spermathecae, lateral spermathecae embedded with ovoid duct (Fig. 20A).

Distribution. Known only from the type locality (Fig. 29).

Thaiderces chujiao Li & Chang, sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/3BA4354E-FE20-4DAE-850F-6082A9443508 Figs 22, 28A, 29

Types. Holotype: ♀ (IZCAS), Thailand, Chiangmai Province, Mae Cham District, Jeep track, 18°31.677'N, 98°29.963'E, 1649 m, 14.X.2014, Zhao H., Li Y., Chen Z.

Etymology. The species name is a noun in apposition derived from the Chinese pinyin *chùjiǎo* (antenna) and refers to the structure of the spermathecae that resembles two pairs of clavate antennae (Fig. 22A).



Figure 22. *Thaiderces chujiao* sp. nov., female paratype **A** endogyne, dorsal view **B** epigastric area, ventral view **C** habitus, dorsal view **D** habitus, ventral view. Abbreviation: SP, spermatheca.

Diagnosis. *Thaiderces chujiao* sp. nov. can be distinguished from other species by the two pairs of tubular spermathecae resembling two pairs of clavate antennae, lateral pair half the length of the median pair (Fig. 22A) (vs. circular spermathecae with with ovoid duct in *T. haima* sp. nov.).

Description. Female (holotype). Total length 1.62; carapace 0.60 long, 0.70 wide; abdomen 1.02 long, 0.60 wide. Carapace round and brown, with three longitudinal dark brown bands, lateral bands three times wider than the median band. Chelicerae brown (Fig. 28A). Clypeus dark brown medially and light brown laterally. Endites brown. Labium dark brown. Sternum with dark brown pattern. Abdomen elongated, with dark brown pattern (Fig. 22C), anteroventrally with semi-circular light brown epigastric area medially, posterior part with pair of light brown vertical dotted lines laterally and a V-shaped mark medially (Fig. 22D). Legs uniformly brown; measurements: I 4.84 (1.25, 0.31, 1.41, 1.09, 0.78), II 3.87 (1.00, 0.20, 1.10, 0.94, 0.63), III 3.31 (0.88, 0.25, 0.88, 0.80, 0.50), IV 4.46 (1.09, 0.25, 1.25, 1.12, 0.75). Endogyne: two pairs of tubular spermathecae, lateral pair half the length of the median pair, median pair curved and opposing one another, half the width of lateral pair (Fig. 22A).

Male. Unknown.

Distribution. Known only from the type locality (Fig. 29).

Thaiderces thamphadaengensis Li & Chang, sp. nov. http://zoobank.org/30F678E7-EC6E-4B95-8418-4CDCCF8730A8 Figs 1I, 2K, 23, 24, 28D, 29

Types. Holotype: ♂ (IZCAS), Thailand, Mae Hong Muang Province, Muang District, Mok Jumpae Subdistrict, Tham PhaDaeng Cave, 19°25.395'N, 97°59.057'E, 293 m, 21.XI.2016, Zhao H., Li Y., Chen Z. **Paratype:** 1♀ (IZCAS), same data as holotype.

Etymology. The species name is an adjective referring to the type locality.

Diagnosis. *Thaiderces thamphadaengensis* sp. nov. can be distinguished from other species of the genus by the distinct long, flat and tapered embolic stalk (vs. embolic stalk not flat and tapered in other congeners); females can be distinguished by two pairs of spermathecae, lateral pair with short stalks, median pair circular (vs. one pair of similar spermathecae, tubular or twisted spermathecae in other congeners).

Description. Male (holotype). Total length 1.30; carapace 0.60 long, 0.59 wide; abdomen 0.70 long, 0.44 wide. Carapace round and brown, with three longitudinal dark brown bands, lateral bands three times wider than the median band (Fig. 23C). Chelicerae brown (Fig. 28D). Clypeus dark brown medially and light brown laterally. Endites brown. Labium dark brown. Sternum with dark brown pattern, delimiting an inverted triangle medially. Abdomen elongated, dorsum with indistinct brown pattern, posterior with brown stripes, anteroventrally with dark brown semi-circle, posterior with pair of pale yellow vertical dotted lines laterally. Legs uniformly brown; measurements: I missing, II 4.45 (1.25, 0.20, 1.25, 1.00, 0.75), III 3.70 (1.00, 0.20, 1.01,



Figure 23. *Thaiderces thamphadaengensis* sp. nov., male holotype and female paratype **A** endogyne, dorsal view **B** female epigastric area, ventral view **C** male habitus, dorsal view **D** female habitus, dorsal view **E** female habitus, ventral view. Abbreviation: SP, spermatheca.



Figure 24. *Thaiderces thamphadaengensis* sp. nov., male holotype **A** palp, ventral view **B** palpal bulb, ventral view **C** palp, prolateral view **D** palp, retrolateral view. Abbreviation: EM, embolus.

0.94, 0.55), IV 5.66 (1.40, 0.25, 1.63, 1.50, 0.88). Palp (Fig. 24A–D): femur 5 times longer than patella; patella not swollen, tibia 2/3 the length of femur; cymbium with dark brown spots anteriorly, 1/3 the length of femur; bulb pale yellow, spatulate, with elongated, tapered embolic stalk, embolic stalk half the width of bulb, embolus short, arises distally from bulb (Fig. 24A).

Female (paratype). General features and coloration similar to that of male (Fig. 23D, E). Measurements: total length 1.60; carapace 0.50 long, 0.62 wide; abdomen 1.10 long, 0.70 wide. Leg measurements: I missing, II 3.99 (1.09, 0.25, 1.12, 0.90, 0.63), III 3.38 (0.94, 0.20, 0.87, 0.87, 0.50), IV 4.63 (1.09, 0.16, 1.38, 1.25, 0.75). Endogyne: two pairs of spermathecae, lateral pair with short stalks bearing a globose distal part, head two times longer and wider than stalk, median pair circular, ratio of the interdistance of lateral pair to interdistance of median pair 1 : 1.50 (Fig. 23A).

