RESEARCH ARTICLE



# Chimena gen. nov., a new spider genus (Araneae, Mysmenidae) from China, with descriptions of two new species and a new combination

Yucheng Lin<sup>1,2</sup>, Shuqiang Li<sup>3</sup>

I Key Laboratory of Bio-resources and Eco-environment (Ministry of Education), College of Life Sciences, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, China 2 The Sichuan key Laboratory for Conversation Biology of Endangered Wildlife, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, China 3 Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

Corresponding authors: Yucheng Lin (linyucheng@scu.edu.cn), Shuqiang Li (lisq@ioz.ac.cn)

Academic editor: Dimitar Dimitrov | Received 24 April 2022 | Accepted 30 September 2022 | Published 20 October 2022

https://zoobank.org/BC000963-14DB-433C-B517-ED05918968CF

**Citation:** Lin Y, Li S (2022) *Chimena* gen. nov., a new spider genus (Araneae, Mysmenidae) from China, with descriptions of two new species and a new combination. ZooKeys 1125: 69–86. https://doi.org/10.3897/zooKeys.1125.85741

#### Abstract

A new mysmenid genus, *Chimena* gen. nov., is reported from China. Two new species: *C. qiong* sp. nov. (Hainan,  $\Im Q$ , the type species) and *C. nantou* sp. nov. (Taiwan, Q) are illustrated and described in detail. A new combination is suggested: *Chimena taiwanica* (Ono, 2007) comb. nov. (Taiwan,  $\Im Q$ , transferred from *Mysmena* Simon, 1894). The molecular phylogeny and morphological characters were used to discuss the taxonomy and circumscription of the newly erected genus.

#### Keywords

Diagnosis, Hainan, mysmenids, new genus, symphytognathoids, Taiwan, taxonomy

# Introduction

The spider family Mysmenidae Petrunkevitch, 1928 includes 158 extant species in 14 genera (WSC 2022), making it the second most species-rich spider family of the symphytognathoids. Known species of Mysmenidae are recorded mainly in Asia and South America (Brescovit and Lopardo 2008; Lin and Li 2008, 2013, 2014, 2016; Miller et al. 2009; Feng et al. 2019; Li and Lin 2019; Dupérré and Tapia 2020). Lopardo et al.

(2011) suggested that this family is distributed worldwide, and its diversity is grossly underestimated due to their small size and cryptic lifestyle.

In Asia, nearly 50 species of nine genera have been recorded. Simon (1895a, b) first reported three species from Sri Lanka and the Philippines. Baert (1988) described three species from Sulawesi, Indonesia. The Vietnamese mysmenids were first reported by Lin and Li (2014), and three species were recorded. Nearly 40 species from South China have been described in the past 20 years, more than half of them from Yunnan Province (Lin and Li 2008, 2013; Miller et al. 2009). However, the extraordinary species diversity of Mysmenidae in China and surrounding areas needs to be further investigated.

The aim of this paper is to expand the knowledge about the species diversity of Chinese mysmenid spiders by describing a new genus and two new species and proposing one new combination.

# Materials and methods

### Material

The mysmenid specimens in this study were collected in Taiwan and Hainan, China, between June 2011 and July 2013. All the specimens were collected by sifting leaf litter or by hand and stored in 95% ethanol at -20 °C.

# Molecular data

We selected seven specimens from two new species and used the prosoma and all of the legs to extract genomic DNA to amplify COI, H3, 16S, 18S, and 28S. DNA was extracted with the TIANamp Micro DNA Kit (**TIANGEN**) following the manufacturer's protocol for animal tissues. The five gene fragments were amplified in  $25\mu$ L reactions. Primer pairs and PCR protocols are given in Table 1. Raw sequences were edited and assembled using BioEdit v.7.2.5 (Hall 1999). New sequences from this study were deposited in GenBank, and the accession numbers are reported in Table 2. All molecular vouchers and material are stored in the Natural History Museum of Sichuan University in Chengdu (**NHMSU**), China.

We analysed data from 50 species of symphytognathoids including members of the families Theridiosomatidae Simon, 1881, Mysmenidae, Anapidae Simon, 1895, and Symphytognathidae Hickman, 1931. We used the MAFFT v.7.450 online server (https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/) with default parameters to align the sequences of *Chimena* and *Chanea* species involved in this study. All sequences were concatenated in SequenceMatrix v.1.7.8 (Vaidya et al. 2011). PartitionFinder2 (Lanfear et al. 2017) was used to identify the best-fit models of molecular evolution for each locus. GTR+I+G was selected for COI, H3, 18S, and 28S, and GTR+G was selected for 16S.

