



A synopsis of East-Mediterranean Synaphris Simon, 1894 (Araneae, Synaphridae) with a description of a new species from Israel

Yuri M. Marusik^{1,†}, Sergei Zonstein^{2,‡}

I Institute for Biological Problems of the North RAS, Portovaya Str. 18, Magadan, Russia **2** Department of Zoology, The George S. Wise Faculty of Life Sciences, Tel-Aviv University, 69978 Tel-Aviv, Israel

† urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:F215BA2C-5072-4CBF-BA1A-5CCBE1626B08

‡ urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:BD9F97A7-CADB-4DD2-9082-1689952DDF38

Corresponding author: Sergei Zonstein (znn@post.tau.ac.il)

Academic editor: Dmitry Logunov | Received 26 January 2011 | Accepted 10 February 2011 | Published 23 February 2011

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:1F837AEB-B1B8-4DB1-ADE9-01762906093D

Citation: Marusik YM, Zonstein S (2011) A synopsis of East-Mediterranean *Synaphris* Simon, 1894 (Araneae, Synaphridae) with a description of a new species from Israel. ZooKeys 82: 35–44. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.82.957

Abstract

Three species of *Synaphris* occurring in the East Mediterranean – *S. orientalis* Marusik & Lehtinen, 2003, *S. lehtineni* Marusik, Gnelitsa & Kovblyuk, 2005 and *S. letourneuxi* (Simon, 1884) – are surveyed; and a new species – *S. wunderlichi* **sp. n.** – is described from southern Israel on the basis of males. The new species differs from other East- Mediterranean congeners by its smaller size, a smaller lamella with fewer ridges, and a thick palpal femur. Comparative figures are provided for all species from the East Mediterranean.

Keywords

Spiders, East Mediterranean, distribution

Introduction

Synaphridae Wunderlich, 1986 is a small family with three genera and 12 species known from the Mediterranean region (including adjacent territories: the Canary Islands and western Turkmenistan) and Madagascar (cf. Platnick 2011). The most

species-rich genus in the family is *Synaphris*, containing 10 species: eight from the Mediterranean (from the Canary Islands to western Turkmenistan) and two from Madagascar. Its type species, *S. letourneuxi* (Simon, 1884), was originally described in *Grammonota* Emerton, 1882, a linyphiid genus. A decade later after the species description a new genus was suggested for it (Simon 1894). This genus was later considered within the Theridiidae and Symphytognathidae, until Wunderlich (1986) placed it in a separate subfamily of Anapidae. The group was given family status by Marusik and Lehtinen (2003). Less than a decade ago, this family was known exclusively from the south-western Palaearctic. Recently, Miller (2007) reported this family from Madagascar and described two species of *Synaphris* and one new monotypic genus, *Africepheia* Miller, 2007. This finding suggests that the Synaphridae are more widespread than previously assumed and probably also occur in eastern Africa.

While studying material collected in Israel by pitfall traps we identified over two dozen specimens belonging to *Synaphris* and initially thought they might be *S. letourneuxi*, the species described from Egypt and known only from the male holotype. A detailed examination of our specimens, as well as their comparison with the literature and all the available material, has revealed them to belong to an unknown species. This study surveys all the species currently known from the East- Mediterranean region and describes a new species.

Material and methods

Digital photographs of general appearance and copulatory organs were taken using an Olympus SZX16 stereomicroscope with an Olympus E-520 camera and prepared using the CombineZP software. These photographs were taken in alcohol, in dishes with paraffin at the bottom. Different-sized hollows were made at the bottom to maintain the specimens in the desired position. Scanning electron photos were taken using the SEM JEOL JSM-5200 scanning microscope at the Zoological Museum, University of Turku. All measurements are in mm. Type material will be deposited at the Department of Zoology, Tel-Aviv University (TAU), the National Spider Collection at the Hebrew University, Jerusalem (HUJ), Göteborgs Naturhistoriska Museum (GNM) and the Zoological Museum, University of Moscow (ZMMU). The terminology follows Marusik and Lehtinen (2003). Only one abbreviation has been used on the figures: La – lamella. All measurements are in mm.

