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#### Abstract

Initially described in 1882, Chromis enchrysurus, the Yellowtail Reeffish, was redescribed in 1982 to account for an observed color morph that possesses a white tail instead of a yellow one, but morphological and geographic boundaries between the two color morphs were not well understood. Taking advantage of newly collected material from submersible studies of deep reefs and photographs from rebreather dives, this study sought to determine whether the white-tailed Chromis is actually a color morph of Chromis enchrysurus or a distinct species. Phylogenetic analyses of mitochondrial genes cytochrome b and cytochrome c oxidase subunit I separated Chromis enchrysurus and the white-tailed Chromis into two reciprocally monophyletic clades. A principal component analysis based on 27 morphological characters separated the two groups into clusters that correspond with caudal-fin coloration, which was either known or presumed based on the specimen's collection site according to biogeographic data on species boundaries in the Greater Caribbean. Genetic, morphological, and biogeographic data all indicate that the white-tailed Chromis is a distinct species, herein described as Chromis vanbebberae sp. nov. The discovery of a new species within a conspicuous group such as damselfishes in a well-studied region of the world highlights the importance of deep-reef exploration in documenting undiscovered biodiversity.
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## Introduction

Chromis enchrysurus Jordan \& Gilbert, 1882 is a species of Pomacentridae found on reefs in the tropical and subtropical western Atlantic Ocean from 5-146 m depth (Emery and Smith-Vaniz 1982). The species was first described by Gilbert and Jordan (1882) based on three specimens from Pensacola on the northeast Gulf of Mexico coast of Florida, USA. Jordan later provided the etymology in 'The Fishes of North and
 golden, and oov̀ó (oura), meaning tail, indicating that the species was named for its bright yellow caudal fin. Studies dating back to at least Smith-Vaniz and Emery (1980) refer to this species as Chromis enchrysura, based on the fact that the genus Chromis is feminine (Emery 1975). However, Jordan and Gilbert (1882) did not specify whether enchrysurus was intended as an adjective or noun in apposition. Following article 31.2 of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, if it is unclear how the name was intended, the name should then be treated as a noun in apposition with the original spelling unchanged, and gender need not match that of the genus. Thus, the original name enchrysurus is retained.

Emery and Smith-Vaniz (1982) redescribed C. enchrysurus and analyzed the morphological variation between populations of the species across its range. They noted that C. enchrysurus occasionally possesses white instead of yellow on the caudal, pelvic, anal, and posterior portion of dorsal fins, and that the species comprises either two or three populations (Bermuda, Brazil and Caribbean plus USA) that are morphologically distinct. However, most specimens used in the study were not observed alive, so any correlation between caudal-fin color and morphology or location could not be determined. Furthermore, since no genetic data were available at that time, the white-tailed Chromis was assumed to be a color morph of C. enchrysurus that shared a geographic range and lacked significant differences in morphology (Emery and Smith-Vaniz 1982).

Some of the confusion around the distribution and general biology of the species stems from the white-tailed form being restricted to deep reefs at or below the lower boundary of conventional SCUBA diving ( $\sim 40 \mathrm{~m}$ ). However, research on deep-reef fishes has significantly expanded in the last decade due to advances in technical diving and the use of manned submersibles and remote operated underwater vehicles (ROVs) (Gilmore 2016; Baldwin et al. 2018a; Rocha et al. 2018). In the Caribbean, this has been driven largely by the Smithsonian's Deep Reef Observation Project (DROP). DROP uses manned submersibles to document in-life coloration, collect fresh specimens, and observe live specimens in their natural habitat. Such initiatives have led to the discovery that Caribbean mesophotic ( $\sim 40-130 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and rariphotic ( $\sim 130-300$ $\mathrm{m})$ fish communities are taxonomically distinct from their shallow reef counterparts (Baldwin et al. 2018a; Rocha et al. 2018) and contain a wealth of undescribed biodiversity (Baldwin and Robertson 2013, 2014, 2015; Baldwin and Johnson 2014; Baldwin et al. 2016a, b, 2018b; Tornabene et al. 2016a, b, c; Tornabene and Baldwin 2017, 2019). Similar efforts at sites across the central and western Pacific have resulted
in the discovery of new deep-reef fishes in a variety of taxonomic groups, including the genus Chromis (e.g., Arango et al. 2019; Pinheiro et al. 2019; Tea et al. 2019). Many recently described deep-reef species had never been observed before; however, in some cases, individuals formally recognized as juveniles or color morphs of known species have been identified as new species through observations of fresh and live coloration of both juveniles and adults coupled with DNA analysis (e.g., Baldwin et al. 2016a). At the outset of this study, we considered that this may be the case for the two putative color morphs of C. enchrysurus.

To date, DROP researchers have made collections at five deep-reef sites spanning the eastern and western Caribbean and have documented various Chromis species at each site, including the white-tailed morph of C. enchrysurus. In addition, one of us (LAR) has recorded Chromis spp. from closed-circuit rebreather dives off oceanic islands and the coast of Brazil, south to Sáo Paulo State. Through these observations and collections together with photographic records accumulated by Robertson and Van Tassell (2019), comparisons between the two color morphs of C. enchrysurus made it possible to evaluate whether they represent distinct species. We combine molecular data from the mitochondrial genes cytochrome $b$ and cytochrome c oxidase I, color photographs, distribution data, and morphological data from specimens collected across the entire range of the species complex to demonstrate that the white-tailed color morph represents a distinct species of Chromis, which we describe here, that is largely allopatric with C. enchrysurus. The discovery of this species contributes to our growing understanding of underexplored deep-reef ecosystems.

