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#### Abstract

Holothuria (Lessonothuria) coronata sp. nov. occurs in depths of $5-10 \mathrm{~m}$ off the Mexican Pacific coast at the Revillagigedo Archipelago. It is clearly distinguished from other species of the subgenus by the presence of tables with a circular disc and big peripheral holes, sometimes with a second series of peripheral ones, a disc with a spiny or smooth rim and a spire crossed by a single cross-beam, dorsal papillae, and ventral tube feet with curved supporting rods with a spiny edge.

\section*{Resumen}

Holothuria (Lessonothuria) coronata sp. nov. habita a profundidades de 5-10 m frente a la costa del Pacífico mexicano en el archipiélago de Revillagigedo. Se distingue claramente de otras especies del subgénero por la presencia de tablas con disco circular y grandes orificios periféricos, a veces con una segunda serie de orificios periféricos, disco con borde espinoso o liso y espira con una sola viga transversal, papilas dorsales y pies ambulacrales ventrales con barrotes de soporte curvados, con borde espinoso.
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## Introduction

The genus Holothuria Linnaeus, 1767 is the most diverse within the family Holothuriidae, with 163 of the 202 species in the family belonging to the genus (WoRMS 2021a, b, c, d, e). Currently, 18 subgenera are grouped in the genus Holothuria (WoRMS 2021c). In 1958, Deichmann erected the genus Lessonothuria and assigned Holothuria pardalis Selenka, 1867 as the type species. Contrary to Deichmann (1958), Rowe (1969) changed the category of Lessonothuria from genus to subgenus.

The ossicles of the species belonging to Lessonothuria consist of tables, regular to incomplete buttons and supporting rods that sometimes are modified into elongated buttons (Deichmann 1958). According to Rowe (1969), pseudo-buttons are abundant among the species of this subgenus. Species of this subgenus mostly inhabit shallow marine waters (Samyn 2003; Ahmed et al. 2020); nonetheless, H. (L.) cavans Massin \& Tomascik, 1996 inhabits waters at low salinities in an anchialine lagoon (Massin and Tomascik 1996). Species grouped in this subgenus are mostly distributed in the Indian Ocean (Samyn et al. 2019; Ahmed et al. 2020).

Samyn et al. (2019) resurrected the species H. (L.) lineata Ludwig, 1875, which was considered as a synonym of $H$. pardalis Selenka, 1867. The authors also stated that pseudo-buttons are predominant in H. insignis Ludwig, 1875, while buttons are predominant in H. lineata Ludwig, 1875 and H. pardalis Selenka, 1867. Recently, Ahmed et al. (2020) formally resurrected $H$. insignis Ludwig, 1875, which was considered a synonym of H. lineata Ludwig, 1875 and H. pardalis Selenka, 1867.

Currently, only 11 species belong to the subgenus Lessonothuria Deichmann, 1958: Holothuria (L.) cavans Massin \& Tomascik, 1996; H. (L.) cumulus Clark, 1921; H. (L.) duoturricula Cherbonnier, 1988; H. (L.) glandifera Cherbonnier, 1955; H. (L.) immobilis Semper, 1868; H. (L.) insignis Ludwig, 1875; H. (L.) lineata Ludwig, 1875; H. (L.) multipilula Liao, 1975; H. (L.) pardalis Selenka, 1867; H. (L.) tuberculata Thandar, 2007 and $H$. (L.) verrucosa Selenka, 1867 (WoRMS 2021c). However, Ahmed et al. (2020) concluded that some of these species probably do not belong to this subgenus.

The purpose of this paper is to describe a new species of Holothuria (Lessonothuria) from the eastern Pacific coast.

## Materials and methods

Specimens were collected by snorkelling, relaxed in $\sim 10 \% \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ solution, and preserved in $70 \%$ ethanol for morphological and ossicle examination. All measurements were obtained from fixed specimens. Ossicles were extracted from the body wall
(anterior, medium, and posterior regions), dorsal papillae, ventral tube feet, and tentacles. The tissue was dissolved in fresh household bleach (5-6.5\%). After centrifugation at 1000 rpm for 10 min , bleach was pipetted off and the ossicles were rinsed and centrifuged with distilled water that was pipetted off afterwards. The same process was done with 70,80 , and $95 \%$ ethanol. Absolute ethanol was added to the ossicles, and a small aliquot was taken and placed to dry on a cylindrical double-coated conductive carbon tape stub. Then, it was sputter coated with gold 2.5 kV in the ionizer JEOL JFC-1100 for 3 min and photographed using a JEOL JSM-6360LV scanning electron microscope (SEM) at the ICML, UNAM. Specimens were deposited at the Colección Nacional de Equinodermos 'Dra. Ma. Elena Caso Muñoz', Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City (ICMLUNAM).

## Taxonomy

## Order Holothuriida Miller et al., 2017

Family Holothuriidae Burmeister, 1837
Genus Holothuria Linnaeus, 1767

## Subgenus Lessonothuria Deichmann, 1958

Diagnosis (after Rowe 1969). Tentacles 17-30. Pedicels and papillae irregularly arranged ventrally and dorsally respectively, a 'collar' of papillae evident around the base of the tentacles, anal papillae usually apparent. Body wall soft, not very thick, usually 1 (1-3) mm, body almost cylindrical but with a more or less distinct, flattened sole. Size small to moderate, up to 150 mm long. Calcareous ring fairly stout, radial plates about twice as long as the interradial plates. Ossicles consisting of clumsy tables, the spire low to moderate and usually terminating in a ring or cluster of spines, disc well developed and spinose, rarely some tables with smooth-rimmed disc also present; rim often turned up to give a 'cup and saucer' appearance to the table in lateral view. Pseudobuttons abundant, usually smooth, sometimes spinose, usually irregular in outline and often reduced to a single row of three or four holes. Occasionally, quite regular buttons are present, with three pairs of holes.

Type species. Holothuria pardalis Selenka, 1867 (original designation).

## Holothuria (Lessonothuria) coronata sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/0734862E-3F19-4790-AD3B-04440C36B869
Figs 1-4
Type material. Holotype ICML-UNAM 18432, 49 mm total length (TL), 1 specimen, Bahía Vargas Lozano, Isla Socorro, Revillagigedo Archipelago, Pacific Ocean
$18^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 89.3^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 110^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 30.3^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 1 \mathrm{~m}$ depth, 4 April 2014, coll. Estrada Galicia, D. \& Isaac Novoa, Y. Paratypes. ICML-UNAM 18433, 48 mm TL, Bahía Vargas Lozano, Isla Socorro, Revillagigedo Archipelago, Pacific Ocean $18^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 89.3^{\prime \prime N}, 110^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 30.3^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, $0.5-8 \mathrm{~m}$ depth, 4 April 2014; coll. Estrada Galicia, D. \& Isaac Novoa, Y.; ICML-UNAM 18434, 48 mm TL; 1 specimen, Bahía Vargas Lozano, Isla Socorro, Revillagigedo Archipelago, Pacific Ocean $18^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 29.14^{\prime \prime N}, 110^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 57.77$ "W, 5-10 m depth, 29 May 2010, coll. Estrada Galicia, D. \& Isaac Novoa, Y.

Type locality. Bahía Vargas Lozano, Isla Socorro, Revillagigedo Archipelago, Pacific Ocean $18^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 29.14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 110^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$.


Figure I. Holothuria (Lessonothuria) coronata sp. nov. Photos of preserved holotype, ICML-UNAM 18432 A dorsal view B ventral view.


Figure 2. Holothuria (Lessonothuria) coronata sp. nov. Holotype, ICML-UNAM 18432. Ossicles of dorsal papillae (A-F) A tables B table with irregular disc $\mathbf{C}$ table with reduced disc $\mathbf{D}$ 'rare table' of the dorsal $\mathbf{E}$ supporting rod and table $\mathbf{F}$ supporting rods. Ossicles of dorsal body wall $(\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{I}) \mathbf{G}$ tables $\mathbf{H}$ pseudobuttons I buttons. Ossicles of tentacles $\boldsymbol{J}$ rods.

Diagnosis. Small-sized holothurian (up to 49 mm ), 20 peltate tentacles, no distinct collar; anal papillae present. Podia as conical papillae dorsally and tube feet ventrally. Body wall thin, leathery. Single Polian vesicle, free stone canal. Ossicles as tables, buttons, pseudo-buttons and supporting rods, tube feet with perforated plates. Table


Figure 3. Holothuria (Lessonothuria) coronata sp. nov. Holotype, ICML-UNAM 18432. Ossicles of ventral tube feet ( $\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{F}$ ) $\mathbf{A}$ tables $\mathbf{B}$ tables with reduced disc $\mathbf{C}$ table with reduced spire $\mathbf{D}$ ' rare table' $\mathbf{E}$ supporting rods $\mathbf{F}$ plates. Ossicles of ventral body wall $(\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{I}) \mathbf{G}$ tables $\mathbf{H}$ buttons $\mathbf{I}$ pseudo-buttons.
discs spinose to smooth with 6-13 marginal holes; spire of moderate height, one crossbeam, terminating in a crown with 14-20 teeth, such crown with a high shape diversity. Pseudo-buttons ( $25-50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long) mostly irregular, often twisted and/or knobbed, with two to five holes. Dorsal papillae and tube feet with curved supporting rods (180-240 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ), ends and central part are widened and perforated, the edge of the widened part slightly spinose. Tentacles with slightly curved rods, spiny ends, without perforations (300-600 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ).


Figure 4. Holothuria (Lessonothuria) coronata sp. nov. Holotype, ICML-UNAM 18432. Calcareous ring. Single ventral and dorsal radials (R) and adjoining interradial plates (Ir).

Holotype description. Specimen preserved in alcohol with brownish body wall, the papillae, and ventral tube feet are white; 20 short beige tentacles. Ventral and dorsal body wall with minute white dots due to the presence of ossicle clusters. Anus surrounded by anal papillae. Calcareous ring stout (Fig. 4), interradial pieces with a median anterior projection; radial pieces with a median anterior notch and much wider than the interradial pieces; dorsal radial pieces are wider and stouter than the ventral ones. Polian vesicle 10 mm long. Free stone canal, 2 mm long. Single madreporite. Longitudinal muscles divided, $8-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide. Tentacular ampullae 5 mm long. Cuvierian organs present.

Ossicles. Dorsal papillae with three types of tables, supporting rods, and a reduced terminal plate. The first type of table possesses circular disc (Fig. 2A), sometimes irregular (Fig. 2B), diameter of $50-70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, the rim is either smooth or spinous and turned up; four big central holes and 6-13 peripheral holes, sometimes a second series of smaller peripheral holes is present. Spire is low to medium and consists of four pillars, spiny crown with a central hole; single cross-beam. The second type of table (Fig. 2C) has a reduced disc with irregular rim, four central holes, and usually lacking peripheral holes, but some tables bear up to three peripheral holes. Spire is medium size $(35-45 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ and consists of four pillars, spiny crown with a central hole; single cross-beam. The third type of table (Fig. 2D) has reduced disc and smooth rim, four big central holes. Zero to seven peripheral holes, spire of four pillars; spiny crown. Curved supporting rods $(140-240 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ (Fig. 2E, F), the ends and the central part are widened and perforated; spiny edge. Reduced terminal plate, $50-60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide. Anal papillae with three types of tables and supporting rods, these four types of ossicles are identical to those present in the dorsal papillae. Dorsal body wall with tables, buttons, and pseudo-buttons. Tables with circular disc (45-60 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ wide) (Fig. 2G), irregular rim, spinous or smooth; four central holes and eight to eleven peripheral holes, some tables present a second series
of smaller peripheral holes. Spire low to medium sized consisting of four pillars, spiny crown with one central hole; single cross-beam. Some tables with button-shape and four big holes. Sometimes up to three peripheral holes are present, spire of four pillars, spiny crown. Irregular buttons (35-70 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long) (Fig. 2H) with smooth edge, three to four pairs of holes. Pseudo-buttons ( $25-40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long) (Fig. 2I) with smooth and irregular edge, two to five holes. Ventral tube feet with three types of tables, plates, supporting rods, and terminal plate. The first type of table with circular disc (Fig. 3A), sometimes irregular ( $35-55 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide), and its rim is also irregular, whether spinose or smooth; four central holes and six to twelve peripheral holes. Spire low to medium with four pillars, spiny crown with central hole; single cross-beam. The second type of table bearing a reduced disc ( $30-40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide) (Fig. 3B), four central holes and usually lacking peripheral holes, but rarely up to three peripheral holes are present. Medium size spire consisting of four pillars, spiny crown with central hole; single cross-beam. Tables rarely with a reduced spire (Fig. 3C). The third type are button-shaped tables (Fig. 3D) with spire of four pillars, spiny crown, disc with smooth rim and four big central holes. Perforated plates (Fig. 3E) with spiny irregular edge, $80-135 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long. Curved supporting rods (130-230 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) (Fig. 3F), the extremes and the central part are widened and perforated, irregular edge, sometimes with few spines. The terminal plates are bigger than the terminal plates from dorsal papillae. Ventral body wall with tables, buttons, and pseudo-buttons. Tables with circular disc ( $40-50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ wide) (Fig. 3G) perforated by four central holes and eight to twelve peripheral holes. Spire low to medium with four pillars and spiny crown with central hole; single cross-beam. Button-shaped tables present, but scarcely. Disc with four big central holes and smooth rim, spire of four pillars, spiny crown. Buttons ( $40-50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ length) (Fig. 3H) are irregular with three pairs of holes and smooth edge. Pseudo-buttons (Fig. 3I) with smooth edge and two to five holes $(35-50 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. Tentacles with slightly curved rods (Fig. 2J), both ends are spiny, without perforations ( $300-600 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); some small rods are triradiated. Ossicles are not present in the longitudinal muscles, respiratory trees nor cloaca.

Etymology. The specific name refers to the crown of the table spires at the dorsal papillae, dorsal and ventral body wall, and ventral tube feet; such crowns exhibit a high shape diversity.

Ecology. Holothuria (L.) coronata sp. nov. occurs at $0.5-10 \mathrm{~m}$ depth, hidden under rocks in a well-aerated environment. It is a burrowing, deposit feeding holothurian.

Geographical distribution. Only known from Isla Socorro, Revillagigedo Archipelago, Pacific Ocean.

## Discussion

The number of tentacles and the presence of tables and buttons are characteristic of the subgenus Lessonothuria Deichmann, 1958. Holothuria (L.) coronata sp. nov. was grouped within this subgenus because of the presence of ossicles such as pseudo-buttons and tables with a disc whose rim is turned up (Rowe 1969).

Holothuria (L.) coronata sp. nov. is closely related to $H$. (L.) glandifera. The disc of the tables is spiny or smooth in both species, and Cherbonnier (1955) reported the presence of rudimentary tables in $H$. (L.) glandifera; such tables are similar to the tables with a reduced disc present in $H$. (L.) coronata sp. nov. According to Cherbonnier (1955), the body wall of $H$. (L.) glandifera also presents 'rare tables' that are similar to buttons; this kind of table is present in the ventral and dorsal body wall and ventral tube feet of $H$. (L.) coronata sp. nov. In addition, both species present some knobbed buttons (Cherbonnier 1955). Nonetheless, Cherbonnier (1955) did not mention the presence of a second series of peripheral holes on the disc of the tables of $H$. (L.) glandifera, a character which is present in some tables of $H$. (L.) coronata sp. nov., H. (L.) cumulus, H. (L.) duoturricula and H. (L.) multipilula (Clark 1921; Cherbonnier 1955, 1988; Liao 1975). Unlike H. (L.) glandifera, the tables of $H$. (L.) coronata sp. nov. bear a single cross-beam (Clark 1921; Cherbonnier 1955). The buttons of H. (L.) coronata sp. nov. are more irregular than those present in $H$. (L.) glandifera. The supporting rods (90-230 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long) of the ventral ambulacral feet of $H$. (L.) glandifera don't seem to be curved, their ends are perforated, and their edge is smooth; however, H. (L.) coronata sp. nov. presents longer and more complex supporting rods (130-230 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ long), curved, with a spiny edge, the ends and the central part are widened and perforated. The ventral tube feet of $H$. (L.) coronata sp. nov. and $H$. (L.) glandifera are supported by plates, while the plates of the ventral tube feet of $H$. (L.) coronata sp. nov. are wider and their edge is spinier (Cherbonnier 1955).

Holothuria (L.) coronata sp. nov. is also closely related to $H$. (L.) pardalis, but the tube feet supporting rods in $H$. (L.) pardalis are simpler than those in $H$. (L.) coronata sp. nov. and the table discs in $H$. (L.) pardalis have a spiny rim and are reduced, in addition to the fact that the spire is poorly developed, while in $H$. (L.) coronata sp. nov. the disc is generally well developed and the peripheral holes of the first series are large, the rim is smooth or spiny, but not as spiny as that of $H$. (L.) pardalis Selenka, 1867.

One species, Holothuria (L.) pardalis, has been reported inhabiting the Mexican Pacific. It is widely distributed, having been reported inhabiting the Indian Ocean and Western Pacific Ocean (Deichmann 1958; Samyn et al. 2019; Ahmed et al. 2020). Cherbonnier (1951) reported the presence of $H$. (L.) pardalis in the Gulf of California, Mexico. Seven years later, Deichmann (1958) reported H. (L.) pardalis inhabiting Tenacatita, Jalisco, Mexico and concluded that this species is not a permanent element of the fauna of the Mexican Pacific. She reviewed several specimens from different localities of the Central Eastern Pacific and reported ossicle variation among those specimens: 'in some individuals the inner layer of buttons almost entirely composed of regular six-holed buttons, in others all deformed, twisted, incomplete or with few knobs on the surface'. Unfurnately, Deichmann (1958) did not give the information about where the illustrated material was collected, leaving the previous explanation without support.

Cherbonnier (1951) described minute white dots on the body wall of $H$. (L.) pardalis formed by clusters of ossicles, this character is also present in $H$. (L.) coronata sp. nov. According to the keys and the descriptions of Samyn et al. (2019) and Ahmed et
al. (2020), we conclude that the ossicle illustrations provided by Cherbonnier (1951) truly belong to $H$. pardalis Selenka, 1867, due to the presence of peripheral holes in the majority of tables, the rods of the tentacles lacking holes, and the rods of the tube feet being curved. However, after reviewing the specimens of the subgenus Lessonothuria deposited in Colección Nacional de Equinodermos 'Dra. Ma. Elena Caso Muñoz', ICML, UNAM, we conclude that the statements of Deichmann (1958) are correct: H. (L.) pardalis does not naturally occur in the Mexican Pacific. The specimen reported by Solís-Marín et al. (2009) does not belong to H. pardalis but rather, H. (Vaneyothuria) zacae Deichmann, 1937. In conclusion, H. (L.) coronata sp. nov. is the second species of subgenus Lessonothuria that is distributed in the Mexican Pacific.

Holothuria (L.) coronata sp. nov. is clearly distinguished from other species of the subgenus by the presence of tables with a circular disc and big peripheral holes, sometimes with a second series of peripheral ones, disc with a spiny or smooth rim and a spire crossed by a single cross-beam, dorsal papillae and ventral tube feet with curved supporting rods with spiny edge, tentacles with non-perforated rods, all these characters have been used to differentiate species of the subgenus by various authors (Massin and Tomascik 1996; Ahmed et al. 2020).
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#### Abstract

Parasterope Kornicker, 1975 is a marine ostracod genus with 49 species described so far, which makes it the most diverse representative of the subfamily Cylindroleberidinae, as well as the entire family Cylindroleberididae. Despite its global distribution no species are reported from South Korea. Three new species collected from the Korean coast of the Sea of Japan (Parasterope busanensis sp. nov., P. singula sp. nov., and P. sohi sp. nov.), and one from the Japanese coast of the Pacific Ocean (P. sagami sp. nov.) are described. A taxonomic key to all named species from East Asia is provided. A phylogenetic tree is reconstructed based on partial 16 S rRNA sequences of the four new species and other Cylindroleberidinae available from GenBank. Monophyly of Parasterope is supported by high posterior probabilities, but the phylogenetic analyses also indicate that some of the GenBank data attributed to this genus are probably misidentifications. A map of distribution and a checklist of all described Parasterope species are also provided.
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## Introduction

Cylindroleberididae is one of the largest myodocopid families with remarkable morphological diversity. It accounts for 225 species classified in 33 genera and the following four subfamilies: Cylindroleberidinae, Cyclasteropinae, Asteropteroninae, and Macroasteropteroninae. The primary defining feature of the family is the presence of seven or eight leaf-like pairs of gills at the posterior end of the body, the presence of a "baleen-comb" on the maxilla and the fifth limb, a mandible with a sword-shaped coxal endite, and a hatchet shaped sixth limb (Müller 1906; Poulsen 1965). The family has a worldwide marine distribution and can be found from shallow waters to depths of more than 4570 m (Kornicker 1975). The most speciose of all cylindroleberid genera is Parasterope Kornicker, 1975 (of the subfamily Cylindroleberidinae) with 47 species and subspecies currently listed on the World Ostracod Database (Brandão et al. 2022), although six of the listed species are considered synonyms. Parasterope representatives have the following combination of characters: first antenna has $0+6$ (proximal+distal filament configuration) sensory bristles and the d-bristle is minute or absent; mandible has an e-bristle; and the posterior infold has no ridges between list and the edge of the valve (Poulsen 1965; Kornicker 1975). Species can be found in shallow waters, such as sandy mud flats near mangrove area (i.e., P. zamboangae Kornicker, 1970), to abyssal depths of 4303 m (i.e., P. styx Kornicker, 1975). The genus has a global distribution (Fig. 1). Of all species recognized so far, only six have been recorded from East Asia: three from Japan (P. jenseni Poulsen, 1965; P. obesa Poulsen, 1965; and P. hirutai Chavtur, 1983), two from the Philippines (P. zamboangae Kornicker, 1970 and P. mckenziei Kornicker, 1970), and


Figure I. Geographic distribution of Parasterope Kornicker, 1975 based on the species' type localities: red circle indicates previously known species; yellow square indicates species from this study. All the numbers follow the order of species on the checklist (Suppl. material 1).
one from Thailand (P. nana Poulsen, 1965) (Chavtur 1983; Poulsen 1965; Kornicker 1970).

The cylindroleberid fauna of East Asia is generally poorly known and mostly consists of species records without many details on their morphology. For example, a systematic study of the Korean ostracods as indicators of water pollution lists nine Cylindroleberidae, all left in the open nomenclature (Lee et al. 2000). Similarly, Tanaka and Ohtsuka (2015) reported eight cylindroleberids from Japan, belonging to six genera, of which only three were named: Cyclasterope cf. hilgendorfii (Müller, 1890), Leuroleberis surugaensis Hiruta, 1982, and Tetraleberis cf. brevis (Müller, 1890). Most recently, Pham et al. (2021) published the first taxonomic record of the entire family from Korea and described five species, of which one was proposed as a member of a new genus, Toyoshioleberis Pham, Jöst \& Karanovic, 2021, one was a new record of Xenoleberis yamadai (Hiruta, 1979) from Korea, and another two were new to science, X. parvus Pham, Jöst \& Karanovic, 2021 and X. tanakai Pham, Jöst \& Karanovic, 2021.

In the present paper, we describe four new species belonging to Parasterope that have been collected from seas around Korea and Japan. To facilitate their further identification, we provide a taxonomic key to all East Asian species. In addition, partial 16 S rRNA sequences of all new species and partial 18 S rRNA sequences of only three new species were successfully amplified. Currently, there are two 16 S rRNA sequences, five 18 S rRNA sequences, and eleven 28 S rRNA sequences available on GenBank (Benson et al. 2015) attributed to Parasterope. They belong to three named species and two in the open nomenclature. These GenBank sequences were used in previous studies that aimed to resolve the relationships between Cylindroleberididae and other myodocopid families (Yamaguchi and Endo 2003; Oakley 2005; Syme and Oakley 2012), but the phylogenetic relationship between Parasterope species has never been specifically mentioned. In general, phylogenetic relationships between the four cylindroleberid subfamilies is still not resolved despite many attempts to do so by using morphology only (Kornicker 1981), or a combination of morphological and molecular data (Syme 2007; Syme and Oakley 2012). The most recent phylogenetic tree constructed based on a concatenated data set with a combination of $16 \mathrm{~S}, 18 \mathrm{~S}$, and 28 S markers (Pham et al. 2021) provided little resolution to the problem of polyphyletic nature of the subfamily Cyclasteropinae. In addition, many of the genera on all phylogenetic trees reconstructed so far seem to be paraphyletic or polyphyletic. Resolving intragenic relationship could be a step towards understanding phylogeny on the higher systematic levels. Here we use newly obtained $16 S$ rRNA sequences in combination with those already available on GenBank to reconstruct phylogenetic relationship between Parasterope species and the position of the genus within the subfamily Cylindroleberidane. The marker 16 S is proven to be suitable for evolutionary studies at lower taxonomic levels for many animal groups (Bridge et al. 1995; Collins 2000; Collins et al. 2006; Govindarajan et al. 2006), including ostracods (see Pham et al. 2020). We also provide a list of all known Parasterope species with currently recognized synonyms (Suppl. material 1).

## Materials and methods

Samples from Japan were collected from Sagami Bay during the 6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ JAMBIO (Japanese Association for Marine Biology) project, on 13 February 2015 with a dredge from shallow waters (130-241m) (Nakano et al. 2015). Samples were fixed in 99\% ethanol on site and fractioned with $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ sieves. From the sea around the two Korean Islands, Maemul and Chuja, samples were collected with a dredge net from a boat. Collecting methods were described in Karanovic and Soh (2015). Ostracods were also collected from Minrack harbor (Busan), by diving at 30 m , by members of MABIK (Marine Biodiversity Institute of Korea). Specimens were dissected and soft parts mounted on slides in CMC-10 Mounting Media (Masters Company, Inc.), while carapaces were kept on the SEM stubs. All drawings were prepared using a drawing tube attached to the Olympus BX51 microscope. For observations under the scanning electron microscope (SEM) carapaces were coated with platinum. SEM photographs were taken at Eulji University (Korea) with the Hitachi S-4700 electron microscope. All specimens are deposited in the invertebrate collection of the National Institute of the Biological Resources (NIBR) in South Korea. The distribution map has been created with the Map Creator Private version 2.0.

The extraction followed the HotSHOT method described in Williams et al. (1992). All PCR reactions were carried out in $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ volume containing: $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of diluted DNA template, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each forward and reverse primer, $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ultra-distilled water and $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ AccuPower ${ }^{\bullet}$ PCR Premix (Bioneer Inc.). Fragments of 16 S were amplified using the specific primer pairs 16S MYOF1: CCGGTTTGAACTCAGATCAC; 16S MYOF2: TCAAATCATGTTGARATTWAATGG; 16S MYOR1: GTYTTTTAATTGGRGACTGG; 16S MYOR2: TAAACGGCTGCGGTATYYTG. The primer pairs of Cylind_18S_F (5'-GGGAGCATTTATTAGACCAAAACC-3') and Cylind_18S_R ( $5^{\prime}$-TTCCCGTGTTGAGTCAAATTAAGCC-3') were used for the amplification of the 18 S rRNA gene fragment. All PCR reactions run in a TaKaRa PCR Thermal Cycler Dice, using $3.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ DNA template, $17 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ultra-distilled water, $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ AccuPower ${ }^{\ominus}$ PCR Premix (Bioneer Inc., Korea), and $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of forward and backward primer each. PCR setting consisted of initial denaturation at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $5 \mathrm{~min}, 35$ cycles of denaturation for 30 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, annealing for 30 s at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $16 \mathrm{~S} / 1 \mathrm{~min}$ at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 S , extension at $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min before decreasing to $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the end. PCR products were electrophoresed (for 20 min at 100 V ) on $1 \%$ agarose gels ( 0.5 X TAE buffer dyed with GelRed ${ }^{\circledR}$ Nucleic Acid Gel Stain) to determine the presence of target DNA bands. PCR products were purified for sequencing by ethanol precipitation and neutralized by Sodium acetate ( pH 5.5 ). Sequencing reactions were run for both strands to confirm sequence reliability using the Sanger method for dideoxy sequencing (Bionic Inc., Seoul, Korea). All 16S rRNA and 18 S rRNA sequences have been deposited in GenBank (Table 1).

Forward and Reverse strands were visually compared and checked for signal quality and low-resolution sites using FinchTV (version 1.4.0) (Geospiza, Inc., Seattle, WA, USA; http://www.geospiza.com). The strands were aligned with MAFFT v. 7 127b (Katoh et al. 2019) using the EINS-i algorithm. All sequences used in the phyloge-
netic analysis are in the Table 1. The best fit evolutionary model was used based on the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) as implemented in ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017). Bayesian Inference, implemented in BEAST v2.6.4 (Bouckaert et al. 2014), was used to estimate phylogenetic relationships. Settings included the best fit evolutionary model with four gamma categories, a strict molecular clock, gamma shape alpha 0.645 , and 0.3583 in proportion of invariable site. The analysis run for $10,000,000$ generations, sampling every 1,000 generations. Software Tracer (Rambaut et al. 2018) was used to visualize results of the BEAST analyses and FigTree v1.4.3 (Rambaut 2010) for tree visualization. Molecular pairwise distances were calculated as uncorrected p-distances (Kimura 1981) and intrageneric distances were calculated as within group mean in MEGA 7 (Kumar et al. 2016).

Table I. List of 16 S sequences used for phylogenetic analysis. ${ }^{1}$ Syme and Oakley (2012); ${ }^{2}$ Wakayama and Abe (2006); ${ }^{3}$ Pham et al. (2021); ${ }^{4}$ Oakley and Cunningham (2002); numbers in bold indicate sequences from this study.

| Genus | Species | GenBank number |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 16S | 18S |
| Bathyleberis | B. oculata | EU587251 ${ }^{1}$ | EU591814 ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | C. marranyin |  | EU587243 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Cylindroleberis | Cylindroleberis J57069 | EU587253 ${ }^{1}$ | EU587244 ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | Cylindroleberis NW-2004 | AY624729 ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| UnID | Cylindroleberididae J57076 | EU587257 ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| Parasterope | P. busanensis sp. nov. 24_6 | OK048681 | OK048719 |
|  | P. busanensis sp. nov. 24_7 | OK048682 | OK048720 |
|  | P. gamurru J53224 | EU587255 ${ }^{1}$ | EU591819 ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | P. pollex |  | AF363309 ${ }^{4}$ |
|  | P. sagami sp. nov. 26_9 | OK048683 | OK048721 |
|  | P. sagami sp. nov. 27_0 | OK048684 | OK048722 |
|  | P. singula sp. nov. 1_1 | OK048686 | OK048723 |
|  | P. singula sp. nov. 1_2 | OK048687 |  |
|  | P. sohi sp. nov. | OK048685 |  |
|  | P. styx |  | EU587236 ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | Parasterope J57072* |  | EU587247 ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | Parasterope NW-2004 | AY624728 ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Postasterope | P. barensi J57079 | EU587258 ${ }^{1}$ | EU587248 ${ }^{1}$ |
|  | P. corrugata | EU587259 ${ }^{1}$ | EU591816 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Synasterope | Synasterope J57066 | EU587252 ${ }^{1}$ | EU5872501 |
|  | Synasterope J57067 |  | EU591815 ${ }^{1}$ |
| Toyoshioleberis | T. magnabucca | MW534153 ${ }^{3}$ | MZ092883 ${ }^{3}$ |
| Xenoleberis | X. parvus | MW534150 ${ }^{3}$ |  |
|  | X. pacifica 38 | MW534151 ${ }^{3}$ | MZ0928813 |
|  | X. pacifica 39 | MW534152 ${ }^{3}$ | MZ092882 ${ }^{3}$ |
|  | X. pacifica 275 | MW534140 ${ }^{3}$ | MZ092883 ${ }^{3}$ |
|  | X. tanakai 266 | MW534141 ${ }^{3}$ |  |
|  | X. tanakai 284 | MW534142 ${ }^{3}$ | MZ092884 ${ }^{3}$ |
|  | X. yamadai 272 | MW534143 ${ }^{3}$ | MZ0928793 |
|  | X. yamadai 273 | MW534144 ${ }^{3}$ | MZ092880 ${ }^{3}$ |
|  | X. yamadai 14 | MW534145 ${ }^{3}$ | MZ092878 ${ }^{3}$ |
|  | X. yamadai 30 | MW534147 ${ }^{3}$ |  |

Abbreviations used in text and figures

| A1 | first antenna; | Md | mandibula; |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A2 | second antenna; | Mxl | maxillula; |
| F | Furca; | NIBR | National Institute of Biodiversity |
| L5 | fifth limb; |  | Research; |
| L6 | sixth limb; | SEM | scanning electron microscope. |
| L7 | seventh limb; |  |  |

## Results

## Systematics

Phylum Arthropoda Latreille, 1829
Subphylum Crustacea Brünnich, 1772
Class Ostracoda Latreille, 1802
Subclass Myodocopa Sars, 1866
Order Myodocopida Sars, 1866
Family Cylindroleberididae Müller, 1906
Genus Parasterope Kornicker, 1994

## Key to Parasterope from East and Southeast Asia

1 Mandible, dorsal margin of basale with mid-bristle ..... 2

- Mandible, dorsal margin of basale without mid-bristle ..... 3
$2 \quad 6^{\text {th }} \operatorname{limb}$, ventral and postero-ventral margin without plumose bristles
P. nana Poulsen, 1965
$-\quad 6^{\text {th }} \operatorname{limb}$, ventral margin of end-joint with 11 or 12 plumose bristles P. zamboangae Kornicker, 1970
Adult female Md with 3 long terminal setae next to exopodite
P. sagami sp. nov.
- Adult female Md with 2 long terminal setae next to exopodite ..... 4
$4 \quad 7^{\text {th }}$ limb with 4 bristles ( 2 on each side) on the terminal segment
P. singula sp. nov.
- $\quad 7^{\text {th }}$ limb with 6 bristles (3 on each side) on the terminal segment ..... 5
5 Mandibular basale with 6 spinous end bristles ..... P. sohi sp. nov.
- Mandibular basale with 3 or 4 spinous end bristles ..... 6
6 Mandibular basale spinous and with cluster of spines near middle

$\qquad$
P. mckenziei Kornicker, 1970

- Mandibular basale with no hair on broad surface ..... 7
$7 \quad$ First antenna $8^{\text {th }}$ joint with small spine-like d-bristle P. hirutai Chavtur, 1983
$-\quad$ First antenna $8^{\text {th }}$ joint without d-bristle ..... 8

An open row of $\sim 7-15$ long medial bristles between the posterior ridge and the shell margin
P. obesa Poulsen, 1965

- No bristles or only short, scattered bristles between the posterior ridge and the shell margin 9
$9 \quad 2^{\text {nd }}$ joint of first antenna without lateral bristle; second antenna protopodite with a row of spines on the ventral margin. .P. jenseni Poulsen, 1965
$-\quad 2^{\text {nd }}$ joint of first antenna with lateral bristle; second antenna protopodite without spine on the ventral margin
P. busanensis sp. nov.


## Parasterope busanensis sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/E03199FB-A217-4451-B924-45A64817C83B
Figs 2-4
Specimens examined. Holotype female dissected on one slide, shells on SEM stub (NIBR IV 0000879898_1). Paratypes: three males and one female dissected on one slide, shells on SEM stub (NIBR IV 0000879898_2;3;4 \&5). All from the type locality: South Korea, Busan, Min-rack harbor ( $35^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 11.9^{\prime \prime N}$, $129^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 38.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), collected by the Marin Biodiversity Institute of Korea (MABIK) on 11 April 2019.

Etymology. This species is named in reference to the type locality. It is used as an adjective for a place, with the Latin suffix -ensis.

Diagnosis. Surface of the shell mostly smooth. Posterior infold of the carapace with a broad shelf. Dorsal margin rounded in both female and male. Posterior end clearly wider than anterior. Lateral eye well developed with black pigmented ommatidia. A1 8 -segmented without d-bristle on the $8^{\text {th }}$ joint. Uropodal lamellae with nine claws.

Description. Female. Shell (Fig. 2a-f) Carapace oval, broadening at posterior, greatest height near middle, carapace length 1.25 mm , height 0.94 mm . Nine small horn-like spines near dorsal margin. Posterior infold between broad list and valve margin without setae.

A1 (Fig. 3a). $1^{\text {st }}$ joint: with no hair on broad surface; longer than $2^{\text {nd }}$ joint. $2^{\text {nd }}$ joint: one spinous dorsal seta, one short lateral bristle, and three proximal dorsal spines. $3^{\text {rd }}$ joint: seven setae (one short ventral and six dorsal). $4^{\text {th }}$ joint: three bristles (one long dorsal and two short ventral bristles) and two small ventral spines at mid length. $5^{\text {th }}$ joint: sensory bristle with six filaments without short proximal terminal. $6^{\text {th }}$ joint: one medial seta, attached to bottom of $7^{\text {th }}$ joint boundary. $7^{\text {th }}$ joint: a-bristle claw-like, bare; b-seta with marginal filaments; c-seta with marginal filaments. $8^{\text {th }}$ joint: d -bristle absent; e-bristle with blunt tip; f- and g-bristles with marginal filaments.

Bellonci organ (Fig. 3b). Elongate with rounded tip, constriction at mid-point.
Eyes. Lateral eye with many ommatidia obscured by black pigment; 13 ommatidia visible. Medial eye rounded and unpigmented.

A2. Protopodite: rounded without medial bristle. Endopodite (Fig. 3c): 2-jointed with long terminal bristle. Exopodite: 9-jointed; bristle of $2^{\text {nd }}$ segment with spinules along ventral margin and spines along dorsal margin reaching $8^{\text {th }}$ joint; $3^{\text {rd }}-8^{\text {th }}$ joints with natatory
hairs and spines along proximal part of ventral margin. $9^{\text {th }}$ article: four bristles (two long natatory and two short bristles). Articles $1-8$ with minute spines at inner terminal corner.

Md (Fig. 3d). Coxale endite ventral branch with spines forming four oblique rows. Basale endite with four spinous end bristles, three triaenid bristles with three or four pairs spines excluding terminal pair. Basale dorsal margin with two long terminal setae, without mid-bristle. Exopodite with hirsute tip and two small subterminal setae, exopodite almost same length as dorsal margin of first endopodite article. Endopodite: $1^{\text {st }}$ joint: ventral margin with three bristles (one broken with short spines, one long with long marginal spines, and one shorter without spine or hair); $2^{\text {nd }}$ joint: ventral margin: with three terminal bristles; dorsal margin: with stout $\mathrm{a}-, \mathrm{b}-, \mathrm{c}-$, and d-bristles and one short bristle proximal to abristle; one long e-bristle and three medial bristles forming an oblique row between b - and c -bristles and adjacent to b-bristle; four medial bristles forming an oblique row adjacent to c-bristle; and one short bristle adjacent to d-bristle; f-bristle between c- and d-bristles, long, bare; g-bristle longer than f-bristle. End segment with a strong dorsal claw-like bristle without marginal spines, three juxtaposed stout bristles of equal length, and two thin bristles.


Figure 2. Light microscopy and scanning electron microscopy images of Parasterope busanensis sp. nov. $\mathbf{a - f}$ holotype female adult: $\mathbf{a}$ External view $\mathbf{b}$ Lateral view from left valve $\mathbf{c}$ Spines on dorsal margin d Internal view of anterior part $\mathbf{e} L 5$ ventral section $\mathbf{f}$ Internal view of posterior part $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$ paratype male adult: $\mathbf{g}$ External view $\mathbf{h}$ Lateral view from right valve $\mathbf{i}$ Internal view of left valve.


