



Coleoptera of Canada

Adam J. Brunke¹, Patrice Bouchard¹, Hume B. Douglas¹, Mikko Pentinsaari²

1 Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Canadian National Collection of Insects, Arachnids and Nematodes, 960 Carling Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario, K1A 0C6, Canada 2 Centre for Biodiversity Genomics, 50 Stone Road East University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, N1G 2W1, Canada

Corresponding author: Adam J. Brunke (adam.brunke@canada.ca)

Academic editor: D. Langor | Received 27 February 2018 | Accepted 29 May 2018 | Published 24 January 2019

http://zoobank.org/6CC8E456-90AC-4DF0-82D7-31D719E4A2FE

Citation: Brunke AJ, Bouchard P, Douglas HB, Pentinsaari M (2019) Coleoptera of Canada. In: Langor DW, Sheffield CS (Eds) The Biota of Canada – A Biodiversity Assessment. Part 1: The Terrestrial Arthropods. ZooKeys 819: 361–376. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.819.24724

Abstract

The beetle fauna of Canada was assessed, including estimates of yet unreported diversity using information from taxonomists and COI sequence clusters in a BOLD (Barcode of Life Datasystems) COI dataset comprising over 77,000 Canadian records. To date, 8302 species of Coleoptera have been recorded in Canada, a 23% increase from the first assessment in 1979. A total of 639 non-native beetle species have become established in Canada, with most species in the Staphylinidae (153 spp.), Curculionidae (107 spp.), Chrysomelidae (56 spp.) and Carabidae (55 spp.). Based on estimates from the taxonomic community and our BOLD dataset, we estimate that slightly more than 1000 beetle species remain to be reported from Canada, either as new records or undescribed species. Renewed enthusiasm toward and financial support for surveys, especially in the central and western provinces of Canada will be critical for detecting, documenting and describing these species. The Barcode of Life database is still far from comprehensive for Canadian Coleoptera but substantial progress has been made and the number of Barcode Index Numbers (BINs) (as candidate species) has reached nearly 70% of the number of species reported from Canada. Comparison of BINs to observed species in a group of Canadian Staphylinidae suggests that BINs may provide a good estimate of species diversity within the beetles. Histeridae is a diverse family in Canada that is notably underrepresented in BOLD. Families such as Mordellidae, Scraptiidae, Latridiidae, Ptiliidae and Scirtidae are poorly known taxonomically in Canada and are represented in our BOLD dataset by many more BINs than recorded species.

Keywords

beetles, biodiversity assessment, Biota of Canada, Coleoptera

Campbell et al. (1979) provided the first thorough assessment of the biology and diversity of Canadian beetles. That important contribution, based on unpublished lists of Canadian beetle species, was followed by two checklists of Canadian beetle species (Bousquet 1991, Bousquet et al. 2013) that form the foundation of the results presented below. New Canadian records published since Bousquet et al. (2013) are listed in Table 1 under the respective families. Beetle classification has changed significantly over recent decades and continues to improve based on results of phylogenetic analyses of ever-larger datasets. Generally, we follow the classification used in Bousquet et al. (2013) with the following changes: Georissidae, Helophoridae and Hydrochidae separate from Hydrophilidae (Short and Fikáček 2013); Biphyllidae and Byturidae as Cleroidea (Robertson et al. 2015); Cybocephalidae distinct from Nitidulidae (Cline et al. 2014); cerylonid series families as superfamily Coccinelloidea (Robertson et al. 2015); Murmidiidae and Euxestidae distinct from Cerylonidae (Robertson et al. 2015); Teredidae distinct from Bothrideridae (Robertson et al. 2015); Anamorphidae and Mycetaeidae distinct from Endomychidae (Robertson et al. 2015); Cimberididae distinct from Nemonychidae (Shin et al. 2018).