Taxonomic survey

To date, three species of *Synaphris* have been known from the East-Mediterranean region (east of 20°E) (cf. Platnick 2011). All of them are known from type localities only. A synopsis of these species including the new one is given below.

Synaphris lehtineni Marusik, Gnelitsa & Kovblyuk, 2005

Figs 12, 15–16

S. l. Marusik et al. 2005: 125, f. 1–4, 6–14, 18–31 ($\Diamond \Diamond$).

Comments. This species was described on the basis of 30 specimens collected from a single Crimean locality (Marusik et al. 2005). After the species was described, repeated attempts to recollect it from the type locality have been unsuccessful (Kovblyuk pers. comm.). This may indicate that its population density can fluctuate significantly. Although not recollected from the type locality, it was found in one more locality on the south-eastern coast of the Crimean peninsula (Kovblyuk et al. 2008). Here we provide only comparative figures that enable its discrimination from other East-Mediterranean species. *S. lehtineni* is the northernmost species of the genus. All specimens were found under stones in the sub-Mediterranean *Quercus-Pistacia-Abies-Juniperus* forest, on small sheet-webs (Kovblyuk pers. comm.).

Synaphris letourneuxi (Simon, 1884)

Figs 21–22

Grammonota l. Simon 1884: 599 (♂).

- S. l.: Simon 1894: 589.
- S. L: Levi & Levi 1962: 64, f. 311 (3).
- S. L: Brignoli 1970: 1407, f. 7–10 (3).
- S. l. Wunderlich 1980: 259, f. 15–16 (3).
- S. l.: Wunderlich 1987: 137, f. 363 (3).

Comments. This is the type species of the genus. The species remains known from the male holotype only, collected in Aswan (=Assuan, Egypt). Although it has been redescribed several times, details of its male palp remain unknown. Neither lamella, nor the embolus basis, the course of the seminal duct or position of the cymbial furrow have been depicted or verbally described.

Synaphris orientalis Marusik & Lehtinen, 2003

Figs 13, 17

- S. o. Marusik & Lehtinen 2003: 150, f. 1–24 (3).
- S. o.: Marusik et al. 2005: 128, f. 5, 15–17, 32 (3).

Comments. Like the type species, *S. orientalis* remains known from the male holotype only, collected in western Turkmenistan. Despite this, the species was studied by means of scanning electron microscope and properly described by Marusik and Lehtinen (2003).

Synaphris wunderlichi sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:90027054-25C3-43EB-9C22-462F3640BCD0 Figs 1–11, 14, 18–20

Material: Holotype & (TAU) and paratypes 29 & (HUJ, TAU, GTM & ZMMU) ISRAEL: Adulam 8 km SSW Beit-Shemesh, 31°39'N 34°57'E, 350–400 m, oak maquis (*Quercus calliprinos*), pitfall traps, 15.04.2003 (U. Columbus & T. Levanony).

Note. Although the species was numerous in pitfall traps, the second author (SZ) was unable to find any specimen by hand-picking or sifting the litter.

Etymology. The species name is a patronym in honour of our friend and colleague, the noted arachnologist Jörg Wunderlich (Germany), who erected the subfamily Synaphrinae.

Diagnosis. The new species can be separated from other East-Mediterranean species, *S. orientalis*, *S. lehtineni* and *S. letourneuxi*, by its smaller size (carapace < 0.5, in all other species longer than 0.5). In addition to size, the new species can be recognized by the relatively smaller lamella (cf. Figs 8, 12–13), with less developed ridges. Number of lamellar ridges in the new species (about 6) is approximately half that of its East-Mediterranean congeners. In addition, *S. wunderlichi* sp. n. has a relatively shorter and thicker palpal femur (cf. Figs 14–15, 17–19, 22). The new species is most similar in size to *S. dalmatensis* Wunderlich, 1980, but the Balkan species has relatively longer legs, and unlike other *Synaphris* species, it has tarsus I shorter than metatarsus I (0.24 and 0.21 respectively). The shape of the lamella in *S. dalmatensis* is unknown.