Figure 3. Parasterope busanensis sp. nov. holotype female adult: a A1 b Bellonci organ c A2 d Md e Mxl $\mathbf{f} 55 \mathbf{g}$ L7 h F. Scale bar: 0.1 mm .

Mxl (Fig. 3e). Endite I with three bristles. Endite II with three bristles. Basale: one bare proximal ventral bristle at the middle and four short distal ventral bristles. Endopodite: $1^{\text {st }}$ article absent $\alpha$-seta and one hairy $\beta$-seta; $2^{\text {nd }}$ article with two terminal bristles.

L5 (Fig. 3f). Ventral section with fan of long setae. Comb: spinous exopodite bristle over past distal end of comb, two short bristles (ventral to base of exopodite bristle)
with bases almost on ventral edge of comb, four bristles just proximal at the ventral edge of comb, without bristle to base of exopodite bristle.

L6. Unknown
L7 (Fig. 3g). Each limb with 12 bristles. Six proximal and six distal bristles (three on each side).

F (Fig. 3h). Each lamella with six claws and three posterior claws bristle-like. A total of nine claws and bristles.

Male. All features are comparable to adult female; important differences are listed below.

Shell (Fig. 2g-i). Carapace more elongate than that of female and with more open incisure, hairs forming vertical row near posterior end, carapace length 1.36 mm , height at middle 0.9 mm .

A1 (Fig. 4a). Sensory seta with robust stem and many filaments. $7^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ joints with very long c-and f-setae (long as carapace length) each with numerous of marginal filaments. $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ joints without dorsal margin spines.

A2 (Fig. 4b). Endopodite with 3-jointed, article two with two lateral setae, article three recurved with 1 proximal seta.

Md (Fig. 4c). Basale dorsal margin with one seta at mid-length. Endopodite: two relatively long bristles proximal to a-bristle.

Eyes (Fig. 4d). Lateral eye with more than 17 ommatidia. Medial eye rounded and unpigmented.


Figure 4. Parasterope busanensis sp. nov. paratype male adult: a A1 b A2 c Md d Bellonci organ. Scale bar: 0.1 mm .

Reproductive organs (Fig. 4f). Copulatory limbs are coalesced proximally, in generally, shorter than the furca lamella. The lobe is distally subdivided into three small lobes.

Remarks. The new species is closely related to P. iota Kornicker, Harrison-Nelson \& Coles, 2007, described from Kapua Channel (Waikïkï, Hawaii). The first joint of the A1 of $P$. busanensis is without hair on the broad surface, present in P. iota. In addition, the $3^{\text {rd }}-8^{\text {th }}$ joints of $P$. iota each have fairly stout proximal ventral spines, absent in P. busanensis. The distal margin of the Mxl of P. busanensis bears four bristles compared to two in $P$. iota, and three bristles (one minute) near the ventral margin rather than five in P. iota. The new species shares many characters with P. gamurru Syme \& Poore, 2006 described from Queensland, Australia (Syme and Poore 2006a), but lacks the nodes on the dorsal margin of the $5^{\text {th }}$ joint on the A1, which is a prominent character of P. gamurru. The new species also bears two oblique rows of cleaning setae on the medial side of the Md $2^{\text {nd }}$ joint, compared to only one in $P$. gamurru. The endite II of P. busanensis differs from that of P. pacifica Kornicker and Harrison-Nelson, 2005 from Johnston Atoll (Kornicker and Harrison-Nelson 2005) in having three rather than four bristles. The $3^{\text {rd }}$ joint of the Md in P. theta Kornicker, Harrison-Nelson \& Coles, 2007 from Waikikki, Hawaii (Kornicker et al. 2007). The same character is present in P. sigma Kornicker, Harrison-Nelson \& Coles, 2007 from Käneohe Bay, Hawaii (Kornicker et al. 2007), and P. zeta Kornicker, 1986 from the Gulf of Mexico (Kornicker 1986).

The sexual dimorphism found in the new species, the morphology of the dorsal mid-bristle on the basale of Md , and the number of bristles proximal to the a-bristle on the endopodite of the Md, have never been described in Parasterope.

GenBank numbers 16S: OK048681, OK048682; 18S: OK048719, OK048720.

## Parasterope sagami sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/5404E848-CCDC-4025-8E9F-B3725DCADD8A
Figs 5-7
Specimens examined. Holotype female dissected on one slide, shells on SEM stub (NIBR IV0000890541). Paratypes: one male and one female dissected on separate slides, shells on SEM stub (NIBR IV0000890542). All from the type locality: Japan, Kanagawa, Sagami Bay ( $35^{\circ} 09.420^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 139^{\circ} 36.556^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), 5 m , collected during $6^{\text {th }}$ JAMBIO project on 13 February 2015.

Etymology. The species name was chosen after the Sagami Bay, from where the species was collected. It is an adjective agreeing with female gender of the genus.

Diagnosis. Surface of the shell completely smooth. Posterior infold of the carapace with a broad shelf. Dorsal margin rounded in female and almost perpendicular in male. Lateral eye well developed with black pigmented ommatidia, smaller in female than in male. A1 8 -segmented without d-bristle on the $8^{\text {th }}$ joint. Uropodal lamellae with eight claws.

Description. Female. Shell (Fig. 5a-c). Carapace oval, broadening at posterior, greatest height near middle, carapace length 1.21 mm , height 0.83 mm . Carapace smooth and without ornamentation.


Figure 5. Light microscopy and scanning electron microscopy images of Parasterope sagami sp. nov. $\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ holotype female adult: $\mathbf{a}$ External view $\mathbf{b}$ Lateral view from right valve $\mathbf{c}$ Internal view from left valve. $\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{f}$ paratype male adult: $\mathbf{d}$ External view $\mathbf{e}$ Lateral view from right valve $\mathbf{f}$ Internal view of left valve.

A1 (Fig. 6a). $1^{\text {st }}$ joint: with no hair on broad surface. $2^{\text {nd }}$ joint: one spinous dorsal seta, no lateral bristle, and two dorsal spines on each side of dorsal margin. $3^{\text {rd }}$ joint: seven setae: one short ventral and six dorsal. $4^{\text {th }}$ joint: three setae (one long dorsal bristle and two short ventral bristle) and two small ventral spines. $5^{\text {th }}$ joint: sensory bristle with six filaments without short proximal terminal. $6^{\text {th }}$ joint: unidentified. $7^{\text {th }}$ joint: a-bristle claw-like, bare; b-seta with marginal filaments; c-seta with marginal filaments. $8^{\text {th }}$ joint: d-bristle absent; e-bristle bare, with blunt tip; f - bristle with marginal filaments; g-bristle with three filaments without proximal and marginal filament.

Bellonci orange (Fig. 7a). Elongate with rounded tip, unclear constriction.
Eyes (Fig. 7a). Lateral eye with 12 ommatidia obscured by black pigment; Medial eye rounded and pigmented.

A2 (Fig. 6b). Protopodite: rounded without medial bristle. Endopodite: 3-jointed with long terminal bristle near junction between $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ join. Exopodite: 9-jointed; bristle of $2^{\text {nd }}$ segment along ventral margin and spines along dorsal margin reaching $8^{\text {th }}$ joint; $3^{\text {rd }}-8^{\text {th }}$ joints with natatory hairs and spines along proximal part of ventral margin. $9^{\text {th }}$ article: four bristles (two long natatory and two short bristles). $1^{\text {st }}-8^{\text {th }}$ with minute spines at inner terminal corner.

Md (Fig. 6c). Coxale endite: unable to determine. Basale endite with four spinous end bristles, three triaenid bristles with three pairs spines excluding terminal pair. Basale dorsal margin with three long terminal setae, without mid-bristle. Exopodite with hirsute tip and two small subterminal setae, exopodite length $\sim 3 / 4$ of dorsal margin of first endopodite article. Endopodite: $1^{\text {st }}$ joint: ventral margin with three bristles (one


Figure 6. Parasterope sagami sp. nov. holotype female adult: a A1 b A2 c Md. Scale bar: 0.1 mm .
missing, one long with long marginal spines, and one shorter without spine or hair); $2^{\text {nd }}$ joint: ventral margin: with three terminal bristles; dorsal margin: with stout a-, b-, c-, and d-bristles and one short bristle proximal to a-bristle; e-bristle missing, two medial bristles adjacent to b-bristle; three medial bristles forming an oblique row adjacent to c-bristle; f-bristle between c- and d-bristle, long, bare; g-bristle shorter than f-bristle. End segment with a strong dorsal claw-like bristle without marginal spines, three juxtaposed stout bristles of equal length.

Mxl (Fig. 7e). Endite I with three bristles. Endite II with four bristles. Basale: one dorsal medial distal bristle, two ventral medial proximal bristles. Endopodite: $1^{\text {st }}$ with one short $\alpha$-seta and one hairy $\beta$-seta; $2^{\text {nd }}$ article with two terminal bristles.

L5 (Fig. 7f). Ventral section with fan of long setae. Comb: spinous exopodite bristhe over past distal end of comb, one bristle just proximal at the ventral edge of comb.

L6 (Fig. 7g). Anterior margin with one endite bristle. Ventral margin with two spinous anterior bristles separated by space from 13 bristles. Anterior, ventral, and posterior margins, and medial surfaces hirsute. Lateral flap spinous but without bristles.

L7 (Fig. 7h). Each limb with 12 bristles. Six proximal and six distal bristles (three on each side).

F (Fig. 7i). Each lamella with five claws and three posterior claws bristle-like. A total of eight claws and bristles.

Male. All features comparable to adult female; important differences are:
Shell (Fig. 5d-f). Carapace more elongate than that of female and, carapace length 1.65 mm , height at middle 1.02 mm .

A1 (Fig. 7b). Sensory seta with robust stem and many filaments. $7^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ joints with very long c-and f-setae (long as carapace length) each with numerous of marginal filaments. $2^{\text {nd }}$ joint with lateral bristle. $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ joints without dorsal margin spines.

Eyes. Lateral eye with 18 ommatidia obscured by black pigment.
A2 (Fig. 7c). Endopodite with three articles, article two with two lateral setae, article three recurved with one small proximal seta.

Md (Fig. 7d). Basale dorsal margin with two long terminal setae. Endopodite: $2^{\text {nd }}$ joint: dorsal margin: with stout a-, b-bristles, c-and d-claws bristle-like, and two short bristles proximal to a-bristle; e-bristle present on male. Two oblique rows between band c-bristles (a row adjacent to b-bristle with four bristles, a row adjacent to c-claw bristle-like with six bristles).

## Reproductive organs. Unknown.

Remarks. Parasterope sagami differs from all other Parasterope representatives by the combination of the following characters:

Female. Dorsal margin of the Md basale has three long terminal setae next to the exopodite, and it has no mid-bristle. In addition, the A1 g-bristle has a peculiar shape, and there is no lateral bristle on the A1 $2^{\text {nd }}$ joint;

Male. The presence of the c- and d-claws bristle-like on the Md endopodite $2^{\text {nd }}$ joint in the adult males is unique for the new species.


Figure 7. Parasterope sagami sp. nov. holotype male adult: a Bellonci organ e Mxl f L5 g L6 h L7 i F. Paratype male adult: b A1 c A2 endopodite d Md. Scale bar: 0.1 mm .

In addition to the above characters, P. sagami differs from P. busanensis and the morphology of the endite II of Mxl. Namely, the endite carries three rather than four bristles. Also, the short $\alpha$-seta on the Mxl exopodite is absent in P. busanensis.

Parasterope jenseni was also described from Sagami Sea, Japan (Poulsen 1965) and it also has no lateral bristle on the A1 $2^{\text {nd }}$ joint. It differs from P. sagami by having small lateral eyes with $\sim 10$ ommatidia; a long stem of the sensory bristle $(\sim 4 \times$ the length of the $6^{\text {th }}$ joint); and the presence of spines on the ventral margin of the protopodite of A2 (Poulsen 1965).

Parasterope obesa Poulsen, 1965 from Misaki, Japan has a lateral bristle on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ joint of A1 which is absent in the two above species; $4^{\text {th }}$ joint of the A 1 is without lateral spines on the ventral margin otherwise present in the new species; and there are more than ten bristles on the ventral edge of the comb.

GenBank numbers 16S: OK048683, OK048684; 18S: OK048721, OK048722.

## Parasterope singula sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/4C34D735-E105-40E7-83A4-67BA3BD2C9EF
Figs 8, 9
Specimens examined. Holotype female dissected on one slide, shells on SEM stub (NIBR IV 0000887835). Paratypes: two females dissected on one slide each, shells on SEM stub (NIBR IV 0000879835_2\&3). The sample was collected from the type locality: South Korea, Chuja Island by Ho Young Soh on 29 November 2012.

Etymology. The name is a Latin noun, singula, because only a female has been collected. The name is in nominative, feminine singular, agreeing in gender with the genus.

Diagnosis. Surface of the shell completely smooth. Anterior end with a deep incisure and posterior infold of the carapace with a broad shelf. Posterior end wider than anterior. Dorsal margin rounded. Lateral eye well developed with black pigmented ommatidia. Uropodal lamellae with nine claws.

Description. Female. Shell (Fig. 8). Carapace oval, broadening at posterior, greatest height near middle, carapace length 1.25 mm , height 0.9 mm . Carapace smooth and without ornamentation.

A1 (Fig. 8a). $1^{\text {st }}$ joint: with no hair on broad surface. $2^{\text {nd }}$ joint: one spinous dorsal seta, one short lateral bristle, and small proximal dorsal spines. $3^{\text {rd }}$ joint: seven setae (one short ventral and six dorsal). $4^{\text {th }}$ joint: three bristles (one long dorsal bristle and two short ventral bristles). $5^{\text {th }}$ joint: sensory bristle with six filaments without short proximal terminal. $6^{\text {th }}$ joint: one medial seta, attached to bottom of $7^{\text {th }}$ joint boundary. $7^{\text {th }}$ joint: a-bristle claw-like, bare; b-seta with marginal filaments; c-seta with marginal filaments. $8^{\text {th }}$ joint: d-bristle absent; e-bristle bare with blunt tip; f- and g-bristles with marginal filaments.

Bellonci orange (Fig. 9b). Elongate with rounded tip with unclear constriction.
Eyes. Lateral eye with 18 ommatidia.
A2 (Fig. 9c, d). Protopodite: rounded without medial bristle. Endopodite (Fig. 9c): not strongly jointed, with long terminal bristle. Exopodite (Fig. 9d): 9-jointed; bristle
of $2^{\text {nd }}$ segment along ventral margin and spines along dorsal margin reaching $8^{\text {th }}$ joint; $3^{\text {rd }}-8^{\text {th }}$ joints with natatory hairs and spines along proximal part of ventral margin. $9^{\text {th }}$ article: four bristles (two long natatory and two short bristles). $4^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ joints with minute spines at inner terminal corner.

Md (Fig. 9e-g). Coxale endite (Fig. 9e) same as that on P. busanensis. Basale (Fig. 9f): Basale endite with three spinous end bristles, four triaenid bristles with three spines excluding terminal pair, and two equal-length bare bristles. Basale dorsal margin with two long terminal setae, without mid-bristle; five rows of small spines on the broad surface near dorsal margin. Exopodite with hirsute tip and two small subterminal setae, exopodite almost same length as dorsal margin of first endopodite article. Endopodite (Fig. 9 g ): $1^{\text {st }}$ joint: ventral margin with three bristles (two long with long marginal spines, and one shorter without spine or hair); $2^{\text {nd }}$ joint: ventral margin: with three terminal bristles; dorsal margin: with stout $\mathrm{a}-$, $\mathrm{b}-$, $\mathrm{c}-$, and d-bristles and without bristle proximal to a-bristle; one long e-bristle between b-and c-bristles; four medial bristles forming an oblique row adjacent to c-bristle; f-bristle between c- and d-bristles, long, bare; g-bristle longer than f -bristle. $3^{\text {rd }}$ segment with a strong dorsal claw-like bristle without marginal spines, three juxtaposed stout bristles of equal length, and two thin bristles.
Mxl. Endite I with three bristles. Endite II with three bristles. Basale: one dorsal medial distal bristle, two ventral medial proximal bristles. Endopodite: $1^{\text {st }}$ with one short $\alpha$-seta and one hairy $\beta$-seta; $2^{\text {nd }}$ article with two terminal bristles.


Figure 8. Light microscopy and scanning electron microscopy images of Parasterope singula sp. nov. holotype female adult: $\mathbf{a}$ Lateral view from left valve $\mathbf{b}$ Internal view from left valve $\mathbf{c}$ External view.

L5 (Fig. 9h). Ventral section with fan of long setae. Comb with spinous exopodal bristle just reaching past distal end of comb, one short slender bristle just ventral to base of stout bristle, one bristle near exopodal bristle stem, and four lateral bristles set back from edge at comb mid length.

L6 (Fig. 9i). Unidentified number of endite bristle on the anterior margin. Lateral flap with hairs but no bristles. Anterior tip of skirt with two small bristles. Ventral and postero-ventral margin with 17 plumose bristles (one missing).


Figure 9. Parasterope singula sp. nov. holotype female adult: a A1 b bellonci organ c A2 endopodite d A2 exopodite $\mathbf{e}$ Md basale and coxale endite $\mathbf{f}$ Md basale endite $\mathbf{g}$ Md endopodite $\mathbf{h}$ L5iL6kL7IF. Scale bar: 0.1 mm .

L7 (Fig. 9k). Each limb with ten bristles. Four proximal and six distal bristles.
F (Fig. 9l). Each lamella with six claws plus three posterior claws bristle-like. A total of nine claws and bristles.

Male. Not collected.
Remarks. The presence of rows of small spines on the broad surface near the dorsal margin of the Md basale is a unique feature of Parasterope singula. Additionally, the number of bristles on the L7 (ten bristles: four on the terminal segment (two on each side), six on the proximal segments (three on each side) is less than in all other Parasterope representatives. The new species is similar to P. mckenziei, described from Samar Province, Philippines (McKenzie, 1970) but differs in the following characters: the Md endopodite is without a proximal bristle next to the a-bristle, a character not known in any other Parasterope, and the number of claws on UL (nine vs. seven).

The $2^{\text {nd }}$ joint of the Md endopodite in $P$. singula carries one oblique row of cleaning setae, also recorded in P. antyx Kornicker, 1989 from Bay of Biscay (Kornicker, 1989), P. gamma Kornicker, Harrison-Nelson \& Coles, 2007 from Hawaiian Islands (Kornicker et al. 2007), P. hulingsi Baker, 1978 from California (Baker 1978), P. jenseni from Sagami Sea, Japan (Poulsen 1965), P. lagunicola Hartmann, 1984 from French Polynesia (Hartmann 1984), P. mckenziei from the Philippines (Kornicker 1970), P. muelleri Skogsberg, 1920 from England (Poulsen 1965), P. prolixa Kornicker, 1975 from Australia (Kornicker 1975), P. sequex Kornicker \& Poore, 1996 from Australia (Kornicker and Poore 1996), P. styx from Chile (Kornicker 1975), and P. theta from Waikiki (Kornicker et al. 2007). The three other new species, P. busanensis, P. sagami, and P. sohi also have two oblique rows. The exopodite of the A2 in $P$. singula and $P$. sohi carries minute spines at inner terminal corner on the $5^{\text {th }}-$ $8^{\text {th }}$ joints, while these spines are present from the $1^{\text {st }}-8^{\mathrm{th}}$ joints in P. busanensis and P. sagami.

GenBank numbers 16S: OK048686, OK048687; 18S OK048723.

## Parasterope sohi sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/94451EAB-B789-4279-9CB7-585F59753729
Figs 10, 11
Specimens examined. Holotype female dissected on one slide, shells on SEM stub (NIBR IV 0000887834). The sample was collected from the type locality: South Korea, Maemul Island, $34^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 00.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 128^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 54.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, by Ho Young Soh on $25^{\text {th }}$ July 2011.

Etymology. The species named after Prof. Ho-young Soh (Faculty of Marin Technology, Chonam National University, Jeonnam, Korea), whom we are greatly indebted to for collecting and providing samples for this publication.

Diagnosis. Carapace elongated. Surface of the shell completely smooth. Posterior end with a short, rounded incisure, while the anterior has relatively deep incisure. Dorsal and ventral margins rounded. Posterior end wider than anterior. Lateral eye well developed with black pigmented ommatidia.

Description. Female. Shell (Fig. 10). Carapace oval, broadening at posterior, greatest height near middle, carapace length 1.48 mm , height 0.98 mm . Carapace smooth and without ornamentation.


Figure 10. Light microscopy and scanning electron microscopy images of Parasterope sohi sp. nov. holotype female adult: a External view $\mathbf{b}$ Lateral view from left valve $\mathbf{c}$ Anterior of left valve from inside showing bristles of infold.

A1 (Fig. 11a). $1^{\text {st }}$ joint: with no hair on broad surface and longer than $2^{\text {nd }}$ joint. $2^{\text {nd }}$ joint without spines along dorsal or ventral margin, one spinous dorsal seta, one short lateral bristle. $3^{\text {rd }}$ joint: seven setae (one short ventral and six dorsal). $4^{\text {th }}$ joint: three bristles (one long dorsal and two short ventral bristles). $5^{\text {th }}$ joint: sensory bristle with six filaments without short proximal terminal. $6^{\text {th }}$ joint: one medial seta, attached to bottom of $7^{\text {th }}$ joint boundary. $7^{\text {th }}$ joint: a-bristle claw-like, bare; b-seta with marginal filaments; c-seta with marginal filaments. $8^{\text {th }}$ joint: d-bristle absent; e-bristle bare, with blunt tip; f- and g-bristles with marginal filaments.

Bellonci orange (Fig. 11b). Elongate with rounded tip with unclear constriction.
Eyes. Lateral eye with 15 ommatidia.
A2 (Fig. 11c, d). Protopodite: rounded. Endopodite (Fig. 11c): 2-jointed, with long terminal bristle, $\sim 1 / 3$ of stem length. Exopodite (Fig. 11d): 9-jointed; bristle of $2^{\text {nd }}$ segment along ventral margin and spines along dorsal margin reaching $8^{\text {th }}$ joint; $3^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ joints with natatory hairs and spines along proximal part of ventral margin. $9^{\text {th }}$ article: four bristles (two long natatory and two short bristles). $5^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ joints with minute spines at inner terminal corner.

Md (Fig. 11e, f). Coxale endite same as that on $P$. busanensis and P. singula. Basal endite (Fig. 11e) with six spinous end bristles and one triaenid bristle with three spines excluding terminal pair. Basale dorsal margin with two long terminal setae, without
mid-bristle. Exopodite with spine-like tip and small subterminal setae, exopodite just reaching past end of dorsal margin of the $1^{\text {st }}$ endopodite article. Endopodite (Fig. 11f): $1^{\text {st }}$ joint: ventral margin with three long bristles with long marginal spines; $2^{\text {nd }}$ joint: ventral margin: with three terminal bristles; dorsal margin: with stout $a-, b-, c-$, and d-bristles and with one short bristle proximal to a-bristle (missing on drawing line figure); one long e-bristle between b- and c-bristles; four medial cleaning bristles forming an oblique adjacent to b-bristle; five medial bristles forming an oblique row adjacent to c-bristle; f-bristle between c- and d-bristles, long, bare; g-bristle longer than f-bristle. $3^{\text {rd }}$ segment with a strong dorsal claw-like bristle without marginal spines, three juxtaposed stout bristles of equal length, and two thin bristles.


Figure II. Parasterope sohi sp. nov. holotype female adult: a A1 b bellonci organe c A2 endopodite d A2 exopodite $\mathbf{e}$ Md basale endite $\mathbf{f}$ Md endopodite. Scale bar: 0.1 mm .
Mxl. Endite unknown. Basale: one dorsal medial distal bristle. Endopodite: $1^{\text {st }}$ with one short $\alpha$-seta and one hairy $\beta$-seta; $2^{\text {nd }}$ article with two terminal bristles.

L5. Unknown.
L6. Unknown.
L7. Unknown.
F. Unknown.

Male. Not collected.
Remarks. The new species Parasterope sohi sp. nov. is poorly known because of the missing L5, L6, L7, and furca but we propose a new name because of the presence of the combination of the following characters: A1 sensory bristle carry $0+6$ filaments; exopodite of Md is unusually long; and the Md endopodite has the e-bristle. In majority of Parasterope described so far, the mandible exopodite is at most longer than $1 / 2$ length of the dorsal margin of the $1^{\text {st }}$ endopodite joint; in $P$. sohi the exopodite length is greater than the length of the dorsal margin of the $1^{\text {st }}$ endopodite joint. Other species with such a long exopodite include P. lagunicola from French Polynesia (Hartmann 1984), P. omega Kornicker, Harrison-Nelson \& Coles, 2007 from Hawaiian Islands (Kornicker, Harrison-Nelson and Coles 2007), and P. sohni Kornicker \& Caraion, 1974 from West Africa (Kornicker and Caraion 1974). However, the first two species have small proximal spines on A1, absent in P. sohi. The last species has a mid-bristle on the dorsal margin of the basale, absent in P. sohi. Also, P. sohi differs from another Parasterope species by having six spinous end bristles and one triaenid bristle on the endite of the Md basale. All other Parasterope species are armed with at least four triaenid bristles.

GenBank number 16S: OK048685. 18S could not be obtained.

## A checklist of species of Parasterope

A checklist of species the 49 named Parasterope, including four new species described in this study, is presented in Suppl. material 1. This is an updated species checklist published by Syme and Poore (2006b), and at the World Ostracoda Database (Brandão et al. 2022).

## Results of molecular analysis

The final alignment of the 16 S data set consisted of 33 sequences 445 base pairs long, of which 210 were constant sites ( $=47.191 \%$ of all sites), 210 were invariant (= $47.191 \%$ of all sites), 207 were parsimony informative, and 275 were distinct site patterns. The substitution model TPM2+F+I+G4 was found to be the best fit evolutionary model. Effective sample size for all the continuous parameters (posterior, prior, tree likelihood, tree height, Yule model, and birthrate) estimated by Tracer analysis was far above a recommended number (200). Phylogram is presented on the Fig. 12. The results of pairwise distance analysis between species
used in this study are shown in Suppl. material 2: Table S1. The interspecies pairwise distances between 16 S rRNA sequences belonging to Parasterope ranged between 22\% (between Parasterope sp. NW-2004 and P. sohi) and 45\% (between P. sohi and P. busanensis). The pairwise distance between Parasterope and the other Cylindroleberidinae groups varied between 0\% (with Cylindroleberis) and 59\% (with X. yamadai). Distances between genera (Suppl. material 3: Table S2) varied from 32.7\% (between Cylindroleberis and Bathyleberis) to 53.3\% (between Postasterope and Parasterope). Of all genera included in the analyses, Parasterope had the highest values of intrageneric distances of $32.2 \%$. We failed to amplify 18 S sequence of P. sohi, however, distance between 18 S of other Parasterope, as well as distance between Cylindroleberidinae genera, for which data are available, are presented in the Suppl. material 4: Table S3. Distances between genera were less than $4 \%$ (in the range between $0.3 \%$ and $3.7 \%$ ). Similar values were calculated for the intrageneric distances (from $1.0 \%$ to $4.0 \%$ ). Interspecies pairwise distances between 18 s sequence are shown in the Suppl. material 5: Table S4. The highest value was $4 \%$ between Cylindoleberis sp. J57069 and C. marranyin.


Figure 12. Bayesian inference cladogram of the Cylindroleberidinae subfamily based on 16 S rRNA sequences. Numbers at nodes represent posterior probability.

The resulting phylogenetic tree based on the newly obtained sequences and those belonging to the subfamily Cylindroleberidinae reconstructed based on the 16 S alignment (Fig. 12) suggests that Parasterope is not a monophyletic taxon, as it clusters with several Cylindroleberis sequences, downloaded from GenBank. Parasterope sequences, Cylindroleberis sequences, and one unidentified sequence from GenBank form a clade separat from the rest of the included genera. Two of the species described from Korea, P. busanensis and P. singula form a monlophyletic unit together with two undescribed Cylindroleberis and one Parasterope species downloaded from GenBank. This branch received a high posterior probability support of 1 . The Japanese species, P. sagami clusters with one GenBank sequences attributed to an unidentified Cylindroleberididae taxon, and the branch has a high posterior probability support. The third Korean species, $P$. sohi clustered with P. gamurru and the new Japanese species, but this branch did not receive a high posterior probability support.

## Discussion

The unusual clustering of Parasterope and several unidentified Cylindroleberididae and Cylindroleberis on the phylogenetic tree, assigned to other genera, should be considered as misidentification and/or contaminations, and not an indication of polyphyletic nature of Parasterope. This can be supported by the results from previous, more complex, phylogenetic analyses of the entire family Cylindroleberididae (Syme and Oakley 2012; Pham et al. 2021) where the same GenBank sequences have been used, but they did not cluster with Parasterope sequences.

Due to a very limited number of available sequences attributed to the subfamily Cylindroleberidinae ( 27 in total) our phylogenetic tree included only 18 species belonging to seven genera. This can be considered a very poor sample, since the subfamily contains ~ 200 species belonging to 23 genera (see Pham et al. 2021; Brandão et al. 2022). Therefore, the interpretation of our results is very limited. However, it should be noted that only two out of three newly described Korean species form a monophyletic unit, while the third species, P. busanensis clusters with the Japanese species P. sagami, albeit with almost no support.

The results of pairwise distance analysis show a fast evolutionary rate of 16 S rRNA, in contrary to 18 S rRNA. Calculated pairwise distances between 18 s sequences were very similar to those of other for Cylindroleberidae, Cypridinidae, and Philomedidae published by Pham et al. (2020). These results indicate that 18 S gene marker does not provide enough resolution for the reconstruction of phylogenetic relationships below the subfamily level. The pairwise distance values of this genetic marker between genera in the subfamily Cylindroleberidinae mainly ranges from 1 to $2 \%$, and even < $1 \%$ in the case of Synasterope and Postasterope ( $0.2 \%$ ), indicating that 18 S is ineffective genetic tools to construct a phylogenetic tree at a lower taxonomic level (below family) of this target taxa. From these results and those published in previous work (Pham et al. 2020; Pham et al. 2021), we recommend using a combination of fast-evolving gene markers (COI, 16S) and highly-conservative gene markers (18S and 28S) for
constructing a phylogenetic tree for the Myodocopida group rather than analysis based on a single marker.

In the Syme and Oakley (2012) study where molecular and morphological data for the entire family Cylindroleberididae were combined to study phylogenetic relationships, Parasterope was polyphyletic. This may be due to the fact that molecular data set was smaller than morphological data set for all included genera. Nevertheless, it is very likely that the new genetic data will change current view on the phylogeny of this diverse genus, and its position within the subfamily.

In terms of biodiversity, our results are important as they provide new data for the marine fauna of Korea and Japan. They also point out that samples taken from areas where human impact on ecosystems is high due to dense population and industrial development can yield new species, and enrich our knowledge on the biodiversity of the planet.
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#### Abstract

A list of 34 thomisid species belonging to 21 genera collected in Jangxi Province of China is provided. Five new species are described: Angaeus xieluae Liu, sp. nov. ( ${ }^{\top}$ ) + ), Lysiteles subspirellus Liu, sp. nov. (审), Oxytate mucunica Liu, sp. nov. (q), Pharta lingxiufengica Liu, sp. nov. (q), Stephanopis xiangzhouica Liu, sp. nov. (审). A new combination is proposed: Ebelingia forcipata (Song \& Zhu, 1993) comb. nov. (ex. Ebrechtella Dahl, 1907). Previously unknown females of E. forcipata (Song \& Zhu, 1993), Oxytate bicornis Liu, Liu \& Xu, 2017, and Xysticus lesserti Schenkel, 1963 are described for the first time. Stephanopis O Pickard-Cambridge, 1869, a genus previously known from Australasia and South America, is recorded from the Asian mainland for the first time.


## Keywords

Aranei, biodiversity, distribution, East Asia, new record, new species, taxonomy

## Introduction

Thomisidae Sundevall, 1833, commonly known as crab spiders, is the seventh largest spider family with a global distribution, comprising 2154 extant species belonging to 171 genera (WSC 2022). More than half of the Thomisidae species are known from a single sex: 752 of these were described from females and 337 from males (WSC 2022). More than fifty species were described from juveniles (WSC 2022).

The family has never been globally revised, but regional revisions have been made in, e.g., Canada (Dondale and Redner 1978), Japan (Ono 2009), and China (Song et al. 1999), etc. In the past ten years, many efforts were made to review or re-assign species described or recorded from China. Although there are several recent publications dealing with revisions, re-assignments of species, and descriptions of unknown sexes of Chinese crab spiders, there are still many species requiring study.

Thomisids in China are relatively well studied due to the revisions by Song et al. (1999) and Tang and $\operatorname{Li}(2010 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$. Currently, 306 species belonging to 51 genera are known from this country (Li 2020; WSC 2022). Level of knowledge is uneven in different provinces. Numbers of species known per province varies from north (Heilongjiang, $n=10$ ) to south (Hainan, $n=47$ ). One of the poorly studied provinces is Jiangxi, with only eight known species ( Li and Lin 2016). To fill this gap, we studied material collected from the Jinggang Mountain National Nature Reserve in Jiangxi Province of China.

The aims of the present paper are (1) to report findings of 34 species belonging to 21 genera, (2) to provide detailed descriptions of five new species, (3) to provide descriptions of previously unknown females of three species, (4) to propose a new combination, and (5) to provide the first record of the genus Stephanopis from Asian mainland.

## Materials and methods

More than 300 adult specimens belonging to 34 species from 21 genera were collected from Jinggang Reserve. Specimens were examined using a Zeiss Stereo Discovery V12 stereomicroscope with a Zoom Microscope System. Both male palps and female copulatory organs were detached and examined in $75 \%$ ethanol, using a Zeiss Axio Scope A1 compound microscope with a KUY NICE CCD. For SEM photographs, specimens were dried under natural conditions and photographed with a ZEISS EVO LS15 scanning electron microscope. The epigynes were cleared in pancreatin. Specimens including detached male palps and epigynes were stored in $80 \%$ ethanol after examination. All the specimens treated in this work are deposited in the Animal Specimen Museum, Life Science of College, Jinggangshan University (ASM-JGSU).

Measurements were taken with the AxioVision software (SE64 Rel. 4.8.3) and are given in millimetres. Terminology of the male and female copulatory organs follows

Benjamin (2011), Ramírez (2014), and Machado et al. (2019). Promarginal and retromarginal teeth on the chelicerae are given as the first, second, third, etc., and measured from the base of the fang to the distal groove.

Leg measurements are given as total length (femur, patella, tibia, metatarsus, tarsus). The abbreviations used in the text are:

## Eyes

| ALE | anterior lateral eye; | PLE | posterior lateral eye; |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AME | anterior median eye; | PME | posterior median eye. |
| MOA | median ocular area; |  |  |

## Leg segments

| Fe | femur; | $\mathbf{P t}$ | Patella; | Ti | tibia. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mt | metatarsus; | Ta | tarsus; |  |  |

## Spination

| $\mathbf{d}$ | dorsal; | $\mathbf{r}$ | retrolateral; |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{p}$ | prolateral; | $\mathbf{v}$ | ventral. |

## Male palp

| Mac | macroseta; | RTA | retrolateral tibial apophysis; |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BTA | basal tegular apophysis; | RTP | ridge-shaped tegular process; |
| C | conductor; | SD | sperm duct; |
| E | embolus; | T | tegulum; |
| Eb | base of the embolus; | TR | tegular ridge; |
| Gr | embolic groove; | Tt | tutaculum; |
| MA | median apophysis; | VTA | ventral tibial apophysis. |

## Epigyne

| AH | anterior hood; | P | lateral pocket; |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| At | atrium; | Se | septum; |
| CD | copulatory duct; | SP | spermatheca; |
| CO | copulatory openings; | SS | septal stem; |
| ET | epigynal teeth; | TrR | transverse ridge of copula- |
| FD | fertilisation duct; |  | tory opening. |
| GA | glandular appendage; |  |  |
| MS | membranous sac; |  |  |

## Taxonomic survey

## Family Thomisidae Sundevall, 1833

The known crab spider fauna of Jiangxi Province is complemented by 31 additional species belonging to 15 genera and now numbers 38 species in 21 genera. The full list of thomisid spiders recorded in this province is presented in Table 1, which follows the taxonomic accounts.

## Genus Angaeus Thorell, 1881

Comments. This genus includes 11 species, mainly distributed in tropical Asia (India, Malaysia (Borneo), Myanmar, Vietnam, Singapore, and Indonesia) (WSC 2022). More than half of these species are recorded from China and have been revised by Benjamin (2013). It is worth mentioning that the female of the type species, Angaeus pudicus Thorell, 1881, remains unknown.

## Angaeus xieluae Liu, sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/EADDA1BA-DD6D-4A83-9945-50116A0A90BD
Figs 1-3
Material examined. Holotype: $\AA^{\lambda}$, China: Jiangxi Province, Ji'an City, Jinggangshan County Level City, Jinggang Mountain National Nature Reserve, Eling Town, Tangnan Village, $26^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 8.4^{\prime \prime N}$, $114^{\circ} 7^{\prime} 51.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 289 \mathrm{~m}, 3 . \mathrm{X} .2015$, K. Liu et al. leg. Paratypes: 1 ㅇ, Longshi Town, Huishi Park, $26^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 32.4^{\prime \prime N}, 113^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 49.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 242 \mathrm{~m}, 2 . \mathrm{V} .2015$, K. Liu et al. leg.; $1 \delta^{\top}$, Eling Town, Shenyuan Village, $26^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 26.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 114^{\circ} 7^{\prime} 44.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, 277 m, 28.V.2015, K. Liu et al. leg.; 1 §', Huangao Town, Zhongqiuba, 4.IV.2015, K. Liu et al. leg.

Etymology. The specific name is a matronym in honour of Miss Xie Lu from the College of Life Science, Jinggangshan University, who helped us in Longshi Town, where the paratype of the new species was collected.

Diagnosis. The male of the new species resembles those of $A$. rhombifer Thorell, 1890, widely distributed in South-East Asia, in having embolus $(E)$ with widened tip and retrolateral tibial apophysis $(R T A)$ as long as tibia but can be distinguished from it by having embolus with bill-shaped tip and widened base and the median apophysis $(M A)$ shifted retrolaterally (vs. embolus with narrow base and the median apophysis starting from the centre of the tegulum) (cf. Figs 1D, 2B, C and Benjamin 2013: fig. 2A). The female of $A$. xieluae sp. nov. also resembles that of $A$. rhombifer in having elongated comma-shaped spermathecae (SP) but can be separated from the latter by reduced septal stem $(S S)$ and arcuate anterior hood $(A H)$ spaced from spermathecae (vs. well-developed septal stem and horizontal anterior hood adjoining to spermathecae) (cf. Fig. 3C, D and Benjamin 2013: fig. 2D, E).


Figure I. Angaeus xieluae sp. nov., male holotype. A habitus, dorsal view B same, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ palp, prolateral view $\mathbf{D}$ same, ventral view $\mathbf{E}$ same, retrolateral view. Abbreviations: C - conductor, E - embolus, Eb - base of the embolus, MA - median apophysis, RTA - retrolateral tibial apophysis, SD - sperm duct. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}), 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{E})$.


Figure 2. SEM micrographs of Angaeus xieluae sp. nov. male palp (holotype). A prolatero-ventral view B ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ same, details of bulb $\mathbf{D}$ same, details of retrolateral tibial apophysis $\mathbf{E}$ retrolateral view F retrolateral view, slightly dorsal $\mathbf{G}$ same, details of embolus. Abbreviations: C - conductor, E-embolus, Eb - base of the embolus, Gr - groove, MA - median apophysis, RTA - retrolateral tibial apophysis. Scale bars: $0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}), 20 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{G})$.

