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#### Abstract

A new species of small tree frog is described from northwestern Vietnam based on morphological differences and molecular divergence. Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. is distinguishable from its congeners and other small rhacophorid species on the basis of a combination of the following characters: size relatively small, SVL $32.2-33.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ in males, $37.6-39.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females; head slightly wider than long; vomerine teeth absent; snout round and long RL/SVL 0.17-0.19 in males, 0.16-0.17 in females; spines on upper eyelid absent; supratympanic fold distinct; tympanum distinct; dorsal skin smooth; throat smooth and venter granular; tibiotarsal projection absent; webbing of fingers rudimentary, toes with moderately developed webbing; dorsum moss-green, with an inverse Y-shaped dark green marking extended from interorbital region to posterior region of dorsum; external vocal sac absent in males; males with a nuptial pad on finger I. In the molecular analyses, the new species has no clear sister taxon and is at least $4.5 \%$ divergent from other congeners based on a fragment of the mitochondrial 16S rRNA gene.
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## Introduction

The genus Gracixalus Delorme, Dubois, Grosjean \& Ohler, 2005 is known from southern China through mainland Indochina, i.e., Cambodia, Laos, Vietnam, and southwards to Thailand. This genus consists of 18 recognized species, ten of which have been described in the last ten years (Frost 2022). In Vietnam, five species were recently discovered, namely G. lumarius Rowley, Le, Dau, Hoang \& Cao, 2014; G. sapaensis Matsui, Ohler, Eto \& Nguyen, 2017; G. trieng Rowley, Le, Hoang, Cao \& Dau, 2020; G. ziegleri Le, Do, Tran, Nguyen, Orlov, Ninh \& Nguyen, 2021; and G. yunnanensis Yu, Li, Wang, Rao, Wu \& Yang, 2019. Recent phylogenetic analyses showed that there are still several unnamed distinct lineages in the $G$. jinxiuensis species group, indicating that species richness of Gracixalus remains underestimated (e.g., Matsui et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2018).

During our recent field work in northwestern Vietnam, specimens of a small treefrog species were collected in the karst forest of Dien Bien and Son La provinces. This treefrog taxon appears to be a member of the genus Gracixalus due to its small size (SVL $<40 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), the presence of intercalary cartilage between terminal and penultimate phalanges of digits, tips of digits expanded into large discs bearing circum-marginal grooves, the vomerine teeth being absent, horizontal pupil, tibia $\sim 4-5 \times$ longer than wide, translucent skin, inner (first and second) and outer (third and fourth) fingers not opposable, and dorsum with an inversed Y-shaped dark brown marking on dorsum (Fei et al. 2009; Rowley et al. 2011, 2020; Chen et al. 2018; Yu et al. 2019; Le et al. 2021). Closer examination showed that this taxon could be clearly distinguished from other known members of the genus by a combination of several morphological features in adults. In the phylogenetic analyses, this taxon forms a lineage independent from its congeners and clusters within the Gracixalus jinxiuensis species group with a high support level. Owing to these distinctions, we describe it herein as a new species.

## Materials and methods

## Sampling

Field surveys were conducted in September 2016 in Thuan Chau District, Son La Province; in November 2020 and December 2021 in Tuan Giao District, Dien Bien Province, northwestern Vietnam. Amphibian specimens were collected between 19:00 and 23:00 h. After having photographed the living specimens, they were anaesthetized and euthanized in a closed vessel with a piece of cotton wool containing ethyl acetate (Simmons 2002), fixed in $80 \%$ ethanol for five hours, and later transferred to $70 \%$ ethanol for permanent storage. Tissue samples were preserved separately in $70 \%$
ethanol prior to fixation. Voucher specimens referred to in this paper were deposited in the collections of the Institute of Ecology and Biological Resources (IEBR) and the University of Science (HUS), Vietnam National University (VNU), Hanoi, Vietnam.

## Molecular data and phylogenetic analyses

Three new samples from Dien Bien Province were included in the study. An additional 33 sequences of other species of Gracixalus were obtained from GenBank. Outgroup polarity was provided by three taxa, Kurixalus eiffingeri, K. odontotarsus, and Philautus aurifasciatus (Nguyen et al. 2013; Rowley et al. 2020). We used the protocols of Le et al. (2006) for DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing. A fragment of the mitochondrial gene 16 S was amplified using the primer pair 16Sar (5’-CGCCTGTTTATCAAAAACAT-3') + 16Sbr (5’-CCGGTCTGAACTCAGAT-CACGT-3’) (Palumbi et al. 2002). To confirm genetic distinction of the new populations from other species with available samples, we also sequenced eight other species, G. ananjevae, G. gracilipes, G. nonggangensis, G. quangi, G. sapaensis, G. supercornutus, G. trieng, and G. ziegleri, using a fragment of the nuclear gene Rag1. A primer pair, Amp-RAG1 F (5'-AGCTGCAGYCARTAC CAYAARATGTA-3') and Amp-RAG1 R1 (5'-AACTCAGCTGCATTKCCAATRTCACA-3') (San Mauro et al. 2004), was employed to amplify DNA of targeted species. We included two species, Philautus aurantium and $P$. ingeri, whose sequences were obtained from GenBank, in the analyses as outgroups. After sequences were aligned by Clustal X v. 2 (Thompson et al. 1997), data were analyzed using maximum parsimony (MP) and Bayesian inference (BI), as implemented in PAUP*4.0b10 (Swofford 2001), maximum likelihood (ML), as implemented in IQ-TREE v. 1.6.7.1 (Nguyen et al. 2015), and Bayesian inference (BI), as implemented in MrBayes v. 3.2.7 (Ronquist et al. 2012) for 16 S sequences. We performed BI and ML for Rag1 sequences. In addition, relationships amongst Gracixalus species were also inferred using the NeighborNet algorithm (Bryant and Moulton 2004) using SplitsTree v. 4.14 .2 (Huson and Bryant 2006).