We analysed the data using both maximum parsimony (MP) and Bayesian Inference (BI). The MP tree was constructed using MEGA X (Kumar et al. 2018) with TBR (Tree-Bisection-Reconnection) branch swapping and 2000 bootstrap replicates

Locus	Annealing	Direction	Primer	Sequence 5'→3'	Reference
	temperature/				
	time				
16S	46.45°/30s	F	16sb2_12864	CTCCGGTTTGAACTCAGATCA	Hormiga et al. 2003
		R	LR-J-13360	GTAAGGCCTGCTCAATGA	Feng et al. 2019
	47°/30s	F	16S-A	CGCCTGTTTATCAAAAACAT	Palumbi et al. 1991
		R	16S-B	CTCCGGTTTGAACTCAGATCA	
18S	52.1°/30s	F	18s_1F	TACCTGGTTGATCCTGCCAGTAG	Giribet et al. 1996
		R	18s_1000R	GTGGTGCCCTTCCGTCAATT	Balczun et al. 2005
28SD2	54.9°/30s	F	28sa	GACCCGTCTTGAAACACGGA	Rix et al. 2008
		R	LSUR	GCTACTACCACCAAGATCTGCA	
COI	48.95°/30s	F	LCO1490	GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG	Folmer et al. 1994
		R	HCO2198	TAAACTTCAGGGTGACCAAAAAATCA	
	46°/30s	F	LCO1490	GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG	Simon et al. 1994
		R	COI-Nancy	CCCGGTAAAATTAAAATATAAACTTC	
H3	48°/30s	F	H3af	ATGGCTCGTACCAAGCAGACVGC	Colgan et al. 1998
		R	H3ar	ATATCCTTRGGCATRATRGTGAC	
	50°/30s	F	H3nf	ATGGCTCGTACCAAGCAGAC	
		R	H3nr	ATRTCCTTGGGCATGATTGTTAC	

Table 1. The loci, primer pairs, and PCR protocols used in this study.

Table 2. GenBank accession numbers for newly generated DNA sequences.

Species	Identifier	16S	18S	28S	COI	H3
Chimena taiwanica	TW02	OP022513	OP022536	OP022496	OP053348	OP095882
Chimena qiong sp. nov.	HN01	OP022508	OP022530	OP022484	OP021152	OP095876
	HN02	OP022509	OP022531	OP022485	OP053341	OP095877
	HN05	OP022510	OP022532	OP022486	OP053342	OP095878
	HN08	OP022511	OP022533	OP022487	_	OP095879
	HN09	OP022512	OP022535	OP022495	OP053347	OP095881
	HN10	_	OP022534	OP022488	_	OP095880
Chanea suukyii	GlgMY01	OP022523	OP022541	OP022501	OP053353	OP095887
	GlgMY02	OP022524	OP022542	OP022502	OP053354	OP095888
	GlgMY03	OP022525	OP022543	OP022503	OP053355	OP095889
	GlgMY04	OP022526	OP022544	OP022504	OP053356	OP095890
	GlgMY05	OP022527	OP022545	OP022505	OP053357	OP095891
	GlgMY80	OP022528	OP022546	OP022506	OP053358	OP095892
	GlgMY98	OP022529	OP022547	OP022507	OP053359	OP095893
Chanea voluta	XZ01	OP022516	OP022548	OP022489	OP023992	OP095896
	XZ02	OP022517	OP022549	OP022490	OP053345	OP095897
	XZ03	OP022518	OP022550	OP022491	OP053346	OP095898
	XZ04	OP022519	OP022551	OP022492	OP038899	OP095899
<i>Chanea</i> sp.	MS_261_MYA	OP022522	OP022539	OP022499	OP053351	OP095885
<i>Chanea</i> sp.	MS_263_MYA	_	OP022540	OP022500	OP053352	OP095886
<i>Chanea</i> sp.	MS_250_INN	OP022520	OP022537	OP022497	OP053349	OP095883
<i>Chanea</i> sp.	MS_251_INN	OP022521	OP022538	OP022498	OP053350	OP095884
<i>Chanea</i> sp.	INNE02	OP022514	OP022552	OP022493	OP053343	OP095894
<i>Chanea</i> sp.	INNE03	OP022515	OP022553	OP022494	OP053344	OP095895

with all other parameters set to default. BI was performed using MrBayes v.3.2.7 (Ronquist et al. 2012) on the Cipres Science Gateway (Miller et al. 2010), with four Markov Chains (MCMCs) with default heating parameters for 50,000,000 genera-

tions until the average standard deviation of split frequencies was less than 0.01. The Markov chains were sampled every 1000 generations, and the first 25% of sampled trees were burn-in.