Description. Male (bolotype). Habitus as in Fig. 1A, B. Total length 6.22. Carapace: 2.70 long, 3.11 wide, anteriorly narrowed to 1.6 , with abundant fluffy setae. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.09 , ALE 0.22 , PME 0.11 , PLE 0.19 , AMEAME 0.16, AME-ALE 0.14, PME-PME 0.28, PME-PLE 0.28, AME-PME 0.29, AME-PLE 0.54, ALE-ALE 0.64, PLE-PLE 1.0, ALE-PLE 0.26; MOA 0.45 long, front width 0.33 , back width 0.51 . Sternum (Fig. 1B) oval, with notch anteromedially. Abdomen (Fig. 1A, B): 3.58 long, 3.67 wide. Leg measurements: I 13.33 (4.22, 1.58,


Figure 3. Angaeus xieluae sp. nov., female paratype. A habitus, dorsal view $\mathbf{B}$ same, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ epigyne, ventral view $\mathbf{D}$ same, dorsal view. Abbreviations: AH - anterior hood, At - atrium, CD - copulatory duct, CO - copulatory opening, ET - epigynal teeth, FD - fertilisation duct, SP - spermatheca, SS - septal stem. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}), 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$.
3.88, 2.44, 1.21); II 13.56 (4.34, 1.59, 4.11, 2.38, 1.14); III 5.99 (1.86, 0.83, 1.48, 1.06, 0.76); IV 6.82 (2.15, 0.94, 1.57, 1.43, 0.73). Leg spination: I Fe: d4, p5, r4; Ti: p2, r2, v8; Mt: p2, r2, v6; II Fe: d10; Ti: p2, r2, v7; Mt: p3, r3, v4; III Fe: d2, p2, r2; Ti: p2, r1, v2; Mt: d2, v4; IV: Fe: d2; Ti: p2, r1, v2; Mt: d2, v2.

Colouration. Carapace reddish brown. Chelicerae dark brown. Endites and labium reddish brown. Sternum yellow. Legs yellow brown, with several dark spots near bases of setae; legs III and IV paler, with pale and dark colours. Abdomen reddish brown, medially with arch-shaped dark mark.

Palp (Figs 1C-E, 2). Femur $2 \times$ longer than patella. Patella slightly shorter than tibia. Retrolateral tibial apophysis $(R T A)$ large, as long as tibia, with thick and swollen base and ventral tibial apophysis, apex of RTA gradually pointed, directed dorsally. Cymbium drop-shaped, $2 \times$ longer than wide. Tegulum oval, median apophysis ( $M A$ ) spoon-shaped, extending from subcentre to 1 o'clock position, with a small hook-like apex. Sperm duct (SD) U-shaped, gradually tapering, arising at 1 o'clock position. Conductor ( $C$ ) partly hidden by embolus, located close to the apex of median apophysis. Base of the embolus $(E b)-3 \times$ wider than the median apophysis. Embolus with clear sperm groove ( $G r$ ).

Female. Habitus as in Fig. 3A, B. Total length 8.07. Carapace: 3.56 long, 3.51 wide, anteriorly narrowed to $0.6 \times$ of its maximum width. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.08, ALE 0.19, PME 0.11, PLE 0.18, AME-AME 0.25, AME-ALE 0.15, PME-PME 0.29, PME-PLE 0.30, AME-PME 0.34, AME-PLE 0.52, ALE-ALE 0.71 , PLE-PLE 1.00 , ALE-PLE 0.23 . MOA 0.56 long, front width 0.39 , back width 0.52 . Abdomen (Fig.3A, B): 4.51 long, 4.16 wide, with abundant depressed patches. Leg measurements: I 11.99 (3.70, 1.42, 3.53, 2.20, 1.14); II 11.95 (3.78, 1.48, 3.44, 2.20, 1.05); III 5.81 ( $1.87,0.78,1.36,1.10,0.7$ ); IV 6.74 (2.16, $0.86,1.63,1.34$, 0.75). Leg spination: I Fe: d6, p1; Ti: p2, r2, v8; Mt: p3, r3, v4; II Fe: d6; Ti: p1, v2; Mt: p3, r3, v4; III Fe: d2; Ti: p1, v2; Mt: d2, p1, v4; IV: Fe: d2; Ti: p1, r1, v2; Mt: d2, p1, r1, v2.

Colouration as in Fig. 3A, B. Carapace reddish brown. Chelicerae dark brown. Endites and labium reddish brown. Sternum reddish brown. Legs yellow brown, with several dark spots near bases of setae; legs III and IV paler, with pale and dark colours. Abdomen yellow brown, subposteriorly with arch-shaped dark mark.

Epigyne (Fig. 3C, D). Anterior hood $(A H)$ arcuate. Atrium $(A t)$ oval, $1.5 \times$ wider than long, with several transverse wrinkles. Copulatory openings $(C O)$ clearly visible, located within posteromedian part of the atrium. Epigynal teeth $(E T)$ robust and blunt, inclined posteriorly. Septal stem (SS) round and convex. Spermathecae (SP) 2.5 $\times$ longer than wide, with several distinct constrictions, separated in the anterior part by $1.5 \times$ their width and closely spaced in the posterior part. Copulatory ducts ( $C D$ ) shorter than the spermathecae width. Fertilisation ducts (FD) nearly as long as 1/2 spermathecal length.

Distribution. Known only from the type locality in Jiangxi Province of China (Fig. 17).

## Genus Ebelingia Lehtinen, 2004

Comments. This genus includes only two species, both from East Asia (WSC 2022).

## Ebelingia forcipata (Song \& Zhu, 1993), comb. nov.

Figs 4-6
Misumenops forcipatus Song \& Zhu, in Song, Zhu \& Li, 1993: 879, fig. 50A-C (ふ); Song and Zhu 1997: 139, fig. 99A-C (ठ); Song et al. 1999: 482, fig. 279H (ठ). Ebrechtella forcipata: Lehtinen 2004: 165.

Material examined. China: Jiangxi Province, Ji'an City, Jinggangshan County Level City, Jinggang Mountain National Nature Reserve: $1 \delta^{\top}$ : Luofu Town, Changguling Forest Farm, $26^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 114^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 583 \mathrm{~m}, 5 . X .2014$, K. Liu et al. leg.; 1 Q, Luofu Town, Pingtou Village, Changguling Forest Farm, road side, $26^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime N}$ N, $114^{\circ} 1^{\prime} 2.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 438 \mathrm{~m}, 5 . X .2014$, K. Liu et al. leg.; $2 \delta^{\top}$, Ciping Town, Xingzhou Village, Baimukeng, $26^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 4.8^{\prime \prime N}$, $114^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 9.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 669 \mathrm{~m}, 3 . X .2014$, K. Liu et al. leg.; 1 , Ciping Town, Xiazhuang Village, Zhushachong Forest Farm, $26^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 7.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $114^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 27.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 683 \mathrm{~m}, 4 . X .2014$, K. Liu et al. leg.; 2 \& , Luofu Town, Pingtou Village, Tea forest, $26^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 14.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 114^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 419 \mathrm{~m}, 5 . X .2014$, K. Liu et al. leg.; 1 Q, Huang'ao Town, Shantang Group, $26^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 22.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 114^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 55.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 315$ m, 5.X.2015, K. Liu et al. leg.

Diagnosis. The species differs from both congeners by the retrolateral tibial apophysis ( $R T A$ ) with two equally long and thick branches (vs. dorsal branch much thinner and shorter than the ventral) (cf. Figs 4E, 5B, C, E, F; Song and Zhu 1997: fig. 100F; and Logunov 1992: fig. 9) in male and by thick and swollen copulatory ducts (CD) (vs. tube-shaped) (cf. Fig. 6D; Song and Zhu 1997: fig. 100D; and Kim and Gwon 2001: fig. 34) in female.

Description. Male. Habitus as in Fig. 4A, B. Total length 2.60. Carapace: 1.35 long, 1.50 wide, with dense setae dorsally. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.07, ALE 0.11, PME 0.06, PLE 0.08, AME-AME 0.16, AME-ALE 0.16, PME-PME 0.27, PME-PLE 0.21 AME-PME 0.13, AME-PLE 0.37, ALE-ALE 0.61, PLE-PLE 0.75 , ALE-PLE 0.15 . MOA 0.24 long, front width 0.29 , back width 0.40 . Sternum (Fig. 4B) slightly wider than long, anteromedial margin procurved, lateral margins serrulate, posterior end blunt. Abdomen (Fig. 4A, B): 3.58 long, 3.67 wide, with dense setae dorsally. Leg measurements: I 7.28 (2.25, 0.83, 1.82, 1.58, 0.80); II 6.63 (2.11, $0.80,1.75,1.18,0.79)$; III 2.83 ( $0.87,0.44,0.69,0.50,0.33$ ); IV $2.80(0.87,0.38$, $0.71,0.51,0.33)$. Leg spination: I Fe: d3, p4, r2; Pa: p1, r1; Ti: d2, r3, v6; Mt: p4, r4, v4; II Fe: d4, p1; Pa: p1, r1; Ti: d2, p3, r3, v4; Mt: p4, r4, v4; III Fe: d3, p1; Pa: d1; Ti: d2, p1, r1, v2; Mt: p2, r2, v2; IV: Fe: d3, p1; Pa: d2, p1, r1; Ti: d2, p2, r1, v1; Mt: p2, r1.


Figure 4. Ebelingia forcipata (Song \& Zhu, 1993), male. A habitus, dorsal view B same, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ palp, prolateral view $\mathbf{D}$ same, ventral view $\mathbf{E}$ same, retrolateral view. Abbreviations: E-embolus, RTA - retrolateral tibial apophysis, SD - sperm duct, TR - tegular ridge, VTA - ventral tibial apophysis. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}), 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{E})$.

Colouration (Fig. 4A, B). Carapace reddish brown, medially with yellowish band. Chelicerae, endites, and labium reddish yellow. Sternum and legs yellow. Abdomen yellow, posteriorly with two pairs of irregular yellow brown stripes, posterior one larger, with several white spots.

Palp (Figs 4C-E, 5). Femur $2 \times$ longer than patella. Patella shorter than tibia. Retrolateral tibial apophysis (RTA) large, almost as long as tibia, with a broad base and


Figure 5. SEM micrographs of Ebelingia forcipata (Song \& Zhu, 1993) comb. nov., male palp. A ventral view $\mathbf{B}$ same, details of tibial apophysis $\mathbf{C}$ same, details of retrolateral tibial apophysis $\mathbf{D}$ same, details of embolus $\mathbf{E}$ retrolateral view $\mathbf{F}$ same, details of tibial apophyses. Abbreviations: E-embolus, RTA - retrolateral tibial apophysis, TR - tegular ridge, VTA - ventral tibial apophysis. Scale bars: $0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E})$, $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{F})$.
apex split into two branches. Ventral tibial apophysis (VTA) short and blunt. Cymbium oval, length/width ratio 1.7 . Tegulum almost round, with tegular ridge $(T R)$ at the 12 o'clock position. Sperm duct (SD) wide, encircles almost the whole tegulum. Embolus ( $E$ ) short, originating from ~ 11 o'clock position, free part at 12 o'clock, free part as long as ventral branch of RTA.


Figure 6. Ebelingia forcipata (Song \& Zhu, 1993), female. A habitus, dorsal view B same, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ epigyne, ventral view $\mathbf{D}$ same, dorsal view. Abbreviations: AH - anterior hood, At - atrium, CD copulatory duct, CO - copulatory opening, FD - fertilisation duct, SP - spermatheca. Scale bars: 0.5 mm (A, B), $0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$.

Female. Habitus as in Fig. 6A, B. As in male, except as noted. Total length 3.69. Carapace: 1.65 long, 1.77 wide. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.07, ALE 0.1, PME 0.05, PLE 0.10, AME-AME 0.2, AME-ALE 0.2, PME-PME 0.36, PME-PLE 0.25, AME-PME 0.23, AME-PLE 0.44, ALE-ALE 0.72, PLE-PLE 0.92, ALE-PLE 0.19. MOA 0.34 long, front width 0.32 , back width 0.48 . Abdomen (Fig. 6A, B): 2.05 long, 2.19 wide, with abundant depressed patches. Leg measurements: I 6.17 (1.99, 0.89, $1.44,1.15,0.7)$; II $6.21(2.08,0.9,1.37,1.15,0.71)$; III 2.95 ( $0.95,0.57,0.64,0.46$, 0.33); IV 3.07 (1.08, 0.41, 0.72, 0.46, 0.40). Leg spination: I missing; II Fe: d1; Ti: d2, r1, v4; Mt: p3, r3, v10; III Fe: d1; Pa: d2; Ti: d2; Mt: d1; IV: Fe: d3; Ti: d1; Mt: p2.

Colouration (Fig. 6A, B). Carapace reddish brown, medially with yellowish band. Chelicerae, endites and labium reddish yellow. Sternum and legs yellow. Abdomen, with numerous guanine spots, subposteriorly with two mottled stripes on the dorsal side, venter with few white spots.

Epigyne (Fig. 6C, D), width/length ratio $\sim 2.6$. Atrium $(A t)$ small, $3 \times$ shorter than epigynal plate, located posteriorly from bell-shaped anterior hood ( $A H$ ). Anterior hood located in centre. Copulatory openings $(C O)$ located at posterolateral part of anterior hood. Spermathecae ( $S P$ ) C-shaped, with several clearly visible constrictions, separated by more than width of anterior hood. Copulatory ducts ( $C D$ ) broad, slightly longer than wide. Fertilisation ducts (FD) short, directed anteriorly.

Distribution. China: Jiangxi and Fujian Provinces (Song et al. 1993; present data).
Note. The left leg I was lost when we reviewed the holotype after photography.
Comments. This species clearly belongs to Ebelingia due to the markedly bifurcated retrolateral tibial apophysis, short embolus, broad anterior hood, and kidneyshaped spermathecae.

## Genus Lysiteles Simon, 1895

Comments. This genus includes 60 species, mainly distributed in eastern Asia. Half of them are recorded from China, but there are still 13 species known only from females in China, and three from males. Most of them (ten species) are recorded from Yunnan Province. No species were recorded from Jiangxi Province.

## Lysiteles subspirellus Liu, sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/F49B4189-C5CC-48D4-AB90-B141DAF7745D
Fig. 7
Material examined. Holotype: $\uparrow$, China, Jiangxi Province, Ji'an City, Jinggangshan County Level City, Jinggang Mountain National Nature Reserve, Ciping Town, Dajing Village, Jingzhu Mountain, $26^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 10.8^{\prime \prime N}, 114^{\circ} 5^{\prime} 16.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 1085 \mathrm{~m}, 20 . \mathrm{XII} .2015$, K. Liu et al. leg. Paratype: 1 , same data as for holotype, $26^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 114^{\circ} 4^{\prime} 44.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, 1158 m, 13.VIII.2016, K. Liu et al. leg.

Etymology. The specific name is derived from that of a similar species, L. spirellus Tang, Yin, Peng, Ubick \& Griswold, 2008; adjective.

Diagnosis. The new species is similar to L. auriculatus Tang, Yin, Peng, Ubick \& Griswold, 2008 and L. spirellus Tang, Yin, Peng, Ubick \& Griswold, 2008 in having coiling spermathecae ( $S P$ ), but differs from them by the carapace lacking pale median band (vs. present), abdomen with three pairs of large, touching, dark brown markings (Fig. 7A) (vs. relatively narrowed and widely separated markings). The new species can be distinguished from $L$. auriculatus by copulatory ducts ( $C D$ ) located at posteromedian part of epigyne (vs. located anteriorly) (cf. Fig. 7C and Tang et al. 2008: fig. 2b, d). Finally, L. subspirellus sp. nov. differs from L. spirellus by spermathecae forming a tight coil (vs. loose coil) (cf. Fig. 7D and Tang et al. 2008: fig. 16d, f).

Description. Habitus as in Fig. 7A, B. Total length 3.64. Carapace: 1.60 long, 1.49 wide, with several long setae around eye area and sublateral part of carapace. Eye
sizes and interdistances: AME 0.12, ALE 0.19, PME 0.08, PLE 0.15, AME-AME 0.17, AME-ALE 0.17, PME-PME 0.30, PME-PLE 0.3 AME-PME 0.22, AMEPLE 0.17, ALE-ALE 0.71, PLE-PLE 0.87, ALE-PLE 0.26. MOA 0.37 long, front width 0.37 , back width 0.47 . Chelicerae with two promarginal (proximal larger, distal very small, nearly $1 / 3 \times$ size of proximal one) and one (very small) retromarginal tooth. Sternum (Fig. 7B) longer than wide, anteromedial margin procurved, lateral margins serrulate, intercoxal triangles long, almost joining carapace, posterior end arch-shaped. Abdomen (Fig. 7A, B): 2.10 long, 1.81 wide, with abundant slender setae dorsally. Leg measurements: I $5.34(1.62,0.60,1.35,1.14,0.63)$; II $5.52(1.67,0.67,1.52,1.02$, 0.64 ); III 3.71 ( $1.18,0.44,0.97,0.64,0.48$ ); IV 3.63 ( $1.19,0.38,0.88,0.76,0.42$ ). Leg spination: I Fe: d2, p4; Pa: d1; Ti: d2, p4, r3, v4; Mt: p3, r3, v6; II Fe: d3, p1; Pa: d2, p1, r1; Ti: d1, p3, r2, v3; Mt: p3, r3, v4; III Fe: d3; Pa: d1; Ti: d2, p2, r1, v1; Mt: p2, r2, v1; IV: Fe: d2; Pa: d2; Ti: d3, p2, r2, v1; Mt: d2, p1, r1, v1.


Figure 7. Lysiteles subspirellus sp. nov., female. A habitus, dorsal view B same, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ epigyne, ventral view $\mathbf{D}$ same, dorsal view. Abbreviations: At - atrium, CD - copulatory duct, CO - copulatory opening, FD - fertilisation duct, Se -septum, SP - spermatheca. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$, $0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$.

Colouration (Fig. 7A, B). Carapace reddish brown. Chelicerae, endites, and sternum reddish brown. Labium dark reddish brown. Abdomen pale white, with three pairs of large dark brown stripes, anterior one irregular, others transverse, medially with paired white guanine spots, posteriorly with a semi-oval dark brown stripe; venter with two rows of yellow spots medially.

Epigyne (Fig. 7C, D). Epigyne $1.8 \times$ wider than long. Anteromedian part with septum $(S e)$ dividing atrium $(A t)$ into two oval parts. Copulatory openings $(C O)$ located at posterior part of the fovea. Copulatory ducts $(C D)$ almost straight, same length as spermathecal width. Spermathecae $(S P)$ anticlockwise coiled, forming one full turn. Fertilisation duct $(F D)$ shorter than spermathecal wide, directed anteriorly.

Male. unknown.
Comments. At present, L. digitatus Zhang, Zhu \& Tso, 2006, L. distortus Tang, Yin, Peng, Ubick \& Griswold, 2008, and L. torsivus Zhang, Zhu \& Tso, 2006 are known only from the males in mainland China; therefore, the new species may be conspecific with one of these three species.

Distribution. Known only from the type locality in Jiangxi Province of China (Fig. 17).

## Genus Oxytate L. Koch, 1878

Comments. This genus includes 28 species distributed mainly in Asia and Africa. Half of them have been recorded from China, mainly in Yunnan and Guangxi provinces (Li and Lin 2016), but two species are known only from females and three from males.

## Oxytate bicornis Liu, Liu \& Xu, 2017

Figs 8, 9
Oxytate bicornis Liu, Liu \& Xu, 2017: 194, figs 1A-D, 2A-C ( ${ }^{\top}$ ).
Material examined. China: holotype $\begin{gathered} \\ \\ \text {, Jiangxi Province, Ji'an City, Jinggangshan }\end{gathered}$ County Level City, Jinggang Mountain National Nature Reserve, Ciping Town, Dajing village, $26.566^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 114.125^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 922 \mathrm{~m}, 13 . \mathrm{VII} .2014$, K. Liu et al. leg.; 2 , other data as in holotype; 1 , Longshi Town, Yuankou Village, $26^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 31.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $113^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 10.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 265 \mathrm{~m}$, 1.V.2015, K. Liu et al. leg.; 1 Q, Huang'ao Town, Shantang Group, $26^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 26.4^{\prime \prime N}, 114^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 58.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 306 \mathrm{~m}$, 5.IV.2015, K. Liu et al. leg.

Diagnosis. Female of this species similar to that of O. bhutanica Ono, 2001 and $O$. mucunica sp. nov. in having vulva with M -shaped pattern formed by copulatory ducts $(C D)$ and spermathecae $(S P)$, but differs from both species by the copulatory openings ( $C O$ ) oriented outwards (vs. inwards or anteriorly) and copulatory ducts ( $C D$ ) $2 \times$ thinner than spermathecae (SP) (vs. equal in size) (cf. Fig. 8C, D vs. Fig. 10C, D and Ono 2001: figs 4, 5). Male of O. bicornis resembles those of O. bhutanica in having spine-like embolus ( $E$ ) and moderately long retrolateral tibial apophysis ( $R T A$ ),


Figure 8. Oxytate bicornis Liu, Liu \& Xu, 2017, female. A habitus, dorsal view B same, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ epigyne, ventral view $\mathbf{D}$ same, dorsal view. Abbreviations: $C D$ - copulatory duct, CO - copulatory opening, FD - fertilisation duct, P - pocket, SP - spermatheca. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}), 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$.
reaching to the middle of the cymbium but can be distinguished by the bifurcated retrolateral tibial apophysis (vs. horn-like) (cf. Fig. 9B-D and Ono 2001: figs 2, 3).

Description. Female. Habitus as in Fig. 8A, B. Total length 5.77. Carapace: 2.09 long, 2.02 wide. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.08, ALE 0.11, PME 0.08, PLE 0.1, AME-AME 0.22, AME-ALE 0.16, PME-PME 0.22, PME-PLE 0.38, AMEPME 0.29, AME-PLE 0.58, ALE-ALE 0.66, PLE-PLE 1.08, ALE-PLE 0.31. MOA 0.42 long, front width 0.36 , back width 0.38 . Endites more than $2 \times$ longer than wide. Sternum as in Fig. 8B with arch-shaped posterior end. Abdomen (Fig. 8A, B): 3.92


Figure 9. Oxytate bicornis Liu, Liu \& Xu, 2017, male. A habitus, dorsal view B palp, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ same, prolateral view $\mathbf{D}$ same, retrolateral view. Abbreviations: E - embolus, RTA - retrolateral tibial apophysis, SD - sperm duct, TR - tegular ridge, VTA - ventral tibial apophysis. Scale bars: $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A})$, 0.5 mm (B-D).
long, 1.11 wide, with regular transverse rows of strong spines on posterior part. Leg measurements: I $7.98(2.45,1.15,2.15,1.58,0.65)$; II $7.79(2.41,1.10,2.06,1.50$, 0.72 ); III 4.65 ( $1.39,0.68,1.27,0.84,0.47$ ); IV 4.95 ( $1.76,0.50,1.25,0.97,0.47$ ). Leg spination: I Fe: d4, p4, r1; Pa: d2, p1, r1; Ti: d2, p3, r3, v8; Mt: p2, r2, v6; II Fe: d4, p1, r1; Pa: d2, p1, r1; Ti: d3, p3, r3, v6; Mt: p2, r2, v6; III Fe: d2, p1; Pa: d2, p1; Ti: d1, p2, r1, v2; Met: p2, r2, v2; IV: Fe: d2; Pa: d2, p1, r1; Ti: d3, p2; Mt: p2.

Colouration. Carapace, chelicerae, endites, sternum, legs, and abdomen yellowish.
Epigyne (Fig. 8C, D). Epigyne $2 \times$ wider than long. Copulatory openings (CO) hidden by lateral pockets $(P)$. Spermathecae $(S P)$ kidney-shaped, $2 \times$ longer than wide. Copulatory ducts ( $C D$ ) shorter than spermathecae, slightly curved, aggregative form V-shaped figure. Fertilisation ducts $(F D)$ small, poorly visible and directed laterally.

Male (Fig. 9): See Liu et al. (2017: 194).
Comments. Some females were collected in the type locality and others raised from juveniles. Newly collected females have general appearance and leg spination similar to the holotype male. Based on this, we consider them conspecific. This judgment will be confirmed or rejected in future when both sexes are collected together, simultaneously.

Distribution. Known only from Jiangxi Province, China (Fig. 17).

## Oxytate mucunica Liu, sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/55A995BC-01C4-4586-B645-67A517D3D9CE
Fig. 10
Material examined. Holotype: $\uparrow$, China, Jiangxi Province, Ji'an City, Jinggangshan City, Jinggang Mountain National Nature Reserve, Mucun Town, Guibian Village, $26^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 32.11^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 113^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 51.99^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 322 \mathrm{~m}, 31 . \mathrm{VII} .2019$, K. Liu et al. leg.

Etymology. The specific name is derived from the type locality, Mucun Town.
Diagnosis. Female of the new species is similar to those of $O$. bhutanica Ono, 2001 and O. bicornis in having vulva with M-shaped pattern formed by copulatory ducts $(C D)$ and spermathecae $(S P)$, but differ from both species by the copulatory openings oriented anteriorly (vs. outwards or inwards) (cf. Fig. 10C vs. Fig. 9C and Ono 2001: fig. 4). Additionally, O. mucunica sp. nov. differs from $O$. bicornis by the copulatory ducts being as wide as spermathecae (vs. copulatory ducts $2 \times$ thinner) (cf. Fig. 10D and Fig. 8D).

Description. Habitus as in Fig. 10A, B. Total length 11.74. Carapace: 3.67 long, 3.33 wide. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.08, ALE 0.14, PME 0.09, PLE 0.11 , AME-AME 0.14, AME-ALE 0.07, PME-PME 0.08, PME-PLE 0.20, AME-PME 0.14, AME-PLE 0.39 , ALE-ALE 0.39 PLE-PLE 0.77 , ALE-PLE 0.05 . MOA 0.52 long, front width 0.38 , back width 0.43 . Endites more than $2 \times$ longer than wide, sub-trapezoidal. Abdomen (Fig. 10A, B): 8.42 long, 2.68 wide, with abundant white guanine spots dorsally and regular transverse rows of strong spines on posterior part. Leg measurements: I 8.56 (2.69, 0.99, 2.36, 1.65, 0.87); II 8.06 (2.50, 0.97, 2.24, 1.62, 0.73); III 4.77 ( $1.41,0.60,1.34,0.90,0.52$ ); IV $4.88(1.75,0.54,1.20,0.93$, 0.46). Leg spination: I Fe: d4 p4, r1; Pa: d2, p1, r1; Ti: d2, p3, r3, v8; Mt: p2, r2, v6;

II Fe: d4, p1, r1; Pa: d2, p1, r1; Ti: d3, p3, r3, v6; Mt: p2, r2, v6; III Fe: d2, p1; Pa: d2, p1; Ti: d3, r2, v2; Mt: p2, r2, v2; IV: Fe: d2; Pa: d2, p1, r1; Ti: d2, p2; Mt: p2.

Colouration (Fig. 10A, B). Carapace, chelicerae, endites, sternum, legs, and abdomen yellowish.

Epigyne (Fig. 10C, D). Epigyne $1.5 \times$ wider than long. Pockets absent. Copulatory openings ( $C O$ ) large, as long as wide, with weakly sclerotised margins. Spermathecae (SP) cylindrical, smoothly merging into copulatory ducts. Copulatory ducts ( $C D$ ) very wide, touching each other almost the entire length. Fertilisation ducts (FD) short, directed laterally.


Figure 10. Oxytate mucunica sp. nov., female. A habitus, dorsal view B same, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ epigyne, ventral view $\mathbf{D}$ same, dorsal view. Abbreviations: $C D$ - copulatory duct, CO - copulatory opening, FD - fertilisation duct, SP - spermatheca. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}), 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$.

Male. Unknown.
Notes. While many juveniles were collected and reared in the lab, only one female reached maturity.

Comments. The new species could potentially be a synonym of one of the species known only from males and occurring in China: O. clavulata Tang, Yin \& Peng, 2008 (Yunnan) or O. placentiformis Wang, Chen \& Zhang, 2012 (Guangxi).

Distribution. Known only from the type locality in Jiangxi Province of China (Fig. 17).

## Genus Pharta Thorell, 1891

Comments. This genus includes nine species, the majority of which are distributed in Southeast Asia (WSC 2022). Four species are known to occur in China, all in Yunnan and Guizhou provinces (Li and Lin 2016). Among them, only P. tengchong (Tang, Griswold \& Yin, 2009) is known from the female.

## Pharta lingxiufengica Liu, sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/E2EB3363-9EEC-4BD7-82C4-65340A5F9CA3
Fig. 11

Material examined. Holotype: $\uparrow$, China, Jiangxi Province, Ji'an City, Jinggangshan County Level City, Jinggang Mountain National Nature Reserve, Ciping Town, Dajing Village, Lingxiufeng Scenic Spot, $26^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 16.7^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 114^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 00.56^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 971 \mathrm{~m}$, 1.X.2018, K. Liu et al. leg.

Etymology. The specific name is derived from the type locality, Lingxiufeng Scenic Spot; adjective.

Diagnosis. The female of $P$. lingxiufengica is similar to that of $P$. tangi Wang, Mi $\&$ Peng, 2016 in having well-developed transverse ridge of copulatory openings (Tr $R$ ) (= atrial intermediate margin of Wang et al. 2016) and invisible copulatory openings $(C O)$. The new species can be easily differentiated from P. tangi by the convex transverse ridge of copulatory openings (vs. concave) (cf. Fig. 11C and Wang et al. 2016: fig. 2C).

Description. Habitus as in Fig. 11A, B. Total length 3.08. Carapace: 3.67 long, 3.33 wide. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.05, ALE 0.15, PME 0.10, PLE 0.14, AME-AME 0.07, AME-ALE 0.04, PME-PME 0.12, PME-PLE 0.13, AME-PME 0.22 , AME-PLE 0.31, ALE-ALE 0.25, PLE-PLE 0.57, ALE-PLE 0.14 . MOA 0.35 long, front width 0.18 , back width 0.32 . Chelicerae with three promarginal (proximal largest, distal smallest) and three retromarginal (middle and distal with a same base, distal largest, middle smallest) teeth. Endites slightly longer than wide, anterior part broad. Labium slightly wider than long. Sternum (Fig. 11B) with numerous setae, anteromedially procurved, with subtriangular posterior end. Abdomen (Fig. 11A, B): 1.69 long, 1.63 wide, with sparse, erected setae. Leg measurements: I 4.28 (1.35, 0.51 , $1.22,0.87,0.33)$; II 4.09 ( $1.23,0.48,1.18,0.80,0.40$ ); III 2.31 ( $0.73,0.36,0.59$,
$0.38,0.25)$; IV 2.71 ( $0.93,0.33,0.64,0.55,0.26$ ). Leg spination: I Fe: d4, p2; Pa: d2; Ti: d2, v10; Mt: v8; II Fe: d1; Pa: d2; Ti: d2, v10; Mt: v8; III Fe: d3; Pa: d1; Ti: d2, p2, v2; Mt: d2, v2; IV: Fe: d1; Pa: d1, r1; Ti: d3, p1, r1; Mt: d2.

Colouration (Fig. 11A, B). Carapace yellow-brown, medially with single broad, dark brown, mottled band. Legs yellow, basis of macrosetae on legs appearing as darkish brown dots. Chelicerae, endites, sternum, legs, and abdomen reddish yellow. Abdomen with sparse white guanine spots, medially with clear inverted T-shaped dark brown marking; macrosetal bases reddish brown; venter with pairs of longitudinal, short, guanine stripes.

Epigyne (Fig. 11C, D). Epigyne oval, $1.5 \times$ wider than long, lacking hood. Copulatory openings ( $C O$ ) invisible, hidden by transverse ridge of copulatory openings


Figure II. Pharta lingxiufengica sp. nov., female holotype. A habitus, dorsal view B same, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ epigyne, ventral view $\mathbf{D}$ same, dorsal view. Abbreviations: CO - copulatory opening, FD - fertilisation duct, SP - spermatheca, Tr R - transverse ridge of copulatory openings. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$, $0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$.
(TrR). Copulatory ducts ( $C D$ ) not visible, possibly absent. Spermathecae ( $S P$ ) oval, ~ $1.4 \times$ longer than wide, anterior part of spermathecae slightly separated, posterior parts touching. Fertilisation ducts $(F D)$ as long as width of spermatheca, directed laterally.

Male. unknown.
Comments. There is only one species in the region known from the male only, P. koponeni Benjamin, 2014 (Thailand); however, it is unlikely to be conspecific with our female because of differences in colouration, and the long distance between type localities.

Distribution. Known only from the type locality in Jiangxi Province of China (Fig. 17).

## Genus Stephanopis O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1869

Comments. This genus includes 23 species (WSC 2022). Most of them are distributed in South America, Oceania, Australia, and Papua New Guinea (WSC 2022). The recent phylogenetic analyses based on a matrix of 117 morphological characters scored for 77 terminal taxa have revealed a large variation in morphology among Stephanopis species (Machado and Teixeira 2021); these taxonomic revisions have greatly contributed to a better understanding of the group. Here we report the first species from the Asian continent as well as from the entire Oriental zoogeographical realm.

## Stephanopis xiangzhouica Liu, sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/65E3AE25-DFA8-4629-9E0E-1EABD1AB4716
Figs 12, 13

Material examined. Holotype: $\mathcal{Q}$, China, Jiangxi Province, Ji’an City, Jinggangshan County Level City, Jinggang Mountain National Nature Reserve, Luofu Town, Xiangzhou Village, Fengshuping Group, $26^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 10.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 114^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 28.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 412 \mathrm{~m}$, 5.VIII.2015, leg. K. Liu et al. leg.

Etymology. The specific name refers to the type locality, Xiangzhou Village.
Diagnosis. The new species is similar to S. nigra O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1869 by having slit-like copulatory openings ( $C O$ ), but differs by lacking lateral sclerotised margins of copulatory openings (vs. lateral margins sclerotised), touching membranous sacs (vs. separated) and slightly separated spermathecae (vs. touching) (cf. Fig. 13 and Machado et al. 2019: fig. 37C, D).

Description. Female. Habitus as in Fig. 12A, B. Total length 5.48. Carapace: 2.78 long, 2.96 wide, covered with numerous strong, short, radially diverging setae and dense short plumose setae, with three rows of short strong setae along midline. Eye sizes and interdistances (Fig. 12C): AME 0.04, ALE 0.07, PME 0.05, PLE 0.06, AME-AME 0.07, AME-ALE 0.04, PME-PME 0.12, PME-PLE 0.13, AME-PME 0.22, AME-PLE 0.31, ALE-ALE 0.25, PLE-PLE 0.57, ALE-PLE 0.14. MOA 0.26 long, front width 0.13 , back width 0.24 . Chelicerae (Fig. D) with three promarginal (middle largest, distal and proximal smaller) and two retromarginal (proximal large,
distal very small) teeth, and numerous macrosetae anteriorly. Endites $2 \times$ longer than wide, ectal part without distinct constriction. Labium wider than long. Sternum (Fig. 12B) oval with short dense macrosetae. Abdomen (Fig. 12A, B, G): 2.79 long, 2.94


Figure 12. Stephanopis xiangzhouica sp. nov., female holotype. A habitus, dorsal view B same, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ cephalic part, dorsal view $\mathbf{D}$ left chelicera, mesal view $\mathbf{E}$ left leg I, prolateral view $\mathbf{F}$ patella I, prolateral view $\mathbf{G}$ abdomen, dorsal view. Scale bars: $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}), 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{F}), 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{G})$.
wide, pentagonal with pair of latero-posterior horns; dorsum covered with sparse brown clavate and small dense plumose setae. Leg measurements: I $5.52(1.78,0.82$, $1.31,1.14,0.47)$; II $4.80(1.74,0.73,1.08,0.86,0.39)$; III 3.18 ( $0.95,0.50,0.80$, $0.51,0.42)$; IV $3.30(1.24,0.46,0.74,0.50,0.36)$. Femora, patellae, and tibiae of legs I and II with dorsal outgrowths, especially long in distal parts of patellae. Spination: I Fe: d1, p4, v4; Pa: d3; Ti: d2, v8; Mt: r1, v8; II Fe: d3, v4; Pa: d2; Ti: d2, v8; Mt: v6; IV: Ti: d1, r1.

Colouration as in Fig. 12. Carapace, chelicerae, endites, and labium reddish brown. Sternum yellow. Palpal tibia with one clear round dark brown patch. Legs yellow to dark brown, with numerous dark brown patches on femora and tibiae. Abdomen reddish brown, dorsally with numerous pale brown dots, without setae on those dots.

Epigyne (Fig. 13). Epigynal plate sub-trapezoidal, $1.3 \times$ wider than long. Copulatory openings (CO) oriented horizontally separated by nearly $1 / 3$ of their width. Membranous sacs (MS) transparent, located anteriorly, covering $2 / 3$ of epigynal plate, touching each other. Glandular appendages of membranous sac $(G A)$ spherical, short, as long as 1/3-1/2 width of spermatheca $(S P)$. Spermathecae oval, slightly separated by $1 / 3$ of its width. Fertilisation ducts $(F D)$ gramineous leaf-shaped, as long as spermathecae, directed laterally.

Male. unknown.
Distribution. Known only from the type locality in Jiangxi Province of China (Fig. 17).


Figure 13. Stephanopis xiangzhouica sp. nov., female epigyne, holotype. A ventral view B dorsal view. Abbreviations: CO - copulatory opening, FD - fertilisation duct, GA - glandular appendage, MS - membranous sac, SP - spermatheca. Scale bars: 0.1 mm .

## Genus Xysticus C. L. Koch, 1835

Comments. Xysticus is one of the most diverse genera in Thomisidae with 293 named species (WSC 2022). Fifty-nine species are known from China. Of these, 19 are known only from females, and five from males. Most species are distributed in northern China and only one species, Xysticus lesserti Schenkel, 1963, is known from Guizhou Province (Li and Lin 2016).

## Xysticus lesserti Schenkel, 1963

Figs 14-16

Xysticus lesserti Schenkel, 1963: 219, fig. 124a-c (ठ); Marusik and Omelko 2014: 280, figs 15-17, 24 ( ${ }^{\top}$ ).

Material examined. China, Jiangxi Province: 1 Q, Ji’an City, Jinggangshan County Level City, Jinggang Mountain National Nature Reserve, Ciping Town, Xiazhuang Village, Zhushachong Forest Farm, $26^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 3.6^{\prime \prime N}$, $114^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 20.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 630 \mathrm{~m}, 4 . X .2014$, K. Liu et al. leg.; 1 f, Ciping Town, Tongmuling, $26^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 114^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 45.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, $780 \mathrm{~m}, ~ 2 . V I I I .2014$, Ke-ke Liu et al. leg.; 1 , Ciping Town, Xiaojing Village, $26^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 20.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 114^{\circ} 8^{\prime} 13.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 913 \mathrm{~m}, 2 . \mathrm{VIII} .2014$, K. Liu et al. leg.; 1 q, Ciping Town, Dajing Village, General of forest, $26.566^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 114.125^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 922 \mathrm{~m}, 13$.VII.2014, K. Liu et al. leg.; $1 \widehat{J}^{\top}, 1$ O, Ciping Town, Dajing Village, $26^{\circ} 33^{\prime 21.70 " N, ~}$ $114^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 20.08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 906 \mathrm{~m}, 31 . \mathrm{VII} .2019$, K. Liu et al. leg.; 1 P, Dongshang Town, Jiangshan Village, Qilichuan, 2646'18.91"N, $113^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 55.59^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 666 \mathrm{~m}, 30 . \mathrm{VII} .2019$, other data as previous; 1 q, $26^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 23.73^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 113^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 02.83^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 665 \mathrm{~m}$, K. Liu et al. leg.; $2 \delta^{\lambda}$, Longshi Town, Bashang Village, $26^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 58.29^{\prime \prime N}$, $114^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 35.34$ "E, 491 m, 29.VII.2019, K. Liu et al. leg.; 1 q, Suichuan County, Gaoping Town, Gaoping Bus Station, $26^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 49.6^{\prime \prime N}, 114^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 2.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 482 \mathrm{~m}, 1 . V I I I .2019$, K. Liu et al. leg.; 1 Q, Ciping Town, Wuzhifeng Scenic Spot, $26^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 48.23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 114^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 10.61^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 811 \mathrm{~m}$, 2.X.2018, K. Liu et al. leg.

