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#### Abstract

The genus Epimesoplecia Zhang, 2007 of Protopleciidae is revised based on five new species, E. plethora $\mathbf{s p} . \mathbf{n} ., E$. prosoneura sp. n., E. stana sp. n., E. macrostrena sp. n., and E. ambloneura sp. n., described and illustrated from the Jiulongshan Formation of China. These new species, with clearly preserved characters of (1) compound eyes connected in males; (2) antennae, filiform or moniliform, with 16 segments; (3) $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$ reaching the middle of the wing; (4) $\mathrm{R}_{4+5}$ ending very close to wing apex; (5) ratio of bRs/dRs ranging from 1.6 to 10.5 ; (6) $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ more than 3 times as long as $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2}$; (7) legs thin and long, femur slender, almost equal to tibia; (8) tibial spurs minute; and (9) male genitalia (previously unknown), enable us to emend the diagnosis of Epimesoplecia Zhang, 2007. In addition, all described species of Epimesoplecia are characterized, their features summarized, and a key to Epimesoplecia species is given.
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## Introduction

Protopleciidae Rohdendorf, 1946, reported from the Jurassic, is a paraphyletic stem group to the Bibionidae (Blagoderov et al. 2002; Grimaldi and Engel 2005). Rohdendorf (1946) erected the Protopleciidae with three genera Protoplecia Handlirsch, 1906, Mesoplecia Rohdendorf, 1938 and Mesopleciella Rohdendorf, 1946 (Evenhuis 1994). Kovalev (1987) transferred 14 species in Rhaetofungivora Rohdendorf, 1964 of Pleciofungivoridae to Protopleciidae, but later, some of those were assigned to several different genera (Blagoderov 1996). The earliest record of the Protopleciidae is Macropeza liasina Geinitz, 1884 from the Early Jurassic in upper Liassic of Germany. Ansorge (1996) provided an updated description for Protoplecia liasina (Geinitz, 1884) and reported Protoplecia klafackii from the upper Liassic of Germany. Ansorge (1996) considered the affiliation of Mesoplecia and Mesopleciella with the Protopleciidae questionable based on the clearly shorter Sc, and suggested that Archipleciomima Rohdendorf, 1962 is the stem group of Pleciofungivoridae and Pleciomimidae due to long Rs stem. On the other hand, Lin (1976), Hong (1984), and Hong and Wang (1990) documented several genera and species in China, but many of them have been subsequently removed from this family (Blagoderov 1996). Recently, from the Jiulongshan Formation of China, Zhang (2007) described Epimesoplecia with two species, emended the diagnosis of Mesoplecia Rohdendorf, 1938, added two species to the genus, and excluded Paraoligus exilus Lin, 1976 and Mesoplecia xinboensis Hong, 1984 from this family, but stated that an alternative placement could not be suggested. Hao and Ren (2009) described three species of Mesoplecia. Lin et al. (2014) described two species as members of Mesoplecia, while transferring M. antiqua Hao \& Ren, 2009 to Mesosciophilidae, because $\mathrm{R}_{2+3}$ of $M$. antiqua Hao \& Ren, 2009 reaching $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ forming a cell r , instead of reaching the anterior margin as all other protopleciids. After documented corrections and transfers, there are 33 species in seven genera described in Protopleciidae to date (Lin et al. 2014).

Herein, based on fourteen specimens collected from the Jiulongshan Formation in Daohugou Village, Ningcheng County, Inner Mongolia, China, five new species are described in Epimesoplecia, E. plethora sp. n., E. prosoneura sp. n., E. stana sp. n., E. macrostrena sp. n., and E. ambloneura sp. n. with ten specimens. One of the remaining four specimens is identified as a new material for E. elenae Zhang, 2007, while the other three cannot be assigned to species owing to lack of preserved diagnostic characters. These five new species are assigned to Epimesoplecia by a combination of the following characters: (1) antenna long, at least twice the head length; (2) wing narrow and long; (3) Sc elongate, nearly half of wing length; (4) $\mathrm{R}_{2+3}$ long, more than two-thirds of $\mathrm{R}_{4+5}$ length.

The Jiulongshan Formation of Inner Mongolia in China is very rich in fossil insects’ record (Shi et al. 2011; Ren et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2013 and Li et al. 2013). Because of new calibrations for the Jurassic System, this deposit should be now considered as latest Middle Jurassic (late Callovian) in age (Walker
et al. 2013). The paleoenvironment reconstructed for that time was a volcanic region with mountains, streams and lakes under a humid and warm climate (Ren et al. 2002; Gao and Ren 2006).

