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#### Abstract

Tylopus currently comprises 55 species, including three new from Thailand: T. corrugatus sp. n., T. trigonum sp. n. and T. parabilaroides sp. n. A new distribution map and an updated key to all 29 species of Tylopus presently known to occur in Thailand are given. Illustrated redescriptions of all four Indochinese Tylopus species described by Carl Attems are also provided, based on type material.
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## Introduction

The Southeast Asian millipede genus Tylopus Jeekel, 1968 is one of the most speciose not only in the mainly Asian tribe Sulciferini, but also in the whole family Paradoxosomatidae. The latter is probably the largest in the entire class Diplopoda, dominating the millipede fauna of Indo-Australia (Jeekel 1968) and currently comprising nearly 200 genera and over 1,000 species (Nguyen and Sierwald 2013; authors' records). At the moment, all 52 constituent species of Tylopus range from southern China, through Laos, to Myanmar, western Thailand and southern Vietnam. Since the thorough reviews of the genus by Golovatch and Enghoff (1993) and Likhitrakarn et al. (2010), both of which focused on the fauna of Thailand, Nguyen (2012) provided a synopsis of and a key to all 18 species of Tylopus occurring in Vietnam, while Golovatch (2013, 2014) summarized all six congeners recorded in southern China.

The present paper is an updated review of all 29 Tylopus currently known from Thailand (Table 1), including three new congeners. In addition, all four Indochinese Tylopus species described by Carl Attems are redescribed and illustrated, based on the types kept in the collection of the Naturhistorisches Museum Wien, Austria.

## Material and methods

New material was collected in northern Thailand and southern Laos from 2011 to 2013 by SP and members of the Animal Systematics Research Unit, Chulalongkorn University. Live animals were photographed in the laboratory shortly before fixing. Specimens were preserved in $75 \%$ ethanol, and morphological investigations were carried out in the laboratory using an Olympus stereomicroscope. Scanning electron micrographs (SEM) of gonopods coated with gold were taken using a JEOL, JSM-5410 LV microscope, and the gonopods removed from stubs and returned to alcohol after examination. Digital images of preserved specimens were taken in the laboratory and assembled using the "Cell ${ }^{\mathrm{D}}$ " automontage software of the Olympus Soft Imaging Solution GmbH package. In addition, line drawings of gonopod characters were also prepared. Type material of the Attemsian congeners housed in the Vienna Museum was photographed with a Dino-Eye Eyepiece USB Camera AM423X, the digital images assembled using the automontage software technique, and the gonopods redrawn. Holotypes of the three new species, as well as most of the paratypes are housed in the Museum of Zoology, Chulalongkorn University (CUMZ), Bangkok, Thailand, a single duplicate paratype being donated to the collection of the Naturhistorisches Museum Wien, Austria (NHMW), as indicated in the text.

Collecting site positions and elevations were determined by GPS using the WGS84 datum.

In the catalogue sections, D stands for the original description, subsequent descriptive notes or appearance in a key, R for a subsequent record or records, and M for a mere mention.

Table I. Tylopus species recorded in Thailand (Pocock 1895, Hoffman 1973, Golovatch and Enghoff 1993, Likhitrakarn et al. 2010).

| No. | Species | Locality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Tylopus affinis Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993 | Doi Pui, summit ( $1,650 \mathrm{~m}$ ), Doi Suthep National Park; Mae Chaem road ( $1,700 \mathrm{~m}$ ); main road ( $1,900 \mathrm{~m}$ ), Doi Inthanon National Park, Chiang Mai Province. |
| 2 | Tylopus allorugosus Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993 | Siripum Waterfall ( $1,300-1,400 \mathrm{~m}$ ); Mae Chaem road (1,694-1,700 m); main road (2,200-2,500 m); Doi Inthanon National Park; Doi Pui, summit ( $1,650 \mathrm{~m}$ ), Doi Suthep National Park, Chiang Mai Province. |
| 3 | Tylopus amicus Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993 | northwestern Fang District (1,550-1,750 m), Doi Pha Hom Pok National Park, Chiang Mai Province. |
| 4 |  <br> Enghoff, 1993 | Doi Inthanon National Park (1,500 m), Chiang Mai Province. |
| 5 | Tylopus baenzigeri Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993 | near stream ( $1,100 \mathrm{~m}$ ); Doi Pui-Chang Khian ( $1,400-1,500 \mathrm{~m}$ ), Doi Suthep National Park, Chiang Mai Province. |
| 6 | Tylopus bispinosus Likhitrakarn, Golovatch, Prateepasen \& Panha, 2010 | near Umphang City ( 492 m ); Doi Hua Mod ( 900 m ), Umphang District, Tak Province. |
| 7 | Tylopus coriaceus Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993 | Phu Kheio ( $1,000 \mathrm{~m}$ ), Chaiyapum Province. |
| 8 | Tylopus corrugatus sp. n. | Doi Inthanon National Park (1,700 m), Chiang Mai Province. |
| 9 | Tylopus degerboelae Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993 | forest near stream ( $1,000 \mathrm{~m}$ ); Doi Pui road ( $1,000-1,100 \mathrm{~m}$ ); Siriphum Waterfall ( $1,298 \mathrm{~m}$ ); evergreen forest ( $1,300-1,500 \mathrm{~m}$ ), Doi Suthep National Park; main road ( $1,500-1,600$ ), Doi Inthanon National Park; limestone area, Doi Chiang Dao; Doi Phatang, Wiang Kaen District, Chiang Mai Province. |
| 10 | Tylopus doriae (Pocock, 1895) | Doi Suthep National Park ( $1,400-1,500 \mathrm{~m}$ ), Chiang Mai Province. |
| 11 | Tylopus extremus Likhitrakarn, <br> Golovatch, Prateepasen \& Panha, 2010 | Doi Phahom Pok National Park, Fang District, Chiang Mai Province. |
| 12 | Tylopus grandis Likhitrakarn, Golovatch, Prateepasen \& Panha, 2010 | near Pha Mon Cave; Mae Lana crossroad, Pangmapha District, Mae Hong Son Province. |
| 13 | Tylopus haplorugosus Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993 | main road (1,694-1,900 m), Doi Inthanon National Park, Chiang Mai Province. |
| 14 | Tylopus hoffmani Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993 | summit (1,600 m), Doi Suthep National Park, Chiang Mai Province |
| 15 | Tylopus jeekeli Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993 | Siripum Waterfall (1,200-1,300 m), Doi Inthanon National Park; Doi Suthep National Park ( $1,298 \mathrm{~m}$ ), Chiang Mai Province. |
| 16 | Tylopus pallidus Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993 | northwest of Fang (1,550-1,750 m); Doi Pha Hom Pok, Chiang Mai Province. |
| 17 | Tylopus parajeckeli Likhitrakarn, Golovatch, Prateepasen \& Panha, 2010 | summit $(2,520 \mathrm{~m})$, Doi Inthanon National Park, Chiang Mai Province. |
| 18 | Tylopus perarmatus Hoffman, 1973 | east slope ( $1,000-1,275 \mathrm{~m}$ ); Mahidol Waterfall ( $1,250-1,500 \mathrm{~m}$ ), Doi Suthep National Park; Siripum Waterfall ( $1,300-1,400 \mathrm{~m}$ ); Vajirathan Waterfall ( 750 m), Doi Inthanon National Park; Doi Phatang, Wiang Kaen District; limestone cave ( 500 m ), Doi Chiang Dao, Chiang Mai Province. sandy bank of stream ( 900 m ), ca 8 km east of Ban Huai Kaeo, Thoen District; Thum Pha Thai, Ngao District, Lampang Province. Ban Pang Rim Kon, Mueang Chiang Rai District; Phucheefah, Thoeng District; Doi Pha Tang, Wiang Kaen District, Chiang Rai Province. Nam Min Waterfall, Chiang Kham District, Phayao Province. Tham Pha Nang Khoi ( 275 m), Rong Kwang District, Phrae Province. Ton Tong waterfall, Pua District, Nan Province. |
| 19 | Tylopus perplexus Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993 | northwest of Fang ( $1,550-1,750 \mathrm{~m}$ ); Doi Pha Hom Pok, Chiang Mai Province. |