Comments. The female of this species has remained undescribed till now and the male was confused with X. kurilenis Strand, 1907 in the past until Marusik and Omelko (2014) revealed some differences between these two species based on comparisons of the holotype of $X$. lesserti and specimens of $X$. kurilenis from the Kuril Islands. Several specimens of both sexes of $X$. lesserti were collected in the Jinggang Mountain National Nature Reserve including one pair which was in copula. Thus, collected females are considered by us as belonging to this species.

Diagnosis. The female of this species is similar to that of $X$. kurilensis in having two large oval atria $(A t)$ divided by septum $(S e)$. Female of $X$. lesserti can be differentiated from those of sibling species by touching atria (vs. not touching) (cf. Fig. 14C and Kim and Lee 2012: fig. 21-4H). The male of $X$. lesserti is also very similar to those of $X$. kurilensis, but can be distinguished by the median apophysis $(M A)$ reaching the subapex of the basal tegular apophysis $(B T A)$ in ventral view (vs. not reaching the sub-apex of the conductor) (cf. Fig. 15D and Marusik and Omelko 2014: fig. 18).

Description. Female. Habitus as in Fig. 14A, B. Total length 4.23. Carapace: 1.82 long, 1.81 wide. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.12 , ALE 0.24 , PME 0.12, PLE 0.24, AME-AME 0.48, AME-ALE 0.28, PME-PME 0.51, PME-PLE 0.56, AMEPME 0.39, AME-PLE 0.87, ALE-ALE 1.25, PLE-PLE 1.82, ALE-PLE 0.39. MOA 0.62 long, front width 0.71 , back width 0.77 . Chelicerae toothless. Abdomen (Fig. 12A, B): 2.05 long, 2.19 wide, with numerous depressed patches. Leg measurements: I 5.39 (1.71, 0.81, 1.28, 1.05, 0.54); II 5.59 (1.70, 0.87, 1.30, 1.12, 0.6); III 3.36 (1.18, $0.44,0.79,0.55,0.40)$; IV 4.03 ( $1.17,0.60,0.95,0.75,0.56$ ). Leg spination:

I Fe: d1, p4; Ti: p3, v11; Mt: p3, r2, v11; II Fe: d1; Ti: p3, r1, v10; Mt: p3, r2, v10; III Fe: d1; Ti: p2, v5; Mt: p2, r1, v4; IV: Fe: d1; Pa: d2; Ti: d1, p2, v2; Mt: p2, r1, v3.

Colouration (Fig. 14A, B). Carapace reddish brown with dark mottling, medially with broad pale yellow stripe extending from PME to posterior edge. Chelicerae, endites, and labium reddish yellow. Sternum yellow, with numerous dark brown spots. Legs: I and II darker than III and IV; femora I-IV dorsally with reddish brown stripes, other segments yellowish to dark brown, with dark brown spots. Abdomen with grey oval patten, sub-medially with two indistinct transverse whitish stripes.


Figure 14. Xysticus lesserti Schenkel, 1963, female. A habitus, dorsal view B same, ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ epigyne, ventral view $\mathbf{D}$ same, dorsal view. Abbreviations: At - atrium, $C D$ - copulatory duct, $C O$ - copulatory opening, FD - fertilisation duct, Se -septum, SP - spermatheca. Scale bars: $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}), 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$.


Figure 15. Xysticus lesserti Schenkel, 1963, male. A habitus, dorsal view B same, ventral view C palp, prolateral view $\mathbf{D}$ same, ventral view $\mathbf{E}$ same, retrolateral view. Abbreviations: BTA - basal tegular apophysis, E - embolus, MA - median apophysis, RTA - retrolateral tibial apophysis, RTP - ridge-shaped tegular process, Tt - tutaculum, VTA - ventral tibial apophysis. Scale bars: $1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}), 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{E})$.

Epigyne (Fig. 14C, D). Epigyne approximately as long as wide. Anteriorly with two atria $(A t)$ separated by the septum $(S e)$. Copulatory openings $(C O)$ located in the anterior part of atria, hidden by sclerotised anterior margins of atria. Spermathecae ( $S P$ ) kidney-shaped, with several constrictions. Fertilisation duct ( $F D$ ) short, as long as width of the spermatheca in its posterior part, directed laterally.

Male. Habitus as in Fig. 15A, B. As in female, except as noted. Total length 3.07. Carapace: 1.80 long, 1.56 wide, with a few strong setae around eye area. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.12 , ALE 0.27 , PME 0.13 , PLE 0.22 , AME-AME 0.36 , AME-ALE 0.22, PME-PME 0.39, PME-PLE 0.46, AME-PME 0.29, AME-PLE 0.71 , ALE-ALE 0.99 , PLE-PLE 1.30 , ALE-PLE 0.35 . MOA 0.51 long, front width


Figure 16. SEM micrographs of Xysticus lesserti Schenkel, 1963, male palp. A prolateral view B ventral view $\mathbf{C}$ ventral view, slightly retrolateral $\mathbf{D}$ retrolateral view. Abbreviations: BTA - basal tegular apophysis, E - embolus, MA - median apophysis, RTA - retrolateral tibial apophysis, RTP - ridge-shaped tegular process, T - tegulum, Tt - tutaculum, VTA - ventral tibial apophysis. Scale bars: 0.1 mm .


Figure 17. The location of the Jinggang Mountain National Nature Reserve in China indicated by a large black dot.

Table I. List of Thomisidae species recorded in Jinggang Mountain National Nature Reserve. Genera recorded for the first time are marked with an asterisk (*).

| Genus |
| :--- |
| Alcimochthes Simon, 1885* |
| Angaeus Thorell, 1881 * |
| Borboropactus Simon, 1884* |
| Diaea Thorell, 1869 * |
| Ebelingia Lehtinen, 2004* |
| Ebrechtella Dahl, 1907 * |
| Epidius Thorell, 1877 * |
| Indoxysticus Benjamin \& Jaleel, 2010 * |
| Lysiteles Simon, 1895 * |

Misumenops F. O. P.-Cambridge, 1900 *
Monaeses Thorell, 1869
Oxytate L. Koch, 1878

Ozyptila Simon, 1864*
Pharta Thorell, 1891 *
Smodicinodes Ono, 1993*
Stephanopis O. Pickard-Cambridge, $1869^{*}$
Strigoplus Simon, 1885*
Synema Simon, 1864
Thomisus Walckenaer, 1805 *
Tmarus Simon, 1875*
Xysticus C. L. Koch, 1835

| Species | No. of $\hat{\beta}^{\hat{O}}$ | No. of $q+$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. limbatus Simon, 1885 | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| A. liangweii (Tang \& Li, 2010) | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| A. xieluae sp. nov. | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| B. jiangyong Yin et al., 2004 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| D. subdola O. P.-Cambridge, 1885 | 8 | 2 | 10 |
| E. forcipata (Song \& Zhu, 1993) comb. nov. | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| E. pseudovatia (Schenkel, 1936) | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| E. tricuspidata (Fabricius, 1775) | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| E. gongi (Song \& Kim, 1992) | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| I. tangi Jin \& Zhang, 2012 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| L. minusculus Song \& Chai, 1990 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| L. silvanus Ono, 1980 | 10 | 55 | 65 |
| L. subspirellus sp. nov. | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| M. hunanensis Yin, Peng \& Kim, 2000 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| M. aciculus (Simon, 1903) | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| O. bicornis Liu, Liu \& Xu, 2017 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| O. forcipatus Zhang \& Yin, 1998 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| O. mucunica sp. nov. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| O. striatipes L. Koch, 1878 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| O. nipponica Ono, 1985 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| P. brevipalpus (Simon, 1903) | 23 | 19 | 42 |
| P. lingxiufengica sp. nov. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| S. hupingensis Tang, Yin \& Peng, 2004 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| S. xiangzhouica sp. nov. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| S. guizhouensis Song, 1990 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| S. zonatum Tang \& Song, 1988 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| T. labefactus Karsch, 1881 | 36 | 8 | 44 |
| T. circinalis Song \& Chai, 1990 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| T. longqicus Song \& Zhu, 1993 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| X. croceus Fox, 1937 | 26 | 8 | 34 |
| X. hedini Schenkel, 1936 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| X. kansuensis (Tang, Song \& Zhu, 1995) | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| X. kurilensis Strand, 1907 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| X. lesserti Schenkel, 1963 | 3 | 9 | 12 |

0.58 , back width 0.69 . Abdomen (Fig. 15A, B): 1.40 long, 1.43 wide, with abundant strong setae dorsally. Leg measurements: I 5.56 (1.66, $0.79,1.13,1.30,0.68$ ); II 5.36 ( $1.62,0.70,1.22,1.20,0.62$ ); III 3.36 ( $1.18,0.44,0.79,0.55,0.40$ ); IV 3.83 (1.17, 0.42, $0.94,0.79,0.51$ ). Leg spination: I Fe: d5, p3; Pa: v1; Ti: p3, r3, v10; Mt: p3, r2, v8; II Fe: d5, p3; Pa: v1; Ti: p3, r3, v10; Mt: p3, r2, v10; III Fe: d4; Pa: d2, v1; Ti: d2, p2, r2, v6; Mt: p3, r3, v4; IV: Fe: d4; Pa: d2, r1; Ti: d2, p2, r2, v6; Mt: p3, r3, v4.

Palp (Figs 15C-E, 16). Tibia with two apophysis: the retrolateral one ( $R T A$ ) triangular, shorter than tibia, the ventral one $(V T A)$ square, longer than tibia. Cymbium irregularly oval, length/width ratio $\sim 1.2$. Tutaculum ( $T t$ ) triangular, forming a canal. Median apophysis $(M A)$, strongly sclerotised, wing-shaped, its apex reaching the sub-apex of basal tegular apophysis ( $B T A$ ). Basal tegular apophysis broad and stocky. Tegulum with a ridgeshaped retrolateral process $(R T P)$. Base of the embolus $(E)$ gradually separating from the tegulum, slightly tapering during its median portion, apex embedded in tutaculum.

Distribution. China: Jiangxi (Fig. 17) and Guizhou Provinces (Schenkel 1963); Russia: Sakhalin Is., Kurile Isles (Marusik and Omelko 2014); Korea and Japan (Songand Zhu 1997).
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#### Abstract

The genus Trisiniotus Jeannel of the pselaphine tribe Batrisini comprises two species distributed in North India and southern Myanmar. Here, a third species, T. taoismus Feng \& Yin sp. nov., is described from Mao-shan, Jiangsu Province, East China. The new species can be readily distinguished from both congeners by the unmodified male antennae.
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## Introduction

In his review of the pselaphine fauna of North India, Jeannel (1960) established a number of batrisine genera that, from a modern point of view, lack adequate justification. The genus Trisiniotus Jeannel certainly falls into this category. No specific comments were provided on generic characteristics, nor was the genus compared to potentially related genera. In the key to genera (Jeannel 1960: 409), Trisiniotus was coupled with Batristhenes Jeannel by the shared absence of a median longitudinal sulcus on the
pronotum, and separated from the latter by the modified antennae and different shapes of the head and aedeagus. The absence (or loss) of a median sulcus on the pronotum appears to be homoplastic in a number of Asian batrisine genera, as pointed out by Nomura (1991: 11), and the presence of antennal modifications is a common state in numerous batrisine groups. Both characters can hardly be considered as bearing any systematic significance above the species level. The constricted basal capsule of the aedeagus of Trisiniotus may indicate, to a certain degree, close relationships with the Japanese species of Batriscenaulax Jeannel and Physomerinus Jeannel, which have the same type of the aedeagus and are separated from each other mainly by different locations of the male sexual characters (Jeannel 1958). The type species of Trisiniotus, T. nodicornis Jeannel, was reported from Dehradun in Uttarakhand, India and remained the sole member of the genus until Nomura and Aung $(2020,2021)$ recently identified Batrisus nitidulus Motschulsky (type locality: "Ind. or.") among material from southern Myanmar, and transferred the species to Trisiniotus. A third, distinct but unnamed species that has an enlarged antennomere 9 and a medially sulcate pronotum was reported by Nomura et al. (2013) from Kaeng Krachan National Park, southern Thailand. Putting aside the uncertain generic placement of Trisiniotus, both named species are easily recognizable by the swollen antennomere 10 in the male.

In August 2020, we organized a collecting trip to Mao-shan Scenic Area, Jiangsu Province in the hope of finding additional material of a distinctive clavigerite beetle (described as Archiclaviger gaofani Yin, Hlaváč \& Cuccodoro in Yin et al. 2020) collected in the city of Changzhou and sent to the junior author shortly before the trip. We failed to find this beetle, probably owing to it being the wrong season. Nevertheless, a small series of pselaphines were collected by sifting the leaf litter layer in forests along the Mao-shan Mountain range. Among this material we recognized a distinct species of Batrisini, which is evidently related to the two Trisiniotus species from India and Myanmar based on the shape of the pronotum and aedeagus. As no attempt is made here to clarify the relationships of Trisiniotus with potentially related groups, we simply describe the new species and place it as a member of Trisiniotus.

## Material and methods

The type material of the new species described in this paper is deposited in the Insect Collection of Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai (SNUC). The label data of the material are quoted verbatim.

Dissected parts were mounted in Euparal on plastic slides pinned with the specimen. The habitus image of the beetle was taken using a Canon 5D Mark III camera with Canon MP-E 65 mm f/2.8 1-5 $\times$ Macro Lens, with a Canon MT-24EX Macro Twin Lite Flash as the light source. Images of the morphological details were produced using a Canon G9 camera mounted to an Olympus CX31 microscope under reflected or transmitted light. Zerene Stacker (version 1.04) was used for image stacking. All images were modified and grouped into plates using Adobe Photoshop CC 2020.

Measurements were taken as follows：total body length was measured from the anterior margin of the clypeus to the apex of the abdomen；head length was meas－ ured from the anterior margin of the clypeus to the head base，excluding the occipital constriction；head width was measured across the eyes；the length of the pronotum was measured along the midline；the width of the pronotum is its maximum width； the length of the elytra was measured along the suture；the width of the elytra was measured as the maximum width across both elytra；the length of the abdomen is the length of the dorsally exposed part of the abdomen along its midline；the width of the abdomen is its maximum width．Abdominal tergites and sternites are numbered following Chandler（2001），in Arabic（starting from the first visible segment）and Ro－ man（reflecting true morphological position）numerals，e．g．，tergite 1 （IV），or sternite 1 （III）．Paired structures in the description of the new species are treated as singular．

## Taxonomy

## Trisiniotus taoismus Feng \＆Yin，sp．nov．

http：／／zoobank．org／C2995259－9A1F－42FF－B22D－A0169BB25162
Chinese common name：道隐沟蚁甲
Figs 1， 2

Type material（15 exx．）．Holotype：China：$\widehat{0}$ ，＇China：Jiangsu，Jurong City，Mao Shan， $31^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 41.99^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 119^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 43.38^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ，leaf litter，sifted， $140 \mathrm{~m}, 24$. viii．2020，Ting Feng leg．，江苏句容市茅山风景区’（SNUC）．Paratypes：China： 6 ôd $^{\top}, 3 q$ ， same data as that of holotype； $1 \delta^{\top} \widehat{\delta}, 2 q q$ ，‘China：Jiangsu，Jurong City，Yaji－shan， $31^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 24.06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 119^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 253.71^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ，leaf litter，sifted， $100 \mathrm{~m}, 23 . v i i i .2020, \mathrm{Zi}-\mathrm{Wei}$ Yin leg．，江苏句容市 Y䯽山脚； 2 q $q$ ，China：Jiangsu，Jurong City，nr．Wawu－shan， $31^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 6.28^{\prime \prime N}$ ， $119^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 20.99^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ，leaf litter，sifted， $100 \mathrm{~m}, 22 . v i i i .2020$ ，Ting Feng leg．，江苏句容市瓦屋山上杆湖农庄（all SNUC）。

Diagnosis．Male．Body length approximately 1.9 mm ．Head sub－rectangular at base；vertex with large and setose foveae，with transverse sulcus at anterior portion；an－ tenna elongate；antennomeres more or less elongate，lacking modifications．Pronotum lacking a median longitudinal sulcus．Discal stria of elytron long，extending posteri－ orly to approximately apical $3 / 4$ of elytral length．Mesotibia with small apical spine． Metaventrite with setose admesal longitudinal ridges．Tergite 1 （IV）predominantly large，dorsally longer than 2－4（V－VII）combined，lacking modifications．Aedeagus strongly asymmetrical；median lobe with restricted basal capsule and triangular fora－ men，ventral stalk erect，narrowing towards apex in lateral view；dorsal lobe narrowed at base，broadened towards apex．Female．Body length approximately 1.8 mm ，legs and metaventrite lacking modifications，genitalia as in Fig．1F．

Description．Male．Body（Fig．1A）length 1．88－1．92 mm；color reddish－brown， tarsi and mouthparts lighter．Dorsal surface of body covered with short pubescence．

Head（Fig．1B）roundly triangular，sub－rectangular at base，much wider than long， length $0.37-0.39 \mathrm{~mm}$ ，width across eyes 0.44 mm ；vertex finely punctate，with large，
setose vertexal foveae (dorsal tentorial pits), with transverse sulcus at apical portion of vertex, mediobasal carina thin and faint; antennal tubercles weakly raised; frons slightly impressed medially, confluent with clypeus; clypeus smooth, its anterior margin carinate and moderately raised; ocular-mandibular carina complete, distinct, carina branched below eye, extended ventrally and then anteriorly to posteroventral articulation of mandible. Venter with single, small gular fovea (posterior tentorial pit), with distinct median carina extending from fovea anteriorly to mouthparts. Eyes greatly prominent, each composed of approximately 35 large ommatidia. Antenna moderately elongate, length $0.94-0.97 \mathrm{~mm}$, simple, club loosely formed by moderately enlarged apical three antennomeres; antennomere 1 thick, subcylindrical, 2-7 each elongate, 8 shortest, 9 much longer and broader than 8,10 as long as and slightly wider than 9,11 longest, shorter than 9 and 10 combined, sub-conical.


Figure I. Morphological characters of Trisiniotus taoismus sp. nov. A dorsal habitus $\mathbf{B}$ head dorsum and pronotum $\mathbf{C}$ central part of metaventrite $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}$ aedeagus, lateral $(\mathbf{D})$ and ventral $(\mathbf{E}) \mathbf{F}$ female genitalia. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}) ; 0.3 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{B}) ; 0.2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{D}-\mathbf{F})$.


Figure 2. Distribution (A, B) and habitat (C,D) of Trisiniotus. A distribution of T. taoismus sp. nov. (circle), T. nitidulus (triangle), and T. nodicornis (square) B distribution of T. taoismus sp. nov. in the Maoshan mountains $\mathbf{C}$ environment of Mao-shan $\mathbf{D}$ habitat of T. taoismus sp. nov.

Pronotum (Fig. 1B) approximately as long as broad, length $0.45-0.46 \mathrm{~mm}$, width $0.46-0.47 \mathrm{~mm}$, widest at middle; sides rounded; disc slightly convex, finely punctate, median longitudinal sulcus absent, semi-circular lateral sulci extending from dorsal surface laterally and posteriorly and then fused with lateral ends of antebasal sulcus; lacking median antebasal fovea, with short mediobasal impression, antebasal tubercles small, lateral antebasal foveae connected by transverse antebasal sulcus; outer and inner pairs of basolateral foveae distinct. Prosternum with anterior part as long as coxal part, with small lateral procoxal foveae; hypomeral ridge short, present only at base, with a lateral antebasal hypomeral impression; margin of coxal cavity weakly carinate.

Elytra much wider than long, length $0.62-0.63 \mathrm{~mm}$, width $0.69-0.70 \mathrm{~mm}$; each elytron with two large, asetose basal foveae, lacking a subbasal fovea; discal stria long, carinate, extending from outer basal fovea to approximately apical $3 / 4$ of elytral length; humerus rounded, weakly prominent, subhumeral fovea absent, with sulcate marginal stria from below middle to posterior margin of elytron. Metathoracic wings fully developed.

Mesoventrite short, demarcated from metaventrite by ridged anterior edges of impressed areas where lateral mesocoxal foveae situated at mesal ends of impressions, with pair of thin admesal carinae; setose median mesoventral foveae widely separated, lateral
mesoventral foveae large and setose, broadly forked internally; intercoxal process short. Metaventrite (Fig. 1C) broadly impressed at middle, with setose admesal longitudinal ridges; with large, setose lateral mesocoxal foveae and a pair of smaller, setose lateral metaventral foveae, posterior margin broadly emarginate, with narrow split at middle.

Legs moderately elongate; mesotibia with small spine at apex.
Abdomen compressed, widest at lateral margins of tergite 1 (IV), length $0.47-$ 0.50 mm , width $0.64-0.68 \mathrm{~mm}$. Tergite 1 (IV) in dorsal view longer than $2-4$ (V-VII) combined, lacking basal sulcus, with one pair of basolateral foveae and a short discal carina; tergites 2-4 each with one pair of small basolateral foveae, 4 as long as 2 and 3 combined along middle, 5 (VIII) semicircular, posterior margin roundly emarginate at middle. Sternite 2 (IV) with mediobasal and two basolateral foveae, with a pair of short lateral carinae; midlength of sternite 2 as long as 3-5 (V-VII) combined, 3-5 each short at middle, lacking fovea, 6 (VIII) transverse, posterior margin emarginate at middle, 7 (IX) membranous or absent.

Aedeagus (Fig. 1D, E) 0.30 mm long, strongly asymmetrical; median lobe with constricted basal capsule and small, roundly triangular foramen, ventral stalk erect, in lateral view broadest anterior to middle and then narrowing towards apex; dorsal lobe approximately as long as ventral stalk, narrowed at base and broadening towards apex; parameres reduced to single broad membranous structure.

Female. Similar to male in external morphology; antenna shorter; each compound eye composed of approximately 30 ommatidia; elytra constricted at bases, humerus not prominent; metathoracic wings absent; mesotibia lacking apical spine, metaventrite lacking admesal ridges. Measurements (as for male): body length 1.791.83 mm ; length/width of head $0.37 / 0.42-0.43 \mathrm{~mm}$, pronotum $0.41-0.43 / 0.45 \mathrm{~mm}$, elytra $0.56-0.57 / 0.69 \mathrm{~mm}$; abdomen $0.52-0.53 / 0.65 \mathrm{~mm}$; length of antenna $0.85-$ 0.87 mm ; maximum width of genitalia (Fig. 1F) 0.23 mm .

Comparative notes. Trisiniotus taoismus sp. nov. shares with its two congeners the lack of a median longitudinal sulcus on the pronotum, as well as a similar aedeagus. This species can be readily separated by the simple male antennomere 10 , which is swollen in both species from India and Myanmar.

Distribution. East China: Jiangsu (Fig. 2A, B).
Bionomics. All individuals were collected by sifting the leaf litter layer in broadleaved forests (Fig. 2C, D).

Etymology. The specific epithet reflects that Mao-shan is a Taoist sacred mountain in eastern China.
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#### Abstract

Medicinal leeches in the genus Hirudo have been utilized for therapeutic procedures for thousands of years. To date, six known species of Hirudo are widely distributed in different regions of the Eurasian continent. In this study, a new medicinal leech species Hirudo tianjinensis Liu, sp. nov. is described based upon specimens collected from Tianjin City, China. The new species can be distinguished from its congeners by a combination of characters: blackish green dorsum with five continuous yellow longitudinal stripes; six sensillae on dorsal annulus a2 of segments VIII-XXV; greyish green ventrum with irregular bilateral dark brown spots; dorsum and abdomen separated by a pair of pale yellow stripes; front half atrium wrapped by white prostate; apparent albumen gland; epididymis massive in relation to ejaculatory bulb. The phylogenetic tree based upon COI implies a sister relationship to H. nipponia Whitman, 1886. A key to the known species is provided.
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[^3]
## Introduction

Leeches are carnivorous, hermaphroditic, and wormlike invertebrates with a sucker at each end of their bodies, belonging to the class Hirudinea of phylum Annelida. Approximately 650-680 leech species belonging to four subclasses, five orders, 13 families, and 149 genera have been nominated worldwide (Sawyer 1986; Sket and Trontelj 2008), of which 116 species are distributed in China. Natural habitats are predominantly fresh water and occasionally grassland, soil, or ocean water.
"Medicinal leech" is a common name referring to a group of aquatic blood-feeding ectoparasitic leech species traditionally employed for treating such a large variety of human diseases as amenorrhea, osteoarthritis, trauma, and blood stasis syndrome (Sig et al. 2017; Wang, et al. 2018). In the modern era, it also serves as important model systems for understanding the structure, function, development, regeneration, and repair of nervous systems (Herlin et al. 2017; Liu, et al. 2018). Most medicinal leech species belong to genus Hirudo of family Hirudinidae of which the most widely used are $H$. medicinalis Linnaeus, 1758 and H. nipponia Whitman, 1886 with wide distributions in the European Palaearctic and Sino-Japanese regions, respectively. In addition, a few species belonging to genus Poecilobdella of family Hirudinidae e.g., P. manillensis (Lesson, 1842) and genus Whitmania of family Haemopidae e.g., W. pigra (Whitman, 1884) are also used as medicine in some East and Southeast Asian countries. The salient characteristics of Hirudo are as follows: the vagina bears a small caecum; there is no vaginal duct present; there are no/few salivary papillae; and there is no furrow on upper lip (Sawyer 1986). To date, six species of this genus have been described: H. nipponia, H. medicinalis, H. verbena Cerena, 1820, H. orientalis Utevsky, 2005, H. troctina Johnson, 1816, and H. sulukii Shain, 2016 (Utevsky and Trontelj 2005; Saglam et al. 2016). Among these, H. nipponia is distributed in eastern Russia, China, Japan, and Mongolia while the other five species are endemic in Europe, North Africa, and western Asia.

As a well-known medicinal leech species, H. nipponia has been reported in China for many decades (Gee and Wu 1926). It is widely distributed in most areas of China except for Xinjiang and Tibet Autonomous Regions (Yang 1996). Reputed as an important traditional Chinese medicine, it has been recorded in Chinese Pharmacopoeia for the treatments of blood stasis, amenorrhea, edema, apoplexy, hemiplegia, and trauma (Committee 2020). In ancient folklore, medicinal leech therapy had been also widely applied for varicosity and arthrolithiasis by sucking blood from diseased sites using this leech species.

Located in North China, Tianjin City is one of the four major municipalities directly under the central Chinese government. It is one of the major areas producing medicinal leeches such as H. nipponia and W. pigra (Whitman, 1884) in China. In a recent collection, a total of 30 medicinal leech specimens differed significantly in morphology from the other Hirudo species collected from Tianjin City. These specimens represent a new species of Hirudo.

## Materials and methods

## Specimen sampling and morphological observation

On 19 August 2020, a total of 30 specimens described herein was captured in Caobai River, Haogezhuang Town, Baodi District, Tianjin City, China ( $39^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 400^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$, $117^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ). After relaxing in $15 \%$ ethanol, leeches were fixed with $95 \%$ ethanol for preservation, measurement, dissection, and molecular analysis. All type specimens (one holotype and 16 paratypes) were measured with a digital caliper with an accuracy of 0.1 mm . Six specimens were used for anatomical observation. The female and male reproductive systems were observed after dissecting along dorsal midline and fixing with insect needles on a wax tray. Jaws and teeth inside the anterior sucker were observed after cutting along the ventral midline of the anterior sucker. Morphological traits of the holotype specimen were observed and photographed by a stereomicroscopy with digital camera. To determine the taxonomy, seven specimens of the new species, two specimens of its sister species $H$. nipponia from Tianjin City ( $39^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime N}$, $117^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), and one specimen of the outgroup species Haemadipsa yanyuanensis Liu et Song, 1977 from Yunnan Province ( $26^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 102^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) were utilized for molecular studies (Fig. 1). Voucher specimens were deposited at the Engineering Research Center for Exploitation \& Utilization of Leech Resources in Universities of Yunnan Province, School of Agriculture \& Life Sciences, Kunming University, Kunming.

## DNA extraction, PCR, and DNA sequencing

Caudal suckers were removed with a scalpel and immediately ground to powder in liquid nitrogen. The objective of selecting caudal sucker tissue was to avoid contamination from the gut contents of DNA from unknown host blood and various microorganisms. According to the manufacturer's instructions, genomic DNA was extracted with Universal DNA kit (Mei5bio, China).

Mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) fragments were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with primers LCO 1490 and HCO 2198 (Folmer et al. 1994). PCR program was as follows: 2 min at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at $92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45 \mathrm{~s}$ at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60 \mathrm{~s}$ at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a final extension step of 10 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All PCR products were purified by elution from $1 \%$ agarose gel and then submitted to Qingke Biotech for bi-directional sequencing on an Applied Biosystems DNA sequencer (ABI 3730XL, USA).

## Phylogenetic analyses

Prior to further analysis, DNA sequences generated here were deposited into the database of GenBank with the following accession numbers: MZ820656-MZ820659 for H. tianjinensis; MZ820661 and MZ820662 for its sister species H. nipponia;

MZ820660 for Haemadipsa yanyuanensis as outgroup. In addition, three sequences of Whitmania were also included here for its close relationship with Hirudo, which were reported in previous studies (Phillips and Siddall 2009; Nikitina et al. 2016). A total of 29 Hirudo COI sequences from GenBank were also downloaded for phylogenetic analysis (Table 1).

Table I. Locality with geographic coordinates and GenBank accession numbers of specimens for phylogenetic analysis.

| Species | Voucher ID | Locality | Coordinates | GenBank Acc. <br> No. | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H. tianjinensis | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 20200251 \\ & 20200254 \\ & 20200256 \end{aligned}$ | Tianjin, CN | $39^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 117^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | MZ820656 | This study |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 20200252 \\ & 20200253 \end{aligned}$ | Tianjin, CN | $39^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 117^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | MZ820657 | This study |
|  | 20200255 | Tianjin, CN | $39^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 117^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | MZ820658 | This study |
|  | 20200257 | Tianjin, CN | $39^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 117^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | MZ820659 | This study |
| H. nipponia | 20200301 | Tianjin, CN | $39^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 117^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | MZ820661 | This study |
|  | 20200302 | Tianjin, CN | $39^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 117^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | MZ820662 | This study |
|  |  | KR |  | AY763153 | (Trontelj and Utevsky 2005) |
|  |  | KR |  | AY425450 | (Borda and Siddall 2004) |
|  |  | KR |  | GQ368749 | (Phillips and Siddall 2009) |
| H. medicinalis |  | Halle, DE |  | AY763148 | (Trontelj and Utevsky 2005) |
|  | SMNH111543 | Gasavartar, SE | $57^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 18^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | HQ333519 | (Kvist et al. 2010) |
|  | HR20 | Hrast, SI |  | EF446712 | (Siddall et al. 2007) |
|  |  | FR |  | EU100093 | (Borda et al. 2008) |
|  |  | Saratov, RU | $51^{\circ} 91^{\prime} 03{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 47^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 90^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KU672396 | (Nikitina et al. 2016) |
| H. orientalis | COIH03 | Urmia, IR | $37^{\circ} 32{ }^{\prime} 51.09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 56^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 56.72{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KY989464 | (Darabi-Darestani et al. 2018) |
|  |  | Agdam, AZ |  | AY763154 | (Trontelj and Utevsky 2005) |
|  |  | Samarkand, UZ |  | EF405599 | (Utevsky et al. 2007) |
|  |  | AZ |  | GQ368750 | (Phillips and Siddall 2009) |
| H. verbana |  | Stavropol, RU | $49^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 09^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 43^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KU672397 | (Nikitina et al. 2016) |
|  | HV3 | Bursa, TR | $40^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 28^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KU216244 | (Saglam et al. 2016) |
|  | HV19 | Samsun, TR | $41^{\circ} 34^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 36^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KT692947 | (Saglam et al. 2016) |
|  | H2P | Galicia, ES |  | MT797290 | (Arias et al. 2021) |
|  | 17(1) | Kherson, UA |  | JN104644 | (Trontelj and Utevsky 2012) |
|  | 13(1) | Izmir, TR |  | JN083804 | (Trontelj and Utevsky 2012) |
|  |  | Giessen, DE |  | EF125043 | (Kutschera et al. 2007) |
|  |  | Ohrid, MK |  | AY763150 | (Trontelj and Utevsky 2005) |
|  | KA46 | Povir, SI |  | EF446701 | (Siddall et al. 2007) |
| H. troctina |  | Marrakech, MA |  | AY763155 | (Trontelj and Utevsky 2005) |
|  | HT56 | Lebna Dam, TN |  | JQ364946 | (Trontelj and Utevsky 2012) |
|  | H28b | AZ |  | GQ368751 | (Phillips and Siddall 2009) |
| H. sulukii | HS1 | Adiyaman, TR | $37^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 38^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KU216239 | (Saglam et al. 2016) |
|  | HS2 | Adiyaman, TR | $37^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 38^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KU216240 | (Saglam et al. 2016) |
|  | HS5 | Gaziantep, TR | $37^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 37^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KU216241 | (Saglam et al. 2016) |
|  | HS6 | Batman, TR | $37^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 41^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KU216242 | (Saglam et al. 2016) |
|  | HS7 | Batman, TR | $37^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 41^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KU216243 | (Saglam et al. 2016) |
| W. acranulata |  |  |  | NC023928 |  |
| W. pigra |  |  |  | MN729556 |  |
| W. laevis |  | Shaanxi, CN | $32^{\circ} 43^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 108^{\circ} 46^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | KM655839 | (Ye et al. 2015) |
| Haemadipsa yanyuanensis | 20200351 | Yunnan, CN | $26^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 102^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 34^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | MZ820660 | This study |

*ISO country codes: AZ, Azerbaijan; CN, China; DE, Germany; ES, Spain; FR, France; IR, Iran; KR, Korea; MA, Morocco; MK, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia; RU, Russia; SE, Sweden; SI, Slovenia; TN, Tunisia; TR, Turkey; UA, Ukraine, and UZ, Uzbekistan.

Sequences were aligned and edited using ClustalW implemented in MEGA7 (Kumar et al. 2016). The dataset of COI gene was used for phylogenetic tree construction using Maximum-Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian-Inference (BI) approaches with Haemadipsa yanyuanensis as the outgroup. ML analysis was conducted using 1000 ML bootstrap replications. BI analysis was performed in program MrBayes 3.2.6 with Markov chain Monte Carlo analysis (MCMC) in two parallel runs and with four chains each (Ronquist et al. 2012). Chain length was set as $1,500,000$ generations and sampled every 1000 generations during calculations. Genetic divergences based upon the COI sequences were calculated for depicting evolutionary divergence between Hirudo species using uncorrected p-distances as implemented in MEGA 7 (Kumar et al. 2016).

## Results

## Taxonomy

Family Hirudinidae Whitman, 1886

Genus Hirudo Linnaeus, 1758

## Hirudo tianjinensis Liu, sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/Fdf1eb12-A436-4e50-B15e-Dc0324773443

Material examined. Holotype. 20200231; Engineering Research Center for Exploitation \& Utilization of Leech Resources in Universities of Yunnan Province, School of Agriculture \& Life Sciences, Kunming University, Kunming; Body length 31.2 mm , maximal body width 3.6 mm , width of anterior sucker 1.8 mm , width of posterior sucker 3.3 mm; Caobai River, Haogezhuang Town, Baodi District, Tianjin City, China; $39^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 40 " \mathrm{~N}, 117^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 13$ "E, 5 m ; collected by Zichao Liu, 19 Aug. 2020 (Figs 1, 2, 3). Paratypes. 16 ex.; collected information same as holotype; 20200232-20200247, Engineering Research Center for Exploitation \& Utilization of Leech Resources in Universities of Yunnan Province, School of Agriculture \& Life Sciences, Kunming University, Kunming.

Diagnosis. Hirudo tianjinensis can be distinguished from its congeners by the following combination of characters: blackish green dorsum with five continuous yellow longitudinal stripes; six sensillae on dorsal annulus a2 of segments VIII-XXV making dorsal golden midline notched, and two lateral blackish green dorsal line rosary; greyish green ventrum with irregular dark brown spots bilaterally; dorsum and abdomen separated by a pair of pale yellow stripes; front half of atrium wrapped by white prostate; apparent albumen gland; epididymis massive in relation to ejaculatory bulb.

Description. Blood-feeding aquatic leech, medium body size, length $34.8 \pm 3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $\mathrm{n}=17$ ), maximum body width $3.7 \pm 0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, width of anterior sucker $1.8 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, width of caudal sucker $3.6 \pm 0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$. Caudal sucker diameter slightly narrower than maximal body breadth.


Figure I. Map of geographic collecting locations for three species of Chinese leeches. H. tianjinensis and H. nipponia were collected in adjacent areas of Tianjin City, and Haemadipsa yanyuanensis was collected in Yunnan Province.

In relaxed state, dorsum and abdomen flat and willow-leaf like. Blackish green dorsum with five continuous yellow stripes. Dorsal midline widest, extending from the first to the last somite. Yellow stripes separate dorsum into six blackish green longitudinal stripes of which the middle two are the widest and the lateral four are narrower. Six sensillae on middle a2 of segments VIII-XXV making middle golden stripe notched and two lateral blackish green stripes rosary. Venter greyish green with irregular dark brown spots bilaterally edged by a pair of pale yellow stripes. No visible sensillae in abdomen. Caudal sucker reddish brown, with dark dorsum and palecolored abdomen.

Complete somite five-annulate, numbers of annuli per somite: I-III: one, IV-V: two, VI-VII: three, VIII: four, IX-XXIII: five (b1, b2, a2, b5, b6), XXIV: four, XXV: three, XXVI-XXVII: two, in total: 27 somites and 103 annuli.

Wide mouth in white anterior sucker. Jaws trignathous, one in the middle and one on each side, 55-67 horny teeth in each jaw. Five pairs of eyes almost circular or irregular shaped on annulus $2,3,4,6$, and 9 . Fifth pair of eyes smallest and sometimes difficult to observe. Two nephridiopores in submarginal annulus b2 of each complete somite. Gonopores situated in furrow between annuli, separated by five annuli, male pore in furrow XI b5/b6 (annulus 31/32), female pore in furrow XII b5/b6 (annulus $35 / 36$ ). Anus in middle dorsum between the last two annuli.


Figure 2. External morphology of $H$. tianjinensis holotype $\mathbf{A}$ dorsal and $\mathbf{B}$ ventral view of whole body $\mathbf{C}$ dorsal and $\mathbf{D}$ ventral view of somites X-XIV E dorsal and $\mathbf{F}$ ventral view of somites I-VIII $\mathbf{G}$ dorsal and $\mathbf{H}$ ventral view of somites XXIV-XXVII and caudal sucker. Abbreviations: an, anus; e, eye; fg, female gomopore; mg, male gomopore; np, nephridiopores. Scale bars: $5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}), 2.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{H})$.

