

Research Article

Anatomy of male and female genitalia of *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (Say, 1831) (Coleoptera, Chrysomelidae, Bruchinae) in interaction^{*}

Michael Schmitt¹⁰, Aileen Neumann¹, Shou-Wang Lin¹

1 Universität Greifswald, Allgemeine & Systematische Zoologie, Loitzer Str. 26, 17489 Greifswald, Germany Corresponding author: Michael Schmitt (michael.schmitt@uni-greifswald.de)

Abstract

Armatures of the male intromittent copulatory structures have been surmised to increase male fitness by imposing physiological costs on female re-mating. Female kicking could, consequently, be a counterstrategy to avoid wounding or to prevent males from mating. The membranous endophallus of male Acanthoscelides obtectus (Say, 1831) is armed with denticles. Checking if these denticles penetrate the wall of the female genital tract during copulation revealed that only the tip of the median lobe of the aedeagus is intromitted into the female genital opening during copulation. The everted endophallus extends over the full length of the ovipositor, and the spermatophore is placed in the bursa. Identification by means of light microscopy and Micro-CT of the exact relative position of male and female copulatory organs while mated confirmed that the denticles do not cause wounds in the vagina wall. Parts of the inner wall of the bursa copulatrix are covered with inward pointing denticles. Already mated females kick mounting males by vehement movements of their hind legs, thereby preventing mating. In contrast, virgin females usually accept the first male they encounter and terminate copulation by slower movements of their hind legs. The same applied to females who accepted re-mating the second day after the first copulation. Acanthoscelides obtectus females kick males off to prevent rather than to terminate copulation. Copulatory structures as well as behaviour may have different functional roles in different beetle species, even within the Bruchinae.

Key words: Bursa copulatrix, endophallus, female kicking, morphology

Introduction

The evolutionary interests of males and females regularly differ due to the different amounts of resources invested in reproduction. There is also a high differential in certainty of parentage between males and females. This leads to sexual conflict, and this conflict resulted in evolutionarily frequent morphological and behavioural adaptations in males to induce wounds in females during copulation and respective counteradaptations in females (Parker 1979; Arnqvist and Rowe 2005). In many insect taxa the male intromittent organ is armed with hooks, spines or denticles (Rönn and Hotzy 2012). This fact had been observed in seed beetles (Bruchinae) long before a possible functional explanation was hypothesised, see, e.g., the drawings of aedeagi in Borowiec (1987), Johnson (1990), or

Academic editor: Caroline Chaboo Received: 7 February 2023 Accepted: 3 April 2023 Published: 30 August 2023

ZooBank: https://zoobank. org/37266E41-17B5-4A8B-81E8-70E1BCAF0A99

Citation: Schmitt M, Neumann A, Lin S-W (2023) Anatomy of male and female genitalia of *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (Say, 1831) (Coleoptera, Chrysomelidae, Bruchinae) in interaction. In: Chaboo CS, Schmitt M (Eds) Research on Chrysomelidae 9. ZooKeys 1177: 75–85. https://doi. org/10.3897/zookeys.1177.101621

Copyright: © Michael Schmitt et al. This is an open access article distributed under terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (Attribution 4.0 International – CC BY 4.0).

* Extended version of a talk presented to the 10th International Symposium on the Chrysomelidae, Helsinki, Finland, 21 July 2022.

Schmitt (1985: fig. 40). When Crudgington and Siva-Jothy (2000) found that the spines of the everted endophallus of male cowpea weevils, Callosobruchus maculatus (Fabricius, 1775) (Chrysomelidae: Bruchinae), perforated the wall of the bursa copulatrix in the female, they conjectured that this kind of genital damage prevents females from re-mating thereby increasing the fitness of the male by helping the male to control copulation duration or by decreasing the probability of subsequent matings of the female with other males. However, Edvardsson and Tregenza (2005) found no reluctance to re-mate in female C. maculatus, and Rönn and Hotzy (2012) showed that the male spines probably do not function as an anchor that prevents the male being kicked off, a possible alternative functional role suggested, e.g., by Edvardsson and Tregenza (2005). Female C. maculatus regularly kick off males during mating and by doing so terminate copulation and presumably reduce the probability of wounding (van Lieshout et al. 2014). Dougherty and Simmons (2017) studied C. maculatus pairs in copula by means of X-ray micro-CT scanning and found a temporal separation between the onset of wounding and the onset of female kicking.