## Materials and methods

## Geographic range estimation

To determine the geographic range for both color morphs we used data from Robertson and Van Tassell (2019), which includes georeferenced records based on a number of public data aggregators (i.e., OBIS [www.obis.org], GBIF [www.gbif.org], FishNet2 [www.fishnet2.net], iDigBio [www.idigbio.org]), museum specimen databases, and independent collection efforts from the authors and dozens of contributing photographers. Initial estimates of the location of each color morph were made based on the photo-verified records and eyewitness reports from contributors to Robertson and Van Tassell (2019). This was supplemented with data from literature surveys of ROV studies (Colin 1974, 1976; Luiz et al. 2008; Pinheiro et al. 2016; Rosa et al. 2016; Simon et al. 2016; Stefanoudis et al. 2019), and our own specimens collected/ observed from DROP surveys and rebreather dives, creating more comprehensive range estimates. Gaps in data were inferred based on estimates derived from typical biogeographic breaks and provinces in the Greater Caribbean region as described by Robertson and Cramer (2014).

## Specimens

Four fresh yellow-tailed specimens of Chromis enchrysurus were collected from Marathon Key, Florida by Frank Young (Dynasty Marine, Inc; https://dynastymarine.net). Eleven fresh white-tailed specimens were collected from Curaçao and Sint Eustatius during submersible expeditions carried out by DROP. An additional eleven samples collected by DROP from Curaçao were represented only from tissue samples (vouchers were not retained), but white fins were noted from these specimens at the time of collection. DROP specimens were collected by the 'Curasub' crewed submersible, which was equipped with a quinaldine ejection system that was used to anesthetize the fish. A suction tube terminating in a holding tank was used to collect and retain the fish once sedated. Collections took place periodically from 2010 to 2019.

For parts of the species range where no fresh specimens were available, specimens were examined from the University of Kansas (KU), the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission's Fish and Wildlife Research Institute (FSBC), the University of Florida (UF), Louisiana State University Museum of Zoology (LZUMZ), and the Field Museum of Natural History (FMNH); collection acronyms follow Sabaj (2020). Live coloration of preserved specimens was presumed based on estimated ranges of color morphs observed in georeferenced photographs. Eleven of the preserved specimens had associated tissue samples, allowing retroactive confirmation of color morph through genetic comparison with confirmed vouchers. Detailed information on specimens examined in this study is provided in Suppl. material 1: Table S1.

## Morphology

Morphological data were collected from 15 specimens of white-tailed morphs and 32 specimens of yellow-tailed morphs following methods of Pyle et al. (2008). We did not measure caudal fin concavity due to the condition of specimens. Nearly all characters used here were also analyzed by Emery and Smith-Vaniz (1982). A total of 28 characters were measured (plus standard length), and seven characters were counted. Measurements were taken using digital calipers to the nearest 0.1 mm , and counts were made with the aid of a Zeiss Discovery v20 SteREO microscope and cyanine blue dye (Saruwatari et al. 1997) when necessary. Vertebral counts are total vertebral elements (precaudal + caudal vertebrae) not including the urostyle, and were taken from six specimens, three of each color morph, using micro computed tomography scans taken on a Bruker Skyscan 1173 micro-CT scanner at the Karel F. Liem imaging facility at Friday Harbor Laboratories, University of Washington. Due to the condition of some specimens, certain measurements and counts could not be obtained.

Morphological data were analyzed using a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) conducted in RStudio (RStudio Team 2015) after converting values to residuals via linear regression to correct for variation attributable to specimen size. All 28 morphometric variables except standard length were included in the PCA. Average values of a measurement for color morphs were used for specimens that were missing a specific
measurement due to condition (12 specimens were missing at least one measurement for a total of 20 data points).

## Molecular methods

DNA was extracted from tissue preserved in 95\% ethanol using the Qiagen DNAeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, California). For USNM specimens, DNA was extracted using an automated phenol:chloroform protocol on the Autogenprep965 (Autogen, Holliston, MA) using the mouse tail tissue protocol (Baldwin et al. 2009).

The mitochondrial gene cytochrome b (cytb) was targeted using primers FishcytbF and Trucytb-R (Sevilla et al. 2007). The mitochondrial gene cytochrome c oxidase I (COI) was targeted using FISHCO1LBC and FISHCO1HBC (Baldwin et al. 2009) or FishF-1 and FishR-1 (Ward et al. 2004). Both genes were amplified via PCR using GoTaq Hotstart Master Mix (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin) using thermal profile as described in Sevilla et al. (2007) and Weigt et al. (2012). Cytb amplification was successful for 24 specimens and COI amplification was successful for 23 specimens. Sanger sequencing was performed at MCLAB and Texas A\&M University - Corpus Christi Genomics Core Facility.

Sequences were trimmed, aligned, and concatenated in Geneious version 10.2.6 (Kearse et al. 2012). Sequences for other members of the genus Chromis and outgroups were gathered from GenBank or sequenced from USNM samples (see Suppl. material 2: Table S2). The concatenated alignment consisted of 87 sequences representing 53 pomacentrid species and four genera. The cytb alignment consisted of 71 sequences representing 49 pomacentrid species and four genera. The COI alignment consisted of 41 sequences representing 19 species and four genera. All three alignments contain representatives of Chromis from the Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian oceans, in addition to eight species from three other genera as outgroups (Chrysiptera, Dascyllus, Pomacentrus).

Substitution models and codon-partitioning schemes for each gene were selected using PartitionFinder2 (Lanfear et al. 2016) on XSEDE (Towns et al. 2014) through CIPRES (Miller et al. 2010). Phylogeny was estimated using MrBayes version 3.2 (Ronquist et al. 2012) on XSEDE (Towns et al. 2014) through CIPRES (Miller et al. 2010). Bayesian phylogenetic analyses were run for individual gene alignments and concatenated alignment for burn-in periods of $10 \%$. Resulting consensus trees with posterior probability were visualized using FigTree v1.4.4 (accessible at http://tree.bio. ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/). Genetic distance matrices for both within- and betweengroup distances for both gene alignments were calculated in MEGA-X (Kumar et al. 2018). Distance values were calculated as the average number of base differences per site over all sequence pairs between groups (uncorrected p-distance). Positions with less than $95 \%$ site coverage were eliminated from the analysis. The cytb analysis consisted of 63 nucleotide sequences representing 41 species, and 324 positions were used for the final calculations. The COI analysis consisted of 31 nucleotide sequences representing ten species, and 603 positions were used for the final calculations. The alignments are available on Dryad (https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.h9w0vt4gr).