Male reproductive system: pyriform atrium large, located at venter of ganglion in segment XI; prostate white and developed, covering front half of atrium, strikingly different from its sister species H. nipponia whose prostate is almost invisible; penis sheath with a duct bent anteriorly in segment XI ; epididymis massive in relation to ejaculatory bulb, tightly packed masses of ducting standing upright on either atrial side; ejaculatory bulb tapering sharply and connected to dorsal epididymis; testisacs ovoid, 11 pairs, in segments XIII-XXIII.

Female reproductive system: composed usually of one vagina, two ovisacs, and a curved duct in segments XII-XIII; vagina long, upright, and ellipsoidal with apparent albumen gland on middle surface, no vaginal duct; ovisacs ovoid, smaller than testisacs, connected to vagina via a curved common oviduct.

Remarks. This species is frequently confused with H. nipponia due to their morphological similarities and overlapping distributions. Local villagers often mistook it for $H$. nipponia for therapeutic usage. However, they can be distinguished using a series of morphological characteristics such as color pattern, number of sensillae, and reproductive system morphology (Table 2).

Etymology. The specific name tianjinensis is derived from Tianjin City, a municipality directly under the central government in China, where type specimens were collected.


Figure 3. Internal characters of $H$. tianjinensis $\mathbf{A}$ dorsal view of reproductive system $\mathbf{B}$ ventral view of dissected anterior sucker $\mathbf{C}$ ventral view of jaw. Abbreviations: a, atrium; ag, albumen gland; co, common oviduct; e, epididymis; eb, ejaculatory bulb; g, ganglion; j, jaw; o, ovisac; p, prostate; ps, penis sheath; s, sulcus; te, testisac; to, tooth; v, vagina; vd, vas deferens.

Table 2. Morphological comparison between H. tianjinensis and H. nipponia.

| Traits | H. tianjinensis | H. nipponia |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sensillae | six sensillae in dorsum, absent in abdomen | six sensillae both in dorsum and abdomen |
| Stripes on dorsal surface | five yellow continuous longitudinal stripes | five yellow dotted longitudinal stripes, yellow spots |
|  |  | on a2 weak or absent |
| Prostate | developed, wrapping front-half of atrium | absent |
| Ejaculatory bulb | tapering sharply | tapering gradually |

Distribution. Hirudo tianjinensis was collected from Caobai River, Haogezhuang Town, Baodi District, Tianjin City, China, which flows into Bohai Sea. Water was moderately polluted. Aquatic plants and irregular pumice stones were abundant along the riverbed. This species normally hid under pumice stones. Whenever people and animals pass by, it quickly adsorbs on their ankles for sucking blood.

## Molecular phylogeny and genetic divergence

Phylogenetic analysis was performed based upon available COI sequences of Hirudo from NCBI. Generally, sequences of each species were all well clustered. The results of ML and BI trees were largely similar to each other with the exception of H. sulukii. The newly reported species $H$. tianjinensis was clustered with $H$. nipponia and species of Whitmania and formed a single branch separated from the other species. This result was consistent with previous phylogenetic analysis on Hirudo and revealed the Hirudo a paraphyletic group (Fig. 4) (see also Phillips and Siddall 2009; Ye et al. 2015; Nikitina et al. 2016).

The average genetic divergence based upon uncorrected p-distances was also performed. In general, the distance of intra-species was < $1.5 \%$ except for sequences of $H$. verbena (range $0.2 \%$ to $6.4 \%$ ). The intraspecific distance of the new species H. tianjinensis ranged from $0.2 \%$ to $0.9 \%$. And the interspecific distance between H. tianjinensis and $H$. nipponia was $16.7 \%$ (Table 3).

Table 3. Interspecific genetic divergence between seven Hirudo species and intraspecific difference within each species based upon analyses of their COI sequences (uncorrected p-distance: $\% \pm$ SD).

| Species | Intraspecific range \& SD |  |  | Interspecific mean $\pm$ SD |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H. tianjinensis | $0.2-0.9 \pm 0.2$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| H. nipponia | $0-0.6 \pm 0.4$ | $16.7 \pm 0.2$ |  |  |  |  |
| H. medicinalis | $0-0.6 \pm 0.2$ | $18.1 \pm 0.2$ | $19.3 \pm 0.2$ |  |  |  |
| H. orientalis | $0-0.2 \pm 0.1$ | $16.5 \pm 0.1$ | $20.7 \pm 0.0$ | $8 \pm 0.2$ |  |  |
| H. verbana | $0.2-6.4 \pm 2$ | $19.3 \pm 0.6$ | $19.3 \pm 0.5$ | $9.3 \pm 0.5$ | $8.7 \pm 0.4$ |  |
| H. troctina | $0-0.9 \pm 0.5$ | $17.7 \pm 0.2$ | $18.5 \pm 0.1$ | $8.9 \pm 0.1$ | $8.7 \pm 0.1$ | $9.5 \pm 0.5$ |
| H. sulukii | $0-1.5 \pm 0.6$ | $17.2 \pm 0.2$ | $19.6 \pm 0.5$ | $9.6 \pm 0.4$ | $10.6 \pm 0.3$ | $11.3 \pm 0.4$ |

## A key to the seven species of Hirudo

1 Dorsum with five longitudinal yellow or greenish yellow stripes ................. 2

- Dorsum with two or four reddish or orange stripes..................................... 3

2 Dorsum with five continuous yellow or greenish yellow stripes; prostate apparent H. tianjinensis sp. nov.

- Dorsum with five yellow dotted stripes; prostate absent ............ H. nipponia

3 Epidimymis massive in relation to ejaculatory bulb..................................... 4

- Epidimymis small/medium-sized in relation to ejaculatory bulb ................. 6

4 Vagina terminally folded ...................................................... H. medicinalis

- Vagina long and upright ............................................................................. 5

5 Dorsum green, two orange paramedian stripes thin; venter black, irregularly arranged and sized black markings
H. troctina

- Dorsum olive green, two orange paramedian stripes thick; venter pale greenish, and covered with small number of irregular black markings... H. sulukii
6 Dorsum with broad, pale orange diffuse paramedian stripes; vagina sharply folded; epidimysis small, not much larger than eiaculatory bulb ...H. verbana
- Dorsum with thin, deep orange colored paramedian stripes; vagina evenly curved; epidimysis medium-sized, somewhat larger than ejaculatory bulb.....
H. orientalis

1.1

Figure 4. Phylogenetic analysis of $H$. tianjinensis with other species of Hirudo A maximum-likelihood tree was constructed using MEGA 7 with 1000 ML bootstrap replications B bayesian inference tree was constructed using MrBayes with four Markov chains. Chain length was set as $1,500,000$ generations and sampled every 1000 generations.

## Discussion

Six species of Hirudo have been identified globally. Except H. nipponia, the other five species are distributed in Europe and the Middle East, usually referred to as the European leeches. Their diagnostic features and species differences were described in detail (Utevsky and Trontelj 2005; Saglam 2019). The results of molecular taxonomy showed that they are closely correlated. Hirudo nipponia is easily distinguished from the remaining five species in morphology, because the former is obviously smaller and has five longitudinal stripes in dorsum. Hirudo nipponia is mainly distributed in East Asia, including Japan, Mongolia, the Russian Far East, and most of China.

Hirudo tianjinensis has been collected from Tianjin City, a northern Chinese metropolis. It belongs to Hirudo based upon a series of morphological characteristics (Sawyer 1986). The detailed morphological comparison between H. tianjinensis and H. nipponia were obtained by combining specimen observation and previous descriptions (Whitman 1886; Lai and Chen 2010). Hirudo tianjinensis is similar to H. nipponia in morphology but with some distinct differences in color pattern and reproductive system morphology (Table 2). When they were cultured together, $H$. tianjinensis often sucked on the blood of $H$. nipponia while the latter never sucked that of the former. The results of molecular taxonomy showed that $H$. tianjinensis is a sister species to $H$. nipponia. In geographical distribution, $H$. tianjinensis and $H$. nipponia partially overlap. Hirudo nipponia is found in the whole distribution area of $H$. tianjinensis, indicating that there is probably a common origin between the two species.

Although most medical leeches can bite people, causing continuous bleeding, inflammation, itching, and even bacterial infection, the major reason for attracting people's attention lies in their important medical values. In China, medicinal application of leeches was first recorded in Shennong's Herbal Classic 2,000 years ago. In the modern era, Chinese Pharmacopoeia recommends three leech species, H. nipponia, W. pigra, and W. acranulata (Whitman, 1886) for treating arthritis, stroke, myocardial infarction, and amenorrhea (Committee 2020). Hirudo nipponia is the most widely utilized medicinal leech species with the strongest antithrombin activity compared with the other two medicinal leech species listed in Chinese Pharmacopoeia. Nearly 2,000 tons of leeches are freeze-dried for medicinal uses with an annual value of 1.4 billion US dollars. Due to the importance in medicine, wild-type $H$. nipponia have been overly harvested, and thus become somewhat endangered. For the purposes of sustainable utilization of medical leech resources and species protection, it is imperative to study the medical leech.

The medical leech has important clinical applications since it contains many of bioactive components with the functions of anticoagulant, thrombolytic, matrix degradation analgesic, and anti-inflammatory, such as hirudin, antistasin, and hyaluronidase (Sig et al. 2017; Kwak et al. 2019; Muller et al. 2020). More than 20 molecules and their mechanistic modes have been identified, and more unique active ingredients of leeches await discovery.

Although the medical leech has important medical value, its taxonomic research is rather behind. Traditional practitioners tend to refer to $H$. nipponia and $H$. tianjinensis
as the linear and dotted leeches respectively in Tianjin City. In this work, H. tianjinensis is formally named as a new species and its medical value needs to be further studied in the future.
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#### Abstract

Two new species of the leafhopper genus Idioscopus Baker are described from China: Idioscopus bihamulus sp. nov. and I. ventrispinus sp. nov., the latter recorded on a species of Myrica L. (Myricaceae) as its host plant. A key and checklist to species of the genus from China are provided and Idioscopus taiwanus Huang \& Maldonado-Capriles, 1992 is placed as a junior synonym of Idioscopus clypealis (Lethierry, 1889), syn. nov.
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## Introduction

The leafhopper genus Idioscopus was described by Baker, 1915, with I. clypealis (Lethierry, 1889) as its type species. Subsequently, many new species of the genus were described by Pruthi (1936), Maldonado-Capriles (1964, 1974), Viraktamath (1976, 1979a, 1979b), Kuoh and Fang (1985), Huang and Maldonado Capriles (1992), and Wang and Dai (2018). Xue et al. (2017) and Wang and Dai (2018) provided keys as
well as checklists to Chinese Idiocerinae, including the genus Idioscopus. Many species of Idioscopus are important agricultural and forest pests; their known hosts are mainly Mangifera spp. (Anacardiaceae), Dimocarpus sp. (Sapindaceae), Prunus sp. (Rosaceae), and Myrica sp. (Viraktamath 1989; Khatri and Webb 2014; Wang and Dai 2018). At present, Idioscopus comprises more than 30 species of which 10 species are recorded from China (see Checklist). Another species recorded from China by Zhang and Li (2012: 208, pl. 7), i.e., Idioscopus bimaculatus (Pruthi, 1936) is misidentified (C. Viraktamath pers. comm.). In this paper, we describe and illustrate two new species of Idioscopus from Yunnan Province, China, and provide a revised key and checklist to species from China. In addition, Idioscopus taiwanus Huang \& Maldonado-Capriles, 1992 is placed as a junior synonym of Idioscopus clypealis (Lethierry, 1889), syn. nov., and the identities of two other Idiocerinae from Taiwan, i.e., Idiocerus apicalis Matsumura and I. formosanus Matsumura are discussed and photographs of the habitus of their types taken by Masami Hayashi in 1995 are provided.

## Materials and methods

The specimens examined were collected from Yunnan Province, China, using a sweep net. Techniques for the preparation of the genital structures follow Oman (1949) and morphological terminology mainly follows Dietrich (2005). All specimens examined are deposited in the Institute of Entomology, Guizhou University, Guiyang, China (GUGC) and The Natural History Museum, Department of Life Sciences (Entomology), Cromwell Road, SW7 5BD, London, UK.

## Taxonomy

## Genus Idioscopus Baker, 1915

Type species. Idiocerus clypealis Lethierry, 1889 by original designation.
Diagnosis. The genus Idioscopus can be distinguished from other genera of Idiocerini by the combination of the following features: style with slender setae on dorsal margin, subapex wide and flat on later on lateral view, aedeagal shaft with one or two pairs of processes.

Description. Body small ( $\widehat{3} 3.10-5.50 \mathrm{~mm} ; ~ \nrightarrow 3.30-5.50 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Head wider than pronotum. Head and thorax shagreen or crown and frontoclypeus dorsad of ocelli finely transversely rugose. Face as long as wide to slightly longer than wide; frontoclypeus with lateral margins extending to just above antennae; anteclypeus broad distally, longer than wide, sometimes exceeding apex of gena; rostrum in some species variably expanded apically; ocelli placed closer to midline than to the corresponding eye. Length of visible mesonotum nearly as long or longer than pronotum and crown together. Forewing with four apical and usually two (open or closed) subapical cells. Hind femur with $2+1$ apical setae.

Male genitalia. Male pygofer in lateral view triangular its height more than its width; dorsoposterior lobe differentiated by dorsoanterior vertical cleft; dorsal anal collar present joined to pygofer; anal tube comprising a single segment, short or long; with or without a basiventral process. Subgenital plate elongate, curved dorsad, with long hair-like marginal setae. Connective Y- or T-shaped, short. Style elongate, apophysis curved dorsally, inner margin crenulate or dentate, outer margin distally with a row of hair-like setae or with a tuft of stout setae. Aedeagus with shaft elongate, either slightly curved dorsally or sinuate, laterally compressed, with one or two pairs of apical processes, gonopore apical on ventral surface; dorsal apodeme well developed, apically expanded laterally.

Distribution. African, Oriental, and Palaearctic regions.

## Checklist of Idioscopus species from China

(See Xue et al. 2017 for complete synonymy)

## I. bihamulus sp. nov.

I. clypealis (Lethierry, 1889: 252, Idiocerus)
I. taiwanus Huang \& Maldonado-Capriles, 1992: 5-6, syn. nov.
I. furcaprocessus Wang, Wang, Zhou \& Dai, 2021: 376, fig. 1
I. longiprocessus Wang, Wang, Zhou \& Dai, 2021: 378, fig. 2
I. myrica Wang \& Dai, 2018: 12-13, figs $1-10$
I. nitellicus Kuoh \& Fang, 1985: 190, figs 8-16
I. nitidulus (Walker, 1869: 322, Iassus)

Idiocerus niveosparsus Lethierry, 1889: 160; Matsumura, 1912: 322 (Taiwan)
I. recurvatus Kuoh \& Fang, 1985: 189, figs 1-7
I. serratastylus Wang, Wang, Zhou \& Dai, 2021: 378, fig. 3
I. ventrispinus sp. nov.

## Key to the species of Idioscopus from China

1 Forewing with yellow patch on clavus (Fig. 2A) .......................................... 2

- Forewing without yellow patch on clavus.................................................... 4

2 Aedeagus with a pair of subapical processes and a single ventral process (Fig. 2H)
I. ventrispinus sp. nov.

- Aedeagus with a pair of subapical processes, without a single ventral process 3
3 Aedeagus with processes directed laterally ............................I. furcaprocessa
- Aedeagus with processes directed ventrally........................... I. serratastylus

4 Clypellus dark brown..................................................................I. clypealis

- Clypellus not dark brown ........................................................................... 5

5 Aedeagus with a single pair of apical processes and a single dorsal subapical process I. myrica

- $\quad$ Aedeagus with one or two pair of subapical processes, without a single dorsal process
6 Aedeagus with one pair of distal processes ..... 7
- Aedeagus with two pair of distal processes. ..... 8
7 Aedeagus with processes strongly curved (Fig. 1H, I)I. bihamulus sp. nov.
- Aedeagus with processes weakly curved I. longiprocessus
8 Aedeagus with shaft evenly curved in lateral view ..... I. nitidulus
- $\quad$ Aedeagus with shaft sinuate in lateral view ..... 9
9 Aedeagus with one very long and one short subapical processes
I. nitellicus
- Aedeagus with two pair of moderately long subapical processes
I. recurvatus


## Idioscopus bihamulus sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/8049B242-A15D-408D-8E57-C3F95F0856D8
Figs 1, 3 A-D
Type material. Holotype: ${ }^{\lambda}$, Baoshan City, Mt Gaoligongshan, Yunnan Province, China ( $98^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 25^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$; 1745 m elev.), 4 August 2018, coll. Zhou Yu (GUGC). Paratypes: $1 \widehat{ }^{\lambda}, 6 q Q$, same data as holotype.

Diagnosis. The new species can be distinguished from other Idioscopus species by the combination of the following features: anal tube short; style apophysis with a row of three or four subapical teeth along inner margin; aedeagal shaft with long, recurved, hook-like apical processes.

Description. Coloration. Ground coloration translucent brown. Vertex pale brown with two round spots close to the adjacent eyes (Fig. 1A). Eyes and ocelli brown (Fig. 1AC). Face (Fig. 1B, C) yellowish white, narrow area along inner margin of eye, area surrounding bases of antennae, and inner margins of gena along frontal suture black, upper area with dark brown semicircular marking. Pronotum (Fig. 1A) yellowish brown along anterior margin, posterior two-thirds brown, with a brown U-shaped band medially. Mesosternum (Fig. 1A) black, mesepimeron with black spot. Mesonotum (Fig. 1A) yellow with pair of triangular, laterobasal, black maculae. Forewing with veins brown (Fig. 1A).

External features as in generic description with face and pronotum shagreen and crown finely transversely rugose. Male antennae without apical disc. Forewing (Fig. 1D) with two subapical cells; inner subapical open.

Male genitalia. Male pygofer (Fig. 1E, F) without ventral process; anal collar thin with apex upturned; anterodorsal apodemes well developed. Anal tube (Fig. 1E) short. Subgenital plates (Fig. 1E) of uniform width, with reduced hair-like marginal setae. Connective (Fig. 1G) T-shaped, with stem narrow. Style apophysis (Fig. 1G) with a row of three or four tooth-like projections along inner margin subapically, outer margin with macrosetae in distal one-third. Aedeagus (Fig. 1H, I) with shaft laterally compressed, with pair of long, recurved, hook-like processes subapically from ventral margin, acute apically; basal apodeme pillar-like in lateral view, distally and laterally expanded in ventral view.


Figure I. Idioscopus bihamulus sp. nov. male $\mathbf{A}$ habitus, dorsal view $\mathbf{B}$ face $\mathbf{C}$ head and thorax, lateral view $\mathbf{D}$ forewing $\mathbf{E}$ genital capsule, lateral view $\mathbf{F}$ pygofer dorsal view $\mathbf{G}$ connective and style, dorsal view $\mathbf{H}$ aedeagus, lateral view $\mathbf{I}$ aedeagus ventral view. Scale bar: 1.0 mm .

Female genitalia. Posterior margin of sternite VII (Fig. 3A) slightly produced medially. Valvulae as in Figure 3B-E.

Measurements (mm). Male: body length including tegmina 3.70-3.80. Medial length of crown $0.13-0.16$, width $1.25-1.30$. Distance from ocellus to eyes $0.15-0.20$. Medial length of pronotum $0.40-0.45$, width $1.10-1.20$; scutellum length $0.50-0.55$, width $0.95-1.05$. Female: body length including tegmina 3.70-3.90.

Etymology. The specific epithet refers to the two hamulus-like (hook-like) aedeagal processes.

Host plant. Unknown.
Distribution. China (Yunnan).

## Idioscopus ventrispinus sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/F0FFE31A-7C3B-48FD-93F8-F6E3DCB62537
Figs 2, 3E-H
Type material. Holotype: ${ }^{\lambda}$, Xinping County, Mt Ailaoshan, Yunnan Province, China ( $101^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 24^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} ; 1956 \mathrm{~m}$ elev.), 22 July 2018, coll. Xianyi Wang \& Jiajia Wang. Paratypes: $58 \widehat{o}^{\lambda} 2 q$, same as holotype. $4 \widehat{o}^{\lambda}$, Lvchun County, Mt Hoanglianshan, Yunnan Province, China ( $102^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 22^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} ; 1815 \mathrm{~m}$ elev.), 7 June 2019, coll. Jiajia Wang \& Chao Zhang. 1 ${ }^{\text {T, }}$, Baoshan City, Mt Gaoligongshan, Yunnan Province, China ( $98^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 25^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$; 1581 m elev.), 22 May 2019, coll. Jiajia Wang \& Chao Zhang.

Diagnosis. This new species resembles Idioscopus furcaprocessus in general appearance, including having a yellow patch on the forewing clavus. The sinuate shaft of the aedeagus in lateral view is similar to $I$. confuscous (Pruthi) (see Viraktamath, 1980: fig. 15), I. bihamulus, I. recurvatus, and I. nitellicus, but it differs from these and other species in having an unpaired, ventral, spine-like process proximad of the midlength of the shaft.

Description. Coloration. General color reddish brown. Crown yellowish with darker reddish-brown markings; eyes yellowish (Fig. 2A-C). Pronotum and mesonotum (Fig. 2A) reddish brown, mesonotum paler with yellowish hue. Face (Fig. 2B) greenish yellow with frontoclypeus and anteclypeus pale reddish brown; male antennae with apical disc, black. Mesepimeron (Fig. 2C) with black patch. Forewing clavus (Fig. 2A) with large, lemon-yellow patch bordered with dark reddish brown.

External features as in generic description with head and thorax shagreen; male antennae with apical disc (Fig. 2A-C); forewing (Fig. 2D) with two subapical cells, inner subapical open.

Male genitalia. Male pygofer (Fig. 2E, F) conically rounded apically, without ventral process; anal collar thin, upturned apically; dorsoanterior apodemes well developed. Anal tube (Fig. 2E) long. Subgenital plates (Fig. 2E) broader in distal one-third in lateral view, longer than pygofer, sharply upturned in basal third, with long hair-like


Figure 2. Idioscopus ventrispinus sp. nov. male $\mathbf{A}$ habitus, dorsal view $\mathbf{B}$ face $\mathbf{C}$ head and thorax, lateral view $\mathbf{D}$ forewing $\mathbf{E}$ genital capsule, lateral view $\mathbf{F}$ pygofer dorsal view $\mathbf{G}$ connective and style, dorsal view H aedeagus, lateral view $\mathbf{I}$ aedeagus ventral view. Scale bar: 1.0 mm .


Figure 3. Ovipositor. Female. A-E Idioscopus bihamulus sp. nov. F-J Idioscopus ventrispinus sp. nov. A, $\mathbf{F}$ sternite VII B, G first valvula $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{H}$ apex of first valvula $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{I}$ second valvula $\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{J}$ apex of second valvula.
marginal setae distally. Connective (Fig. 2G) Y-shaped, broad, with short stem. Style apophysis (Fig. 2G) narrowed to apex with weakly serrated inner margin. Aedeagus (Fig. 2H, I) with shaft laterally compressed, broad in basal half then narrowed and
slightly sinuate distally to acute apex in lateral view, with one pair of subapical bifid processes, each fork unequal, an unpaired ventral spine-like process proximad of midlength; dorsal apodeme laterally compressed, distally expanded in lateral view and laterally expanded distally in ventral view.

Female genitalia. Posterior margin of sternite VII (Fig. 3F) slightly produced medially. Valvulae as in Figure 3G-J.

Measurements (mm). Male: body length including tegmina 4.50-4.95. Medial length of crown $0.18-0.22$, width $1.55-1.60$. Distance from ocellus to eyes $0.15-0.20$. Medial length of pronotum $0.45-0.48$, width $1.35-1.45$; scutellum length $0.50-0.55$, width $0.65-0.70$. Female: body length $4.60-5.20$ including tegmina.

Etymology. The new species name is derived from the words ventri- and spinus, referring to the ventral spine-like process of the aedeagal shaft.

Host plant. Myrica sp.
Distribution. China (Yunnan).

## Idioscopus clypealis (Lethierry)

Fig. 4

Idiocerus clypealis Lethierry, 1889: 252—Matsumura 1912: 322 (Taiwan).
Idioscopus clypealis-Maldonado Capriles 1964: 92-93, figs 6-9; Khatri and Webb 2014: 282-284, table 1, fig. 5.
Idioscopus taiwanus Huang \& Maldonado-Capriles, 1992: 7, fig. 3, syn. nov.
Remarks. The above synonymy of I. taiwanus with I. clypealis is based on the similarity of the published figures of both species and examination of some paratypes of $I$. taiwanus (see below). It is surprising that $I$. taiwanus was described as a new species and not recognized as the widespread I. clypealis, as the latter species was well-known to Maldonado-Capriles and had been earlier figured by him (Maldonado-Capriles 1964). Also, the described colour of I. taiwanus more or less matches the "typical" colour form of I. clypealis figured by the same author and latter figured by Khatri and Webb (2014) from mainland Asia. A variation of this colour is seen in some specimens collected from mainland China which have more extensive brown marking medially on the face (Fig. 4A-C), matching some Pacific material figured by Khatri and Webb (2014).

Idioscopus taiwanus was described from the holotype and 80 paratypes with the following data: "Taiwan, Taichung, 16/1/1987, C. T. Yang collector. Paratypes: 40 males and 40 females, same collection data as holotype. Host plant: Mangifera indica" (Huang $\&$ Maldonado-Capriles 1992). In the introduction to their paper, Huang \& MaldonadoCapriles stated that the type material was in the "Department of Entomology, National Chung-Hsing University (NCHU), Taichung; Division of Collection and Research, National Museum of Natural Science (NMNS), Taichung; and in the Department of Applied Zoology, Taiwan Agriculture Research Institute (TARI), Wufeng, Taichung." They added that "Some paratypes deposited in the junior author's collection". For other new species described in the same paper the holotype depository is given as NMNS,


Figure 4. Idioscopus clypealis A-C female (China) A lateral habitus female B, C face (male and female respectively) showing atypical marking of clypeus; photographs by Xue Qingquan D-G paratype male of $I$. taiwanus $\mathbf{D}$ dorsal habitus $\mathbf{E}$ lateral habitus $\mathbf{F}$ ventral habitus $\mathbf{G}$ labels $\mathbf{H}-\mathbf{K}$ paratype female of I. taiwanus $\mathbf{H}$ dorsal habitus I lateral habitus $\mathbf{J}$ ventral habitus $\mathbf{K}$ labels; photographs by David Redei.
but no depository is given for I. taiwanus; however, the male genitalia dissection of the holotype is present in NMNS (Jing-Fu Tsai pers. comm.) and paratypes are present in both NCHU (see Fig. 4D-K) and NMNS but not in TARI or Maldonado-Capriles's collection (National Museum of Natural History, Washington, DC, USA).

## Idiocerus apicalis Matsumura

Fig. 5A, B
Idiocerus apicalis Matsumura, 1912: 323—Huang and Maldonado-Capriles 1992: 4 (listed); Xue et al. 2016: 407 (listed).

Remarks. This species was described from a single female specimen (holotype) from Taiwan. Both Andy Hamilton and Masami Hayashi (pers. comm.) examined the type (Entomological Institute, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan) and the latter's photographs of the type are reproduced here (Fig. 5A, B). The holotype bears a determination label by Andy Hamilton indicating the species belongs to Balocerus but this needs to be confirmed, particularly as the specimen is female. The label information on the specimen is as follows ( $\mathrm{hw}=$ handwritten, $\mathrm{pr}=$ printed):

1. I. apicalis sp. nov. (hw), det. Matsumura (pr)
2. Formosa, Matsumura (printed), (underside) Toroen, 19/4 '07 (hw)
3. Holotype (red label, printed), Idiocerus apicalis Matsumura (hw)
4. Balocerus apicalis (Matsum.), Det. KGAH '76 (hw; label with red frame)

## Idiocerus formosanus Matsumura

Fig. 5C, D
Idiocerus taiwanus Matsumura—Huang and Maldonado-Capriles 1992: 4 (listed); Xue et al. 2016: 407 (listed).

Remarks. This species was described from an unknown number of specimens (syntypic) from Koshun (= Hengchun), southern Taiwan. Both Andy Hamilton and Masami Hayashi (pers comm.) examined the type series in Entomological Institute, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan ( $9 \delta^{\top} \circlearrowleft^{\lambda}$ and $4 \rightarrow$ ) and the latter's photographs of a syntype are reproduced here (Fig. 5C, D). One of the male syntypes had been dissected and bears a determination label by Andy Hamilton indicating the species belongs to Amritodus, which needs to be confirmed, together with an unpublished lectotype label. The label information on the specimens is as follows ( $\mathrm{hw}=$ handwritten, $\mathrm{pr}=$ printed), double quotation marks show each label, a single oblique line (except between day and month) is used for changing to new line, and the description on underside is indicated after double oblique lines:

- 1 male [dissected], "Formosa / Matsumura [printed] // Koshun / 7/7-02 [hw]", "I. formosanus n.sp. [hw] / det. Matsumura [pr]", "Type Matsumura" (red printed label), "Lectotype [red printed label] / Idiocerus formosanus Mm. [hw by K.G.A. Hamilton, not published]", "Amritodus formosanus (Matsum.) / Det. KGAH '76" [in double red frame, hw]. 2 male, same data as previous, "PARATYPES [yellow printed label] / Idiocerus formosanus Mm. [hw]"
- 4 male, 3 female, [upper right male dissected], "Formosa / Matsumura [pr] // 7/VII 1906 | Koshun [hw]", "Paratypes (yellow printed label) / Idiocerus formosanus Mm." [hw];
- 1 female, "Formosa / Matsumura [pr] // 29/VI 1906 / Koshun (hw)"
- 1 male, "Formosa / Matsumura [pr] // 7/VII 1906 / Koshun [hw]
- 1 male, "Formosa / Matsumura [pr] // 10/VIII 1906 / Koshun [hw]"


Figure 5. Matsumura Taiwan Idiocerine species A, B Idiocerus apicalis, dorsal and lateral, habitus respectively (holotype) C, D I. formosanus, dorsal and lateral, habitus respectively (syntype). Photographs by Masami Hayashi.

## Acknowledgements

We wish to thank the following people for their help in preparing this manuscript: Chandra Viraktamath for reviewing the manuscript, David Rédei (currently working in Taiwan) for paratype images of Idioscerus taiwanus, Jing-Fu Tsai for information on the type series of I. taiwanus, Masami Hayashi and Andy Hamilton for information on the types of I. apicalis Matsumura and I. formosanus Matsumura and the former for making available photographs of the types, and Chao Zhang and Zhou Yu (GUGC) for providing material for this study. We would also like to express our sincere gratitude to the staff
of Yunnan Mt Gaoligongshan National Nature Reserve and Mt Huanglianshan National Nature Reserve for assistance in collecting the specimens. This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 31672342), the Program of Excellent Innovation Talents, Guizhou Province, China [grant number 20206003], and the Guizhou Province Graduate Research Fund [no.YJSCXJH (2020)073].

## References

Baker CF (1915) Studies in Philippine Jassoidea. IV. The Idiocerini of the Philippines. Philippine Journal of Crop Science 10: 317-343.
Dietrich CH (2005) Keys to the families of Cicadomorpha and subfamilies and tribes of Cicadellidae (Hemiptera: Auchenorrhyncha). The Florida Entomologist 88(4): 502-517. https://doi.org/10.1653/0015-4040(2005)88[502:KTTFOC]2.0.CO;2
Huang KW, Maldonado-Capriles J (1992) Idiocerinae of Taiwan (Homoptera: Cicadellidae). Journal of Taiwan Museum 45(1): 1-14.
Khatri I, Webb MD (2014) Review of the idiocerine leafhoppers of Pakistan (Hemiptera, Cicadellidae) with a description of a new species. Zootaxa 3860(3): e280. https://doi. org/10.11646/zootaxa.3860.3.6
Kouh ZL, Fang QQ (1985) Two new species of Idioscopus from China (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Idiocerinae). Dong Wu Fen Lei Xue Bao 10(2): 189-192.
Lethierry LF (1889) Definitions of three new Homoptera. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal 58: 252-253.
Maldonado-Capriles J (1964) Studies on Idiocerinae leafhoppers: II. The Indian and Philippine species of Idiocerus and the genus Idioscopus (Homoptera: Cicadellidae). Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 66: 89-100.
Maldonado-Capriles J (1974) Studies on Idiocerinae leafhoppers XII. Idioscopus clavosignatus spec. nov. (Homoptera, Cicadellidae). Zoölogische Mededeelingen 48(15): 163-167.
Oman PW (1949) The Nearctic leafhoppers (Homoptera: Cicadellidae) a generic classification and check list. Memoirs of the Entomological Society of Washington 3: 1-253.
Pruthi HS (1936) Studies on Indian Jassidae (Homoptera). Part III. Description of some new genera and species, with first records of some known species from India. Memoirs of the Indian Museum 11: 101-131.
Viraktamath CA (1976) Four new species of Idiocerine leafhoppers from India with a note on male Balocha astute (Melichar) (Homoptera: Cicadellidae: Idiocerniae). Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences 10: 234-244. https://doi.org/10.1080/00305316.1976.10432323
Viraktamath CA (1979a) Jogocerus gen. nov. and new species of idiocerine leafhoppers from southern India (Homoptera: Cicadellidae). Entomon 4(1): 17-26.
Viraktamath CA (1979b) Four new species of Idioscopus (Homoptera: Cicadellidae) from Southern India. Entomon 4(2): 173-181.
Viraktamath CA (1980) Notes on Idioscopus species (Homoptera: Cicadellidae) described by Dr. H.S. Pruthi, with description of a new species from Meghalaya, India. Entomon 5(3): 227-231.

Viraktamath CA (1989) Auchenorrhyncha (Homoptera) associated with mango, Mangifera indica L. Tropical Pest Management 35(4): 431-434. https://doi. org/10.1080/09670878909371423
Walker F (1869) Catalogue of the Homopterous insects collected in the Indian Archipelago by Mr. A.R. Wallace, with descriptions of new species. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 10(45): 276-330. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1869.tb00663.x
Wang XY, Dai RH (2018) A new species of Idioscopus harming Myrica rubra (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Idiocerinae). Journal of Mountain Agriculture and Biology 37(6): 10-13. [in Chinese]
Wang XY, Wang JJ, Zhou XL, Dai RH (2021) Description of three new species of the leafhopper genus Idioscopus Baker, (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae: Eurymelinae) from Yunnan, China. Zootaxa 4995(2): 375-381. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4995.2.10
Xue QQ, Viraktamath CA, Zhang YL (2017) Checklist to Chinese Idiocerine leafhoppers, key to genera and description of a new species of Anidiocerus (Hemiptera: Auchenorrhyncha: Cicadellidae). Entomologica Americana 122(3): 405-417. https://doi.org/10.1664/1947-5144-122.3.405
Zhang B, Li Z (2012) Idiocerinae. In: Dai L, Li Z, Jin D (Eds) Insects from Kuankuoshui Landscape. Guizhou Science and Technology Press, Guiyang, 202-209.

# Four new species of Diglyphomorphomyia Girault (Hymenoptera, Eulophidae) from China, with a key to Chinese species 

Jun-Jie Fan', Cheng-De Li'<br>I School of Forestry, Northeast Forestry University, Harbin, 150040, China<br>Corresponding author: Cheng-De Li (lichengde0608@sina.com)

Academic editor: Miles Zhang | Received 17 January 2022 | Accepted 28 March 2022 | Published 13 April 2022
http://zoobank.org/FC57A27D-3546-44AO-B6CD-E82C5E3BCA32
Citation: Fan J-J, Li C-D (2022) Four new species of Diglyphomorphomyia Girault (Hymenoptera, Eulophidae) from China, with a key to Chinese species. ZooKeys 1095: 111-121. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1095.80671


#### Abstract

Four new species of Diglyphomorphomyia Girault, D. depressa sp. nov., D. fossa sp. nov., D. hainana sp. nov., and $D$. octoseta sp. nov., are described from China. A key to the eight species of the genus Diglyphomorphomyia occurring in China is provided.
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## Introduction

The genus Diglyphomorphomyia (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) is one of several small genera of the Eulophidae, erected by Girault (1913) with Diglyphomorphomyia nigriscutellum Girault as type species. Girault $(1913,1915)$ also described Sympiesomorphelleus albiclava Girault and S. specimenipennis Girault from Australia, which were later transferred by Bouček (1988) to Diglyphomorphomyia, together with Chiloneurus rufescens Motschulsky. Zhu and Huang (2003) described four species from China; Ubaidillah (2003) described one species from Indonesia; Yefremova (2007)
described one species from Yemen; Narendran $(2004,2011)$ and Narendran et al. (2005a, b) described eight species from India. Currently the genus contains 18 valid species (Noyes 2021).

This study describes four new species of the genus Diglyphomorphomyia and provides a key to all species of the genus distributed in China.

## Materials and methods

All specimens were collected by sweeping or yellow-pan trapping, and were dissected and mounted in Canada balsam on slides following the method of Noyes (1982), or mounted on a card. Photographs were taken with a digital CCD camera attached to an Olympus BX51 compound microscope or Aosvi AO-HK830-5870T digital microscope. Measurements were made using an eyepiece reticle, or using the ruler tool in Adobe Photoshop 2020.

Terminology follows the Hymenoptera Anatomy Consortium (2021), and the following abbreviations are used:

## F1-6 flagellomeres 1-6;

MV marginal vein;
OOL minimum distance between a posterior ocellus and corresponding eye margin;
PMV postmarginal vein;
POL minimum distance between posterior ocelli;
SMV submarginal vein;
STV stigmal vein.

All type material is deposited in the insect collections at Northeast Forestry University (NEFU), Harbin, China.

## Results

## Diglyphomorphomyia Girault

Diagnosis. Diglyphomorphomyia can be recognized by the following combination of characteristics: funicle 4 or 5 segmented; midlobe of mesoscutum or scutellum with deep punctures or pits; midlobe of mesoscutum with two or three, rarely four, pairs of setae; scutellum with sublateral grooves very distinct, punctate on bottom; propodeum with anterior margin raised into a perpendicular lamina, median carina and plicae distinct; transverse carina lateral to plicae distinct behind propodeal spiracle; gaster subsessile or short-petiolate.

Description. Head in frontal view a little wider than its height; Antenna with six flagellomeres, including a 2 -segmented clava; antenna inserted above level of lower eye mar-
gin, scape not reaching level of anterior ocellus. Eyes with short setae. Malar sulcus present. Pronotum without transverse carina, weakly reticulate, posterior margin with numerous setae and six long setae. Scutellum punctate. Dorsellum with engraved reticulation, meshes isodiametric. Propodeum smooth, with median carina and plicae, a transverse carina running from posterior part of each plica to outer margins of propodeum. Gaster subsessile.