Coleopterists within the taxonomic community were asked for estimates of undescribed and unreported Canadian beetles in their group of specialisation (contributors listed in Acknowledgments). Estimates accounted for both unrecognised distribution records and undescribed species, including those indicated by BINs (see below). In cases of multiple estimates, a range was reported to show the minimum and maximum values. We stress that these values were not intended to be precise but were included to provide the reader with an estimate of how well each group is known taxonomically in Canada. A dataset comprised of 77,626 Canadian Coleoptera records associated with a BIN (Barcode Index Number, Ratnasingham and Hebert (2013)) in BOLD (Barcode of Life Datasystems) was also used to estimate beetle diversity in Canada. Number of BINs was used as a proxy for species diversity in Canada with the caveat that there will be instances where closely related species may share a BIN or a single species may be represented by multiple BINs. Beetle families with fewer reported species than BINs were estimated to contain in Canada at least as many undescribed or unreported species as BINs. Families with many more described species than BINs are considered to be underrepresented in BOLD and would benefit from focused sequencing and collecting effort in the future.

Canadian beetles are classified in the suborders Archostemata, Adephaga, and Polyphaga (Table 1). Currently, 8302 species have been recorded in Canada (Table 1), a 23% increase from 6742 in 1979, 13% from 7326 in 1991 and 1.8% from 8149 in 2013). The number of Canadian species in the families Anthicidae, Clambidae, Corylophidae, Hydraenidae, Leiodidae, Psephenidae, Ptiliidae, and Scirtidae have more than doubled since 1979 (Table 1). The four most diverse families of beetles in Canada are the Staphylinidae (1774 spp.), Carabidae (983 spp.), Curculionidae (826 spp.) and Chrysomelidae (595 spp.) (Table 1). Of these, the number of Canadian Staphylinidae has increased most since 1979 (by 840 species, 90%) and the total number of species in Canada might eventually exceed 2000 (Table 1). The number of BINs in the BOLD

Table 1. Census of Coleoptera in Canada. Information sources refer to those available since the publication of Bousquet et al. (2013).

Taxon ¹	No. species reported in Campbell et al. (1979)	No. species reported in No. species currently Campbell et al. (1979) known from Canada ²	No. BINs ³ available for Canadian species	Est. no. undescribed or unrecorded in Canada	General distribution by ecozone ^{3A}	Information sources
Suborder Archostemata	stemata					
Cupedidae	3	3	8	0	Mixedwood Plains, Montane Cordillera	
Micromalthidae	1	1	0	0	Pacific Maritime	
Suborder Adephaga	aga					
Amphizoidae	ϵ	ω	2	0	Pacific Maritime, Boreal Cordillera, Montane Cordillera	
Carabidae	8614	983 (55)	652	150	all ecozones	Lewis et al. 2015
Dytiscidae	285	280	181	7	all ecozones	
Gyrinidae	30	34	29	0	all except Arctic	
Haliplidae	38	35	20	0	southern Arctic and southward	van Vondel and Alarie 2016
Noteridae	0	2	1	0	Mixedwood Plains	
Rhysodidae	₹.	2	1	0	Pacific Maritime, Mixedwood Plains	
Trachypachidae	7.	2	2	0	Boreal Plains, Mountain Cordillera	
Suborder Polyphaga	ıaga					
Superfamily Scirtoidea	rtoidea					
Eucinetidae	5	7 (1)	9	2	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Clambidae	3	7 (2)	9	0	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Scirtidae ⁵	12	25 (1)	46	21	Taiga ecozones and southward	
Superfamily Hydrophiloidea	drophiloidea					
Histeridae	87	137 (12)	22	11	all except Arctic	Brousseau et al. 2014
Georissidae	1	1	0	0	Montane Cordillera, Prairies	
Helophoridae	%.	27 (1)	22	0	southern Arctic and southward	
Hydrochidae	92.	8	4	0	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Hydrophilidae	180^{6}	113 (18)	68	3	southern Arctic and southward	
Sphaeritidae	1	1	0	0	Pacific Maritime, Montane Cordillera,	
Surve Comily Charley lineides	objection; large				Western Interior Basin	
Superianniy Sta	pnymoidea					
Agyrtidae	۲۵.	_	2	0	Cordilleras and Mixedwood Plains	
Hydraenidae	13	27	∞	0	all except Arctic	
Leiodidae	838	181 (2)	131	15	all except Arctic	Peck and Newton 2017
Ptiliidae	20	48 (12)	69	75	all except Arctic	
Silphidae	277	27 (1)	21	2	all except Arctic	Sikes et al. 2016