| No. | Species | Locality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 |  <br> Enghoff, 1993 | northwest of Fang (1,550-1,750 m); Doi Pha Hom Pok, <br> Chiang Mai Province. |
| 21 |  <br> Enghoff, 1993 | main road (2,200 m); summit (2,500 m), Doi Inthanon <br> National Park, Chiang Mai Province. |
| 22 |  <br> Enghoff, 1993 | Tong Kamang Noi, forest (1,000 m); Phu Kheio, <br> Chaiyaphum Province. |
| 23 |  <br> Enghoff, 1993 | Chiang Dao (1,800 m); Buathong Waterfall Forest Park (510 m), <br> Phrao District, Chiang Mai Province. |
| 24 |  <br> Enghoff, 1993 | Ban Mussoe, Mae Sot District, Tak Province. |
| 25 |  <br> Enghoff, 1993 | Doi Suthep National Park (1,000 m); same locality (1,400-1,500 m), <br> 26 <br> Tyiang Mai Province. |
| 27 | Tylopus parahilaroides sp. n. | Phuluang Wildlife Sanctuary (1,486 m), Phuluang District, |
| Loei Province. |  |  |

## Taxonomy

# Family Paradoxosomatidae Daday, 1889 <br> Subfamily Paradoxosomatidae Daday, 1889 <br> Tribe Sulciferini Attems, 1898 <br> Genus Tylopus Jeekel, 1968 

## Tylopus corrugatus sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/BF1AF28F-1392-44B1-A117-7C8F47BDF77A
Figs 1-3
Holotype. § (CUMZ), Thailand, Chiang Mai Province, Chom Thong District, Doi Inthanon National Park, $1,700 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., $18^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 98^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 20.12 .2013$, leg. N. Likhitrakarn \& S. Chaiwong.

Paratypes. $2 \delta^{\lambda}, 6$, 2 juveniles (CUMZ), $1 \delta$ (NHMW), same locality, together with holotype. $1 \delta^{\lambda}, 1 q$ (CUMZ), same locality, 25.01.2013, leg. N. Likhitrakarn.

Name. To emphasize the clearly wrinkled postcollum metaterga.
Diagnosis. Differs from congeners mainly in the very strongly developed paraterga with evident oblong ridges. Gonopod process $\mathbf{h}$ prominent, hook-shape, much longer than solenophore.

Description. Length $15.5-18.2\left(\delta^{\top}\right)$ or $16.5-21.0 \mathrm{~mm}(q)$, width of midbody pro- and metazonae $1.50-1.70$ and $1.95-2.15 \mathrm{~mm}\left(\delta^{\lambda}\right)$ or $1.55-2.0$ and $2.0-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $q$ ), respectively.

Coloration of live animals blackish-brown (Fig. 1A) with a pattern of contrasting light brown paraterga and posterior halves of midbody metaterga and epiproct, dark brown to light brown head, legs and antennae; coloration in alcohol faded after two months of preservation, paraterga, legs and epiproct being light brown to whitish;


Figure I. Tylopus corrugatus sp. n., $\delta^{\text {h }}$ holotype; A habitus, live coloration B, C anterior part of body, dorsal and lateral views, respectively D, E segments 10 and 11, dorsal and lateral views, respectively F-H posterior part of body, dorsal, ventral and lateral views, respectively I, J sternal cones between coxae 4, subcaudal and sublateral views, respectively.
head to metazonae 3 blackish, thereafter metazonae with a light brown to whitish cross (Fig. 1B, D, F); venter and a few basal podomeres light brown to yellow-brown, legs increasingly darker brown distally (Fig. 1B-J).

Clypeolabral region and vertex sparsely setose, epicranial suture distinct. Antennae moderately long (Fig. 1A-C), reaching body segment $3\left(\delta^{\lambda}\right)$ or $2(q)$ when stretched dorsally. In width, head < segment $3=4$ < collum < $2<5-17\left({ }^{\hat{N}}\right.$, , $\uparrow$ ); thereafter body gently and gradually tapering. Collum with three transverse rows of setae: $4+4$ anterior, $3+3$ intermediate and $5+5$ posterior; a small incision laterally in posterior half;


Figure 2. Tylopus corrugatus sp. n., $\widehat{\sigma}^{\lambda}$ holotype; $\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{C}$ right gonopod, lateral, mesal and anteromesal views, respectively, scale bar: $0.2 \mathrm{~mm} \mathbf{D}$ sternum of segment $10 . \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}$ leg of segment 10 , depicted not to scale.
caudal corner of paraterga rounded, slightly declined ventrad, produced behind rear tergal margin (Fig. 1B, C).

Tegument leathery and shining, prozonae very finely shagreened, metaterga leathery, finely microgranulate and delicately rugulose; surface below paraterga finely mi-


Figure 3. Tylopus corrugatus sp. n., đ̉ paratype, right gonopod; A, B mesal and lateral views, respectively C-F distal part, mesal, lateral, posterior and anterior views, respectively. Scale bars: 0.2 mm .
crogranulate. Postcollum metaterga with two transverse rows of setae on evident oblong ridges: $2+2$ in anterior (pre-sulcus) and $3+3$ in posterior (post-sulcus) row, caudal row being more strongly developed than anterior one (Fig. 1B-F, H); behind segment 10, metaterga with: $2+2$ in anterior and $3(4)+3(4)$ in posterior row. Tergal setae long, strong, slender, about $1 / 3$ of metatergal length. Axial line visible on metaterga. Paraterga very strongly developed (Fig. 1B-F, H), especially well so in ${ }^{\lambda}$, set at about $1 / 4$ midbody height, mostly upturned, all lying high, but always below dorsum; shoulders well-developed, mostly rounded; caudal corner almost completely to fully pointed, extending increasingly beyond tergal margin, posterior edge mostly oblique, especially strongly so on segments 16-19 (Fig. 1F, H); paraterga very thin blunt blades in lateral view, a little thicker only on pore-bearing segments. Calluses on paraterga delimited by
a sulcus only dorsally. Paraterga 2 broad, anterior edge angular, lateral edge with two evident incisions in anterior half; posterior edge slightly concave (Fig. 1B, C). Lateral edge of paraterga with evident incisions, one in anterior $1 / 3$, the other at midway, caudal incision being smaller in pore-bearing segments. Ozopores evident, lateral, lying in an ovoid groove at about $1 / 3$ in front of caudal corner. Transverse sulcus usually distinct (Fig. 1B, D, F), slightly incomplete on segment 19, complete on metaterga 4-18, deep, reaching bases of paraterga, clearly beaded at bottom. Stricture between pro- and metazonae wide, clearly ribbed at bottom down to base of paraterga (Fig. 1B-E). Pleurosternal carinae complete crests with a sharp caudal tooth on segment 7 $(\widehat{\delta}, q)$, a small, caudal, mostly sharp tooth until segment $17\left(\sigma^{\top}\right)$ or $16(q)$, thereafter missing (Fig. 1C, E, H). Epiproct (Fig. 1F, G) conical, flattened dorsoventrally, with two strong apical papillae; tip subtruncate; pre-apical papillae evident, lying close to tip. Hypoproct roundly subtrapeziform (Fig. 1G), setiferous knobs at caudal edge well-separated and evident.

