RESEARCH ARTICLE



## Gnathia pipinde sp. nov. (Crustacea, Isopoda, Gnathiidae), a temporary parasite of the pufferfish, Amblyrhynchotes honckenii, from temperate southern Africa

Nico J. Smit<sup>1</sup>, Kerry A. Hadfield<sup>1</sup>

Water Research Group, Unit for Environmental Sciences and Management, North-West University, Private Bag X6001, Potchefstroom, 2520, South Africa

Corresponding author: Kerry A. Hadfield (kerry.malherbe@nwu.ac.za)

Academic editor: P. T. Aneesh   Received 28 July 2022   Accepted 24 August 2022   Published 10 November 202
https://zoobank.org/3A329C3F-78A3-4DE1-8B5E-96F576D74CBD

**Citation:** Smit NJ, Hadfield KA (2022) *Gnathia pipinde* sp. nov. (Crustacea, Isopoda, Gnathiidae), a temporary parasite of the pufferfish, *Amblyrhynchotes honckenii*, from temperate southern Africa. ZooKeys 1129: 1–19. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1129.90986

#### Abstract

A new species, *Gnathia pipinde* **sp. nov.**, is described from specimens taken from pufferfish, *Amblyrhynchotes honckenii*, at Chintsa and De Hoop Nature Reserve on the southern Indian Ocean coast of South Africa. *Gnathia pipinde* **sp. nov.** is characterised by the straight frontal margin, presence of conical superior frontolateral processes, a strong and bifd mediofrontal process, pronounced and pointed supraocular lobes, mandible strongly curved with a dentate blade, and the claviform penes produced more than a third the length of the pereon. A summary and key to the males of all known species of the Gnathiidae from the Temperate Southern African marine realm is provided.

#### **Keywords**

Chintsa, De Hoop Nature Reserve, Indian Ocean, marine fish parasite, taxonomy

#### Introduction

The Western Indian Ocean is a region of known high but underexplored marine invertebrate diversity. The Temperate Southern African (TSA) marine realm (a realm identified by Spalding et al. 2007) is well known for its high biodiversity and endemism of marine fauna, especially fish (Griffiths et al. 2010). However, there is a large gap in our knowledge of the diversity of small invertebrates, including parasites, from all the potential host species already documented (Smit and Hadfield 2015). This is specifically true for gnathiid isopods that are temporary ectoparasites of both teleost and elasmobranch fishes (Hadfield et al. 2008), and only eight species in three genera have previously been reported or described from the TSA (Table 1).

These small crustaceans (<15 mm, most species <6 mm) have three larval stages that feed on the blood and lymph of their hosts, before dropping to the ocean floor where they moult into the subsequent larval stage or into adult males or females (Smit et al. 2019). In general, gnathiid larvae are not considered host specific, although too little is known about specific larval species to be conclusive on this point. Due to the highly characteristic structures seen in male gnathiids, the taxonomic classification has been, and still is, based predominantly on the morphology of the adults (Smit and Davies 2004).

Gnathiid isopods have traditionally been described from the free-living adult males collected from dredge sampling, elutriation and sorting of coral rubble, from sponge collections, and other standard techniques used to collect small marine crustaceans. However, since the early 2000s, new gnathiid species have been described by moulting final (P3) larval stages into adults, either collected by light (Farquharson et al. 2012) and baited traps (Shodipo et al. 2021) or collected directly from the host (Ferreira et al. 2010). This latter method also provides the opportunity to obtain morphological and ecological information on the larval stage as well as host information that is generally lacking for most species of gnathiids. Following this trend, this study reports on gnathiid larvae collected from the evileye blaasop (pufferfish), *Amblyrhynchotes honck-enii* (Bloch, 1795), from the south coast of South Africa, and moulted through to adult males. The male gnathiids did not conform to any of the currently known species from this or any other region in the world and are here described as new to science. In addition, a key to the currently known species from this region is provided.

#### Methods

Nine *Amblyrhynchotes honckenii* were collected from the De Hoop Nature Reserve (4 specimens) and the small coastal town of Chintsa (5 specimens) on South Africa's south coast during October 1999 and October 2020, respectively. Fish from De Hoop Nature Reserve (34°28'44"S, 20°30'38"E) were captured by luring them into deep pools during evening low tide and then catching them with hand nets (see Smit and Davies 2001). At Chintsa (32°50'01"S, 28°06'58"E), pufferfish were collected using

ısly	
evior	
8 pr	
the	
ding	
nclu	
lm, i	
) rea	
TSA	
can (	
Afri	
hern	
Sout	
rate	
mpe	
ne Te	
om tl	
es fre	
speci	
biid s	
gnat	
of 9	
JCes	č.
eferei	p. no
nd re	nde s
ize a	pipin
oth, s	tthia
ı, def	, Gni
ation	ecies
e loc	w sp
of th	an ar
nary	nd tl
umn	cies a
<b>I.</b> S	spec
ole	WD

