

Research Article

A new species of *Orchomenella* (Amphipoda, Tryphosidae) described from hydrothermal vent in the Okinawa Trough, Northwest Pacific

Yanrong Wang^{1,2,3,4}, Zhongli Sha^{1,2,3,4}, Xianqiu Ren¹

1 Department of Marine Organism Taxonomy and Phylogeny, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao 266071, China

2 Laoshan Laboratory, Qingdao 266237, China

3 College of Biological Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

4 Shandong Province Key Laboratory of Experimental Marine Biology, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao, China Corresponding author: Zhongli Sha (shazl@qdio.ac.cn)

Abstract

A new species of the family Tryphosidae, *Orchomenella compressa* **sp. nov.**, is described from hydrothermal vents in the Okinawa Trough. This is the first known *Orchomenella* species found in vent fields. Important morphological characters that differentiate *O. compressa* **sp. nov.** from its congeners are the absence of eyes, the compressed distal three articles of gnathopod 2, the shape of the posterior margin of epimerons 2 and 3, and the number of dorsal spines on the telson. The genetic divergence of the analyzed COI gene clearly supports this new taxon.

Key words: COI, deep-sea fauna, morphology, Orchomenella compressa sp. nov, taxonomy

Introduction

The family Tryphosidae was established as a subfamily in family Lysianassidae Dana, 1849 by Lowry and Stoddart (1997), separate from Lysianassinae based on following characters: molar of mandible asetose, apical margin of the outer plate of the maxilliped bearing robust setae, gnathopod 1 subchelate, and telson cleft. The genus *Orchomenella* Sars, 1890 contains 34 species (WoRMS 2023), which are found in various habitats ranging from shallow water to deep sea (Barnard 1969; De Broyer 1984, 1985; Jung et al. 2017). Molecular analysis indicates that *Orchomenella* is probably not monophyletic (Havermans et al. 2010), but the genus has been retained awaiting further evidence (Jung et al. 2017).

During a biodiversity survey of hydrothermal vents in the Okinawa Trough in the western Pacific conducted by the Chinese research vessel *Kexue* in 2014, several individuals referable to *Orchomenella* were collected. After careful examination, these specimens exhibited some distinctive characters differentiating them from known *Orchomenella* species. The present study describes this new species.



Academic editor: Jörundur Svavarsson Received: 21 August 2023 Accepted: 26 September 2023 Published: 21 November 2023

ZooBank: https://zoobank.org/ BE72A3B0-70A7-4035-AC6D-CFC915B85EAA

Citation: Wang Y, Sha Z, Ren X (2023) A new species of *Orchomenella* (Amphipoda, Tryphosidae) described from hydrothermal vent in the Okinawa Trough, Northwest Pacific. ZooKeys 1184: 261–271. https://doi. org/10.3897/zookeys.1184.111420

Copyright: © Yanrong Wang et al. This is an open access article distributed under terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (Attribution 4.0 International – CC BY 4.0).

Materials and methods

The present materials were collected by ROV Faxian suction sampler, together with *lheyaspira lequios* Okutani, Sasaki & Tsuchida, 2000 and *Probathylepas faxian* Ren & Sha, 2015, during expeditions to hydrothermal vents on the Okinawa Trough by the Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (IOCAS) in 2014. The specimens are deposited in the Marine Biological Museum, Chinese Academy of Sciences (MBMCAS), Qingdao, China. Specimens were examined and dissected using a dissecting microscope (Zeiss Discovery V20). Line drawings were made with a tablet (Wacom Intuos Pro PTH-851) and Adobe Photoshop CS6 (v. 13). Length measurement is made along the outline of the animal, beginning from the anterior margin of head to the end of the urosomite 3.