# Morphological data

Specimens were examined and measured under a Leica M205 C stereomicroscope. Further details were examined using an Olympus BX51 compound microscope. Male palps and epigynes were examined and photographed after dissection. They were treated in lactic acid for several minutes, and subsequently embedded in Hoyer's Solution before photographing. Photos were made with a Canon EOS 60D wide zoom digital camera (8.5 megapixels) mounted on the Olympus BX51 compound microscope. Images were combined using Helicon Focus v.3.10 software (Khmelik et al. 2006). All measurements are in millimetres. Leg measurements are given as follows: total length (femur, patella, tibia, metatarsus, and tarsus). Abbreviations of institutions and morphological terminology are given in Table 3. References to figures in cited papers are listed in lowercase (fig. or figs), and figures in this paper are noted with an initial capital (Fig. or Figs).

Morphological terminologies								
AER	anterior eye row	FD	fertilization ducts					
ALE	anterior lateral eyes	MN	male metatarsal nodule at distal-prolaterally					
AME	anterior median eyes	MS	male metatarsal clasping spine					
BH	basal haematodocha	PC	paracymbium					
CD	copulatory ducts	PER	posterior eye row					
CS	cheliceral spines rooted at base	PLE	posterior lateral eyes					
CyC	cymbial conductor	PME	posterior median eyes					
CyF	cymbial fold	S	spermathecae					
CyFs	setae on cymbial fold	SD	spermatic duct					
CyP1	process on cymbial conductor	SP	scape					
CyP2	process on paracymbium	St	subtegulum					
E	embolus	Ti	palpal tibia					
Institutions								
FRIT	Forestry Research Institute of Taipei, Taipei, China							
IZCAS	Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China							
NSMT	Department of Zoology, National Science Museum, Tokyo, Japan							
NHMSU	Natural History Museum of Sichuan University, Chengdu, China							

Table 3. List of abbreviations used in the text or figures.

# Results

## Phylogenetic analysis

The topologies from both the MP and BI analyses (Figs 1, 2) showed mysmenids and theridiosomatids were highly supported as monophyletic in both analyses, although the

position of theridiosomatids was not consistent between analyses. Symphytognathidae was rendered polyphyletic by three anapid species. The monophyly of Anapidae is not strongly supported and is rendered paraphyletic due to the placement of the theridiid *Steatoda borealis* (Hentz, 1850). In the BI tree anapids are divided into two highly supported clades that we refer to as "Anapidae 1" and "Anapidae 2" (Fig. 2), but Anapidae 2 is rendered polyphyletic by the theridiid *Steatoda borealis* and the linyphiid *Linyphia triangularis* (Clerck, 1757).



**Figure 1.** Tree topology obtained by maximum likelihood. Numbers at nodes are bootstrap values. The clade of *Chimena* gen. nov. (yellow) + *Chanea* is nested within Mysmenidae (blue). Further clades are Symphytognathidae (green), Anapidae (pink) and Theridiosomatidae (orange).



**Figure 2.** Bayesian inference tree. Numbers at nodes posterior probabilities. The monophyly of Mysmenidae (blue), Theridiosomatidae (orange), and *Chimena* gen. nov. (yellow) are highly supported. Note the paraphyly of Anapidae (pink) and placement of *Steatoda\_borealis* and *Linyphia\_triangularis* within "Anapidae 2"; three anapid species (red star) are nested within Symphytognathidae (green).

# Taxonomy

# Mysmenidae Petrunkevitch, 1928

## Chimena gen. nov.

https://zoobank.org//76A8EC9A-6199-4D97-810E-966B5C675A56

Type species. Chimena qiong sp. nov.

**Etymology.** The generic name is a combination of the first three letters of China and the latter half of *Mysmena*. The gender is feminine.

Diagnosis. Chimena gen. nov. differs from other mysmenid genera by the presence of strong spines on the chelicerae of males (as in some Chinese species of Gaoligonga Miller, Griswold & Yin, 2009 and Mysmena Miller, Griswold & Yin, 2009; see fig. 38A in Miller et al. 2009, fig. 8C in Lin and Li 2014, and figs 5E, 6A, 7E in Lin and Li 2008); a very long embolus spiralling around the bulb at least 5 times; and the spermathecae near the posterior margin of the epigyne; the copulatory ducts are highly coiled and extend anteriorly. Chimena gen. nov. is morphologically similar to Chanea Miller, Griswold & Yin, 2009 in having an extremely coiled embolus (cf. Figs 3A, 5A; figs 49A-B, 51A-B in Miller et al. 2009; figs 3A in Lin and Li 2016) and a membranous, translucent, wrinkled scape (Figs 4J, 6J, 7F; fig. 4D in Lin and Li 2016). Males can be distinguished by the presence of a cymbial process (CyP1, CyP2), which is absent in Chanea (Figs 3D, 3F, 5B, 5G vs. 49A, 49B in Miller et al. 2009 and figs 2C, 3C in Lin and Li 2016). Females differ by having the spiral rod-shaped spermathecae close to the posterior margin of the epigyne, versus globular spermathecae located anteriorly in Chanea, as well as the copulatory ducts not being entwined with the fertilization ducts [intertwined in Chanea (Figs 4I, J, 6I, J, 7E-F vs. fig. 49C in Miller et al. 2009 and fig. 4C–D in Lin and Li 2016)].