For MP analysis, heuristic analysis was conducted with 100 random taxon addition replicates using tree-bisection and reconnection (TBR) branch-swapping algorithm, with no upper limit set for the maximum number of trees saved. Bootstrap support was calculated using 1000 pseudo-replicates and 100 random taxon addition replicates. All characters were equally weighted and unordered. For ML analysis, we employed a single model for molecular evolution and 10,000 ultrafast bootstrap replications. The optimal model for nucleotide evolution was determined using jModeltest v. 2.1.4 (Darriba et al. 2012). For Bayesian analyses, we used the optimal model selected by jModeltest with parameters estimated by MrBayes 3.2.7. Two independent analyses with four Markov chains (one cold and three heated) were run simultaneously for 10 million generations with a random starting tree and sampled every 1000 generations. Log-likelihood scores of sample points were plotted against generation time to determine stationarity of Markov chains. Trees generated before log-likelihood scores reached stationarity were discarded from the final analyses using the burn-in function. The posterior probability values for all clades in the final majority rule consensus tree
were provided. The optimal models of nucleotide evolution were set to GTR $+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G}$ and TPM1uf+G for ML and single-modelled Bayesian analyses as selected by Modeltest v. 2.1.4 for $16 S$ and Rag1 matrices, respectively. The cutoff points for the burn-in function was set to 73 and 32 in the Bayesian analysis, as $-\ln L$ scores reached stationarity after 73,000 and 32,000 generations in both runs for 16 S and Rag1 datasets, respectively. Nodal support was also evaluated using bootstrap replication (BP) as estimated in PAUP, ultrafast bootstrap (UFB) in IQ-TREE, and posterior probabilities (PP) in MrBayes v. 3.2.7. BP $\geq 70$ and PP and UFB $\geq 95 \%$ were regarded as strong support for a clade (Hillis and Bull 1993; Ronquist et al. 2012; Nguyen et al. 2015). Uncorrected pairwise divergences were calculated in PAUP*4.0b10.

Rag1 data of nine species were first analyzed by DnaSP v. 6.12 .03 (Rozas et al. 2017) to determine sequence variation. The network analysis was then performed in SplitsTree with the following settings: edge fitting as ordinary least squares, equal angle as chosen splits transformation, least squares to modify weights and four maximum dimensions as the filtering option. The generated split graph showed a visual representation of conflicting signals in the data by presenting them as a series of parallel edges. The program computed the least squares fit (LSfit) between the pairwise distances from the graph and the distances from the matrix to produce a distance-based unrooted tree diagram by means of the neighbor-joining algorithm (Saitou and Nei 1987). The method was selected because it has been shown to outperform statistical parsimony as implemented in the software TCS (Clement et al. 2000) when the evolutionary history had many missing intermediate descents (Cassens et al. 2005).

## Morphological characters

Measurements were taken with a digital caliper to the nearest 0.1 mm . The following abbreviations were used (after Nguyen et al. 2013):

SVL snout-vent length;
HL head length (measured as a parallel line with the vertebral column from posterior margin of mandible to tip of snout);
HW maximum head width (at rictus);
RL rostral length (from anterior corner of orbit to tip of snout);
NS
distance from nostril to the tip of snout;
EN distance from anterior corner of the eye to the nostril;
IN internarial distance;
IOD interorbital distance;
ED eye diameter;
UEW maximum width of upper eyelid;
DAE distance between anterior corner of eyes;
DPE distance between posterior corner of eyes;
MFE distance between angle of jaws and anterior corner of the eye;
MBE distance between angle of jaws and posterior corner of the eye;
MN distance from the back of mandible to the nostril;

TYD tympanum diameter;
TYE distance from anterior margin of tympanum to posterior corner of the eye;
UAL forelimb length (from axilla to elbow);
FAL hand length (from elbow to the tip of third finger);
NPL nuptial pad length;
fd3 width of discs of fingers III;
fw3 width of fingers III;
TFL third finger length;
FeL femur length (from vent to knee);
TbL tibia length (from knee to tarsus);
TbW tibia width;
FoL foot length (from tarsus to the tip of fourth toe);
FTL fourth toe length;
IMT inner metatarsal tubercle length;
td4 width of discs of toes IV;
tw4 width of toes IV.

For the webbing formula, we followed Glaw and Vences (2007). Sex was determined by gonadal inspection.

## Results

## Phylogenetic analyses

The combined matrix contained 558 aligned characters with 175 parsimony-informative sites. MP analysis of the dataset recovered the 263 most parsimonious trees with 525 steps (Consistency index $=0.51$; Retention index $=0.72$ ). Similar to Yu et al. (2019) and Le et al. (2021), our study supported the division of the genus into three distinct lineages, Clades I, II, and III, with strong nodal support from the Bayesian analysis. While Clade II was also well corroborated by ML and MP analyses, BP and UFB values for Clade I and UFB value for Clade III were insignificant. Two new populations from Dien Bien and Son La provinces were placed in Clade III along with other species from southern China and Vietnam (Fig. 1). This species is significantly divergent from others within the clade III in terms of genetic distance with the minimum pairwise divergence of approximately $4.5 \%$ based on a fragment of the mitochondrial 16 S rRNA gene (Table 1 and Suppl. material 2).

The matrix of Rag1 sequences consisted of 899 aligned characters. The number of polymorphic sites was 71 , parsimony-informative sites 27 , and nucleotide diversity was 0.028 as determined by DnaSP. Both BI and ML analyses recovered an identical topology, although support values were generally higher in BI. The new taxon was also corroborated as a separate taxonomic unit among existing species. However, the relationships between Gracixalus species supported by Rag1 data differ from those in the analyses using 16 S sequences. Specifically, the new taxon clustered with


Figure I. Phylogram based on the Bayesian analysis of $16 S$ sequences. Number above and below branches are ML ultrafast bootstrap/MP bootstrap values and Bayesian posterior probabilities, respectively. Asterisk and dash represent $100 \%$ and $<50 \%$ values, respectively.

