



Two more new species of Aphidura (Hemiptera, Aphididae), and a note on variation in Aphidura bozhkoae Narzikulov

Juan M. Nieto Nafría¹, Roger L. Blackman², Jon H. Martin²

- I Departamento de Biodiversidad y Gestión Ambiental; Universidad de León; 24197 Leon (Spain)
- 2 Department of Life Sciences, Natural History Museum; Cromwell Road; London (United Kingdom)

Corresponding author: Roger L. Blackman (r.blackman@nhm.ac.uk)

Academic editor: Lyubomir Penev | Received 25 April 2014 | Accepted 23 June 2014 | Published 15 July 2014

http://zoobank.org/1185A7D9-3804-4F35-AEE2-F5588790E89B

Citation: Nieto Nafría JM, Blackman RL, Martin JH (2014) Two more new species of *Aphidura* (Hemiptera, Aphididae), and a note on variation in *Aphidura bozhkoae* Narzikulov. ZooKeys 425: 113–130. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.425.7797

Abstract

Two new species of *Aphidura* Hille Ris Lambers, 1956 (Hemiptera, Aphididae, Macrosiphini) are described; *Aphidura libanensis* **sp. n.** from *Prunus prostrata* in Lebanon, and *Aphidura corsicensis* **sp. n.** from *Cerastium soleirolii* in Corsica (France). Studies of *Aphidura bozhkoae* specimens from different localities have revealed that this species varies in its pattern of dorsal sclerotisation and other morphological characters, within and between populations. An updated key for identifying the world's species of *Aphidura* is presented.

Keywords

Aphidura, new taxa, descriptions, intraspecific variation, key of species

Introduction

Aphidura Hille Ris Lambers, 1956 (Hemiptera, Aphididae, Macrosiphini) currently includes 22–25 subjective valid species, exhibiting a Mediterranean-Pontian-Turanian distribution with extensions to neighbouring areas and exceptionally (one species) to the Russian Far East. Many of the species are associated with Caryophyllaceae, and some feed on *Prunus* and related genera, indicating that there may be host alternation

between Amygdaloideae (Rosaceae) and Caryophyllaceae, but their biology and life cycles are mostly unknown (Blackman and Eastop 2014). Twelve of the species were described in 2013 (Kadyrbekov 2013; Nieto Nafría et al. 2013), adding greatly to the knowledge of the genus. Two more species were present among specimens conserved in the collection of the Natural History Museum in London (BMNH), and they are described in this paper.

Aphidura bozhkoae Narzikulov, 1958 was described from *Prunus* (= *Cerasus*) verrucosa in Tajikistan, and has subsequently been found on various species of *Prunus* in the neighbouring countries Kyrgyzstan and Uzbekistan, and also in Kazakhstan, Iran and Georgia (Nieto Nafría et al. 2013). The variation in several morphological features exhibited by this species, both within and between populations, is remarkable. In particular, Iranian specimens (illustrated by Nieto Nafría et al. 2013: 10, fig 3A) differ from the Tajik type series (illustrated by Narzikulov 1958: 16, fig. 1) to such an extent that D. Hille Ris Lambers gave them the specific epithet "nitens", which was however never published. The variable characters include those that have been used to discriminate between other species of *Aphidura*, some of which are described from only single collections, so for future work on this genus it is important that the variation in *A. bozhkoae* should be reviewed and discussed.

Material and methods

Specimens of the following five samples have been studied:

- (1) 9 apterous viviparous females and 1 alate viviparous female: TAJIKISTAN: *Cerasus verrucosa*, Iskandirkul, 14-VII-1959 (3 apterae, 1 alate); same host-plant, Ziddy [Siddi in slide label by Hille Ris Lambers], 28-VI-1954 (6 apterae), N. Narzikulov *leg.*; *Aphidura bozhkoae*, Narzikulov *det.*; BMNH collection. Both Tajik localities are type-localities of this species (Narzikulov 1958: page 20).
- (2) 54 apterous viviparous females and 13 alate viviparous females: TAJIKISTAN: Cerasus verrucosa, Varzov (1 km above Kondara), 24-V-1981; Cerasus erythrocarpa, Ziddy, 9-VI-1981, G. Kh. Shaposhnikov leg. (samples 7524 and 7551); Aphidura bozhkoae, G. Kh. Shaposhnikov det.; Zoologicheskiy Institut collection. Ziddy is a type-locality of this species and Varzov is near Kondara, which is also a type-locality. These specimens were dry (alcohol had completely evaporated); they were hydrated and later mounted on microscopic slides.
- (3) 115 apterous viviparous females and 63 alatae viviparous females: IRAN: several host plants, localities and dates (data in Nieto Nafría et al. 2013: table I); G. Remaudière leg. (samples i196, i2961, i3028, i4092 and i4347); Aphidura "nitens", manuscript name, D. Hille Ris Lambers or G. Remaudière det.; Aphidura bozhkoae, J. M. Nieto Nafría det.. Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle and BMNH collections.