**Description.** Carapace pear-shaped, cephalic part distinctly raised in male; clypeus slightly concave. Ocular area black, AME black, others white; AER procurved, PER recurved or straight; ALE adjoined to AME and PLE, AMEs separated by at least its diameter; further separated in males than in females. Two or three pairs of strong spines on anterior surface of male chelicerae (Figs 4E, 6E). Labium fused to sternum. Sternum triangular, slightly plump, posteriorly truncated, light colour anteriorly and centrally. Each leg segment proximally pale yellow, distally darkish grey. Male with a mesal clasping spine and a distal, small nodule prolaterally on metatarsus I (Fig. 3C), female with weakly sclerotized spot on femur I. Abdomen dorsally rounded, surrounded by stripe of white pigmentation laterally and posteriorly. Venter black between epigastric furrow and spinnerets (Figs 4B, D, 6B, D, 7B).

*Male palp.* Tibia swollen, proximally narrow and distally broad, larger number of long setae on dorsally than ventrally (Figs 3F–G, 5G–H). Cymbium translucent, encloses ventral and prolateral sides of bulb (Figs 3G, 5E, H). Paracymbium flat, wide, with a few long setae and a horn-shaped process (CyP2) distally (Figs 3D, F, 5B, D). Distal part of cymbium extends to form an apical cymbial conductor (CyC), with horn-shaped or dentoid process (CyP1) attached to lateral margin of cymbial conductor (Figs 3E, F, 5B–D, G–H). Tegulum flat, without any process or projection (Figs 3F, 5D, F). Embolus slender, filiform, elongate, encircles the bulb multiple times, end extends to apex of cymbial conductor (Figs 3F, 5E, G–H).

*Epigyne and vulva.* Genital area covered with sparse setae, sclerotized spermathecae faintly visible through tegument (Figs 4H, 6H, 7D). Scape wrinkled, membranous, finger-like, short. Spermathecae rod-shaped, spiral, near epigynal posteromargin, separated from one another by about their length. Most of copulatory ducts membranous, extending anteriorly, coiled, overlapped with anterior end of spermathecae. Fertilization ducts relatively long, wide, originating at distal part of spermathecae, middle and proximal parts entwined with spermathecae, distal part thins gradually, inflexed (Figs 4I–J, 6I–J, 7E–F).

**Composition.** *Chimena qiong* sp. nov., *C. taiwanica* (Ono, 2007) comb. nov., and *C. nantou* sp. nov.

Distribution. China (Hainan, Taiwan).

### Chimena qiong sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/B9AAA398-0B07-4F88-8477-643064500729 Figs 3, 4, 8

**Type material.** *Holotype* ♂ (**IZCAS**) and *paratypes* 2♀ (**IZCAS**), CHINA: Hainan Province, Limushan Township, Limushan Natural Reserve, Yinhe Protected Station, 19°12.002'N, 109°43.710'E, 591±20 m, 25.III.2012, Z. Chen leg.; *paratypes* 2♀ (**IZ-CAS**), CHINA: Hainan Province, Changjiang Township, Bawangling Natural Reserve, near the Yaga Convention Centre, 19°04.828'N, 109°07.369'E, 567±20 m, 13.IV.2012, Z. Chen leg.; *Paratypes* 1♂ (**IZCAS**); CHINA: Hainan Province, Lingshui County, Diaoluoshan Natural Reserve, 18°43.505'N, 108°52.104'E, 920 m, 18.VI.2011, Y. Zhou leg.

**Etymology.** The species epithet, a noun in apposition, refers to 'qiong', which is short for Hainan Province.

**Diagnosis.** Males and females are similar to *Chimena taiwanica* comb. nov. in having a long, coiled embolus and the configuration of the vulva, but they can be distinguished by having three pairs of cheliceral spines (two pairs in the latter) (Fig. 4E vs. Fig. 6E) and a horn-shaped process (CyP1) on the cymbial conductor (tooth-shaped in the latter) (Fig. 3E, G vs. Fig. 5C, H). The female differs from congeners by the strongly spiralled, longer spermathecae (moderately spiralled in *C. taiwanica* comb. nov., and shorter in *C. nantou* sp. nov.) (Fig. 4I, J vs. Figs 6I, J, 7E, F).