Distribution. Known only from the type locality (Fig. 29).

Thaiderces thamphrikensis Li & Chang, sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/9AA13536-78A0-4B01-911C-D493339AAB9A Figs 1K, 2C, 25, 26, 27A, 29

Types. Holotype: ♂ (IZCAS), Thailand, Phitsanulok Province, Nakhothai District, Ban Tham Phrik Village, Tham Phrik Cave, 16°55.024'N, 100°42.173'E, 610 m, 17.X.2014, Zhao H., Li Y., Chen Z. **Paratype:** 1♀ (IZCAS), same data as holotype.

Etymology. The species name is an adjective referring to the type locality.

Diagnosis. Thaiderces thamphrikensis sp. nov. is similar to T. vulgaris but can be distinguished by a short embolus (embolus tip does not exceed the perimeter or bulb) (Fig. 26C) (vs. long embolus in T. vulgaris (embolus tip exceeds perimeter of bulb)), aligned arrangement of embolic stubble on embolic stalk (vs. embolic stubble divided into two rows in T. vulgaris), and palpal tibia is longer than the entire bulb (vs. palpal tibia is shorter than the entire bulb); females can be distinguished by a pair of tubular spermathecae laterally, connected with wavy horizontal ducts medially (vs. two pairs of spermathecae with lateral pair shorter than median pair).

Description. Male (holotype). Total length 1.62; carapace 0.62 long, 0.70 wide; abdomen 1.00 long, 0.55 wide. Carapace round and brown, with three longitudinal dark brown bands, lateral bands almost equally wide with the median band (Fig. 25C). Chelicerae brown (Fig. 27A). Clypeus dark brown medially and light brown laterally. Endites brown. Labium dark brown. Sternum with dark brown pattern, delimiting an inverted triangle medially. Abdomen elongated, dorsum with dark brown striped pattern, posterior with brown stripes, anteroventrally dark brown with pair of light brown kidney-shaped marks, followed by a horizontal, linear brown pattern medially, posterior part with pair of light brown vertical dotted lines laterally. Legs uniformly brown; measurements: I–II missing, III 3.50 (0.25, 0.25, 1.30, 1.10, 0.60), IV missing. Palp (Fig. 26A–D): femur 4 times longer than patella; patella not swollen, tibia almost equal



Figure 25. *Thaiderces thamphrikensis* sp. nov., male holotype and female paratype **A** endogyne, dorsal view **B** female epigastric area, ventral view **C** male habitus, dorsal view **D** female habitus, dorsal view **E** female habitus, ventral view. Abbreviation: SP, spermatheca.



Figure 26. *Thaiderces thamphrikensis* sp. nov., male holotype **A** palp, ventral view **B** palpal bulb, ventral view **C** palp, prolateral view **D** palp, retrolateral view. Abbreviations: EM, embolus, ST, stubble.



Figure 27. Chelicerale retromargin, posterior view A *Thaiderces thamphrikensis* sp. nov. B *T. yancong* sp. nov. C *T. shuzi* sp. nov. D *T. fengniao* sp. nov. E *T. peterjaegeri* sp. nov. F *T. ngalauindahensis* sp. nov. G *T. zuichun* sp. nov. H *T. miantiao* sp. nov. I *T. jiazi* sp. nov. Abbreviations: CL, cheliceral lamina, RT, retromarginal teeth.



Figure 28. Chelicerae, posterior view A *Thaiderces chujiao* sp. nov. B *T. tuoyuan* sp. nov. C *T. haima* sp. nov. D *T. thamphadaengensis* sp. nov. E *T. ganlan* sp. nov. Abbreviations: CL, cheliceral laminal, RT, retromargin teeth.

in length to femur, swollen; cymbium scattered with dark brown spots, 1/3 the length of femur; bulb pale yellow, pyriform, with a patch of aligned embolic stubble distally; embolus short and bent, arises distally.

Female (paratype). General features and coloration similar to that of male (Fig. 25D, E). Measurements: total length 2.60; carapace 1.40 long, 0.62 wide; abdomen 1.20 long, 0.87 wide. Leg measurements: I 5.40 (1.40, 0.20, 1.60, 1.40, 0.80), II 4.11 (1.00, 0.20, 1.20, 1.09, 0.62), III 4.74 (2.40, 0.15, 0.94, 0.78, 0.47), IV 5.13 (1.25, 0.16, 1.56, 1.41, 0.75). Endogyne: a pair of tubular spermathecae and connected with wavy horizontal ducts medially, lateral spermathecae seven times thinner than the width of the horizontal ducts (Fig. 25A).


Figure 29. Distribution of *Thaiderces* species in Southeast Asia. 1 *T. thamphrikensis* sp. nov. 2 *T. yang*cong sp. nov. 3 *T. shuzi* sp. nov. 4 *T. fengniao* sp. nov. 5 *T. peterjaegeri* sp. nov. 6 *T. ngalauindahensis* sp. nov. 7 *T. zuichun* sp. nov. 8 *T. jiazi* sp. nov. 9 *T. chujiao* sp. nov. 10 *T. tuoyuan* sp. nov. 11 *T. haima* sp. nov. 12 *T. thamphadaengensis* sp. nov. 13 *T. ganlan* sp. nov. 14 *T. miantiao* sp. nov. 15 *T. jian* 16 *T. vul*garis. Two species not included: *T. rimbu*, *T. djojosudharmoi*.

Thaiderces rimbu (Deeleman-Reinhold, 1995), comb. nov.

Psiloderces rimbu Deeleman-Reinhold, 1995: 25, figs 54, 55.

Description. Described by Deeleman-Reinhold (1995). Diagnostic features are discussed under *T. ngalauindahensis* sp. nov.

Distribution. Indonesia.