- (4) 5 apterous females: LEBANON, *Prunus prostrata*, Jabal el Barouk, 22-V-1973, D. Hille Ris Lambers *leg.* (sample 754); *Aphidura* "nitens" manuscript name, D. Hille Ris Lambers *det.*; BMNH collection.
- (5) 3 apterous viviparous females: CORSICA (France), *Cerastium soleirolii*, North slopes of Mount Cinto, 08-VII-1980, J. H. Martin *leg.* (sample number 3061); *Aphidura* "cerastii", manuscript name, D. Hille-Ris-Lambers *det.*; BMNH collection.

Morphological measurements were made according to Nieto Nafría and Mier Durante (1998). Measurements in text and table are lengths except when indicated otherwise as width or diameter. Tables have a distribution of data like tables in Nieto Nafría et al. (2013) in order to facilitate the comparisons.

A Leica DC digital 96 camera with IM 1000 version 1.10 software was used for the photomicrographs, which have been taken and mounted by L. M. Fernández Blanco.

Results and discussions

Descriptions of new species

Aphidura libanensis sp. n. http://zoobank.org/ECE4A97D-D03F-45CA-BEB5-57C64853ED30

Diagnosis. Siphunculi tapering to apex or slightly swollen in a distal portion. Dorsum of metathorax to abdominal segment 6 with a discal plate (apterae). Mesosternal mammariform processes pale, flat and with spinules (apterae). Abdominal marginal tubercles usually present. Tarsal formula 3.3.3. (sometimes one tarsus with 4 setae)

Apterous viviparous female, from 5 specimens (Figs 1A–E). Unknown colour in life, possibly shiny black with antennae, legs and siphunculi pale brown. In mounted specimens, antennae, mesosternal mammariform processes, legs, siphunculi, abdominal segment 8 sclerotized band, cauda and genital and anal plates are yellowish brown, with very proximal and distal portions of siphunculi, antennal segment VI and very apical portion of antennal segment V somewhat darker than aforementioned structures, all in contrast with head and dorsum of thorax and most part of abdomen, which are very dark brown. Frons undulated. Head with rugosity lines and spinules (both more abundant on dorsum). Antennal cuticle imbricated. Setae on body dorsum, antennae, and most of those on legs thick, with apices blunt or slightly capitate. Mesosternal mammariform processes pale, flat and with spinules. A discal plate present (from metathorax to abdominal segment 6); prothorax, mesothorax and abdominal segment 7 with wide transverse bands, which are darker than the discal plate, abdominal segment 8 with a band paler than the others. Marginal tubercles usually present on both sides of prothorax and several abdominal segments; they are small, but the abdominal ones are relatively tall. Siphunculi tapering to apex or slightly swollen in the distal




Figure 1. Aphidura libanensis sp. n., apterous viviparous females. **A** Habitus **B** Part of prothorax with a marginal tubercle (signalled with an arrow) **C** Marginal zone of abdominal segments 3 and 4, with marginal tubercles (signalled with arrows) **D** Mesosternum with mammariform processes (signalled with arrows) **E** Mesosternum with mammariform processes (signalled with arrows) of another specimen.

quarter, spinulose imbrication, distinct preapical incision and flange. Cauda broadly triangular. Metric and meristic features in Table 1.

Types. Holotype: apterous viviparous female, collected on *Prunus prostrata* Labill. (Rosaceae), Jabal el Barouk (Lebanon), 2-V-1973, Hille Ris Lambers *leg.*; paratypes: four apterous females collected at the same time as the holotype.

Etymology. The specific name of the new species is an adjective that means inhabitant of Lebanon, in feminine.

Table 1. Metric and meristic features of apterous viviparous females of *A. libanensis* sp. n. and *A. corsicensis* sp. n.