Sterna very densely setose, with a small cone caudally near each coxa, rear cones being a bit better developed than front ones (Fig. 2D); a deeply notched sternal lobe between $\begin{gathered}\text { © } \\ \text { coxae }\end{gathered} 4$ (Fig. 1I, J). Legs moderately long and slender, midbody ones ca 1.0-1.2 ( $\delta^{\top}$ ) or 0.9-1.1 times ( $q$ ) as long as body height, $\delta^{\lambda}$ legs of segments $5-16$ with an evident adenostyle (tubercle) on femur, postfemur, tibia and tarsus (Fig. 2D-F); tarsal brushes present until $\widehat{\overparen{ }}$ legs 7 .

Gonopods (Figs 2A-C, 3) simple; coxa a little curved caudad, sparsely setose distoventrally. Prefemur densely setose, about $1 / 3$ as long as femorite + "postfemoral" part. Femorite rather stout, expanded distad, slightly curved, showing a mesal groove; lobe $\mathbf{1}$ simple; process $\mathbf{m}$ apicoventral and spiniform; solenophore long and slender, typically coiled, tip subtruncate; process $\mathbf{h}$ strongly developed, curved and acute, longer than solenophore.

## Tylopus parabilaroides sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/0E564999-E51A-4D50-9099-8857FF80E9D6
Figs 4, 5

Holotype. | $\lambda$ |
| :---: |
| (CUMZ), Thailand, Loei Province, Phuluang District, Phuluang Wild- | life Sanctuary, $1,486 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., $17^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 44.9^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 101^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 10.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 20.07 .2011$, leg. Sira Noommeechai.

Paratype. 1 Q (CUMZ), same data, together with holotype.
Name. To emphasize the close resemblance to T. hilaroides Golovatch, 1984.
Diagnosis. Very similar to T. hilaroides, especially as regards its gonopod conformation, but differs in the presence of two rows of setae on metaterga 3-18 (an anterior transverse row of $2+2$ setae and a posterior row of $4+4$ insertion points versus solely an anterior transverse row of $2+2$ setae), by the transverse sulcus visible starting already from metatergum 4 (versus metatergum 5), as well as in gonopod process $\mathbf{z}$ with two evident spines along distal margin (versus three spines) and process $\mathbf{h}$ being smaller (versus stouter).


Figure 4. Tylopus parahilaroides sp. n., ô holotype; A habitus, live coloration B, C anterior part of body, dorsal and lateral views, respectively $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}$ segments 10 and 11, dorsal and lateral views, respectively F-H posterior part of body, dorsal, ventral and lateral views, respectively $\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}$ sternal cones between coxae 4 , caudal and lateral views, respectively.

Description. Length 34 ( ${ }^{\lambda}$ ) or 33 mm ( P ), width of midbody pro- and metazonae 3.1 and 4.3 mm ( $\delta^{\text {² }}$ ) or 3.2 and 4.1 mm ( $(\uparrow)$, respectively.

Coloration of live animals dark castaneous brown (Fig. 4A); legs red-brown, venter and a few basal podomeres light brown to yellow-brown; coloration of alcohol material after a half year preservation faded to dark brown; antennae and epiproct light brown to pallid, venter and a few basal podomeres light brown to pallid (Fig. 4B-H).

Clypeolabral region and vertex sparsely setose, epicranial suture distinct. Antennae moderately long (Fig. 4A), reaching behind body segment $3\left(\delta^{\lambda}, ~+\uparrow\right)$ when stretched


Figure 5. Tylopus parahilaroides sp. n., ${ }^{1}$ holotype; $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ right gonopod, lateral and mesal views, respectively, scale bar: $0.2 \mathrm{~mm} \mathbf{C}$ leg of segment 10, depicted not to scale.
dorsally. In width, head $<$ segment $3<4<5<$ collum $<$ segment $2<6-17$ ( $\delta^{\lambda}$ ) or head $<$ segment $3<4<$ collum $<$ segment $2<5-17$ ( $q$ ); thereafter body gently and gradually tapering. Collum with three transverse rows of setae: $4+4$ anterior, $3+3$ intermediate, and $4+4$ posterior; a setigerous incision laterally in posterior $1 / 3$; caudal corner of paraterga very narrowly rounded, not drawn behind rear tergal margin (Fig. 4B, C).

Tegument rather smooth and shining, prozonae finely shagreened, metaterga often rugose (Fig. 4A-F); surface below paraterga finely microgranular (Fig. 4C, E, H). Postcollum metaterga with an anterior transverse row of $2+2$ setae visible at least as insertion points, and a posterior row of $4+4$ insertion points. Tergal setae long, strong, slender, about $1 / 3$ of metatergal length. Axial line clearly visible both on pro- and metazonae. Paraterga strongly developed (Fig. 4B-H), especially so in $\delta^{\lambda}$, lying rather high (at $1 / 3$ of midbody height), slightly upturned, but lying below dorsum; anterior edge rounded, caudal corner very narrowly rounded, starting from segment 13 extending increasingly beyond rear tergal margin, pointed, on segments $15-19$ tips strongly curved mesad (Fig. 4F, H); lateral edge on poreless segments with two evident (anterior larger, posterior one smaller) setigerous incisions in anterior $1 / 3$, but with only one strong (anterior) incision on pore-bearing segments (Fig. 4B, D, F); posterior edge oblique. Calluses on paraterga narrow, delimited by a sulcus only dorsally in segments $2-3$, but both dorsally and ventrally in following segments. Paraterga 2 broad, posterior edge clearly oblique. Paraterga 2 and 3 broadly angular anteriorly, following
segments with rounded anterior edges (Fig. 4B). Ozopores evident, lateral, lying in an ovoid groove at about $1 / 3$ in front of posterior edge of metaterga. Transverse sulcus usually distinct (Fig. 4B, D, H), slightly incomplete on segments 4 and 19, complete on metaterga 5-18 ( $\widehat{\lambda}, ~$ ) , narrow, wavy, rather deep, not reaching bases of paraterga, at most faintly ribbed at bottom. Stricture between pro- and metazonae broad and deep, beaded at bottom down to well below base of paraterga (Fig. 4B, D, H). Pleurosternal carinae complete crests with a sharp caudal tooth on segments 2-4, thereafter split into a sharp front and a sharp caudal tooth, the former gradually turning into a bulge, the latter tooth gradually reduced until segment 17 ( $\widehat{\Omega}$, $q$ ). Epiproct (Fig. 4F, G) conical, flattened dorsoventrally, with two evident apical papillae; tip subtruncate; pre-apical papillae small, lying rather close to tip. Hypoproct roundly subtrapeziform, setiferous knobs at caudal edge small and well-separated (Fig. 4G).

Sterna densely setose, without modifications; a single, linguiform, sternal lobe between § coxae 4 (Fig. 4I, J). Legs rather long and slender, midbody ones ca 1.2-1.3 $\left(\delta^{\top}\right)$ or 1.1-1.4 times $(q)$ as long as body height; appressed setation ventrally on coxa, prefemur and femur, but tarsal brushes absent; $\begin{gathered}\lambda \\ \text { prefemora distinctly bulged laterally }\end{gathered}$
 midway on ventral side (Fig. 5C).

Gonopods (Fig. 5A, B) simple; coxa a little curved caudad, sparsely setose distoventrally. Prefemur densely setose, about $1 / 3$ as long as femorite + "postfemoral" part. Femorite rather stout, expanded distad, slightly curved, showing a mesal groove; lobe $\mathbf{l}$ simple; process $\mathbf{z}$ with two evident spines along dorsal margin; process $\mathbf{h}$ short and slender, curved, with an acute tip; solenophore long and slender, typically coiled, tip subtruncate.