Remarks. This species is transferred to *Thaiderces* due to the similarity of somatic morphology and diagnostic features of the type species of the genus.

Thaiderces djojosudharmoi (Deeleman-Reinhold, 1995), comb. nov.

Psiloderces djojosudharmoi Deeleman-Reinhold, 1995: 21, figs 38-42.

Description. Described by Deeleman-Reinhold (1995). Diagnostic features are discussed under *T. yangcong* sp. nov.

Distribution. Indonesia.

Remarks. The somatic morphology and diagnostic features are similar to the type species of the genus; therefore, we transfer it to *Thaiderces*.

Acknowledgements

The manuscript benefited greatly from comments by Yuri M. Marusik (Magadan, Russia), and anonymous reviewers. Sarah Crews (San Francisco, USA) kindly checked the language. Peter Jäger (Frankfurt am Main, Germany) collected *Thaiderces peterjaegeri* sp. nov. which is named in his honor. This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China to Shuqiang Li (NSFC-31530067).

References

- Deeleman-Reinhold CL (1995) The Ochyroceratidae of the Indo-Pacific region (Araneae). The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology 2(Suppl.): 1–103.
- Li F, Li S, Jäger P (2014) Six new species of the spider family Ochyroceratidae Fage, 1912 (Arachnida: Aranae) from Southeast Asia. Zootaxa 3768(2): 119–138. https://doi. org/10.11646/zootaxa.3768.2.2
- Li S, Quan R (2017) Taxonomy is the cornerstone of biodiversity conservation SEABRI reports on biological surveys in Southeast Asia. Zoological Research 38(5): 213–214. https://doi.org/10.24272/j.issn.2095-8137.2017.061
- Liu C, Li F, Li S, Zheng G (2017) Five new genera of the subfamily Psilodercinae (Araneae: Ochyroceratidae) from Southeast Asia. Zoological Systematics 42(4): 395–417.
- Tong Y, Li S (2007) First records of the family Ochyroceratidae (Arachnida: Araneae) from China, with descriptions of a new genus and eight new species. The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology 55: 63–76.
- World Spider Catalog (2019) World Spider Catalog, version 20.0. Natural History Museum Bern. http://wsc.nmbe.ch [accessed 01 April 2019]
- Wunderlich J (2004) Fossil spiders (Araneae) of the superfamily Dysderoidea in Baltic and Dominican amber, with revised family diagnoses. Beiträge zur Araneologie 3: 633–746.
- Wunderlich J (2008) The dominance of ancient spider families of the Araneae: Haplogyne in the Cretaceous, and the late diversification of advanced ecribellate spiders of the Entelegynae after the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary extinction events, with descriptions of new families. Beiträge zur Araneologie 5: 524–674, 802–813.

RESEARCH ARTICLE



A revision of the geographical distributions of the shrews Crocidura tanakae and C. attenuata based on genetic species identification in the mainland of China

Yaoyao Li¹, Haotian Li¹, Masaharu Motokawa², Yi Wu³, Masashi Harada⁴, Huimei Sun¹, Xinmin Mo¹, Jing Wang¹, Yuchun Li¹

I Marine College, Shandong University (Weihai), Weihai 264209, China 2 The Kyoto University Museum, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan 3 School of Life Sciences, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006, China 4 Laboratory Animal Center, Osaka City University, Osaka 545-8585, Japan

Corresponding author: Yuchun Li (li_yuchun@sdu.edu.cn)

Academic editor: Jesus Maldor	ado Received 14 February 2019	Accepted 9 July 2019	Published 5 August 2019
http			

Citation: Li Y, Li H, Motokawa M, Wu Y, Harada M, Sun H, Mo X, Wang J, Li Y (2019) A revision of the geographical distributions of the shrews *Crocidura tanakae* and *C. attenuata* based on genetic species identification in the mainland of China. ZooKeys 869: 147–160. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.869.33858

Abstract

The Taiwanese gray shrew (*Crocidura tanakae*) and Asian gray shrew (*C. attenuata*) are so similar in size and morphology that the taxonomic status of the former has changed several times since its description; *C. tanakae* has also been regarded as an endemic species of Taiwan Island. In recent years, molecular identification has led to several reports of *C. tanakae* being distributed in the mainland of China. In this study, we determine the geographical distribution of *C. attenuata* and *C. tanakae* based on more than one hundred specimens collected during 2000 to 2018 over a wide area covering the traditional ranges of the two species in the mainland of China, and show a substantial revision of their distributions. Among 110 individuals, 33 *C. attenuata* and 77 *C. tanakae* were identified by *Cytb* gene and morphologies. Our results show, (1) *C. attenuata* and *C. tanakae* are distributed sympatrically in the mainland of China; (2) contrary to the previous reports, the distribution range of *C. attenuata* is restricted and much smaller than that of *C. tanakae* in the mainland of China; (3) Hainan Island, like Taiwan Island, is inhabited by *C. tanakae* only according to the present data.

Keywords

Crocidura attenuata, Crocidura tanakae, geographical distribution, mainland of China, Taiwan Island

Copyright Yaoyao Li et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

The Taiwanese gray shrew (*Crocidura tanakae* Kuroda, 1938) and Asian gray shrew (*C. at-tenuata* Milne Edwards, 1872) are distinct species with very similar morphological characters and measurements, such that the taxonomic status of *C. tanakae* has been changed several times by taxonomists. *Crocidura tanakae* was originally described from Taiwan as a new species by Kuroda (1938); however, because it could not be distinguished from *C. attenuata* in morphological characters and measurements, *C. tanakae* was thereafter regarded as a synonym or subspecies, *C. a. tanakae* by many authors (Ellerman and Morrison-Scott 1951; Jameson and Jones 1977; Corbet and Hill 1992; Hutterer 1993; Fang et al. 1997;). Motokawa et al. (2001) recognized the distinct taxonomic position of *C. tanakae* by chromosomal data, and regarded it as the endemic species of Taiwan Island.