F					45	7.1
1axon-	Campbell et al. (1979)	One of the control of	for Canadian species	unrecorded in Canada	Genera distribution by ecozone	Information sources
Staphylinidae	9349	1774 (153)	1135	370	all ecozones	Klimaszewski et al. 2018, A
Superfamily Scarabaeoidea	abaeoidea					Davies pers. comm.
Geotrupidae	\$10	12 (1)	10	2	all ecozones south of boreal	
Glaphyridae	\$10	1	0	1	Pacific Maritime	
Glaresidae	\$10	2	1	3	Montane Cordillera, Prairies	
Hybosoridae	\$10	1	1	0	Mixedwood Plains	
Superfamily Scarabaeoidea	abaeoidea					
Lucanidae	10	14	10	2	southern Taiga ecozones, Hudson Plains and southward	
Ochodaeidae	01.	4	2	0	Montane Cordillera, Prairies, Mixedwood Plains	
Passalidae	1		0	0	Mixedwood Plains, Prairies	
Scarabaeidae	210^{10}	220 (23)	173	24	all except Arctic	
Trogidae	210	15	9	2	south of Taiga ecozones and Boreal	
Superfumily Descillaides					Cordillera	
Superianniy Dasa	cinolaca					
Rhipiceridae Sunerfamily Bunrestoidea	1 restoidea	1	0	0	Mixedwood Plains	
June (minimum) and		() 021	000	10		× 100 1
buprestidae Superfamily Byrrhoidea	200 rhoidea	1/8 (6)	128	0-18	southern Arctic and southward	Lyons et al. 2014
Byrrhidae	31	26 (3)	25	3	southern Arctic and southward	
Dryopidae	3	6 (1)	0	0	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Elmidae	16	32	14	0	Hudson Plains, Boreal ecozones and southward	
Heteroceridae	16	28	6	0	Hudson Plains, Boreal ecozones and	
Superfamily Byrrhoidea	choidea					
Limnichidae	v	ю	0	0	Boreal Plains, Boreal Shield, Mixedwood Plains	
Lutrochidae	0	1	1	0	Mixedwood Plains	
Psephenidae	1	4	3	0	Mixedwood Plains, Atlantic Maritime	

	Campbell et al. (1979) known from Canada ²	Campbell et al. (1979) known from Canada ²	for Canadian species	unrecorded in Canada		
Ptilodactylidae	2	4	∞	4	south of Boreal in the west, Boreal Shield, Mixedwood Plains	
Superfamily Elateroidea	eroidea					
Artematopodidae	4	5	√	0	south of Boreal in the west, Boreal Shield, Mixedwood Plains	
Cantharidae	111	151 (3)	103	5	southern Arctic and southward	
Elateridae	350	385 (7)	302	20–58	southern Arctic and southward	Webster et al. 2016b
Eucnemidae	30	39	27	4	Boreal ecozones and southward	Webster et al. 2016b
Lampyridae	26	32 (1)	31	2	Hudson Plains, Boreal and southward	
Lycidae	23	29	37	8	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Phengodidae	2	1	2	1	Mixedwood Plains	
Throscidae	8	8	19	11	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Superfamily Derodontoidea	odontoidea					
Derodontidae	9	8 (1)	4	0	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Nosodendridae	1	2	0	0	Montane Cordillera, Mixedwood Plains	
Superfamily Bostrichoidea	trichoidea					
Bostrichidae	2411	24 (4)	13	1–2	Taiga ecozones and southward	
Dermestidae	39	47 (16)	29	3-5	southern Arctic and southward	
Endecatomidae	11.	1	0	0	Prairies, Boreal Shield, Mixedwood Plains, Atlantic Maritime	
Ptinidae	8512	(61) 66	64	6-9	Taiga ecozones and southward	Webster et al. 2016b
Superfamily Lymexyloidea	nexyloidea					
Lymexylidae	1	-	-	0	Boreal Shield, Boreal Plains, Mixedwood Plains, Atlantic Maritime	
Superfamily Tenebrionoidea	ebrionoidea					
Aderidae ¹³	∞	11 (2)	11	1	Boreal Shield and southwards in the east, south of boreal in the west	Barber and Bouchard 2017
Anthicidae	25	65 (3)	33	12	Taiga ecozones and southward	
Boridae	\$14	2	2	0	Taiga ecozones and southward	
Ciidae	23	29 (1)	25	0	Taiga ecozones and southward	