Female bean weevils, *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (Say, 1831), have an ovipositor consisting of an internal and an external sclerotised tube through which the membranous vagina extends (Fig. 1). The vagina is proximally enlarged and forms a blind ending, the bursa copulatrix. There the spermatophore is placed during copulation. The spermathecal duct reaches the genital tract at the transition between bursa and vagina near the opening of the oviduct (Huignard 1968).

The male copulatory organ, the aedeagus, consists of a sclerotised median lobe, essentially a tube through which the ejaculatory duct runs from the bas-



Figure 1. The female genital tract of A. obtectus. Schematic drawing after Huignard (1968).





al orifice to the distal opening, and the tegmen that forms a ring around the median lobe and extends basically into paired struts and distally into paired parameres. The ejaculatory duct is distally enlarged and forms a membranous inflatable enlargement, the endophallus (Fig. 2).

Since the endophallus of male bean weevils is equipped with denticles or spicules (Düngelhoef and Schmitt 2006), we wanted to know if these denticles could also perforate the wall of the bursa copulatrix like the spines in *C. maculatus*. To this aim, we studied the anatomy of mated pairs with light microscopy and micro-CT. We also conducted mating experiments to explore female mating behaviour depending on their reproductive status.

Cowpea weevils and bean weevils are cosmopolitan pests on stored products. Therefore, the life history of these two species has been studied for a long time and is well known (e.g., Zacher 1933; Devi and Devi 2014). Since their larvae develop in dry legume seeds, these beetles can easily be kept in the laboratory.

Materials and methods

Animal keeping

A live population of *Acanthoscelides obtectus* beetles (bean weevils) that we obtained from Dr. Thomas Degenkolb, Justus-Liebig-Universität Gießen, Germany, was kept at room temperature in the lab building of the Zoological Institute of the University of Greifswald, Germany, in a transparent plastic container of $23 \times 14 \times 15$ cm (L × W × H) with a close-mesh fabric covered airing opening at room temperature of ca. 21 °C. They fed on and developed in organic bean seeds of ca. 1 cm length.

Light microscopy

Ten females and males were randomly taken from the breeding container and set in a block bowl of 4 × 4 cm. When they copulated they were fixed by liquid nitrogen and dissected in distilled water or 96% ethanol under an Olympus Stereomicroscope SZ4045. The isolated genitalia were studied using an Olympus CX40RF200 or an Olympus BX60 equipped with a Zeiss AxioVision 4.8 digital camera. We used a manually sharpened minutien pin to dissect the isolated coupled male and female genitalia that were glued onto a surface with

a viscose Polyvinylpyrrolidone solution. To trace the progress of sperm ingression into the bursa copulatrix we fixed five pairs 3, 5, 6, 7, and 8 minutes after the start of the copulation.

Micro-CT

Two copulating pairs were fixed with liquid nitrogen, transferred into 99% methylated spirit, and stored at -41 °C. The probes were contrasted in 99% ethanol and 1% lodine. They were critical-point-dried in a Leica EM CPD300 and mounted on a metal pole of 40 × 1.8 mm. Using an Xradia MicroXCT-200 (Carl Zeiss X-ray Microscopy Inc.) one pair was scanned at 10× magnification at 40 kV and 8 W and a pixel size of 1.15 μ m, the other at 40 kV at 6 W and a pixel size of 2.22 μ m, yielding 980 virtual sections for each pair. We analysed the data and reconstructed the 3D picture by means of Amira 5.6.0 (FEI Visualization Science Group, Burlington, USA).