Table I. Uncorrected ("p") distance matrix showing average percentage pairwise genetic divergences (\%) for the 16 SrRNA gene between members of the genus Gracixalus. The highest distance within clades is italicized and shown in parenthesis. The new species described in this paper is in bold.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Gracixalus ananjevae | (0.0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Gracixalus jinggangensis | 7.4 | (0.0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Gracixalus jinxiuensis | 7.1 | 7.0 | (0.0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Gracixalus gracilipes | 10.6 | 10.8 | 10.7 | (1.5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Gracixalus guangdongensis | 4.8 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 10.1 | (0.7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 Gracixalus lumarius | 14.7 | 14.9 | 16.3 | 15.0 | 14.7 | (0.0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Gracixalus nonggangensis | 8.2 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 12.3 | 6.7 | 16.5 | (2.5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 Gracixalus quangi | 9.5 | 7.8 | 9.7 | 4.7 | 8.3 | 14.5 | 11.0 | (0.0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 Gracixalus quyeti | 11.1 | 10.8 | 10.0 | 7.2 | 10.1 | 13.9 | 11.6 | 5.6 | (0.6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Gracixalus sapaensis | 5.4 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 10.1 | 4.6 | 15.7 | 7.0 | 8.9 | 10.2 | (0.4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 Gracixalus seesom | 10.6 | 9.6 | 9.4 | 6.1 | 8.4 | 16.0 | 10.0 | 5.7 | 7.4 | 8.6 | (0.0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Gracixalus sp. 1 | 2.3 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 11.4 | 5.7 | 14.6 | 9.1 | 9.9 | 10.7 | 6.1 | 9.8 | (0.0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 Gracixalus sp. 2 | 14.0 | 14.9 | 15.9 | 14.3 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 15.7 | 13.9 | 13.8 | 15.3 | 16.1 | 14.6 | (0.0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 Gracixalus supercornutus | 10.7 | 9.2 | 10.8 | 6.2 | 9.5 | 15.4 | 11.9 | 2.5 | 5.9 | 10.4 | 66 | 10.8 | 14.9 | (1.6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 Gracixalus tianlinensis | 6.3 | 6.5 | 5.9 | 10.5 | 4.5 | 15.2 | 6.8 | 9.6 | 9.6 | 2.7 | 7.6 | 6.7 | 15.3 | 10.6 | (0.0) |  |  |  |  |
| 16 Gracixalus trieng | 5.3 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 10.2 | 3.8 | 14.2 | 6.7 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 4.5 | 7.6 | 5.1 | 14.3 | 9.1 | 4.0 | (0.0) |  |  |  |
| 17 Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. | 6.4 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 11.4 | 5.2 | 15.9 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 10.3 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 6.8 | 15.9 | 10.0 | 5.9 | 4.5 | (0.0) |  |  |
| 18 Gracixalus yunnanensis | 3.9 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 10.1 | 2.2 | 14.3 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 9.7 | 4.3 | 8.7 | 5.1 | 139 | 8.9 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 4.7 | (0.0) |  |
| 19 Gracixalus ziegleri | 5.4 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 10.5 | 4.5 | 14.9 | 7.1 | 9.5 | 10.3 | 2.3 | 8.2 | 6.1 | 14.9 | 10.5 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 4.1 | (0.0) |

G. nonggangensis, G. sapaensis, and G. ziegleri with strong support from BI. In addition, G. ananjevae, and G. trieng formed a well-supported clade separated from the remaining congeners. The results derived from the network analysis also confirm the phylogenetic estimations (Fig. 2A, B; Suppl. materials 1, 3).


Figure 2. A phylogram based on the Bayesian analysis of Rag1 sequences. Number above and below branches are BI posterior probabilities and ML ultrafast bootstrap, respectively $\mathbf{B}$ split tree network based on Rag1 data. Numbers at major nodes are bootstrap values (1000 replicates).

## Taxonomic account

## Gracixalus truongi sp. nov.

https://zoobank.org/2D02EBFB-DAC0-4297-A2EC-90CF183E68FF
Figs 3, 4
Material. Holotype: IEBR A. 5004 (Field number TN 2020.09), adult male, collected by N.H. Nguyen, H.N. Tran, H.Q. Nguyen on 11 November 2020 in the karst forest in Ta Ma Commune ( $21^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 36.0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 103^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 96.7^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, at an elevation of $1,164 \mathrm{~m}$ asl.), Tuan Giao District, Dien Bien Province, Vietnam. Paratypes: IEBR A. 5005 (Field number TN 2020.08), adult female, collected on 11 November 2020 (the same data as the holotype); IEBR A. 5006 (Field number ĐB 2021.7), adult male, collected by H. Q. Nguyen \& T. Q. Phan, on 30 December 2021, in Tuan Giao District, Dien Bien Province, Vietnam; ZVNU 09 (Field numbers Co9.16.24) and ZVNU 10 (Field


Figure 3. Gracixalus truongi sp. nov., holotype (IEBR A.5004), male, in life $\mathbf{A}$ dorsolateral view $\mathbf{B}$ ventral view.


Figure 4. Gracixalus truongi sp. nov., dorsolateral view of paratypes in life $\mathbf{A}$ female (IEBR A.5005) from Dien Bien Province B female (ZVNU 09) from Son La Province.

Co9.16.36), two females, collected by A. V. Pham, N. B. Sung, L. M. Ha, T. Q. L. Hoang, and Q. T. Bui on 3 September 2016, in Long He Village ( $21^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 14.5^{\prime \prime N}$, $103^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 41.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, at an elevation of $1,110 \mathrm{~m}$ asl.), Long He Commune, Thuan Chau District, Son La Province, Vietnam.

