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#### Abstract

The order Coronatae (Scyphozoa) includes six families, of which Nausithoidae Haeckel, 1880 is the most diverse with 26 species. Along the Brazilian coast, three species of the genus Nausithoe Kölliker, 1853 have been recorded: Nausithoe atlantica Broch, 1914, Nausithoe punctata Kölliker, 1853, and Nausithoe aurea Silveira \& Morandini, 1997. Living polyps $(n=9)$ of an unidentified nausithoid were collected in September 2002 off Arraial do Cabo (Rio de Janeiro, southeastern Brazil) at a depth of 227 m , and have been kept in culture since then. We compared these specimens with three species cultured in our laboratory: Nausithoe aurea (from Ilhabela, São Paulo, Brazil), Nausithoe maculata Jarms, 1990 (from Cuba and Puerto Rico), and Nausithoe werneri Jarms, 1990 (from the Atlantic Ocean off Morocco and from the Mediterranean Sea). The criteria used for comparison were: main aspects of the morphology, life cycle, and DNA sequences (18S, 28S, and COI). The results indicate that the unidentified polyps belong to $N$. werneri. Furthermore, $N$. aurea is considered a junior synonym of $N$. maculata.
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## Introduction

The class Scyphozoa Goette, 1887 (true jellyfishes) comprises two main clades: Coronamedusae Calder, 2009 and Discomedusae Haeckel, 1880 (Jarms and Morandini 2019). The Coronamedusae have a single class, Coronatae Vanhöffen, 1892, which is mostly known from the deep-sea medusae of the genera Atolla Haeckel, 1880, Periphylla Müller, 1861, and Paraphyllina Maas, 1903. In addition, the group also includes several shallow-water species in the genera Nausithoe Kölliker, 1853 and Linuche Eschscholtz, 1829 (Jarms and Morandini 2019). Coronate species are distinguished from other scyphomedusae by the presence of a coronal furrow (groove) on the exumbrella (Mayer 1910; Russell 1970). Of the 59 species of coronates, the life cycles of 16 have been described (Jarms 2010). All of these have a metagenetic life cycle, with the holoplanktonic Periphylla periphylla (Péron \& Lesueur, 1810) as an exception (Jarms et al. 1999). An additional feature of coronates is the presence of a periderm tube in species that have a known polyp stage (Russell 1970; Jarms 1991).

The high morphological similarity among polyps in this order and the difficulty in relating the polyp to the medusa (as they are usually not collected together) have led to two classification systems. Polyps were historically identified only as "Coronatae polyps" or were classified as belonging to the genus Stephanoscyphus Allman, 1874 (later changed to Stephanoscyphistoma Jarms, 1990, to accommodate these specimens). Studying the life cycle has been essential for advancing the systematics and understand the evolution of the group (Jarms 1990, 2010). Such an approach allows integration of the two classification systems, ensuring more precise recognition, diagnosis, and eventual identification of species and specimens.

Recent studies have advanced our taxonomic understanding of the group, using morphological characters of the periderm tube to differentiate species relying only on the polyp stage (Morandini and Jarms 2005, 2010, 2012). These characters consist of several tube measurements (total length, opening diameter, and diameter at different heights along the tube, following Jarms 1990, 1991), the number of internal cusps, and differentiation of the tube's external ornamentation (number of rings). Although useful to distinguish genera and some species, this analysis is not as effective in differentiating all species, especially in the genus Nausithoe (Molinari and Morandini 2019). These limitations are even more evident in the sister clade Discomedusae, in which species cannot be identified solely on the polyp stage (van Walraven et al. 2020). Thus, it is important to state that genetic information, together with morphological data, has been fundamental in differentiating and identifying cnidarian species (Dawson 2005; Gómez Daglio and Dawson 2017).

The order Coronatae is composed of six families (13 genera and 59 species), of which Nausithoidae Haeckel, 1880, is the most speciose, with three genera and 26 species (Jarms and Morandini 2019). The most diverse genus, Nausithoe, contains 22 accepted species, which are distributed worldwide in shallow to deep waters, and from the tropics to polar regions (Jarms and Morandini 2019). Along the coast and in offshore territorial waters of Brazil, three species of the family have
been described or recorded: the medusae of Nausithoe atlantica Broch, 1913 and Nausithoe punctata Kölliker, 1853, and the polyp of Nausithoe aurea Silveira \& Morandini, 1997 (Oliveira et al. 2016).

The purpose of this study was to identify coronate polyps from the Brazilian continental slope off Arraial do Cabo (southeastern Brazil, western South Atlantic) and to compare them with the other known species from the Atlantic Ocean and the Brazilian coast, and thus, to improve our knowledge of coronate biodiversity and distribution.

## Materials and methods

Deep-sea specimens from Brazil were collected during a cruise of the Navio Oceanográfico Prof. Wladimir Besnard off the coast of Arraial do Cabo (Rio de Janeiro state, southeastern Brazil, $23^{\circ} 45.80^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 41^{\circ} 44.40^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ) on 15 September 2002, at a depth of 227 m . Calcareous substrates were collected using a box-corer, and the polyps were found on these. The collected polyps were provisionally named Nausithoe sp. and numbered as follows: $\mathrm{AC} 01, \mathrm{AC} 02, \mathrm{AC} 08, \mathrm{AC10}, \mathrm{AC17}, \mathrm{AC} 18$, and AC 20 (Table 2). They were kept alive in small dishes for a few days aboard ship (under room temperature $20-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and brought to the laboratory on 18 September 2002. Since their establishment in culture, three polyps (AC01, AC02, and AC10) reproduced asexually by forming tissue balls from the ephyrae (Fig. 1A, B), consistent with the observations of Silveira et al. (2003).

The study was conducted at the Laboratório de Cultivo e Estudos de Cnidaria, in the Zoology Department of the Biosciences Institute, University of São Paulo (IBUSP). For comparison, we used living polyps of several species: nine Nausithoe sp., nine Nausithoe werneri Jarms, 1990, 14 Nausithoe maculata Jarms, 1990, and three Nausithoe aurea (Table 1). They were selected due to their availability in culture at our laboratory and for their distribution in the Atlantic Ocean, which we considered important for comparison.