Mating tests

Virgin beetles hatched from singly kept bean seeds were sexed and set into a block bowl of 4×4 cm together with a randomly chosen male. Thirty-three tests were performed. Re-mating trials were done with 14 females of these on day 1 after the first copulation and with 23 females on day 2. In the re-mating trials, the females were offered up to three different males for 10 min each.

Results

Light microscopy

Dissecting the genitalia of mated been weevil pairs revealed the position of the endophallus inside the female genital tract. Fig. 3 shows the proximal section of the ovipositor with the inner tube in repose. The endophallus spines can clearly be seen, however no traces of perforations of the vagina wall were apparent. The denticles on the tip of the endophallus are longer than those on the main part and point distally.

The tip of the endophallus reaches the transition of the vagina into the bursa (arrowhead in Fig. 4a). We found that parts of the inner wall of the bursa are covered with fine denticles (Fig. 4b). These denticles point towards the proximal end of the bursa.

Micro-CT

Analysis of the micro-CT virtual sections revealed that the inner and the outer tube of the ovipositor are made up of two half-tubes each, a dorsal and a ventral one. Outer and inner tube of the ovipositor are connected by membranes and muscles that allow for extension and retraction of the tubes (Fig. 5).

The endophallus carrying the spermatophore lies inside the vagina that stretches through the inner tube of the ovipositor. Only the tip of the median lobe of the aedeagus is inserted into the female genital opening during copulation, while the parameres remain outside the female abdomen (Fig. 6). The everted endophallus



Figure 3. Light microscopic image of a dissected *A. obtectus* specimen. Proximal section of the genital tract of a mated female with endophallus inside.



Figure 4. Dissected bursa copulatrix of a mated *A*. *obtectus* female **a** relative position of endophallus and spermatophore inside the bursa. The arrowhead points to the tip of the endophallus **b** detail showing the inwards pointing denticles on the inner wall of the bursa.

extends through the vagina over the whole length of the retracted ovipositor to the entrance of the bursa copulatrix (Fig. 6b). The female genital tract lies, at least during copulation, immediately under the last visible tergite, the so-called pygidium.

Mating tests

Of the 33 virgin females, 22 (73%) accepted copulations without kicking or wriggling, and two after initial kicking. Copulations of these 24 females ended not by the females kicking off the males but either the females pushed the males



Figure 5. A virtual section through the abdomens of male (right) and female (left) *A. obtectus* fixed in copula. Micro-CT photograph **a** unaltered virtual slice, pixel size $1.15 \,\mu\text{m}$ **b** elements of the copulatory organs and the spermatophore labelled ("segmented") in different colours. Red and yellow: male structures, green and blue: female structures.

away by slow hind leg movements, wriggled their body, or simply ran away after the male had dismounted. Copulation lasted between 6:00 and 11:35 minutes, on average 9:24 minutes. Of the nine females who did not mate, five prevented mating by kicking the males away and three moved away. In one case the female seemed to accept a male but the male did not successfully mate.



Figure 6. Micro-CT photograph of the 3D reconstruction of the coupled male and female *A. obtectus* genitalia, fixed during copulation. The spermatophore had not yet completely filled the bursa copulatrix at the time of fixation **a** the outer tube of the ovipositor covers the inner tube and the vagina **b** all components of the female genital tract except the spermatheca removed to show the shape and the extension of the everted endophallus.

All females that were tested for re-mating on day 1 after the first copulation (n = 14) prevented a second copulation by kicking off a male that aimed at mounting. Eight of the 23 females that were tested on the second day after their first copulation, accepted re-mating. Copulations lasted between 5:35 and 11:37 minutes. The 15 that did not mate kicked the male or ran away.