Table 1. Summary c	of the location, dep	oth, size an	d reference	s of 9 gnathiid species from the Temperate Southern African (TSA) realm, including	the 8 previously
known species and th	te new species, Gn	athia pipinu	de sp. nov.		
Species	Location	Size (mm)	Depth (m)	Substratum / Host	References
Afrignathia multicavea	South Africa (East-	1.5-2.0	26-73		Hadfield and Smit
Hadfield & Smit, 2008	ern and Western Cane)				(2008)
Caecognathia cryptopais	South Africa (East-	2.0-3.9	160		Barnard (1925);
(Barnard, 1925)	ern and Western				Smit et al. (2000)
Gnathia africana Bar-	Cape) South Africa (East-	0-5.1		Tubes of serpulid worms; sponges; Caffrogobius caffer (Günther, 1874); Chorisochismus dentex (Pallas	Barnard (1914);
nard, 1914	ern and Western			1769); Clinus cottoides Valenciennes, 1836; Clinus superciliosus (Linnaeus, 1758)	Smit and Davies
	Cape)				(1999); Smit et al. (1999)
Gnathia disjuncta	South Africa (West-	3.5	73		Barnard (1920)
Barnard, 1920	ern Cape)				
Gnathia nkulu Smit &	South Africa (East-	3.3-4.9	80-200		Smit and Van As
Van As, 2000	ern Cape)				(2000)
	Madagascar (Nosy- Be)	4	1.5		Kensley et al. (2009)
<i>Gnathia pantherina</i> Smit & Basson, 2002	South Africa (East- ern and Western Cane)	3.7-6.8	intertidal	Haploblepharus edwardsii (Schinz, 1822); Poroderma pantherinum (Müllet & Henle, 1838); Torpedo fucomaculata Peters, 1855	Smit and Basson (2002)
Gnathia pilosus Had- field, Smit & Avenant- Oldewrae 2008	South Africa (Kwa- zulu-Natal)	1.6–2.0	intertidal	Abudefduf; sordidus (Forsskål, 1775); Acanthurus triostegus (Linnaeus, 1758); Antennablemius bifilum (Günther, 1861); Diplodus capensis (Smith, 1844); Epinephelus marginatus (Lowe, 1834); Halichoeres aubulous (Valencientus, 1830). Isiklamitus ducuniari (Valencientos, 1836). Isiklemitus odentulus	Hadfield et al. (2008, 2009)
0000 v 480, 2000				Resumments (VARCACULICS, 1027); Stateronnus sussamment (VARCACUCULICS, 1027); Stateronnus carianas (Forster & Schneider, 1801); Omobranchus banditus Smith, 1959; Plecroglyphidodon leucozonus (Bleeker, 1859); Psammogobius knysnaensis Smith, 1955; Prevois miles (Bennett, 1828); Rhabdosar- gus sarba (Forskål, 1775); Scartella emarginata (Günther, 1861); Terapon jarbua (Forsskål, 1775); Thelacona onrunuum (Forsekal, 1775)	
<i>Gnathia pipinde</i> sp. nov.	South Africa (East-	4.6-5.1	Intertidal-	Amblythynchotes honckenii (Bloch, 1795)	Current study
	ern and Western Cape)		shallow; subtidal		
<i>Gnathia spongicola</i> Barnard, 1920	South Africa (West- ern Cape)	Ś	238–347	hexactinellid sponges	Barnard (1920)

### Gnathia pipinde sp. nov. from temperate southern Africa

rod and line during early morning low tide. Host nomenclature follows FishBase (Froese and Pauly 2022).

Following capture, live pufferfish were screened for external parasites and fish with gnathiid larvae present were kept alive in fresh, aerated seawater until the gnathiids completed feeding and detached from the host. All the larvae were attached to the dorsal and lateral areas of the body just posterior to the fish's head. Fish were kept alive until the fully fed larvae detached 6–8 h following capture. In order to rear the larvae into the next stage, they were kept alive in fresh seawater. Free swimming gnathiids were collected by pipettes and transferred into small 50 ml bottles with fresh seawater until moulting into adults (see Grutter et al. 2020). Three of the larvae moulted 9 d post-feeding. A subsample of larvae and adults were subsequently fixed in 75% ethanol and transferred to the laboratory for further analysis.

From the collected samples, a single third stage larva and male were cleaned and prepared for scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The fixed specimens were hydrated from 70% ethanol to fresh water. The organisms were then washed and cleaned by brushing them with a soft sable hairbrush to remove salt crystals and debris. Clean specimens were dehydrated through a series of ethanol concentrations and critical-point dried using standard techniques. Dried specimens were mounted on inverted conical stubs with a rapid-drying varnish (Japan Gold Size, Winsor and Newton) normally used in gilding. Specimens were sputter coated with gold and studied with the aid of a JEOL WINSEM JSM 6400 scanning electron microscope. Optimum results were obtained when SEM work was done at 10 kV with a working distance of 39 mm and the stage tilted at 70° to 90°. For light microscopy, temporary slides of lignin pink stained specimens were prepared as whole mounts or dissected appendages. These were examined with a Leitz Laborlux D compound and a Wild M5 dissection microscope and drawings were made from projections using drawing at-tachments on these microscopes.

The species descriptions of both male and larva were prepared in DELTA (DEscriptive Language for TAxonomy) using a modified Gnathiidae character set (as used in Hadfield et al. 2019) for the male and a newly generated character set for the larva. Terminology follows Monod (1926), Cohen and Poore (1994), and Svavarsson and Bruce (2012, 2019) for the male and Smit and Basson (2002) and Hadfield et al. (2008) for the larva. Isopod classification follows that of Brandt and Poore (2003), and setal terminology is based on Watling (1989) and Svavarsson and Bruce (2019). The habitus total length was measured mid-dorsally, from the frontal margin (excluding the mandibles) to the midpoint of the pleotelson. Appendages (mouthparts, pereopods, pleopods) were dissected from the same side of the isopod.