Description. Male. Total length 0.91–0.96. Carapace: 0.46 long, 0.41 wide, uniformly coloured light brown with three dorsal median setae as in other species. Abdomen oval, lighter than carapace, without pattern.


т		
I eo	101nt	measurements:
LUC	JOILLE	micasarchitem.

	Femur	Patella & Tibia	Metatarsus	Tarsus	Total
I	0.357	0.400	0.243	0.257	1.257
II	0.357	0.386	0.200	0.243	1.186
III	0.314	0.314	0.200	0.243	1.071
IV	0.386	0.371	0.214	0.257	1.228

The palp as in Figs 8–11, 14, 18–20. Femur short and thick; patella small; tibia wide, round and flat (Fig. 14); lamella lanceolate with six longitudinal ridges, lamella invisible in compound microscope in prolateral view, but can be found in terminal view (Fig. 20); seminal duct in the base of embolus is straight (Fig. 18).


Distribution. Type locality only.

Comments. When we first examined these specimens from Israel, we thought that they might be conspecific with the generotype, *S. letourneuxi*, described and known from neighbouring Egypt. The type locality of *S. letourneuxi*, near Aswan




Figures 1–7. Prosoma and habitus of *Synaphris wunderlichi* sp. n. **1–3** prosoma with removed legs and palps, lateral, frontal and dorsal **4–5** habitus, dorsal and frontal **6–7** prosoma, lateral and ventral. Scale = 0.1 mm if not otherwise stated.

(=Assuan), is quite distant from southern Israel. The holotype of *S. letourneuxi* is 1.28 long, with carapace 0.53 long, distinctly larger than the new species. In addition to differences in the terminal part of the bulbus, *S. letourneuxi* has a thinner and relatively longer palpal femur (cf. Fig. 22). Unfortunately, the lamella in this species remains unknown, as in all other species described prior to its first observation in 2003.



Figures 8–15. Scanning electron microphotographs of the male palp of *Synaphris wunderlichi* sp. n. (**8–11, 14**), *S. lehtineni* (**12, 15**) and *S. orientalis* (**13**). **8, 12–13** prolateral **9** retrolateral **10** caudal **11** anterior **14–15** palp with removed bulbus showing femur-tibia, anterior. Scale = 0.1 mm if not otherwise stated.



Figures 16–22. Male palp of *Synaphris lehtineni* (**16**), *S. orientalis* (**17**), *S. wunderlichi* sp. n. (**18–20**) and *S. letourneuxi* (**21–22**). **16–18** prolateral **19,22** retrolateral **20** anterior **21** retrolateral-anterior. Scale = 0.1 mm if not otherwise stated. **16–17** after Marusik et al. (2005); **21–22** after Wunderlich (1980).



Map 1. A map showing type localities and distribution of *Synaphris letourneuxi* (\spadesuit), *S. lehtineni* (\clubsuit), *S. wunderlichi* sp. n. (\blacksquare) and *S. orientalis* (\blacksquare).

Conclusions

All *Synaphris* species are very similar in general appearance and differ only in details of the terminal part of the bulbus and shape of the lamella; the latter is yet known only in *S. wunderlichi* sp. n. Females are known in a few species, making it impossible to provide an identification key for the entire genus, or even for the species occurring in the East Mediterranean. Nevertheless, the species living eastward of 20°E can be easily differentiated by their sizes (Table 1)

Interestingly, all the *Synaphris* species described from the Palaearctic Region, except for *S. lehtineni* known from two localities (see Map 1) are known from a single locality, whereas both species from Madagascar were found in several localities, even on the opposite sides of the island. The same holds true for *Cepheia longiseta* (Simon, 1881), which is known from at least seven separate localities, from south-west Portugal to Switzerland (Lopardo et al. 2007). In the Palaearctic Region all *Synaphris* species are allopatric, whereas in Madagascar there are four localities in which both *S. schlingeri* Miller, 2007 and *S. toliara* Miller, 2007 co-occur (see Miller 2007).