## Key to Chinese species of Diglyphomorphomyia based on females

1 Notauli strongly divergent posteriorly meeting inner angle of axillae; dorsellum smooth (Fig. 11 page 447 of Zhu and Huang 2003).
D. metanotalia Zhu \& Huang

- Notauli straight, converging posteriorly and meeting scuto-scutellar suture laterad to inner angle of axillae; dorsellum reticulate (e.g., Figs 1, 5)2

2 Propodeum with median carina bifurcate anteriorly; midlobe of mesoscutum with 4 pairs of long setae (Fig. 17)

- Propodeum with median carina not bifurcate anteriorly (e.g., Figs 1, 5); midlobe of mesoscutum with 2 or 3 pairs of long setae3
3 Scutellum with a median groove or a fovea anteriorly (e.g., Figs 1, 5) ..... 4
- $\quad$ Scutellum without median groove or fovea (e.g., Fig. 15) ..... 5

4 Antenna with scape, pedicel and F1 yellow and remainder of flagellomeres dark brown (Figs 6, 10)
D. fossa sp. nov.

- Antenna yellowish with F3 and F4 brownish, club brownish except yellowish apex (Fig. 2)
D. depressa sp. nov.

5 Body dark brown to black......................................D. nigra Zhu \& Huang

- Body mostly yellow. 6
6 Metasoma yellow without a brown patch; MV $1.7 \times$ SMV (Zhu and Huang 2003: 447, fig. 17)
D. platys Zhu \& Huang
- Metasoma yellow with a brown median patch; MV at most $1.2 \times$ SMV (e.g., Figs 12, 14, 15)......................................................................................... 7
7 Antenna with scape, pedicel and F1 yellow, F2-F6 brown (Zhu and Huang 2003: 447, fig. 8); POL $2.8 \times$ OOL D. aequus Zhu \& Huang
- Antenna with scape yellow, pedicel and flagellum yellowish-brown (Fig. 13); POL $2.4 \times$ OOL
D. bainana sp. nov.


## Diglyphomorphomyia depressa sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/DEFBC4FD-0A88-494A-B029-34E61DD671EC
Figs 1-4
Type material. Holotype, $\circ$ [NEFU; on card], China, Sichuan Province, Guangyuan City, Qingchuan County, 21 VIII 2015, leg. Ye Chen and Chao Zhang, by sweeping. Paratypes: $2 q$ [on slides], China, Liaoning Province, Anshan City, Tiedong District, Gudaoguan, 19-21 VI 2015, leg. Yan Gao and Hui Geng, by yellow pan trapping.

Diagnosis. Head dark brown. Antennae yellowish except F3, F4 brownish, club brownish except yellowish apex. Mesosoma yellow except propodeum brown. Midlobe of mesoscutum with two pairs of long setae. Scutellum with a longitudinal depression in anterior 2/3.

Description. Female. Body length 2.4 mm , fore wing length 1.7 mm . Head dark brown. Antennae yellowish with F3 and F4 brownish, club brownish except yellowish apex. Mesoscutum, axillae and scutellum yellow. Propodeum brown. Legs yellowish. Gaster mostly yellow with two brown transverse bands posteriorly and margins brown. Ovipositor black.

Head (Fig. 2) $1.2 \times$ as wide as high in frontal view and $-2.2 \times$ as wide as long in dorsal view. Lower face smooth, frons weakly reticulate. Vertex with engraved reticulation, meshes isodiametric, and scattered setae. POL $1.8 \times$ OOL. Malar space $0.4 \times$ eye height. Occiput weakly reticulate. Relative measurements (length: width): scape $=28$ : 5; pedicel $=10: 5 ; \mathrm{F} 1=16: 5 ; \mathrm{F} 2=11: 5 ; \mathrm{F} 3=12: 7 ; \mathrm{F} 4=10: 7$; clava $=16: 7$.

Mesosoma (Fig. 1). Midlobe of mesoscutum punctate with two pairs of long setae, lateral lobe of mesoscutum reticulate. Notauli ending laterad to inner angles of axilla. Axillae weakly reticulate and separated from each other. Scutellum with a longitudinal


Figures I-4. D. depressa sp. nov., female, holotype I habitus in dorsal view $\mathbf{2}$ head in frontal view $\mathbf{3}$ fore wing 4 hind wing. Scale bars: $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
depression in anterior $2 / 3$ and two pairs of long setae, sublateral grooves meet posteriorly. Spiracle with a transverse carina anteriorly, separated from metanotum by a distance shorter than a diameter of spiracle; each propodeal callus with seven long setae.

Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 3) $2.3 \times$ as long as wide. Speculum narrow. Relative measurements (length): SMV = 43; MV = 40; PMV $=22 ; S T V=16$. Hind wing (Fig. 4) ~ $4.0 \times$ as long as wide.

Metasoma (Fig. 1). Gaster ovate, $1.8 \times$ as long as wide, and $1.1 \times$ as long as mesosoma. Ovipositor exserted beyond apex of gaster.

Male. Unknown.
Host. Unknown.
Distribution. China (Sichuan, Liaoning).
Etymology. Latin: depressum = depression, sink; and refers to the longitudinal depression in anterior $2 / 3$ of scutellum.

Remarks. This new species differs from all other known members of the genus in having a scutellum with a longitudinal depression on its anterior $2 / 3$.

## Diglyphomorphomyia fossa sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/808A2C5F-15CC-4BB4-BB58-1237002F6954
Figs 5-10
Type material. Holotype, $q$ [NEFU; on card], China, Shandong Province, Qingdao City, Huangdao District, Dazhu Mountain, 22-24 V 2014, leg. Guo-Hao Zu, Xiang-Xiang Jing and Si-Zhu Liu, by yellow pan trapping. Paratypes: $1 q$ [on slide], same data as holotype; 1 q [on slide], CHINA, Shandong Province, Qingdao City, Huangdao District, Xiaozhu Mountain, 18-20 V 2014, leg. Guo-Hao Zu, XiangXiang Jing and Si-Zhu Liu, by yellow pan trapping; 49 [on card], China, Shandong Province, Qingdao City, Laoshan District, Beijiushui, 1-3 VIII 2014, leg. Guo-Hao Zu and Ye Chen, by yellow pan trapping; $1 q$ [on card], China, Shandong Province, Qingdao City, Laoshan District, Dahedong, 8-10 VII 2014, leg. Chao Zhang, Si-Zhu Liu and Ye Chen, by yellow pan trapping.

Diagnosis. Antenna with scape, pedicel and F1 yellow and rest of flagellomeres dark brown. Scutellum with median groove in approximately anterior 1/2. Legs mostly yellowish with fore and hind coxae yellowish white. Gaster yellowish except margins brown.

Description. Female. Body length 1.9 mm , fore wing length 1.3 mm . Body yellow. Mandibles yellow with teeth brown. Antenna with scape, pedicel, and F1 yellow and remainder of flagellomeres dark brown. Legs mostly yellowish except fore and hind coxae yellowish white. Gaster yellowish except margins brown. Ovipositor black.

Head (Fig. 10) $1.3 \times$ as wide as high in frontal view and $\sim 2.3 \times$ as wide as long in dorsal view. Lower face and vertex transversely reticulate, frons weakly sculptured. POL $2.4 \times$ OOL. Malar space $0.4 \times$ eye height. Occiput weakly reticulate. Relative measurements (length: width) $:$ scape $=35: 6 ;$ pedicel $=11: 6 ; \mathrm{F} 1=19: 6 ; \mathrm{F} 2=12: 7 ; \mathrm{F} 3=13: 8 ; \mathrm{F} 4=12: 8 ;$ clava $=20: 8$.

Mesosoma (Fig. 5). Midlobe of mesoscutum punctate with three pairs of long setae, lateral lobe of mesoscutum reticulate. Notauli ending laterad to inner angles of axilla.


Figures 5-10. D. fossa sp. nov., female, holotype $\mathbf{5}$ habitus in dorsal view $\mathbf{6}$ antenna $\mathbf{7}$ fore wing $\mathbf{8}$ hind wing $\mathbf{9}$ habitus in lateral view $\mathbf{1 0}$ head in frontal view. Scale bars: $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Axillae weakly reticulate and separated from each other. Scutellum with median groove in approximately anterior $1 / 2$ and two pairs of long setae, sublateral grooves meet posteriorly. Spiracle with a transverse carina anteriorly, separated from metanotum by a distance almost as long as a diameter of spiracle; each propodeal callus with six setae.

Wings. Fore wing (Figs 5, 7) $2.6 \times$ as long as wide. Relative measurements (length): SMV $=33 ; \mathrm{MV}=45 ; \mathrm{PMV}=17 ; \mathrm{STV}=12$. Hind wing (Figs 5, 8 ) $-4.5 \times$ as long as wide.

Metasoma (Fig. 5). Gaster ovate, $1.7 \times$ as long as wide, and $1.2 \times$ as along as mesosoma. Ovipositor exserted beyond apex of gaster.

Male. Unknown.
Host. Unknown.
Distribution. China (Shandong).
Etymology. Latin: fossa = ditch, trench; and refers to the median groove in the approximate anterior $1 / 2$ of scutellum.

Remarks. Diglyphomorphomyia fossa is similar to D. aequus Zhu \& Huang, 2003 in sharing the antenna with a yellow scape, pedicel, and F1, while the remaining segments are brown; and the notauli straight and converging posteriorly to meet laterad to inner angles of axillae, but the new species can be separated from $D$. aequus by the following combination of characters: legs yellowish with fore and hind coxae yellowish white (legs yellow in D. aequus); gaster yellowish except margins brown (gaster yellow with a brown patch in $D$. eequus); gaster $1.7 \times$ as long as wide (gaster $1.4 \times$ as long as wide in $D$. aequus).

## Diglyphomorphomyia hainana sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/B3718EA6-954C-48D6-8741-A608907D1F9C
Figs 11-16
Type material. Holotype, $q$ [NEFU; on card], China, Hainan Province, Wenchang City, Dongjiao Town, 22-24 IV 2019, leg. Yu-Ting Jiang, by yellow pan trapping. Paratypes: $2 q$ [on slides], same data as holotype.

Diagnosis. Antennae yellowish brown with scape yellow. Midlobe of mesoscutum with two pairs of long setae. Legs mostly yellowish white with fore and hind coxae white, mid coxae yellow.

Description. Female. Body length 1.8 mm , fore wing length 1.5 mm . Body yellow. Antennae yellowish brown with scape yellow. Legs mostly yellowish white except fore and hind coxae white, mid coxae yellow. Gaster dorsally mostly yellow with a brown patch and lateral margins brown, ventrally mostly whitish.

Head (Figs 11, 13) $1.4 \times$ as wide as high in frontal view and $2.4 \times$ as wide as long in dorsal view. Frons weakly sculptured. Vertex with engraved reticulation, meshes isodiametric. POL $2.4 \times$ OOL. Malar space $0.3 \times$ eye height. Occiput weakly reticulate. Relative measurements (length: width): scape $=29: 5$; pedicel $=11: 5$; F1 $=13: 5$; F2 = 10: 5; F3 = 10: 6; F4 = 9: 6; clava $=15: 5$.

Mesosoma (Fig. 15). Notauli straight, ending laterad to inner angle of axilla. Midlobe of mesoscutum punctate with two pairs of long setae, lateral lobe of mesoscutum reticulate. Axillae weakly reticulate and separated from each other. Scutellum longer than mesoscutum with two pairs of long setae, sublateral grooves meet posteriorly. Spiracle with a transverse carina anteriorly, slightly separated from metanotum by a distance shorter than a diameter of spiracle; each propodeal callus with seven or eight setae.

Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 14) $2.3 \times$ as long as wide. Speculum narrow. Relative measurements (length): $\mathrm{SMV}=35$; MV $=36 ; \mathrm{PMV}=16 ; \mathrm{STV}=12$. Hind wing (Fig. 16) ~ $4.0 \times$ as long as wide.

Metasoma (Fig. 12). Gaster ovate; $1.8 \times$ as long as wide and $1.3 \times$ as long as mesosoma. Ovipositor exserted beyond apex of gaster.

Male. Unknown.
Host. Unknown.
Distribution. China (Hainan).


Figures II-I6. D. hainana sp. nov., female, holotype II habitus in lateral view $\mathbf{I 2}$ gaster in dorsal view $\mathbf{1 3}$ head in frontal view $\mathbf{1 4}$ fore wing $\mathbf{1 5}$ habitus in dorsal view $\mathbf{1 6}$ hind wing. Scale bars: $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Etymology. The specific name is derived from the type locality.
Remarks. Diglyphomorphomyia hainana is similar to D. aequus Zhu \& Huang, 2003 in sharing a body that is mostly yellow, and a gaster bearing a brown patch, but can be separated from $D$. aequus by the following combination of characters: antennae yellowish brown with scape yellow (antenna brown with scape, pedicel, and F1 yellow in D. aequus); POL $2.4 \times$ OOL (POL $2.8 \times$ OOL in D. aequus); legs mostly yellowish white with fore and hind coxae white, mid coxae yellow (legs yellow in D. aequus).

## Diglyphomorphomyia octoseta sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/66B78964-DF2B-469D-AA6E-FDC23D26B523
Figs 17-22
Type material. Holotype, $\odot$ [NEFU; on card], China, Jiangxi Province, Yichun City, Guanshan National Nature Reserve, 29 VIII 2017, leg. Guang-Xin Wang and WenJian Li, by yellow pan trapping. Paratype: $1 q$ [on slide], same data as holotype.

Diagnosis. The new species is easily distinguished from the other known members of the genus by the following combination of characters: Antenna with scape white, pedicel, F1-F3 pale yellow, clava brown except apex yellowish. Midlobe of mesoscutum punctate with four pairs of long setae. Propodeum with median carina bifurcate anteriorly and meeting a perpendicular lamina, anteromedially with two dorsal pits. Legs mostly white.

Description. Female. Body length 2.2 mm , fore wing length 1.6 mm . Body pale yellow. Head yellowish-white. Antenna with scape white, pedicel, F1-F3 pale yellow, F4 and clava brown except apex yellowish. Mandibles yellow with teeth brown. Legs mostly white. Gaster mostly yellowish white, with a median stripe and lateral margins brown.

Head (Fig. 18) $1.4 \times$ as wide as high in frontal view and $2.3 \times$ as wide as long in dorsal view. Frons smooth to alutaceous with a row of setae along eye margin. Vertex smooth. POL $1.8 \times$ OOL. Malar space $0.4 \times$ eye height. Occiput weakly reticulate. Relative measurements (length: width): scape $=28: 5 ;$ pedicel $=9: 5 ; \mathrm{F} 1=14: 5$; F 2 = 11: 6; F3 = 11: 7; F4 = 11: 7; clava = 19: 7 .

Mesosoma (Fig. 17). Midlobe of mesoscutum punctate with 4 pairs of long setae, lateral lobe of mesoscutum reticulate. Notauli ending laterad to inner angles of axilla. Axillae weakly reticulated and separated from each other. Scutellum longer than mesoscutum with 2 pairs of long setae, sublateral grooves meet posteriorly. Propodeum smooth, with a median carina bifurcating anteriorly and meeting a perpendicular lamina, anteromedially with two dorsal pits; plicae distinct with a transverse carina joining outer margins; another transverse carina present anterior to spiracle; separated from metanotum by a distance as long as a diameter of spiracle; each propodeal callus with seven long setae.

Wings. Fore wing (Fig. 19) $2.4 \times$ as long as wide. Relative measurements (length): $S M V=63 ; M V=57 ; P M V=25 ; S T V=22$. Hind wing (Fig. 20) $3.9 \times$ as long as wide.

Metasoma (Fig. 17). Gaster ovate, $1.5 \times$ as long as wide and as long as mesosoma. Ovipositor exserted beyond apex of gaster.

Male. Unknown.
Host. Unknown.
Distribution. China (Jiangxi).
Etymology. Latin: octo = eight; and refers to the midlobe of mesoscutum with four pairs of long setae.

Remarks. Diglyphomorphomyia octoseta is similar to D. kairali Narendran \& Girish Kumar in sharing a propodeum with a median carina bifurcating anteriorly and meeting a perpendicular lamina, but can be separated from the latter by the


Figures $\mathbf{I 7} \mathbf{7} \mathbf{2 2}$. D. octoseta sp. nov., female, holotype $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{7}$ habitus in dorsal view $\mathbf{I} \mathbf{8}$ head in frontal view 19 fore wing 20 hind wing 21 habitus in lateral view 22 antenna. Scale bars: $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
following combination of characters: fore wing hyaline without infuscation (fore wing with brown infuscation a short distance below STV in $D$. kairali); antenna with scape white, pedicel and F1-F3 pale yellow, clava brown except apex yellowish (antenna brownish black with scape and pedicel pale brownish yellow in D. kairali); midlobe of mesoscutum with four pairs of long setae (three pairs of long setae in D. kairali).
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#### Abstract

Two species, Tillicera fortis sp. nov. and Tillicera spinosa sp. nov., are newly described. New distributional records are presented for Tillicera callosa Gerstmeier \& Bernhard, 2010, Tillicera javana Spinola, 1844, Tillicera pseudocleroides Gerstmeier \& Bernhard, 2010, Tillicera soror Schenkling, 1902, and Tillicera tonkinensis Gerstmeier \& Bernhard, 2010. Clerus postmaculatus Nakane, 1963 syn. nov. is synonymized with Tillicera iblei Corporaal, 1949. The presence of sensory organs (sensilla) on the ventral surface of the antennae is discovered in Tillicera and Hemitrachys for the first time. A key to the valid species of Tillicera is provided.


## Keywords

Antennal sensilla, checkered beetles, Oriental Region, taxonomy

## Introduction

The genus Tillicera Spinola (Coleoptera, Cleridae) belongs to the subtribe Clerina Latreille, 1802 of the tribe Clerini Latreille, 1802 (Bartlett 2021). This genus forms part of the Tillicera genus group with Apopempsis Schenkling, 1903, Cardiostichus

[^4]Quedenfeldt, 1885, Hemitrachys Gorham, 1876, Placocerus Klug, 1837, and Plathanocera Schenkling, 1902 (Gerstmeier and Stapel 2016). Until now, 17 species of the genus have been known from the Oriental region (Gerstmeier and Bernhard 2010; Yang et al. 2011). Many species are known for their mimicry of the wasp family Mutillidae (Shelford 1902; Opitz 2010).

In this paper, we describe two new species and synonymize one species, Clerus postmaculatus Nakane, 1963a with Tillicera iblei Corporaal, 1949. We provide an updated key to the valid species of the genus, plus photographs illustrating the antennal sensory organs previously discussed by Yang et al. (2011).

## Materials and methods

The dissection method of terminal parts and genitalia follows Murakami (2016). The terminology of male genitalia follows Bartlett (2021). The material in this study is deposited in following institutions and private collections:

EUMJ Ehime University Museum, Matsuyama, Japan;
KSCJ private collection of Kaoru Sakai, Tokyo, Japan;
MMCJ private collection of Masafumi Matsumura, Okinawa, Japan;
MTCJ private collection of Minoru Tanaka, Japan;
NHMB Natural History Museum Basel, Switzerland;
NHMI Natural History Museum and Institute, Chiba, Japan;
NMPC National Museum Prague, Czech Republic;
OLML Oberöstereichisches Landesmuseum Linz, Austria;
QSBG Queen Sirikit Botanic Garden, Entomology Section, Chiang Mai Prov., Thailand;
RGCM Roland Gerstmeier Collection, Munich (deposited in ZSM, Zoological State Collection Munich), Germany;
SEHU Systematic Entomology, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan.
The original spelling of label data is indicated by double quotation marks (" "); line brakes are indicated by a slash (/).

The abbreviations for measurements are as follows:

BL body length (from tip of head to elytral apex);
EL elytral length (from basal margin to apex along suture);
EW maximum conjoined width of elytra;
EyD distance between eyes in dorsal view;
EyW maximum width of a single eye in dorsal view;
PL maximum length of pronotum;
PW maximum width of pronotum.

## Taxonomic account

## Genus Tillicera Spinola, 1841

Tillicera Spinola, 1841: 73; Gerstmeier and Bernhard 2010: 3; Gerstmeier and Stapel 2016: 539.

Diagnosis. The genus Tillicera is closely related to Hemitrachys Gorham but differs from it by the following characteristics (Yang and Yang 2013; Gerstmeier and Stapel 2016): antennomeres V-XI not clubbed; elytral length to width ratio 2.01-2.37; pulvillar formula 4-4-2, 4-4-3 or 4-4-4 (Hemitrachys: antennomeres V-XI clubbed; elytral length to width ratio 1.58-1.80; pulvillar formula 4-2-2).

Remarks. In male and female adults of Thanasimus substriatus, sensilla basiconica were distributed in clusters that formed a line along the posterior border from the fifth to the eighth antennomere (Zhang et al. 2021). The area of the apical margin of antennae in ventral view, which is vested with sensilla basiconica, was discovered in Tillicera callosa, Tillicera fortis sp. nov., Tillicera spinosa sp. nov., and Hemitrachys tubericollis Yang \& Yang, 2013 for the first time (Figs 29-31, 34, 35). The genus Tillicera is included in the Tillicera genus group with Apopempsis, Cardiostichus, Hemitrachys, Placocerus, and Plathanocera (Gerstmeier and Stapel 2016); the monophyly of the genus group, however, is questioned by Bartlett (2021).

In the first author's observations, this remarkable antennal structure is also seen in two other genera, Clerus Geoffroy, 1762 and Omadius Laporte, 1838, which are included into the subtribe Clerina Latreille, 1802 (see Bartlett 2021). Further investigation is required to clarify the intergeneric relationships.

## Tillicera callosa Gerstmeier \& Bernhard, 2010

Figs 4, 31, 36-40

Tillicera callosa Gerstmeier \& Bernhard, 2010: 14, figs 4, 25. Type locality India, Darjeeling District.

Specimens examined. Vietnam: Mt. Tam Dao, Vinh Phuc Prov., 10.V. 1996 (KSCJ, 2 males).

Additional description. Male. Antennomeres V-X with an area vested with sensilla basiconica at apical margin in ventral view; XI without pit-like sensillum. Elytra with two large callous areas at base. Tarsal pulvillar formula 4-4-2; protarsomeres I and II with large lobed pulvilli; III and IV with large bilobed pulvilli; mesotarsomers I with vestigial minute pulvillus; II with small lobed pulvillus; III and IV with small bilobed pulvilli; metatarsomeres I and II without pulvilli; III and IV with small bilobed pulvilli.

Abdominal sternite V almost transverse. Pygidium weakly emarginated at apical margin (Fig. 36); apical margin of ventrite VI (Fig. 37) emarginated at middle; spicular forked, long (Fig. 38).

Tegmen (Fig. 39a-c) with dorsal and ventral sinuses at apical $1 / 6$ of total length; tegminal arms long, extending 1/3-2/3 of total length. Median lobe (Fig. 40a-c) longer than tegmen; plate simple, without denticles.

Remarks. This species was originally described based on a single female specimen from India. In this paper, we describe the male in detail. This species is also related to Hemitrachys bizonatus Gorham, 1876 based on the structure of the male genitalia.

Distribution. India. New record: Vietnam.

## Tillicera iblei Corporaal, 1949

Figs 9, 10

Tillicera iblei Corporaal, 1949: 99 (type locality Indonesia, Java); Gerstmeier and Bernhard 2010: 19, figs 9, 30-31
Clerus postmaculatus Nakane, 1963a: 46 (type locality Japan, Nakanoshima Is.); 1963b: 183; Miyatake 1985: 156. syn. nov.

Type specimen examined. Holotype of Clerus postmaculatus. Japan: "NAKANOSHIMA/ Is. Tokara/ 7.vii.1960/ M. Sato leg." (SEHU, 1 male).

Other specimens examined. Japan: [Kagoshima] Kankake-dake, alt. 220 m, Yakushima, 19.VII.-22.VII.2006, T. Yamauchi leg. (EUMJ, 3 exs); same locality and collector, 8.VI.-28.VI.2007, (EUMJ, 2 exs); same locality and collector, 28.VI.-30. VII.2007, (EUMJ, 5 exs); Hanyama, alt. 250 m , Yakushima, 22.VI.-22.VIII.2006, T. Yamauchi leg. (EUMJ, 3 exs, Malaise Trap); same locality and collector, 28.VI.-30. VII.2007, (EUMJ, 3 exs); same locality and collector, 27.VII.-30.VII. 2007 (EUMJ, 3 exs); Aiko-dake, alt. $150 \mathrm{~m}, 28 . V I .-29 . V I I .2007$, Y. Takeo leg. (EUMJ, 1 ex., Malaise Trap); Miyanoura, Yaku-shima, 10.VII.1961, K. Ueda leg. (EUMJ, 1 female); Ikari, AmamiOshima, 19.VI.1961, T. Shibata leg. (EUMJ, 1 male); same locality and collector, but 3.VII.1961. (EUMJ, 1 male); Mt. Otake, Toshima-mura, Nakano-shima, 23.VI.2004, J. Yamasako leg. (EUMJ, 1 female); Toshima, Nakano-shima, 1-7.VII.2009, M. Matsumura leg. (MMCJ, 1 ex.). [Okinawa] Iji, Kunigami-son, Okinawa-jima, 2.V.1993, M. Matsumura leg. (MMCJ, 1 male); Okuni-rindo, Kunigami-son, Okinawa-jima, 13.VI.1997, K. Inada leg. (KSCJ, 1 male); Aha, Kunigami-son, Okinawa-jima, 24.V.2012, M. Matsumura leg. (MMCJ, 1 female). Taiwan: [Miaoli] Xuejian Recreation Area, Tainan Township, 10. VI. 2015, S. Shih leg. (EUMJ, 8 males \& 18 exs) [Taitung] 9.VI.1971, Y. Maeda leg. (EUMJ, 1 female); Jinping-forestroad, Chihshang Township, 19.VI.2011, J. Yamasako leg. (EUMJ, 1 male). [Kaohsiung] Liukei Dist., 29.IV-8.V.1982, H. Takizawa leg. (KSCJ, 1 ex.); Tengjhih, Taoyuan Dist., 14.V.1991, W. L. Chen leg. (NHMI, 1 female). [Nantou] Nanzankei, Puli Township, 8.V.1965, T. Shirozu leg. (EUMJ, 1 male); same locality, 6.V.1971, Y. Hayashi leg. (EUMJ, 1 female); same locality, 17.IV.1977, W. Suzuki leg. (KSCJ, 1ex.); Sung Kang,


Figures I-I2. Habitus of Tillicera species I Tillicera auratofasciata $\mathbf{2}$ T. aurivillosa $\mathbf{3}$ T. bibalteata $\mathbf{4} T$. callosa $\mathbf{5 , 6} T$. cleroides $\mathbf{7 , 8}$ T. hirsuta $9,10 T$. iblei II, $\mathbf{1 2} T$. javana. Scale bars 2 mm .

Renai Township, 8.VIII.1983, K. Ra leg. (KSCJ, 1ex.); Gaofeng, alt. c. 1700 m, Musha, Renai Township, 24-28.VIII.2016, M. Tanaka leg. (MTCJ, 1 male); Mt. Rozan, alt. c. 1200 m, Renai Township, 29-30.VII.1976, H. Kan leg. (EUMJ, 1 female); Napankanshan, Renai Township, alt. 2050-2800 m, 20.V.1991, A. Saito leg. (NHMI, 1 male, 1 female). Laos: Mt. Phu Pan, Ban Saleui, Xam Neua, Houa Pan Prov., 9.V.2007, T. Mizusawa leg. (KSCJ, 1 male); Mt. Phou Pan, Ban Saleui, Hua Phan Prov., 20ำ13'30"N, $103^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime} E$, 1350-1900 m, 22.V.2011, C. Holzschuh \& Native leg. (OLML, 1 ex.). Thailand: Doi Pui, Chiang Mai Prov., 28.IV.1983, H. Kan leg. (EUMJ, 1 ex.); Meo Village, Chiang Mai Prov., 1.V.1987, S. Saito leg. (KSCJ, 1ex.); Chang Dao, Pa kia, Chiang Mai Prov., 4-5.V.2000, K. Okajima leg. (KSCJ, 1 ex.); Wiang Pa Pao, Chiang Rai Prov., 17-21.V.2015, K. Takahashi leg. (KSCJ, 1 ex.); same locality, 20-29.V.2017, K. Takahashi leg. (KSCJ, 3 exs); Chiang Rai, Wiang Pa Pao, Mae Chedi, alt. 1200 m, 9-12.V.2018, S. Imada \& S. Inoue leg. (EUMJ, 2 exs); Mae Hong Son, Ban Huai Po, 1600-2000 m, 9.-16.V.1991, J. Horah leg. (NMPC, 1 male). Myanmar: Maymyo (Pin Oo Lwin), alt. c. 1000 m, Mandalay Div., 6.VII.2001, Y. Kusakabe leg. (KSCJ, 1 ex.).

Description. For more details see Gerstmeier and Bernhard (2010). The color variation is recognized as follows (Figs 9, 10): pronotum black at apical $1 / 3$ and reddish at basal $2 / 3$; black at apical $1 / 2$ and reddish on remainder, wholly black and partly reddish at base; elytra sometimes partly black between basal reddish area and basal whitish fascia; femora yellow at base and black at apex; however, that color area is variable. Antennomeres V-X with a smooth area at apical margins in ventral view; XI without pit-like sensillum.

Remarks. Clerus postmaculatus was described from Nakano-shima Is., Okinawa Pref., Japan, by Nakane (1963a). After close examination of the type specimen, we conclude its synonymy with Tillicera iblei.

Distribution. Indonesia. New records: Japan, Laos, Myanmar, Taiwan, Thailand.

## Tillicera javana Spinola, 1844

Figs 11, 12
Tillicera javana Spinola, 1844: 160, pl 12, fig. 2 (type locality Indonesia, Java); Schenkling 1906: 262; Gerstmeier and Bernhard 2010: 21.
Tillicera javanica: Desmarest 1860: 241, fig. 169; Gorham 1893: 567.
Tillicera javana ab. luchti Corporaal, 1949: 100 (type locality Indonesia, Sumatra); Gerstmeier and Bernhard 2010: 23.

Specimens examined. Laos: Phou Samsoum, Xiang Khouang Prov., 2.VI.2007, J. Yamasako leg. (EUMJ, 1 ex.); Souy Dist., West of Phonsavan 40-50 km, Xiang Khouang Prov., 17-18.VI.2008, J. Yamasako leg. (EUMJ, 1 female); Mt. Phu Pan, Ban Saleui, Xam Neua, Houa Pan Prov., alt. 1500-1700 m, 4.V.2002, N. Ohbayashi leg. (EUMJ, 1 ex.); same locality, alt. 1600-1900 m, 2-10.IV.2005, unknown collector (EUMJ, 1 ex.); same locality, alt. 1700-1800 m, 17-20.VI.2003, N. Ohbayashi leg.


Figures 13-22. Habitus of Tillicera species 13 Tillicera michaeli 14 T. obscura 15 T. paula 16, 17 T. pseudocleroides 18, 19 T. sensibilis 20 T. soror 21 T. tonkinensis 22 T. wenii. Scale bars 2 mm.
(EUMJ, 2 exs); same locality, alt. $1750 \mathrm{~m}, 16-20 . V .2004$, M. Sato leg. (EUMJ, 1 ex.); same locality, alt. 1400-1500 m, 20-24.VI.2003, N. Ohbayashi leg. (EUMJ, 1 ex.). Thailand: Khao Yai, 10. VI.1992. (EUMJ, 9 exs); Doi Pui, Chiang Mai Prov., 8.V.1983, H. Kan leg. (EUMJ, 1 ex.). Vietnam: Mt. Tam Dao, alt. 930 m, Vinh Phuc Prov., 1-7.V.1996, Y. Arita leg. (EUMJ, 4 exs); Mt. Lang Biang, alt. c. 1800 m, Lac Duong Dist., Lam Dong Prov., 31.V.2016, M. Matsumura leg. (EUMJ, 1 female).

Additional description. This species is variable in its pronotal color (Gerstmeier and Bernhard 2010). Additional variation is recognized as follows: head and pronotum completely reddish (Fig. 11); legs yellowish (Fig. 12). Antennomeres V-X vestigial with an area vested with sensilla basiconica at apical margin in ventral view; male XI of dorsal surface with pit-like sensillum.

Remarks. Opitz’s (2010, fig. 217) photograph labelled T. javana, used to illustrate a likeness to mutilid wasps, appears to be a misidentified specimen of Tillicera tonkinensis Gerstmeier \& Bernhard, 2010

Distribution. Indonesia (Java, Sumatra), Malaysia (Borneo, Sabah). New records: Laos, Thailand, Vietnam.

## Tillicera pseudocleroides Gerstmeier \& Bernhard, 2010

Figs 16, 17

Tillicera pseudocleroides Gerstmeier \& Bernhard, 2010: 27, figs 15, 39-40. Type locality Indonesia (Java, Sumatra), Malaysia (Pahang).

Specimens examined. Laos: Attapeu Prov., Thong Kai Ohk, Ban Kachung (Mai) env., 1200-1450 m, $15^{\circ} 01.02^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 107^{\circ} 26.27^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 10 .-24 . V I .2011$, M. Brancucci, M. Geiser, D. Hauck, Z. Kraus, A. Phantala \& E. Vongphachan (NHMB, 1 female); Souy Dist., West Phonsavan 40-50 km, Xieng Khouang Prov., 17-18.VI.2008, J. Yamasako leg. (EUMJ, 1 female).

Additional description. The colour of the pronotum, elytra, and legs are variable in this species (Figs 16, 17). Antennomeres V-X with an area vested with sensilla basiconica at the apical margin in ventral view.

Distribution. Indonesia (Java, Sumatra), Malaysia. New record: Laos.

## Tillicera soror Schenkling, 1902

Fig. 20
Tillicera soror Schenkling, 1902: 127 (type locality Bhutan); Schenkling 1903: 121, pl.
1, fig. 10; Corporaal 1939: 26; Gerstmeier and Bernhard 2010: 29, figs 16, 41, 42. Rhytidoclerus soror: Pic 1934: 133.

Specimens examined. Vietnam: Mt. Phang Xi Pang, N. rdg., alt. 1950-1970 m, Hoang Lien Son Mts., Lai Chau Prov., 11.V.1995, A. Saito leg. (KSCJ, 1 male); Mt.

Pia Oac, Deo Kolea, alt. 1250-1300 m, Cao Bang Prov., N. Vietnam, 23-24.V.1999, A. Saito leg (KSCJ, 1 female).

Additional description. Antennomeres V-X with an area vested with sensilla basiconica at apical margin in ventral view; male antennomere XI with pit-like sensillum. Tarsal pulvillar formula 4-4-2, but in one male from Vietnam 4-4-4.

Distribution. Bhutan, India, Nepal. New record: Vietnam.

## Tillicera tonkinensis Gerstmeier \& Bernhard, 2010

Fig. 21

Tillicera tonkinensis Gerstmeier \& Bernhard, 2010: 32, figs 17, 43-44. Type locality Vietnam, Tam dao.

Specimens examined. Laos: Salavan Prov., $16^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 42.43$ 'E, Xe Xap NPA, c. 15 km NE of Ta-oy, BAN DOUB env., 600-900 m, 26.-30.v.2012. NHMB Basel, Expedition Laos 2012: M. Brancucci, M. Geiser, V. Phanthavong, S. Xayalath (NHMB, 1 ex.).

Additional description. Antennomeres V-X with an area vested with sensilla basiconica at the apical margin in ventral view.

Distribution. Vietnam. New record: Laos.

## Tillicera fortis sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/E1E56C85-8AC4-4A6A-AA70-9A4AA6D0C692
Figs 23, 24, 41-45
Types. Holotype. Laos: "E. Phonsavan, 25 km,/ Xiang Khouang/ 19.VI.2005/ J. Yamasako leg.", (EUMJ, 1 male) Paratype. Thailand: "Doi Pui/ Chiang Mai Prov./ N. Thailand/ 13-14. VI. 1979/ W. Suzuki leg", (EUMJ, 1 female).

Diagnosis. This species is similar to T. auratofasciata, but can be distinguished by the following characteristics: male antennomere X as wide as long; punctation of pronotum sparse; tegmen with deep sinus at apical $1 / 4$; denticles of phallic plates extending to apex (vs. T. auratofasciata: male antennomere X wider than long; punctation of pronotum dense; tegmen with sinus at apical $1 / 6$; denticles of phallus not extending to apex).

Description. Male. Head black; antennomeres V-XI, apex of profemora, mesoand metafemora except for base, tibiae, and tarsi brownish black; pronotum and profemora except for apex and base of meso- and metafemora reddish; elytra reddish at basal $1 / 3$, remainder black, with three yellow maculations extending from lateral margin of basal $1 / 4$ interrupted before suture, outwardly curved from suture of basal $1 / 3$, and transversely at apical $1 / 4$, confluent with suture.

Head including eyes slightly narrower than pronotum; labrum incised medially; maxillary terminal palpomeres digitiform; labial terminal palpomeres widely triangu-


Figures 23-27. Habitus of Tillicera 23 Tillicera fortis sp. nov., Holotype, male $\mathbf{2 4}$ ditto, paratype, female 25 T. spinosa sp. nov., Holotype, male $\mathbf{2 6}$ ditto, paratype, male $\mathbf{2 7}$ ditto, paratype, female. Scale bars 2 mm.


Figures 28-3 I. Antennae of Tillicera spp. ( $\mathbf{2 8}$ dorsal view 29-3 I ventral view) $\mathbf{2 8}$ male antennomere XI of T. spinosa sp. nov. $\mathbf{2 9}$ female antennomere X of T. spinosa sp. nov. $\mathbf{3 0}$ female antennomeres VII-IX of $T$. spinosa sp. nov. $\mathbf{3 I}$ male antennomeres IV and V of T. callosa. Abbreviations: $\mathbf{P}$ pit-like sensillum SB an area of sensilla basiconica.
lar; postgular plate narrow. Antennomere I claviform; II compact; III twice as long as II; IV-X triangular, becoming gradually widened; IX as long as wide; X slightly wider than long; $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{X}$ with an area vested with sensilla basiconica at apical margin in ventral view; XI with a pit-like sensillum.

Pronotum slightly longer than wide, widest at middle, with fine dense punctures. Mesoventrite with short anterior process.

Elytra oblong, parallel sided, covered with ten striae; striae I-III absent before basal oblique yellow fascia; IV-VI extending after middle; VII and VIII weakly punctured after base of lateral yellow fascia; IX and X obscuse.

Tibiae stout, with longitudinal carina; tibial spur formula $1-2-2$; tarsal pulvillar formula 4-4-4; pro- and mesotarsomeres I and II with large lobed pulvilli; III and IV with large bilobed pulvilli; metatarsomeres I with vestigial minute pulvillus; II with small lobed pulvillus; III and IV with large bilobed pulvilli; claws with basal denticles.

Abdominal sternite V deeply, marginated at apical margin. Pygidium (Fig. 41) almost transverse at apical margin; ventrite VI (Fig. 42) broadly emarginated at apical margin; spicular fork (Fig. 43) long, without intraspicular plate.

Tegmen (Fig. 44a-c) with dorsal and ventral sinus at apical 1/4; parameroid lobes tapered posteriorly at apex in lateral view, flattened at apex; tegminal arms short, extending middle to basal $1 / 4$ of total length. Median lobe (Fig. 45a-c) slightly shorter than tegmen; plates with rows of denticles on apex of dorsal and ventral sides, on left side in ventral view these are positioned at apical $1 / 5$, on right side in ventral view they are very short.

Female. Similar to male but distinguished by the following characteristics: antennomere XI without pit-like sensillum; sternite V with shallower emargination at apical margin. Elytral striae VI to VIII weakly punctured posterior to base of lateral yellow fascia.

Measurements and ratios. Male ( $N=1$ ). BL 8.80 mm ; PL 2.60 mm ; PW 2.25 mm ; EL 6.20 mm ; EW 2.80 mm ; EyW 0.50 mm ; EyD 0.95 mm ; PL/PW 1.16; EL/EW 2.21; EL/PL 2.38; EW/PW 1.24; EyD/EyW 1.90. Female ( $N=1$ ). BL 8.65 mm ; PL 2.45 mm ; PW 2.25 mm ; EL 6.20 mm ; EW 2.90 mm ; EyW 0.55 mm ; EyD 1.05 mm ; PL/PW 1.09; EL/EW 2.14; EL/PL 2.53; EW/PW 1.29; EyD/EyW 1.91.