Research permits for fish collection were provided by the Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF) (RES2019/103 and RES2020/29), and ethical clearance was through the North-West University AnimCare animal ethics committee (NWU-00440-16-A5 and NWU-0051-19-A5). Type material is deposited in the National Museum, Bloemfontein (NMB), South Africa.

#### Taxonomy

Suborder Cymothoida Wägele, 1989 Superfamily Cymothooidea Leach, 1814 Family Gnathiidae Leach, 1814

Gnathia Leach, 1814

Type species. Gnathia termitoides Leach, 1814, by monotypy (Cohen and Poore 1994).

**Remarks.** A restricted synonymy and diagnosis of *Gnathia* was provided in Had-field et al. (2019).

#### Gnathia pipinde sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/1D3309F5-2048-4E33-A8A4-308C7B523852 Figs 1–6

Material examined. *Holotype*. SOUTH AFRICA • 1 ♂ (4.6 mm TL, dissected); De Hoop Nature Reserve (34°28'44"S, 20°30'38"E); October 1999; leg. N.J. Smit; from *Amblyrhynchotes honckenii* (NMB P 899). *Paratypes*. SOUTH AFRICA • 1 ♂ used for SEM (5.1 mm TL), 11 praniza larvae (P3) (3.8–4.6 mm TL), 1 P3 larva for SEM (4.2 mm TL); same info as holotype (NMB P 900) • 2 praniza larvae (P3) (3.8, 4.3 mm TL); Chintsa (32°50'01"S, 28°06'58"E); October 2020; leg. K.A. Hadfield; from *Amblyrhynchotes honckenii* (NMB P 901).

**Description of male adult.** (Figs 1–4). *Body* 2.8 times as long as greatest width, widest at pereonite 5; dorsal surfaces punctate, sparsely setose. *Cephalosome* quadrate, 0.8 as long as wide, lateral margins subparallel, posterior margin concave; dorsal surface with sensory pits and sparse granules; dorsal sulcus narrow, deep, short; paraocular ornamentation weakly developed, posteromedian tubercle present. *Frontolateral processes* present. *Frontal margin* straight, median point with process. *External scissura* present, narrow, shallow. *Mediofrontal process* present, strong, bifid, without fine setae. *Superior frontolateral process* present, single, strong, conical, with 6 pairs of long simple setae. *Inferior frontolateral process* absent. *Supraocular lobe* pronounced, pointed; accessory supraocular lobe not pronounced. *Eyes* present, elongate, 0.4 as long as cephalosome length, contiguous with head surface, ommatidia arranged in rows.

*Pereon* lateral margins subparallel, with few setose setae; anteriorly smooth. *Pereonite 1* partially fused dorsally with cephalosome; dorsolateral margins partly obscured by cephalosome. *Pereonite 2* wider than pereonite 1. *Pereonite 4* with prominent anterior constriction separating it from pereonite 3, median groove present. *Areae laterales* present on pereonite 5. *Pereonite 6* with weak lobi laterales; lobuii absent or weak, conical. *Pleon* epimera dorsally visible on all pleonites. *Pleonite* lateral margins with 2 pairs of simple setae, long setose setae randomly distributed on posterior margins.



**Figure I.** *Gnathia pipinde* sp. nov. (NMB P 899), male holotype (4.6 mm TL) **A** habitus dorsal view **B** dorsal view of frontal border and mandibles **C** lateral view of cephalosome **D** antenna and antennula **E** pleotelson and uropod **F** pylopod **G** pleopod 2 **H** maxilliped. Scale bars: 200 μm.



**Figure 2.** *Gnathia pipinde* sp. nov. (NMB P 899), male holotype (4.6 mm TL) **A–E** pereopods 2–6, respectively. Scale bar: 200 μm.



**Figure 3.** *Gnathia pipinde* sp. nov. (NMB P 900), male scanning electron microscope images. **A** Dorsal view of cephalosome and pereon **B** ventral view of cephalosome and pereon **C** dorsal view of mandible **D** anterodorsal view of cephalosome **E** ventral view of penes **F** ventral view of anterior end of penes. Scale bars:  $250 \mu m$  (**A**, **B**);  $100 \mu m$  (**C**–**E**);  $10 \mu m$  (**F**).



Figure 4. *Gnathia pipinde* sp. nov. (NMB P 901), praniza larva (4.1 mm TL) A habitus dorsal view
B dorsal cephalosome C pleopod 1 D antenna and antennula E pleotelson and uropod F mandible
G paragnath H maxillula I maxilliped J gnathopod. Scale bars: 200 µm (A–E); 50 µm (F–J).



**Figure 5.** *Gnathia pipinde* sp. nov. (NMB P 901), praniza larva (4.1 mm TL) **A–E** pereopods 2–6, respectively. Scale bar: 200 µm.



**Figure 6.** *Gnathia pipinde* sp. nov. (NMB P 901), praniza larva scanning electron microscope images **A** anterolateral view of labrum, cephalosome, and antennae **B** dorsal view of cephalosome **C** ventral view of cephalosome **D** ventral view of antenna and antennula **E** dorsal view of the pleotelson and uropods **F** lateral view of mandible (m), paragnath (p), and maxillula (ml). Scale bars: 100  $\mu$ m (**A–E**); 10  $\mu$ m (**F**).