	Total	Carapace length	Leg I	Femur I
S. wunderlichi sp. n.	0.91-0.96	0.46	1.26	0.36
S. orientalis	1.06	0.54	1.4	0.43
S. letourneuxi	1.28	0.53	1.56	0.47
S. lehtineni	0.96-1.09	0.52-0.54	1.29	0.38

Table 1. Comparison of size of East Mediterranean *Synaphris* species.

Given that all the Palaearctic species have a very local distribution, it is likely that any new findings may represent a new species. We expect a true species diversity of *Synaphris* to be at least twofold its presently known one.

Acknowledgements

We thank Seppo Koponen who arranged the visit of YM to Turku and enabled his use of the local facilities (SEM and digital camera attached to microscope); and Naomi Paz for the linguistic help. This work was supported in part by the RFFI grant # 09-04-01365 and the Ministry of Absorption, Israel.

References

Brignoli PM (1970) Contribution à la connaissance des Symphytognathidae paléarctiques (Arachnida, Araneae). Bulletin du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle Paris 41(6): 1403–1420.

Levi HW, Levi LR (1962) The genera of the spider family Theridiidae. Bulletin of the museum of comparative zoology, Harvard 127: 1–71.

Lopardo L, Hormiga G, Melic A (2007) Spinneret spigot morphology in synaphrid spiders (Araneae, Synaphridae), with comments on the systematics of the family and description of a new species of *Synaphris* Simon 1894 from Spain. American Museum Novitates 3556: 1–26.

Kovblyuk MM, Nadolny AA, Gnelitsa VA, Zhukovets EM (2008) Spiders (Arachnida, Aranei) of the Martyan Cape Reserve (Crimea, Ukraine). Caucasian Entomological Bulletin 4(1): 3–40.

Marusik YM, Lehtinen PT (2003) Synaphridae Wunderlich, 1986 (Aranei, Araneoidea), new family status, with description of a new species from Turkmenistan. Arthropoda Selecta 11(2): 143–152.

Marusik YM, Gnelitsa VA, Kovblyuk MM (2005) A new species of *Synaphris* (Araneae, Synaphridae) from Ukraine. Bulletin of the British Arachnological Society 13(4): 125–130.

Miller JA (2007) Synaphridae of Madagascar (Araneae: Araneoidea): a new family record for the Afrotropical region. Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences. Fourth series 58(3): 21–48.

Platnick NI (2011) The world spider catalog, version 11.5. American Museum of Natural History. http://research.amnh.org/iz/spiders/catalog. doi: 10.5531/db.iz.0001. [accessed 29 December 2010]

Simon E (1884) Les arachnides de France. Paris, 5: 180-885.

Simon E (1894) Histoire naturelle des araignées. Paris, 1: 489-760.

Wunderlich J (1980) Über europäische Symphytognathidae (Arach.: Araneae). Verhandlungen des naturwissenschaftlichen Vereins in Hamburg (Neue Folge) 23: 259–273.

Wunderlich J (1986) Spinnenfauna gestern und heute: Fossile Spinnen in Bernstein und ihre heute lebenden Verwandten. Quelle & Meyer, Wiesbaden, 283 pp.

Wunderlich J (1987) Die Spinnen der Kanarischen Inseln und Madeiras: Adaptive Radiation, Biogeographie, Revisionen und Neubeschreibungen. Triops Verlag, Langen, 435 pp.