**Description. Male.** Habitus as in Fig. 4A, B, E, F. Total length 0.63. Carapace 0.23 long, 0.24 wide. Clypeus 0.11 high. Sternum 0.20 long, 0.19 wide. Abdomen 0.45 long, 0.44 wide. Length of legs: I 0.92 (0.29, 0.13, 0.18, 0.14, 0.18); II 0.80 (0.27, 0.09, 0.17, 0.12, 0.15); III 0.60 (0.17, 0.08, 0.12, 0.11, 0.12); IV 0.73 (0.21, 0.08, 0.15, 0.14, 0.15). Carapace pale yellow, black on cephalic area, pear-shaped. Cephalic area strongly raised. AER procurved, PER straight. Mouthparts pale brown. Chelicerae bearing 3 pairs of strong spines anteriorly (Fig. 4E). Sternum subtriangular, slightly plump, pale, anterior-centrally and laterally black, posteriorly truncated. Legs pale, gradually darkening to grey at each segment distally. Patella with distodorsal seta, proximal seta on tibia. Mesal clasping spine and distal, small nodule on metatarsus I (Figs 3C, 4B). Abdominal dorsum rounded, darkish grey, with paired light speckles, white stripe laterally and posteriorly. Posterior area of epigastric furrow and spinnerets black. Colulus black, long, tongue shaped.

**Palp** (Fig. 3A, B, D–G): weakly sclerotized. Femur equal to 2.2× length of patella, patella approximately half of tibial width. Tibia cup-shaped, with dense, long setae



**Figure 3.** *Chimena qiong* sp. nov., male **A** left palpal bulb, retrolateral **B** palpal bulb, prolateral **C** distal segments of right leg I, prolateral **D** cymbium, apical **E** cymbium, retrolateral **F** left palp, retrolateral **G** left palp, prolateral. Abbreviations: BH basal haematodocha; CyC cymbial conductor; CyF cymbial fold; CyFs setae on cymbial fold; CyP1 process on cymbial conductor; CyP2 process on paracymbium; PC paracymbium; E embolus; MN male metatarsal nodule at distal-prolaterally; MS male metatarsal clasping spine; SD spermatic duct; St subtegulum; Ti palpal tibia. Scale bars: 0.10 mm.



**Figure 4.** *Chimena qiong* sp. nov., male (**A**, **B**, **E**, **F**) and female (**C**, **D**, **G**–**J**) **A**, **C** habitus, dorsal **B**, **D** habitus, ventral **E** prosoma, front-lateral, **F**, **G** habitus, lateral **H** epigyne, ventral **I** vulva, ventral **J** vulva, dorsal. Abbreviations: CD copulatory ducts; CS cheliceral spines rooted at base; FD fertilization ducts; MN male metatarsal nodule at distal-prolaterally; MS male metatarsal clasping spine; S spermathecae; SP scape. Scale bars: 0.50 mm (**A**–**D**, **F**, **G**); 0.20 mm (**E**); 0.10 mm (**H**–**J**).

dorsally. Cymbium narrow basally, wrapped around bulb ventrally and retrolaterally; distal cymbial conductor triangular, lamellar, a sub-distal tooth-shaped process (CyP1) at medial margin; paracymbium wide, earlobe shaped, bearing a few long setae and a sharp process (CyP2) distally. CyP1 almost same length as CyP2. Cymbial fold located at base of CyP1, with a few short setae (CyFs). Tegulum flat, smooth; subtegulum translucent, inner spermatic duct faintly visible. Embolus very long, filiform, tightly coiled around entire tegulum at least 10 times, distal end extending to cymbial conductor (CyC).

**Female.** Habitus as in Fig. 4C, D, G. Total length 0.72. Carapace 0.23 long, 0.25 wide. Clypeus 0.06 high. Sternum 0.19 long, 0.17 wide. Abdomen 0.48 long, 0.43 wide. Length of legs: I 0.90 (0.28, 0.12, 0.18, 0.15, 0.17); II 0.82 (0.25, 0.10, 0.18, 0.13, 0.15); III 0.63 (0.18, 0.09, 0.12, 0.11, 0.13); IV 0.76 (0.22, 0.09, 0.15, 0.14, 0.16). Cephalic area moderately raised, chelicerae unmodified, femur I with weak sclerotized spot; other features as in male.

*Epigyne* (Fig. 4H–J): genital area bears sparse setae, with central dark speckle. Scape tongue shaped, protruded, rugose, membranous. Spermathecae long, claviform, separated by about their length, base near epigynal posterior margin. Copulatory ducts membranous, translucent, distal part overlapping and convoluted at spermathecae anteriorly. Fertilization ducts long, middle and proximal parts entwined with spermathecae, distal part extends horizontally to atrium.

Distribution. China (Hainan) (Fig. 8).