## Tylopus trigonum sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/7B4546E1-7163-433F-816D-6DCB8E010BAF
Figs 6, 7

Holotype. $\begin{gathered}\text { (CUMZ), Thailand, Tak Province, Umphang District, Pa Wai Waterfall, } 804\end{gathered}$ m a.s.l., $16^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 29.6^{\prime \prime N}$ N, $98^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 3.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 20.01 .2011$, leg. C. Sutcharit \& N. Likhitrakarn.

Paratypes. $1 \delta^{\lambda}$ (CUMZ), same data, together with holotype. 3 of (CUMZ), same district, Thee Lor Sue Waterfall, 591 m a.s.l., $15^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 38.1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 98^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 12.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, 19.01.2011, leg. N. Likhitrakarn.

Name. To emphasize the light brown triangle on terga; noun in apposition.
Diagnosis. This new species shows a peculiar colour pattern, much like that observed in T. schawalleri Golovatch, 2013, but differs in gonopod process $\mathbf{h}$ being rather short and coiled (versus high and strongly twisted), as well as by the presence of a process $\mathbf{m}$ (versus absent).

Description. Length 21.2-27.8 ( $\left.{ }^{( }\right)$or $22.1-24.0 \mathrm{~mm}(Q)$, width of midbody pro- and metazona $1.97-1.83$ and $2.65-2.78 \mathrm{~mm}\left(\sigma^{\pi}\right)$ or $2.43-2.58$ and $3.05-3.14$ $\mathrm{mm}(q)$, respectively.


Figure 6. Tylopus trigonum sp. n., त̉ holotype; A habitus, live coloration B, C anterior part of body, dorsal and lateral views, respectively $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}$ segments 10 and 11 , dorsal and lateral views, respectively F-H posterior part of body, dorsal, ventral and lateral views, respectively I,J sternal cones between coxae 4, subcaudal and sublateral views, respectively.

Coloration of live animals light brown (Fig. 6A); paraterga, legs and epiproct light brown, head and collum blackish, following terga with a light brown triangle and blackish collar covering both pro- and metazonae; coloration of alcohol material after three years of preservation faded to whitish with a pattern of a contrasting dark brown inverted triangle at anterior edge of metazonae and a light brown triangle at posterior edge of prozonae (Fig. 6B-H).

Clypeolabral region and vertex sparsely setose, epicranial suture distinct. Antennae very short (Fig. 6A, B), reaching only behind body segment $2\left(\delta^{\wedge}\right)$ or collum (ㅇ) when


Figure 7. Tylopus trigonum sp. n., $\begin{gathered}\text { T holotype; } \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \text { right gonopod, lateral and mesal views, respectively, }\end{gathered}$ scale bar: $0.2 \mathrm{~mm} \mathbf{C}$ leg of segment 10, depicted not to scale.
stretched dorsally. In width, head $<$ segment $3<4<$ collum $<$ segment $2<5-17$ ( ${ }^{\text {d, }}$ Q); thereafter body gently and gradually tapering. Collum with three transverse rows of strong setae: $4+4$ anterior, $2+2$ intermediate, and $4+4$ posterior; a rounded incision laterally in posterior half; caudal corner of paraterga rounded, slightly declined ventrad, produced behind rear tergal margin (Fig. 6B, C).

Tegument rather smooth and shining, prozonae very finely shagreened, metaterga smooth and finely rugulose, leathery; surface below paraterga finely microgranulate (Fig. 6B-H). Postcollum metaterga with two transverse rows of setae on small knobs to oblong ridges: $2+2$ in anterior (pre-sulcus), $3+3$ in posterior (post-sulcus) row, caudal row more strongly developed than anterior one, starting from metaterga 11 with $2+2$ in anterior and $4(3)+4(3)$ in posterior row. Tergal setae long, strong, slender, about $1 / 3$ of metatergal length. Axial line visible. Paraterga very strongly developed (Fig. 6B-F, H), especially well in $\widehat{\top}$, set high, at about $1 / 3$ of midbody height, slightly upturned, always lying high, but below dorsum; shoulders well-developed, mostly regularly rounded and narrowly bordered, fused to callus; caudal corner narrowly rounded to fully pointed, extending increasingly beyond rear tergal margin, posterior edge clearly oblique (Fig. $6 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{F})$; paraterga very thin blunt blades in lateral view, a little thicker only on pore-bearing segments. Calluses on paraterga delimited by a sulcus both dorsally and ventrally. Paraterga 2 broad, anterior edge angular, lateral edge with three evident incisions in anterior half (Fig. 6B, C). Lateral edge of following paraterga with two clear
incisions, one in anterior $1 / 3$, the other at midway, front one being particularly evident. Ozopores evident, lateral, lying in an ovoid groove at about $1 / 3$ in front of caudal corner. Transverse sulcus usually distinct (Fig. 6B, D, H), slightly incomplete on segments 4 and 19, complete on metaterga 5-18, deep, reaching bases of paraterga, clearly ribbed at bottom. Stricture between pro- and metazonae very wide, clearly beaded at bottom down to base of paraterga (Fig. 6B, D, H). Pleurosternal carinae complete crests with a sharp caudal tooth on segment $12\left(\delta^{\top}\right)$ or $7(q)$, thereafter increasingly well reduced in size and sharpness until segment $17\left(\delta^{\lambda}\right)$ or 14 ( $q$ ), onward missing (Fig. 6C, E, H). Epiproct (Fig. 6F-H) conical, flattened dorsoventrally, subtruncate, with two evident apical papillae directed caudally, both pointed at tip; pre-apical papillae evident, lying close to tip. Hypoproct roundly subtrapeziform (Fig. 6G), small setiferous knobs at caudal edge well-separated and evident.

Sterna very densely setose, with a small cone caudally near each coxa; a single, linguiform, deeply medially notched sternal lobe between ô coxae 4 (Fig. 9I, J). Legs moderately long and slender, midbody ones ca 1.1-1.2 ( ${ }^{\top}$ ) or 1.0-1.1 times ( $q$ ) as long as body height, $\begin{gathered}\text { f femora with } 2-4 \text { small adenostyles on ventral side (Fig. 7C); }\end{gathered}$ tarsal brushes present until $\begin{gathered}\lambda \\ \operatorname{leg} 7\end{gathered}$

Gonopods (Fig. 7A, B) very simple; coxa a little curved caudad, sparsely setose distoventrally. Prefemur densely setose, about $1 / 3$ as long as femorite + "postfemoral" part. Femorite rather slender, expanded distad, slightly curved, showing a mesal groove; lobe 1 simple; solenophore long and slender, typically coiled, tip subtruncate; process $\mathbf{m}$ evident, but not spiniform; process $\mathbf{h}$ prominent, coiled, acute at tip.

Remark. The $\widehat{\sigma}$ paratype on metatergum 19 shows $3+3$ and $6+6$ setae without knobs in the anterior and posterior rows, respectively.

## Tylopus nodulipes (Attems, 1953)

Figs 8, 9
Agnesia nodulipes Attems, 1953: 174 (D).
Agnesia nodulipes - Jeekel 1965: 98 (R).
Tylopus nodulipes - Jeekel 1968: 60 (M); Hoffman 1973: 371(M, D); Golovatch 1983: 182 (M); 1984: 69 (M, D); Golovatch and Enghoff 1993: 90 (M, D); Enghoff et al. 2004: 40 (R); Likhitrakarn et al. 2010: 25 (R, D); Nguyen 2012: 301 (R, D).

Lectotype $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { (here designated) of Agnesia nodulipes (NHMW-3986), Laos, Luang Prabang, }\end{gathered}$ 1938-1939, leg. C. Dawydoff.

Lectotype designation proposed herewith is necessary to ensure the species is based on a complete $\begin{gathered}\widehat{\lambda} \\ \text { coming from a certain locality, because (1) Attems (1953) provided }\end{gathered}$ no information on the number and sex of syntypes, and (2) he stated their provenance to have been both from Luang Prabang, Laos and Mount Fan-Si-Pan, Lao Cai Province, Vietnam. No paralectotype material could be traced in the Vienna Museum.