## Results

## Geographic range

Analysis of the geographic ranges of color morphs indicate little overlap between yellow- and white-tailed morphs (Fig. 1). The yellow-tailed individuals occupy the Gulf of Mexico to the eastern tip of the Yucatan Peninsula, western Cuba, Florida, and the U.S. southern Atlantic coast. This includes the species' type locality, Pensacola, Florida (Jordan and Gilbert 1882). The white-tailed form occurs from the Bahamas, Bermuda and the Caribbean, south along the coast of South America to Sáo Paulo, Brazil, and the Brazilian oceanic islands (Atol das Rocas, Fernando de Noronha, St. Paul's Rocks, and Trindade), and was previously recorded in most of these locations as C. enchrysurus (Pinheiro et al. 2018). The exact boundary off


Figure I. Observations and hypothesized ranges of Chromis enchrysurus and Chromis vanbebberae. Open circles and triangles represent locations of specimens examined in this study. Solid circles or triangles represent records from visual observations, database searches, or the literature. Red triangle is Curaçao, the type locality of $C$. vanbebberae.

Cuba between the two color morphs is uncertain due to limited data. There is an area of overlap in the Florida Keys near 24.785167, -80.6595 in which both color morphs occur but are segregated by depth: the yellow-tailed morph occurring in shallower water ( $\sim 25-40 \mathrm{~m}$ ), and the white-tailed morph occurring in deeper water ( $\sim 60-90 \mathrm{~m}$; Frank Young, Dynasty Marine, pers. comm). The extent to which this overlap extends up the US coast is unknown; to date, white-tailed individuals have only been observed in the Florida Keys.

## Morphometrics

Sixty-eight percent of overall morphometric variation is explained by the first five principal components, of which $29.6 \%$ is explained by PC1 (Suppl. material 3: Table S3). Plotting the specimens using scores from PC1 against PC2 separates the two color morphs into well-defined groups (Fig. 2), with areas of overlap consisting primarily of white-tailed individuals smaller than 20 mm SL, suggesting that color morphs may become more distinguished with ontogeny. The strongest loadings in PC1 are, in order of descending absolute value, caudal fin length, longest dorsal soft ray, body depth, and


Figure 2. Morphological variation in Chromis enchrysurus (yellow) and Chromis vanbebberae (blue) specimens, showing PC1 and PC2. Each point represents one individual specimen. Points are scaled according standard length of specimen.

0.1

Figure 3. Bayesian phylogenetic analysis of concatenated dataset of pomacentrid species. Circles at nodes indicate posterior probability. Branches with less than 0.50 posterior probability are collapsed. Branch length units are expected number of substitutions per site. Blue and yellow coloring on branches refer to C. vanbebberae and C. enchrysurus, respectively.
first pelvic soft ray (Suppl. material 4: Table S4). The strongest loadings in PC2 are, in order of descending absolute value, pre-dorsal length, body depth, pre-anal length, and $6^{\text {th }}$ dorsal spine length (Suppl. material 4: Table S4). Yellow-tailed specimens exhibit overall negative scores for component one with a wide range of component two scores, whereas white-tailed specimens exhibit overall positive scores for component one and more positive scores for component two. Many of the individual measurements that contribute substantially to PC1 showed large overlap between the species when looked at individually; however, C. vanbebberae sp. nov. does have a significantly longer soft dorsal base ( $t$-test, $p=0.0015$ ), longer last dorsal spines ( $p=0.012$ ), longer dorsal rays ( $\mathrm{p}=2.94 \mathrm{e}-7$ ), longer anal rays ( $\mathrm{p}=1.35 \mathrm{e}-8$ ), a longer caudal-fin ( $5.597 \mathrm{e}-8$ ), and longer first pelvic soft rays $(\mathrm{p}=0.040)$.

## Molecular analyses

The individual gene trees and the concatenated tree all recovered the yellow-tailed Chromis and white-tailed Chromis as reciprocally monophyletic sister taxa. The posterior probability values supporting this relationship are 1.0 in the concatenated tree (Fig. 3) and in both gene trees (Figs 4, 5). Together, the white- and yellow-tailed clade is sister to C. alta Greenfield \& Woods, 1980, an eastern Pacific species, in all trees (posterior probability $=0.91-0.97$ ).


Figure 4. Bayesian phylogenetic analysis of cytb dataset of pomacentrid species. Circles at nodes indicate posterior probability. Branches with less than 0.50 posterior probability are collapsed. Branch length units are expected number of substitutions per site. Blue and yellow coloring on branches refer to $C$. vanbebberae and C. enchrysurus, respectively.


Figure 5. Bayesian phylogenetic analysis of COI dataset of pomacentrid species. Circles at nodes indicate posterior probability. Branches with less than 0.50 posterior probability are collapsed. Branch length units are expected number of substitutions per site. Blue and yellow coloring on branches refer to C. vanbebberae and C. enchrysurus, respectively.

Analysis of genetic variation between and within groups shows that for both genes assessed, there is substantially more genetic variation between the two color morphs than there is within each. Average pairwise genetic distance in cytb sequences (Table 1) between color morphs was 0.0566 , versus 0.0076 within the yellow-tailed group and 0.0218 in white-tailed group. Average genetic distance between the two groups in COI sequences (Table 2) was estimated to be 0.0362 , versus 0.0071 within the yellow-tailed
Table I. Average genetic distance in mitochondrial gene cytb between species of Chromis. The number of base differences per site from averaging over alls equence
pairs between groups are shown. Average within-species p-distance are shown on the diagonal.
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Table I. Average genetic distance in mitochondrial gene cytb between species of Chromis. The number of base differences per site from averaging over alls equence pairs between groups are shown. Average within-species p-distance are shown on the diagonal.