Diagnosis. The new species is assigned to the genus Gracixalus based on molecular phylogenetic analyses and the following morphological characters: the presence of intercalary cartilage between terminal and penultimate phalanges of digits, tips of digits expanded into large discs bearing circum-marginal grooves, the vomerine teeth being absent, horizontal pupil, tibia $\sim 4-5 \times$ longer than wide, translucent skin, inner (first and second) and outer (third and fourth) fingers not opposable, and dorsum with an inversed Y-shaped dark brown marking (Fei et al. 2009; Rowley et al. 2011, 2020; Chen et al. 2018; Yu et al. 2019; Le et al. 2021).

Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. is distinguishable from its congeners by a combination of the following morphological characters: (1) size relatively small, SVL $32.2-33.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ in males, 37.6-39.3 mm in females; (2) head slightly wider than long; (3) vomerine teeth absent; (4) snout round and long RL/SVL $0.17-0.19$ in males, $0.16-0.17$ in females; (5) spines on upper eyelid absent; (6) supratympanic fold distinct; (7) tympanum distinct; (8) dorsal skin smooth; (9) throat skin smooth and venter skin granular; (10) tibiotarsal projection absent; (11) webbing of fingers rudimentary, toes with moderately developed webbing; (12) dorsum moss-green, with an inverse Y-shaped dark green marking extended from interorbital region to posterior region of dorsum; (13) external vocal sac absent in males; (14) males with a nuptial pad on finger I.

Description of holotype (male). Size small (SVL 33.1 mm ), body robust, dorsoventrally compressed. Head slightly wider than long (HL 10.6 mm , HW 11.8 mm ); snout round anteriorly in dorsal view, projecting beyond margin of the lower jaw; nostril round, without a lateral flap of skin, closer to tip of snout than to eye (NS 3.0 mm , EN 3.2 mm ); canthus rostralis distinct and round; loreal region oblique and concave; rostral length greater than eye diameter (RL 4.8 mm , ED 4.5 mm ); canthus rostralis round, loreal region oblique, concave; interorbital region flat, interorbital distance wider than internarial distance and upper eyelid width (IOD 3.9 mm , IND 3.7 mm , UEW 2.8 mm ); distance between anterior corner of eyes (DAE 6.1 mm ) $-57 \%$ distance between posterior corner of eyes (DPE 10.7 mm ); pupil oval, horizontal; tympanum distinct (TYD 2.2 mm ), round, half of the eye diameter but greater than tympanum-eye distance (TYE 1.5 mm ); vomerine teeth absent; choanae small, oval; tongue cordate, deeply notched posteriorly; external vocal sacs absent.

Forelimbs robust; forearm and hand relative long (UAL/SVL 0.16), hand longer than forearm (FAL/SVL 0.45 ); relative finger lengths: $\mathrm{I}<\mathrm{II}<\mathrm{IV}<\mathrm{III}$; fingers webbing rudimentary; dermal ridge on sides of fingers absent; tips of all fingers with well-developed discs with distinct circum-marginal grooves, discs relatively wide compared to width of finger ( $\mathrm{fd} 3 / \mathrm{fw} 31.9 / 1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), disc of finger III smaller than tympanum diameter; subarticular tubercles markedly elevated and prominent, round, one each on fingers I and II, two on fingers III and IV; nuptial pads prominent, oval; outer palmar tubercle divided into two.

Hindlimbs long (TbL/SVL 0.47, FoL/SVL 0.63); heels overlapping when held at right angles to the body; tibia length $\sim 4 \times$ greater than tibia width ( $\mathrm{TbL} / \mathrm{TbW} 4.31$ ), longer than thigh (FeL 15.1 mm ) but shorter than foot length (FoL 20.8 mm ); relative length of toes: $\mathrm{I}<\mathrm{II}<\mathrm{III}<\mathrm{V}<\mathrm{IV}$; tips of all toes with well-developed discs with distinct circum-marginal grooves, discs slightly smaller than those of fingers; webbing formula I1-11/2II3/4-2III1-21/4IV2-1V; subarticular tubercles distinct, blunt, round: one on toes I and II, two on toes III and V, and three on toe IV; inner metatarsal tubercle small (IMT 1.3 mm ); dermal ridge along outer side of tibia and tarsal fold absent; outer metatarsal and supernumerary tubercles absent; pointed projection at tibiotarsal articulation absent; tibio-tarsal articulation reaching between eye and nostril.

Skin texture: dorsal surface of head and body smooth; posterior part of tympanum, flank and lateral sides of limbs with small, flattened granules; spinules on upper eyelid absent; supratympanic fold distinct, extending from eye to angle of jaw; dorsolateral folds absent; throat and chest smooth, belly and ventral surface of thigh granular; dermal appendage at vent absent.

Coloration in life: background of dorsal surface of head, body and limbs mossgreen with grey marking; with an inverse Y-shaped dark green marking, starting at the interorbital region, bifurcating into two branches on the shoulder, extending posteriorly; lateral side of body, dorsal surface of arms and limbs moss-green with dark green transverse bars; throat and chest white with dark brown marbling; belly immaculate white.

Coloration in preservative: Snout and dorsum grey with a dark brown pattern forming an inverse Y marking, notably a triangular pattern between eyes bifurcating into two bands continuing posteriorly; a dark pattern running from above cloaca forward to the middle of the back; lateral side of head and flank grey with dark spots; tympanum light brown; forelimb, dorsal surface of thigh, tibia and foot grey with some darker bands, posterior part of thigh below the vent yellowish brown with small white spots; throat and chest with dark brown marbling; belly immaculate cream to white; ventral part of forelimbs white; ventral surface of thighs white to grey; webbing grey.