## Material and methods

All the type materials were collected from the Jiulongshan Formation (Fig. 1A) of Daohugou Village in Ningcheng County of Inner Mongolia, China (Fig. 1B) (after Ren et al. 2002). The specimens are housed in the Key Laboratory of Insect Evolution and Environmental Changes, College of Life Sciences, Capital Normal University, Beijing, China (CNUB; Dong Ren, Curator). The specimens were examined and photographed using a Leica MZ12.5 dissecting microscope with a Leica DFC 500 digital camera and illustrated with the aid of camera lucida attached to the microscope. The line drawings were drawn by Adobe Photoshop CS5. The wing venation nomenclature used in this paper is based on the interpretations and system proposed by Shcherbakov et al. (1995) and Wootton and Ennos (1989).

## Systematic Paleontology

## Order Diptera Linnaeus, 1758

Suborder Nematocera Latreille, 1825
Family Protopleciidae Rohdendorf, 1946

## Genus Epimesoplecia Zhang, 2007

Type species. Epimesoplecia shcherbakovi Zhang, 2007
Species included. Type species, E. elenae Zhang, 2007, E. plethora sp. n., E. prosoneura sp. n., E. stana sp. n., E. macrostrena sp. n. and E. ambloneura sp. n.

Revised diagnosis. Compound eyes connected in males. Antennae filiform or moniliform, with 16 segments, at least twice of head length or slightly less than twice of head length; wings narrow and long; Sc elongate, at or near the same level of r-m; bRs at least 4 times as long as $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{R}_{2+3}$ long, more than two-thirds of $\mathrm{R}_{4+5}, \mathrm{R}_{2+3}$ slightly sigmoidly curved or straight, reaching anterior margin distad of the apex of $R_{1} ; r-m$ reaching the middle of the wing; $R_{4+5}$ ending very close to wing apex; $M_{1+2}$ furcated distinctly proximad or distad of $R_{2+3} ; M_{2}$ more than 3 times as long as $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2} ; b M_{3+4}$ longer or slightly shorter than $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$; pterostigma absent; $\mathrm{bM}_{1+2}$ longer or shorter than $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2}$. Legs thin and long, femur slender, almost equal to tibia; tibial spurs minute. Male genitalia: abdomen cylindrical; genitalia complex, narrower than the 8th segment, with gonocoxites rounded; gonostylus elongated, shorter than gonocoxites. Female genitalia: the 8 th segment smaller than preceding segments, genitalia simple, with 2 -segmented cerci, the basal segment of cerci longer than the terminal one.


Figure I. A Measured stratigraphic section at the Jiulongshan Formation of northeastern China B Map showing the fossil locality (after Ren et al. 2002).

## Epimesoplecia plethora sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/86178CAD-809C-4637-B64A-33B6BFBA6030
Figs 2-3
Etymology. The epithet of plethora is derived from the Greek word "plethore", meaning "fullness", emphasizing the body covered with dense pubescence. The specific epithet is a noun in apposition.

Diagnosis. Compound eyes crescent. Antennae moniliform. Sc very close to the level of $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$; fork of Rs distad of fork of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$; Rs distad of crossvein r -m; bRs less than 2 times (1.6-1.8) as long as dRs, the latter about 3 times (2.6-3) as long as r-m; $\mathrm{R}_{2+3}$, sigmoidly curved, distinctly shorter than bRs and dRs combined; $\mathrm{bM}_{1+2}$ shorter than $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2} ; \mathrm{bM}_{3+4}$ shorter than m-cu; cell bp as wide as cell ba terminally.

Material. Holotype: Female. NO. CNU-DIP-NN2013202, a well-preserved almost complete body with left haltere, both wings and part of legs (Fig. 2A). Paratype: NO. CNU-DIP-NN2013209p/c, part and counterpart, lateral view, only right wing and legs preserved, head and abdomen incomplete (Fig. 3A, B).

Horizon and locality. All specimens were collected from the Jiulongshan Formation, late Middle Jurassic age (Late Callovian) from Daohugou Village, Ningcheng County, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region in China.

Description. Head (Fig. 2D, F): Oviform. Compound eyes crescent in females. Antennae: scape and pedicel thick and stout, 1st flagellomere slender, the remaining ones becoming thinner toward apex.

Thorax (Figs 2A, 3A, B): Scutum convex; scutellum clearly projecting; haltere depressed.

Legs (Figs 2A, 3A, B): Forelegs comparatively thin and slender, femur slightly thicker than tibia, covered with dense setae as preserved. The 1st tarsomere 2 times as long as the 2nd tarsomere; the 3rd to 5th tarsi gradually thinned, claws small. Mid legs: femur long and slender, almost equal to tibia, tibial spurs minute, claws well-preserved. Hind legs: femur more than four-fifths of tibia; distinctly longer than forelegs and mid legs, tibia less than 2 times as long as femur; the 1 st tarsomere more than 2 times as long as the 2nd tarsomere; with two pretarsal claws.