Table 2. Average genetic distance in mitochondrial gene COI between species of Chromis. The number of base differences per site from averaging over all sequence pairs between groups are shown. Average within-species divergences are shown on diagonal.

|  | C. enchrysurus | C. insolata | C. vanbebberae | C. scotti | C. lubbocki | C. xanthura | C. randalli | C. sanctaehelenae | C. multilineata | C. alta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. enchrysurus | 0.007 | 0.070 | 0.036 | 0.065 | 0.110 | 0.118 | 0.143 | 0.104 | 0.145 | 0.057 |
| C. insolata | 0.070 |  | 0.066 | 0.048 | 0.088 | 0.111 | 0.134 | 0.095 | 0.129 | 0.065 |
| C. vanbebberae | 0.036 | 0.066 | 0.004 | 0.058 | 0.105 | 0.106 | 0.138 | 0.100 | 0.142 | 0.051 |
| C. scotti | 0.065 | 0.048 | 0.058 |  | 0.080 | 0.109 | 0.134 | 0.091 | 0.121 | 0.053 |
| C. lubbocki | 0.110 | 0.088 | 0.105 | 0.080 |  | 0.124 | 0.136 | 0.085 | 0.143 | 0.090 |
| C. xanthura | 0.118 | 0.111 | 0.106 | 0.109 | 0.124 |  | 0.156 | 0.108 | 0.143 | 0.109 |
| C. randalli | 0.143 | 0.134 | 0.138 | 0.134 | 0.136 | 0.156 |  | 0.138 | 0.144 | 0.139 |
| C. sanctaehelenae | 0.104 | 0.095 | 0.100 | 0.091 | 0.085 | 0.108 | 0.138 |  | 0.156 | 0.093 |
| C. multilineata | 0.145 | 0.129 | 0.142 | 0.121 | 0.143 | 0.143 | 0.144 | 0.156 |  | 0.131 |
| C. alta | 0.057 | 0.065 | 0.051 | 0.053 | 0.090 | 0.109 | 0.139 | 0.093 | 0.13 |  |

group and 0.0042 within the white-tailed group. Taken together, patterns of genetic distance and phylogenetic relationships recovered by the Bayesian phylogenetic analyses support the hypothesis that the two color morphs represent genetically distinct sister species. These genetic differences are corroborated by the morphological differences (Fig. 1) and distinct geographic ranges overlapping in the Florida Keys.

## Chromis vanbebberae sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/21C7BAA1-2F99-4039-9389-A6069EBC774D
Whitetail Reeffish
Figures 6-9
Type material. Holotype. USNM 446947, 73.9 mm SL, CURASUB19-01, tissue no. CUR19001, 117 m, Substation Curaçao Downline, Bapor Kibra, Curaçao, 12.0832, -68.8991, C.C. Baldwin, L. Tornabene, B. Van Bebber, W.B. Ludt, 6 May 2019.

Paratypes. Curaçao: All collected at the type locality off Curaçao: USNM 414901, 33.4 mm SL, CURASUB12-15, tissue no. CUR12142, 123-160 m, A. Schrier, B. Brandt, C.C. Baldwin, A. Driskell, P. Mace, 10 Aug 2012; USNM 414902, 36.1 mm SL, CURASUB12-15, tissue no. CUR12141, 123-160 m, A. Schrier, B. Brandt, C.C. Baldwin, A. Driskell, P. Mace, 10 Aug 2012; USNM 413966, 24.7 mm SL, CURASUB13-03, tissue no. CUR13056, 53-189 m, C.C. Baldwin, A. Schrier, D.R. Robertson, C.I. Castilla, B. Brandt, 7 Feb 2013; USNM 413947, 23.4 mm SL, CURASUB13-02, tissue no. CUR13013, C.C. Baldwin, A. Schrier, D.R. Robertson, C.I. Castilla, B. Brandt, 6 Feb 2013; USNM 430030, 14.9 mm SL, tissue no. CUR13335, Substation Curaçao Crew, 9 July 2013; USNM 406206, 24.1 SL, CURASUB11-03, tissue no. CUR11206, 119-161 m, A. Schrier, M. van der Huls, C.C. Baldwin, D.R. Robertson, J. Oliver, 24 May 2011; CAS 247234, 90.7 mm SL, CURASUB19-02, tissue no. CUR19010, C.C. Baldwin, L. Tornabene, T. Christiaan, S. Yerrace, 7 May 2019; UW 200069, 98.4 mm SL, tissue no. CUR19003, 106 m , C.C. Baldwin, L. Tornabene, B. Van Bebber, W.B. Ludt, 6 May 2019; UW 200070, 97.1 mm SL, CURASUB19-02, tissue no. CUR19009, C.C. Baldwin, L. Tornabene, T. Christiaan, S. Yerrace, 7 May 2019; Sint Eustatius: USNM 442658, 13.9 mm SL, CURASUB17-17, tissue no. EUS17005, South and southeast of R/V Chapman mooring, SW of island, Kay Bay, St. Eustatius, 17.4599, -62.9817, C.C. Baldwin, L. Tornabene, B. Brandt, J. Casey, 15 April 2017. See Suppl. material 1: Table S1 for non-type material examined.