	A. libanensis	A. corsicensis
	n=5	n=3
Body [mm]	1.805-1.938	2.025-2.088
Antenna [mm]	1.605-1.698	1.590-1.655
Antenna / Body [times]	0.87-0.93	0.79-081
Ant. segm. III [mm]	0.43-0.51	0.48-0.52
Ant. segm. IV [mm]	0.27-0.31	0.27-0.29
Ant. segm. V [mm]	0.20-0.24	0.22-0.24
Ant. segm. VI base [mm]	0.09-0.13	0.11-0.12
Ant. segm. VI processus terminalis	0.39-0.46	0.31-0.34
Ant. segm. VI processus terminalis / Ant. segm. III [times]	0.78-1.03	0.60-0.67
Ant. segm. VI processus terminalis / base [times]	3.56-4.89	2.63-3.00
Ultimate rostral segm. [mm]	0.12-0.13	0.15-0.16
Ultimate rostral segm. / its basal width [times]	2.00-2.27	2.58-2.73
Ultimate rostral segm. / Ant. segm. VI base [times]	0.96-1.39	1.25-1.36
Hind femur [mm]	0.52-0.56	0.61-0.63
Hind tibia [mm]	0.96-1.03	1.06-1.09
Hind tibia / Body [times]	0.52-0.56	0.52-0.53
Hind tarsus, 2nd segm. [mm]	0.11-0.12	0.10-0.11
Hind tarsus, 2nd segm. / Ultimate rostral segm. [times]	0.84-1.00	0.65-0.75
Abdominal (segm. 2–6) marginal tubercles [number]	0-3	0
Siphunculus [mm]	0.30-0.35	0.42-0.45
Siphunculus / Body [times]	0.17-0.18	0.20-0.22
Siphunculus / Ant. segm. III [times]	0.65-0.74	0.82-0.89
Siphunculus / its basal width [times]	3.50-4.27	4.83-5.60
Siphuncular width, maximal / basal [times]	0.56-0.70	0.61-0.82
Siphuncular width, maximal / minimal [times]	1.05-1.11	1.04-1.10
Siphuncular minimal width / Hind tibiae, diameter at middle [times]	1.13-1.80	1.00-1.18
Cauda [mm]	0.13-0.16	0.23-0.24
Cauda / siphunculus [times]	0.40-0.49	0.51-0.57
Cauda / its basal width [times]	0.87-0.97	1.41-1.50
Setae on		
Frons [µm]	25–35	32–37
Frons / b. d. Ant. segm. III [times]	1.1–1.6	1.3–1.5
Vertex [µm]	15-30	32–35
Vertex / b. d. Ant. segm. III [times]	0.7-1.4	1.2-1.4
Antennal segment III [µm]	12–15	10–14
Antennal segment III / b. d. Ant. segm. III [times]	0.6-0.7	0.4-0.6
Ultimate rostral segm, [number]	6–9	17–19
Hind femur, dorsal [µm]	12–18	17–25
Hind femur, ventral [µm]	22–28	25–38
Hind tibia, dorsal, at middle [μm]	22–33	27–33
Hind tibia, dorsal / Tibial diameter (at middle) [times]	0.5-0.8	0.5-0.7
Hind tarsus, first segm. [number]	3 (4)	3

	A. libanensis	A. corsicensis
	n=5	n=3
Abdominal segm. 2–4 [µm]	10–18	25–30
Abdominal segm. 2-4 / b. d. Ant. segm. III [times]	0.5-0.8	1.0-1.1
Abdominal segm. 8 [µm]	27–33	32–38
Abdominal segm. 8 / b. d. Ant. segm. III [times]	1.2–1.5	1.3-1.4
Abdominal segm. 8 [number]	4	3–4
Genital plate, discal [number]	2	5–7
Genital plate, marginal [number]	10–15	13–20
Cauda [number]	6–8	6–9

Note: Used abbreviations: Ant., Antennal; b. d., basal diameter; n. number of measured specimens; segm., segment or segments.

Discussion. D. Hille Ris Lambers thought that these Lebanese specimens were conspecific with others found on *Prunus* in Iran, which were being studied by him and G. Remaudière, and that they all belonged to an undescribed species, which was named in draft "nitens" (Remaudière's epistolary archive). Certainly these Lebanese aphids do not belong to any previously described species, but they are also not conspecific with the Iranian ones, which we believe to be *A. bozhkoae* (see next section).

Aphidura libanensis sp. n. resembles Iranian specimens of A. bozhkoae and the East Asian species A. mordvilkoi in the shape of the siphunculi and the extensive and solid discal plate, but there is an important difference in the number of first tarsal setae: four setae on each first tarsal segment in A. bozhkoae and A. mordvilkoi, and three in A. libanensis. A. libanensis and A. mordvilkoi can also be distinguished from one another by the number of accessory setae on the ultimate rostral segment (6–9 in A. libanensis, 2–4 in A. mordvilkoi) and the relative length of the processus terminalis (3.6–4.9 times base of antennal segment VI in A. libanensis, 2.2–2.7 times in A. mordvilkoi). A. libanensis and A. bozhkoae are very similar in absolute and relative lengths of most body parts, the setae of A. libanensis (Table 1) are all generally longer than those of A. bozhkoae (Table 2).

Aphidura corsicensis sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/EA353AA4-B640-4294-A9B0-8A7C58C546CB

Diagnosis. Siphunculi slightly swollen. Dorsum of thorax and abdomen with setiferous sclerites (apterae). Mesosternal mammariform processes pale, flat and smooth (apterae). Abdominal marginal tubercles absent. Tarsal formula 3.3.3

Apterous viviparous female, from 3 specimens (Fig. 2). Shiny dark green in life. In mounted specimens, head, antennae, legs, siphunculi, cauda, genital and anal plates, and thoracic and abdominal sclerites yellowish brown, with apex of antennal segments III, IV and V, antennal segment VI, very apex of tibiae, tarsi and apex of siphunculi something darker than aforementioned structures. Frons undulated. Head mostly smooth, some rugosity lines are present on dorsum. Proximal section of anten-




Figure 2. *Aphidura corsicensis* sp. n., apterous viviparous female. **A** Habitus **B** Mesosternum with mammariform processes (signalled with arrows).

nal segment III and segments IV-VI imbricated. Setae on body dorsum, antennae and most of those on legs thick with apices blunt or slightly capitate. Mesosternal mammariform processes pale, flat and smooth. Setiferous sclerites present on dorsum of thorax and abdomen, mostly with one setae and similar in size to spiracular sclerites; marginal sclerites on abdominal segments 2-4 often coalescent; those on segment 6 forming postsiphuncular sclerites; and those on segment 7 and 8 partially coalescent;

Table 2. Metric and meristic features of apterous viviparous females of *A. bozhkoae* from Tajikistan, collected by Narzikulov (column Tajik. [Na.]) and by Shaposhnikov (column Tajik. [Sh.], and from Iran, collected by Remaudière. In parenthesis exceptional data.