Wings (Figs 2A, C and 3A): Wing long and narrow, 2.6-2.8 times as long as width (length $8.4-9.0 \mathrm{~mm}$, width $3.0-3.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ ); Sc terminating at the middle of the anterior margin, the costal field narrow; bRs $4-5$ times as long as $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{R}_{2+3}$ slightly sigmoidly curved; Rs arising from one-fourth of wing length, furcating distal level of fork of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2} ;$ stem of Rs longer than stem R , the former longer than $\mathrm{R}_{2+3} ; \mathrm{R}_{4+5}$ weakly curved upward medially, ending just below apex of wing; both $R_{4+5}$ and $M_{1}$ subparallel; crossvein m -cu as long as $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$; CuA strongly curved, distad of M forking, ending at posterior margin of wing; $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ not preserved.

Female genitalia (Fig. 2E, G): The 8th segment slightly smaller than preceding segments, genitalia simple, with 2 -segmented cerci, the basal segment of cerci thicker and longer than the terminal one.


Figure 2. Epimesoplecia plethora sp. n. (CNU-DIP-NN2013202). Holotype. A Photograph of habitus; Line drawings of $\mathbf{B}$ Habitus $\mathbf{C}$ Left wing; Photographs of $\mathbf{D}$ Details of head (under alcohol) E Details of female genitalia (under alcohol); Line drawings of $\mathbf{F}$ Head $\mathbf{G}$ Female genitalia. Scale bars $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Dimensions (in mm). [Measurements for the paratype CNU-DIP-NN2013209p/c in brackets, if different]. Holotype: female. CNU-DIP-NN2013202, Body length 10 [ 5 as preserved], maximal width of body 2.2 [2.4]. Head length 0.6 , width 0.8 . Forelegs: femur 1.7 as preserved [2.2]; tibia 1.4 as preserved [3.4]. Mid legs: femur 3 [2.8], tibia 3.5 [3]. Hind legs: femur 3.6 [4], tibia 4.4 [5]. Wing: length 9.0 [8.2], width 3.4 [3.0], $\mathrm{R}_{2+3} 2.4$, bRs 2.2 [2.1], dRs 1.2, $\mathrm{R}_{4+5} 3$ [3.4].

Remarks. The new species is differentiated from E. shcherbakovi Zhang, 2007 by the following features: bRs less than 2 times (1.6-1.7) as long as dRs (vs. bRs 4.5 times as long as dRs ); Rs bifurcation distad to fork of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ (vs. Rs bifurcation at the same level of fork of $M_{1+2}$ ); $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2}$ longer than $b M_{1+2}$ (vs. $d M_{1+2}$ shorter than $b M_{1+2}$ ). The


Figure 3. Epimesoplecia plethora sp. n. (CNU-DIP-NN2013209p/c). Paratype. A, B Photographs of part and counterpart $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$ Line drawings of part and counterpart. Scale bars $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
new species differs from E. elenae Zhang, 2007 in having antennae moniliform (vs. filiform); bRs short, less than 2 times (1.6-1.7) as long as dRs (vs. bRs long, 2.5 times as long as dRs); $\mathrm{bM}_{3+4}$ clearly shorter than $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}\left(\right.$ vs. $\mathrm{bM}_{3+4}$ as long as $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$ ). Comparisons with other species are listed in Table 1.

## Epimesoplecia prosoneura sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/260A2E9B-8331-483A-9BBB-E67D58EB0B32
Figs 4-5
Etymology. The epithet of prosoneura is derived from the Greek preposition "pro", meaning "before", and Greek word "neura", meaning "string or sinew", referring to proximal position of the fork of Rs. The specific epithet is a noun in apposition.

Diagnosis. Compound eyes crescent. Antennae moniliform. Sc exceeding the level of r-m or very close to $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$; fork of Rs proximad of fork of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2} ; \mathrm{R}_{2+3}$ very close to crossvein $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{R}_{2+3}$, almost straight, distinctly longer than bRs and dRs combined; bRs about 10 times $(9.4-10.5)$ as long as dRs , the latter as long as $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{bM}_{1+2}$ shorter than $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2} ; \mathrm{bM}_{3+4}$ shorter than m -cu; cell bp wider than cell ba terminally.
Table I. Summary of data for all species of Epimesoplecia Zhang, 2007