Type locality. Curaçao, Netherland Antilles.
Diagnosis. Dorsal rays XIII, 12-13; anal rays II, 12-13; pored lateral-line scales 15-18 (usually 17; one paratype with ten and no apparent scale loss or damage); gill rakers $7-8+16-18$. Proportional measurements expressed as percent standard length, unless otherwise noted as percent head length (HL): head length 30.2-41.0 (mean 35.4); predorsal length 31.1-42.0 (mean 34.9); orbit diameter 11.5-17.4 (mean 14.6), 39.0 (35.4-48.5) \% HL; upper jaw length 9.1 (6.0-14.4), 30.0 (22.3-34.8)


Figure 6. Micro-CT scans A Chromis vanbebberae, Curaçao, paratype, USNM 414901, 33.4 mm SL B C. enchrysurus, South of Marathon, Florida, UW 200011, 41.5 mm SL.
\% HL; snout length 7.8 (6.9-10.3), 26.0 (17.5-32.2) \% HL; interorbital width 10.7 (8.6-12.8), 35.4 (21.1-37.4) \% HL; body depth 41.6-57.8 (mean 51.8); caudal length 29.7-44.9 (mean 37.20); last dorsal spine 10.2-16.4 (mean 13); longest dorsal ray 21.1-26.5 (mean 23.3); longest anal ray 18.9-28 (mean 24.3); $1^{\text {st }}$ pelvic soft ray 28.8-43.2 (mean 36.4). See Table 3. Live coloration with thin iridescent blue oblique stripe extending from snout, through eye, ending below origin of spinous dorsal fin, dorsal half of head dark blue to dusky gray, dark coloration continuing in oblique line across dorsal half of body to end of spinous dorsal fin; ventral half of body, soft dorsal fin, paired fins, and caudal fin white; no yellow pigmentation on body or fins.

Description. Body deep, 55.2 (41.6-57.8), laterally compressed, width 19.4 (16.6-21.6), oval in shape; eyes large, 11.8 (11.5-17.4), interorbital width 10.7 (8.612.1). Mouth small, upper jaw length 9.1 (6.0-14.4), terminal, and oblique. Head

Table 3. Morphometrics and meristics of Chromis vanbebberae and Chromis enchrysurus specimens examined. Morphometric values are as percentage of SL.

|  | Chromis vanbebberae |  |  | Chromis enchrysura |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Holotype USNM 446947 | Average | Range | Holotype KU 27029 | Average | Range |
| standard length | 73.9 | 48.2 | 13.9-98.4 | 68 | 60.7 | 80.8-17.7 |
| body depth | 55.2 | 51.1 | 41.6-57.7 | 50.9 | 50.2 | 53.9-44 |
| body width | 19.4 | 19.1 | 16.5-21.6 | 17.8 | 17.5 | 19.2-13.8 |
| head length | 30.2 | 35.4 | 30.2-41 | 31.3 | 31.6 | 36-29.8 |
| snout length | 7.9 | 8.2 | 5.2-10.3 | 8.4 | 8.2 | 9.3-5.8 |
| orbit diameter | 11.8 | 14.6 | 11.5-17.4 | 11.3 | 11.7 | 14.7-10 |
| interorbit width | 10.7 | 10.6 | 8.6-12.1 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 14-9.2 |
| caudal peduncle depth | 16.1 | 15.1 | 13.3-16.4 | 14.7 | 14 | 15.6-9.8 |
| upper jaw length | 9.1 | 10 | 6.0-14.4 | 9.4 | 9.7 | 10.9-8 |
| predorsal length | 33.2 | 34 | 28.6-42 | 35.6 | 33.7 | 38.3-28.2 |
| spinous dorsal base | 48.6 | 44.1 | 35.5-50.2 | 45.9 | 46.7 | 50.8-36.6 |
| soft dorsal base | 18.9 | 16.5 | 13.4-18.9 | 16.9 | 14.6 | 18-10.4 |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ dorsal spine | 8.7 | 9.1 | 7.2-11.9 | 10.3 | 8.3 | 10.3-6.7 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ dorsal spine | 12.9 | 14.3 | 11.4-17.5 | 14.9 | 12.6 | 16.2-10.6 |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ dorsal spine | 15.7 | 17.9 | 15.3-21.6 | 19.6 | 15.5 | 19.6-12.3 |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ dorsal spine | 19.4 | 20.2 | 16.6-24.5 | 22.4 | 17.4 | 22.4-13.5 |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ dorsal spine | 20.6 | 20.5 | 16.2-25.9 | 22.2 | 17.4 | 22.4-13.5 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ dorsal spine | 19.8 | 18.6 | 15.5-23.7 | 21.6 | 17 | 21.6-13.3 |
| last dorsal spine | 16.4 | 13.8 | 10.3-17.4 | 14.1 | 12.3 | 16.1-9.3 |
| longest dorsal ray | 23.8 | 23.2 | 21.1-28.5 | 21.3 | 19.1 | 23-16.1 |
| preanal length | 64.1 | 67 | 63.2-69.7 | 64.7 | 66.5 | 69.9-63.1 |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ anal spine | 9.3 | 8.7 | 5.8-11.6 | 9.9 | 8.1 | 9.9-5.5 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ anal spine | 19.9 | 19.2 | 15.1-22.4 | 20.9 | 18.8 | 21.8-16 |
| longest anal ray | 23.4 | 24.1 | 18.9-28 | 22.1 | 19.9 | 26.3-16.3 |
| caudal length | 41 | 36.8 | 29.7-44.9 | 31.5 | 31.4 | 35.8-27.3 |
| longest pectoral ray | 34.2 | 33.8 | 31.1-38.1 | 30.2 | 31.2 | 33.7-28.6 |
| prepelvic length | 35.2 | 38.4 | 35.2-43.6 | 37.4 | 37.3 | 41.7-33.8 |
| pelvic spine length | 22.2 | 20.3 | 18.7-22.4 | 22.7 | 20 | 31.2-17.2 |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ pelvic soft ray | 40.9 | 35.4 | 28.8-43.2 | 36.3 | 23.4 | 36.8-30.8 |
| dorsal rays | 12 | 12.73 | 12-13 | 12 | 12.22 | 11-15 |
| anal rays | 12 | 12.57 | 12-13 | 12 | 12.06 | 11-13 |
| pored lateral line scales | 17 | 16.54 | 15-17 | 17 | 17.16 | 16-18 |
| upper gill rakers | 7 | 7.27 | 7-8 | 8 | 7.47 | 7-8 |
| lower gill rakers | 17 | 16.93 | 16-18 | 16 | 16.84 | 16-18 |