In recent years, the application of molecular identification techniques led to reports of *C. tanakae* populating the mainland of China. Esselstyn et al. (2009) and Esselstyn and Oliveros (2010) genetically identified specimens collected in Vietnam and the Hunan and Guizhou Provinces of China and found most of their specimens belonged to *C. tanakae*; only a few were attributed to *C. attenuata*. Bannikova et al. (2011) and Abramov et al. (2012) reported that *C. tanakae* was also found in Vietnam and Laos, and it was a wide-spread species in Vietnam, whereas *C. attenuata* inhabited only the north and east of the Red River; Chinese scientists recently reported *C. tanakae* was collected from the mainland of China including Mount Emei of Sichuan Province, Mount Fanjing of Guizhou Province, Pingbian and Funing of Yunnan Province and Xingshan of Hubei Province (Cheng et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2018; Lei et al. 2019). However, these reports only provided the data for several distribution areas and were not sufficient to generalise the overall distributions of the two species in the mainland of China. The current IUCN distribution maps of *C. attenuata* and *C. tanakae* presented in Figure 1 are revised by this study.

We accumulated more than one hundred specimens from 19 areas of *C. attenuata* and *C. tanakae* in our field surveys in the mainland of China from 2000 to 2018, which expands the previous distributions from the aforementioned reports from a few localities. A re-evaluation of geographical distributions of the two species is important to a range of studies and practical needs, such as zoogeography, geophylogeny, agriculture animal management, health and epidemic prevention. Here we report the wide geographical distributions of *C. attenuata* and *C. tanakae* in the mainland of China.

Materials and methods

Samples and DNA sequencing

A total of 110 specimens of the *C. attenuata* complex were collected from 11 provinces in the mainland of China including Anhui (2 specimens), Fujian (4), Guangdong (23), Guangxi (4), Hubei (4), Hunan (3), Jiangxi (13), Sichuan (Chongqing is included) (30), Yunnan (1) and Zhejiang (23) as well as the Hainan Island (2) using Sherman live





Figure 1. Distributions of *Crocidura attenuata* and *C. tanakae* by the IUCN.

cages during 18 years from Aug. 2000 to Sep. 2018. The geographical position (longitude and latitude) of each specimen was recorded by GPS (Suppl. material 1, Table S1). All specimens including pelt, carcass and skulls were kept in Shandong University (Weihai) and Guangzhou University.

DNA was extracted from muscle samples using the Ezup Column Animal Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). The complete mitochondrial cytochrome *b* gene (*Cytb*, 1140 bp) was amplified by PCR with the primers as in Irwin et al. (1991). Primers Nivicob1 (5'-TGTCATTATTTCTACACAGCACT-TA-3') and Nivicob2 (5'-TTTGGGTGTTGATGGTGGG-3') were used for amplification of the whole *Cytb* gene. PCR reactions were 25 μ L, containing 0.25 μ M primers, 2×EasyTap PCR Supermix 12.5 μ L, and approximately 15 ng DNA template. The thermocycling protocol was as follows: an initial denaturation of 5 min at 95 °C; 32 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, annealing temperature (Tm) for 30 s, 72 °C for 1 min; a final extension of 10 min at 72 °C. PCR products were directly sequenced by Sanger sequencing technique.

Phylogenetic analyses

Cytb gene sequences were aligned using BioEdit v.7.2.5 (Hall 1999). Each specimen was molecularly identified for species by blasting on GenBank and confirmed by ML (maximum likelihood) phylogenetic tree construction in MEGA 5 (Tamura et al. 2011) based on TN93+G model. We used the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) in jModeltest1.0 (Posada 2008) to select the best-fit model of sequence evolution for the locus alignment. The bootstraps were obtained using a rapid bootstrapping algorithm with 1000 replicates. We calculated the genetic distance of Kimura-2-parameter (K2P) of *Cytb* between the two species.

We also included *Cytb* sequence data from several earlier studies (Ohdachi et al. 2004, 2006; Bannikova et al. 2006, 2009, 2011; Jenkins et al. 2009, 2013; Esselstyn and Oliveros, 2010; Abramov et al. 2012; Chen et al. 2016) to place the shrews from type locality and Vietnam into a phylogenetic context, the sequence information was showed in Suppl. material 1, Table S2. *Suncus murinus* was selected as outgroup (Suppl. material 1, Table S2). GenBank accession numbers for the original sequences used in this study were MK765682-MK765791 (Suppl. material 1, Table S1).

Morphological analyses

In order to attribute these genetic lineages to taxonomically correct species names, we photographed the dorsal, ventral, lateral of skull and lateral view of the mandible of *C. attenuata* from type locality – Baoxing (Moupin), Sichuan – and also photographed the corresponding teeth, and marked the characteristic features on the pictures for this species. We repeated the same procedure with the only sample of *C. tanakae* from the same locality (Baoxing) for interspecific comparisons.

We conducted a morphological investigation of the specimens sampled to identify the two species by determining three external measurements: total body length (TBL), head and body length (HBL), ear length (EL); and 10 skull measurements: greatest length of skull (GLS), cranial base length (GBL), median palatal length (MPL), length of teeth row (LUTR), greatest palatal breadth (GPB), breadth of occipital condyles (BOC), greatest breath of braincase (BBC), interorbital breadth (IOB), height of the braincase (HB), length of mandible (LM) according to Yang et al. (2005, 2007) and Jenkins et al. (2009). The measurements of the skull indices were performed with a digital vernier caliper (0.01 mm). Juveniles and sub-adults were excluded from the analysis according to the complete fusion of cranial sutures (Motokawa et al. 1997, 2003), and by making a histogram of the HBL as an indicator for age identification of small mammals (Li et al. 1989, 1990; Yang 1990).