#### Chimena taiwanica (Ono, 2007) comb. nov.

Figs 5, 6, 8

*Mysmena taiwanica* Ono, in Ono et al. 2006: 73, figs 8–19 ( $\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ ).

**Type material.** *Holotype*  $\mathcal{J}$  (**FRIT**) and *paratypes*  $2\mathcal{Q}$  (**NSMT**), **CHINA**: southern Taiwan, Kaohsiung Hsien, Shanping Work Station of Liukuei Research Center, ca 700 m, by sifting soil litter in a forest, 9.III.2005, H. Ono leg. Not examined.

**Examined materials.** 63139 (**IZCAS**), **CHINA**: central Taiwan, Nantou County, Ren'ai Township, Xinsheng Village, Huisun Farm, 24°05.279'N, 121°02.078'E, 788 m, 1.VII.2013, G. Zheng leg.

**Diagnosis.** Chimena taiwanica comb. nov. is similar to C. qiong sp. nov. in having strong, modified cheliceral spines in the males (cf. Figs 6E, 4E), a long and multicoiled embolus (cf. Figs 5A, 3A), and in females, the similar configuration of the vulva, as in that of C. nantou sp. nov. (cf. Figs 6J, 4J, 7F). Males of C. taiwanica can be distinguished by having 2 pairs of cheliceral spines (3 pairs in C. qiong sp. nov.) (Fig. 6E vs. Fig. 4E and figs 8, 10 in Ono et al. 2006) and a tooth-shaped process (CyP1) (process horn-shaped in C. qiong) (Fig. 5C, H vs. Fig. 3E, G). The female differs from C. qiong sp. nov. by the moderately spiralled, thinner spermathecae tapered at the base (strongly spiralled, thicker and blunt at the base in C. qiong sp. nov.) (Fig. 6I, J vs. Fig. 4I, J); and from C. nantou sp. nov. by the longer spermathe-



**Figure 5.** *Chimena taiwanica* (Ono, 2007) comb. nov., male **A** left palpal bulb, retrolateral **B** cymbium, apical **C** cymbium, dorsal-retrolateral **D** left palp, apical **E** left palp, ventral **F** left palp, dorsal **G** left palp, retrolateral **H** left palp, retrolateral. Abbreviations: Cy cymbium; CyC cymbial conductor; CyF cymbial fold; CyFs setae on cymbial fold; CT cymbial tooth; CyP2 process on paracymbium; PC paracymbium; E embolus; SD spermatic duct; St subtegulum; T tegulum; Ti palpal tibia. Scale bars: 0.10 mm.



**Figure 6.** *Chimena taiwanica* (Ono, 2007) comb. nov., male (**A**, **B**, **E**, **F**) and female (**C**, **D**, **G**–**J**) **A**, **C** habitus, dorsal **B**, **D** habitus, ventral **E** prosoma, front-lateral **F**, **G** habitus, lateral **H** epigyne, ventral **I** vulva, ventral **J** vulva, dorsal. Abbreviations: CD copulatory ducts; CS cheliceral spines rooted at base; FD fertilization ducts; MS male metatarsal clasping spine; S spermathecae; SP scape. Scale bars: 0.50 mm (**A**–**D**, **F**, **G**); 0.20 mm (**E**); 0.10 mm (**H–J**).

cae narrowed in the middle (shorter and wider at the middle in *C. nantou* sp. nov.) (Fig. 6I, J vs. Fig. 7E, F).

**Description. Male.** Habitus as in Fig. 6A, B, E, F. Total length 0.65. Carapace 0.24 long, 0.24 wide. Clypeus 0.12 high. Sternum 0.22 long, 0.20 wide. Abdomen 0.43 long, 0.43 wide. Length of legs: I 0.96 (0.30, 0.13, 0.19, 0.14, 0.20); II 0.84 (0.27, 0.11, 0.17, 0.13, 0.16); III 0.62 (0.17, 0.09, 0.12, 0.11, 0.13); IV 0.74 (0.22, 0.09, 0.15, 0.14, 0.16). Features same as in *C. qiong* sp. nov., except for 2 paired spines on chelicerae and darker body colouration.

**Palp** (Fig. 5A–H): weakly sclerotized. Femur equal to 2.4× length of patella, patella about half of tibial width. Tibia cup-shaped in prolateral view, slightly wider than long, bearing long setae with more dorsally than ventrally. Cymbium constricted basally, enwrapping bulb ventrally and retrolaterally; distal cymbial conductor (CyC) triangular, lamellar, tooth-shaped process (CyP1) at medial margin (Fig. 5G). Paracymbium long, with sharp distal process (CyP2), a few long setae (Fig. 5C, G). CyP1 smaller and shorter than CyP2. Cymbial fold at base of CyP1 (Fig. 5B), with a few short setae (CyFs). Tegulum flat, smooth, button-shaped (Fig. 5D); subtegulum translucent, spermatic duct faintly visible. Embolus very long, filiform, strongly sclerotized, tightly coiled around entire tegulum ca 8 times, distal end extended slightly beyond cymbial conductor (CyC) (Fig. 5G, H).