Etymology. This specific name is derived from the Latin fortis (sturdy), referring to the stout tibiae.

Distribution. Laos, Thailand.

## Tillicera spinosa sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/2E35F6F1-8F4A-4BB9-957F-82173B1EB70E
Figs 25-30, 46-50

Types. Holotype. "Doi Pui, 1400-/ 1500 m, Chiang Mai, N. Thailand, 19-VI1983, T. Shimomura leg". (RGCM, 1 male, erroneously cited in Gerstmeier and Bernhard (2010) under T. auratofasciata). Paratypes. Laos: "NE LAOS/ Phu Pan, $1,750 \mathrm{~m} /$ Ban Saleui, Xam Neua/ Houa Phan Prov./ 16-23.VI.2003/ Shinji Nagai


Figures 32-35. Hemitrachys tubericollis 32, 33 habitus in dorsal (32) and ventral (33) views $\mathbf{3 4}$ male antennomeres IX-XI in ventral view $\mathbf{3 5}$ male antennomeres V-VIII in ventral view. Abbreviations: $\mathbf{S B}$ an area of sensilla basiconica.
leg." (KSCJ, 1 female). Myanmar: "near Kalaw/ 1,000-1,300 m in alt./ Shan Sta., Myanmar/ 10-25.V.2005/ Y. Kusakabe leg." (EUMJ, 1 male \& 1 female; KSCJ, 1 male \& 2 females); "Mt. Victoria/ (Natmataung N. P.)/ alt. 1500-2750m/ Kanpelet side", "Chin Sta., Myanmar/ 21-24. V. 2002/ Y. Kusakabe leg." (EUMJ, 1 male; KSCJ, 1 male \& 1 female); "Mt. Victoria/ alt. 1500-2000 m/ Mindat side", "Chin Sta., Myanmar/ 13-14.VI.2002/ Y. Kusakabe leg.", (KSCJ, 1 female). Thailand:
"Doi Pui Chieng Mai/ N - THAILAND/ 22.V.1986/ leg." (KSCJ, 1 female); QSBG-2014-0160-0010, Amnat Charoen, Chanuman Dist., Doi Inthanon NP, $18^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 44.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 98^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 1376 \mathrm{~m}, 29 . V .-1 . V I I .2014$, Malaise trap, Wichai Srisuka et al. (RGCM, 1 ex.); Same with QSBG....-0008 (RGCM, 1 ex.); Same with QSBG....-0009 + 0011 (QSBG, 3 exs). China: S-Yunnan (Xishuangbanna), c. 30 km NW Jinghong, vic. Bameng, $1700-2000 \mathrm{~m}$; Hua Zhuliangzi Mts., $22^{\circ} 08.01^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 100^{\circ} 31.54^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 1700-2000 \mathrm{~m}, 30 . \mathrm{V} .2008$, leg. A. Weigel, sec. forest (RGCM, 1 male).

Diagnosis. This species is similar to Tillicera soror Schenkling, 1902, but distinguished by the following characteristics: antennomere VI-X gradually broadened; metatibiae apically extended to a broad spine; posterior margin of abdominal ventrite V, with broadly V-shaped emargination; phallobase notched at apical $1 / 5$ of total length of tegmen (vs. T. soror: antennomere VIII-IX gradually broadened; hind tibiae not prominent at apex; posterior margin of abdominal sternite V broadly U -shaped and truncate; phallobase deeply notched at apical $1 / 3$ ).

Description. Male. Head, antennomeres IV-XI, base of pronotum and legs brownish black; antennomeres I-III and pronotum reddish. Elytra black except for reddish area near basal suture and yellowish area at basal $1 / 4$ near lateral margin. Head at apex and legs covered with white setae; basal $1 / 2$ of head and pronotum with mingled white and black setae; elytra densely vested with yellowish setae obliquely at suture of basal $1 / 3$ and transversally at lateral margin basal $1 / 4$ and apical $1 / 4$, the remainder vested with black setae (Fig. 10). This species has two color patterns: the first, antennomeres IV-XI, apex of pronotum, elytra except for area covered with yellowish setae, and legs black (Fig. 11); the second, head black; apical $1 / 4$ of pronotum brownish black; elytral setae white and black (Fig. 12).

Head including eyes as wide as pronotum; labrum incised at middle; maxillary terminal palpomeres digitiform; labial terminal palpomeres widely triangular; postgular plate narrow. Antennomere I claviform; II compact; III twice as long as II; IV-X triangular, becoming gradually widened; V-IX (Fig. 30) with an area vested with sensilla basiconica; X (Fig. 29) with two areas vested with sensilla basiconica at apical margin in ventral view; XI (Fig. 28) with small pit-like sensillum in male.

Pronotum slightly longer than wide, widest at middle, with fine dense punctures. Mesoventrite with short anterior process.

Elytra oblong, parallel sided, covered with ten striae; striae I and II absent before basal oblique yellow fascia; III-V or VII extending after middle, sometimes absent before basal oblique yellow fascia; VI or VIII-X rudimentary.

Profemora stouter than meso- and metafemora; punctation of meso- and metafemora denser than that of profemora. Tibiae short prominent at apex, with distinct longitudinal carina on dorsal and ventral surfaces; tibial spur formula $1-2-0$; tarsal pulvillar formula 4-4-2; pro- and mesotarsomeres I and II with large lobed pulvilli; III and IV with large bilobed pulvilli; metatarsomeres I and II without pulvilli; III and IV with large bilobed pulvilli; claws with basal denticles.


Figures 36-40. Male terminal parts and aedeagus of Tillicera callosa $\mathbf{3 6}$ pygidium $\mathbf{3 7}$ ventrite VI 38 spicular fork $\mathbf{3 9}$ tegmen in lateral (a), ventral (b) and dorsal (c) views $\mathbf{4 0}$ median lobe in lateral (a), ventral (b), and dorsal (c) views.

Abdominal ventrite V deeply emarginated at apical margin. Pygidium (Fig. 46) narrowly emarginated at apical margin; ventrite VI (Fig. 47) almost transverse at apical margin; spicular fork long (Fig. 48), without intraspicular plate.

Tegmen (Fig. 49a-c) with dorsal and ventral sinus at apical 1/5; parameroid lobes tapered posteriorly at apex in lateral view; tegminal arms short, extending from middle to basal $1 / 4$ of total length. Median lobe shorter than tegmen; plates with rows of denticles from apical $1 / 5$ to $2 / 5$ of total length on dorsal and ventral sides (Fig. 50a-c).

Female. Similar to male but distinguished by antennomere XI without pit-like sensillum, tibial spur formula 1-2-2 and apex of metatibiae not extended to a broad spine.

Measurements and ratios. Male ( $N=4$ ). BL 6.55-8.30 (7.14) mm; PL 1.752.30 (1.99) mm; PW 1.55-2.10 (1.78) mm; EL 4.60-6.00 (5.15) mm; EW 2.10-2.65 (2.29) mm; EyW 0.40-0.55 (0.49) mm; EyD 0.55-0.95 (0.76) mm; PL/PW 1.101.14 (1.12); EL/EW 2.09-2.36 (2.25); EL/PL 2.24-2.81 (2.60); EW/PW 1.22-1.35 (1.29); EyD/EyW 1.38-1.73 (1.56). Female ( $N=4$ ). BL $8.00-8.90$ ( 8.49 ) mm; PL 2.20-2.50 (2.36) mm; PW 2.00-2.25 (2.10) mm; EL 5.80-6.50 (6.13) mm; EW 2.50-2.75 (2.65) mm; EyW 0.50-0.60 (0.54) mm; EyD 0.95-1.05 (1.00) mm; PL/ PW 1.07-1.20 (1.13); EL/EW 2.23-2.36 (2.31); EL/PL 2.42-2.77 (2.59); EW/PW 1.22-1.31 (1.26); EyD/EyW 1.73-2.00 (1.87).

Etymology. This specific name is derived from the Latin spinosa (spine), referring to metatibiae apically extended to a broad spine.

Distribution. Laos, Myanmar, Thailand.


Figures 4I-50. Male terminal parts and aedeagus 41-45 Tillicera fortis sp. nov. 46-50 T. spinosa sp. nov. 4I, 46 pygidium $\mathbf{4 2 , 4 7}$ ventrite VI 43, 48 spicular fork 44, 49 tegmen in lateral (a), ventral (b) and dorsal (c) views 45, $\mathbf{5 0}$ median lobe in lateral (a), ventral (b), and dorsal (c) views.

Key to the species of Tillicera Spinola, I844
Based on Gerstmeier and Bernhard (2010) and Yang et al. (2011).
1 Elytra with sickle-like, posteriorly open, semicircle of silvery or golden setae .... 2

- Elytra without such an arrangement of setae ............................................ 8

2 Brown color of elytral base not reaching the lateral margin of elytra............ 3

- Brown color of elytral base reaching the lateral margin of elytra................. 4

3 Only antennomere X wider than long or as wide as long; male metatibiae apically not extended to a broad spine (Bhutan, India, Laos, Nepal)
T. soror Schenkling, 1902 (Fig. 20)

Antennomeres VIII-X wider than long; male metatibiae apically with a broad spine (Laos, Myanmar, Thailand)
T. spinosa sp. nov. (Figs 25-27)

4 Tarsal pulvillar formula 4-4-3 (Taiwan)
T. wenii Yang \& Yang, 2011 (Fig. 22)
_ Tarsal pulvillar formula 4-4-2 or 4-4-4....................................................... 5
5 Pronotum with sparse punctuation (Laos, Thailand)
T. fortis sp. nov. (Figs 23, 24)

- Pronotum with dense punctation

6 Length to width ratio of terminal antennomere of both sexes > 1.5:1 (China, Laos, Myanmar, Thailand) ... T. sensibilis Yang \& Yang, 2011 (Figs 18, 19) Length to width ratio of terminal antennomere of both sexes < 1.5:1 ......... 7
$\overline{7} \quad$ Antennomeres IX and X about twice as wide as long (Indonesia, Laos, Malaysia, Thailand, Vietnam) ..........T. javana Spinola, 1844 (Figs 11, 12)
Antennomeres IX and X less than twice wide as long (China, Laos, Myanmar, Thailand, Vietnam).........................T. auratofasciata (Pic, 1927) (Fig. 1)
8 Elytral base and humeri red-brown to brown ............................................ 9

- Elytral base and humeri black, sometimes with brown macula ................... 13

9 Pronotum with yellowish fascia at apical margin....................................... 10

- Pronotum without yellowish fascia at apical margin................................... 12

10 Elytra with large yellowish fascia at apical $1 / 3$, brown at apex (India)............
......................................................... T. paula Schenkling, 1908 (Fig. 15)

- Elytra with narrow yellowish fascia at apex, black at apex.......................... 11

11 Elytra largely yellowish red (China, Indonesia, Laos, Myanmar, Thailand)....
T. cleroides Gorham, 1892 (Figs 5, 6)

- Elytra largely black or brownish black (Indonesia, Laos, Malaysia)
T. pseudocleroides Gerstmeier \& Bernhard, 2010 (Figs 16, 17)

Frons about 1.7 times wider than the width of a single eye (Indonesia, Japan, Laos, Myanmar, Taiwan, Thailand) ....T. iblei Corporaal, 1949 (Figs 9, 10)

- $\quad$ Frons about as wide as a single eye (Vietnam)

| 13 | Elytra with anterior pale fascia at least as broad as the black central part (India) $\qquad$ T. aurivillosa Gorham, 1895 (Fig. 2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| - | Elytra with anterior pale fascia of elytra conspicuously narrower than the black central part $\qquad$ |
| 14 | Elytra with a sub-basal hump........................................................... 15 |
| - | Elytra without a sub-basa |
| 15 | Transverse fasciae of pattern-forming bright golden setae (China, Laos, Vietnam) $\qquad$ T. michaeli Gerstmeier \& Bernhard, 2010 (Fig. 13) |
| - | Transverse fasciae pale, pigmented; setae less brightly golden (India, Vietnam) $\qquad$ T. callosa Gerstmeier \& Bernhard, 2010 (Fig. 4) |
| 16 | Elytral punctation large at base; the space between each puncture within the same interval row narrower than diameter of a single puncture $\qquad$ |
| - | Elytral punctuation small at base; the space between each puncture within the same interval row larger than or same as diameter of a single puncture (Malaysia) $\qquad$ T. obscura Gerstmeier \& Bernhard, 2010 (Fig. 14) |
| 17 | Head unicolored black; antennae gradually widening from antennomere V; elytra with longitudinal rows of tubercles on basal intervals (Indonesia, Malaysia, Vietnam) $\qquad$ T. hirsuta (Pic, 1926) (Figs 7, 8) |
| - | Head reddish brown posteriorly; antennae gradually widening from antennomere VI; elytra without longitudinal rows of tubercles on basal intervals (Cambodia, China, Laos, Myanmar, Thailand, Vietnam) $\qquad$ $\qquad$ T. bibalteata Gorham, 1892 (Fig. 3) |
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#### Abstract

A comprehensive redescription of the poorly known mecistocephalid genus Krateraspis Lignau, 1929 and its two species is provided, based on the examination of type material and new specimens, as well as the critical evaluation of all published information. Krateraspis is confirmed differing from all other Mecistocephalidae especially for a peculiar pattern of areolation and setation of the clypeus. Records from 24 localities indicate that Krateraspis is limited to a narrow area of Middle Asia, from the Western Tian-Shan to the western offshoots of Pamir Mountains. Two species are morphologically distinguishable: K. meinerti (Sseliwanoff, 1881) and $K$. sselivanovi Titova, 1975. They differ mainly in details of the clypeus and maxillae, in the pattern of forcipular denticles, and in the number of legs. Tygarrup asiaticus Verhoeff, 1930 is confirmed as a junior synonym of $K$. meinerti, and a lectotype is designated for the former.
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## Introduction

The genus Krateraspis Lignau, 1929 is one of the least known and least distinct genera of the centipede family Mecistocephalidae Bollman, 1893 (Bonato et al. 2003). All reliable records are from a narrow area in the Middle Asia (Titova 1975; Dyachkov 2019,

[^5]2020; Dyachkov and Nedoev 2021), and they are currently referred to two species, K. meinerti (Sseliwanoff, 1881) and K. sselivanovi Titova, 1975 (Bonato et al. 2016). A satisfactory diagnosis of the genus is missing, the published accounts on its morphology are incomplete and contained ambiguous details, and the differential characters of the species have not been scrutinized carefully.

The first specimen of Krateraspis was reported by Sseliwanoff (1881a, 1881b) from near Tashkent (Uzbekistan) and was originally described as a species of Mecistocephalus Newport, 1843, namely M. meinerti, with very incomplete morphological information and without illustrations. Other specimens collected later near the type locality allowed Lignau (1929a, 1929b) and Verhoeff (1930) to complement the morphological description of this species and to provide the first illustrations. However, while Lignau (1929a, 1929b) assigned his specimen to M. meinerti and separated the species in a distinct genus Krateraspis, Verhoeff (1930) described his specimens as a new species of Tygarrup Chamberlin, 1914, namely T. asiaticus. The latter name was recognized as a synonym of Krateraspis meinerti by Titova (1975). In the same paper, Titova described a second species of Krateraspis, namely K. sselivanovi Titova, 1975, from Sharak (Tajikistan), as well as a putative third species from the Russian Far East, namely K. striganovae Titova, 1975, which however has been later recognized in a distinct genus Agnostrup Foddai, Bonato, Pereira \& Minelli, 2003. Other records of Krateraspis meinerti, additional information on its morphology and some first photographs were published by Dyachkov (2019, 2020) and Dyachkov and Nedoev (2021).

This paper contributes a comprehensive redescription of the morphology of the genus Krateraspis and its species, and an update of their distribution, based on the examination of the available type material and the critical evaluation of all published information.

## Materials and methods

We examined the holotype of $K$. meinerti (at ZISP; for abbreviations see below), one of the syntypes of its junior synonym Tygarrup asiaticus (at NHRS), at least six paratypes and some other possible paratypes of $K$. sselivanovi (at ZMMU; see corresponding Remarks), a specimen originally assumed by Verhoeff (1930) to be a juvenile T. asiaticus (at ZMB), 67 specimens of $K$. meinerti (at ASU, ZMMU, and ZISP) already reported by Dyachkov $(2019,2020)$ and Dyachkov and Nedoev $(2021)$, and 18 other specimens of $K$. meinerti and nine of $K$. sselivanovi (at ZMMU).

The specimens were examined with stereo microscopes: Olympus SZX16, Olympus BX51, Leica Z16 APO. Some non-typical specimens of $K$. meinerti and $K$. sselivanovi were dissected, and their cephalic capsule, forcipular segment, mandibles, maxillary complex, and remaining body were mounted in permanent slides using euparal. Photographs were taken using an Olympus DP74 or a Leica DFC490 digital cameras attached to the microscopes. Measurements were taken from the photos using the software FAST 1.0 (Vaganov et al. 2020).

We compiled a revised diagnosis of the genus Krateraspis by comparison with all currently recognized genera of Mecistocephalidae (Bonato et al. 2003; Uliana et al. 2007; Bonato et al. 2016). We also revised the differential diagnoses between the species of Krateraspis by direct comparison of specimens and critical reinterpretation of the published accounts. The terminology of morphology follows Bonato et al. (2010b).

Localities are indicated as in the original labels or publications. Modern English names and additional information are in square brackets. All localities were georeferenced unambiguously, with the single exception of "Fayzabad" because there are at least two homonymous villages with this name (Dyachkov 2020: 78). Localities were mapped with SimpleMappr (Shorthouse 2010).

## Abbreviations

| AF | A.A. Fomichev; | NHRS | Swedish Museum of Natural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AR | A. Ryvkin; |  | History, Stockholm; |
| ASU | Altai State University | NZ | N.A. Zarudniy; |
|  | (Barnaul, Russia); | Tj | Tajikistan; |
| D | Daniyarov; | V | Veltishev; |
| dors. | dorsal.; | ventr. | ventral; |
| juv. | juvenile/s; | VR | V. Russov; |
| LB | L. Berg; | YD | Yu.V. Dyachkov; |
| LBS | leg-bearing segment(s); | ZISP | Zoological Institute of the Rus- |
| 1 g | legit; |  | sian Academy of Sciences, Saint |
| MG | M.S. Ghilarov; |  | Petersburg; |
| NHMUK | Natural History Museum, | ZMB | Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin; |
|  | London; | ZMMU | Zoological Museum of the Mos- |
| NHMW | Natural History Museum, |  | cow State University; |
|  | Vienna; | ZSM | Zoologische Staatssammlung, München. |

## Results

## Krateraspis Lignau, 1929

Krateraspis: Lignau 1929a: 160 (available name), 165. Lignau 1929b: 207 (original description). Verhoeff 1930: 265. Titova 1975: 39, 46 (in key). Titova 1983: 148. Bonato et al. 2003: 544, 547, 549, 550, 552, 553. Foddai et al. 2003: 1255. Bonato et al. 2009: 195, 199, 207. Bonato et al. 2010a: 515. Bonato and Zapparoli 2011: 331. Bonato 2011: 434. Volkova 2016: 675. Dyachkov 2019: 368, 370, 372. Dyachkov 2020: 79; Dyachkov and Nedoev 2021: 44.

Type species. Mecistocephalus meinerti Sseliwanoff, 1881, by monotypy (Lignau 1929a, 1929b).

Remarks on nomenclatural issues. The genus name Krateraspis was first introduced by Lignau (1929a) without a description or diagnosis, but it was explicitly used for the species Mecistocephalus meinerti Sseliwanoff, 1881 and therefore it is available since that publication (ICZN 1999: Art. 12.2.5). Instead, the first morphological description of Krateraspis was given in a different paper by the same author, published in the same year but in a later date (Lignau 1929b).

The type species of Krateraspis was determined by monotypy (see also Jeekel 2005: 86), not by original designation as erroneously reported by Bonato et al. (2016) and Dyachkov (2019).

Diagnosis. A genus of Mecistocephalidae with: anterior areolate part of the clypeus extending along the lateral margins of the clypeus to the labrum; two clypeal plagulae separated by a mid-longitudinal areolate strip; central part of the clypeus with distinct but fainter areolation in comparison with the markedly areolate anterior part and the mid-longitudinal strip; clypeal setae only three or four pairs, on the antero-central part of the clypeus; buccae without spiculum; labral anterior ala with the internal margin reduced to a point; labral posterior ala with the posterior margin entire, without bristles; coxosternite of first maxillae divided by a mid-longitudinal suture; coxosternite of second maxillae entire, without mid-longitudinal suture, with the grooves from the metameric pores reaching the lateral margins of the coxosternite at approximately their mid-length; telopodite of second maxillae bearing a small clawlike pretarsus; forcipular tergite slightly wider than long; sternites without pore fields; either 45 or 53 pairs of legs; ultimate legs without claw but with an apical small spine.

Krateraspis differs from other mecistocephalids (Table 1) mainly in the pattern of clypeal areolation and setation: a broad weakly areolate central part of the clypeus is distinguishable from the distinctly areolate anterior part as well as the non-areolate posterior plagulae, and a few setae are present on the medial part only. Of two other Middle Asian mecistocephalid genera, Tygarrup and Arrup, Krateraspis is more similar to the former. Tygarrup differs from Krateraspis for an entire non-areolate plagula lacking a mid-longitudinal areolate strip, and for the presence of setae on both the central and lateral parts of the clypeus. Arrup differs from Krateraspis not only in the clypeus (markedly areolate in both the central and anterior part, with setae on the both the lateral and central parts), but also in the maxillary complex (coxosternite of the first maxillae entire, without mid-longitudinal suture; coxosternite of the second maxillae with grooves from the metameric pores running backwards towards the posterior corners of the coxosternite), in the forcipular tergite (much wider than long), and the number of legs (41 pairs).

Included species. Krateraspis meinerti (Sseliwanoff, 1881) and K. sselivanovi Titova, 1975.

Distribution. Recorded from 24 localities in Middle Asia so far, from Western Tian-Shan to the western offshoots of Pamir Mts (Fig. 1).

Remarks on published morphological accounts. The peculiar pattern of clypeal areolation is well recognizable only using a light microscope with slides, while it is very poorly visible using stereo (dissecting) microscope. Additionally, the semblance of the areolation is conditioned by the preparation of the specimen, the optical properties of
Table I. Main differences between Krateraspis and the other genera of Mecistocephalidae

| Characters | $\begin{gathered} \text { Krateraspis } \\ \text { Lignau, } 1929 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Arrup } \\ \text { Chamberlin, } \\ 1912 \end{gathered}$ | Partygarrupius <br> Verhoeff, 1939 | Agnostrup Foddai, Bonato, Pereira \& Minelli, 2003 | Nannarrup Foddai, Bonato, Pereira \& Minelli, 2003 | Dicellophilus Cook, 1896 | Anarrup Chamberlin, 1920 | Proterotaivanella Bonato, Foddai \& Minelli, 2002 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tygarrup } \\ \text { Chamberlin, } \\ 1914 \end{gathered}$ | Mecistocephalus <br> Newport, 1843 | Takashimaia Miyosi, 1955 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| clypeus: central part: areolation | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | no | yes | no | yes | no |
| clypeus: areolation of central part compared with anterior part | fainter | similar | similar | similar | similar | no | no | similar | no | similar or fainter; sometimes nonareolate insulae | no |
| clypeus: posterior mid-longitudinal areolate strip | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | no | no | yes | no | yes | no |
| clypeus: areolation extending all along the lateral margins | yes | yes | no | no | yes | no | no | yes | no | no | no |
| clypeus: setae on antero-lateral corners | no | yes | no | no | no | yes | yes | no | usually yes | yes or no | no |
| clypeus: setae on lateral parts | no | longitudinally elongate areas | narrow transverse band | longitudinally elongate areas | longitudinally elongate areas | longitudinally elongate areas | narrow transverse band | longitudinally elongate areas | narrow transverse band | narrow transverse band | no |
| bucca: spiculum | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes |
| labrum: anterior ala: internal margin reduced to a point | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | yes |
| labrum: posterior ala: posterior margin: bristles | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | usually no | no |
| first maxillae: coxosternite: midlongitudinal suture | yes | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| second maxillae: coxosternite: midlongitudinal suture | no | no | no | no | no | no | yes | no | no | no | no |
| second maxillae: coxosternite: groove from metameric pore reaching lateral margin | yes | no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| second maxillary telopodite: distinctly surpassing the first maxillary telopodite | yes or no | no | no | no | no | yes | yes | no | yes | yes | yes |
| second maxillae: pretarsus | small claw | no or small claw | small claw | no | no | spinous tubercle | spinous tubercle | small claw | small claw | small claw | small claw |
| forcipular segment: tergite: width/ length | $\sim 1.5$ | $\sim 2.0$ | $\sim 1.5$ | $\sim 2.0$ | $\sim 2.0$ | $\sim 1.5$ | $\sim 1.5$ | $\sim 1.5$ | $\sim 1.5$ | $\sim 1.5$ | $\sim 1.5$ |
| trunk: leg-bearing segments | 45 or 53 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 or 43 or 45 | 41 | 45 or 49 | 43 or 45 | 45 or more | 45 |
| ultimate leg pair: pretarsus | no | no | no | no | no | spinous tubercle | spinous tubercle | spinous tubercle | no | no | no |



Figure I. Distribution of Krateraspis Lignau, 1929: square, K. meinerti (Sseliwanoff, 1881); star, K. sselivanovi Titova, 1975. Green symbols indicate type localities. Some very close localities are marked by a single symbol. The question marks indicate alternative positions of the uncertain locality "Fayzabad" (see Materials and methods).
the inclusion medium and the mode of illumination. This may explain why the pattern of areolation on the clypeus has been interpreted, described, and illustrated in inconsistent ways by different authors. Lignau (1929b) did not distinguish between a markedly areolate anterior part and a weakly areolate central part, neither in the textual description of $K$. meinerti ("Vorderklypeus fein gefeldert, nimmt etwas mehr als die Hälfte der gesammten Fläche ein" [anterior clypeus finely areolate, extending a little more than half of the total area]) nor in the associated illustration (his fig. 10). In the same way, Titova (1975) described K. sselivanovi without indicating any variation in the areolation between anterior and central parts of the clypeus, neither in the textual description ("Peredniy clypeus zanimaet bolee poloviny nalichnika, ego poverhnost sostoit iz polygonalnikh poley, po seredine uzkoy polosoy razdelyayuschikh zadniy clypeus na 2 poloviny" [anterior clypeus covers more than a half of the total clypeal area, its surface consists of polygonal cells that divide the posterior clypeus in the middle into 2 parts by a narrow strip], nor in the accompanying illustration (her fig. 2: 1A). On the other hand, Verhoeff (1930) described and illustrated T. asiaticus (synonym of K. meinerti, see below) ignoring the weak areolation in the central part of clypeus and assigning this part to the non-areolate plagulae. Dyachkov (2019) used term "insula" for the weakly areolate central part of the clypeus of $K$. meinerti, but the term was previously used for a non-areolate area inside the areolate anterior clypeus (Bonato et al. 2010b).

The pattern of clypeal setae and sensilla has also been reported inconsistently: the eight "Punkte" [points] described and illustrated by Lignau (1929b: fig. 10) in the central part of clypeus of his single specimen of $K$. meinerti are probably the sockets of broken setae, because these points (in his fig. 10) correspond in number and position
with the eight clypeal setae present in most specimens of this species. In the same way, Lignau (1929b) described the antennae as "kahl" [without setae] probably because the antennal setae were broken in his material.

The description of $K$. meinerti provided by Lignau (1929b) includes another obvious mistake: the sentence " 2 . Maxille mit getrennten Hüften" [second maxillae with divided coxosternite] should be read "1. Maxille mit getrennten Hüften" [first maxillae with divided coxosternite], because it is contradicted by a previous sentence in the same text ("ganz verwachsenen Hüften der 2. Maxille" [entirely coalescent coxosternite of the second maxillae"], as well as by an associated illustration (his fig. 9).

## Krateraspis meinerti (Sseliwanoff, 1881)

Figures 2-28
Mecistocephalus meinerti: Sseliwanoff 1881a: 9 (nomen nudum). Sseliwanoff 1881b: 232 (original description). Sseliwanoff 1884: 73 (description). Attems 1903: 168, 210. Attems 1904: 115. Attems 1914: 21. Attems 1929: 156. Izotova 1960: 150 (misidentification).
Krateraspis meinerti: Lignau 1929a: 160, 165 (new record). Lignau 1929b: 207 (redescription); figs $7-11$. Verhoeff 1930: 264. Titova 1965: 871 (new record). Titova 1969: 165. Titova 1975: 39, 45 (new records), 46 (in key); fig. 2: 2-4B. Bonato et al. 2003: 543, 545, 546, 550, 551, 577. Ilie et al. 2009: 14. Bonato 2011: 434. Volkova 2016: 675. Dyachkov 2019: 368, 371 (new records; description), 373 (in key); figs 6-10. Dyachkov 2020: 79 (new records), 85. Dyachkov and Nedoev 2021: 44 (new records), 47.
Tygarrup asiaticus: Verhoeff 1930: 260 (original description); figs 20-21. Verhoeff 1934: 31. Verhoeff 1937: 235 (in key). Verhoeff 1939: 88 (in key). Takakuwa 1940: 84. Verhoeff 1940: 31. Verhoeff 1942: 49 (in key). Shinohara 1965: 303 (in key), 304. Titova 1965: 871, 874 (in key). Titova 1983: 147, 148.

Type locality. "Chinas, bl. Tashkenta" (Sseliwanoff 1881a), also indicated as "Chinad [sic] bliz Tashkenta" (Sseliwanoff 1881b) and "Mestechko Chinas, bliz Tashkenta" (Sseliwanoff 1884) [Uzbekistan, Tashkent region, Chinaz town, ca. $40^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $\left.68^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right]$.

Synonyms. Tygarrup asiaticus Verhoeff, 1930 (synonymization since Titova 1975; see below, under Remarks).

Examined specimens. Holotype of Mecistocephalus meinerti Sseliwanoff, 1881: q, from Chinas bl. Tashkenta [Uzbekistan, Tashkent region, Chinaz near Tashkent], 1878, VR $\lg$ (ZISP). Lectotype of Tygarrup asiaticus Verhoeff, 1930 (see below, under Remarks): §, from Tashkent (NHRS-JONI 714). Other material: 1 \&, from Tashkent, 13.III [year unknown], NZ $\lg$ (ZISP chilo-52); 1 ?, from Ugam Mts, Sidzhak, nut [Juglans] forest, soil samples, 28.IV.[19]74, MG $\lg$ (ZMMU Rc 7408); 1 q, from Chimgan, nut forest, 07.V.[19]74, MG lg (ZMMU Rc 7413); 1 q, from Chimgan, Tashkent
ravine, VII.[19]06, LB $\lg$ (ZISP chilo-1); 1 q, from Vrevskaya Station [now Almazar, ca. $\left.40^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 68^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right], 26 . \mathrm{IV} .1932$, V lg (ZISP chilo-5); $1 \delta^{\top}$ and 1 q, from Kamsay, near Khumsan, Juglandetum, 03.V.[19]74, MG $\lg$ (ZMMU Rc 7407); $1 \delta^{\top}$ and 5 우, from Khumsan, right bank of Ugam river, nut forest, 1.V.[19]74, MG $\lg$ (ZMMU Rc 7406); 1 , from [Tajikistan, Districts of Republican Subordination, Roghun district] left side of Obikandak river valley (left stream tributary of Obigarm river), stony meadow with rocks, $38^{\circ} 43.275^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 69^{\circ} 43.863^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 1250-1540 \mathrm{~m}, 23 . \mathrm{IV} .2019$, AF $\lg$ (ASU No. 261); 2 た ${ }^{\top}$, from [Khatlon region, Mu'minobod district], Muminabad [Mu'minobod, now Leningradsky, ca. $38^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 70^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ], $0-10$ [cm deep], 19.V.[19]62 (ZMMU Rc 8158) and 11.V.[19]65 (ZMMU Rc 8159); $2 \sigma^{\top} \delta^{\pi}, 3 q q$ and 1 body fragment from Sharak [village, ca. $38^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 70^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ], 10-20 [cm deep], 15.VIII.[19]65 (ZMMU Rc 8136), 10-20 [cm deep], 27.V.[19]65 (ZMMU Rc 8185), 20-30 [cm deep], 19.X.[19]64 (ZMMU Rc 8148), 10-20 [cm deep], 31.V.[19]65 (ZMMU Rc 8155), grass, 0-10 [cm deep], 3.VI.[19]63 (ZMMU Rc 8139); $1 \delta$ and 6 q 9 , from [Yovon district], Yavan [Yovon, ca. $\left.38^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 69^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right]$ : Triticum, 20-40 cm deep, 25.VII.[19]67 (ZMMU Rc 8151), Triticum, 20-60 [cm deep], 20.X.[19]67 (ZMMU Rc 8186), Triticum, 0-30 [cm deep], 19.X.[19]68 (ZMMU Rc 8170), Triticum, 10-20 [cm deep], 21.V.[19]68 (ZMMU Rc 8183), Hordeum, 0-10 [cm deep], 13.V.[19]67 (ZMMU Rc 8169), Avena, 0-10 [cm deep], 26.V.[19]68 (ZMMU Rc 8172); $2 \widehat{o}^{\top}$ and 4 body fragments, from [Sughd region], Matcha district [ca. $40^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 69^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ]: 10-20 [cm deep], [date unknown], D lg (ZMMU Rc 8157), 15.V.[19]65 (ZMMU Rc 8149), and 0-10 [cm deep], D $\lg$ (ZMMU Rc 8190); 1 q, from Mogol-Tau Mts [ca. $\left.40^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 69^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right]$, under stones, [19]74 (ZMMU Rc 7409); 1 q, from F-bad [unknown region, Fayzobod], Triticum, 10-20 [cm deep], 6.V.[19]66 (ZMMU Rc 8174); $1 \delta^{\lambda}$, from [Kyrgyzstan, Jalal-Abad region], SaryChelek Nature Reserve, near Arkit Village [ca. $41^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 71^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ], forest with Juglans and Acer, 03.VII.[19]83, AR $\lg$ (ZMMU Rc 7670); $1 \jmath^{\lambda}$, from near Kyttelsay stream, forest
 zakhstan, Turkistan region, 10 km SW Abay Village, Karatau Mt. Range, Karatau State Nature Reserve, cereals and tulip steppe, under stones, $43^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 04.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 68^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 42.0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, 1020 m, 06-07.V.2017, YD $\lg$ (ASU No. 214); 1 q, from 50 km NW Achisay Village, Kyzylkol Lake coast, in clay stones, $43^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 34.0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 69^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 36.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 328 \mathrm{~m}, 08-09 . \mathrm{V} .2017$,
 Turkestan Natural Park, near Terekty Village, Boralday River coast, Morus and cereals, under stones, $42^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 48.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 69^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 55.0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 529 \mathrm{~m}, 14-15 . \mathrm{V} .2017$, YD $\lg$ (ASU No. 216); $9 \delta^{\top} 0^{\lambda}, 6$ 우 and 3 juv., from Ugam Mt Range, Sayram-Ugam National Park, 10 km NE Tylkubas Village, Iirsu River Valley, meadow, under stones, $42^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 58.0^{\prime \prime N}$, $70^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 30.08^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 1296 \mathrm{~m}, 16-18 . V .2017$, YD $\lg$ (ASU No. 217).

Remarks on nomenclatural issues. The species name Mecistocephalus meinerti was first introduced by Sseliwanoff (1881a) without description, definition, or indication, and therefore it is not available from that publication (ICZN 1999: Art. 12.1 and 12.2). The name became available since another paper published later (Sseliwanoff 1881b), which provided a morphological description of the species, based on a specimen.

Verhoeff (1930) described Tygarrup asiaticus based on nine specimens from two localities (seven from Vreskaja, ca. 50 km SW of Tashkent, and two from Tashkent) and
all these specimens should be considered as syntypes (ICZN 1999: Recommendation 73F). They are preserved in different museums: at least four in ZSM (SysTax 2021), one in ZMB (Moritz and Fischer 1979; pers. obs.), one in NHMUK (Natural History Museum 2021), one in NHRS (pers. obs.), and one in NHMW (Ilie et al. 2009). The descriptions and illustrations provided by Verhoeff and our direct examination of two syntypes (NHRS-JONI 714 and ZMB 3610) revealed that Verhoeff described T. asiaticus mainly on some syntypes that are fully consistent with Krateraspis meinerti. Other syntypes actually belonging to another species were misinterpreted by Verhoeff as juveniles of Tygarrup asiaticus. To stabilize the usage of the name, we herewith designate NHRS-JONI 714 as lectotype of T. asiaticus (ICZN 1999: Art. 74.1.1). This specimen (Fig. 18) is fully consistent with the original description and illustrations published by Verhoeff (1930) for the adult morphology of T. asiaticus and Krateraspis meinerti. It is an adult male 31 mm long, labeled "Tygarrup asiaticus Verh. Turkestan", acquired by NHRS in 1931 and indicated explicitly as type in the catalogue of NHRS. This specimen has been now labeled "lectotype", whereas other previous syntypes has been now labeled "paralectotype" (ICZN 1999: Recommendation 74C).

ZMB 3610 (labeled as a syntype of T. asiaticus, from Tashkent, with 43 pairs of legs; Figs 29-32) actually belongs to a species of Arrup Chamberlin, 1912, as indicated by the following characters: clypeus with many setae on the lateral parts and very short paired plagulae (Fig. 30), first maxillae with relatively small telopodites (Fig. 30), forcipular tarsungulum with a relatively long denticle (Fig. 32), and 41 pairs of legs (erroneously reported 43 on the label on the microscopic slide; Fig. 29). More precisely, ZMB 3610 probably belongs to the species $A$. asiaticus (Titova, 1975), which is already known from Middle Asia and differs from all other known species of Arrup in the variable presence of coxal organs and pores (apparently absent in some specimens, including well grown specimens) and the branching structure of the channels of the anal organs and their broad openings (Fig. 31; Titova 1975; Dyachkov 2019).

Diagnosis. A species of Krateraspis with: clypeus showing the transition between marked and weak areolation very close to the clypeal anterior margin (at ca. 0.1 of the medial length of the clypeus), so that all clypeal setae are inside the weakly areolate central part of the clypeus; some small spine-like sensilla on the lateral parts of the clypeus; second maxillary telopodites distinctly surpassing the tips of the telopodites of the first maxillae; first article of the second maxillary telopodites without a distinct distal bulge on the external side; all forcipular articles with a distinct denticle; invariably 45 pairs of legs. See also Table 2.

Table 2. Main differences between Krateraspis meinerti (Sseliwanoff, 1881) and K. sselivanovi Titova, 1975.

| Morphological characters | K. meinerti | K. sselivanovi |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Clypeus: transition between marked and weak areolation: longitudinal <br> position | very close to the anterior margin <br> of the clypeus | at ca. $0.3-0.4$ of the total length <br> of the clypeus |
| First maxillae: telopodite: first article: distal bulge on external side | absent | present |
| Second maxillae: telopodite: elongation | distinctly surpassing the tip of | first maxillary telopodite | | approximately reaching the tip of |
| :---: |
| first maxillary telopodite |



Figures 2-9. Holotype of Krateraspis meinerti (Sseliwanoff, 1881), from Chinaz near Tashkent (ZISP): $\mathbf{2 , 3}$ head, forcipular and LBS 1 (dors., ventr.) $\mathbf{4}$ anterior LBS (ventr.) 5,6 intermediate LBS (ventr., dors.) 7 anterior and posterior parts of the body (dors.) $\mathbf{8 , 9}$ terminal part of the body (dors., ventr.). Abbreviations: $15 \mathrm{~s}, 16 \mathrm{~s}, 17 \mathrm{~s}$ - metasternites $15-17$, cp - coxopleural pores, us - metasternite of the ultimate LBS, ut - metatergite of the ultimate LBS. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{2 - 6 , 8 - 9}) ; 2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{7})$.