Variation. Measurements and morphological characters of the type series are provided in Table 2 and photographs of the paratypes in life are presented in Fig. 4. Males are smaller than females (SVL $32.2-33.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ in males vs. $37.6-39.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females). The male specimens have a nuptial pad on finger I. The females contained yellowish cream eggs.

Etymology. We name this new species in honor of our colleague, Prof. Dr. Truong Quang Nguyen from the Institute of Ecology and Biological Resources, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, in recognition of his great contributions to the herpetofaunal exploration of the Indochina region. We recommend "Truong's Treefrog" as the common English name of the new species and the common name in Vietnamese "Nhái cây trường".

Ecological notes. The specimens were collected between 19:00 and 23:00 on a limestone cliff and on leaves, $\sim 0.5-1.2 \mathrm{~m}$ above the ground. The surrounding habitat was secondary karst forest of medium and small hardwoods mixed with shrubs and vines. Air temperature was $13-18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and relative humidity was $65-80 \%$. Other amphibian species found at the site were Leptobrachella sp., Kurixalus bisacculus (Taylor, 1962), Polypedates megacephalus Hallowell, 1861, and Rhacophorus orlovi (Ziegler \& Köhler, 2001).

Table 2. Measurements (in mm ) of the type series of Gracixalus truongi sp. nov.

|  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Holotype | Paratype |  | Paratype | Paratype | Paratype |  |
|  | IEBR A. 5004 | IEBR A. 5006 | Min - Max | IEBR A. 5005 | ZVNU 09 | ZVNU 10 | Min - Max |
| SVL | 33.1 | 32.2 | 32.2-33.1 | 37.8 | 37.6 | 39.3 | 37.6-39.3 |
| HW | 11.8 | 13.0 | 11.8-13.0 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.8 | 14.6-14.8 |
| HL | 10.6 | 12.8 | 10.6-12.8 | 13.9 | 14.2 | 14.5 | 13.9-14.5 |
| MN | 9.5 | 10.8 | 9.5-10.8 | 11.9 | 12.1 | 12.9 | 11.9-12.9 |
| MFE | 7.4 | 8.2 | 7.4-8.2 | 9.3 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 9.2-9.9 |
| MBE | 4.1 | 5.0 | 4.1-5.0 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 4.9-5.5 |
| RL | 6.2 | 5.6 | 5.6-6.2 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 6.2 | $6.0-6.5$ |
| ED | 4.5 | 5.1 | 4.5-5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.1-5.3 |
| UEW | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.8-3.2 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 3.5-3.9 |
| IND | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.7-3.8 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.0-4.5 |
| IOD | 3.2 | 4.0 | 3.2-4.0 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 4.1-5.2 |
| DAE | 6.1 | 6.2 | 6.1-6.2 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 6.7-7.4 |
| DPE | 10.7 | 10.8 | 10.7-10.8 | 12.1 | 11.8 | 12.4 | 11.8-12.4 |
| NS | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.6-3.0 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.8-3.0 |
| EN | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.0-3.2 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.2-3.5 |
| TYD | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.2-2.3 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.5-2.7 |
| TYE | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5-1.5 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.7-1.9 |
| UAL | 5.4 | 6.1 | 5.4-6.1 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.2-6.3 |
| FAL | 14.9 | 16.0 | 14.9-16.0 | 17.8 | 18.0 | 20.3 | 17.8-20.3 |
| NPL | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.9-2.2 |  |  |  |  |
| TFL | 8.3 | 8.9 | 8.3-8.9 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 10.2 | 8.9-10.2 |
| fd3 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.7-1.9 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.8-2.0 |
| fw3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0-1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.2-1.3 |
| FeL | 15.1 | 15.2 | 15.1-15.2 | 17.7 | 17.1 | 20.2 | 17.1-20.2 |
| TbL | 15.5 | 18.0 | 15.5-18.0 | 18.1 | 19.2 | 21.9 | 18.1-21.9 |
| TbW | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.6-4.0 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.8 | 4.0-4.8 |
| FoL | 20.8 | 23.2 | 20.8-23.2 | 24.2 | 25.1 | 28.3 | 24.2-28.3 |
| FTL | 12.6 | 13.0 | 12.6-13.0 | 14.8 | 15.4 | 16.7 | 14.8-16.7 |
| td4 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.6-1.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.7-1.9 |
| tw4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2-1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2-1.2 |
| IMT | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.6-2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.9-2.1 |
| RL/SVL | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0.17-0.19 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16-0.17 |
| ED/RL | 0.73 | 0.91 | 0.73-0.91 | 0.78 | 0.85 | 0.85 | $0.78-0.85$ |
| TYE/TYD | 0.68 | 0.65 | 0.65-0.68 | 0.63 | 0.68 | 0.70 | $0.63-0.70$ |
| UAL/SVL | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.16-0.19 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16-0.17 |
| FAL/SVL | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.45-0.50 | 0.47 | 0.48 | 0.52 | 0.47-0.52 |
| TbL/TbW | 4.31 | 4.50 | 4.31-4.50 | 4.31 | 4.80 | 4.56 | $4.31-4.80$ |
| TbL/SVL | 0.47 | 0.56 | $0.47-0.56$ | 0.48 | 0.51 | 0.56 | 0.48-0.56 |
| FoL/SVL | 0.63 | 0.72 | 0.63-0.72 | 0.64 | 0.67 | 0.72 | 0.64-0.72 |
| fd3/TYD | 0.86 | 0.74 | 0.74-0.86 | 0.74 | 0.72 | 0.70 | 0.70-0.74 |

Distribution. Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. is currently known only from Dien Bien and Son La provinces, northwestern Vietnam (Fig. 5).