| Species | Specimen numbers | H/P | Sex | BL | WL | L/W | bRs/dRs | dRs/r-m | $\mathbf{b M}_{1+2} / \mathrm{dM}_{1+2}$ | M,/dM | $\mathrm{bM}_{3,4} / \mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$ | bRs/r-m | Rs vs. $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E. plethora sp. n. | CNU-DIP-NN2013202 | H | + | 10.6 | 9 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 4.2 | shorter | 4 | DS |
|  | CNU-DIP-NN2013209 p/c | P | NA | 5 (ic) | 8.4 | 2.8 | 1.75 | 3 | 0.8 | 6 | NA | 5 | DS |
| E. prosoneura sp. n. | CNU-DIP-NN2013207 p/c | H | $\widehat{ }$ | 8.3 | 8 | 3.1 | 10.5 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 4.2 | shorter | 4.8 | PX |
|  | CNU-DIP-NN2013214 | A | 안 | 8.2 | 8.9 | 3.3 | 9.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 5.2 | shorter | 5.8 | PX |
| E. stana sp. n. | CNU-DIP-NN2013201 p/c | H | + | 10.2 | 11.2 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 2 | 2.3 | 8 | shorter | 5.4 | DS |
| E. shcherbakovi Zhang, 2007 | DHG200384 | H | NA | 7 (ic) | 9.7 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 5 | longer | 4.6 | SL |
| E. elenae Zhang, 2007 | DHG200385 | H | ¢ | 10.5 | 10 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 5 | shorter | 4 | DS |
|  | CNU-DIP-NN2013213 p/c | N | ¢ 9 | 11.2 | 7.6 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 5.6 | shorter | 4.6 | DS |
| E. macrostrena sp. n. | CNU-DIP-NN2013211 | H | NA | 9(ic) | 7.4(ic) | >3 | 5 | 1.1 | 0.7 | $\approx 3$ | NA | 5.6 | PX |
|  | CNU-DIP-NN2013212 | P | \% | 10.3 | 7.1 | 2.5 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 3 | shorter | 4.6 | PX |
|  | CNU-DIP-NN2013206 p/c | P | NA | 7 (ic) | 8 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 6.2 | longer | 4.4 | PX |
| E. ambloneura sp. n. | CNU-DIP-NN2013215 | H | \% | 12.7 | 9.1 | 2.5 | 7 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 11 | shorter | 5 | SL |
|  | CNU-DIP-NN2013208 | P | NA | 5 (ic) | 7.4 | 2.3 | 6 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 8 | shorter | 5 | SL |

Notes: Abbreviations: $1 . \mathrm{H}=$ Holotype, $\mathrm{P}=$ Paratype, $\mathrm{A}=$ Allotype, $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{New}$ material; 2. $\mathrm{BL}=$ Body length ( mm ); 3 . WL $=\mathrm{Wing}$ length ( mm ); 4. $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{W}=$ The ratio of wing length and width; 5 . ic = incomplete; $6 . \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{c}=$ Part and counterpart; 7 . $\mathrm{DS}=$ Fork of Rs distad of fork of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2} ; 8 . \mathrm{PX}=$ Fork of Rs proximad of fork of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$; 9. $N A=$ Not Available; $10 . S L=R s$ and $M_{1+2}$ at the same level.


Figure 4. Epimesoplecia prosoneura sp. n. (CNU-DIP-NN2013207p/c). Holotype. Photographs of A, B Part and counterpart C Details of head (under alcohol) D Details of male genitalia (under alcohol); Line drawings of $\mathbf{E}$ Counterpart $\mathbf{F}$ Head $\mathbf{G}$ Male genitalia $\mathbf{H}$ Wing. Scale bars $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$. gc-gonocoxite; gs-gonostylus.

Material. Holotype: male, NO. CNU-DIP-NN2013207p/c, part and counterpart, an almost complete specimen with well-preserved antennae, both wings and part of legs (Fig. 4A, B). Allotype (paratype): female. NO. CNU-DIP-NN2013214, in dorsal view, a specimen with well-preserved wings and body (Fig. 5A, B).

Horizon and locality. All specimens were collected from the Jiulongshan Formation, late Middle Jurassic age (Late Callovian) from Daohugou Village, Ningcheng County, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region in China.

Description. Head (Figs 4C and 5C): Oviform. Compound eyes protrusive in males. Antennae long, scape and pedicel thick and stout, the 1 st flagellomere slender and long, the remaining ones becoming thinner toward apex.

Thorax (Figs 4A, B and 5A): Prothorax barely visible; scutum of mesothorax broad and oval, convex obviously; scutellum of metathorax projecting, semicircle; haltere depressed.


Figure 5. Epimesoplecia prosoneura sp. n. (CNU-DIP-NN2013214). Allotype. A Photograph of habitus B Line drawing of habitus; Photographs of C Details of head (under alcohol); D Details of female genitalia (under alcohol). Scale bars $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Legs (Figs 4A, B and 5A): Forelegs relatively slender; femur slender and long, covered with dense setae, slightly shorter than tibia; tarsi not preserved. Mid legs similar to forelegs, femur long and slender, tibia thinner than femur as preserved; Hind legs: femur slightly expanded, tibia slightly longer than femur as preserved.

Wings (Figs 4H and 5B): Wing long and narrow (length: $8-8.9 \mathrm{~mm}$, width: 2.62.7 mm ), apex of wings covering the abdominal terminalia. Costal field long and thin, Sc reaching $C$ at the middle of anterior margin; Rs arising from basal one-fourth of wing length, furcating distad to fork of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$; bRs about 5 times (4.8-5.7) as long as $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$; crossvein m -cu slightly longer than $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$; CuA slightly curved, ending at posterior margin distad of mid wing; vein $A_{1}$ nearly straight, reaching posterior margin.

Male genitalia (Fig. 4G): Abdomen cylindrical; genitalia complex, slightly narrower than the 8th segment, with gonocoxites robust and rounded; gonostylus cylindrical and elongated, shorter than gonocoxites.