**Female.** Habitus as in Fig. 6C, D, G. Total length 0.78. Carapace 0.24 long, 0.22 wide. Clypeus 0.06 high. Sternum 0.24 long, 0.20 wide. Abdomen 0.46 long, 0.44 wide. Length of legs: I 1.00 (0.30, 0.13, 0.20, 0.15, 0.22); II 0.86 (0.27, 0.12, 0.17, 0.13, 0.18); III 0.68 (0.18, 0.09, 0.14, 0.14, 0.15); IV 0.78 (0.23, 0.10, 0.16, 0.14, 0.17). Cephalic area lower than in male, chelicerae unmodified, femur I with weak sclerotized spot; other features as in male.

*Epigyne* (Fig. 6H–J): vulval configuration similar to *C. qiong* sp. nov. Spermathecae narrow, proximally base tapering, separated by more than their length.

**Distribution.** China (Taiwan) (Fig. 8).

**Remarks.** Although the type specimens of *Chimena taiwanica* comb. nov. (= *Mysmena taiwanica* Ono, 2007) have not been examined for this study, the modified strong spines on the male chelicerae, the very long, multiply coiled embolus around the bulb, the paracymbium with two processes (CyP1, CyP2), the shape of epigyne, and the protruded scape depicted in the original illustrations (see figs 8, 10, 12–15, 18–19 in Ono et al. 2006: 74–76) leave little doubt that our identification is correct. Additionally, the specimens examined here were also collected from Taiwan, not too far from the type locality.

#### Chimena nantou sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/DCD77110-6568-4DBA-9B03-8063C16EBC41 Figs 7, 8

**Type material.** *Holotype* ♀ (**IZCAS**), **CHINA**: Taiwan, Nantou County, Ren'ai Township, Songgang Village, 24°05.222'N, 121°10.335'E, 2067 m, 2.VII.2013, G. Zheng leg.



**Figure 7.** *Chimena nantou* sp. nov., holotype female **A** habitus, dorsal **B** habitus, ventral **C** habitus, lateral **D** epigyne, ventral **E** vulva, ventral **F** vulva, dorsal. Abbreviations: CD copulatory ducts; FD fertilization ducts; S spermathecae; SP scape. Scale bars: 0.50 mm (**A**–**C**); 0.10 mm (**D**–**F**).

Etymology. The new species is named after the type locality; noun in apposition.

**Diagnosis.** *Chimena nantou* sp. nov. shares a similar configuration of the vulva to *C. qiong* sp. nov. and *C. taiwanica* comb. nov., but differs from the former by the shorter spermathecae with fewer spirals (longer and with more spirals in *C. qiong*) (cf. Fig. 7E, F vs. Fig. 4I, J), and from the latter by the more compact spermathecae (elongated in the latter) (cf. Fig. 7E, F vs. Fig. 6I, J).

**Description. Female:** Habitus as in Fig. 7A–C. Total length 0.75. Carapace 0.25 long, 0.23 wide. Clypeus 0.07 high. Sternum 0.24 long, 0.22 wide. Abdomen 0.45 long, 0.42 wide. Length of legs: I 0.98 (0.30, 0.13, 0.19, 0.14, 0.22); II 0.87 (0.27, 0.12, 0.17, 0.14, 0.18); III 0.66 (0.19, 0.09, 0.14, 0.14, 0.16); IV 0.80 (0.23, 0.10, 0.16, 0.15, 0.18). Somatic features as in female of *C. taiwanica* comb. nov.

**Epigyne** (Fig. 7D–F): vulval configuration similar to *C. qiong* sp. nov. and *C. taiwanica* comb. nov. Genital area bears sparse setae, without a dark speckle. Spermathecae short, tapering at distal end and proximal base, separated by ca 1.1× their length. Scape knob shaped, rugose, membranous. Copulatory ducts translucent. Most of fertilization ducts intertwined with spermathecae, distal part of fertilization ducts thin, inflected.

Male. Unknown.

Distribution. China (Taiwan) (Fig. 8).



Figure 8. Distribution records of three *Chimena* spp.: *C. qiong* sp. nov. (red dot), *C. taiwanica* (green dot) and *C. nantou* sp. nov. (blue dot).