Comparisons. We compared the new species with other members of the genus Gracixalus and data obtained from the literature (Boulenger 1893; Bourret 1937; Hu et al. 1978; Ye and Hu 1984; Matsui and Orlov 2004; Nguyen et al. 2008; Rowley et al. 2011, 2014, 2020; Mo et al. 2013; Nguyen et al. 2013; Matsui et al. 2015; Matsui et al. 2017; Zeng et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2018; Yu et al. 2019; Le et al. 2021) (Table 3).
Table 3. Morphological comparisons between Gracixalus truongi sp. nov., with other members of Gracixalus. The morphological data was obtained from the literature: Boulenger 1893; Bourret 1937; Hu et al. 1978; Ye and Hu 1984; Matsui and Orlov 2004; Nguyen et al. 2008, 2013; Rowley et al. 2011, 2014, 2020; Mo et al. 2013; Matsui et al. 2015, 2017; Zeng et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2018; Yu et al. 2019; Le et al. 2021). Abbreviations are as follows: ? = characters unobtainable from literature.

| Species | Adult male SVL (mm) | Adult female SVL (mm) | Conical tubercles on dorsum | Dorsal color in life | Vocal sac | Skin of body sides | Skin of throat | Finger webbing | Linea masculina | Tibiotarsal articulation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. | 32.2-33.1 | 37.6-39.3 | absent | moss green with grey | internal | smooth | smooth | absent | absent | reaching between eye and nostril |
| G. ananjevae | 20.0-32.0 | 43.4 | absent | ? | ? | coarsely granular | plain | rudimentary | ? | reaching eye |
| G. carinensis | 30.2-38.1 | ? | absent | purplish, reddish, or greyish brown | internal | ? | granular | rudimentary | ? | reaching eye |
| G. gracilipes | 20.0-24.0 | 26.4-28.8 | absent | greenish | internal | smooth with white stripe | smooth | rudimentary | ? | reaching eye |
| G. guangdongensis | 26.1-34.7 | 34.9-35.4 | absent | brown | ? | rough, black blotches | granular | absent | present | reaching between eye and nostril |
| G. jinggangensis | 27.9-33.8 | 31.6 | absent | brown to beige | ? | rough with tubercles | granular | rudimentary | ? | reaching eye |
| G. jinxiuensis | 23.5-26.3 | 29-30 | ? | brown | internal | rough with tubercles | granular | rudimentary | absent | reaching eye |
| G. lumarius | 38.9-41.6 | 36.3 | present | yellow | external | ? | granular | rudimentary | ? | ? |
| G. medogensis | 26.5 | ? | absent | grass green | internal | ? | granular | absent | present | reaching eye |
| G. nonggangensis | 27.1-35.3 | 26.8-27.3 | absent | yellowish-olive with dark-green mark | internal | rough with tubercles | granular | absent | absent | reaching tip of snout |
| G. quangi | 21.0-24.0 | 26.8-27.3 | present, small | olive-green | external | with black blotches | smooth | absent | ? | ? |
| G. quyeti | 28.5 | 34.0 | present | brownish to mossgreen | ? | rough with sharp tubercles | smooth | rudimentary | ? | reaching to snout |
| G. sapaensis | 20.8-29.6 | 27.2-39.5 | absent | Golden ochre | ? | coarsely scattered with large tubercles | ? | rudimentary | ? | reaching eye |
| G. seesom | 21.6-23.0 | 23.2-25.4 | absent | tan | external | with large tubercles and white blotches | smooth | rudimentary | ? | reaching between eye and nostril |
| G. supercornutus | 22.0-24.1 | ? | present, bigger horn-like | green with brown spots | ? | ? | granular | ? | ? | ? |
| G. tianlinensis | 30.3-35.9 | 35.6-38.7 | absent | brown to beige | external | ? | granular | absent | ? | ? |
| G. trieng | 37.2-41.4 |  | present | brown or yellowish | present | ? | granular | rudimentary | ? | ? |
| G. yunnanensis | 26.0-34.2 | ? | present, small | yellow brown or red brown | external | smooth, no black blotches | granular | rudimentary | present | reaching eye |
| G. ziegleri | 28.1-30.0 | 36.7-41.2 | present | yellowish brown | internal | rough, black blotches | granular | rudimentary | absent | reaching tip of snout |


| Species | Snout | White patch on temporal region | Tibiotarsal projection | Supratympanic fold | Venter | Nuptial pads | Heels | Iris | Linea masculina | Tibiotarsal articulation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. | rounded | absent | absent | distinct | immaculate white | on finger I | overlapping | brown and moss green | absent | reaching between eye and nostril |
| G. ananjevae | slightly pointed | absent | absent | distinct | immaculate | on finger I | overlapping | ? | ? | reaching eye |
| G. carinensis | round | absent | absent | distinct | immaculate white | ? | ? | ? | ? | reaching eye |
| G. gracilipes | triangularly pointed | absent | absent | distinct | yellowish white | on fingers I and II | overlapping | brown | ? | reaching eye |
| G. guangdongensis | triangularly pointed | present | present | distinct | throat and chest creamy white, belly light brown, semi-transparent | on finger I | overlapping | brown | present | reaching between eye and nostril |
| G. jinggangensis | triangularly pointed | absent | absent | distinct | Throat and chest dirty white with dark specks, belly white anteriorly with dark marking and posteriorly yellowish, semi-transparent | on fingers I and II | just meeting | golden | ? | reaching eye |
| G. jinxiuensis | round | absent | absent | distinct | gray-brown with dark marbling | on finger I | just meeting | pale brown | absent | reaching eye |
| G. lumarius | round | absent | absent | indistinct | opaque pink | on finger I | ? | dark gold | ? | ? |
| G. medogensis | round | absent | absent | distinct | pale green | on finger I | overlapping | ? | present | reaching eye |
| G. nonggangensis | round | absent | absent | distinct | white with dark marbling, semi- transparent | on finger I | overlapping | olive | absent | reaching tip of snout |
| G. quangi | triangularly pointed | present | present | distinct | opaque white with translucent pale green margins | on finger I | ? | bronze | ? | ? |
| G. quyeti | round | absent | absent | indistinct | belly immaculate white | ? | overlapping | yellow moss green | ? | reaching to snout |
| G. sapaensis | round | absent | absent | distinct | throat, chest, and belly light yellow, with dark marking | on finger I | overlapping | golden | ? | reaching eye |
| G. seesom | triangularly pointed | absent | absent | distinct | anterior belly opaque white and posterior belly translucent | absent | overlapping | golden | ? | reaching between eye and nostril |
| G. supercornutus | pointed | present | present | distinct | light with white spots | ? | ? | Pale yellow | ? | ? |
| G. tianlinensis | round | absent | absent | distinct | throat and chest gray with dark specks, belly creamy white, opaque | on fingers I and II | ? | bronze | ? | ? |
| G. trieng | rounded | ? | absent | distinct | throat and chest mostly yellowish brown, with dark mottling; belly pinkish brown | on fingers I and II | ? | pale gold | ? | ? |
| G. yunnanensis | round | absent | absent | distinct | orangish with yellow spots, immaculate, semitransparent | on finger I | overlapping | bronze | present | reaching eye |
| G. ziegleri | triangularly pointed | absent | absent | distinct | throat and chest dirty white with moderate dark specks, belly white cream with large dark blotches, | on finger I | overlapping | golden | absent | reaching tip of snout |

Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. differs from G. ananjevae (Matsui \& Orlov, 2004) by having skin of body sides smooth (vs. coarsely granular), snout round (vs. triangular pointed); tibio-tarsal articulation reaching between eye and nostril (vs. reaching eye). Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. differs from G. carinensis (Boulenger, 1893) by different dorsal color pattern (moss green with grey vs. purplish, reddish, or greyish brown), skin of throat smooth (vs. granular), tibio-tarsal articulation reaching between eye and nostril (vs. reaching eye). Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. differs from G. gracilipes (Bourret, 1937) by having a larger size (SVL 32.1-33.1 in males, $37.6-39.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females vs. $20.0-24.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ in males, $26.4-28.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females), different dorsal color pattern (moss green with grey vs. greenish with white stripe), round snout (vs. triangular pointed), tibio-tarsal articulation reaching between eye and nostril (vs. reaching eye), and iris moss green with brown marking (vs. brown). The new species differs from G. guangdongensis Wang, Zeng, Liu \& Wang, 2018 by having a different dorsal color pattern (moss green with grey vs. brown with black blotches), skin of body sides smooth (vs. rough), skin of throat smooth (vs. granular), snout round (vs. triangular pointed), linea masculina absent (vs. present), white patch on temporal region absent (vs. present), tibiotarsal projection absent (vs. present), different venter color pattern (immaculate white vs. throat and chest creamy white, belly light brown, semi-transparent), and iris moss green with brown marking (vs. brown). Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. differs from G. jinggangensis Zeng, Zhao, Chen, Chen, Zhang \& Wang, 2017 by different dorsal color pattern (moss green with grey vs. brown to beige), skin of body sides smooth (vs. rough with tubercles), skin of throat smooth (vs. granular), tibiotarsal articulation reaching between eye and nostril (vs. reaching eye), snout round (vs. triangular pointed), different venter color pattern (immaculate white vs. throat and chest dirty white with dark specks, belly white anteriorly with dark marking and posteriorly yellowish, semi-transparent), and iris moss green with brown marking (vs. golden). Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. differs from G. jinxiuensis (Hu, 1978) by having a larger size (SVL 32.1-33.1 in males, $37.6-39.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females vs. $23.5-26.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in males, $29.0-30.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females), different dorsal color pattern (moss green with grey vs. brown), skin of body sides smooth (vs. rough with tubercles), skin of throat smooth (vs. granular), tibiotarsal articulation reaching between eye and nostril (vs. reaching eye), and different venter color pattern (immaculate white vs. gray-brown with dark marbling). Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. differs from G. lumarius Rowley, Le, Dau, Hoang $\&$ Cao, 2014 by having a smaller size in males (SVL $32.1-33.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ vs. $38.9-41.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), different dorsal color pattern (moss green with grey vs. yellow), external vocal sac absent in males (vs. present), conical tubercles on dorsum absent (vs. present), skin of throat smooth (vs. granular), supratympanic fold distinct (vs. indistinct), different venter color pattern (immaculate white vs. opaque pink), and iris moss green with brown marking (vs. dark gold). Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. differs from G. medogensis (Ye \& Hu, 1984) by having a larger size in males (SVL 32.1-33.1 mm vs. 26.5 mm ), different dorsal color pattern (moss green with grey vs. grass green), skin of throat smooth (vs. granular), linea masculina absent (vs. present), tibio-tarsal articulation reaching between eye and nostril (vs. reaching eye), and different venter color pattern (immaculate white vs. pale green). Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. differs from
G. nonggangensis Mo, Zhang, Luo, Zhou \& Chen, 2013 by different dorsal color pattern (moss green with grey vs. yellowish-olive with dark-green mark), skin of body sides smooth (vs. rough with tubercles), different venter color pattern (immaculate white vs. white with dark marbling, semi-transparent), tibio-tarsal articulation reaching between eye and nostril (vs. reaching tip of snout), and iris moss green with brown marking (vs. olive). Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. differs from G. quangi Rowley, Dau, Nguyen, Cao \& Nguyen, 2011 by having a larger size (SVL 32.1-33.1 in males, $37.6-39.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females vs. $21.0-24.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ in males, $26.8-27.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females), different dorsal color pattern (moss green with grey vs. brown with black blotches), external vocal sac absent in males (vs. present), white patch on temporal region absent (vs. present), tibiotarsal projection absent (vs. present), different venter color pattern (immaculate white vs. opaque white with translucent pale green margins), and iris moss green with brown marking (vs. bronze). Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. differs from G. quyeti (Nguyen, Hendrix, Böhme, Vu \& Ziegler, 2008) by having a larger size (SVL 32.1-33.1 in males, $37.6-39.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females vs. $21.0-24.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ in males, 34.0 mm in the female), conical tubercles on dorsum absent (vs. present), skin of body sides smooth (vs. rough with sharp tubercles), tibio-tarsal articulation reaching between eye and nostril (vs. reaching tip of snout), and supratympanic fold distinct (vs. indistinct). The new species differs from G. sapaensis Matsui, Ohler, Eto \& Nguyen, 2017 from by having a larger size in males (SVL 32.1-33.1 mm vs. 20.8-29.6 mm), different dorsal color pattern (moss green with grey vs. golden ochre), skin of body sides smooth (vs. coarsely scattered with large tubercles), different venter color pattern (immaculate white vs. light yellow with dark marking), tibio-tarsal articulation reaching between eye and nostril (vs. reaching eye), and iris moss green with brown marking (vs. golden). Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. differs from G. seesom Matsui, Khonsue, Panha \& Eto, 2015 by having a larger size (SVL 32.1-33.1 in males, $37.6-39.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females vs. 21.623.0 mm in males, $23.2-25.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females), different dorsal color pattern (moss green with grey vs. tan), external vocal sacs absent in males (vs. present), round snout (vs. triangular pointed), and iris moss green with brown marking (vs. golden). Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. differs from G. supercornutus (Orlov, Ho \& Nguyen, 2004) by having a larger size in males (SVL $32.1-33.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ vs. $22.0-24.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), conical tubercles on dorsum absent (vs. present), different dorsal color pattern (moss green with grey vs. green with brown spots), skin of throat smooth (vs. granular), round snout (vs. pointed), and tibiotarsal projection absent (vs. present). Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. differs from G. tianlinensis Chen, Bei, Liao, Zhou \& Mo, 2018 by having different dorsal color pattern (moss green with grey vs. brown to beige), external vocal sacs absent in males (vs. present), skin of throat smooth (vs. granular), males with a nuptial pad on finger I (vs. males with a nuptial pad on finger I and II), tibio-tarsal articulation reaching between eye and nostril (vs. reaching eye), and iris moss green with brown (vs. bronze). Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. differs from G. trieng Rowley, Le, Hoang, Cao \& Dau, 2020 by having a smaller size in males (SVL 32.1-33.1 mm vs. 37.2-41.4 mm), conical tubercles on dorsum absent (vs. present), different dorsal color pattern (moss green with grey vs. yellow or yellowish), external vocal sacs absent in males (vs. present), skin of throat smooth (vs. granular), different venter color pattern (immaculate