Female genitalia (Fig. 5D): The 8th segment slightly smaller than preceding segments, genitalia simple, with 2-segmented cerci, the basal segment of cerci longer than the terminal one.

Dimensions of holotype (in mm). [Measurements for the paratype CNU-DIPNN2013214 in brackets, if different]. Holotype: male, CNU-DIP-NN2013207p/c, Body length 9.3 [8.2], maximal width of body 1.6 [1.4]. Antennae length: 1.8 (segments $1-16$ ) [1.4 (segments $1-15$ )]. Foreleg: femur 1.6 as preserved; tibia 2.5 as preserved. Mid leg: femur 1.9 as preserved; tibia 2.7 as preserved. Hind leg: femur 2.2
as preserved [ 2 as preserved], tibia 3.5 as preserved [1.3 as preserved]. Wing: length 8 [8.9], width 2.6 [2.7]; $\mathrm{R}_{2+3} 3$ [3.7]; bRs 1.9 [2.3]; dRs 0.1 [0.3]; $\mathrm{R}_{4+5} 3.6$ [4].

Remarks. The new species is similar to E. shcherbakovi Zhang, 2007 but differs from the latter in having bRs about 10 times (9.4-10.5) as long as dRs (vs. 4.5 times); Rs bifurcation proximad of fork of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ (vs. Rs bifurcation at the same level of fork of $\left.M_{1+2}\right) ; \mathrm{dM}_{1+2}$ longer than $b M_{1+2}$ (vs. $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2}$ shorter than $b M_{1+2}$ ); $\mathrm{R}_{2+3}$, very close to the position crossvein $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$, distinctly longer than bRs and dRs combined (vs. $\mathrm{R}_{2+3}$, distad of the position crossvein $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$, clearly shorter than bRs and dRs combined). The new species differs from E. plethora sp. n. in having bRs about 10 times (9.4-10.5) as long as dRs (vs. less than 2 times); $\mathrm{bM}_{3+4}$ clearly shorter than $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$ (vs. $\mathrm{bM}_{3+4}$ shorter than $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$ ); Rs bifurcation proximad of fork of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ (vs. Rs bifurcation distad of fork of $M_{1+2}$ ); dRs distinctly shorter than r-m (vs. dRs about 3 times as long as $r-m$ ); $R_{2+3}$, very close to the position crossvein $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$, distinctly longer than bRs and dRs combined (vs. $\mathrm{R}_{2+3}$, sigmoidly curved, distinctly shorter than bRs and dRs combined). Comparisons with other species are listed in Table 1.

## Epimesoplecia stana sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/323B8EC8-B13C-4360-BCFF-82E436529BB0 Fig. 6

Etymology. The epithet is an arbitrary combination of letters used as a nun in apposition.
Diagnosis. Antennae moniliform. Sc very close to the level of r-m; fork of Rs distad of fork of $M_{1+2} ; R_{2+3}$ distad of crossvein r-m; $R_{2+3}$, straight, distinctly less than bRs and dRs combined; bRs 2.5 times as long as dRs, the latter 2 times as long as $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$; $\mathrm{bM}_{1+2}$ significantly longer than $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2}$ (2.3 times); $\mathrm{bM}_{3+4}$ slightly longer than m -cu; cell bp narrower than cell ba terminally.

Material. Holotype: female, NO. CNU-DIP-NN-2013201p/c, in lateral view, a well-preserved body with partial antennae, almost complete wings and legs.

Horizon and locality. The specimen was collected from the Jiulongshan Formation, late Middle Jurassic age (Late Callovian) from Daohugou Village, Ningcheng County, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region in China.

Description. Head (Fig. 6C): small, in lateral view; Antennae with segments 1-11 visible as preserved, moniliform. Maxillary palpi barely visible.

Thorax (Fig. 6A, B): Prothorax barely visible; scutum of mesothorax broad and oval, convex obviously; scutellum of metathorax projecting, semicircular; haltere depressed.

Legs (Fig. 6A, B): Forelegs relatively slender, femur slightly expanded, slightly shorter than tibia; tarsi not preserved. Mid legs similar to forelegs, femur thicker than tibia; tibial spurs minute; tarsi not preserved. Hind legs: femur slightly expanded, slightly shorter than tibia; tibia slender and long as preserved.

Wings (Fig. 6F): Wing long and narrow, more than 3 times as long as width (length: 11.2 mm , width: 3.4 mm as preserved); apex of wings covering the abdominal terminalia. Costal field long and thin, Sc reaching C very close to the position of $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$;


Figure 6. Epimesoplecia stana sp. n. (CNU-DIP-NN2013201p/c). Holotype. Photographs of A, B Part and counterpart; C Details of head (under alcohol) D Details of female genitalia (under alcohol); Line drawings of $\mathbf{E}$ Part $\mathbf{F}$ Left wing of counterpart $\mathbf{G}$ Partial antenna. Scale bars $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Rs arising from basal one-fourth of wing length, furcating distad to level of fork of $M_{1+2}$. Stem of Rs longer than stem of $R$, the former longer than $R_{2+3}$, bRs 5 times as long as $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$; crossvein $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$ longer than $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$; CuA slightly curved, ending at posterior margin; vein $A_{1}$ short, slightly longer than half of cell bp.