Discussion

The central question of our study could be answered: in *Acanthoscelides obtectus*, the denticles on the surface of the endophallus do not perforate the wall of the vagina during copulation. The function of the denticles on the endophallus might be to enhance the friction between male and female copulatory organs when the endophallus is inflated inside the female genital tube. Kingsolver (1970) surmised that in seed beetles these armatures provide a certain foothold of the male during copulation, but it is unclear if they have an impact on the copulation duration. Since the spikes on the tip of the endophallus point distally (Fig. 2), they might also push the spermatophore into the bursa copulatrix. These distally pointing denticles could as well pierce the spermatophore and by doing so aid sperm release, as it was suggested for *Callosobruchus maculatus* by Dougherty and Simmons (2017).

An alternative functional role could be the mechanical stimulation of the female during copulation (see Eberhard 1985: 157–166). Simmons (2014) summarises that "non-intromittent genitalia are subject to sexual selection through their effects on mating success, while intromittent genitalia are subject to selection through their effects on fertilisation success". This underlines the idea that the endophallus ornaments enhance male fitness by stimulating the female and so possibly signalling male quality, i.e., prospective fitness.

The male inserts only the tip of the median lobe into the female genital opening (Düngelhoef and Schmitt 2006) and the parameres remain outside of the female body and function most probably as "genital feelers" (Düngelhoef and Schmitt 2010). The sclerotised parts of the copulatory organs of both sexes do not couple mechanically, i.e., there is no sign of a "lock-and-key" mechanism. Thus, our observations are in accordance with the general hypothesis of Eberhard (1985) that the copulatory organs are shaped during evolution by sexual selection. Other than many Coccinellidae (Yadav and Pervez 2022), males of *Acanthoscelides obtectus* do not perform vigorous shaking during copulation. Consequently, there is no external sign of movements of the male genitalia inside the female genital tract. The males, however, move the tips of the parameres softly over the last sternite of the females during mating (Düngelhoef and Schmitt 2006). These movements are governed by muscles that are in direct connection to the muscles everting the endophallus. This suggests a coupling of movements of the parameres and of the endophallus so that a stimulatory function is easily possible.

The inner tube of the ovipositor is in repose slipped into the outer one "like a telescope" (Lindroth and Palmén 1970), similarly to the Eumolpinae (Flowers and Eberhard 2006). The virtual cross-section through the abdomen of a female in copula (see Fig. 5) shows that the ovipositor tubes are each composed of two halftubes. These half-tubes are most probably phylogenetically and ontogenetically derivatives of the tergites and sternites of the female 8th and 9th abdominal segments (Verhoeff 1893). The denticles on some areas of the wall of the bursa copulatrix can possibly keep a spermatophore in place and prevent it from sliding out.

While *Callosobruchus maculatus* virgin and mated females regularly terminated copulation by kicking off the mating male (van Lieshout et al. 2014), we found that *A. obtectus* mated females prevented subsequent mating by kicking off males. When the males did not terminate the copulation by dismounting, the females terminated the copulation by wriggling their body and/or pushing the males with their hind legs. It seems that female kicking plays a different role in the two species. Seemingly, *C. maculatus* females kick to terminate copulation while *A. obtectus* females kick to prevent copulation. However, Mbata et al. (1997) observed that mated females of *Callosobruchus subinnotatus* (Pic, 1914) in some cases prevented males from mating by kicking them off. Thus, female kicking to prevent mating is either a species-specific behaviour or *A. obtectus* females can also terminate mating by kicking males off but did not so in our trials, for whatever reasons.

Earlier authors have found cuticular spicules (small needle-like processes) or denticles (small tooth-like sclerotised structures) on the endophallus (or "internal sac") in all investigated seed beetle species (e.g., Hoffmann 1945; Borowiec 1987). Düngelhoef and Schmitt (2010) found endophallus denticles in *Mecynodera coxalgica* (Boisduval, 1835) of the chrysomelid subfamily Sagrinae (the putative sister group of the Bruchinae, Reid 2014). We hypothesise that these structures were present in the ancestor of Bruchinae and Sagrinae. No such armatures were found in a reed beetle (Donaciinae) and a shining leaf beetle (Criocerinae) (Schmitt and Uhl 2015). Flowers and Eberhard (2006) described microspicules,

hooks, spines, and needles on the endophalli of Neotropical Eumolpinae and Galerucinae. Most probably such structures are phylogenetically as old as the earliest Coleoptera and were reduced and/or modified many times independently.