Table I. Data from studied species of Nausithose (Nausithoe sp., N. werneri, N. maculata, and N. maculata (= N. aurea).

| Locality | \# of <br> polyps | Depth (m) | Culture <br> temperature <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Sampling date | Culture codes | \# of <br> ephyrae <br> cultivated | \# of <br> mature <br> medusae |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nausithoe sp. | off Arraial do <br> Cabo, Brazil | 9 | 227 | 15 | 15.09 .2002 | AC01, AC02, <br> AC08, AC10, <br> AC17, AC18, <br> and AC20 | 850 | 25 |
| Nausithoe werneri | Atlantic off <br> Morocco | 4 | $800-3,000$ | 15 | Original culture <br> clones (1980) | ACM156 | 69 | 4 |
| Nausithoe werneri | Mediterranean | 5 | 200 | 15 | 2008 | ACM157 | 70 | 9 |
| Nausithoe maculata $(=$ <br> N. aurea) | Ilhabela, Brazil | 3 | $3-9$ | $20-22$ | 02.2018 | ACM120 | 85 | 0 |
| Nausithoe maculata | Girón, Cuba | 8 | $5-10$ | $20-22$ | 02.2004 | ACM026, <br> ACM027 | 50 | 8 |
| Nausithoe maculata | Puerto Rico | 6 | $5-10$ | $20-22$ | Original culture <br> clones (1971) | ACM025 | 160 | 33 |

Table 2. Measurements of the polyps according to Jarms (1990) and Jarms et al. (2002). Specimens of Nausithoe sp. were measured twice, in 2002 and 2018 (except for tissue balls, measured only in 2018). $\mathrm{Da}=$ diameter at aperture, $\mathrm{Dbd}=$ diameter of basal disc, $\mathrm{Db}=$ diameter just above basal disc, $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~mm}}=$ diameter at 2 mm height, $\mathrm{D}_{5 \mathrm{~mm}}=$ diameter at 5 mm height, $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tot }}=$ total length, $\mathrm{Nwt}=$ number of whorls of internal cusps, $\mathrm{Nw}=$ number of cusps per whorl, $-=$ not measured.

| Polyp ID | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {tot }}(\mathrm{mm})$ | $\mathrm{Da}(\mathrm{mm})$ | Ddb (mm) | Db (mm) | $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{5 \mathrm{~mm}}$ | $\mathrm{Da} / \mathrm{L}_{\text {tot }}$ | Nwt | Nw |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AC01 (2002) | 6.60 | 0.70 | - | - | 0.35 | 0.45 | 0.1061 | 7 | 8/16 |
| AC01 (2018) | 13.46 | 1.29 | - | - | - | - | 0.0958 |  |  |
| AC02 (2002) | 11.15 | 0.90 | - | 0.15 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.0807 | 8 | 8/16 |
| AC02 (2018) | 17.74 | 1.09 | - | - | - | - | 0.0614 |  |  |
| AC02 (tissue ball 2018) | 15.71 | 1.17 | - | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.44 | 0.0746 | 6 | $8 / 16$ |
| AC08 (2002) | 9.10 |  | - | - | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.0769 | 9 | 8/16 |
| AC08 (2018) | 16.44 | 1.00 | - | - | - | - | 0.0608 |  |  |
| AC10 (2002) | 14.25 | 1.15 | - | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.0807 | 12 | 8/16 |
| AC10 (2018) | 15.33 | 1.15 | - | - | - | - | 0.0753 |  |  |
| AC10 (tissue ball 2018) | 11.79 | 0.92 | 0.66 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.46 | 0.0782 | 6 | 8/16 |
| AC17 (2002) | 5.05 | 0.55 | - | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.55 | 0.1089 | 9 | 8/16 |
| AC17 (2018) | 20.20 | 1.65 | - | - | - | - | 0.0818 |  |  |
| AC18 (2002) | 10.50 | 0.95 | - | 0.15 | 0.35 | 0.5 | 0.0905 | 5 | $8 / 16$ |
| AC18 (2018) | 12.27 | 1.02 | - | - | - | - | 0.0830 |  |  |
| AC20 (2002) | 5.15 | 0.35 | - | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.0680 | 10 | $8 / 16$ |
| AC20 (2018) | 20.13 | 1.47 | - | - | - | - | 0.0733 |  |  |
| Mean $\pm$ SD (2002) | $8.83 \pm 3.17$ | $0.77 \pm 0.27$ | - | $0.21 \pm 0.10$ | $0.32 \pm 0.07$ | $0.46 \pm 0.06$ | $0.09 \pm 0.01$ | $8 \pm 3$ | 8/16 |
| Mean $\pm$ SD (2018) | $15.90 \pm 2.91$ | $1.20 \pm 0.22$ | $0.66 \pm 0$ | $0.15 \pm 0$ | $0.09 \pm 0$ | $0.45 \pm 0$ | $0.08 \pm 0.01$ |  |  |
| N. werneri 1 | 11.35 | 1.29 | 0.53 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.1139 | 6 | 8 |
| N. werneri 2 | 15.27 | 0.95 | - | 0.23 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.0620 | 6 | 8 |
| N. werneri 3 | 31.43 | 0.93 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.0297 | 7 | 8 |
| N. werneri 4 | 19.37 | 1.28 | 0.40 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.0660 | 13 | 8 |
| N. werneri 5 | 13.70 | 1.02 | 0.29 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.0744 | 8 | 8 |
| N. werneri 6 | 5.24 | 0.55 | - | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.1048 | 8 | 8 |
| N. werneri 7 | 13.49 | 0.68 | 0.35 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.0502 | 14 | 8 |
| N. werneri 8 | 6.47 | 0.65 | 0.34 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.1003 | 8 | 8 |
| N. werneri 9 | 2.56 | 0.33 | 0.30 | 0.10 | - | 0.13 | 0.1293 | 7 | 8 |
| Mean $\pm$ SD | $13.21 \pm 8.17$ | $0.85 \pm 0.31$ | $0.35 \pm 0.09$ | $0.10 \pm 0.05$ | $0.10 \pm 0.02$ | $0.13 \pm 0.03$ | $0.08 \pm 0.03$ | $8.56 \pm 2.75$ | 8 |
| N. maculata 1 | 13.58 | 0.88 | 0.42 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.0646 | 3 | 16 |
| N. maculata 2 | 14.41 | 1.21 | - | - | - | - | 0.0843 | - | 16 |
| N. maculata 3 | 15.01 | 1.18 | - | - | - | - | 0.0785 | - | - |
| N. maculata 4 | 18.64 | 1.08 | - | - | - | - | 0.0578 | - | - |
| N. maculata 5 | 14.60 | 1.58 | - | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.1083 | 4 | 16 |
| N. maculata 6 | 15.82 | 1.29 | - | - | - | - | 0.0817 | - | 16 |
| N. maculata 7 | 16.42 | 0.66 | - | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.0405 | 6 | 16 |
| N. maculata 8 | 12.85 | 0.74 | 0.51 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.0580 | - | - |
| N. maculata 9 | 8.75 | 0.83 | 0.41 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.0955 | 5 | 16 |
| N. maculata 10 | 3.79 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.13 | - | 0.16 | 0.1041 | 4 | 16 |
| N. maculata 11 | 7.23 | 0.69 | 0.30 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.0949 | 4 | 16 |
| N. maculata 12 | 12.72 | 0.64 | 0.41 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.0504 | 8 | 16 |
| N. maculata 13 | 4.91 | 0.77 | - | - | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.1576 | 5 | 16 |
| N. maculata 14 | 8.01 | 1.19 | - | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.1382 | 3 | 16 |
| Mean $\pm$ SD | $11.91 \pm 4.39$ | $0.94 \pm 0.06$ | $0.41 \pm 0.06$ | $0.13 \pm 0.03$ | $0.12 \pm 0.02$ | $0.18 \pm 0.02$ | $0.09 \pm 0.03$ | $4.67 \pm 1.49$ | 16 |
| N. maculata $(=N$. aurea) 1 | 3.93 | 0.38 | 0.17 | 0.08 | - | 0.17 | 0.0979 | 6 | 16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & N . \text { maculata }(=N \text {. } \\ & \text { aurea) } 2 \end{aligned}$ | 3.86 | 0.53 | 0.36 | 0.11 | - | 0.16 | 0.1388 | 5 | 16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & N . \text { maculata }(=N \text {. } \\ & \text { aurea) } 3 \end{aligned}$ | 5.47 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.1091 | 6 | 16 |
| Mean $\pm$ SD | $4.42 \pm 0.74$ | $0.50 \pm 0.09$ | $0.38 \pm 0.18$ | $0.11 \pm 0.02$ | $0.11 \pm 0$ | $0.17 \pm 0.01$ | $0.12 \pm 0.02$ | $5.67 \pm 0.47$ | 16 |