Figure 8. Tylopus nodulipes (Attems, 1953), © lectotype; A, B anterior part of body, dorsal and lateral views, respectively $\mathbf{C}$ segments 10 and 11, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ segments 9-11, lateral view $\mathbf{E}$-G posterior part of body, lateral, dorsal and ventral views, respectively $\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{I}$ sternal cones between coxae 4 , caudal and lateral views, respectively.

Redescription. Lectotype ca 24 mm long, width of midbody pro- and metazonae 2.1 and 2.9 mm (vs 3.0 in width, as given in the available description (Attems 1953)). Coloration of alcohol material after long preservation rather uniformly light reddish brown (Fig. 8A-G) with light yellow antennae, paraterga, epiproct and legs (versus dark maroon with light yellowish brown mid-dorsal parts of prozonae, paraterga a little lighter, antennae light chestnut brown and legs yellow brown, as given in the original description (Attems 1953)).

Clypeolabral region densely setose; vertex rather smooth, only faintly rugulose; epicranial suture distinct. Antennae rather long and slender (Fig. 8A, B), reaching behind body segment 3 when stretched dorsally. In width, head < segments 3 and 4 < collum < $2<5-16$, gently and gradually tapering thereafter. Collum smooth, with three transverse rows of setae, $4+4$ anterior, $2+2$ intermediate, and $4+4$ posterior; caudal corner of paraterga subrectangular, narrowly rounded (Fig. 8A, B), drawn behind rear tergal margin.

Tegument smooth and shining; metaterga rugulose, prozonae finely shagreened, surface below paraterga finely microgranulate. Metaterga 2-17 with two transverse


Figure 9. Tylopus nodulipes (Attems, 1953), ô lectotype; A, B left gonopod, mesal and lateral views, respectively $\mathbf{C}$ leg of segment 10, depicted not to scale.
rows of setae: $2+2$ in anterior (pre-sulcus) row and 3(2) $+3(2)$ in posterior (post-sulcus) one, setae being borne on very small tubercles growing a little larger laterally, metaterga 18 and 19 with two transverse rows of setae: $2+2$ in anterior and $4+4$ in posterior row. Tergal setae long, strong, slender, about $1 / 3$ of metatergal length. Axial line visible. Paraterga very strongly developed (Fig. 8A-G), subhorizontal, lying below dorsum, thin blunt blades in lateral view, a little thicker only on pore-bearing segments, on postcollum segments extending increasingly beyond rear tergal margin, nearly pointed to pointed, caudal tip on paraterga 18-19 clearly curved mesad. Calluses delimited by a sulcus only dorsally, rather narrow. Paraterga 2 broad, slightly upturned, anterior edge rounded, lateral edge with three small incisions in anterior half; posterior edge oblique (Fig. 8A, B). Anterior edge of postcollum metaterga broadly rounded, bordered and fused to callus, lateral edge with two small incisions in anterior half on poreless segments, with only one incision near front $1 / 3$ on pore-bearing ones. Ozopores evident, lateral, lying inside an ovoid groove at about $1 / 3$ of metazonital length. Transverse sulcus complete on metaterga 5-18, incomplete on metatergum 19, rather wide, reaching bases of paraterga, faintly beaded at bottom (Fig. 8A, C, F). Stricture between pro- and metazonae very wide, shallow, faintly beaded at bottom down to base of paraterga. Pleurosternal carinae complete crests only on segment 2 (Fig. 8B), with anteriorly bulged crests and a sharp denticle caudally on segments 3-8, thereafter only a small sharp caudal tooth on segments $9-15$, onward missing (Fig. 8B \& D).

Epiproct (Fig. 8F, G) conical, flattened dorsoventrally, apical papillae evident; tip subtruncate; pre-apical papillae rather large, lying close to tip. Hypoproct (Fig. 8G) roundly subtrapeziform, setigerous knobs at caudal margin evident and well-separated.

Sterna sparsely setose, starting from segment 6 with a small cone caudally near each coxa, rear cones being a little larger than front ones; a rather large, linguiform, densely setose, sternal lobe between $\begin{gathered}\text { § coxae } \\ 4\end{gathered}$ (Fig. 8H, I). Legs moderately long and slender, midbody ones ca 1.2-1.3 times as long as body height, legs of segments 8-18 with an evident adenostyle on each prefemur, postfemur and tibia, with two adenostyles on each femur (Fig. 9C); tarsal brushes present only until $\bigcirc$ legs 4.

Gonopods (Fig. 9A, B) rather simple; prefemur densely setose, about $1 / 3$ as long as femorite + "postfemoral" part. Femorite stout, expanded distad, slightly curved, showing a mesal groove; lobe 1 simple; solenophore long and slender, typically coiled, tip subtruncate; process $\mathbf{h}$ high, strongly twisted, tip bifid; process $\mathbf{m}$ rather long and spiniform, process $\mathbf{z}$ knife-shaped.

Remarks. This is the type species of Tylopus Jeekel, 1968, originally recorded from two localities: Luang Prabang Province, Laos and Mount Fan-Si-Pan, Lao Cai Province, Vietnam (Attems 1953). Golovatch (1984) redescribed and illustrated only a gonopod, but the locality remained unclear. So the lectotype is herewith selected for the sole type specimen still kept in the Vienna Museum.

This species has recently been reported from Nam Xay Commune ( $22^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $\left.104^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right), 1,000 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., Van Ban District, Lao Cai Province; Son Tay Commune, 600 m a.s.l., Huong Son District, Ha Tinh Province; and Chem Waterfall, 430 m a.s.l., Pu Mat National Park ( $18^{\circ} 46^{\prime}-19^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 104^{\circ} 01^{\prime}-104^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), Nghe An Province, Vietnam (Nguyen 2012).

## Tylopus hilaris (Attems, 1937)

Figs 10, 11
Anoplodesmus hilaris Attems, 1937: 105 (D).
Anoplodesmus hilaris - Attems 1938: 215 (D).
Agnesia hilaris - Jeekel 1965: 97 (M, D).
Tylopus hilaris - Jeekel 1968: 60 (M); Hoffman 1973: 371 (M, D); Golovatch 1983: 182 (M); 1984: 69 (M, D); Golovatch and Enghoff 1993: 90 (M, D); Enghoff et al. 2004: 40 (R); Likhitrakarn et al. 2010: 25 (R, D); Nguyen 2012: 301 (R, D).

Holotype of Anoplodesmus hilaris (NHMW-4248), Vietnam, Danang Prov., Mount Bana, 1,500 m, 28.09.1931, leg. C. Dawydoff.

Redescription. Length ca 38 mm , width of midbody pro- and metazonae 3.7 and 5.1 mm , respectively (vs 3.4 and 5.0 mm in width, as given in the available descriptions (Attems 1937, 1938)). Coloration of alcohol material after long preservation brown (Fig. 10A-G) with light yellow antennae, paraterga, epiproct and legs (versus dark brown with prozonae and posterior halves of metazonae blackish brown; edge


Figure I0. Tylopus hilaris (Attems, 1937), § holotype; A, B anterior part of body, dorsal and lateral views, respectively $\mathbf{C}$ segments 10 and 11, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ segments 9-11, lateral view $\mathbf{E}-\mathbf{G}$ posterior part of body, lateral, dorsal and ventral views, respectively $\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{I}$ sternal cones between coxae 4 , subcaudal and sublateral views, respectively.
of paraterga, antennae and legs yellowish brown, as given in the descriptions (Attems 1937, 1938)).