## Discussion

We tested the phylogenetic and taxonomic position of *Chimena* gen. nov. based on molecular data and unique morphological evidence. The results of our analyses indicate that *Chimena* gen. nov. is highly supported. However, further detailed phylogenetic analysis based on more mysmenid specimens will help better place the mysmenid species and genera.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Yuri M. Marusik (Magadan, Russia) and an anonymous referee for insightful comments, and are especially grateful to Dimitar Dimitrov (Bergen, Norway), the subject editor of this manuscript, for his kind help. Danni Sherwood (UK) and Sarah Crews (San Francisco, USA) kindly checked the English of the final draft. This study was supported by the National Natural Foundation of China (NSFC-31972870, 31772410, 31750002).

## References

- Baert L (1988) The Ochyroceratidae and Mysmenidae from Sulawesi (Araneae). Indo-Malayan Zoology 5: 9–22.
- Brescovit AD, Lopardo L (2008) The first record on the spider genus *Trogloneta* Simon in the southern hemisphere (Araneae, Mysmenidae), with descriptions of three new species from Brazil and remarks on the morphology. Acta Zoologica, Stockholm 89(2): 93–106. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6395.2007.00296.x
- Dupérré N, Tapia E (2020) Megadiverse Ecuador: A review of *Mysmenopsis* (Araneae, Mysmenidae) of Ecuador, with the description of twenty-one new kleptoparasitic spider species. Zootaxa 4761(1): 1–81. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4761.1.1
- Feng C, Miller JA, Lin Y, Shu Y (2019) Further study of two Chinese cave spiders (Araneae, Mysmenidae), with description of a new genus. ZooKeys 870: 77–100. https://doi. org/10.3897/zookeys.870.35971
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: A user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series 41: 95–98. https://10.1021/bk-1999-0734.ch008
- Khmelik VV, Kozub D, Glazunov A (2006) Helicon Focus 3.10.3. http://www.heliconsoft. com/heliconfocus.html [accessed on 10 September 2018]
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K (2018) MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. Molecular Biology and Evolution 35(6): 1547–1549. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096
- Lanfear R, Frandsen PB, Wright AM, Senfeld T, Calcott B (2017) Partitionfinder 2: New methods for selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and morphological

phylogenetic analyses. Molecular Biology and Evolution 34(3): 772–773. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw260

- Li Y, Lin Y (2019) Taxonomic review of the Asian *Trogloneta* species (Araneae, Mysmenidae). ZooKeys 817: 41–60. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.817.30468
- Lin Y, Li S (2008) Mysmenid Spiders of China (Araneae: Mysmenidae). Annales Zoologici 58(3): 487–520. https://doi.org/10.3161/000345408X364337
- Lin Y, Li S (2013) Two new species of the genera *Mysmena* and *Trogloneta* (Mysmenidae, Araneae) from southwestern China. ZooKeys 303: 33–51. https://doi.org/10.3897/zook-eys.303.4808
- Lin Y, Li S (2014) Mysmenidae (Arachnida, Araneae), a spider family newly recorded from Vietnam. Zootaxa 3826(1): 169–194. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3826.1.5
- Lin Y, Li S (2016) Mysmenidae, a spider family newly recorded from Tibet (Arachnida, Araneae). ZooKeys 549: 51–69. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.549.6046
- Lopardo L, Giribet G, Hormiga G (2011) Morphology to the rescue: Molecular data and the signal of morphological characters in combined phylogenetic analyses a case study from mysmenid spiders (Araneae, Mysmenidae), with comments on the evolution of web architecture. Cladistics 27(3): 278–330. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2010.00332.x
- Miller JA, Griswold CE, Yin CM (2009) The symphytognathoid spiders of the Gaoligongshan, Yunnan, China (Araneae, Araneoidea): Systematics and diversity of micro-orbweavers. ZooKeys 11: 9–195. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.11.160
- Miller MA, Pfeiffer WT, Schwartz T (2010) Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1109/gce.2010.5676129
- Ono H, Chang YH, Tso IM (2006) Three new spiders of the families Theridiidae and Anapidae (Araneae) from southern Taiwan. Memoirs of the National Science Museum, Tokyo 44: 71–82. https://doi.org/10.2476/asjaa.44.71
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP (2012) MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Systematic Biology 61(3): 539–542. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029
- Simon E (1895a) Etudes arachnologiques. 26e. XLI. Descriptions d'espèces et de genres nouveaux de l'ordre des Araneae. Annales de la Société Entomologique de France 64: 131–160.
- Simon E (1895b) Histoire naturelle des araignées. Deuxième édition, tome premier. Roret, Paris, 761–1084.
- Vaidya G, Lohman DJ, Meier R (2011) SequenceMatrix: Concatenation software for the fast assembly of multi-gene datasets with character set and codon information. Cladistics 27(2): 171–180. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2010.00329.x
- WSC (2022) World Spider Catalog. Version 23.0. Natural History Museum Bern. [accessed April 21, 2022]