In the groups in which spines or denticles occur on the endophallus, they are of different length, shape, and position in the different species where they were observed. This suggests that these structures fulfil different functional roles in different groups, e.g., terminating copulation in *Callosobruchus* species or preventing copulation in *A. obtectus*. Van Haren et al. (2017) found that ablating genital armatures in *Callosobruchus subinnotatus* (Pic, 1914) males resulted in a reduction in female egg production. This means that post-mating sexual selection might play a crucial part in the evolution of the equipment of male genitalia with denticles, hooks, or spines. As Flowers and Eberhard (2006) have stated, the morphological diversity of leaf beetle genitalia certainly also represents a diversity of functional roles.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Thomas Degenkolb (Justus-Liebig-Universität Gießen, Germany) for a breeding set of bean weevils, Gabriele Uhl (University of Greifswald, Germany) for reading the manuscript, and the Uhl lab group at the University of Greifswald for inspiring discussions. We are also grateful for valuable reviews of our manuscript by R. Wills Flowers (Talahassee, FI, USA), Geoffrey Morse (San Diego, CA, USA), an anonymous reviewer, and the subject editor, Caroline S. Chaboo (Lincoln, NE, USA).

Additional information

Conflict of interest

No conflict of interest was declared.

Ethical statement

No ethical statement was reported.

Funding

No funding was reported.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: acquisition of morphological and behavioural data: AN, 3D reconstruction: SL. MS. Data curation: MS. Formal analysis: MS.

Author ORCIDs

Michael Schmitt b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7377-3643

Data availability

All of the data that support the findings of this study are available in the main text or Supplementary Information.

References

Arnqvist G, Rowe L (2005) Sexual conflict. Princeton University Press, Princeton. https:// doi.org/10.1515/9781400850600