We calculated the mean and standard deviation of external and skull morphological indices. The pairwise differences between the two species were tested by independent sample *t*-tests or Mann-Whitney *U* tests according to results of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for their normality of distribution. Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to test the general appropriateness of the groupings supplied by assessment of overall variation in the skull characters. These analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics 24.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

We obtained 1140 bp of mitochondrial DNA sequences from 110 individuals in this study. The ML tree indicated that the specimens we collected were divided into two lineages, one was clustered with the *C. attenuata* download from GenBank which was distributed in its type locality, i.e., Baoxing of Sichuan Province, and the other was clustered with the *C. tanakae* download from GenBank which was exclusively distributed in its type locality, i.e., Taiwan Island (Fig. 2). K2P distance of *Cytb* between these two lineages was 12.3%. Together with the results of blasting on GenBank, a total of 33 specimens of *C. attenuata* lineage and 77 specimens of *C. tanakae* lineage collected in this study were genetically identified by *Cytb*, and their distribution localities plotted in Figure 3. Also, the distribution localities of *C. tanakae* recently reported in the mainland of China were added to the figure.

By investigating our samples of *C. attenuata* lineage from Baoxing, Sichuan, we found some morphological features correlated with the holotype: the superior articular facets are more angular in dorsal view and the basioccipital region is narrow and ridged particularly anterior to the position of the basioccipital suture in *C. attenuata* (Fig. 4). On the upper premolar (P^4) the protocone is variably positioned relative to the paracone; the posterolingual border of the tooth is not so rounded; and the posterior border of the tooth is deeply concave. The posterobuccal crest of the paracone of the second upper molar (M^2) forms a smooth W-shaped loph in unworn dentition (Fig. 5).

A total of 90 adult individuals were screened by age identification including 26 *C. attenuata* and 64 *C. tanakae*. The external and two skull measurements (BOC and



Figure 2. ML tree based on *Cytb* of *Crocidura* genus. Numbers above the branches represent bootstrap support (BS). The blue clade represents *C. tanakae* and orange clade represents *C. attenuata*.



Figure 3. Distributions of *Crocidura attenuata* and *C. tanakae* in the mainland of China, Laos and Vietnam. Black and white triangles represent the sampled sites of *C. tanakae* first presented in this study and in previous studies, respectively. White circles and squares represent the sampled sites of *C. attenuata* first presented in this study and in previous studies. Black triangles and white circles overlapped indicate sympatry sites.

GPB) were judged as a non-normal distribution by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (P<0.05), so we used the Mann-Whitney UTest for interspecific comparisons; for the others with normal distribution (P>0.05) the parametric independent sample *t*-test was used (Suppl. material 1, Table S3). Descriptive statistics for external and craniodental measurements of the two species and literature measurements (including holotype) are given in Table 1; they were basically consistent with the variation range and limits recorded in the literature except for IOB. Crocidura attenuata was a little larger than C. tanakae in GBL, MPL and BBC. Although there existed significant differences (P<0.05) in some morphological indices between the two species (Table 2), their range of measurements greatly overlapped. In the PCA made on external and skull measurements, three principal components were extracted and captured 70.07% of the total variation. Five indices, GBL, GLS, LUTR, BBC and LM, were the top five with the highest correlations with the first axis (PC1, Table 3). The sample distributions over the scatter plot in coordinate area constructed by first two principal component axes showed a great overlap between the two species in external and skull indices (Fig. 6), indicating that morphological indices cannot accurately identify the two species.



Figure 4. Comparison of crania of *Crocidura attenuata* (S2576) and *Crocidura tanakae* (S2566) from Baoxing, Sichuan. Top row from left to right: dorsal views of the skulls of *C. attenuata* and *C. tanakae* (S2576 andS2566), ventral views of the skulls in the same order. Lower row: lateral view of skulls and mandibles from top to bottom of *C. attenuata* and *C. tanakae* (S2576 and S2566).



Figure 5. Comparison of teeth of *Crocidura attenuata* (left: S2576) and *Crocidura tanakae* (right: S2566) from Baoxing, Sichuan.

Morphological	Crocidura attenuata		Crocidura tanakae			
indices	This study	Jenkins et al. 2009 (including holotype)	This study	Kuroda 1938 (Holotype)	Fang et al. 1997	Motokawa et al. 2001
Total Body Length	133.92±6.22	-	132.95±6.84	-	-	-
(TBL)	120.00–144.00 (26)	_	115.00–148.00 (64)	-	_	_
Head and Body	77.96±3.54	71.40 ± 6.64	78.90 ± 5.42	66.00	77.90±3.60	73.36±6.67
Length (HBL)	71.00-87.00 (26)	63.00-82.00 (10)	70.00-95.00 (64)	-	69.70-86.00	64.5-84.5
Ear Length (EL)	8.32±1.05	-	8.79 ± 1.00	_	8.96±0.39	9.05 ± 0.91
	6.05-10.16 (26)	10.00 (2)	5.97-11.06 (63)	_	8.10-9.80	7.9-10.2
Greatest Length of	20.99±0.59	20.55±0.66	20.54 ± 0.61	20.00	$20.84{\pm}0.42$	$20.85 {\pm} 0.41$
Skull (GLS)	20.12-22.36 (23)	19.60-21.70 (9)	19.23-21.69 (61)	_	20.03-21.82	19.94-21.31
Cranial base Length	20.91±0.57	-	20.39 ± 0.61	-	$19.88{\pm}0.46$	-
(GBL)	20.04-22.26 (24)	-	18.85-21.68 (61)	-	19.06-20.73	-
Median palatal	9.07 ± 0.41	-	8.89 ± 0.31	-	-	-
Length (MPL)	8.35-10.13 (26)	-	8.14-9.61 (63)	-	-	-
Length of tooth row (LUTR)	9.36±0.26	8.72±0.38	9.16 ± 0.34	9.00	9.29±0.16	$9.33 {\pm} 0.19$
	8.97-9.96 (26)	8.20-9.50 (11)	8.19-9.91 (63)	_	8.73-9.62	8.87-9.54
Greatest palatal breadth (GPB)	6.25 ± 0.16	6.09 ± 0.22	6.32 ± 0.31	-	6.33±0.16	6.41 ± 0.13
	5.96-6.55 (26)	5.80-6.50 (11)	5.65-6.97 (64)	-	5.98-6.68	6.20-6.58
Breadth of Occipital Condyles (BOC)	5.20 ± 0.19	-	5.13 ± 0.23	-	-	-
	4.78-5.55 (22)	-	4.48-5.64 (60)	-	-	-
Greatest Breath of	9.59 ± 0.27	9.17 ± 0.24	9.26±0.32	-	9.23 ± 0.18	9.22 ± 0.22
Braincase (BBC)	8.98-10.25 (26)	8.70-9.40 (8)	8.57-10.02 (64)	-	8.00-9.66	8.87-9.50
Interorbital Breadth (IOB)	3.97±0.23	4.43 ± 0.18	3.79 ± 0.17	4.50	$4.50 {\pm} 0.10$	4.62 ± 0.10
	3.61-4.46 (26)	4.10-4.70 (9)	3.43-4.23 (64)	-	4.29-4.68	4.47-4.74
Height of the Brain- case (HB)	5.13 ± 0.14	4.91 ± 0.10	5.01 ± 0.15	-	-	-
	4.80-5.42 (26)	4.80-5.10 (8)	4.65-5.35 (64)	-	-	-
Length of mandible	10.01 ± 0.28	12.76 ± 0.62	9.85±0.33	-	$8.38 {\pm} 0.20$	-
(LM)	9.34–10.67 (26)	11.7–13.9 (11)	9.06-10.52 (64)	-	7.88-8.91	-