The COI sequence of Orchomenella compressa sp. nov. (657 bp) was obtained from its mitochondrial genome by homologous alignment, and the mitochondrial genome of the new species was obtained by Illumina HiSeq sequencing. For Illumina pair-end sequencing of each strain, at least 3 µg of genomic DNA was used for sequencing library construction. Paired-end libraries with insert sizes of ~400 bp were prepared following Illumina's standard genomic DNA library preparation procedure. Purified genomic DNA was sheared into smaller fragments with a desired size by Covaris, and blunt ends were generated by using T4 DNA polymerase. After adding an "A" base to the 3' end of the blunt phosphorylated DNA fragments, adapters were ligated to the ends of the DNA fragments. The desired fragments were purified through gel-electrophoresis, then selectively enriched and amplified by PCR. The index tag was introduced into the adapter at the PCR stage, as appropriate, and we did a library quality test. Finally, the qualified Illumina pair-end library was used for Illumina Nova-Seq 6000 sequencing (150 bp*2, Shanghai Biozeron Co., Ltd). The raw paired end reads were trimmed and quality controlled by Trimmomatic with parameters (SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15 MINLEN:75) (v. 0.36 http://www.usadellab.org/ cms/uploads/supplementary/Trimmomatic). Clean data obtained by above quality control processes were used to do further analysis.

The obtained sequences were edited using Lasergene and aligned using MEGA v. 6 (Tamura et al. 2013). Except for *Orchomenella compressa* sp. nov. sequenced in this study, the other 17 sequences from nine described species and the outgroup *Orchomenyx* (see Table 1 for GenBank accession numbers) were used for comparative and phylogenetic analyses. Maximum-likelihood (ML) analyses were performed online at W-IQ-TREE (Jana et al. 2016). Clade support was assessed with 1000 ML bootstrap replications. The genetic divergences between and within the 10 *Orchomenella* species were constructed in MEGA v. 6 (Tamura et al. 2013).

Systematics

Order Amphipoda Latreille, 1816 Suborder Amphilochidea Boeck, 1871 Superfamily Lysianassoidea Dana, 1849 Family Tryphosidae Lowry & Stoddart, 1997 Genus Orchomenella Sars, 1890

Orchomenella compressa sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/269C63F4-CFA2-45B1-9D5A-55CBFB4EED02 Figs 1-4

Materials examined. *Holotype*. MBM 286555, \bigcirc (6.2 mm), dissected, Okinawa Trough, 27°33'N, 126°58'E, RY0067, ROV-3, depth 1243 m, 16 April 2014. *Paratype*: MBM 286555, \bigcirc (5.6 mm), same collection data as holotype.

Additional materials. MBM 286566, 4♀♂, Okinawa Trough, 27°33'N, 126°58'E, RY0069, ROV-3, depth 1243 m, 16 Apr. 2014.

Description. Body smooth; epimerons 1–3 smooth, posteroventral margin rounded; urosomite 1 bearing dorsal notch. Head: head deeper than long; lateral cephalic lobe large, subtriangular, subacute apically. Eyes: no trace visible in ethanol-preserved materials. Antenna 1 with peduncular article 1 longest, length ~1.5× width, without dorsodistal protrusion, article 3 subequal to article 2; flagellum 9-articulate, with callynophore, without calceoli; accessory flagellum long, 5-articulate, article 1 longest, not forming cap. Antenna 2 longer than antenna 1; peduncle without brush setae, article 4 longer than article 5; flagellum 7-articulate, shorter than peduncle, without calceoli.

Mouthparts. Upper and lower lip typical for the genus. Mandible incisors symmetrical, smooth, subtriangular; left lacinia mobilis a long, slender peg; accessory setal row without distal setal tuft, both left and right with 3 short, slender, simple setae; molar well developed, columnar; palp 3-articulate, attached lower than molar, article 1 shortest, article 3 blade-like, shorter than article 2, fringed with long simple setae. Maxilla 1 with inner plate narrow with 2 pappose apical setae; outer plate with 11 setal-teeth in 7/4 crown arrangement; palp large, 2-articulate, with 9 terminal short robust setae and 1 long, plumose seta. Maxilla 2 inner plate narrower and slightly shorter than outer plate. Maxilliped with inner plate rectangular, distal margin with teeth and 1 or 2 robust setae; outer plate does not extend to distal margin of palp article 3, with 2 apical, robust setae; palp 4-articulate, dactylus nearly as long as article 3, unguis present.

Coxae 1-4 longer than broad, overlapping. Gills present on coxae 2-7; pereopod 5 and 6 bearing accessory gill. Oostegites slender, present on coxae 2-5.