Voucher specimens of Nausithoe sp. were deposited in the Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo as: MZUSP8502 (one medusa), MZUSP8503 (two polyps), MZUSP8504 (50+ ephyrae).

## Cultivation

All polyps were fed with 1-2-day-old nauplii of Artemia sp. once a week. Strobilation usually produced hundreds of ephyrae (Fig. 1E), and excessive feeding to increase the number of jellyfish was not necessary. Polyps and ephyrae were kept separately in 200-mL acrylic containers (maximum of 20 ephyrae per dish) in incubation chambers at the appropriate temperature for each species ( $N$. werneri and Nausithoe sp. at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; N$. maculata and $N$. aurea at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Once ephyrae were released, they were fed daily with macerated mussel gonads (Perna perna). As soon as they grew large enough to catch Artemia, we began to vary their diet, adding 1 -day-old nauplii. Food was provided in abundance. The water in the polyp dishes was changed 1 day after feeding, and in the medusae and ephyrae containers, it was changed 1 hour after feeding (as these stages are more responsive to variations in water quality). Measurements and photographs of all stages were taken for comparison using a Nikon SMZ800 stereomicroscope and a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope.

## Morphological analyses

Measurements followed the protocols established by Jarms (1990, 1991) and Jarms et al. (2002). The main measurements were: i) total tube length, ii) tube diameter at 2 mm above the base, iii) tube diameter at 5 mm above the base, iv) diameter of the basal disc, v) diameter just above the basal disc, and vi) aperture diameter. The type of external ornamentation (number of transverse rings per $4-\mathrm{mm}$ length) and whether these rings were more or less prominent with respect to an imaginary line tangential to the tube contour was noted for possible comparison (according to Morandini and Jarms 2005). For the more translucent tubes, we were able to observe the number of whorls of the cusps and the number of cusps per whorl. We also took scanning electron microscope (SEM) photographs of the internal cusps of some polyps (Nausithoe sp. and $N$. werneri) in order to observe their shape and ornamentation (with special attention to whether they had additional cusps on the margin and surface). Characters and measurements of the ephyrae and medusae are shown in Fig. 2. The SEM observations were conducted at the Laboratório de Biologia Celular e Microscopia of the IB-USP, and the method of preparing the specimens followed the protocols of Morandini and Jarms (2005, 2010, 2012).

## Cnidome analyses

Capsule types and sizes of nematocysts in the different life-cycle stages (polyp, ephyra, medusa) were measured (Mariscal 1974; Östman 2000) using a Nikon Eclipse 80i light microscope. A total of 60 measurements were performed on each type per lifecycle stage of Nausithoe sp. and on the medusa tentacles of $N$. werneri and $N$. maculata.