Table 1. External and cranial measurements of *C. attenuata* and *C. tanakae* (in millimeters). Mean \pm standard deviation (SD), and range, with number of specimens in parentheses are presented for characters available.



Figure 6. Scatter plot of *C. attenuata* (red) and *C. tanakae* (blue) sample distribution over PC1 and PC2 axes constructed based on external and skull morphological variables. Different symbols represent different populations. GD: Guangdong, FJ: Fujian, ZJ: Zhejiang, SCBX: Baoxing, Sichuan, HB: Hubei, SC: Sichuan, GX: Guangxi, AH: Anhui, HuN: Hunan, HN: Hainan, CQ: Chongqing, YN: Yunnan, JX: Jiangxi

Morphological indices	T test/Mann-Whitney U Test					
	F	Sig.	t	Df (N)	Z	Р
Total Body Length (TBL)				(90)	-0.687	0.492
Head and Body Length (HBL)				(90)	-0.478	0.633
Ear Length (EL)				(89)	-2.08	0.038
Greatest Length of Skull (GLS)	0.149	0.701	-3.045	82		0.003
Cranial base Length (GBL)	0.133	0.717	-3.541	83		0.001
Median palatal Length (MPL)	1.214	0.274	-2.263	87		0.02 6
Length of teeth row (LUTR)	1.174	0.282	-2.615	87		0.011
Greatest palatal breadth (GPB)				(90)	-1.077	0.281
Breadth of Occipital Condyles (BOC)				(82)	-1.256	0.209
Greatest Breath of Braincase (BBC)	1.416	0.237	-4.666	88		<0.001
Interorbital Breadth (IOB)	2.492	0.118	-4.143	88		<0.001
Height of the Braincase (HB)	1.131	0.29	-3.61	88		0.001
Length of mandible (LM)	2.766	0.1	2.195	88		0.031

Table 2. Morphological comparisons and significant difference between *C. attenuata* and *C. tanakae* in this study. Values in bold show significant differences.

Table 3. Principal component loadings as performed by analyses of 13 morphological measurements of *C. attenuata* and *C. tanakae*.

Variable	Component			
	PC1	PC2	PC3	
Total Body Length (TBL)	0.691	0.550	0.065	
Head and Body Length (HBL)	0.435	0.607	0.458	
Ear Length (EL)	0.101	0.706	-0.314	
Greatest Length of Skull (GLS)	0.948	-0.088	-0.062	
Cranial base Length (GBL)	0.966	-0.096	-0.055	
Median palatal Length (MPL)	0.815	0.041	-0.372	
Length of teeth row (LUTR)	0.867	-0.139	0.017	
Greatest palatal breadth (GPB)	0.566	0.138	0.458	
Breadth of Occipital Condyles (BOC)	0.545	-0.358	0.561	
Greatest Breath of Braincase (BBC)	0.837	-0.140	-0.022	
Interorbital Breadth (IOB)	0.470	0.035	-0.368	
Height of the Braincase (HB)	0.590	-0.223	-0.252	
Length of mandible (LM)	0.820	-0.126	-0.045	
% of total variance explained	49.876	11.087	9.110	
Eigenvalue	6.484	1.441	1.184	

Among the localities of our field surveys, *C. tanakae* was recorded at almost all sites investigated (Fig. 3), whereas *C. attenuata* was only found in the following six provinces: Sichuan Province (Baoxing), Fujian Province (Mount Wuyi), Hubei Province (Shennongjia), Guangdong Province (Nanling), Jiangxi Province (Mount Jinggang), and Zhejiang Province (Jinhua).

Discussion

This study indicates that *C. attenuata* and *C. tanakae* are sympatrically distributed not only in continental Indochina (Jenkins et al. 2009, 2013; Bannikova et al. 2011; Abramov et al. 2012) but also in the mainland of China. The distribution of *C. attenuata* is apparently limited to only two ranges, i.e., Baoxing of Sichuan to Shennongjia of Hubei and Nanling

of Guangdong to Jinhua of Zhejiang; the natural range of this species is much smaller than that of *C. tanakae* which is distributed almost all over the south of mainland China.