large, $30.2(30.2-41.0)$ and rounded with a convex forehead and short snout 7.8 (5.210.3), snout length shorter than orbit diameter (snout $\sim 1.8$ times in orbit). Preopercle mostly smooth with slight serration at ventral angle; opercle possesses one large spine on dorsal posterior side. Suborbital bones mostly joined to cheek, save for second and third, which flex away from cheek with preorbital. Vertebrae 25 plus urostyle (Fig. 6). Gill rakers very long and slender, closely spaced, equal to or greater than the length of gill filaments, with very fine serrations, $7+17(7-8+16-18)$. Teeth in both jaws short and conical, arranged in three rows anteriorly, outer row very slightly enlarged, becoming two rows posteriorly.

Dorsal fin XIII, 12 (12-13); longest dorsal ray 23.8 (21.1-28.5); last ( $\left.13^{\text {th }}\right)$ dorsal spine 16.4 (10.3-16.4); spinous dorsal base 48.6 ( $35.5-50.2$ ); soft dorsal base 18.9 (13.4-18.9); pre-dorsal length 33.2 (31.1-42.0). Anal fin II, 12 (12-13); longest analfin ray 23.4 (18.9-28.0); pre-anal length 64.1 (63.2-69.0). Pectoral fin 18 (17-20)
and lacking free rays; longest pectoral ray 34.2 (31.1-38.1). Pelvic fin I, 5; with a very long first pelvic ray 40.9 (28.8-43.2); pre-pelvic length 35.2 (35.2-43.6). Caudal fin forked with length 41.0 (29.7-44.9).

Scales large, coarsely ctenoid, covering body and most of head, often densely clustered at base of dorsal and anal fins. Pored lateral-line scales 17 (15-18), total scales in lateral series 28 (26-28); one paratype (USNM 430030, 14.9 mm SL) with only 10 pored lateral-line scales, lateral line terminating below the $10^{\text {th }}$ dorsal spine in all individuals, without apparent damage or scale loss. Scales above lateral line 4 (3-4). Scales below lateral line 10 (10-11). Circumpeduncular scales 14 (13-4). No obvious pored or pitted scales on caudal peduncle.

Live coloration (Fig. 7): Adults (Fig. 7A-C, F) charcoal gray, sometimes tinged with iridescent blue from head to end of spinous dorsal base, with an abrupt, oblique division between dark dorsal portion and light lower body starting at pectoral-fin base and extending to end of spinous dorsal fin; ventral portion of body, soft dorsal fin, paired fins, and caudal fin bright white with no yellow pigmentation. Head with short, oblique iridescent blue stripe originating on upper lip extending through upper edge of eye extending onto side of nape above pectoral fin. In larger individuals, blue stripe reduced, present only on snout. Juvenile (Fig. 7D, E) pigmentation same as adult except dark area distinctly tinged with more blue iridescence and terminating halfway along spinous dorsal fin (versus at end of spinous dorsal fin in adults), blue stripe on head much more prominent, and a second shorter blue stripe often present ventral to eye.

Coloration in freshly dead specimens (Fig. 8): Coloration similar to that of live specimens with little or no blue iridescence except in juveniles, where blue stripe through eye is visible. Paired fins, anal fin, and caudal fin pale to dusky, not vibrant white.

Coloration in preservation (Fig. 9): Base coloration of body pale yellow to golden brown, areas blue or grey in life dark brown; spinous dorsal fin uniformly dark brown,


Figure 7. Live coloration of Chromis vanbebberae A Curaçao, holotype, USNM 446947, 73.9 mm SL B, C Curaçao D, E St. Paul's Rocks, Brazil, juveniles F St. Paul's Rocks, Brazil. Photographs by Barry B. Brown (A), Yi-Kai Tea (B), D. Ross Robertson (C, D), Luiz A. Rocha (E, F).


Figure 8. Freshly collected Chromis vanbebberae A paratype, CAS 247234, 90.7 mm SL, Curaçao B paratype, USNM 414902, 36.1 mm SL, Curaçao. Photographs by Carole C. Baldwin.
soft dorsal fin, anal fin, and pelvic fin dusky light grey, pectoral fin pale, caudal fin light brown at base becoming pale posteriorly.

Distribution (Fig. 1). Chromis vanbebberae occurs off Bermuda, the Florida Keys, the Bahamas, scattered sites in the northwest, central, eastern and southern Caribbean, and south to at least São Paulo, Brazil, including the offshore islands of Rocas Atoll, St. Paul Rocks, Trindade, and Fernando de Noronha.


Figure 9. Preserved Chromis vanbebberae A paratype, CAS $247234,90.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, Curaçao B paratype, UW 200070, 97.1 mm SL, Curaçao. Photographs by Luke Tornabene.

Habitat. Chromis vanbebberae occurs on a variety of deep-reef habitats at depths between 49 and at least 178 m , including on rocky reef slopes, coral outcroppings, around sponges, boulders, and caves. In areas of colder water in southeastern Brazil (Espírito Santo, Rio de Janeiro and Sao Paulo states) they are seen in depths as shallow as 10 m . In Curaçao, individuals are often found near sporadic patches of rocks located on otherwise open sandy bottoms devoid of other structure, which they frequently co-occupy with the seabasses Serranus phoebe or S. notospilus. They are also frequently found around artificial substrates such as shipwrecks (e.g., the wreck Queen of Nassau in southeast Florida), tires, and derelict ropes and fishing gear. This species and C. insolata Cuvier \& Valenciennes, 1830, are the two most common pomacentrids on lower-mesophotic and rariphotic reefs in the Caribbean. In Brazil, C. insolata is
replaced by its southern mesophotic counterpart, C. jubauna Moura, 1995, and the latter often schools with C. vanbebberae on coastal reefs; however, C. vanbebberae is the only mesophotic Chromis recorded in Brazilian oceanic islands.