*Pleotelson* as long as anterior width, covered in pectinate scales; lateral margins finely serrate, anterolateral margins concave, with 3 submarginal setae; posterolateral margin weakly convex, with 2 submarginal setae; mid-dorsal surface with 2 submedian setae, apex with 2 setae.

*Antennula* shorter than antenna; peduncle article 1 with 2 penicillate setae; article 2 0.8 times as long as article 1, with 2 penicillate setae; article 3 2.1 times as long as article 2, 3.8 times as long as wide. Antennula flagellum 0.8 times as long as article 3, with 5 articles; article 1 with 2 plumose setae; article 3 with 1 aesthetasc seta; article 4 with 1 aesthetasc seta, and 2 simple setae; article 5 terminating with 1 aesthetasc seta, and 3 simple setae. *Antenna* peduncle article 1 covered in pectinate scales and marginal setae; article 3 2.9 times as long as wide, twice as long as article 2, with 1 penicillate seta, and 12–14 simple setae and 1 penicillate seta; article 4 1.3 times as long as article 3, 3.7 times as long as article 4, with 7 articles, terminating with 4 simple setae.

*Mandible* 0.6 as long as width of cephalosome, rectangular, strongly curved, distally; apex cylindrical, 22% total length, distally raised in lateral view; mandibular seta present. *Carina* present, smooth. *Incisor* knob-like. *Blade* present, dentate, straight, dentate along 100% of margin, with tufts of setae along distal margin. Basal neck short; sensory pits with short simple hair-like setae distributed randomly on dorsal surface of blade. Pseudoblade, internal lobe and dorsal lobe absent; erisma present; lamina dentata absent.

*Maxilliped* 5-articled, mesial border with short simple hair-like setae; article 1 lateral margin with continuous marginal short setae, endite extending to distal margin of article 2, without coupling setae; article 2 lateral margin with 5 plumose setae; article 3 lateral margin with 7 plumose setae; article 4 lateral margin with 6 plumose setae; article 5 with 7 plumose setae and 5 simple setae.

*Pylopod* article 1 with three distinct areolae, 1.6 as long as wide, without distolateral lobe; posterior and lateral margins forming rounded curve; lateral margin with 38 large plumose setae; mesial margin with scale-setae on distal part only, 2 simple setae and 1 penicillate seta; distal margin with 6 simple setae; article 2 1.3 as long as wide; with 8 simple setae; article 3 minute, with 4 simple setae.

*Pereopods 2–6* with long simple setae and randomly covered in pectinate scales (only illustrated on pereopod 2); inferior margins with weak tubercles. *Pereopod 2* with tubercles on merus and carpus; basis 2.9 times as long as greatest width, superior margin with 19 setae, inferior margin with 12 setae; ischium 0.7 times as long as basis, 2.5 as long as wide, superior margin with 6 simple setae and 1 long setose seta, inferior margin with bulbous protrusion and 4 setae, inferior margin with tubercles and 7 setae; carpus 0.5 as long as ischium, 1.8 as long as wide, superior margin with 2 setae (1 setose), inferior margin with tubercles and 5 setae (1 serrate seta); propodus 0.7 times as long as ischium, 2.5 times as long as wide, superior margin with 3 simple setae and 1 penicillate seta, inferior margin with 2 simple setae and 2 robust setae; dactylus 0.7 as long as propodus, terminates in sharp posterior pointing unguis. *Pereopods 3 and* 

4 similar to percopod 2. *Percopod 5* similar to percopod 6. *Percopod 6* with tubercles on merus and carpus; basis 3 times as long as greatest width, superior margin with 14 setae, and 1 penicillate seta, inferior margin with 11 setae; ischium 0.9 as long as basis, 3.2 as long as greatest width, superior margin with 12 setae, inferior margin with 8 setae; merus 0.5 as long as ischium, 1.8 times as long as wide, superior margin with 6 setae, inferior margin with 4 setae, without dense patch of scale-setae; carpus 0.5 as long as ischium, 2.2 times as long as wide, superior margin with 3 setae, inferior margin with 4 setae; propodus 0.6 as long as ischium, 3.8 times as long as wide, superior margin with 5 setae, inferior margin with 5 setae, inferi

*Penes* produced, more than a third length of pereon; penial process 6.5 times as long as basal width, anterior end ending in sac-like extension dorsal to opening.

*Pleopod 2 exopod* 1.7 as long as wide, distally broadly rounded, with 9 plumose setae; endopod 1.8 as long as wide, distally broadly rounded, with 8 plumose setae; appendix masculina absent; peduncle 0.8 times as wide as long, mesial margin with 2 coupling setae, lateral margin with 1 simple seta.

*Uropod* rami extending beyond pleotelson, apices narrowly rounded. *Peduncle* with 1 dorsal seta. *Uropod endopod* 2.4 as long as greatest width, dorsally with 4 setae; lateral margin straight, lateral margin with 6 simple setae; proximomesial margin weakly convex, with 6 long plumose setae. *Uropod exopod* not extending to end of endopod, 3.3 times as long as greatest width; lateral margin weakly sinuate, with 17 simple setae; proximomesial margin straight, distally convex, with 3 long plumose setae.