Taxon	No. species reported in Campbell et al. (1979)	No. species currently known from Canada ²	No. BINs ³ available for Canadian species	Est. no. undescribed or unrecorded in Canada	General distribution by ecozone ^{3A}	Information sources
Superfamily Tenebrionoidea	sbrionoidea					
Ischaliidae	515	2	2	0	Montane Cordillera, Western Interior Basin, Boreal Shield, Mixedwood Plains, Atlantic Maritime, Pacific Maritime	
Melandryidae	3516,19	43	40	0	Taiga ecozones and southward	
Meloidae	40	46	34	0	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Mordellidae	5017	75	107	42	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Mycetophagidae	10	16 (2)	15	0	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Mycteridae	2	4	2	0	Montane Cordillera, Mixedwood Plains, Atlantic Maritime	
Oedemeridae	15	13 (1)	7	0	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Prostomidae	1	1	0	0	Pacific Maritime	
Pyrochroidae	2115	21	15	0	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Pythidae	\$14	9	6	3	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Ripiphoridae		11	2	0	Boreal Shield and southwards in the east, south of boreal in the west	
Salpingidae	10^{14}	10 (1)	6	0	Taiga ecozones and southward	
Scraptiidae	\$14,17	20	48	30	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Stenotrachelidae ¹⁸	9	6	9	0	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Synchroidae	614.	2	1	0	Boreal Shield, Prairies, Mixedwood Plains, Atlantic Maritime	
Tenebrionidae	106^{20}	137 (15)	92	10	Taiga ecozones and southward	Bousquet et al. 2018
Tetratomidae	8	20 (1)	16	0	Boreal ecozones and southward	1
Zopheridae	23 ^{21,22}	19 (1)	9	0	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Superfamily Cleroidea	oidea					
Biphyllidae	1	1	0	2	Mixedwood Plains, Atlantic Maritime	
Byturidae	2	1	1	0	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Cleridae	40	52 (6)	36	3	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Melyridae	30	53 (2)	46	0	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Trogossitidae	23	22	4	0	Taiga ecozones and southward	
Superfamily Cucujoidea	ujoidea					
Cucujidae	25 ²⁴	8	9	0	Taiga ecozones and southward	
Cryptophagidae	45	68 (10)	71	>	Taiga ecozones and southward	
Cybocephalidae	\$25	1	4	E	Montane Cordillera, Prairies, Mixedwood Plains	