	Tajik. [Na.]	Tajik. [Sh.]	Iran [Re.]
	n = 7	n = 13	n = 31
Body [mm]	1.950–2.125		1.413–2.125
Antenna [mm]	1.408–1.748		1.290–1.765
Antenna / Body [times]	0.70-0.83	0.70–0.88	0.73-0.92(1.07)
Ant. segm. III [mm]	0.36-0.49	0.40-0.48	0.35-0.52
Ant. segm. IV [mm]	0.22-0.28	0.22-0.28	0.19-0.34
Ant. segm. V [mm]	0.19-0.22	0.18-0.24	0.18-0.25
Ant. segm. VI base [mm]	0.10-0.12	0.10-0.12	0.09-0.12
Ant. segm. VI processus terminalis	0.39-0.52	0.40-0.55	0.33-0.48
Ant. segm. VI processus terminalis / Ant. segm. III [times]	0.98-1.10	0.98-1.18	(0.72)0.85–1.15
Ant. segm. VI processus terminalis / base [times]	3.58-4.76	3.86–5.00	2.89–4.55
Ultimate rostral segm. [mm]	0.12-0.13	0.13-0.15	0.11-0.14
Ultimate rostral segm. / its basal width [times]	1.56–2.36	1.92–2.64	1.92–2.89
Hind tibia / Body [times]	0.44-0.52	0.42-0.55	0.47-0.62
Hind tarsus, 2nd segm. [mm]	0.12-0.14	0.12-0.14	0.10-0.13
Hind tarsus, 2nd segm. / Ultimate rostral segm. [times]	0.92-1.04	0.89-1.00	0.77-1.00
Abdominal marginal (segm. 2–6) tubercles [number]	0	0	0–6
Abdominal (segm. 8) spinal tubercles [number]	0	0	0(2-3)
Siphunculus [mm]	0.35-0.48	0.36-0.45	0.28-0.44
Siphunculus / Body [times]	0.17-0.22	0.18-0.22	0.17-0.25
Siphunculus / Antennal segm. III [times]	0.90-1.04	0.85-1.10	0.69-0.92
Siphunculus / its basal width [times]	3.68–5.67	3.60–5.25	3.42–6.15
Siphuncular width, maximal / basal [times]	0.60-0.73	0.50-0.75	0.53-0.85
Siphuncular width, maximal / minimal [times]	1.00-1.04	1.00-1.10	1.00-1.11
Siphuncular minimal width / Hind tibiae, diameter at middle [times]	1.14-1.50	1.10-1.60	1.06-1.64(2.00)
Cauda [mm]	0.17-0.18	0.17-0.22	0.12-0.18
Cauda / siphunculus [times]	0.37-0.51	0.39-0.51	0.35-0.48
Cauda / its basal width [times]	1.08-1.21	1.05-1.33	0.87-1.33
Setae on			
Frons [µm]	10–14	7–20	8–13
Frons / b. d. Ant. segm. III [times]	0.3-0.5	0.3-1.0	0.3-0.6
Vertex [µm]	10–15	10–22	8–10
Vertex / b. d. Ant. segm. III [times]	0.5-0.6	0.4-1.0	0.3-0.6
Antennal segment III [µm]	7–10	7–10	6–10
Antennal segment III / b. d. Ant. segm. III [times]	0.3-0.4	0.3-0.4	0.3-0.5
Ultimate rostral segm, [number]	9–13	7–18	6–11
Hind femur, dorsal [µm]	10–13	9–18(28)	8–13
Hind femur, ventral [µm]	12–20	12-20(35)	10–23
Hind tibia, dorsal, at middle [µm]	15–24	17–30	10–23
Hind tibia, dorsal / Tibial diameter (at middle) [times]	0.4-0.6	0.4-0.8	0.2-0.8
Hind tarsus, first segm. [number]	4	4	4
Abdominal segm. 2–4 [µm]	9–13	10–15	(5)8–10
Abdominal segm. 2–4 / b. d. Ant. segm. III [times]	0.3-0.5	0.4-0.7	0.2-0.5

	Tajik. [Na.]	Tajik. [Sh.]	Iran [Re.]
	n = 7	n = 13	n = 31
Abdominal segm. 8 [µm]		12-20(25)	8–15
Abdominal segm. 8 / b. d. Ant. segm. III [times]	0.4-0.6	0.6-0.9(1.1)	0.3-0.6
Abdominal segm. 8 [number]	2–4	(2)4(5)	4
Genital plate, discal [number]	2(4)	2(3)-	2
Genital plate, marginal [number]	12–20	12–24	12–19
Cauda [number]	8–12	7–10	6–10

Note: Used abbreviations: Ant., Antennal; b. d., basal diameter; n. number of measured specimens; segm., segment or segments.

sclerites on abdominal segments 6-8 with spinules. Intersegmental sclerites small. Siphunculi slightly swollen (maximal width 1.04-1.10 times minimal width of the stem), with small scales and distinct preapical incision and flange. Cauda tongue shaped. Metric and meristic features in Table 1.