**Description of praniza 3 larva.** (Figs 4–6). *Body* 3.5 times as long as greatest width; dorsal surfaces sparsely punctate, sparsely setose. *Cephalosome* 0.7 times as long as wide; posterior margin slightly concave; lateral margins convex; dorsal surface with few sensory pits and no setae; anterior margin straight with lateral concave excavations to accommodate first articles of antennae. *Labrum* prominent, 0.4 times as long as cephalosome, semicircular with apical process, anterior margin concave. *Eyes* well developed, oval-shaped; almost as long as cephalosome; one eye 0.3 as wide as cephalosome, bulbous, standing out from head surface; ommatidia arranged in rows; eye colour black.

*Pereon* elongate, 2.3 times as long as wide, smooth, with no setae or sensory pits. *Pereonite 1* partially fused dorsally with cephalosome; dorsally visible; dorsolateral margins partly obscured by cephalosome. *Pereonite 2 and 3* similar in size and shape. *Pereonite 4* triangular, 2.1 times as wide as long, posterior margin stretching over pereonite 5, lateral shields at leg attachment. *Pereonite 5* consists of elastic membrane fully expanded in praniza stage with blood meal, lateral shields at leg attachment. *Pereonite 7* dorsally visible; posterior margin rounded, overlapping pleonite 1. *Pleon* with all 5 pleonites dorsally visible; pleon and pleotelson 0.4 times as long as pereon. *Pleonite 5* almost twice the length of the other articles. *Pleotelson* 1.3 times as long as anterior width, covered in pectinate scales; lateral margins finely serrate; anterolateral margins concave, without submarginal setae; posteriolateral margin setae, apex with 2 submarginal setae; mid-dorsal surface with 2 submedian setae, apex with 2 setae. Antennula shorter than antenna; peduncle with short hair-like setae on anterior borders of all three articles; article 2 with 3 setae, as long as article 1; article 3 with 2 setae (1 penicillate), 2.3 times as long as article 2, 2.9 times as long as wide. Antennula flagellum 1.1 times as long as article 3, with 4 articles; article 1 with 2–3 setae; article 2 with 1 aesthetasc seta and 1 simple seta, 0.6 times as long as flagellum; article 3 with 1 aesthetasc seta; article 4 terminating with 1 aesthetasc seta and 3 simple setae. Antenna with rows of long simple setae on anterior margins of all 4 peduncle articles; peduncle article 3 2.3 times as long as wide, 2 times as long as article 2, with 4 simple setae; article 4 twice as long as article 3, 4.2 times as long as wide, with 6 simple setae; flagellum 1.1 times as long as article 4, with 7 articles, terminating with 3 or 4 simple setae.

*Mandible* stout, distal margin styliform with 16 teeth on mesial margin (3 smaller at tip of mandible), conical and posteriorly directed, increasing in size from anterior to posterior.

Paragnaths elongate, gutter-like, terminates in sharp point, no teeth.

*Maxillula* long, slender, 6–8 small teeth on distal inner margin, lateral border with marginal setae proximally.

*Maxilliped* cylindrical with elongated base, endite extending to distal margin of palp article 2, with 1 long simple seta and coupling seta. *Maxilliped palp* 3-articled; article 1 with 6 teeth mesially, lateral margin with setae; article 3 with 5–7 simple setae.

*Gnathopod* smaller than pereopods, with 7 articles, carpus reduced, few simple setae on articles 1–5, 1 robust seta on article 2.

Pereopods 2-6 with long simple setae, pectinate scales covering inner margins of propodus and carpus, and outer margins of merus. Pereopod 2 with tubercles on carpus; basis 2.6 times as long as greatest width, superior margin with 5 setae, inferior margin with 2 setae; ischium 0.7 times as long as basis, twice as long as wide, superior margin with 2 setae, inferior margin with 1 seta; merus 0.5 as long as ischium, 1.3 as long as wide, superior margin with bulbous protrusion, with 3 setae (2 serrate) and pectinate scales, inferior margin with 2 setae; carpus 0.5 as long as ischium, 1.6 as long as wide, superior margin with no setae, inferior margin with 4 setae (1 serrate) and pectinate scales; propodus 0.7 times as long as ischium, 2.3 times as long as wide, superior margin with 2 setae (1 penicillate), inferior margin with 1 simple seta, 2 robust setae and pectinate scales; dactylus 0.9 as long as propodus, with 5 setae, terminates in sharp posterior pointing unguis. *Pereopods 3 and 4* similar to pereopod 2 (differ in setation). Pereopod 5 similar to pereopod 6. Pereopod 6 with tubercles on carpus; basis 3.3 times as long as greatest width, superior margin with 12 setae, inferior margin with 3 setae; ischium 0.7 as long as basis, 2.5 as long as greatest width, superior margin with 4 setae (1 penicillate, 2 setose), inferior margin with 2 setae; merus 0.6 as long as ischium, 1.4 times as long as wide, superior margin with bulbous protrusion and 3 setae (2 serrate), inferior margin with 2 setae, with dense patches of pectinate scales; carpus 0.6 as long as ischium, 2.2 times as long as wide, superior margin with 2 setae, inferior margin with 3 setae (1 serrate) and pectinate scales; propodus 0.8 as long as ischium, 3.6 times as long as wide, superior margin with 9 setae, inferior margin with 1 seta, 2 robust setae, and pectinate scales; dactylus 0.6 as long as propodus, with 4 setae, terminates in sharp posterior pointing unguis.