Table 3. List of primers used to amplify each gene. *LEM = Laboratório de Evolução Molecular (IB-USP).

| Gene | Primer | Primer sequence 5'-3' | F/R | Temp. - base pairs | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COI | COXI-F2 | TCGACTAATCATAAAGATATCGGCAC | F | $52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-26 \mathrm{bp}$ | Ward et al. 2005 |
|  | MEDCOXR | TGGTGNGCYCANACNATRAANCC | R | $52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-23 \mathrm{bp}$ | Lawley et al. 2016 |
|  | LCO1490JJ4 | CIACIAAYCAYAARGAYATYGG | F | $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-22 \mathrm{bp}$ | Astrin et al. 2016 |
|  | HCO2198JJ4 | ANACTTCNGGRTGNCCAAARAATC | R | $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-25 \mathrm{bp}$ | Astrin et al. 2016 |
|  | LCO1490JJ2 | CHACWAAYCAYAARGAYATYGG | F | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-22 \mathrm{bp}$ | Astrin et al. 2016 |
|  | HCO2198JJ2 | ANACTTCNGGRTGNCCAAARAATCA | R | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-25 \mathrm{bp}$ | Astrin et al. 2016 |
| 28S | F15 | CTAACAAGGATTCCCCTAGTAACGGCGAG | F | $55.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-30 \mathrm{bp}$ | LEM * |
|  | R798 | GGTCCGTGTTTCAAGACGG | R | $55.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-19 \mathrm{bp}$ | Medina et al. 2001 |
|  | F798 | CCGTCTTGAAACACGGACC | F | $55.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-19 \mathrm{bp}$ | Medina et al. 2001 |
|  | R1446 | GTTGTTACACACTCCTTAGCGG | R | $55.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-22 \mathrm{bp}$ | Medina et al. 2001 |
| 18S | 18S - A | AACCTGGTTGATCCTGCCAGT | F | $54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-21 \mathrm{bp}$ | Medlin et al. 1988 |
|  | 18 S - L | CCAACTACGAGCTTTTTAACTG | R | $54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-22 \mathrm{bp}$ | Apakupakul et al. 1999 |
|  | 18S - C | CGGTAATTCCAGCTCCAATAG | F | $54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-21 \mathrm{bp}$ | Apakupakul et al. 1999 |
|  | 18 S - Y | CAGACAAATCGCTCCACCAAC | R | $54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-21 \mathrm{bp}$ | Apakupakul et al. 1999 |
|  | 18S-O | AAGGGCACCACCAGGAGTGGAG | F | $54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-22 \mathrm{bp}$ | Apakupakul et al. 1999 |
|  | 18S - B | TGATCCTTCCGCAGGTTCACCT | R | $54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-22 \mathrm{bp}$ | Medlin et al. 1988 |

## DNA analyses

Because hundreds of ephyrae were produced, most of the molecular tissue for DNA extractions came from them (and some from mature medusae). We extracted DNA from specimens representing all the putative species in this study: Nausithoe sp., Nausithoe aurea, Nausithoe maculata, and Nausithoe werneri. DNA was extracted using an ammonium acetate-based protocol adapted from Fetzner (1999). Preliminary tests established that the minimum number of ephyrae for a satisfactory extraction was around 30, considering their size. Three partial genes were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR): mitochondrial protein coding cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI), and nuclear ribosomal markers 18 S and 28S, using published primers and PCR conditions (Table 3). PCR products were purified with the Agencourt AMPure kit (\#A63881). The BigDye reaction was conducted using the same primers and annealing temperature in each case. These steps were performed in the Laboratório de Evolução Molecular of the Zoology Department (IB-USP). Finally, the precipitated DNA were sequenced at the Laboratório de Sinalização de Redes Regulatórias de Plantas at the Botanical Department, IB-USP, with a Hitachi 3730xl DNA Analyzer. Chromatograms (.abi files) and consensus sequences were created and checked to identify potential sequencing errors and/or contamination, using Geneious software 9.1 (Kearse et al. 2012; all COI sequences were translated to check potential mitochondrial pseudogenes (NUMTs) or indel artifacts - genetic code: Invertebrate Mitochondrial). Sequence alignments were performed with the Geneious 9.1 MAFFT module for 18 S and 28 S markers (auto-mode; Katoh and Standley 2013), and Translation Align module for COI marker (genetic code: Invertebrate Mitochondrial; MAFFT alignment: E-INS-i); this way, we avoid inserting any spurious gap in the COI alignment. To avoid any difference (artifact) on COI distances (the most relevant dataset to discuss species delimitation), we edited ends of COI alignment. Doing so, all sequences present the same basic
information (sequence length). Corrected distance values from each molecular marker alignment were obtained using MEGA X - Kimura 2 Parameters (Kumar et al. 2018).

## Results

All the morphological features observed on the available specimens are summarized in Tables 2, 4. The time periods until medusae developed distinct morphological characters are listed in Table 5.

## Systematics section

Class Scyphozoa Goette, 1887
Subclass Coronamedusae Calder, 2009
Order Coronatae Vanhöffen, 1892
Family Nausithoidae Haeckel, 1880
Genus Nausithoe Kölliker, 1853

## Nausithoe sp.

Figs 1, 3, 4

Metagenetic species. Solitary polyp with typical periderm tube, dark to light brown (Fig. 1C, D), conical, with transverse rings on the surface with longitudinal striations ( 5 rings every 0.4 mm at 2 mm above base). Polyps $5.05-20.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ long; basal disk $0.63-0.66 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter; diameter just above the basal disk $0.14-0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$; tube diameter at $2-\mathrm{mm}$ height $0.09-0.45 \mathrm{~mm}$, and at $5-\mathrm{mm}$ height $0.35-0.45 \mathrm{~mm}$; tube aperture diameter 1.28 mm . Tubes with 3-10 whorls of internal cusps (Fig. 1C); closer to base, number of internal cusps per whorl is 16: 4 large (perradius) with additional cusps on the surface, 4 intermediate (interradius), and 8 small (adradius) (Fig. 3A). Upper whorls with only 8 cusps: 4 large (perradius) with no additional cusps, and 4 intermediate (interradius) (Fig. 3B, C). Polyps with 26-37 filiform tentacles (Fig. 1D). Polydisc strobilation, with more than 100 ephyrae at a time. Medusa (Figs 2A, B, 4) entirely translucent, with slightly flattened smooth umbrella; 16 slightly elongated lappets with rounded margins; 8 rhopalia with statocyst and red ocelli. Live specimens measuring up to: 9.5 mm total diameter; 7.74 mm diameter between opposite rhopalia; 2.83 mm coronal groove diameter; gastric cirri approximately 0.9 mm in length; and tentacle length up to half the total diameter of the medusa. Stomach with 4 gastric septa, each with 2 gastric filaments ( 8 in total) (Figs 2A, 4D, E). Gonads, 8, but not fully developed (Fig. 4A-C); in fact, only a single individual had them and they were malformed (Fig. 4B, C), so complete data about their morphology are lacking. Cnidome composed of only two nematocyst types: holotrichous isorhiza and heterotrichous microbasic eurytele (Fig. 5; Table 6).