Types. Holotype: apterous viviparous female, collected on *Cerastium soleirolii* Ser. ex Duby. (Caryophyllaceae), North slope of Mount Cinto, (Corsica, France), noted as more than 1100m, 08-VII-1980, J. H. Martin *leg.*. Paratypes: two apterous females from the same colony as the holotype.

Etymology. The specific name of the new species is an adjective that means inhabitant of Corsica, in feminine.

Discussion. This species was included as *Aphidura* sp. in the host lists and key to aphids on *Cerastium* by Blackman and Eastop (2006), but has not previously been formally described.

Aphidura corsicensis can be easily distinguished from other Aphidura species by the abundant dorsal setiferous sclerites, which are not present in any other species of the genus. The host plant is not normally found below 1900m (Arthur Chater, Kew Gardens, pers. comm.) but the plant hosting A. corsicensis was estimated to have been collected at around 1100-1200m (Martin).

The variability of Aphidura bozhkoae Narzikulov

Narzikulov (1958) described *Aphidura bozhkoae* as a shiny black aphid with black siphunculi feeding on *Cerasus verrucosa* (currently in *Prunus*) in Tajikistan. His illustration (Fig. 1 on p.16) depicts an aptera with extensive dorsal sclerotisation, but the metanotal sclerite is separate from the abdominal discal plate and has a mesial gap. In specimens from the type series in the BMNH collection this gap is quite wide and coincides with an indentation of the anterior part of the abdominal discal plate, to form a conspicuous irregularly-shaped window. All these specimens have siphunculi as dark as or darker than the discal plate. In contrast, specimens from Iran in the BMNH collection all have the metanotal sclerite forming a broad band fused with the abdominal discal plate. so that the sclerotisation extends as a solid shield from metonotum to abdominal tergite 6.




Figure 3. *Aphidura bozhkoae* apterous viviparous females from Ziddy (Tajikistan). **A–C** habitus, anterior part **D–E** Mesosternum with mammariform processes. **A** specimen collected in 1954 by Narzikulov **B–E** Two specimens collected in 1981 by Shaposhnikov. Note the different dorsal pattern and the different size and form of processes.

Furthermore, these apterae have pale siphunculi. Another difference between Tajik and Iranian specimens in the BMNH collection concerns the mesosternal processes, which are well developed and well separated from each other in Tajik apterae, but much lower and more rounded in the specimens from Iran. These last features, all of them, are also shown by the specimen in Fig. 2A of Nieto Nafría et al. 2013).

In addition, the Tajik specimens all lack marginal or spinal tubercles, whereas in specimens from Iran small marginal tubercles may be present on some of abdominal tergites 2-6, and there may also be spinal tubercles on abdominal tergite 8 (Table 1).

When morphometric data are compared, however, the ranges of measurements and ratios for all characters agree well between countries, any slight differences being




Figure 4. *Aphidura bozhkoae* mesosternal processes of five apterous viviparous females collected in Ziddy (Tajikistan) in 1981 by G. Shaposhnikov, same sample as the two specimens in Figure 3.

within the expected range of intraspecific variation (Table 2). Furthermore, examination of more Tajik material from the *Zoologicheskiy Institut* collection (Figs 3, 4), and of more apterae from Iran in the collection of the *Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle*, showed that the BMNH specimens constituted the extremes of a range of variation in a single species, with Iranian apterae in particular varying in the dorsal pattern of sclerotisation, pigmentation of siphunculi and shape of mesosternal processes. Further confirmation came from photomicrographs of *A. bozhkoae* from *Prunus incana* in Georgia kindly provided by S. Bardjadze, which showed a similar range of variation in these characters within a single sample.

Intraspecific variation in the extent of dorsal sclerotisation is evident in other species of *Aphidura*, especially in those that are widely collected such as *A. picta*. However this usually involves varying degrees of fragmentation or reduction of the entire discal plate rather than any specific part of it; in this respect the variation shown by *A. bozhkoae* is unusual. Siphuncular pigmentation and the extent of development of

the mesosternal processes are characters that have been used to discriminate between *Aphidura* species, but experience with *A. bozhkoae* shows that they need to be applied carefully, especially when describing new species from small samples.

Key to apterous viviparous females of *Aphidura* species of the world (updated from the key of Nieto Nafría et al. 2013).

In brackets are: (1) when necessary morphological characters are included that do not have correspondence in the other proposition of the disjunctive, but which are useful to confirm identification; (2) illustration reference, from literature or in this paper; (3) host plants; and (4) distribution, with countries listed in geographical order from West to East.