Clypeolabral region densely setose, vertex smooth, epicranial suture distinct. Antennae rather long (Fig. 10A, B), reaching behind body segment 3 when stretched dorsally. In width, head $<$ segments 3 and $4<$ collum $<2<5-16$, gently and gradually tapering thereafter. Collum smooth, with three transverse rows of setae, $4+4$ anterior, $2+2$ intermediate, and $3+3$ posterior; caudal corner of paraterga subrectangular, narrowly rounded (Fig. 10A, B). Tegument smooth and shining; metaterga faintly rugulose, prozonae finely shagreened, surface below paraterga finely microgranulate. Postcollum metaterga with an anterior transverse row (pre-sulcus) of $2+2$, mostly abraded setae; caudal (postsulcus) row barely traceable as $3+3$ insertion points. Tergal setae short, simple, slender, about $1 / 5$ metatergal length. Axial line barely visible, starting from collum. Paraterga very strongly developed (Fig. 10A-F), all subhorizontal and lying below dorsum, thin blunt blades in lateral view, a little thicker only on pore-bearing segments, on postcollum segments extending increasingly beyond rear tergal margin, nearly pointed to pointed, caudal tips on paraterga 17-19 evidently curved mesad. Calluses delimited


Figure I I. Tylopus hilaris (Attems, 1937), ${ }^{\lambda}$ holotype; A, B right gonopod, lateral and mesal views, respectively $\mathbf{C}$ leg of segment 10, depicted not to scale.
by a sulcus only dorsally, rather narrow. Paraterga 2 broad, anterior edge rounded, lateral edge with three small incisions in anterior half; posterior edge concave (Fig. 10A). Anterior edge of postcollum segments broadly rounded, bordered and fused to callus, lateral edge with two small incisions in anterior half on poreless segments, with only one incision near front $1 / 3$ on pore-bearing ones; posterior edge oblique. Ozopores evident, lateral, lying inside an ovoid groove at about $1 / 4$ metazonital length in front of caudal corner. Transverse sulcus complete on metaterga 5-18, incomplete on metaterga 4 and 19, rather deep, wide, line-shaped, reaching bases of paraterga, ribbed at bottom (Fig. 10A, C, F). Stricture between pro- and metazonae shallow, broad, beaded at bottom down to base of paraterga. Pleurosternal carinae complete crests only on segment 2 (Fig. 10B), with a sharp tooth caudally on segments 3-7, only a small sharp caudal tooth on segments 8-16, onward missing (Fig. 10A, D, E). Epiproct (Fig. 10E-G) conical, flattened dorsoventrally, apical papillae very small; tip subtruncate; pre-apical papillae very small, lying close to tip. Hypoproct (Fig. 10G) roundly subtriangular, setiferous knobs at caudal margin small and well-separated.

Sterna sparsely setose, until segment 6 with an evident cone caudally near coxae; on segment 4 with an evident, central cone between coxae; on segment 5 with a small
central lobe with a paramedian pair of evident, sparsely setose, apical cones between coxae (Fig. 10H, I). Legs long and slender, midbody ones ca 1.2-1.3 ( ${ }^{\top}$ ) or 0.8-0.9 $(q)$ times as long as midbody height, all legs until segment 17 with an evident adenostyle on each postfemur and tibia (Fig. 11C); tarsal brushes absent.

Gonopods (Fig. 11A, B) simple; coxa a little curved caudad, sparsely setose distoventrally. Prefemur densely setose, about $1 / 3$ as long as femorite + "postfemoral" part. Femorite rather stout, expanded distad, slightly curved, showing a mesal groove; lobe $\mathbf{l}$ simple; process $\mathbf{z}$ with two small spines along ventral margin; process $\mathbf{h}$ short and stout, curved, tip acute; solenophore long and slender, typically coiled, tip subtruncate.

Remark. Endemic to Vietnam, T. hilaris is currently known from Mount Bana, $1,500 \mathrm{~m}$ a.s.l., Danang Province (Attems, 1937); Bach Ma National Park ( $16^{\circ} 05^{\prime}-$ $16^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 107^{\circ} 43^{\prime}-107^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), Thua Thein Hue Province; Mount Ngoc Linh ( $15^{\circ} 00^{\prime}-$ $15^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 107^{\circ} 41^{\prime}-108^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), Kon Tum Province, central Vietnam (Nguyen 2012).

## Tylopus sigma (Attems, 1953)

Figs 12-13
Sundanina sigma Attems, 1953: 171 (D).
Sundanina sigma - Jeekel 1968: 60 (M).
Tylopus sigma - Golovatch 1983: 182 (M); 1984: 69 (M, D); Golovatch and Enghoff 1993: 90 (M, D); Enghoff et al. 2004: 40 (R); Likhitrakarn et al. 2010: 26 (R, D).

Lectotype ${ }^{\lambda}$ (here designated) of Sundanina sigma (NHMW-3987), Vietnam, Lao Cai Prov., Sapa (= Chapa), 1938-1939, leg. C. Dawydoff.

Paralectotypes. 2 § of Sundanina sigma (NHMW-3987), same data, together with lectotype.

Lectotype designation proposed herewith is necessary to ensure the species is based on a complete $\widehat{\pi}$, because Attems (1953) provided no information on the number and sex of syntypes.

Redescription. Length $18-19 \mathrm{~mm}\left(\begin{array}{c}\text { ) }\end{array}\right.$, width of midbody pro- and metazonae $1.0-1.1$ and $1.5-1.7 \mathrm{~mm}\left(\delta^{\top}\right)$, respectively (versus length 25 mm and width of midbody metazonae 1.6 mm , as given in the original description (Attems 1953)). Lectotype 18 mm long, 1.0 and 1.5 mm wide on midbody pro- and metazonae, respectively. Coloration of alcohol material after long preservation rather uniformly brown (Fig. 12A-G) (versus blackish brown with edges of paraterga and legs yellowish brown, as given in the description (Attems 1953)).

Clypeolabral region sparsely setose, vertex smooth, epicranial suture distinct. Antennae long and slender (Fig. 12B), extending behind body segment 5 ( ${ }^{\top}$ ) dorsally. In width, head $<$ segments $2-4<$ collum $<5-17\left(\sigma^{\top}\right)$, gently and gradually tapering thereafter. Collum smooth, with three transverse rows of setae, $4+4$ anterior, $2+2$ intermediate, and $3+3$ posterior; caudal corner of paraterga subrectangular, narrowly rounded (Fig. 12A). Tegument smooth and shining; metaterga and prozonae finely shagreened;


Figure I 2. Tylopus sigma (Attems, 1953), đ paralectotype; A, B anterior part of body, dorsal and lateral views, respectively $\mathbf{C}$ segments 10 and 11, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ segments 9-11, lateral view $\mathbf{E}-\mathbf{G}$ posterior part of body, lateral, dorsal and ventral views, respectively $\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{I}$ sternal cones between coxae 4 , caudal and lateral views, respectively.
surface below paraterga finely microgranulate. Postcollum with an anterior (pre-sulcus) transverse row of $2+2$, mostly abraded setae; caudal (post-sulcus) row barely traceable as $3+3$ insertion points. Tergal setae short, simple, slender, about $1 / 3$ of metatergal length. Axial line barely visible, starting from collum. Paraterga very strongly developed (Fig. 12A, C, F, G), mostly subhorizontal and lying below dorsum, thin blunt blades in lateral view, a little thicker only on pore-bearing segments, posterior edge concave, caudal tip narrowly rounded. Calluses delimited by a sulcus only dorsally, rather narrow. Paraterga 2 broad, slightly upturned, anterior edge nearly straight, lateral edge with three more or less evident incisions; posterior edge clearly concave (Fig. $12 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B})$. Anterior edge of postcollum segments oblique, bordered and fused to callus, lateral edge with a strong incision near front $1 / 3$; posterior edge oblique. Ozopores evident, lateral, lying inside an ovoid groove at about $1 / 4$ metazonital length before caudal corner. Transverse sulcus complete on metaterga 5-18, shallow, not reaching bases of paraterga, faintly beaded at bottom (Fig. 12A, C, F). Stricture between pro- and metazonae broad, shallow, ribbed at bottom down to base of paraterga. Pleurosternal carinae complete crests only on segment 2 , with a small sharp caudal tooth on seg-


Figure 13. Tylopus sigma (Attems, 1953), § lectotype; A, B right gonopod, lateral and mesal views, respectively $\mathbf{C}$ leg of segment 10, depicted not to scale.
ments 3-7, onward missing (Fig. 12B, D, E). Epiproct (Fig. 12E-G) conical, flattened dorsoventrally, apical papillae evident and large; tip subtruncate; pre-apical papillae small, but visible, lying close to tip. Hypoproct (Fig. 12G) roundly subtrapeziform, setiferous knobs at caudal margin small and well-separated.