Female genitalia (Fig. 6D): The 8th segment slightly smaller than preceding segments, genitalia simple, cerci segments not visible.

Dimensions (in mm). Holotype: female, CNU-DIP-NN2013201p/c, Body length 10.2, maximal width of body 2.2. Antennae 1.1 (segments $1-11$ ). Foreleg: femur 2.5; tibia 2.7. Mid leg: femur 3; tibia 3.4. Hind leg: femur 3.7, tibia 3.4. Wing: length 11.2, width $3.4 ; \mathrm{R}_{2+3} 3$; bRs 2.5; dRs 1.1; $\mathrm{R}_{4+5} 3.7$.

Remarks. The new species having ratio of $\mathrm{bRs} / \mathrm{dRs}$ of 2.5 is similar to $E$. elenae Zhang, 2007, but is distinguished from the latter by having Rs bifurcation
significantly distad of fork of $M_{1+2}$ (vs. Rs bifurcation slightly distad of fork of $\left.M_{1+2}\right) ; b M_{1+2}$ distinctly longer than $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2}$ (vs. $\mathrm{bM}_{1+2}$ clearly shorter than $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2}$ ); $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2}$ clearly shorter than $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$ (vs. $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2}$ as long as $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$ ); $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2}$ short, almost oneeighth of $M_{2}$ (vs. $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2}$ long, one-fifth of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ ). Comparisons with other species are listed in Table 1.

## Epimesoplecia macrostrena sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/8A937A8A-0349-45A6-B5FB-D5287856A37F
Figs 7-8

Etymology. The epithet of macrostrena is derived from the Greek prefix "macro-", meaning "large", and Greek word "strenos", meaning "insolence or excess of strength", referring to the large wings and strong body of this species. The specific epithet is a noun in apposition.

Diagnosis. Antennae filiform. Sc very close to the level of $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$; fork of Rs proximad of fork of $M_{1+2} ; R_{2+3}$ distad of crossvein $r-m ; R_{2+3}$, straight, slightly shorter than bRs and dRs combined; bRs about 5 times (4.2-5) as long as dRs, the latter almost equal to $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{bM}_{1+2}$ shorter than $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2}$ ( 0.7 times); $\mathrm{bM}_{3+4}$ shorter than $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$ (barely longer than $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$ ); cell bp slightly wider than cell ba terminally.

Materials. Holotype: sex unknown. NO. CNU-DIP-NN-2013211, in lateral view, a well-preserved specimen with partial antennae, wings and body as preserved (Fig. 7). Paratypes: CNU-DIP-NN-2013206p/c, sex unknown, a well-preserved specimen with almost complete wings and body (Fig. 8A, B), NO. CNU-DIP-NN-2013212, female, in ventral view, a well-preserved specimen with complete wings and body as preserved (Fig. 8E).

Horizon and locality. All specimens were collected from the Jiulongshan Formation, late Middle Jurassic age (Late Callovian) from Daohugou Village, Ningcheng County, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region in China.

Description. Head (Figs 7C, D and 8C): Oviform and very small in lateral view; antennae filiform, segments 1-9 well-preserved, scape and pedicel slightly compressed; flagellar segments slender and long, becoming thinner and shorter toward apex (moniliform in CNU-DIP-NN-2013206p/c in Fig. 8C); maxillary palpi segments not visible.

Thorax (Figs 7A, B and 8E): Scutum well-developed, arched convex; scutellum depressed; haltere clearly depressed.

Legs (Figs 7A, B and 8E): Forelegs: femur thicker than tibia; almost four-fifths of tibia; the 1 st tarsomere longer than half of tibia, the 2 nd -5 th greatly thinned than the former. Mid legs: femur slightly thicker than tibia; tibial spurs minute; the 1 st tarsomere slightly longer than the 1 st tarsomere of forelegs. Hind legs: femur expanded almost equal to tibia; tibial spurs minute; tarsi not preserved.

Wings (Figs 7E, 8D and F): Wing long and narrow (length: 7.1-8 mm, width: 2.3-3.2 mm), apex of wings not reaching the abdominal terminalia. Costal field long and thin, apex of Sc gradually tapering to the end; Rs arising almost from basal one-


Figure 7. Epimesoplecia macrostrena sp. n. (CNU-DIP-NN2013211). Holotype. A Photograph of habitus B Line drawing of habitus $\mathbf{C}$ Photograph of details of head (under alcohol); Line drawings of $\mathbf{D}$ Head $\mathbf{E}$ Wing. Scale bars $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.
fifth of wing length, furcating proximad of fork of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ bRs 4.4-5.6 times as long as $r-m$; crossvein $m-c u$ slightly shorter than $r-m ; C u A$ arched near anal margin; vein $A_{1}$ nearly straight, reaching posterior margin.