Note that the map of *C. attenuata* (Fig. 1, left) presented by the IUCN is erroneous due to the regular events of species misidentification of *C. tanakae* in the mainland of China. The IUCN map mistakenly shows the mixed distributions of both *C. attenuata* and *C. tanakae*; the presented distributions of *C. attenuata* in Taiwan and the Hainan Islands are erroneous for the same reason. For the distribution map of *C. tanakae* (Fig. 1, right), the range is not definitively established due to the few districts surveyed and information from more recent records has yet not to be included.

Based on morphological features we found among our samples and the results of its comparisons with type materials of *C. attenuata* and *C. tanakae* (Jenkins, et al., 2009, 2013), we consider that the specimens of the *C. attenuata* lineage should be attributed to *C. attenuata*, and the other lineage to *C. tanakae*. Wang (2003) divided *C. attenuata* into three subspecies in China, including the Himalayan subspecies (*C. a. rubricosa* Anderson, 1877) distributed in northwestern Yunnan (Gongshan), the South China subspecies (*C. a. attenuata* Milne-Edwards, 1872) distributed in other parts of mainland China and the Taiwan subspecies (*C. a. tanakae* Kuroda, 1938) distributed on Taiwan Island. It is clear that *C. a. tanakae* is actually a valid distinct species (Motokawa et al. 2001), but the other two subspecies still need taxonomical validation by detailed analysis to exclude the possibility of misidentification of *C. tanakae* specimens. Similarly, the same taxonomic challenge exists for *C. a. grisea* Howell, 1926, the subspecies are uncertain because the authors may well have wrongly included specimens of *C. tanakae* mixed with *C. attenuata* samples.

There are many research reports listing *C. attenuata* in the mainland of China. For example, Zhang et al. (1987) investigated *C. attenuata* (*attenuate* in original paper) as a host animal of epidemic hemorrhagic fever, Wu (2002) reported population density fluctuation in *C. attenuata*, and many reports on animal diversity and pathogen host studies involved *C. attenuata*. Gu et al. (2007) reported that epidemiologic surveillance on leptospirosis in the Anhui Province and the first discovery of a pathogenic strain in the renal of *C. attenuata*, Wu et al. (2008) made a preliminary comparative anatomical study of digestive tracts between *C. attenuata* and *Apodemus agrarius*. Because *C. tanakae* might have been taxonomically misidentified with *C. attenuata* in these reports, and our present study demonstrates that *C. tanakae* is much more widely distributed in the mainland of China, the species "*C. attenuata*" described in these reports may be in fact *C. tanakae* or at least contains *C. tanakae*, results of these studies therefore need re-evaluation.

Acknowledgements

This study is funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, 31672254, 31110103910). We thank students from Shandong University and Guangzhou University for their participation in field surveys.

References

- Abramov AV, Bannikova AA, Rozhnov VV (2012) White-toothed shrews (Mammalia, Soricomorpha, *Crocidura*) of coastal islands of Vietnam. ZooKeys (207): 37–47. https://doi. org/10.3897/zookeys.207.3237
- Bannikova AA, Lebedev VS, Kramerov DA, Zaitsev MV (2006) Phylogeny and systematics of the *Crocidura suaveolens* species group: corroboration and controversy between nuclear and mitochondrial DNA markers. Mammalia 70(1–2): 106–119. https://doi.org/10.1515/ mamm.70.1-2.106
- Bannikova A, Abramov A, Borisenko A, Lebedev V, Rozhnov V (2011) Mitochondrial diversity of the white-toothed shrews (Mammalia, Eulipotyphla, *Crocidura*) in Vietnam. Zootaxa 2812: 1–20. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.2812.1.1
- Chen G, Chen C, Fu C, Liu Y, Chen S, Yong B (2016) The complete mitogenome of Asian Gray Shrews, *Crocidura attenuata* (Soricidae). Mitochondrial DNA Part A 27(6): 3966– 3967. https://doi.org/10.3109/19401736.2014.989507
- Chen S, Zhang Q, Li F, Wang X, Wang Q, Liu S (2018) A new record of *Crocidura tanakae* Kuroda, 1938 in Sichuan and Guizhou provinces. Acta Theriologica Sinica 38(2): 211–216.
- Cheng F, Wan T, Chen Z, Narayan P, He K, Jiang X (2017) First records of Taiwanese gray shrew (*Crocidura tanakae*) in Yunnan province, China. Chinese Journal of Zoology 52(5): 865–869.
- Corbet G, Hill J (1992) The mammals of the Indomalayan region: a systematic review. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Ellerman J, Morrison-Scott T (1951) Checklist of Palaearctic and Indian Mammals, 1758 to 1946: British museum. British Museum, Natural History.
- Esselstyn J, Timm R, Brown R (2009) Do geological or climatic processes drive speciation in dynamic archipelagos? The tempo and mode of diversification in Southeast Asian shrews. Evolution 63(10): 2595–610. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00743.x
- Esselstyn J, Oliveros C (2010) Colonization of the Philippines from Taiwan: a multi-locus test of the biogeographic and phylogenetic relationships of isolated populations of shrews. Journal of Biogeography 37(8): 1504–1514. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2010.02295.x
- Fang Y, Lee L, Yew F, Yu H (1997) Systematics of white-toothed shrews (*Crocidura*) (Mammalia: Insectivora: Soricidae) of Taiwan: Karyological and morphological Studies. Journal of Zoology 242(1): 151–166. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1997.tb02936.x
- Gu L, Zhang Y, Hu Y, Wang J (2007) Epidemiologic surveillance on leptospirosis in Anhui Province and the first discovery of a pathogenic strain in the renal of *Crocidura attenuate*. Chinese Journal of Epidemiology 28(09): 929–930.
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser 41, 95–98.
- Hutterer R (1993) Order Insectivora. In: Mammal Species of the World (2nd edn). Smithsonian Institution Press, 69–130.
- Irwin DM, Kocher TD, Wilson AC (1991) Evolution of the cytochrome b gene of mammals. Journal of Molecular Evolution 32 (2): 128–144. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02515385
- Jameson E, Jones G (1977) The Soricidae of Taiwan. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 90(3): 459–482.