Pereopods. Gnathopod 1 subchelate; coxa anterior margin slightly concave, posterior margin nearly straight; basis slender, anterior margin fringed with thin, simple setae; ischium shorter than merus; merus shorter than carpus, with distal margin bearing 4 long setae; carpus slightly shorter than propodus; propodus subrectangular, posterior margin straight, posterodistal corner with a group of simple setae, without robust setae; dactylus simple, as long as palm. Gnathopod 2 minutely chelate, with distal 3 articles distinctly compressed compared to other articles; coxa large, subequal in size to coxa 3; ischium 1.8× longer than merus; carpus compressed, 1.6× longer than propodus, posterior margin strongly convex, anterior margin nearly straight; propodus subrectangular; dactylus reaching corner of palm, tufts of setae covering most of distal part of propodus. Pereopod 3 coxa large; merus with posterior margin bearing simple, long setae; propodus with posterior margin bearing small, robust setae; dactylus slender. Pereopod 4 coxa large, with large posteroventral lobe, anterior margin convex; ischium to carpus with posterior margin bearing simple, long setae; propodus nearly as long as merus, posterior margin with small robust setae; dactylus slender. Pereopod 5 coxa slightly deeper than wide, posteri-

Genus	Species	GenBank accession no.		
Orchomenella	O. compressa sp. nov.	OR360510		
	O. pinguides	HQ918313		
	O. franklini	HQ918402		
	O. franklini	HQ918406		
	O. gerulicorbis	KP713918		
	O. pinguis	FJ581801		
	0. minuta	FJ581800		
	0. minuta	FJ581799		
	O. cavimanus	GU109264		
	0. rotundifrons	MF124131		
	O. infinita	MF124125		
	O. cavimanus	HM054042		
	O. pinguides	HM054031		
	0. rotundifrons	MF124143		
	0. acanthura	MH825758		
	O. acanthura	MH825756		
Orchomenyx	0. schellenbergi	HM054044		
	O. macronyx	GU109231		

Table 1. Details of specimens and GenBank accession numbers used in this study.

or lobe slightly larger than anterior one; basis broadly expanded with posterior and anterior margin bearing small robust setae; merus longer than carpus, slightly expanded posteriorly; propodus with anterior margin bearing 4 small, robust setae; dactylus slender. Pereopod 6 coxa posterior lobate; basis expanded, posterior and anterior margin bearing small, robust setae; merus subequal in length to carpus, anterior margin with robust setae, posterior margin bearing 1 distal and 1 subdistal seta; propodus with small, robust setae along anterior and posterior margins; dactylus slender. Pereopod 7 with coxa small; basis wider than that of pereopod 6, posterior margin broadly rounded; distal 5 articles similar to that of pereopod 6.

Uropods and telson. Uropod 1 peduncle longer than rami, with 4 dorsomedial and 1 apicomedial robust setae; outer ramus slightly longer than inner ramus; inner ramus with 2 dorsal robust setae. Uropod 2 peduncle subequal in length to rami, with 1 apicolateral, 1 dorsolateral, and 1 apicomedial robust seta; outer ramus slightly longer than inner ramus, with 3 dorsal, robust setae; inner ramus with 2 dorsal, robust setae. Uropod 3 peduncle slightly shorter than outer ramus, with 6 robust setae; outer ramus 2-articulate, article 1 with 2 lateral and 2 distal robust setae, article 2 about 0.5× length of article 1; inner ramus not reaching to base of article 2 of outer ramus, with 2 lateral robust setae. Telson cleft, about 68%, bearing 3 dorsal and 1 apical robust setae on each side.

Etymology. From the Latin *compressa* (= compressed), referring to the compressed distal 3 articles of the gnathopod 2.