*Pleopod 1 exopod* 1.9 as long as wide, distally broadly rounded, with 8 plumose setae; endopod 1.8 as long as wide, distally narrowly rounded, with 7 plumose setae; peduncle 0.8 times as wide as long, mesial margin with 2 coupling setae, lateral margin with 1 simple seta.

*Uropod* rami extending to pleotelson apex, apices narrowly rounded, fringes with short simple setae. *Peduncle* with 2 dorsal setae. *Uropod endopod* 1.9 as long as greatest width, dorsally with 3 setae; lateral margin straight, lateral margin with 3 simple setae; proximomesial margin weakly convex, with 6 long plumose setae. *Uropod exopod* not extending to end of endopod, 3 times as long as greatest width; lateral margin weakly convex, with 5 simple setae; proximomesial margin straight, distally concave, with 4 long plumose setae.

**Etymology.** The Xhosa word "pipinde" was chosen, because "pipi" means penis and the post "nde" means long in the language of this southern African tribe and thus referring to the most distinct characteristic of this species. Pronounced as pie-pie-n-dê. The species epithet is a noun in apposition.

**Distribution.** South coast of South Africa (Western and Eastern Cape Provinces). **Hosts.** *Amblyrhynchotes honckenii* (Bloch, 1785).

**Remarks.** *Gnathia pipinde* sp. nov. can be identified by the straight frontal margin; presence of conical superior frontolateral processes; a strong and bifid mediofrontal process; pronounced and pointed supraocular lobes; mandible strongly curved with a dentate blade; and the claviform penes produced more than a third the length of the pereon.

When compared to the other known South African gnathiid species, the shape and size of the frontal processes of *G. pipinde* are very similar to those of *G. africana* Barnard 1914 (see Smit et al. 1999) and *G. nkulu* Smit & Van As, 2002 (see Smit and Van As 2002). This species can, however, easily be distinguished from the other South African congeners by the shape and size of its elongated penes, narrowing at the distal end with a sac-like extension.

There are currently 134 species of Gnathia known to science (Boyko et al. 2008 onwards). To the authors' knowledge there are nine other Gnathia species with elongate penes that differ from each other in shape in size, namely: Gnathia camuripenis Tanaka, 2004; Gnathia cooki Müller, 1989; Gnathia dentata (G.O. Sars, 1872); Gnathia falcipenis Holdich & Harrison, 1980; Gnathia fallax Monod, 1926; Gnathia inopinata Monod, 1926; Gnathia limicola Ota & Tanaka; 2007; Gnathia phallonajopsis Monod, 1925; and Gnathia somalia Kensley, Schotte & Poore, 2009. Of these, only three resemble that of G. pipinde sp. nov. These are G. falcipenis from the Great Barrier Reef, Australia; G. cooki from Morea, Society Islands in the tropical Pacific; and G. camuripenis from southern Japan and the Philippines (Shodipo et al. 2021). According to Holdich and Harrison (1980), the mediofrontal process of G. falcipenis is conical and, thus, distinctly different from the bifid mediofrontal process of G. pipinde sp. nov. The superior frontolateral processes and shape of the pleotelson of G. cooki described by Müller (1989) are very similar to those of G. pipinde sp. nov. However, in the South African species there are six long simple setae on each superior frontolateral process and the inferior frontolateral processes are absent, whereas in the species from the Pacific there are only three long simple setae and the inferior frontolateral processes are present. In addition, G. pipinde sp. nov.

differs in the number of antenna flagellum articles (seven instead of six), and the number of plumose setae on the distal four articles of the maxillipeds (in the order of 5-7-6-7 instead of 5-6-5-7 as in *G. cooki*). The males of *G. camuripenis* can easily be distinguished from *G. pipinde* sp. nov. in its rounded mediofrontal process without a ventral notch and large bifid internal lobe present on the mandibles (Tanaka 2004).

The larvae of *G. pipinde* sp. nov. can be distinguished from the described larvae of the South African species, *G. africana* and *G. pantherina* Smit & Basson, 2002, by the presence of 16 teeth on the mandible (*G. africana* with nine or 10 and *G. pantherina* with eight). The shape of the pleotelson of *G. pipinde* (posterior two-thirds convex) also differs from that of *G. africana* (lateral margins straight) and *G. pantherina* (anterior half of lateral margins slightly concave).

This species is host-specific to *Amblyrhynchotes honckenii* and only occurs on the temperate south coast of South Africa (with low intensity and rare infections), despite the wide distribution of the host fish (temperate south coast to subtropical east coast of South Africa). The specific locality preference is also observed with another parasitic isopod on this host fish, *Cinusa tetrodontis* Schioedte & Meinert, 1884. Hadfield et al. (2010) reported this species from *A. honckenii*, also only from the temperate south coast of South Africa. This specific area seems to be a parasite diversity hotspot within the TSA realm and could still yield other unknown parasites with further research. Information on the nine known gnathiid species from the TSA is summarised in Table 1, along with a key to these species.