1	Siphunculi markedly swollen (maximal swollen width at least 1.2 times minimal stem width)
_	Siphunculi of different form (cylindrical, subcylindrical, tapering or slightly
	swollen, see Nieto Nafría et al. (2013): "generic characters" section)9
2	Most of dorsal setae placed on conical tubercles. [Dorsum without segmental
	pigmented sclerotisation; Nieto Nafría et al. (2013): fig. 6. On <i>Acanthophyllum</i>
	sp. Iran]
_	Dorsal setae not placed on tubercles
3	Mesosternal processes and cauda pale4
_	Mesosternal processes and cauda more or less pigmented, light brown to
	brown6
4	Siphunculi dark brown, 2.3–2.7 times cauda which has 7–11 setae. Abdomi-
	nal dorsum with spino-pleural patch, postsiphuncular sclerites pigmented
	and marginal sclerites. [Ultimate rostral segment 1.0-1.2 times second seg-
	ment of hind tarsi; cauda 1.1–1.2 times its basal width; Kadyrbekov (2013):
	fig. 8. On Silene suffrutescens and S. sp. Kazakhstan]
_	Siphunculi pale, sometimes with smoky apex, 1.6-2.2 times cauda, which
	has 6–7 setae. If a spino-pleural patch present then ultimate rostral segment
	is 1.2–1.5 times second segment of hind tarsi
5	Antennal segment VI processus terminalis at least 1.4 times antennal segment
	III and approximately 4 times antennal segment VI base. Longest dorsal se-
	tae on abdominal segment 2–4 approximately 3 μm. Cauda tongue-shaped.
	Dorsum pale with dark intersegmental sclerites. [Nieto Nafría et al. (2013):
	fig. 5C. On Gypsophila sp. Grece]
_	Antennal segment VI processus terminalis at most 1.1 times antennal seg-
	ment III and at most 3.1 times antennal segment VI base. Longest dorsal
	setae on abdominal segment 2–4 are 7–13 µm. Cauda triangular, sometimes
	slight constricted. Dorsum with variable sclerotisation and pigmentation,
	onghe constitution, and president and presid

	sometimes mostly pale. [Nieto Nafria et al. (2013): fig. 5D. On Silene sp.,
	and an unidentified caryophyllaceous species. Iran] A. amphorosiphon
6	Abdominal (or thoracic-abdominal) discal plate present, sometimes divided
	in transversal bands
_	Abdominal discal plate absent; a broken and irregularly edged spinopleural
	patch usually present, sometimes with bridges to marginal sclerites8
7	Mesosternal processes wide and low. Longest dorsal setae on abdominal
	segment 2-4 are 10-11 µm. Discal plate sometimes divided in transversal
	bands. Siphunculus 1.6–2.0 times cauda, which has 7–11 setae. [Kadyrbekov
	(2013): fig. 6. On Melandrium album. Kazakhstan]
_	Mesosternal processes more or less narrow and tall. Longest dorsal setae on
	abdominal segment 2-4 are 10-55 µm. Discal plate always complete. Si-
	phunculus 1.6-2.6 times cauda, which has 5-8 setae. [Nieto Nafría et al.
	(2013): fig. 1A. On Saponaria sp., Silene commutata, Si. kuschakewiczii, Si.
	lithophila, Si. vulgaris, Si. wallichiana, Si. wolgensis and Si. sp. Kazakhstan,
	Pakistan, Tajikistan, and India]
8	Siphunculus 1.7–2.7 times cauda. Longest frontal setae 22–28 µm and 1.0–
	1.4 times basal diameter of antennal segment III. [Kadyrbekov (2013): fig. 4.
	On Gypsophila altissima and G. paniculata. Kazakhstan] A. naimanica
_	Siphunculus 1.5–1.7 times cauda. Longest frontal setae 35–40 μm and 1.6–
	1.8 times basal diameter of antennal segment III. [Kadyrbekov (2013): fig. 5.
	On Cerastium cerastoides. Kazakhstan]
9	First segment of tarsi with 4 or less often with 3 setae. [Head and prothoracic
	transversal band as dark as thoracic-abdominal discal plate. Siphunculi cylin-
	drical and straight. On Rosaceae species]
_	First segment of tarsi usually with 3 setae, sometimes with 2; very infrequent-
	ly with 4
10	Antennal segment VI processus terminalis 2.2–2.7 times antennal segment VI
	base. Ultimate rostral segment with 2-5 accessory setae. Marginal tubercles
	usually present on abdominal segments 2–4. [Nieto Nafría et al. (2013): fig.
	1D. On <i>Prinsepia sinensis</i> . Russia: Far Est, Primorsky Krai]
_	Antennal segment VI processus terminalis 2.9-5.2 times antennal segment
	VI base. Ultimate rostral segment with 8–10 accessory setae. Abdominal mar-
	ginal tubercles always absent. [Fig. 3, 4. Nieto Nafría et al. (2013): fig. 2A.
	On Prunus erythrocarpa, P. fruticosa, P. incana, P. spinosa, P. tianschanica, P.
	triloba, P. ulmifolia, P. verrucosa and Prunus sp. Georgia, Kazakhstan, Iran,
	Uzbekistan, Tajikistan, and Kyrgyzstan]
11	Dorsum of thorax and abdomen with setiferous sclerites, similar in size to
	spiracular sclerites, and sometimes coalescing between them [Fig. 1. On
	Cerastium soleirolii. France: Corsica]
_	Dorsum of thorax and abdomen never with setiferous sclerites; other scleroti-
	zation can be present