- Borowiec L (1987) The genera of seed-beetles (Coleoptera, Bruchidae). Polskie Pismo Entomologiczne 57: 3–207.
- Crudgington HS, Siva-Jothy MT (2000) Genital damage, kicking and early death. Nature 407(6806): 855–856. https://doi.org/10.1038/35038154
- Devi MB, Devi NV (2014) Biology and morphometric measurement of cowpea weevil, *Callosobruchus maculatus* fabr. (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in green gram. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 2(3): 74–76.
- Dougherty LR, Simmons LW (2017) X-ray micro-CT scanning reveals temporal separation of male harm and female kicking during traumatic mating in seed beetles. Proceedings. Biological Sciences 284(1856): e20170550. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rspb.2017.0550
- Düngelhoef S, Schmitt M (2006) Functional morphology of copulation in Chrysomelidae-Criocerinae and Bruchidae (Insecta: Coleoptera). Bonner zoologische Beiträge [2005] 54: 201–208.
- Düngelhoef S, Schmitt M (2010) Genital feelers: The putative role of parameres and aedeagal sensilla in Coleoptera Phytophaga (Insecta). Genetica 138(1): 45–57. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10709-009-9404-9
- Eberhard WG (1985) Sexual Selection and Animal Genitalia. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass./London, [XII+] 244 pp. https://doi.org/10.4159/harvard.9780674330702
- Edvardsson M, Tregenza T (2005) Why do male *Callosobruchus maculatus* harm their mates? Behavioral Ecology 16(4): 788–793. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/ari055
- Flowers RW, Eberhard WG (2006) Fitting together: Copulatory linking in some Neotropical Chrysomeloidea. Revista de Biología Tropical 54(3): 829–842. https://doi. org/10.15517/rbt.v54i3.12798
- Hoffmann A (1945) Coléoptères Bruchides et Anthribides. Librairie de la Faculté des Sciences, Paris, 184 pp.
- Huignard J (1968) Organisation et fonctionnement de l'appaeril génital de la bruche du haricot (*Acanthoscelides obtectus*, Coléoptère, Bruchidae). Bulletin Biologique de la France et de la Belgique 102(2): 233–248.
- Johnson CD (1990) Systematics of the Seed Beetle genus *Acanthoscelides* (Bruchidae) of Northern South America. Transactions of the American Entomological Society 116: 297–618.
- Kingsolver JM (1970) A study of male genitalia in Bruchidae (Coleoptera). Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 72: 370–386.
- Lindroth CH, Palmén E (1970) Coleoptera. In: Tuxen SL (Ed.) Taxonomist's Glossary of Genitalia in Insects (2nd edn.). Munksgaard, Copenhagen, 80–88.
- Mbata GN, Shu S, Ramaswamy SB (1997) Rhythmicity of mating and oviposition in *Callosobruchus subinnotatus* (Pic) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Journal of Insect Behavior 10(3): 409–423. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02765607
- Parker G (1979) Sexual selection and sexual conflict. In: Blum M, Blum N (Eds) Sexual Selection and Reproductive Competition in Insects. Academic Press, New York, 123–166. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-108750-0.50010-0
- Reid CAM (2014) Chrysomeloidea Latreille, 1802. In: Leschen RAB, Beutel RG (Eds) Handbook of Zoology, Coleoptera, Beetles (Vol. 3): Morphology and Systematics (Phytophaga). De Gruyter, Berlin, 11–15. https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110274462.11
- Rönn JL, Hotzy C (2012) Do longer genital spines in male seed beetles function as better anchors during mating? Animal Behaviour 83(1): 75–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. anbehav.2011.10.007

- Schmitt M (1985) Versuch einer phylogenetisch-systematischen Analyse der Criocerinae (Coleoptera, Chrysomelidae). Zoologische Beiträge Neue Folge 29: 35–85.
- Schmitt M, Uhl G (2015) Functional morphology of the copulatory organs of a reed beetle and a shining leaf beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae: Donaciinae, Criocerinae) using X-ray micro-computed tomography. In: Jolivet P, Santiago-Blay J, Schmitt M (Eds) Research on Chrysomelidae 5. ZooKeys 547: 193–203. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.547.7143
- Simmons LW (2014) Sexual selection and genital evolution. Austral Entomology 53(1): 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1111/aen.12053
- van Haren MM, Rönn JL, Schilthuizen M, Arnqvist G (2017) Postmating sexual selection and the enigmatic jawed genitalia of *Callosobruchus subinnotatus*. Biology Open 6: 1008–1012. https://doi.org/10.1242/bio.025684
- van Lieshout E, McNamara KB, Simmons LW (2014) Why do female *Callosobruchus maculatus* kick their mates? PLoS ONE 9(4): e95747. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0095747
- Verhoeff C (1893) Vergleichende Untersuchungen über die Abdominalsegmente, insbesondere die Legeapparate der weiblichen Coleoptera, ein Beitrag zur Phyllogenie derselben. Deutsche entomologische Zeitschrift 1893: 209–260. [+ 2 plates] https:// doi.org/10.1002/mmnd.48018930210
- Yadav M, Pervez A (2022) Reproductive behaviour of predaceous ladybirds (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae): A review. International Journal of Tropical Insect Science 42(4): 3083-3095. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42690-022-00846-y
- Zacher F (1933) Haltung und Züchtung von Vorratsschädlingen. Methoden der Erforschung der Leistungen des tierischen Organismus – Methoden der Tierhaltung und Tierzüchtung Abt. IX, Teil 7, Heft 3. Urban & Schwarzenberg, Vienna, 480–504.