Figure 5. Map showing the type locality of Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. in Dien Bien Province (1) and the locality in Son La Province (2), Vietnam, where some of the paratypes were found.
white vs. throat and chest mostly yellowish brown with dark mottling, belly pinkish brown), and iris moss green with brown (vs. pale gold). Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. differs from G. yunnanensis Yu, Li, Wang, Rao, Wu \& Yang, 2019 by conical tubercles on
dorsum absent (vs. present), different dorsal color pattern (moss green with grey vs. yellow brown or red brown), skin of throat smooth (vs. granular), and iris moss green with brown marking (vs. bronze). Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. differs from G. ziegleri Le, Do, Tran, Nguyen, Orlov, Ninh \& Nguyen, 2021 by having a larger size in males (SVL $32.1-33.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ vs. $28.1-30.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), conical tubercles on dorsum absent (vs. present), different dorsal color pattern (moss green with grey vs. yellowish brown with black blotches), skin of body sides smooth (vs. rough), skin of throat smooth (vs. granular), different ventral color pattern (immaculate white vs. throat and chest dirty white with moderate dark specks, belly white cream with large dark blotches), and iris moss green with brown (vs. golden).

In terms of dorsal color pattern Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. is similar to Theloderma annae Nguyen, Pham, Nguyen, Ngo \& Ziegler, 2016 from Hoa Binh anh Ninh Binh provinces. In addition, Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. and Theloderma annae also have similar life histories, both inhabiting limestone karst forest far from water sources. However, Gracixalus truongi differs from Theloderma annae by a larger size (SVL 32.133.1 in males, $37.6-39.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females vs. $27.1-28.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in males, $30.3-32.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females), the presence of a dark inverse Y-marking on dorsum (vs. absent), and a higher ratio of TYD/TYD ( 0.67 in males and 0.67 in females vs. 0.39 in males and 0.32 in females) (Nguyen et al. 2016).

## Discussion

The discovery of Gracixalus truongi sp. nov. brings the number of species in the genus to a total of 19 with 13 occurring in Vietnam. It is clear that the diversity of Gracixalus peaks in Vietnam, including seven taxa present in the northern region, five in the central, and one in both regions of the country. The new species is most closely related to G. trieng in terms of genetic distance, but they are separated by $4.5 \%$ divergence based on a fragment of the mitochondrial 16 S rRNA gene. Geographically, the two taxa are found in distant and distinct geographic regions. While G. truongi occurs in Dien Bien and Son La provinces, northwestern region, G. trieng is distributed in Kon Tum Province, the Central Highlands, Vietnam. In addition, the new species is recorded in the karstic landscape at elevations between 1,000 and $1,200 \mathrm{~m}$, whereas $G$. trieng inhabits soil montane habitat at altitudes from 1,700 to $2,100 \mathrm{~m}$. Morphologically, the former resembles $G$. nonggangensis, which occupies the same type of limestone habitat. The latter taxon is recorded between 500 and 700 m in northeastern Vietnam and $200-250 \mathrm{~m}$ in southern China. G. truongi differs from G. nonggangensis by $8.5 \%$ in genetic divergence based on a fragment of the mitochondrial 16 S rRNA gene.
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