Redescription of holotype. Body stiffened, divided in two parts (Fig. 7); many legs missing. Total length ca. 48 mm ; maximal width 1.4 mm (at ca. LBS 21-22). Color (in 70\% ethanol) brown.

Head (Fig. 2). Cephalic plate $1.7 \times$ as long as wide, sub-rectangular but slightly widening anteriorly, its posterior margin straight. Transverse suture distinct, with a medial forward angle. Antennae ca. 5 mm, ca. $4.5 \times$ as long as the head maximum width.

Forcipular segment (Figs 2, 3). Tergite sub-trapezoid, ca. $1.5 \times$ as wide as long, with a mid-longitudinal distinct furrow inside an oval depression. Coxosternite as long as wide, with a pair of small anterior denticles. Trochanteroprefemur $1.4 \times$ as long as wide; tarsungulum $2.9 \times$ as long as wide. All forcipular articles with denticles: a large


Figures 10-I4. Krateraspis meinerti (Sseliwanoff, 1881): IO, II head, forcipular and LBS 1 (dors., ventr.) $\mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{I} \mathbf{3}$ left forcipule (ventr.) $\mathbf{1 4}$ clypeus and labrum (ventr.). Specimens: $q(\mathbf{I O}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{I} \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1 4})$ and $\widehat{ }$ (I2), from Syrdarya-Turkestan Natural Park (ASU No. 216). Abbreviations: aa - anterior ala, ap - markedly areolate anterior part of clypeus, c - calyx of poison gland; cp - central part of clypeus with distinct but fainter areolation, fe - femur, ms - mid-longitudinal areolate strip, p - plagula, pa - posterior ala, ta - tarsungulum, ti - tibia, tl - transverse thickened line, tr - trochanteroprefemur, ts - transverse suture. Scale bars: $0.5 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{I O}, \mathbf{I I}) ; 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{I 2 - 1 4})$.
distal denticle on the trochanteroprefemur, femur and tibia each with a small denticle, tarsungulum with a basal small denticle. Inner edge of tarsungulum slightly serrated.

Leg-bearing segments (Figs 4-6). Tergites 2-43 with a pair of paramedian sulci. Metasternites 2-44 with a median longitudinal sulcus. 45 LBS. Legs 1 slightly smaller than following legs; pretarsi with two accessory spines.

Ultimate leg-bearing segment (Figs 8, 9). Metatergite shield-shaped, $1.7 \times$ as long as wide, and $1.2 \times$ as wide as the pretergite. Metasternite subtriangular, $1.1 \times$ as wide as long, its anterior margin ca. $3 \times$ as wide as the posterior one. Ca. 50 pores on each


Figures 15-17. Krateraspis meinerti (Sseliwanoff, 1881), ventr.: $\mathbf{1 5}$ maxillary complex $\mathbf{1 6}$ right telopodite and coxal projection of first maxillae $\mathbf{1 7}$ left telopodite of second maxillae. Specimen: $\&$ from Syrdarya-Turkestan Natural Park (ASU No. 216). Scale bars: 0.1 mm (I5); $0.05 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{I 6}, \mathbf{I 7})$.
coxopleuron, scattered on ventral and lateral sides. Legs slender, but incomplete (missing tarsus 2 of right leg, tibia and both tarsi of left leg).

Postpedal segments (Figs 8, 9). Intermediate sternite and first genital sternite welldeveloped. Gonopods bi-articulate, triangular, and touching each other at their bases. Anal pores present.

Intraspecific variation. Maximum body length: 71 mm in $\& \mathcal{Y}$ ( $n=44$; the largest specimen in the sample ZMMU Rc 7406), 58 mm in $\widehat{\sigma}^{\lambda} \delta^{\lambda}(n=31)$. Color (in $70 \%$ ethanol) usually yellow, with cephalic plate, forcipular segment, and antennae light brown (Figs 10, 11).

Head. Anterior markedly areolate part of the clypeus extending medially for $10-$ $17 \%$ of the total clypeal length (Fig. 14). Clypeal setae usually 8, rarely 6. Labral midpiece usually pointed and projecting backwards beyond the posterior margins of the labral lateral ones. Each mandible (Fig. 21) usually with six lamellae, with 5-9 teeth in each lamella. Second maxillae (Figs 15-17): $1^{\text {st }}$ article invariably without a distinct distal bulge on the external side; distal parts of $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ articles usually with numerous setae.

Forcipular segment. Tergite usually partially covered by tergite 1 (Fig. 10) and forcipules usually surpassing the anterior margin of the cephalic plate (Figs 11, 18). All forcipular articles with denticles (Figs 11-13), with the single exception of a specimen missing the denticle on the right femur (collected together with other specimens with usual morphology, in the sample ASU No. 216). Worth noting is that an analogous case of asymmetry has been detected in a specimen of $K$. sselivanovi, where a denti-


Figures 18-24. Krateraspis meinerti (Sseliwanoff, 1881): 18 head and forcipular segment (ventr.) I9 LBS 2 (ventr.) $\mathbf{2 0}$ distal end of tarsus of leg 12 (lateral view) $\mathbf{2 I}$ left mandible (ventr.) 22, $\mathbf{2 3}$ ultimate LBS and postpedal segments (ventr., dors.) $\mathbf{2 4}$ terminal articles of ultimate leg (ventr.). Specimens: $1 \mathbf{8}$ lectotype of Tygarrup asiaticus Verhoeff, 1930 from Tashkent (NHRS-JONI 714) 19-24 + from Syrdarya-Turkestan Natural Park (ASU No. 216). Abbreviations: cp - coxopleural pores, ms - median longitudinal sulcus, ups - presternite of ultimate LBS, upt - pretergite of ultimate LBS, us - metasternite of ultimate LBS, ut tergite of ultimate LBS. Scale bars: $0.2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{1 8}, \mathbf{1 9 , 2 2 - 2 4 ) ; ~} 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{2 0}) ; 0.02 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{2 I})$.
cle has been recognized on one femur but not in the other femur (see below). Distal denticle on the trochanteroprefemur usually larger than all other denticles (Fig. 12). Denticle on the tibia slightly larger than the denticle on the femur and the basal den-


Figures 25-28. Krateraspis meinerti (Sseliwanoff, 1881): 25, $\mathbf{2 6}$ ultimate LBS and postpedal segments (ventr., dors.) 27, 28 postpedal segments ( $\delta$ and $\varphi$; ventr.). Specimens: $\begin{gathered}\text { ( } \mathbf{2 5}, \mathbf{2 6}) \text {, from Sayram-Ugam }\end{gathered}$ National Park (ASU No. 217); $\widehat{\lambda}$ (27) and $q$ (28), from Syrdarya-Turkestan Natural Park (ASU No. 216). Abbreviations: ups - presternite of ultimate LBS, upt - pretergite of ultimate LBS, us - metasternite of ultimate LBS, ut - tergite of ultimate LBS. Scale bars: 0.1 mm .
ticle on the tarsungulum (Figs 12, 13). Calyx of poison gland usually reaching the trochanteroprefemur in both sexes (Figs 12, 13).

Leg-bearing segments. Invariably 45 pairs of legs. Worth noting is that K. sselivanovi has invariably 53 pairs of legs and the difference of eight pairs between the two species corresponds to a putative evolutionary change that have repeatedly occurred in the Mecistocephalidae (Bonato et al. 2003).

Ultimate leg-bearing segment. Almost similar in both sexes, slightly thickened in male (Figs 22, 23, 25, 26). Metasternite subtriangular, its length to width ratio varying between 0.8 and 1.1, and the anterior margin 3-5 wider than the posterior one; up to ca. 50 pores on each coxopleuron in both sexes; legs densely setose, without pretarsus in both sexes.

Postpedal segments. Densely setose in both sexes (Figs 22, 23, 25-28). Male gonopods bi-articulate, narrower and separated by a conic projection in between (Figs 25, 27). Female gonopods bi-articulate, subtriangular, and touching each other at their bases (Figs 22, 28).


Figures 29-32. Specimen of Arrup misidentified by Verhoeff (1930) as juvenile Tygarrup asiaticus Verhoeff, 1930 (ZMB 3610), ventr:: 29 microscopic slide $\mathbf{3 0}$ head 3 I ultimate LBS and postpedal segments; 32 forcipular segment and LBS 1. Abbreviation: ap - anal pore. Scale bars: 0.5 mm (30-32).

Distribution. Recorded from 24 localities, from Western Tian-Shan to the western offshoots of Pamir Mts (Fig. 1), in the following countries and administrative units: Kazakhstan (Turkistan and Jambyl regions), Uzbekistan (Tashkent region), Kyrgyzstan (Jalal-Abad region), and Tajikistan (Region of Republican Subordination, Khatlon, and Sughd regions) (Sseliwanoff 1881a, 1881b, 1884; Lignau 1929a, 1929b; Titova 1965, 1975; Dyachkov 2019, 2020; Dyachkov and Nedoev 2021; present records).

A specimen from Tatarstan (European Russia) was assigned by Izotova (1960) to K. meinerti with doubt (see also Volkova 2016; Dyachkov 2019). The relative size of the forcipular tergite (Izotova 1960: fig. 6) shows that this specimen does not belong to Mecistocephalidae, and the shape of the forcipular segment suggests instead a species of the geophilid genus Arctogeophilus Attems, 1909. The latter is known from European Russia and resembles Krateraspis in the elongation of the head, the shape
of the forcipular coxosternite，the pattern of forcipular denticles，the number and ar－ rangement of coxal pores，and the absence of ultimate pretarsi（see，e．g．，Folkmanová and Dobroruka 1960）．

Remarks on published morphological accounts．Verhoeff（1930）indicated that Tygarrup asiaticus differs from Krateraspis meinerti in the clypeal areolation（a single long non－areolate plagula，with a short mid－longitudinal areolate strip，instead of two paired short plagulae），the shape of labrum（mid－piece not projecting backwards beyond the posterior margins of the labral lateral pieces），and the second maxillary pretarsi（absent）．However，Verhoeff ignored the weak areolation on the central part of the clypeus and described an entire non－areolate plagula，even though recogniz－ ing a mid－longitudinal areolate strip．The putative difference in the labrum may be explained by artefacts．As for the second maxillary pretarsus，it was described and il－ lustrated as missing in T．asiaticus by Verhoeff（1930），but this character was ignored in keys published later by the same author（Verhoeff 1937，1939，1942）．Moreover，a pretarsus is recognizable in the second maxillae of the lectotype（NHRS－JONI 714； Fig．18），while it is absent in ZMB No．3610，which is an Arrup specimen originally misinterpreted by Verhoeff（1930）as a juvenile T．asiaticus（see above，under Remarks on nomenclatural issues）．

## Krateraspis sselivanovi Titova， 1975

Figures 33－43
Krateraspis sselivanovi：Titova 1975： 41 （original description），45， 46 （in key）；fig．2： 1－5A．Bonato et al．2003：543，545，546，550，551，552，577．Dyachkov 2019： 368， 373 （in key）．Dyachkov 2020： 84.

Type locality．＂Tajikistan，Sharak＂（Titova 1975）［Tajikistan，Khatlon region，Sharak village，ca． $\left.38^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 70^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right]$ ．

Examined specimens．Paratypes： 1 §，from［Tajikistan，Khatlon region， Mu＇minobod district］，Sharak，10－20［cm deep］，31．V．［19］65（ZMMU Rc 8154）； 2 むた and 2 qq，from Sharak，0－10［cm deep］，29．V．［19］65（ZMMU Rc 8167）； 1 ${ }^{\top}$ ，from Sharak，10－20［cm deep］，4．VI．［19］64（ZMMU Rc 8175）．Other material： 3 우，from Sharak，10－20，20－30，40－50［cm deep］，15．X．［19］64（ZMMU Rc 8153）； 1 万 and 2 q $q$ ，from Sharak，0－10［cm deep］，4．VI．［19］69（ZMMU Rc 8163）； 1 §，from Sharak，20－40［cm deep］，8．X．［19］65（ZMMU Rc 8165）； 1 §，from［un－ known region］F－bad［Fayzobod village］，Hordeum，70－80［cm deep］，30．VII．［19］66 （ZMMU Rc 8173）； 1 §，from［Districts of Republican Subordination］，Garm［village， ca． $\left.39^{\circ} 1^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 70^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\right], 21 . \mathrm{VI} .[19] 69$（ZMMU Rc 8187）．

Remarks on nomenclatural issues．The type series of $K$ ．sselivanovi comprises 21 specimens：the holotype and 19 paratypes from Sharak，and another paratype from Faizobod（Titova 1975）．These specimens are expected to be at the ZMMU，but we did not find the holotype，and the paratypes are not marked as such．Nevertheless，we


Figures 33-35. Krateraspis sselivanovi Titova, 1975: 33, $\mathbf{3 4}$ head, forcipular and LBS 1 (ventr., dors.) 35 LBS 1 and 2 (ventr.). Specimen: $\widehat{o}$ from Sharak (ZMMU Rc 8154). Abbreviations: ms - median longitudinal sulcus. Scale bars: $0.2 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{3 3}, \mathbf{3 4}) ; 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathbf{3 5 )}$.
detected six specimens that can be recognized as paratypes according to the locality and date reported on labels (ZMMU Rc 8154, ZMMU Rc 8167, ZMMU Rc 8175), while one specimen (ZMMU Rc 8187) can be recognized as not belonging to the type series, because it is from a locality not mentioned by Titova (1975). Instead, the date on the labels of the other eight specimens (ZMMU Rc 8153, ZMMU Rc 8163, ZMMU Rc 8165, ZMMU Rc 8173) do not fully correspond to the dates reported by Titova (1975), so it is uncertain whether they are paratypes or not.

Diagnosis. A species of Krateraspis with: clypeus showing the transition between marked and weak areolation at ca. 0.3-0.4 of the clypeal medial length, so that some clypeal setae are surrounded by marked areolation while other setae are surrounded by weak areolation; no spine-like sensilla on the lateral parts of the clypeus; second maxillary telopodites not distinctly surpassing the tips of the telopodites of the first maxillae; first article of the second maxillary telopodites with a distinct distal bulge on the external side; all forcipular articles with a distinct denticle with the exception of the femur; invariably 53 pairs of legs. See also Table 2.

Intraspecific variation. Maximum body length: 62 mm in $Q Q(n=7)$ and 67 mm in $\widehat{\sigma}^{\pi}(n=8)$ but the largest specimens of both sexes are slightly macerated and stretched. Color (in ethanol 70\%) usually yellow, with head, forcipular segment (except forcipular tergite), and antennae light brown (Figs 33, 34).

Head. Anterior markedly areolate part of the clypeus extending medially for 30$40 \%$ of the total length of the clypeus (Fig. 38). Invariably eight clypeal setae: 2-4 setae on the markedly areolate part, 2-4 setae located on the border between the markedly areolate part and the weakly areolate part, and two setae on the weakly areolate one; spine-like sensilla on the clypeal lateral parts always absent. Each mandible (Fig. 39)


Figures 36-39. Krateraspis sselivanovi Titova, 1975: $\mathbf{3 6}$ left forcipule (ventr.) $\mathbf{3 7}$ maxillary complex (ventr.) 38 clypeus and labrum (ventr.) 39 left mandible (lateral view). Specimens: 36, 39 万rom Fayzobod (ZMMU Rc 8173) 37, $\mathbf{3 8}$ § from Sharak (ZMMU Rc 8165). Abbreviations: aa - anterior ala, ap - markedly areolate anterior part of clypeus, b - bulge, c - calyx of poison gland, cp - central part of clypeus with distinct but fainter areolation, $\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{femur}, \mathrm{p}$ - plagula, pa - posterior ala, ta - tarsungulum, ti tibia, tl - transverse thickened line, tr - trochanteroprefemur. Scale bars: 0.1 mm (36-38); 0.05 mm (39).
usually with six lamellae, with 5-7 teeth in each lamella. Second maxillae (Fig. 37): $1^{\text {st }}$ article invariably with a distinct distal bulge on the external side; distal part of $2^{\text {nd }}$ article usually with two or three setae, distal part of $3^{\text {rd }}$ article with numerous setae.

Forcipular segment. Tergite usually partially covered by the tergite 1. Forcipules, when closed, usually reaching the anterior margin of the cephalic plate (Figs 33, 34). Trochanteroprefemur, tibia and tarsungulum with denticles, while femur without denticle (Figs 33, 36), with the single exception of a specimen with a denticle on the right femur (however collected together with specimens with usual morphology in the sample ZMMU Rc 8163). Worth noting is that an analogous case of asymmetry has been detected in a specimen of $K$. meinerti, where a denticle has been recognized on one femur but not in the other femur (see above). The distal denticle of trochanteroprefemur usually larger than both denticles on the tibia and tarsungulum (Fig. 36). Calyx of poison gland usually reaching the trochanterophefemur in both sexes.


Figures 40-43. Krateraspis sselivanovi Titova, 1975: 40, 4I ultimate LBS and postpedal segments of đ (dors., ventr.) 42, 43 ultimate LBS and postpedal segments of $q$ (dors., ventr.). Specimens from Sharak: 40,4I ${ }^{\lambda}$ (ZMMU Rc 8154) 42, $\mathbf{4 3}$ \& (ZMMU Rc 8153). Abbreviations: cp - coxopleural pores, ups - presternite of ultimate LBS, upt - pretergite of ultimate LBS, us - metasternite of ultimate LBS, ut tergite of ultimate LBS. Scale bars: 0.1 mm .

Leg-bearing segments. Invariably 53 pairs of legs in all examined specimens. Worth noting is that $K$. meinerti has invariably 45 pairs of legs and the difference of eight pairs between the two species corresponds to a putative evolutionary change that have repeatedly occurred in the Mecistocephalidae (Bonato et al. 2003).

Ultimate leg-bearing segment. Almost similar in both sexes (Figs 40-43): metasternite subtriangular, its length to width ratio varying between 0.9 and 1.0 , and the anterior margin 4-5 $\times$ wider than the posterior one; up to 20 pores on each coxopleuron in $\delta^{\lambda} \delta^{\lambda}$, and up to 50 pores in $q+$; legs slender and densely setose, without pretarsus.

Postpedal segments. Densely setose in both sexes (Figs 40-43). Male gonopods bi-articulate, narrow, and separated by a conic projection in between (Fig. 41). Female gonopods bi-articulate, subtriangular, and touching each other at their bases (Fig. 43).

Distribution. Recorded from three localities in the western offshoots of Pamir Mts (Fig. 1), all in Tajikistan (Khatlon region and Districts of Republican Subordination) (Titova 1975; present records).
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#### Abstract

Parasitoid wasps are known to be among the most abundant and species-rich on Earth and thus considered an ecologically important group of arthropods. Braconid wasps play a key role in regulating the populations of Lepidoptera, Coleoptera, and Diptera. However, the biology and taxonomy of numerous parasitoid species remain poorly known. In Mexico, only 17 species of the subfamily Cheloninae have been described. A new species of Pseudophanerotoma Zettel, 1990 (Hymenoptera, Braconidae), P. huichol sp. nov., is described from Nayarit, Mexico. The tortricid moth Cryptaspasma perseana Gilligan \& Brown, 2011 is reported as the host of this parasitoid wasp. Detailed taxonomic and barcoding information are provided.
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## Introduction

The family Braconidae comprises 21,221 species worldwide (Yu et al. 2016), and the description of new species is exponentially increasing in the Neotropical region (Fernandez-Triana and Boudreault 2018; Sharkey et al. 2021). Braconid wasps are primarily specialized parasitoids of Lepidoptera, Coleoptera, and Diptera, thus playing an important role in regulating their populations. Usually, braconid wasp does not oviposit in the host egg (Wharton et al. 1997). However, members of the subfamily Cheloninae oviposit in the eggs of Lepidoptera and emerge from later larval instars or the pupa (Quicke 2015).

Cheloninae are mostly solitary endoparasitoid wasps of several Microlepidoptera, i.e., Pyraloidea and Tortricoidea (Shaw 1997). Within this subfamily, the genus Pseudophanerotoma Zettel, 1990 is highly specialized in parasitizing tortricid moths (Costa Lima 1956; Hoddle and Hoddle 2008; Penteado-Dias et al. 2008). Members of this genus are restricted to the Americas, occurring from southern Texas to Peru and Brazil (Zettel 1990; Kittel 2018; Sharkey et al. 2021).

One of the main limitations of studying parasitoid wasps is the large number of species and high variability in life histories (Shaw 1995; Hanson and Gauld 2006). The taxonomy of Braconidae has been poorly documented, especially in the tropics (LaSalle and Gauld 1991). Since the classical description of species started undergoing the so-called taxonomy crisis almost two decades ago (Godfray 2002), the integrative taxonomy framework (Dayrat 2005) has brought complementary approaches to tackle challenging groups. As in most parasitoid wasps, the taxonomy of Cheloninae has been changing and the use of molecular tools allowed advances for the systematics of the group. For instance, Pseudophanerotoma and Furcidentia Zettel, 1990 are now two separate genera based on molecular and morphological characters (Zettel 1990; Kittel et al. 2016). Kittel (2018) essentially cleared up the taxonomy of both genera and added new species, but a recent work also provided additional species names (Sharkey et al. 2021).

In Mexico, Pseudophanerotoma members correspond to a poorly documented taxon with a few records from the States of Oaxaca, Quintana Roo, Tamaulipas, Veracruz, and Yucatán (Sánchez-García and López-Martínez 2000; Coronado-Blanco 2011). However, none of these specimens have been determined to species level, limiting our knowledge upon such specialized genus but most importantly, reducing our ability to identifying priority biodiversity areas in the face of human disturbance (Ceballos et al. 2015). In this work, we describe a new species, Pseudophanerotoma huichol sp. nov., which attacks the tortricid moth Cryptaspasma perseana Gilligan \& Brown, 2011 occurring in Nayarit, Mexico (Mancilla-Brindis et al. 2019). We provide detailed taxonomic diagnoses for both sexes and support our findings with DNA barcoding. In addition, we present an updated checklist of Pseudophanerotoma species, their potential phylogenetic relationship, and discuss elements of their biology and distribution.

## Methods

As part of a survey to evaluate the prevalence of Cryptaspasma perseana among avocado orchards in Nayarit, Mexico, several fruits and seeds were collected from December 2019 to October 2020 (Mancilla-Brindis et al. 2021). The rearing process was conducted at the Universidad Autónoma de Nayarit. The emerged wasps were preserved in $96 \%$ ethanol and shipped to the Collection of Entomology (ECO-SC-E) of El Colegio de la Frontera Sur (ECOSUR), San Cristóbal de las Casas, Chiapas, Mexico, for taxonomic identification.

DNA extraction was conducted at the Barcoding Laboratory of Life of ECOSUR, Chetumal, Quintana Roo, Mexico, using standard protocols (Hebert et al. 2003; Ivanova et al. 2006). Extracted DNA was amplified for a 650-bp region near the $5^{\prime}$ terminus of the cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene using the primers ZplankF1 (TCT ASW AAT CAT AAR GAT ATT GG) and ZplankR1 (TTC AGG RTG RCC RAA RAA TCA) (Posser et al. 2013). Amplified PCR product was then shipped to Eurofins Scientific, Louisville, KY, USA, for purification and sequencing using Sanger technology. Sequence was cleaned and aligned using BioEdit (Hall 1999). We performed a phylogenetic analysis using the neighbor-joining method (Saitou and Nei 1987), based on a bootstrap consensus tree inferred from 10,000 replicates (Felsenstein 1985) to evaluate the relationship of 10 Pseudophanerotoma species. In addition, a genetic distance matrix between pairs of sequences was calculated through maximum composite likelihood to estimate the evolutionary divergence between species (Tamura et al. 2004). Pseudophanerotoma alvarengai Zettel, 1990, P. longicornia Zettel, 1990, P. maculosa Zettel, 1990, P. peruana Zettel, 1990, and P. zeteki (Cushman) 1922 where not included since there is no barcoding information for them. The analysis involved 10 nucleotide sequences, eliminating positions with missing data. Bootstrap analysis, genetic distance matrix, and phylogenetic tree were conducted in MEGA11 (Tamura et al. 2021).

Morphological determination of Pseudophanerotoma followed Sharkey et al. (2021). Taxonomic features provided in this study followed van Achterberg (1988) and Wharton et al. (1997). Images were taken with a Leica MC 170 HD camera, adapted to a Leica M205C stereoscopic microscope. Morphometric data and image aligning was performed with the Leica Application Suite software. Holotype and paratype specimens are deposited in ECO-SC-E.

We used the following abbreviations to describe key ocellar measurements (Kittel 2018):

LOL lateral ocellar line (distance between lateral and anterior ocelli);
OOL ocular ocellar line (distance between lateral ocellus and compound eye);
POL posterior ocellar line (distance between lateral ocelli).

## Results

## Pseudophanerotoma huichol Falcón-Brindis, sp. nov. http://zoobank.org/DB6DDDDA-E2C6-4DD6-9BCB-438870114206

Diagnosis. Pseudophanerotoma huichol sp. nov. can be distinguished from the other species by the unicolorous head, meso, and metasoma, except for the dark brownish integument on the apical half of hind femora and a small spot on the mesopleuron (sometimes absent in males); antenna with 52 antennomeres in females and 46 antennomeres in males, occipital carina complete.

Description (female). Body length 5.5 mm ; ratio of length of fore wing to body 0.8 ; ratio of metasoma to mesosoma 1.2 (Fig. 1A-C).


Figure I. Pseudophanerotoma huichol sp. nov., female $\mathbf{A}$ head frontal B lateral habitus $\mathbf{C}$ dorsal view of meso and metasoma. Scale bars: 1.0 mm .

Head. Antenna with 52 antennomeres; ratio of width of face to its height in frontal view 1.4 ; ratio of width of clypeus to its height 1.8 ; ratio of length of third antennomere to width 3.7 ; ratio of length of fourth antennomere to width 3.0 ; ratio of length of penultimate antennomere to width 1.3 ; malar space to base of mandible 0.3 mm . Clypeus convex and sparsely punctate with two teeth; face straight in lateral view, punctate; frons and vertex densely punctate; ratio of LOL:POL:OOL 0.1:0.1:0.1:0.4.

Mesosoma. Mesoscutum shiny and densely punctate, mid mesoscutal area coarsely sculptured; notauli present; mesopleuron punctures shallow and less dense; scutellar sulcus present, with coarse pits; mesoscutellum convex and punctate; propodeum
areolate; propodeal tubercles absent; ratio of mesosoma height to its length 0.6 ; ratio of hind tibia to hind tarsus 2.1 ; ratio of length to width of hind coxa 2.0 ; ratio of length to width of hind femur 5.2; ratio of length to width of hind tibia 7.5 ; ratio of length to width of hind tarsus 6.2 ; ratio of length of fore wing to body 0.8 ; fore wing length 4.2 mm ; 1RS present; M curved; RS +M spectral (Fig. 2).


Figure 2. Pseudophanerotoma huichol sp. nov., fore wing. Scale bar: 1.0 mm .

Metasoma. Oval in dorsal view; sculpture striate throughout; ratio of metasomal width to length 0.6 ; ratio of length of the three metasomal tergites 0.7:0.7:0.8.

Color. Scape, head, meso and metasoma ferruginous; antennomeres and legs pale orange to yellowish; dark brown integument on tegula, a small spot on the top of mesopleuron (below the tegula) and apical half of hind femora. Wings hyaline with greenish-purplish reflections, wing venation brown to dark brown; parastigma and pterostigma dark brown.

Type material. Holotype and paratypes specimens are pinned and deposited in ECO-SC-E. Holotype: Mexico: $q$, Lo de Lamedo, Tepic, Nayarit 21.54222, -104.92833; 880 m elev., 10 Oct. 2020; 21.53388, -104.93722; 860 m elev., 04 Sep. 2020; R.F. Mancilla-Brindis leg. Holotype voucher code 69271. Paratypes: 6 ㅇ: 69272, 69273, 69274, 69275, 69276, 69277; 5 ठ: 69278, 69279, 69280, 69281, 69282.

Description (male). Antenna with 46 antennomeres. Body length 5.2 mm ; ratio of length of fore wing to body 0.8 ; ratio of length of metasoma to mesosoma 1.2 (Fig. 3A-C).

Head. Antenna with 46 antennomeres; ratio of width of face to its height in frontal view 1.2; ratio of width of clypeus to its height 2.0 ; ratio of length of third antennomere to width 4.5 ; ratio of length of fourth antennomere to width 3.6 ; ratio of length of penultimate antennomere to width 1.9 ; malar space to base of mandible 0.3 mm .


Figure 3. Pseudophanerotoma huichol sp. nov., male $\mathbf{A}$ head frontal B lateral habitus $\mathbf{C}$ dorsal view of meso and metasoma. Scale bars: 1.0 mm .

Clypeus convex and sparsely punctate with two teeth; face straight in lateral view, punctate; frons and vertex densely punctate; ratio of LOL:POL:OOL 0.1:0.1:0.1:0.3.

Mesosoma. Same sculpture patterns as in female; ratio of mesosoma height to its length 0.7 ; ratio of hind tibia to hind tarsus 1.9; ratio of length to width of hind coxa 2.1; ratio of length to width of hind femur 4.4; ratio of length to width of hind tibia 5.3; ratio of length to width of hind tarsus 5.8 ; ratio of length of fore wing to body 0.8 ; fore wing length 4.1 mm .

Metasoma. Oval in dorsal view; sculpture striate throughout; ratio of metasomal width to length 0.6 ; ratio of length of the three metasomal tergites 0.6:0.6:0.8.

Color. In general, male is paler than female; scape, head, meso and metasoma light orange; antennomeres and legs pale yellow to beige; dark brown integument on tegula and apical half of hind femora. Wings the same color pattern as in female.

Remarks. This species differs from all the other congeners by having a large number of antennomeres in both sexes: 52 and 46 antennomeres in females and males respectively.

Biology. Parasitoid of Cryptaspasma perseana, a tortricid pest of avocado documented in Hidalgo, Michoacán, and Nayarit, Mexico (Mancilla-Brindis et al. 2019).

Sequence data. GenBank accession number for this species is COI MZ501206.
Etymology. The species is named in honor to the Huichol culture from Nayarit, Mexico.

According to the phylogenetic analysis for the barcoded Pseudophanerotoma species (Fig. 4), the closest species to P. huichol sp. nov. was P. alejandromarini Sharkey, $2021(90 \%)$, both being sister species of $P$. paranaensis Costa Lima, 1956 (100\%). The results from the evolutionary distance analysis showed that $P$. alexsmithi and $P$. austini
are the closest species ( 0.0051 ), followed by $P$. huichol sp. nov. - P. alejandromarini (0.0133), P. alejandromarini - P. paranaensis (0.0261). In contrast, the pairwise comparison between P. austini - P. allisonbrownae and $P$. alexsmithi - P. allisonbrownae revealed the largest evolutionary divergence (0.2167) (Table 1).

Table I. Estimates of evolutionary divergence between sequences. The number of base substitutions per site from between sequences are shown.

|  | P. alanflemingi | P. albanjimenezi | E E E E E E | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { तै } \\ & \text { हैँ } \end{aligned}$ | P. allisonbrownae | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ה } \\ & \text { 解 } \\ & \text { \& } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B } \\ & \text { 娄 } \\ & \text { N } \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P. albanjimenezi | 0.1250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P. alejandromarini | 0.1188 | 0.1277 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P. alexsmithi | 0.1186 | 0.1335 | 0.1120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P. allisonbrownae | 0.1843 | 0.1943 | 0.2052 | 0.2167 |  |  |  |  |  |
| P. bobrobbinsi | 0.1442 | 0.1534 | 0.1412 | 0.1467 | 0.1663 |  |  |  |  |
| P. paranaensis | 0.1248 | 0.1402 | 0.0261 | 0.1243 | 0.2085 | 0.1506 |  |  |  |
| P. austini | 0.1124 | 0.1366 | 0.1088 | 0.0051 | 0.2167 | 0.1401 | 0.1210 |  |  |
| P. thapsina | 0.0450 | 0.1371 | 0.1308 | 0.1278 | 0.2086 | 0.1634 | 0.1400 | 0.1214 |  |
| P. huichol | 0.1220 | 0.1340 | 0.0103 | 0.1119 | 0.2089 | 0.1477 | 0.0314 | 0.1119 | 0.1341 |



Figure 4. Bootstrap consensus tree of Pseudophanerotoma species. Five species are missing due to the lack of sequence information. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test are shown next to the branches. The lower clade has a weak bootstrap support.

## Discussion

This is the first work describing a new species of the genus Pseudophanerotoma in Mexico. Previous reports documented individuals at the generic level (Sánchez-García and LópezMartínez 2000; Coronado-Blanco 2011). It is likely that P. thapsina (recorded in the United States and French Guiana) and P. austini (Guatemala) also occur in Mexico (Kittel 2018). However, further revisions are required to confirm their presence. Moreover, it is certain that other species of the genus occurring in Neotropical Mexico are deposited in museum collections (Sánchez-García and López-Martínez 2000; Coronado-Blanco 2011).

According to the most recent key for species of Pseudophanerotoma (Kittel 2018), P. huichol sp. nov., can be separated in couplet four, where the difference with P. thapsina is the dark brownish integument on the apical half of hind femora and the dark spot present on top of the mesopleuron. Despite the close phylogenetic relationship between $P$. huichol sp. nov. and P. alejandromarini, the latter species lacks dark integument on the hind femora. In summary, P. huichol sp. nov. has the largest number of antennomeres known from the species presented by Kittel (2018) and is undoubtedly different from those recently added by Sharkey et al. (2021).

Members of the subfamily Cheloninae have been used in the biocontrol of exotic pests (Narendran 2001). In Mexico, 704 species of Braconidae have been listed from 34 subfamilies (Coronado-Blanco et al. 2012), of which, only 17 species of Cheloninae have been identified (Coronado-Blanco and Zaldívar-Riverón 2014). However, most of these species belong to the genus Chelonus Panzer, 1806 (Cor-onado-Blanco et al. 2004), which is well known to control the populations of the fall armyworm Spodoptera frugiperda (J.E. Smith, 1797) feeding on forage maize in north and central Mexico (Rios-Velasco et al. 2011; Estrada-Virgen et al. 2013; García-González et al. 2020). Nonetheless, the biology of most chelonines occurring in Mexico remains unknown.

Likewise, the biology of the 15 known Pseudophanerotoma species has been poorly documented (Table 2). In most cases (66\%), there is no information about host preferences and the same proportion is known from a single sex. The described $P$. huichol sp. nov. corresponds to the Pseudophanerotoma sp. reported parasitizing C. perseana in two localities from Nayarit, Mexico (Mancilla-Brindis et al. 2019, 2021). Therefore, this study has helped to identify an important interaction between $P$. huichol sp. nov. and a serious pest of avocado. However, further research is needed to provide details of, for example, the life history, distribution, and management of $P$. huichol sp. nov., potentially regulating the populations of C. perseana.

Our preliminary phylogenetic analysis indicates that there is strong evidence for P. huichol sp. nov., P. alejandromarini, and P. paranaensis being sister species, albeit the cluster classification showed poor resolution (weak bootstrap support). In addition, the weak evolutionary divergence between $P$. alexsmithi and $P$. austini $(0.0051)$ reveals the closest relationship among the genus Pseudophanerotoma. Such clades are naturally affected by the missing barcoded species, but the explanations could be attributed to

Table 2．A checklist of Pseudophanerotoma species according to Zettel（1990），Penteado－Dias et al． （2008），Kittel（2018），Sharkey et al．（2021），and this work．Only accepted scientific names are included． Types refer to the known sexes on each species．

| Species | Occurrence | Host data | Types | Sequence accession number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P．alanflemingi Sharkey， 2021 | Guanacaste，Costa Rica | Unknown | ＋ | BOLD：ACL3198 |
| P．albanjimenezi Sharkey， 2021 | Alajuela，Costa Rica | Episimus ortygia（Tortricidae）feeding on Vismia baccifera（Hypericaceae） | $\delta$ | BOLD：AAV8843 |
| P．alejandromarini Sharkey， 2021 | Guanacaste，Costa Rica | Unknown | ¢ | BOLD：ACE1984 |
| P．alexsmithi Sharkey， 2021 | Alajuela，Costa Rica | Cosmorrhyncha albistrigulana （Tortricidae）feeding on Dialium guianense（Fabaceae） | ¢ | BOLD：ACB1516 |
| P．allisonbrownae Sharkey， 2021 | Guanacaste，Costa Rica | Unknown | $\bigcirc$ | BOLD：ACJ2201 |
| P．alvarengai Zettel， 1990 | Bahia，São Paulo，Brazil | Cydia tonosticha（Tortricidae） feeding on Stryphnodendron adstringens（Fabaceae） | ¢ ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | NA |
| P．austini Kittel， 2018 | Petén，Guatemala | Unknown | $\delta$ | GenBank <br> KJ472583 |
| P．bobrobbinsi Sharkey， 2021 | Guanacaste，Costa Rica | Unknown | ㅇ | BOLD：ADB6487 |
| P．huichol Falcón－Brindis，sp． nov． | Nayarit，Mexico | C．perseana（Tortricidae）feeding on Persea americana var．Drymifolia （Lauraceae） | ¢0゙ | MZ501206 |
| P．longicornia Zettel， 1990 | Paraguay；Ecuador | Unknown | $9{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | NA |
| P．maculosa Zettel， 1990 | Barro Colorado，Panama | Unknown | ठ | NA |
| P．paranaensis Costa Lima， 1956 | Paraná，Brazil；Saül，French Guiana | Olethreutes anthracana（Tortricidae） | ठ | GenBank <br> KJ472581 |
| P．peruana Zettel， 1990 | Alto－Samboroi，Peru | Unknown | $\widehat{0}$ | NA |
| P．thapsina Walley， 1951 | Texas，California，Arizona， Florida，USA；Mt．Chevoux， French Guiana | Unknown | ㅇ才入 | GenBank <br> KJ472582 |
| P．zeteki（Cushman，1922） | St．Bernardino，Panama | Unknown | $9{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | NA |

several factors（e．g．，lack of alternative barcoded regions，different gene history，or early diverging lineages）（Doyle 1992）．Thus，our phylogenetic analysis should be inter－ preted with caution，as it only attempted to compare the position of the new species within the sequenced congeners．

Even though divergent opinions，molecular methods are now an important part of taxonomy，allowing integrative approaches（Padial et al．2010）．In this regard， many braconid species have been described using DNA barcoding（Smith et al． 2007，2008；Sharkey et al．2021）．Sharkey et al．（2021）recently added more than 400 species to the list of Braconidae，including six new species of Pseudophaner－ otoma，with descriptions based on the COI barcoded region．This approach can certainly be helpful when describing large numbers of species，but morphological descriptions are handy when molecular tools are not available．In this sense，whereas the last taxonomic key to Pseudophanerotoma species did not thoroughly include molecular data（Kittel 2018），the six new species lack of morphological descrip－ tion（Sharkey et al．2021）and thus further research is required to strengthen their presumed relationships．
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