- Jenkins PD, Lunde DP, Moncrieff CB (2009) Descriptions of new species of *Crocidura* (Soricomorpha: Soricidae) from mainland Southeast Asia, with synopses of previously described species and remarks on biogeography. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 331: 356–405. https://doi.org/10.1206/582-10.1
- Jenkins PD, Abramov AV, Bannikova AA, Rozhnov VV (2013) Bones and genes: resolution problems in three Vietnamese species of *Crocidura* (Mammalia, Soricomorpha, Soricidae) and the description of an additional new species. Zookeys 313: 61–79. https://doi. org/10.3897/zookeys.313.4823
- Kuroda N (1938) A list of the Japanese mammals. Published by the author, Tokyo.
- Lavrenchenko LA, Bannikova AA, Lebedev VS (2009) Shrews (*Crocidura* spp.) endemic to ethiopia: recent adaptive radiation of an ancient lineage. Doklady Biological Sciences 424(1), 57–60. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0012496609010177
- Lei BY, Yue Y, Cui JF, Ji SN, Yu WH, Han WB, Zhou YB (2019) A new record of the Taiwanese gray shrew (*Crocidura tanakae* Kuroda, 1938) in Hubei Province. Acta Theriologica Sinica 39(02): 218–223. [in Chinese]
- Li YC, Lu HQ, Zhang XD, Xu WS (1989) Growth analysis and age indicator determination of striped hamster. Acta Theriologica Sinica 9(1): 49–55. [in Chinese]
- Li YC, Lu HQ, Tian JX, Hu JW (1990) Assessment of age indicators of greater long-tailed hamster by use of principal component analysis. Acta Theriologica Sinica 10(2): 121–127. [in Chinese]
- Motokawa M, Harada M, Lin LG, Koyasu K, Hatteri S (1997) Karyological study of the gray shrew *Crocidura attenuate* (Mammalia: Insectivora) from Taiwan. Zoological Studies 36(1): 70–73.
- Motokawa M, Harada M, Wu Y, Lin LK, Suzuki H (2001) Chromosomal polymorphism in the gray shrew *Crocidura attenuata* (Mammalia: Insectivora). Zoological Science 18(8): 1153–1160. https://doi.org/10.2108/zsj.18.1153
- Motokawa M (2003) Geographic variation in the Japanese white-toothed shrew *Crocidura dsinezumi*. Acta Theriologica 48(2): 145–156. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03194154
- Ohdachi SD, Iwasa MA, Nesterenko VA, Abe H, Masuda R, Haberl W (2004) Molecular phylogenetics of *Crocidura* shrews (Insectivora) in east and central Asia. Journal of Mammalogy 85 (3): 396–403. https://doi.org/10.1644/1545-1542(2004)085<0396:MPOCSI>2.0.CO;2
- Ohdachi S, Iwasa M, Vogel P, Oshida T, Lin L, Abe H (2006) Molecular phylogenetics of soricid shrews (Mammalia) based on mitochondrial cytochrome *b* gene sequences: with special reference to the Soricinae. Journal of Zoology 270(1): 177–191.
- Posada D (2008) jModelTest: Phylogenetic model averaging. Molecular Biology and Evolution 25: 1253–1256. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msn083
- Smith A, Xie Y (2009) A guide to the mammals of China. Changsha: Hunan Education Publishing House. [Chinese version]
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S (2011) MEGA5: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Molecular Biology and Evolution 28(10): 2731–2739. https:// doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr121
- Wang Y (2003) A complete checklist of mammal species and subspecies in China a taxonomic and geographic reference. Beijing: China Forestry Publishing House. [in Chinese]

- Wu A (2002) Population density fluctuation in *Crocidura attenuata*. Chinese Journal of Zoology 37(1): 60–61.
- Wu Y, Yuan XQ, Hu JZ, Yang Y, Fang H, Wang J (2008) Preliminary comparative anatomic study on digestive tract between *Crocidura attenuate* and *Apodemus agrarius*. Journal of China West Normal University (Natural Science) 29(1): 15–19.
- Yang HF (1990) A brief comment on age determination methods for small mammals. Chinese Journal of Ecology 9(2): 54–55. [in Chinese]
- Yang QS, Xia L, Ma Y, Feng ZJ, Quan GQ (2005) A Guide to the Measurement of Mammal Skull I: Basic Measurement. Chinese Journal of Zoology 40(3): 50–56. https://doi. org/10.1360/982005-245 [in Chinese]
- Yang QS, Xia L, Feng ZJ, Ma Y, Quan GQ, Wu Y (2007) A Guide to the Measurement of Mammal Skull V: Insectivora and Chiroptera. Chinese Journal of Zoology 42(2): 56–62. [in Chinese]
- Zhang Y, Zhao X, Zhang B, Shen J, Tang J, Bao M, Wu G (1987) Investigation of *Crocidura attenuata* as a host animal with epidemic hemorrhagic fever (EHF). Chinese Journal of Public Health 6(4): 209–210. [in Chinese]

Supplementary material I

Tables S1–S3

Authors: Yaoyao Li, Haotian Li, Masaharu Motokawa, Yi Wu, Masashi Harada, Huimei Sun, Xinmin Mo, Jing Wang, Yuchun Li

Data type: species data

- Explanation note: Table S1. The information of *Crocidura tanakae* and *C. attenuata* specimens used in present study. Table S2. The specimen information of genus *Crocidura* and outgroup used in the present study. Table S3. Normality test of external and skull morphological indices of *C. attenuata* and *C. tanakae*.
- Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

Link: https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.869.33858.suppl1