# Key to species of the family Gnathiidae known from the Temperate Southern African (TSA) realm

This key is based on the morphological characters of the adult male:

1	Cephalosome wider than pereon; frontal border concave
_	Cephalosome not wider than pereon; frontal border produced
2	Frontal border rounded, strongly produced, without any frontal processes
_	Frontal border slightly produced, with frontal processes
3	Pylopod single-articled; two rows of teeth on mandible blade
	Afrignathia multicavea Hadfield & Smit, 2008
_	Pylopod 2- or 3-articled; absent or single row of teeth on blade
4	Mediofrontal processes bifid
_	Mediofrontal processes absent or not bifid6
5	Penes produced, more than a third length of pereon Gnathia pipinde sp. nov.
_	Penes not produced, two contiguous papillae as long as wide
	Gnathia africana Barnard, 1914
6	Mandibles short and stout (<0.5 length of cephalosome)
	Gnathia pilosus Hadfield, Smit & Avenant-Oldewage, 2008
_	Mandibles long (>0.5 length of cephalosome)7

- 8 Pereonite 5 areae laterales absent, narrower than pereonite 6; pleopod 2 with appendix masculina > 0.5 length of rami ..... *Gnathia nkulu* Smit & Van As, 2000

#### Acknowledgements

This work was in part supported by the National Research Foundation (NRF) of South Africa (NRF Project Grant No. 20403; KA Hadfield, PI). Opinions, findings, conclusions, and recommendations expressed in this publication are that of the authors, and the NRF accepts no liability in this regard. This is contribution number 699 for the NWU Water Research Group.

#### References

- Barnard KH (1914) Contributions to the crustacean fauna of South Africa. 1. Additions to the marine Isopoda. Annals of the South African Museum 10: 197–230. https://doi. org/10.5962/bhl.part.9314
- Barnard KH (1920) Contributions to the crustacean fauna of South Africa. No. 6. Further additions to the list of marine Isopoda. Annals of the South African Museum 17: 319–438. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.22318 [pls XV–XVII.]
- Barnard KH (1925) Contributions to the crustacean fauna of South Africa. No. 9. Further additions to the list of Isopoda. Annals of the South African Museum 20: 381–412.
- Boyko CB, Bruce NL, Hadfield KA, Merrin KL, Ota Y, Poore GCB, Taiti S (Eds) (2008 onwards) World Marine, Freshwater and Terrestrial Isopod Crustaceans database. *Gnathia* Leach, 1814. World Register of Marine Species. https://www.marinespecies.org/aphia. php?p=taxdetails&id=118437 [Accessed on 2022-08-22.]
- Brandt A, Poore GCB (2003) Higher classification of the flabelliferan and related Isopoda based on a reappraisal of relationships. Invertebrate Systematics 17(6): 893–923. https:// doi.org/10.1071/IS02032
- Cohen BF, Poore GCB (1994) Phylogeny and biogeography of the Gnathiidae (Crustacea: Isopoda) with descriptions of new genera and species, most from south-eastern Australia. Memoirs of the Museum of Victoria 54(2): 271–397. https://doi.org/10.24199/j.mmv.1994.54.13
- Farquharson C, Smit NJ, Grutter AS, Davies AJ (2012) Gnathia masca sp. nov. (Crustacea, Isopoda, Gnathiidae) from Lizard Island, Great Barrier Reef, Australia. Zootaxa 3233: 22–36. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3233.1.2
- Ferreira ML, Smit NJ, Davies AJ (2010) *Gnathia grutterae* sp. nov. (Crustacea, Isopoda, Gnathiidae) parasitising representatives of the Balistidae, Labridae and Tetraodontidae

from Lizard Island, Great Barrier Reef, Australia. Zootaxa 2718(1): 39–50. https://doi. org/10.11646/zootaxa.2718.1.3