Distribution. Presently known only from Okinawa Trough, at a depth of 1243 m. **Remarks.** This is the first time that a species of *Orchomenella* has been reported from hydrothermal vents. The new species can be distinguished from other *Orchomenella* species in lacking of eyes and having the distal three articles




Figure 1. Orchomenella compressa sp. nov., MBM 286555 A holotype, ♀ (6.2 mm) B paratype, ♂ (5.6 mm).

of gnathopod 2 compressed. The new species is morphologically most similar to *O. tabasco* (Barnard, 1967), which was collected from the Cedros Trench at 1720–1728 m. However, *O. compressa* sp. nov. differs from *O. tabasco* by fol-






Figure 2. Orchomenella compressa sp. nov., MBM 286555, holotype, \bigcirc (6.2 mm): UL, upper lip; LL, lower lip; Md L, left mandible; M×2, maxilla 2; Mxp, maxilliped; U1 L, left uropod 1; U2 L, left uropod 2; U3 L, left uropod 3; T, telson.








Figure 4. Orchomenella compressa sp. nov., MBM 286555, holotype, \bigcirc (6.2 mm): P3 L, left pereopod 3; P4 L, left pereopod 4; P5 L, left pereopod 5; P6 L, left pereopod 6; P7 L, left pereopod 7; H, head; A1, antenna 1; A2, antenna 2; E1–3, epimeral plates 1–3.

lowing characters: carpus of the gnathopod 1 shorter than propodus in the new species, rather than subequal in length in *O. tabasco*, and telson cleft more than 50% in the new species, rather than cleft only 40% in *O. tabasco* (Barnard 1967). The molecular analysis shows *O. compressa* sp. nov. clustering with *O. pinguis* (Boeck, 1861) and *O. minuta* (Krøyer, 1846) (Fig. 5). Morphologically, *O. compressa* sp. nov. can be distinguished from *O. pinguis* by the unserrated posterior margin of epimera 2 and 3 (Boeck 1861; Gurjanova 1962; Hirayama 1986), and from *O. minuta* by having three pairs of dorsal spines on the telson (Gurjanova 1962).

The ML tree inferred from partial COI sequences from 10 species of *Orchomenella*, including the new species, is shown in Fig. 5. *Orchomenella compressa* sp. nov. is clustered with *O. pinguis* and *O. minuta* with high bootstrap support (100%). Interspecific genetic divergence (K2P) among these 10 species is summarized in Table 2. The pairwise distance was 0.09%–0.21%. The new species is closest to *O. pinguis* and *O. minuta* genetically (0.096 and 0.092, respectively), although morphological characters do not support this close relationship. It is a pity that the COI sequence of *O. tabasco*, which is morphologically most similar to *O. compressa* sp. nov., is still unavailable. Of the species analyzed, *O. rotundifrons* (Barnard, 1932), is most genetically divergent from the new species (0.215) (Havermans et al. 2010; Hupalo et al. 2022).




Figure 5. Phylogenetic relationships among *Orchomenella compressa* sp. nov. and nine species of *Orchomenella* registered in GenBank, analyzed by the maximum-likelihood (ML) method with two *Orchomenyx* species as outgroup taxa. Bootstrap values of ML (>50) are indicated above branches of clades.

Table 2. Genetic divergence of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I gene among the 10 species of *Orchomenella* calculated from Kimura 2-parameter corrected calculations.

	O. compressa sp. nov.	0. pinguides	O. franklini	0. gerulicorbis	0. pinguis	0. minuta	O. cavimanus	0. rotundifrons	0. infinita	0. acanthura
0. compressa sp. nov.	n/c									
0. pinguides	0.198	0.066								
0. franklini	0.198	0.110	0.000							
0. gerulicorbis	0.204	0.149	0.156	n/c						
O. pinguis	0.096	0.203	0.210	0.208	n/c					
0. minuta	0.092	0.205	0.206	0.204	0.109	0				
0. cavimanus	0.180	0.141	0.142	0.125	0.181	0.181				
0. rotundifrons	0.215	0.170	0.152	0.139	0.214	0.205	0.010			
0. infinita	0.164	0.190	0.178	0.176	0.190	0.170	0.186	n/c		
0. acanthura	0.190	0.177	0.167	0.158	0.210	0.198	0.169	0.192	0.134	n/c

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our sincere thanks to the crews of KEXUE for their assistance during the survey.

Additional information

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Ethical statement

No ethical statement was reported.

Funding

This work was supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDA22050302) & XDB42000000, the National Science Foundation for Distinguished Young Scholars (42025603), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (42306110), and the Special Research Assistant Project of Chinese Academy of Sciences (E2KY031).