Where C. vanbebberae and C. enchrysurus overlap in southeastern Florida, the two species segregate by depth, with C. enchrysurus occurring from ( $-25-40 \mathrm{~m}$ ), and C. vanbebberae occurring in deeper water ( $\sim 60-90$ m). Emery and Smith-Vaniz (1982) reported a depth range of $5-146 \mathrm{~m}$ for $C$. enchrysurus, noting that most observations were from $40-70 \mathrm{~m}$. The 146 m record was from Puerto Rico, and thus represents C. vanbebberae, not C. enchrysurus. Based on the confirmed records of C. enchrysurus from this study, the known depth range of that species is $5-97 \mathrm{~m}$.

Etymology. The species epithet vanbebberae, Latinized from Van Bebber, honors Barbara Van Bebber, one of the most accomplished submersible pilots in the Caribbean. Van Bebber was one of several skilled pilots of the 'Curasub' that assisted DROP with observations and collections of many new species, including this species. The common name "Whitetail Reeffish" (castañeta coliblanca in Spanish) refers to the caudal-fin coloration that distinguishes the species from Chromis enchrysurus, the Yellowtail Reeffish.

Remarks. Chromis vanbebberae is easily distinguished from C. enchrysurus (Fig. 10) in having white versus yellow on the caudal fin, pelvic fins, anal fin, and posterior rays of the dorsal fin; however, this rapidly fades in death and preservation, making the two nearly indistinguishable. The two species are otherwise morphologically very similar, and species identity of preserved fishes can be most reliably determined based on locality of collection and genetics.

Chromis vanbebberae frequently co-occurs with C. insolata and C. scotti Emery, 1968, in the Caribbean, and with C. jubauna in Brazil. It can be distinguished from C. scotti in having an abrupt, diagonal dividing line between the dark dorsal portion of body and white ventral portion of the body (a diffuse horizontal dividing line in C. scotti), and in lacking the prominent iridescent light blue coloration that is present on most of the dorsal portion of the body of C. scotti (Fig. 11). In addition, the tail is dusky in C. scotti versus bright white in C. vanbebberae. The diagonal light/dark divide on the body of $C$. vanbebberae also distinguishes it from adult C. insolata, which has a horizontal division similar to C. scotti (Fig. 11). Chromis insolata and C. jubauna both differ from C. vanbebberae in number of anal rays: C. insolata typically possesses eleven anal rays and C. jubauna 9-11, in comparison to the typical 12 (rarely 11 or 13) of $C$. vanbebberae. In addition, C. insolata typically possesses 18-19 pored lateral line scales, whereas no C. vanbebberae specimens examined exceed 17. Adult C. jubauna have uniformly grey to black bodies with bright yellow caudal and soft dorsal fins, versus the dark/light bodies and white fins of C. vanbebberae. The juveniles of C. vanbebberae, C. insolata, C. scotti, C. enchrysurus, and C. jubauna also have dramatically different live coloration (Figs 7,11). The juveniles of C. vanbebberae are similar to adults in coloration, except with slightly more blue iridescence, whereas juvenile C. scotti are almost entirely blue, juvenile C. insolata have prominent, wide yellow, purple, and white horizontal stripes, and juvenile C. jubauna are yellow dorsally and bright purplish-blue ventrally.


Figure 10. Live coloration of Chromis enchrysurus $\mathbf{A}$ dry Tortugas, Florida $\mathbf{B}$ off North Carolina $\mathbf{C}$ gulf of Mexico, Florida D-F Florida Keys, juveniles. Photographs by Alison and Carlos Estape (A, D-F), Frank Krasovec (B), and Bob and Carol Cox (C). No photographed fish were preserved.


Figure II. Live coloration of Chromis scotti and C. insolata A C. scotti, adult, Roatan, Honduras B C. scotti, juvenile, Tobago C C. insolata, adult, Florida Keys D C. insolata, juvenile, Florida Keys E C. jubauna, adult, Laje de Santos Island, Brazil F C. jubauna, juvenile, Laje de Santos Island, Brazil. Photographs by Mickey Charteris (A), Alison and Carlos Estape (B-D), and Osmar Luiz Jr (E, F).

## Discussion

Genetic analyses support the hypothesis that yellow-tailed and white-tailed specimens represent distinct species. Bayesian phylogenetic analysis of both genes and of the concatenated sequences returned topologies splitting the two species into reciprocally monophyletic clades with high posterior probability values. Additionally, genetic distance analyses demonstrate that for both genes, sequence variation between species is greater than that within species. While the within-group genetic distance of C. vanbebberae cytb sequences is higher than the within-group distance of $C$. enchrysurus, both values are distinctly lower than the between-group variation for the vast majority of species in our analysis (Table 1). In the COI analysis, within-group distance of $C$. vanbebberae is similar to that of C. enchrysurus. Both within-group distances of C. enchrysurus and C. vanbebberae were at least one order of magnitude lower than any between-group value in the analysis (Table 2).

The genes used in this study are commonly used in phylogenetic and species-delimitation studies in fishes. Mitochondrial genes are especially useful in species identification and phylogenetic reconstruction due to their high number of copies compared to nuclear DNA, lack of recombination, and comparatively fast evolution (Teletchea 2009); however, having independent data from nuclear genes would be beneficial. Broader-scale relationships within the genus and family presented in this study align with those identified in previous phylogenetic analyses of Pomacentridae using both nuclear and mitochondrial DNA, including the recovery of a paraphyletic Chromis, with the genus Dascyllus nested within it (Jang-Liaw et al. 2002; Quenouille et al. 2004; Cooper et al. 2009).