Sterna sparsely setose, with a large central cone between coxae 3 and a small central lobe with a paramedian pair of evident, sparsely setose, apical cones between coxae 4 ( ${ }^{\text {® }}$ ) (Fig. 12H, I); segments $8-16$ with a strong cone caudally near each coxa. Legs long and slender, midbody ones ca $1.4-1.5\left(\circlearrowleft^{\top}\right)$ as long as body height, legs on segments 8-18 with a small adenostyle on each prefemur, femur and postfemur (Fig. 13C); tarsal brushes absent.

Gonopods (Fig. 13A, B) very simple; coxa a little curved caudad, sparsely setose distoventrally. Prefemur densely setose, about $1 / 3$ as long as femorite + "postfemoral" part. Femorite rather slender, expanded distad, slightly curved, showing a mesal groove; lobe 1 simple; solenophore long and slender, typically coiled, tip subtruncate; process $\mathbf{h}$ short, rather curved, tip acute.

Remark. Endemic to Vietnam, T. sigma is only known from Sapa (= Chapa), Lao Cai Province, Vietnam (Attems 1953).


Figure 14. Tylopus mutilatus (Attems, 1953), ô syntype; A, B right gonopod, lateral and mesal views, respectively. Depicted not to scale.

## Tylopus mutilatus (Attems, 1953)

Fig. 14
Anoplodesmus mutilatus Attems, 1953: 163 (D).
Agnesia mutilata - Jeekel 1965: 98 (R).
Tylopus nodulipes - Jeekel 1968: 60 (M); Hoffman 1973: 371 (M, D); Golovatch 1983: 182 (M); 1984: 69 (M, D); Golovatch and Enghoff 1993: 90 (M, D); Enghoff et al. 2004: 40 (R); Likhitrakarn et al. 2010: 25 (R, D).

Syntype ${ }^{\lambda}$ of Anoplodesmus mutilatus (NHMW-4245), locality unknown; a slide with mounted gonopod.

Gonopod (Fig. 14) rather simple. Coxa long and slender, with several setae distodorsally. Prefemur densely setose, nearly $1 / 3$ as long as femorite + "postfemoral" part. Femorite stout, slightly curved, slightly enlarged distad, showing a mesal groove, "postfemoral" part demarcated by an oblique lateral sulcus; lobe $\mathbf{l}$ simple; process $\mathbf{h}$ long, rather simple, slightly curved, tip small and bifid; process $\mathbf{z}$ high, slightly curved, tip acute; solenophore long and slender, typically coiled, tip microdenticulate.

Remark. This species was described both from Luang Prabang, Xieng Kuang, Laos and Pic de Langbiang (Mount Langbian), Lamdong Province, Vietnam (Attems 1953). Golovatch (1984) redescribed and illustrated only a gonopod, but the locality remained unclear. As all our attempts at locating a torso of T. sigma in the collection of the Naturhistorisches Museum Wien, Austria had failed, we could only revise the very same right gonopod mounted on a slide. Fortunately, the gonopod is easily distinguished from congeners.

## Key to the species of Tylopus currently known to occur in Thailand, chiefly based on characters:

1 Most ô prefemora clearly swollen laterally (Fig. 5C) ..... 2

- All $\begin{aligned} & \text { ® prefemora normal, not bulged laterally (Figs 2E, F, 7C) }\end{aligned}$ ..... 9
2 Sternal lamina between $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { c coxae } \\ 4\end{gathered}$ divided into two cones (Fig. 1I, J) ..... 5
- $\quad$ Sternal lamina between $\begin{gathered}\text { § coxae } 4 \text { single, not divided (Figs 4I, J, 6I, J) }\end{gathered}$ ..... 3
3 Gonopod processes $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{m}$ present ..... T. coriaceus
Gonopod processes $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{m}$ absent ..... 4
4 Tarsal bushes on $\begin{gathered}\top \\ \text { legs present, from legs pair } 3 \text { with tubercles on tarsi, tibiae }\end{gathered}$and femoraT. degerboelae
Tarsal bushes on ${ }^{\lambda}$ legs absent, starting from legs 9 with tubercles on femora and following podomeres. T. pallidus
5 Smaller species: body width less than 2.5 mm . Metaterga with evident oblongridges on both anterior and posterior halvesT. corrugatus sp. n.
Larger species: body width more than 2.5 mm . Metaterga with small oblongridges to faint knobs only on posterior half.6
Sternal lamina between đ coxae 4 fully divided into paramedian knobs(Fig. 1I, J)7
- $\quad$ Sternal lamina between |  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | coxae 4 with a deep median notch (Fig. 4I, J) | ..... 8

7 Gonopod process $\mathbf{h}$ high, stout and strongly helicoid. Pleurosternal carinaemissing on segments 18-19T. asper

- Gonopod process $\mathbf{h}$ rather small, slender and subdentiform. Pleurosternalcarinae missing on segments 15-19T. pulvinipes
Coloration with a pattern of a contrasting dark brown inverted triangle atanterior edge of metaterga. Gonopod process $\mathbf{z}$ absent. Legs of $\overparen{\pi}$ segment 10multituberculate ventrally only on femoraT. trigonum sp. n .
- Coloration uniformly pale. Gonopod process z present. Legs of $\begin{gathered}\lambda \\ \text { segment }\end{gathered}$10 multituberculate ventrally on femora, postfemora, tibiae and tarsi
$\qquad$T. subcoriaceus
9
Metaterga without evident setiferous tubercles, only sometimes with very small, rudimentary wrinkles or knobs ..... 10
- Metaterga with evident setiferous tubercles ..... 16
10 Midbody metaterga more than 4.1 mm wide ..... 11
- Midbody metaterga less than 3.9 mm wide ..... 12
Gonopods (Fig. 5A, B) with process $\mathbf{z}$ prominent and serrate along distalmargin, whereas process $\mathbf{h}$ a strong hook ................T. parahilaroides sp. n.
- Gonopod with a short lobe $\mathbf{z}$, whereas process $\mathbf{h}$ very small. ..... T. grandis
12 Both processes $\mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{z}$ of gonopod spiniform ..... T. bispinosus
- Gonopod different. ..... 13
13 Gonopod process $\mathbf{h}$ subflagelliform, process $\mathbf{m}$ extremely long and promi-nent.- Gonopod different.................................................................................... 1414 Gonopod process $\mathbf{h}$ a strong hook with a distally serrate process $\mathbf{z}$; process $\mathbf{m}$extremely long and prominent............................................ T. similirugosus
- Gonopod different ..... 15
15 ठ legs shorter, ca $1.2-1.3$ times as long as body height. Gonopod lobe $\mathbf{1}$velum-shaped and supplied with two denticles; process $\mathbf{z}$ short and knife-shaped while process $h$ rudimentary.§ legs longer, ca 1.6-1.7 times as long as midbody height. Gonopod process$\mathbf{z}$ small, placed closer to base of process $\mathbf{h}$.T. parajeekeli
16
Most metaterga with a pattern of $2+2$ and $2+2$ setiferous tubercles in tworows, rear row somewhat less strongly developed than fore one......T. doriae- Most metaterga with rear row of setiferous tubercles or wrinkles more strong-ly developed than fore row, the latter (next to) wanting.17
17 Transverse sulcus on metaterga starting from segment 4, either fully or almostfully developed there, always fully developed starting from segment 5 ......... 18
- Transverse sulcus on metaterga starting only from segment 5 ..... 20
18 Paraterga 2 rather broadly rounded caudolaterally. Gonopod relatively simple,process $\mathbf{h}$ poorly developed, no additional outgrowths near base......T. affinis
- Paraterga 2 pointed caudally. Gonopods more complex. ..... 19