Figure I. Nausithoe sp. from Brazil. A tissue balls originated from polyp AC02 ephyrae B emerged polyps from same tissue balls in A after 22 weeks, showing the polyp opening; note that the basal parts are fused $\mathbf{C}$ external view of part of polyp AC18, showing by transparency the internal whorls of cusps (wc) D oral disc of polyp AC01, showing the tentacle crown (tc) and the mouth opening ( $\mathbf{m}$ ) with the gastric longitudinal septa (gs) E polyp AC02 releasing ephyrae.

## Nausithoe maculata Jarms, 1990

Fig. 6

Nausithoe maculata Jarms 1990: 21-24, figs 15-17, pl. V. Type locality: Puerto Rico. Holotype: ZMH C11534.
Nausithoe aurea Silveira and Morandini 1997: 236-239, figs 1-7, pls I, II. Type locality: Ilhabela ( $23^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 45^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ ), Brazil. Holotype: MNRJ 2899. (syn. nov.)

Metagenetic species. Solitary polyp with typical periderm tube, dark to light brown, conical, with transverse rings on the surface with longitudinal striations (3-5 rings every 0.4 mm at 2 mm above base). Polyps $3.79-18.64 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Basal disk $0.17-$ 0.6 mm in diameter. Diameter of aperture $0.39-1.58 \mathrm{~mm}$. Diameter just above the basal disc 0.08-0.2 mm. Diameter at 2-mm height $0.09-0.16 \mathrm{~mm}$, and at $5-\mathrm{mm}$ height $0.14-0.21 \mathrm{~mm}$. Three to 8 whorls of 16 internal smooth cusps: 4 large (perradius),


Figure 2. Schematic view of a typical Nausithoe sp. adult medusa, illustrating the main characters. A aboral view $\mathbf{B}$ lateral view. dc diameter of coronal furrow $\mathbf{d r}$ diameter between rhopalia $\mathbf{d t}$ total diameter $\mathbf{c g}$ coronal grove gc gastric cirri $\mathbf{m}$ manubrium $\mathbf{m l}$ marginal lappets $\mathbf{r}$ rhopalium $\mathbf{t}$ tentacle e eyespot $\mathbf{g}$ gonad $\mathbf{s}$ statolith.


Figure 3. SEM of cross-sections of the tube of the polyp of Nausithoe sp. AC02 at different heights. A more-basal series, with 16 cusps and additional cusps over 4 larger perradial cusps $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ two moredistal series ( $\mathbf{C}$ being the highest along the tube), each with 8 cusps.


Figure 4. Adult medusae from polyps of Nausithoe sp. AC10 (A-C) and AC20 (D-H) A beginning of gonad ( $\mathbf{g}$ ) development $\mathbf{B}$ two gonads with gametic cells still differentiating $\mathbf{C}$ general view of a medusa that we managed to maintain until the gonads emerged (note degree of irregularities in this specimen, due to the long period in cultivation) $\mathbf{D}$ aboral view of 3-month-old medusa, showing the radial muscle (rm), marginal lappets ( $\mathbf{m l}$ ), rhopalium ( $\mathbf{r}$ ), and tentacles ( $\mathbf{t}) \mathbf{E}$ detail of 6 -month-old medusa, showing gastric filaments (gf), rhopalium with ocelli (e), and statocyst (s), and coronal groove (cg); note, no trace of gonad development $\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ Oral view of medusae, showing lips (l) and projection of the manubrium, from less projected $(\mathbf{F})$ to more projected $(\mathbf{H})$.

4 intermediate (interradius), and 8 small (adradius). Polydisc strobilation, with more than 100 ephyrae at a time. Medusa translucent, with yellow pigment spot in center of each lappet (Fig. 6A, B). Umbrella flattened, with 16 slightly elongated lappets with rounded margins and 8 rhopalia with statocyst and red ocelli. Live specimens measuring up to: 3.9 mm total diameter; 2.7 mm between opposite rhopalia; 1.5 mm coronal-groove diameter; gastric cirri approximately 0.5 mm in length; and tentacle length up to 1.5 mm . Stomach with 4 gastric septa, each with 3-7 gastric filaments.

Table 4. Morphological data for studied species of Nausithoe. Data combined from literature and observations on specimens. Brazilian state abbreviations: BA, Bahia; RJ, Rio de Janeiro; SP, São Paulo.

|  |  | N. sp. | N. maculata (= N. aurea) | N. maculata | N. werneri |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Medusae | Number / shape of lappets | 16, slightly elongate with rounded margins | 16, slightly elongate with rounded margins | 16, slightly elongate with rounded margins | 16, slightly elongate with rounded margins |
|  | Rhopalium with ocellus | yes | yes | yes | yes |
|  | Number of gastric filaments | $8(2 \times 4)$ | 12-24 (3-6 + 4) | $28(7 \times 4)$ | 4-12 (1-3 + 4) |
|  | Gonad shape | round | round | round | round |
|  | Central disc shape | central dome slightly elevated | flattened | flattened | central dome elevated |
|  | Total diameter (mm) / Central disc diameter (mm) | 9.5 / 2.83 | $5 / 1.9$ | $4 / 1.5$ | 12 / 4.5 |
|  | General coloration | transparent | transparent with yellow pigment spot on lappets | transparent with yellow pigment spot on lappets | transparent |
|  | Gonad color | dark brown | yellow to brown | yellow to brown | dark brown |
|  | Tentacle length (mm) | 5 | 1.5 | 2 | 3 |
| Polyp | Habitus | solitary | solitary | solitary | solitary |
|  | Occurrence | off Cabo Frio, RJ (Brazil) | Brazil (SP to BA) | Puerto Rico; Cuba | Atlantic off Morocco; Greenland |
|  | Depth (m) | 227 | 3-9 | 5-10 | 200-3000 |
|  | Length (mm) | 5.05-20.2 | 1.35-9.18 | 3.79-18.64 | 2.56-31.46 |
|  | Number of cusps/whorls | $8+16$ | 16 | 16 | 8 |
|  | Number of whorls of cusps | 3-10 | 2-7 | 3-8 | 6-14 |