Author contributions

Data curation: YW. Writing original draft and illustrations: ZS. Funding acquistion; ZS. XR.: revise & improve YW. Writing review & editing: YW, ZS, XR.

Author ORCIDs

Yanrong Wang b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7188-4232 Zhongli Sha b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2192-3758

Data availability

All of the data that support the findings of this study are available in the main text or Supplementary Information.

References

- Barnard JL (1967) Bathyal and abyssal gammaridean Amphipoda of Cedros Trench, Baja California. Bulletin – United States National Museum 260: 1–205. https://doi. org/10.5479/si.03629236.260.1
- Barnard JL (1969) The families and genera of marine gammaridean Amphipoda. Bulletin United States National Museum 271:1–535. https://doi.org/10.5479/si.03629236.258.1
- Boeck A (1861) Bemaerkninger Angaaende de Ved de Norske Kyster forekommende Amphipoder. Forhandlinger Skandinaviske Naturforskeres Ottende 8: 631–677.
- Boeck A (1871) Crustacea Amphipoda borealia et arctica. Forhandlinger i Videnskabs-Selskabet i Christiania 1870: 81–280. [i–viii.] https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.2056
- De Broyer C (1984) Évolution du complexe Orchomene Boeck (Amphipoda, Lysianassidae). Annales de la Société Royale Zoologique de Belgique 114: 197–198.
- De Broyer C (1985) Amphipodes Lysianassoïdes nécrophages des îles Kerguelen (Crustacea): 1. Orchomenella guillei n. sp. Bulletin du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle 7(1): 205–217. https://doi.org/10.5962/p.285883
- Gurjanova E (1962) Bokoplavy severnoi chasti Tikhogo okeana (Amphipoda, Gammaridea). Chast 1. [Amphipods of the northern part of Pacific Ocean (Amphipoda, Gammaridea). Part 1.] Opredeliteli po faune SSSR. Akademiia Nauk SSSR 74: 1–440. [In Russian]
- Havermans C, Nagy ZT, Sonet G, De Broyer C, Martin P (2010) Incongruence between molecular phylogeny and morphological classification in amphipod crustaceans: A case study of Antarctic lysianassoids. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 55(1): 202–209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2009.10.025
- Hirayama A (1986) Taxonomic studies on the shallow water gammaridean Amphipoda of west Kyushu, Japan. VI. Lysianassidae (Orchomene), Megaluropus family group, Melitides (Cottesloe, Jerbarnia, Maera, Ceradocus, Eriopisella, Dulichiella). Publications of the Seto Marine Biological Laboratory 3(1–2): 1–36. https://doi.org/10.5134/176120
- Hupalo K, Copilas-Ciocianu D, Leese F, Weiss M (2022) Morphology, nuclear SNPs and mate selection reveal that COI barcoding overestimates species diversity in a Mediterranean freshwater amphipod by an order of magnitude. Cladistics 39(2): 129–143. https://doi.org/10.1111/cla.12520
- Jana T, Lam-Tung N, Arndt VH, Quang MB (2016) W-iq-tree: A fast online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. Nucleic Acids Research (W1): W232–W235. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw256
- Jung TW, Chang HY, Coleman CO, Yoon SM (2017) Two new lysianassoid amphipods of the genus *Orchomenella* (Amphipoda, Lysianassoidea, Lysianassidae, Tryphosinae) from South Korea. Crustaceana 90(13): 1641–1664. https://doi. org/10.1163/15685403-00003740
- Lowry JK, Stoddart HE (1997) Amphipoda Crustacea IV. Families Aristiidae, Cyphocarididae, Endevouridae, Lysianassidae, Scopelocheiridae, Uristidae. Memoirs of the Hourglass Cruises 10: 1–148.
- Sars GO (1890) Amphipoda. Part III. Lysianassidae (Continued). An account of the Crustacea of Norway, with short descriptions and figures of all the species I, 45–68. [pls 17–24 Cammermeyer, Christiana.]
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013) MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30(12): 2725– 2729. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst197
- WoRMS (2023) World Register of Marine Species. http://www.marinespecies.org/aphia. php?p=taxdetails&id=101506 [accessed 2023-08-21]