12	Siphunculus slightly swollen with a maximal width close to 1.2 times minimal stem width and 1.6–2.0 times cauda, which is 1.5–1.8 times its basal
	width and has 7–11 setae; both as dark as head dorsum and thoracic and abdominal sclerotisation (a discal plate can be present). Longest dorsal setae
	on abdominal segment 2–4 are 10–11 μm and approximately 0.5 times basal
	diameter of antennal segment III. [Kadyrbekov (2013): fig. 6. On Melan-
	drium album. Kazakhstan]
_	Characters not in above combination
13	Siphunculus at most 1.95 times cauda (which is short triangular), pale or uni-
	formly dusky and slight swollen. Dorsum of head and mesosternal processes
	pale. Segmental thoracic and abdominal sclerotisation and pigmentation ab-
	sent
_	Siphunculus at least 1.90 times cauda, both diversely shaped and coloured. Dor-
	sum of head and mesosternal processes pale or pigmented. Thoracic and ab-
	dominal segmental sclerotisation and pigmentation rare completely absent15
14	Siphunculus at least 0.26 mm, 0.6–0.95 times antennal segment III, and
	1.7–1.95 times cauda, which is longer than its basal width. Mesosternal pro-
	cesses conspicuous. [Nieto Nafría et al. (2013): fig. 3A. On <i>Dianthus carthu-</i>
	sianorum, D. caryophyllus, D. commutatus, D. monspessulanus, D. rupicola, D.
	sp. and <i>Silene borysthenica</i> . Portugal, Spain, France, Switzerland, Italy and
	Ukraine]
_	Siphunculus shorter than 0.20 mm, 0.41–0.56 times antennal segment III,
	and 1.7–1.9 times cauda, which is not longer than its basal width. Mesoster-
	nal processes sometimes inconspicuous. [Nieto Nafría et al. (2013): fig. 5B.
	On Dianthus sp. Pakistan]
15	Antennal segment I at least 1.25 times its maximal width. Longest dorsal setae
1)	on abdominal segments 2–4 are 35–55 µm and 1.5–2.0 times basal diameter
	of antennal segment III. [Discal plate oval and dark; siphunculi weakly orna-
	mented, smooth distad; Nieto Nafría et al. (2013): fig. 2B. On <i>Silene italica</i> ,
	S. nutans, perhaps S. viscosa, and S. sp.; France, Italy, Greece]
_	Antennal segment I at most 1.2 times its maximal width. Longest dorsal setae
	on abdominal segments 2–4 at most 25 µm and 1.2 times basal diameter of
	antennal segment III
16	Abdomen usually with spinopleural patch and separate marginal sclerites; if a
10	discal plate is present then it has irregular margins and frequently there are win-
	dows in spinal areas of the thoracic, if integrated, and anterior abdominal seg-
	ments. Dorsal patch or plate smooth and reticulated. Siphunculi dark brown to
	black, subcylindrical and usually straight, 1.8–2.0 times cauda, which is broad
	triangular and has 10–16 setae. Ultimate rostral segment with 6–10 accessory
	setae. [Nieto Nafría et al. (2013): fig. 2C. On Silene inaperta, S. italica, S. nu-
	tans, S. saxifraga, S. otites, S. vulgaris, S. wolgensis and S. sp. France, Switzerland,
	Italy, Hungary, Romania, Ukraine and Russia]
_	Characters not in above combination
_	Characters not in above combination1/