Taxon ¹	No. species reported in No. species currently	No. species reported in No. species currently	No. BINs ³ available	Est. no. undescribed or	General distribution by ecozone ^{3A}	Information sources
	Campbom et al. (1777)	MIOWII HOIII Canada		- 1		
Erotylidae	17	28 (1)	20	0	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Kateretidae	\$25	8 (2)	5	2	Taiga ecozones and southward	
Laemophloeidae	, 524	13 (3)	13	0	Boreal Shield and southwards in the east,	
					south of Doleal III the west	
Monotomidae ²⁶	15	27 (5)	13	9	Montane Cordillera, Western Interior Basin, Mixedwood Plains, Atlantic Maritime, Pacific Maritime	
Nitidulidae	9525	99 (11)	78	12	Taiga ecozones and southward	Webster et al. 2016c
Passandridae	\$24	1	П	0	Mixedwood Plains	
Phalacridae	10	&	19	11	Boreal Shield and southwards in the east, south of boreal in the west	
Superfamily Cucujoidea	ujoidea					
Sphindidae	3	9	5	0	south of Boreal ecozones	
Silvanidae	\$23 •	16 (6)	8	0	Boreal ecozones and southwards	
Superfamily Coccinelloidea	cinelloidea					
Anamorphidae	\$26	2(1)	2	0	Mixedwood Plains, Atlantic Maritime	
Bothrideridae	\$22 \$	3	0	0	Montane Cordillera, Western Interior	
					Basin, Pacific Maritime, Mixedwood	
					Plains	
Cerylonidae	6^{27}	4	5	1	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Coccinellidae	120	162 (10)	136	0	Taiga ecozones and southward	Ratzlaff et al. 2016
Corylophidae	5	16 (2)	24	8	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Endomychidae	10^{26}	13	6	1	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Euxestidae	\$27	2	0	0	Mixedwood Plains, Atlantic Maritime	
Latridiidae	45	60 (21)	83	23	Taiga ecozones and southward	
Mycetaeidae	÷26	1(1)	0	0	Boreal Shield, Mixedwood Plains,	
					Atlantic Maritime, Pacific Maritime	
Murmidiidae	\$27	2(1)	1	0	Boreal Shield, Mixedwood Plains	
Teredidae	\$22 \$	1	0	0	Pacific Maritime	
Superfamily Curculionoidea	culionoidea					
Anthribidae	18	22 (1)	20	2	Boreal ecozones and southward	Webster et al. 2016c
Attelabidae	\$28	14	14	2	Boreal Shield and southwards in the east,	
	e e	,	;	,	south of boreal in the west	
Brachyceridae	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	18 (2)	10	0	Taiga ecozones and southward	
Brentidae	, 228	48 (8)	54	15	Taiga ecozones and southward	

Taxon1	No. species reported in	No. species currently	No. BINs ³ available	Est. no. undescribed or	Taxon' No. species reported in No. species currently No. BINs ² available Est. no. undescribed or General distribution by ecozone ^{3A}	Information sources
	Campbell et al. (1979)	known from Canada ²	for Canadian species	Campbell et al. (1979) known from Canada ² for Canadian species unrecorded in Canada		
Cimberididae ²⁹	4	8	7	0	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Curculionidae	1113^{28}	826 (107)	433	75	southern Arctic and southward	Webster et al. 2016c, de
						Tonnancour et al. 2017
Dryophthoridae	\$28	27 (3)	10	0	Boreal ecozones and southward	
Superfamily Chrysomeloidea	ysomeloidea					
Cerambycidae	350	375 (9)	306	10–30	southern Arctic and southward	Bousquet et al. 2017
Chrysomelidae	588^{30}	595 (56)	339	40–170	southern Arctic and southward	Marshall and Paiero 2016
Megalopodidae	\$30	7 (1)	4	0	Boreal Shield and southwards in the east,	
					south of boreal in the west	
Orsodacnidae	054.		П	0	Boreal Shield and southwards in the east, south of boreal in the west	
Total	6742	8302 (639)	5750	1078-1284		