Female genitalia (Fig. 8F): In lateral view, genitalia simple, with 2-segmented cerci.
Dimensions (in mm). [Measurements for the holotype in brackets]. Body length 7 (as preserved) -11.3 [10.3 as preserved]; antennae 0.9 (as preserved) -1.4 (segments $1-16)$ [0.9 segments $1-9$ ]. Forelegs: femur 2.1-2.5 [1.8 as preserved]; tibia 2.9-3.1 [2.7 as preserved]. Mid leg: femur 2.4-3.8 as preserved [3.8 as preserved]; tibia 2.73.6 as preserved [3.6 as preserved]. Hind leg: femur 3.4-4.7 [4.7], tibia 3.8-4.8 [4.8]. Wing: length 7.1-8 [7.4 as preserved], width 2.3-3.3 [3.3]; $\mathrm{R}_{2+3} 2.4-3.2$ [3.2]; bRs 1.9-2.9 [2.9]; dRs 0.5-0.8 [0.6]; $\mathrm{R}_{4+5} 3-3.7$ [3.7].

Remarks. The new species is similar to $E$. shcherbakovi Zhang, 2007, but is differentiated from the latter by having Rs bifurcation proximad of fork of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ (vs. Rs bifurcation at the same level of fork of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$ ); bM $\mathrm{l}_{1+2}$ shorter than $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2}$ (vs. $\mathrm{bM}_{1+2}$ longer than $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2}$ ); dRs as long as $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$ (vs. dRs clearly shorter than $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$ ); $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2}$ long, almost one-third of $M_{2}$ (vs. $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2}$ short, significantly less than one-third of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ ). Comparisons with other species are listed in Table 1.


Figure 8. Epimesoplecia macrostrena sp. n. (CNU-DIP-NN2013206p/c). Paratype. Photographs of A, B Part and counterpart C Details of head; Line drawing of $\mathbf{D}$ Counterpart; (CNU-DIP-NN2013212) Paratype $\mathbf{E}$ Photograph of habitus $\mathbf{F}$ Line drawing of habitus. Scale bars $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.

## Epimesoplecia ambloneura sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/268EE32F-E347-45C1-8FF7-F3DC03805D09
Figs 9-10

Etymology. The epithet of ambloneura is derived from the Greek prefix "ambl-", meaning "obtuse", and Greek word "neura", meaning "string or sinew", referring to the blunt caudal vein of this species. The specific epithet is a noun in apposition.


Figure 9. Epimesoplecia ambloneura sp. n. (CNU-DIP-NN2013215). Holotype. A Photograph of habitus B Line drawing of habitus; Photographs of C Details of head (under alcohol) D Details of female genitalia (under alcohol). Scale bars $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Diagnosis. Antennae filiform. Sc very close to the level of r-m; fork of Rs at the same level of fork of $M_{1+2} ; R_{2+3}$ proximad of crossvein r-m; $R_{2+3}$, straight, distinctly longer than bRs and dRs combined; bRs about 6-7 times as long as dRs , the latter clearly shorter than $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{bM}_{1+2}$ significantly longer than $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2}$ (1.3-2.8 times); $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ 8-11 times as long as $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2} ; \mathrm{bM}_{3+4}$ shorter than $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$; cell bp slightly wider than cell ba terminally.

Materials. Holotype: male. NO. CNU-DIP-NN-2013215, in ventral view, a well-preserved specimen with partial antennae, complete wings and body (Fig. 9A). Paratype: sex unknown. NO. CNU-DIP-NN-2013208, in dorsal view, a specimen with only right wing well-preserved, but fragments of body as preserved (Fig. 10).

Horizon and locality. All specimens were collected from the Jiulongshan Formation, late Middle Jurassic age (Late Callovian) from Daohugou Village, Ningcheng County, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region in China.

Description. Head (Fig. 9C): Oviform and very small in lateral view; antennae long, with 16 segments, scape and pedicel slightly compressed; flagellar segments slender and long, becoming thinner and shorter toward apex; maxillary palpi segments barely visible.

Thorax (Figs 9A and 10A): Scutum well-developed, arched convex; scutellum depressed; haltere not visible.


B


Figure IO. Epimesoplecia ambloneura sp. n. (CNU-DIP-NN2013208). Paratype. A Photograph of habitus B Line drawing of habitus. Scale bars $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Legs (Fig. 9A): Forelegs: femur slightly thicker than tibia; almost equal to tibia; tarsi not preserved. Mid legs: femur thicker than tibia; tibial spurs minute; tarsi not preserved. Hind legs: femur expanded, almost equal to tibia; tibial spurs minute; the 1st tarsomere longer than half of tibia; tarsi 2nd-5th not preserved.