## Nausithoe werneri Jarms, 1990

Fig. 7
Nausithoe werneri Jarms 1990: 12-17, figs 1-7, pls I, III. Type locality: Morocco coast ( $25^{\circ} 20.4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 16^{\circ} 08.4^{\prime} \mathrm{W}, 415-420 \mathrm{~m}$ depth). Holotype: ZMH C11530.

Metagenetic species. Solitary polyp with typical periderm tube, dark to light brown, conical, with transverse rings on surface with longitudinal striations (4-5 rings every 0.4 mm at 2 mm above the base). Polyps $2.56-31.46 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Basal disk $0.24-$ 0.53 mm in diameter. Diameter of aperture $0.33-1.29 \mathrm{~mm}$. Diameter just above basal disc $0.07-0.23 \mathrm{~mm}$. Diameter at $2-\mathrm{mm}$ height $0.07-0.12 \mathrm{~mm}$, and at $5-\mathrm{mm}$ height $0.07-0.17 \mathrm{~mm}$. Six to 14 whorls of 8 internal cusps: 4 large (perradius) and 4 intermediate (interradius), with additional cusps. Polyp with up to 40 filiform tentacles. Polydisc strobilation, with more than 100 ephyrae at a time. Medusa with smooth umbrella (Fig. 7) with high central dome; 16 slightly elongated lappets with rounded margins, and 8 rhopalia with statocyst and red ocelli. Live specimens measuring up to: 6.8 mm total diameter; 5 mm between opposite rhopalia; 2.5 mm coronal groove diameter; gastric cirri approximately 1.9 mm in length; and tentacle length up to 3 mm . Stomach with 4 gastric septa, each with 1 gastric filament ( 4 in total).

Sequences from all species studied are available on GenBank (Table 7). DNA comparisons of the sequenced markers of the species are summarized in Tables 8 and 9 . We were not able to amplify the three proposed markers for all polyps. Therefore, Nausithoe sp. specimens AC01 (no 28 S data), AC17 (no COI data), and AC18 (no COI data) were not included in the molecular analyses. Polyps with all three genes


Figure 5. Photomicrographs of the two nematocyst types found in Nausithoe sp. A three heterotrichous microbasic euryteles discharged B holotrichous isorhiza capsules of two different sizes (large, grey arrow; small, black arrow) C a small discharged holotrichous isorhiza.
sequenced were compared with each other and with $N$. maculata ( $=N$. aurea from Brazil), N. maculata (Cuba), and N. werneri. Using only the COI marker, Nausithoe sp. (specimens $\mathrm{AC} 02, \mathrm{AC} 08, \mathrm{AC} 10, \mathrm{AC} 20$ ) and $N$. werneri had less than $6 \%$ genetic difference from each other and almost $20 \%$ genetic difference from $N$. maculata (Cuba) and N. maculata ( $=$ N. aurea from Brazil). Nausithoe maculata from Cuba had less than $7 \%$ difference from $N$. maculata (= $N$. aurea) from Brazil. The remaining DNA extractions from cultures are also considered as vouchers (Table 7).

## Discussion

The objective of this study was to identify the polyps of Nausithoe sp. from deep waters off southeastern Brazil by comparing them with previous records along the Brazilian coast. We used two approaches: morphology combined with life-cycle observations, and molecular data. Also, we present previously unpublished data on nematocysts for $N$. werneri and N. maculata from Cuba.

So far, only three species of Nausithoe have been recorded from the Brazilian coast: $N$. aurea, $N$. atlantica, and N. punctata (Oliveira et al. 2016). Nausithoe aurea is endemic


Figure 6. Aboral view of Nausithoe maculata medusae from Cubảs polyp culture. A four-month-old medusa with mature male gonads $(\mathbf{g})$, long tentacles ( $\mathbf{t}$ ), and malformations in the lappets and central disc. B three-month-old medusa with mature female gonads ( $\mathbf{g}$ ), showing the rhopalium ( $\mathbf{r}$ ), coronal groove $(\mathbf{c g})$, marginal lappets ( $\mathbf{m l}$ ) with pigment spot ( $\mathbf{p s}$ ), gastric cirri $(\mathbf{g c})$, and tentacles $(\mathbf{t})$.


Figure 7. Nausithoe werneri male medusae from Mediterranean's polyp culture (A-C 5 months old D 3 months old) A aboral view of an adult medusa with mature gonads $(\mathbf{g})$, contracted lappets, and extended tentacles (t) B detail of gastrovascular cavity, focusing on the gastric cirri (gc) C lateral view, focusing on the lips $(\mathbf{l})$ and the extension of the manubrium $\mathbf{D}$ beginning of gonad $(\mathbf{g})$ development (aboral view).