Although the PCA does separate the two species on the basis of PC1, the morphometric differences are subtle and fail to perfectly separate the two species, especially when individuals are small ( $\mathrm{SL}<25 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). While some characters have statistically significant differences between the two species (i.e., the length of soft dorsal base, length of last dorsal spine [ $\mathrm{p}=0.012$ ], caudal fin length, etc.; see Morphometrics results above), these characters are not discrete, overlap substantially between species, and are not prominent when individuals are small. Collectively, this makes them largely impractical for diagnosing the two species. Coloration remains the most useful morphological character for distinguishing the species. The presence of sister species that are nearly morphologically identical and distinguished primarily by live coloration is increasingly observed in coral-reef fishes (Victor 2015). Unfortunately, this makes it challenging or impossible to retroactively assign species identity for preserved specimens when no data exist for live coloration or genetics. Although color is not always indicative of species-level differences between closely related reef-fish taxa (Dibattista et al. 2012; Schultz et al. 2007), live color is often the primary, or in some cases only, external character by which species can be distinguished (Luiz et al. 2009; Randall and Rocha 2009). Such differentiating characters are particularly troublesome for distinguishing species of deep-reef fishes, as for centuries, many were seldom observed live and, until recently, none had been sampled genetically.

Data suggest that C. vanbebberae and C. enchrysurus occupy distinct geographic ranges with little overlap, which indicates that collection locality can help inform species identity with reasonable certainty when genetic analysis cannot be performed. Species-range estimates of C. enchrysurus and C. vanbebberae based on collections, visual observations, and genetic data from georeferenced specimens agree well with the findings of Robertson and Cramer (2014) on biogeographic patterns and species distributions in the Greater Caribbean. Robertson and Cramer (2014) divided the region into three provinces, each with its own faunal assemblage: a northern province encompassing the Gulf of Mexico and southeastern United States; a central province encompassing the West Indies, Bermuda, and Central America; and a southern province encompassing northern South America. In the Greater Caribbean, the southernmost locality of specimens examined in this study was Curaçao, which falls into the central province, although many photographic records and specimens identified as $C$. enchrysurus exist from the Venezuelan coast and the east coast of South America as far south as Brazil. Thus, C. enchrysurus occupies the northern province and C. vanbebberae occupies the central and southern provinces of the Greater Caribbean plus Brazil.

A genetic break between sister species or populations occurring in the northern province of Robertson and Cramer (2014; i.e., Gulf of Mexico, eastern U.S.) and those occurring in the Caribbean or South America is a common phylogeographic pattern (Floeter et al. 2008). For example, sister species of Liopropoma basslets demonstrate a similar split: L. eukrines inhabits the Gulf of Mexico and the Atlantic coast of the southeastern U.S., and L. aberrans inhabits the Caribbean (Baldwin and Robertson 2014). Populations of Bathygobius soporator from the Gulf of Mexico and eastern U.S. have also been shown to be distinct from those in the Caribbean and Brazil (Tornabene et al. 2010; Tornabene and Pezold 2011; Rodríguez-Rey et al. 2017). Other examples of sister lineages occurring in the Caribbean versus the Gulf of Mexico/eastern U.S. can be found in the Menticirrus americanus species complex (Marceniuk et al. 2020), the Lutjanus campechanus and L. purpureus species pair (Pedraza-Marrón et al. 2019; da Silva et al. 2020), the Scartella cristata species complex (Araujo et al. 2020), the genus Bagre (Betancur-R 2009), and in Epinephelus adscensionis (Carlin et al. 2003). In many cases these speciation patterns are thought to be a product of environmental variation between provinces as opposed to hard barriers to gene flow between the regions (Rocha et al. 2005; Robertson and Cramer 2014). The northern province is a heterogenous, more temperate environment, whereas the central and southern provinces are both more uniform and stable. The central and southern provinces are also more similar to one another than to the northern province, despite the northern and southern provinces bearing similarities in eutrophication and upwelling. We did not have genetic samples from Brazil, and while photographs of C. vanbebberae appear similar to those from the Caribbean, it is possible that additional genetic breaks may occur near the Amazon outflow, or between mainland Brazil and off-shore islands (Joyeux et al. 2001; Floeter et al. 2008).

Many of the recently described species from the Greater Caribbean are cryptobenthic fishes that are often overlooked in biodiversity surveys. However, pomacentrids
are some of the most conspicuous fishes on corals reefs. They occur on shallow and deep coral reefs in every geographic region, where they are often the most abundant fishes on a given reef (Quenouille et al. 2004). Thus, it may be surprising that two common species that can easily be distinguished when alive and occupy separate ranges have been thought to be the same species for decades. This almost certainly represents a gap in knowledge attributed to a lack of genetic data, coupled with the challenges of observing live fishes below the depth limit of safe conventional SCUBA diving, and the fact that these species are morphologically conserved. Such gaps can result in an underestimation of the overall biodiversity in reef systems. Although reef-fish assemblages on deep and shallow reefs typically come from the same set of families, deep-reef assemblages are taxonomically distinct from shallow reefs at the species level and contain a wealth of previously unknown biodiversity that is still being uncovered (Baldwin et al. 2018; Rocha et al. 2018). Many undescribed species discovered on deep reefs are immediately recognizable as being new to science; however, there are other instances where a single deep-reef species that was described many years ago is revealed to be a complex of two or more species. For example, two new deep-reef basses previously thought to be Liopropoma aberrans, which was described in 1860, have since been described as new, splitting that species into three (Baldwin and Johnson 2014; Baldwin and Robertson 2014). Collection of fresh specimens, tissues, and photographs from deep reefs also led to the discovery that individuals previously thought to be juvenile color morphs of the grammatid basslet Lipogramma evides was in fact a distinct species, L. levinsoni, with the two species segregating by depth in areas of geographic overlap (Baldwin et al. 2016a). These examples, including the current study, highlight the importance of initiatives that document the fauna of deep reefs through collection of multiple types of data (i.e., photographs, specimens, tissue samples, habitat and depth data, etc.) to gain a more complete understanding of tropical marine biodiversity.
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