Coloration dark brown, without cingulate pattern. Sternal lamina between |  |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
|  |coxae 4 low and distinctly bimodal. Gonopods with tooth $\mathbf{z}$ prominent andserrate along distal marginT. rugosus

- Coloration pale, with a cingulate pattern. Sternal lamina between $\begin{gathered}\pi \\ \text { coxae } 4\end{gathered}$high, subquadrate. Gonopod tooth $\mathbf{z}$ smaller and spiniform...T. semirugosus20 Pattern of tergal setation on segments 18 and/or 19: $2+2$ and $5+5$ in tworows........................................................................................................... 21- Pattern of tergal setation at least on segments 5-19: $2+2$ and $4+4$ in tworows.......................................................................................................... 2421 Pattern of tergal setation $2+2$ and $5+5$ on both segments 18 and 19. Paraterga2 pointed caudally. Epiproct with pre-apical incisions very close to apicalknobs. Sternal lamina between $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { c } \\ \text { coxae } \\ 4\end{gathered}$ an unusually low and even ridge.Adenostyles on midbody $\begin{gathered}\lambda \\ \text { postfemora and, to a lesser extent, tibiae excep- }\end{gathered}$tionally prominent.- Pattern of tergal setation $2+2$ and $5+5$ only on segment 19. Paraterga 2 moreor less narrowly rounded. Pre-apical incisions on epiproct better removedfrom tip. Sternal lamina between $\widehat{\sigma}$ coxae 4 concave medially. Ventral adeno-styles on $\overparen{\overparen{ }}$ legs less prominent.22
 femora and tibiae well-developed. Gonopods rather simple, process $\mathbf{z}$ inconspicuous
T. allorugosus
 postfemora and tibiae less strongly developed. Gonopods more complex, process $\mathbf{z}$ long and large
T. perarmatus

Paraterga 2 pointed caudally. Sternal lamina between $\widehat{o}^{\lambda}$ coxae 4 exceptionally densely setose, low, concave ventrally. Gonopods with a medium-sized process $\mathbf{h}$ and a smaller lobular $\mathbf{z}$ at base of $\mathbf{h}$
T. jeekelitoxae 4 higher and less strongly setose. Gonopod outgrowths $\mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{z}$ eitheralmost wanting or very large25

Sternal lamina between $\begin{gathered} \\ 0 \\ \text { coxae } 4 \\ 4 \\ \text { with a straight ventral margin. Pleuro- }\end{gathered}$ sternal carinae poorly developed, in $\begin{gathered}\lambda \\ \text { slightly projecting caudad beyond rear }\end{gathered}$ margin only until segments $8-10$26

- Sternal lamina between $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { coxae } \\ 4 \\ \text { slightly concave ventrally. Pleurosternal }\end{gathered}$ carinae better developed, in $\begin{gathered}\lambda \\ \text { slightly projecting caudad beyond rear margin }\end{gathered}$ at least until segment 1527

Body smaller: width up to $3.1-3.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. Mid-dorsal line very clear on both halves of metaterga. Gonopods relatively simple, with both $\mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{z}$ almost wanting
T. hoffmani

- Body larger: width $4.0-5.3 \mathrm{~mm}$. Mid-dorsal line not so well-developed at least on rear halves of metaterga. Gonopods more complex, with both $\mathbf{h}$ and $\mathbf{z}$ very conspicuous T. baenzigeri

27 Metatergum 19 slightly rugulose posteriorly. Calluses on segment 2 with three, on following paraterga with two, incisions. Gonopods extremely complex, with numerous spiniform outgrowths T. perplexus

- Metatergum 19 entirely smooth. Calluses with two or three incisions on poreless and poriferous paraterga, respectively. Gonopod less strongly differentiated T. amicus


## Conclusions

Of a total of 55 species of Tylopus known now, Thailand supports as many as 29, followed by Vietnam (18 species), southern China (six species), Laos and Myanmar (two species each). The distributions of Tylopus spp. in Thailand, most of which are endemic to the country, are shown in Map 1.


Map I. Distribution of Tylopus species in Thailand (29 species): I Pha Mon Cave: T. grandis Likhitrakran et al., 20102 Doi Inthanon: T. affinis Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. allorugosus Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. asper Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. degerboelae Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. haplorugosus Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. jeekeli Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. perarmatus Hoffman, 1973, T. prosperus Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. parajeekeli Likhitrakran et al., 2010, T. corrugatus sp. n. $\mathbf{3}$ Ban Mussoe: T. semirugosus Golovatch \& Enghoff, 19934 Pa Wai Waterfall: T. trigonum sp. n. 5 Umphang District: T. bispinosus Likhitrakran et al., 20106 Doi Suthep: T. affinis Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. allorugosus Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. baenzigeri Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. degerboelae Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. doriae (Pocock, 1895), T. hoffmani Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. jeekeli Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. perarmatus Hoffman, 1973, T. similirugosus Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. subcoriaceus Golovatch \& Enghoff, 19937 Doi Chiang Dao: T. degerboelae Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. perarmatus Hoffman, 1973, T. rugosus Golovatch \& Enghoff, 19938 Doi Pha Hom Pok: T. amicus Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. pallidus Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. perplexus Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. poolpermorum Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. extremus Likhitrakarn et al., 20109 BuathongWaterfall: T. rugosus Golovatch \& Enghoff, 199310 Doi Phatang: T. degerboelae Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. perarmatus Hoffman, 1973 II Ban Pang Rim Kon: T. perarmatus Hoffman, 197312 Thum Pha Thai: T. perarmatus Hoffman, 1973 I3 Phucheefah: T. perarmatus Hoffman, 1973 I4 Nam Min Waterfall: T. perarmatus Hoffman, 1973 I5 Tham Pha Nang Khoi: T. perarmatus Hoffman, 197316 Ton Tong Waterfall: T. veliger Likhitrakarn et al., $2010 \mathbf{1 7}$ Phuluang Wildlife Sanctuary: T. parahilaroides sp. n. 18 Phu Kheio: T. coriaceus Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993, T. pulvinipes Golovatch \& Enghoff, 1993.

Almost all Tylopus species appear to be confined to montane forest habitats. In Thailand, Tylopus have only been taken from localities exceeding 500 m in elevation, except for Tham Pha Nang Khoi ( 275 m a.s.l) which solely supports the especially widespread T. perarmatus. In contrast, Doi Inthanon and Doi Suthep mountains each harbour as many as 10 species (Table 1), one of the highest values for congeners per local faunule among all Diplopoda, following perhaps the madeirae-group of Cylindroiulus endemic to Madeira, Portugal (29 spp., Enghoff 1982, Read 1989) or Dolichoiulus on Teneriffe, Canary Islands, Spain (21 spp., Enghoff 1992, 2012).

There is no doubt that more species of Tylopus will be found in the future, as at least the faunas of southern China, Myanmar, Laos and even Vietnam seem to be quite underrepresented compared to Thailand, while Cambodia is a completely blank area.
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