Table 5. Development times (in weeks, under laboratory conditions) of several structures in the species studied.

|  | N. werneri | N. maculata $(=\boldsymbol{N}$. aurea $)$ | N. maculata |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ocelli | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| First gastric filament | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Second gastric filament | - | - | 8 |
| Tentacle buds | 7 | 3 | 3 |
| Lappets, pigment spot | - | 2 | 2 |
| Gonads | 9 | 3 | 4 |

Table 6. Cnidome of studied species of Nausithoe. The range was obtained from 60 nematocysts of each type at each stage. *Data from Silveira and Morandini (1997; from 20 nematocysts of each type at each stage).

|  |  | Holotrichous isorhiza |  | Heterotrichous microbasic eurytele |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | Length ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | Length ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |
| Nausithoe sp. | medusa (tentacle) | 4.41-6.49 | 5.65-8.65 | 7.28-10.96 | 8.47-12.99 |
|  | ephyra (whole) | 4.11-7.33 | 5.29-8.98 | 7.1-12.59 | 8.19-14.27 |
|  | polyp (tentacle) | 5.86-7.58 | 8.24-9.67 | 9.58-11.54 | 11.28-13.53 |
| N. maculata $(=N . \text { aurea })^{*}$ | medusa (tentacle) | 3.0-4.2 | 4.2-6.0 | 5.4-7.2 | 6.6-9.0 |
|  | ephyra (whole) | 3.6-6.0 | 5.4-7.2 | 9.0-11.4 | 10.2-12.6 |
|  | polyp (tentacle) | 3.6-5.4 | 6.0-7.8 | 3.0-4.8 | 9.0-15.0 |
| N. maculata | medusa (tentacle) | 3.52-5.08 | 4.5-5.83 | 6.49-8.17 | 7.49-9.21 |
| N. werneri | medusa (tentacle) | 4.33-6.69 | 5.47-7.45 | 7.06-9.27 | 8.67-10.92 |

to the Brazilian coast and can be found in shallow waters (Silveira and Morandini 1997; Morandini and Silveira 2001). The polyps are solitary with 16 smooth cusps per series; and the medusae have a characteristic single yellow spot in the center of each marginal lappet (Silveira and Morandini 1997). These features show that this species is not identical to Nausithoe sp.

Nausithoe punctata is a cosmopolitan species that lives in shallow waters (Jarms and Morandini 2019); in Brazil it was reported by Goy (1979) and Neumann-Leitão et al. (2008). The polyp stage is colonial and inhabits sponges (Werner 1979; Uriz et al. 1992). Medusae are transparent with a pale-pink disc and yellowish lappets; the umbrella is flattened and reaches up to 15 mm in diameter (Kölliker 1853; Kramp 1961; Werner 1970). With these features, we can also discard this species as identical to Nausithoe sp. As pointed out by Jarms and Morandini (2019), there is extensive confusion in the taxonomy and identification of $N$. punctata, and many records around the world might be erroneous.

Nausithoe atlantica is known only from the medusa stage (Jarms and Morandini 2019). Although records from the Pacific Ocean exist (Oliveira et al. 2016), the only confirmed identifications are from the North Atlantic, near the type locality (Broch 1913; Russell 1956). The adult medusa is dark yellowish-brown, up to 35 mm in diameter, and has rhopalia without ocelli, oblong gonads, and more than 160 gastric filaments (Russell 1970). The Brazilian record provided by Oliveira et al. (2016) derives from a thesis in which the specimens were collected off Santa Catarina state. The description provided is identical to Russell (1970), and no voucher material is available for comparison. Considering the divergent features and doubtful record, we discard this species as identical to Nausithoe sp.

Table 7. Sequence accession numbers in GenBank and their respective DNA extraction vouchers.

|  | COI | 18S | 28S | DNA Voucher |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nausithoe sp. AC02 | MT603856 (717 bp) | MT603629 (1765 bp) | MT621557 (1304 bp) | N02 |
| Nausithoe sp. AC08 | MT603855 (735 bp) | MT603631 (1767 bp) | MT621552 (1309 bp) | N08 |
| Nausithoe sp. AC10 | MT603857 ( 708 bp ) | MT603630 (1765 bp) | MT621553 (1319 bp) | N10 |
| Nausithoe sp. AC20 | MT603854 (609 bp) | MT603628 (1772 bp) | MT621555 (1344 bp) | N20 |
| N. werneri (Mediterranean) | MT603858 (610 bp) | MT603627 (1767 bp) | MT621554 (1337 bp) | NW (Med) |
| N. maculata ( $=$ N. aurea) (Brazil) | MT603859 (579 bp) | MT603632 (1777 bp) | MT621558 (1305 bp) | NA |
| N. maculata (Cuba) | MT603860 (591 bp) | MT603633 (1780 bp) | MT621559 (1310 bp) | NM (Cuba) |

Table 8. Genetic similarity (percent) between each Nausithoe sp. polyp (AC02, AC08, AC10, AC20), Nausithoe maculata ( $=N$. aurea from Brazil), Nausithoe maculata (from Cuba), and Nausithoe werneri. 18S in white and 28 S in italic.

|  | AC02 | AC08 | AC10 | AC20 | N. werneri | N. maculata (Brazil) | N. maculata (Cuba) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AC02 |  | 100 | 100 | 99.94 | 99.94 | 99.86 | 99.86 |
| AC08 | 94.86 |  | 100 | 99.94 | 99.94 | 99.86 | 99.86 |
| AC10 | 97.04 | 94.63 |  | 99.94 | 99.94 | 99.86 | 99.86 |
| AC20 | 97.41 | 95 | 97.18 |  | 99.89 | 99.80 | 99.80 |
| N. werneri | 97.22 | 94.69 | 96.91 | 97.28 |  | 99.80 | 99.80 |
| N. maculata (Brazil) | 96.64 | 94.22 | 96.62 | 96.76 | 96.51 |  | 99.94 |
| N. maculata (Cuba) | 96.66 | 94.18 | 96.37 | 96.74 | 96.47 | 97.36 |  |

The two types of nematocysts found in Nausithoe sp. (heterotrichous microbasic euryteles and holotrichous isorhizas) are the same as in N. aurea (Silveira and Morandini 1997) and in N. planulophora (Werner 1971). These are the most common types in scyphozoans (Östman 2000), which indicate that this information might not be useful in differentiating species of the group. There is an overlap of the measurements obtained from Nausithoe sp. and $N$. werneri, and polyp nematocysts are slightly larger than ephyrae and medusae ones. For now, much more work on the scyphozoan cnidome is needed to improve understanding of the types of cnidae in the group's evolutionary history.