- Froese R, Pauly D (Eds) (2022) FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication, version (06/2022). http://www.fishbase.org
- Griffiths CL, Robinson TB, Lange L, Mead A (2010) Marine biodiversity in South Africa: An evaluation of current states of knowledge. PLoS ONE 5(8): e12008. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0012008
- Grutter AS, Feeney WE, Hutson KS, McClure EC, Narvaez P, Smit NJ, Sun D, Sikkel PC (2020) Practical methods for culturing parasitic gnathiid isopods. International Journal for Parasitology 50(10–11): 825–837. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2020.03.014
- Hadfield KA, Smit NJ (2008) Description of a new gnathiid, *Afrignathia multicavea* gen. et sp. nov. (Crustacea: Isopoda: Gnathiidae), from South Africa. African Zoology 43(1): 81–89. https://doi.org/10.1080/15627020.2008.11407410
- Hadfield KA, Smit NJ, Avenant-Oldewage A (2008) Gnathia pilosus sp. nov. (Crustacea, Isopoda, Gnathiidae) from the East Coast of South Africa. Zootaxa 1894(1): 23–41. https:// doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.1894.1.2
- Hadfield KA, Smit NJ, Avenant-Oldewage A (2009) Life cycle of the temporary fish parasite, *Gnathia pilosus* (Crustacea: Isopoda: Gnathiidae) from the east coast of South Africa. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 89(7): 1331–1339. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315409000587
- Hadfield KA, Bruce NL, Smit NJ (2010) Redescription of the monotypic genus Cinusa Schioedte and Meinert, 1884 (Isopoda, Cymothoidae), a buccal-cavity isopod from South Africa. Zootaxa 2437(1): 51–68. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.2437.1.3
- Hadfield KA, Schizas NK, Chatterjee T, Smit NJ (2019) Gnathia bermudensis (Crustacea, Isopoda, Gnathiidae), a new species from the mesophotic reefs of Bermuda, with a key to Gnathia from the Greater Caribbean biogeographic region. ZooKeys 891: 1–16. https:// doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.891.39564
- Holdich DM, Harrison K (1980) The crustacean isopod genus *Gnathia* Leach from Queensland waters with descriptions of nine new species. Marine and Freshwater Research 31(2): 215–240. https://doi.org/10.1071/MF9800215
- Kensley B, Schotte M, Poore GCB (2009) Gnathiid isopods (Crustacea: Isopoda: Gnathiidae), mostly new, from the Indian Ocean. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 122(1): 32–51. https://doi.org/10.2988/07-16.1
- Monod T (1926) Les Gnathiidæ. Essai monographique (morphologie, biologie, systématique). Mémoires de la Société des Sciences Naturelles du Maroc 13: 1–668.
- Müller HG (1989) Two new species of *Gnathia* each from coral reefs at Moorea, Society Islands, with redescription of *Gnathia margaritarum* Monod, 1926 from Panama Pacific (Isopoda: Cymothoidea: Gnathiidae). Bulletin Zoölogisch Museum Universiteit van Amsterdam 12: 65–80.
- Shodipo MO, Sikkel PC, Smit NJ, Hadfield KA (2021) First record and molecular characterisation of two *Gnathia* species (Crustacea, Isopoda, Gnathiidae) from Philippine coral reefs, including a summary of all Central-Indo Pacific *Gnathia* species. International Journal for Parasitology. Parasites and Wildlife 14: 355–367. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijppaw.2021.03.004

- Smit NJ, Basson L (2002) Gnathia pantherina sp. nov. (Crustacea: Isopoda: Gnathiidae), a temporary ectoparasites of some elasmobranch species from southern Africa. Folia Parasitologica 49(2): 137–151. https://doi.org/10.14411/fp.2002.025
- Smit NJ, Davies AJ (1999) New host records for *Haemogregarina bigemina* from the coast of southern Africa. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 79(5): 933–935. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315499001101
- Smit NJ, Davies AJ (2001) An encapsulated haemogregarine from the evileye pufferfish in South Africa. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 81(5): 751–754. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315401004556
- Smit NJ, Davies AJ (2004) The curious life-style of the parasitic stages of gnathiid isopods. Advances in Parasitology 58: 289–391. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-308X(04)58005-3
- Smit NJ, Hadfield KA (2015) Marine fish parasitology in South Africa: History of discovery and future direction. African Zoology 50(2): 79–92. https://doi.org/10.1080/15627020. 2015.1043644
- Smit NJ, Van As JG (2000) A new species, *Gnathia nkulu* sp. nov. (Crustacea: Isopoda: Gnathiidae) from southern Africa. Folia Parasitologica 47(3): 235–240. https://doi.org/10.14411/ fp.2000.042
- Smit NJ, Van As JG, Basson L (1999) A redescription of the adult male and praniza of *Gnathia africana* Barnard, 1914 (Crustacea, Isopoda, Gnathiidae) from southern Africa. Folia Parasitologica 46: 229–240.
- Smit NJ, Basson L, Van As JG (2000) A redescription of the adult male of *Caecognathia cryp-topais* (Barnard, 1925) (Crustacea: Isopoda: Gnathiidae) from southern Africa. Folia Parasitologica 47(1): 61–66. https://doi.org/10.14411/fp.2000.012
- Smit NJ, Bruce NL, Hadfield KA (2019) Parasitic Crustacea: State of Knowledge and Future Trends. Springer Nature Switzerland, Cham, 481 pp. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-17385-2
- Spalding MD, Fox HE, Allen GR, Davidson N, Ferdaña ZA, Finlayson M, Halpern BS, Jorge MA, Lombana A, Lourie SA, Martin KD, McManus E, Molnar J, Recchia CA, Robertson J (2007) Marine ecoregions of the world: A bioregionalization of coastal and shelf areas. Bioscience 57(7): 573–583. https://doi.org/10.1641/B570707
- Svavarsson J, Bruce NL (2012) New and little-known gnathiid isopod crustaceans (Cymothoida) from the northern Great Barrier Reef and the Coral Sea. Zootaxa 3380(1): 1–33. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3380.1.1
- Svavarsson J, Bruce NL (2019) New gnathiid isopod crustaceans (Cymothoida) from Heron Island and Wistari Reef, southern Great Barrier Reef. Zootaxa 4609(1): 31–67. https://doi. org/10.11646/zootaxa.4609.1.2
- Tanaka K (2004) A new species of *Gnathia* (Isopoda: Cymothoida: Gnathiidae) from Ishigaki Island, the Ryukyus, southwestern Japan. Crustacean Research 33(0): 51–60. https://doi. org/10.18353/crustacea.33.0\_51
- Watling L (1989) A classification system for crustacean setae based on the homology concept. In: Felgenhauer BE, Watling L, Thistle AB (Eds). Functional Morphology of Feeding and Grooming in Crustacea. Crustacean Issues, 6. A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam: 15–26. https:// doi.org/10.1201/9781003079354-2