Wings (Figs 9B and 10B): Wing long and narrow (length: $7.4-9.1 \mathrm{~mm}$, width: 3.2-3.6 mm), apex of wings not reaching the abdominal terminalia. Costal field long and thin, apex of Sc gradually tapering to the end; Rs arising almost from basal onefifth of wing length, furcating at the same level of fork of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$; bRs 5 times as long as $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$; CuA slightly oblique; vein $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ nearly straight, not reaching posterior margin, exceeding the level of $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$.

Female genitalia (Fig. 9D): Genitalia with 2-segmented cerci.
Dimensions of holotype (in mm). [Measurements for the paratype, CNU-DIPNN2013208 in brackets]. Holotype: male. NO. CNU-DIP-NN-2013215, body length 12.7 as preserved [ 5 as preserved]; antennae 1.5 as preserved. Forelegs: femur 3.5 as preserved; tibia 3.9. Mid leg: femur 3.9; tibia 4.2. Hind leg: femur 4.2, tibia 4.8. Wing: length 9.1 [7.4], width 3.6 [3.2]; $\mathrm{R}_{2+3} 3.5$ [2.9]; bRs 2.5 [1.9]; dRs 0.35 [0.3]; $\mathrm{R}_{4+5} 4$ [3.3].

Remarks. The new species is similar to E. shcherbakovi Zhang, 2007, but differs from the latter in having bRs about 6-7 times as long as dRs (vs. 4.5 times); $\mathrm{R}_{2+3}$ clearly longer than bRs and dRs combined (vs. $\mathrm{R}_{2+3}$ significantly shorter than bRs and dRs combined); Rs bifurcation proximad of $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$ (vs. Rs distad of $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$ ); $\mathrm{M}_{2} 8-11$ times as long as $\mathrm{dM}_{1+2}$ (vs. 5 times); $\mathrm{bM}_{3+4}$ shorter than $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$ (vs. $\mathrm{bM}_{3+4}$ longer than $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$ ). Comparisons with other species are listed in the Table 1.

## Key to the species of Epimesoplecia Zhang, 2007

1 Fork of Rs proximad fork of $M_{1+2}$.............................................................. 2

- Fork of Rs distad or at same level fork of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2} \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ 3 ~ 3 ~$

2 Fork of Rs proximad of r-m; bRs about 10 times as long as dRs
E. prosoneura sp. n.

- Fork of Rs distad of r-m; bRs significantly less than 10 times as long as dRs...
E. macrostrena sp. n.

3 Fork of Rs at the same level of $M_{1+2}$; dRs shorter than r-m.......................... 4

- Fork of Rs distad of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$; dRs longer than r-m........................................... 5
$4 \quad \mathrm{R}_{2+3}$ longer than Rs ; $\mathrm{bM}_{1+2}$ clearly shorter than $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$.. E. ambloneura sp. $\mathbf{n}$.
- $\quad \mathrm{R}_{2+3}$ distinctly shorter than $\mathrm{Rs} ; \mathrm{bM}_{1+2}$ longer than m-cu
E. shcherbakovi Zhang, 2007


6 Antennae moniliform; bRs significantly less than 2.5 times as long as dRs....
E. plethora sp. n.
- Antennae filiform; bRs 2.5 times as long as dRs........E. elenae Zhang, 2007


Figure I I. Epimesoplecia elenae Zhang, 2007. (CNU-DIP-NN2013213). New material. Photographs of A, B Part and counterpart $\mathbf{C}$ Details of female genitalia (under alcohol); Line drawings of $\mathbf{D}$ Counterpart E Wing. Scale bars $=1 \mathrm{~mm}$.

## Discussion

The generic diagnosis of Epimesoplecia Zhang, 2007 is revised based on eleven wellpreserved new specimens, among which ten are used to describe the afore-mentioned five new species. One is identified as a new material for E. elenae Zhang, 2007 (Fig. 11), In total, seven species with 13 specimens have been described in Epimesoplecia so far, all from the Jiulongshan Formation of China (Table 1).

It is interesting to note that only one of the 13 specimens reported so far is male, ie. the holotype of E. prosoneura sp. n. (CNU-DIP-NN2013207 p/c) with well-preserved male genitalia. We also describe a female paratype of E. prosoneura sp. n. (CNU-DIPNN2013214) with well-preserved female genitalia. Since both specimens have similar body size, wing length and venational characters, the sexual dimorphism of this species seems to be not significant.

The measurements of body length, wing length and other important characters of wings are summarised in Table 1. The data and information suggest that the following characters are stable within a species, but differ among different species: (1) fork of Rs vs. fork of $\mathrm{M}_{1+2}$; (2) ratio range of bRs and dRs; (3) dRs longer or shorter than r-m; (4) $\mathrm{bM}_{1+2}$ longer or shorter than $\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{cu}$; and (5) antennae moniliform or filiform. Based on these taxonomic characters, a key to the species of Epimesoplecia Zhang, 2007 is provided.
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