As do most of the solitary Nausithoidae polyps, those of Nausithoe sp. and $N$. werneri resemble each other. As stated by several authors, the most useful features to distinguish Nausithoe polyps are the number and shape of the internal cusps of the tube (e.g., Jarms 1991; Morandini and Jarms 2012). Although these features are used widely in the systematics of the group, the variation among specimens has not been thoroughly studied, in part because of the relatively few samples that can be used for scanning electron microscopy. It is also unknown how laboratory conditions might affect the growth of the animals and the shape of the cusps. SEM observations of the cusps of Nausithoe sp. showed 16 internal cusps per whorl close to the base and eight cusps in the upper whorls of the tube (Fig. 3), whereas in $N$. werneri, only eight cusps are found per whorl, both in the literature (Jarms 1990) and in our observations.

Both the ephyrae and medusae of the Brazilian deep-sea Nausithoe sp. are morphologically similar to $N$. werneri: translucent body, rhopalium with statocyst and red ocelli, lappets slightly elongated with rounded margins, and total diameter (Table 4). The only differences we noted were the shape and size of the manubrium, the shape

Table 9. Genetic similarity (percent) between each Nausithoe sp. polyp (AC02, AC08, AC10, AC20), $N$. maculata ( $=N$. aurea from Brazil), $N$. maculata (from Cuba), and $N$. werneri. COI in italic; all three markers combined in white. Boldface indicates higher similarity that we are considering to be the same species.

|  | AC02 | AC08 | AC10 | AC20 | N. werneri | N. maculata (Brazil) | N. maculata (Cuba) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AC02 |  | 96.28 | 97.33 | 94.60 | 95.35 | 91.74 | 92.08 |
| AC08 | $\mathbf{9 7 . 3 5}$ |  | 96.11 | 94.1 | 94.28 | 90.99 | 91.39 |
| AC10 | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 3 2}$ |  | 94.73 | 95.32 | 91.77 | 92.11 |
| AC20 | $\mathbf{9 4 . 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 . 2 5}$ |  | 94.73 | 92.00 | 92.18 |
| N. werneri | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 . 0 6}$ |  | 91.72 | 92.05 |
| N. maculata Brazil) | 80.83 | 80.83 | 80.83 | 81.35 | 80.83 |  | 94.06 |
| N. maculata (Cuba) | 81.89 | 82.40 | 81.89 | 82.29 | 81.89 | $\mathbf{9 3 . 0 6}$ |  |

of the central disc, and the number of gastric cirri. In Nausithoe sp., the manubrium is wider (Fig. $4 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{H}$ ) than in $N$. werneri (Fig. 6D). The elevated central dome of $N$. werneri is also not present in Nausithoe sp. Although Jarms (1990) described N. werneri with two or three gastric cirri per quadrant, our cultivated specimens (derived from Jarms's culture) had only one gastric cirrus per quadrant (Fig. 6B); Nausithoe sp. has eight filaments in the stomach. These variations in morphological features might be related to plasticity in the response of the species under different environmental conditions and food supply. Certainly, the food provided in our laboratory differs from that used by Jarms (1990). Although our specimens were kept in the same conditions, there might be some individual variation. To ascertain the utility of these morphological features it would be necessary to examine many specimens from a broad population.

An interesting feature is the difference in the time taken to develop gonads between Nausithoe sp. and our specimens of N. werneri (Table 5). Nausithoe sp. took more than 20 weeks to begin the differentiation and development of the reproductive organs, while $N$. werneri required only nine weeks. These times are comparable because all species were kept in the same kind of container and temperature, with similar population densities, and fed equally.

Genetic divergence related to species delimitation is difficult to discern, especially for clades with limited molecular markers and specimens. For Discomedusae, the sister clade of Coronamedusae, Gómez Daglio and Dawson (2017) proposed that the mean intraspecific pairwise genetic distance ( $x \pm$ s.d.) is $0.006 \pm 0.005$ and the mean interspecific distance between congeneric species ( $\mathrm{x} \pm$ s.d.) is $0.12 \pm 0.04$. Our molecular data showed that specimens of Nausithoe sp. and N. werneri had less than $6 \%$ of genetic difference for the COI gene (Table 8) and each of them differed by $-20 \%$ from $N$. maculata (from Cuba) and $N$. maculata ( $=N$. aurea from Brazil). Together with the morphological similarities, we state they are the same species, according to the criteria of Gómez Daglio and Dawson (2017). Following the same rationale, we found less than $7 \%$ of genetic difference in the COI gene between $N$. maculata (from Cuba) and $N$. maculata ( $=N$. aurea from Brazil). In these two species, the morphological resemblance is obvious, and the only difference is the formation of planuloids inside the periderm tube of $N$. aurea (Silveira and Morandini 1997), which was considered one of the distinguishing features of this species, not observed in $N$. maculata. Considering that
coronamedusae species were mostly defined by a few specimens, we propose that this feature represents possible genetic plasticity not previously recorded for $N$. maculata.

Comparing the life cycle and morphology of scyphomedusae is extremely important to help in identifying and describing species. However, the simple structure of these animals, as evidenced by the traditional use of certain uninformative characters in the description of specimens (e.g., Kramp 1961; Russell 1970), can yield insufficient information for a precise analysis (Dawson 2005). Future approaches, including additional genetic data from other species of Coronatae, will add detail to the systematics of this clade. A broader sampling of molecular markers, individuals, populations, species, and clades in general will allow for novel insights to be applied to Coronamedusae, the proposal of a more refined "genetic gap" for the delimitation of species, and specific genetic diagnoses (DeSalle and Goldstein 2019). Nevertheless, the use of genetic data must be combined with information on morphology and life cycles to confirm or reject the validity of species and to identify new taxa.

To conclude, based on both the morphological and molecular data obtained, we identify the deep-sea Nausithoe sp. specimens from off the Brazilian coast as Nausithoe werneri, thus expanding the distribution of this species to the western South Atlantic. Additionally and also based on molecular and morphological data, we consider the species Nausithoe aurea as a junior synonym of Nausithoe maculata.
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