17	Longest setae on abdominal segments 2-4 (dorsum) and antennal segment
_	III 3–8 μ m and 0.15–0.50 times basal diameter of antennal segment III 18 Longest setae on abdominal segments 2–4 (dorsum) and antennal segment III 8–25 μ m and 0.30–1.60 times basal diameter of antennal segment III; if
	they are $\dot{8}~\mu m$ long then marginal abdominal tubercles present or ultimate
18	rostral segment shorter than second segment of hind tarsi
	brown to dark brown. Ultimate rostral segment 1.15-1.25 times second seg-
	ment of hind tarsi. Cauda 1.4–1.8 times its basal width. [Nieto Nafría et al. (2013): fig. 2D. On <i>Gypsophila paniculata</i> , <i>Silene borysthenica</i> , <i>S. moldavica</i> ,
	S. otites, S. wolgensis and S. sp. Slovakia, Hungary, Greece, Ukraine, and
	Moldova]
_	Siphunculi (with smoked apex, head dorsum, mesosternal processes and cau-
	da pale. Ultimate rostral segment as long as second segment of hind tarsi. Cauda 1.0–1.1 times its basal width. [Kadyrbekov (2013): fig. 1. On <i>Gyp</i> -
	sophila perfoliata. Kazakhstan]
19	Marginal tubercles on prothorax and abdominal segments 2-4 usually pre-
20	sent and spinal tubercle on abdominal segment VIII sometimes present 20
20	Aphids relatively large (longer than 1.7 mm) and provided with an extensive, solid discal plate. Setae on antennal segment III, and on dorsum of head, ab-
	dominal segments 2–4 and abdominal segment 8 at least 12, 15, 10 and 27 μm
	respectively. [Fig. 2. On <i>Prunus prostrate</i> . Lebanon]
_	Aphids relatively small (shorter than 1.4 mm) with a broken pattern of dorsal sclerotisation. Setae on antennal segment III, and on dorsum of head, abdominal
	segments 2–4 and abdominal segment 8 at most 8, 10, 8 and 15 µm respectively.
	[Nieto Nafría et al. (2013): fig. 6A. On <i>Prunus</i> . Iran]
_ 21	Marginal and spinal abdominal tubercles absent
21	Siphunculi pale, usually as pale as most part of tibiae
22	Antennal segment VI processus terminalis 5.0–5.5 times antennal segment
	VI base. Cauda triangular or tongue-shaped with slight proximal constric-
	tion. Ultimate rostral segment shorter than second segment of hind tarsi. [Nieto Nafría et al. (2013): fig. 6C. On <i>Gypsophila arenaria</i> , <i>G. paniculata</i> , <i>G.</i>
	perfoliata, G. sp. Slovakia, Hungary, Ukraine, Kazakhstan, Russia: Western
	Siberia]
_	Antennal segment VI processus terminalis 2.8–4.0 times antennal segment VI
	base. Cauda tongue-shaped. Ultimate rostral segment 1.23–1.45 times second segment of hind tarsi. [Clypeus swollen both forward and laterally; Nieto Na-
	fría et al. (2013): fig. 5D. On Spergularia marina. Iran]
23	Cauda tongue-shaped, 1.40–1.80 times its basal width. Mesosternal processes
	more or less pigmented, usually darker than tibiae. [Thoracic and abdominal scle-
	rotisation variable, usually a spinopleural abdominal patch with irregular edges and windows in several segments, including the posterior ones; siphunculi pig-
	and made it is several degitered, including the posterior ones, dipitation pig

mented, but usually pale than abdominal sclerotized dorsum; Nieto Nafría et al. (2013): fig. 1C. On Dianthus barbatus, D. caryophyllus, D. crinitus, D. sp., Silene conoidea, S. fruticosa, S. italica, S. otites, S. paradoxa, S. thymifolia, S. vulgaris, and S. sp. Spain, France, Italy, Slovenia, Hungary, Greece, Bulgaria, Turkey, Israel, Cauda triangular, although sometimes with a slight proximal constriction, 1.05–1.40 times its basal width. Siphunculi and mesosternal processes as pale 24 Ultimate rostral segment 0.90-1.00 times second segment of hind tarsus, with 8–10 accessory setae. Cauda approximately 1.30–1.40 times its basal width. Longest dorsal setae on abdominal segment 2-3 are 8-11 µm and 0.3-0.5 basal diameter of antennal segment III. [Kadyrbekov (2013): fig. 2. Ultimate rostral segment 1.05–1.45 times second segment of hind tarsus, with 10–16 accessory setae. Cauda approximately 1.05–1.35 times its basal width. Longest dorsal setae on abdominal segment 2-3 are 13-23 µm and 0.6-1.0 basal diameter of antennal segment III. [Nieto Nafría et al. (2013):

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to A. Stekolshchikov (Zoological Institute, Russian Academia of Sciences, St. Petersburg) for providing us with two Tajik samples of *A. bozhkoae*, and to S. Barjadze (Agricultural University of Georgia, Tbilisi, Georgia) for providing photomicrographs and information about apterous viviparous females of *A. bozhkoae* from Georgia. The restoration of Tajik specimens of *A. bozhkoae* of the *Zoologicheskiy Institut* collection was made by M.P. Mier Durante. Arthur Chater, then based at the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew (UK), kindly provided the identification of the host plant of *A. corsicensis* and the note concerning its usual altitude range.

References

Blackman RL, Eastop VF (2006) Aphids on the World's Herbaceous Plants and Shrubs. Volume 1 Host Lists. Volume 2 The Aphids. John Wiley and Sons Ltd., Chichester, 439 pp. Blackman RL, Eastop VF (2014) Aphids on the World's Plants. An online identification and informative guide. http://www.aphidsonworldsplants.info [accessed March 2014]

Kadyrbekov RK (2013) Materiali po sistematike tlei roda Aphidura Hille Ris Lambers, 1956 (Homoptera, Aphididae). Zoologicheskii Zhurnal 92(1): 34–49. doi: 10.7868/ S0044513413010078

- Narzikulov MN, Winkler NG (1958) Novye dlya nauki tli (Homoptera: Aphididae) iz Tadzikistana. Trudy Akademii Nauk Tadzikistana SSR 89: 15–30.
- Nieto Nafría JM, Mier Durante MP (1998) Hemiptera Aphididae I. Fauna Ibérica, vol. 11, Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales (CSIC), Madrid, 424 pp.
- Nieto Nafría JM, Mier Durante MP, Remaudière G (2013) The genus *Aphidura* (Hemiptera, Aphididae) in the collection of the Muséun national d'Histoire naturelle of Paris, with six new species. ZooKeys 318: 1–33. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.318.5693