baeoid families except Lucanidae and Passalidae treated as Scarabaeidae; "Endecatomidae as Bostrichidae and former Lyctidae treated separately; "Former Anobiidae treated separately; "Former Anobiidae treated separately;" as former Euglenidae; "Boridae and Pyrhidae treated as Salpingidae; 15 former Pedilidae treated separately and Ischaliidae as Pyrochroidae; "Some Scraptiidae as Melandryidae; 17 some Scraptiidae as morphidae and Mycetaeidae as Endomychidae; 2*Euxestidae and Murmidiidae as Cerylonidae; 28former Plarypodidae and Scolytidae treated separately, and Attelabidae, Brachyceridae, Apioninae Classification following Bousquet et al. (2013) with updates from Short and Fikáček (2013), Robertson et al. (2015), Cline et al. (2014), Shin et al. (2018). *Current Canadian richness based on Mordellidae; 18Stenotrachelidae as former Cephaloidae; 19Synchroidae as Melandryidae; 28former Alleculidae and Lagriidae treated separately; 2former Colydiidae treated separately; 28brhideridae (Brentidae) and Dryophthoridae as Curculionidae; 20 Cimberididae as subfamily Cimberidinae of Nemonychidae; 30 Megalopodidae and Orsodacnidae as Chrysomelidae, and former Bruchidae Bousquet et al. (2013) with updates from the literature indicated under 'Information sources', the number in parentheses represents the number of non-native species included in the total. 'Barcode +397amily-level classification in Campbell et al. (1979) differing from the present study includes: 4Rhysodidae and Trachypachidae as Carabidae; 5Scirtidae as former Helodidae; 6Helophoridae and Hydrochidae as Hydrophilidae; Agyrtidae as Silphidae; *former Leptinidae treated separately; *former Pselaphidae, Scydmaenidae, Micropeplidae and Scaphidiae treated separately; *nall scaraand Teredidae as former Colydiidae; 23 Laemophloeidae, Passandridae and Silvanidae as Cucujidae; 24 Kateretidae and Cybocephalidae as Nitidulidae; 23 Monotomidae as former Rhizophagidae; 26 Anaindex Number, as defined in Ratnasingham and Hebert (2013). treated separately. database (Table 1) for Canadian Coleoptera is nearly 70% of the number of known beetle species for Canada. All of the higher groups of Canadian beetles have associated BINs except for the polyphagan superfamily Dascilloidea, with the single Canadian species *Sandalus niger* Knoch.

Although our knowledge of Canadian beetle diversity has steadily increased between 1979, 1991, 2013 and 2018, significant contributions can still be made in each province and territory as sampling has been far from exhaustive (for overall estimates of undescribed or unrecorded beetle species, see Table 1). Most biomes in Canada are still only superficially sampled, especially those in central and western Canada. Despite much recent survey work over the past 15 years, more than 300 species were added to the provincial beetle fauna of New Brunswick only two years ago (Webster et al. 2016a). Continued survey work, using a variety of collection techniques, will be necessary for Canada to respond to important changes to its dynamic fauna, such as new invasive species and thermophilic species expanding their range northward in response to global climate change.

In total, 639 non-native beetle species are established in Canada (Table 1), although some of these may eventually be proven to be naturally Holarctic. While a few were introduced intentionally for the biological control of weeds and insects (e.g., De Clerck-Floate and Cárcamo 2011), most have been introduced into North America accidentally through various pathways including dry ballast, wood packing material, and agricultural and horticultural commodities such as stored grain, moss and plant stock (e.g., Klimaszewski and Brunke 2018). The families with the highest number of non-native species in Canada are Staphylinidae (153 spp.), Curculionidae (107 spp.), Chrysomelidae (56 spp.), and Carabidae (55 spp.).

Nineteen beetle families are currently not or only poorly represented in BOLD by Canadian specimens, i.e., the number of BINs is <20% of the number of recorded species in Canada, making it difficult to use barcode data to assess overall taxonomic knowledge (Table 1). These families typically contain few known species in Canada based on published taxonomic data (Table 1). Sixteen of these families are not represented in BOLD by Canadian specimens: Micromalthidae (Archostemata); Georissidae and Sphaeritidae (Hydrophiloidea); Glaphyridae and Passalidae (Scarabaeoidea); Rhipiceridae (Dascilloidea); Dryopidae and Limnichidae (Byrrhoidea); Nosodendridae (Derodontoidea); Endecatomidae (Bostrichoidea); Biphyllidae (Cleroidea); Prostomidae (Tenebrionoidea); Bothrideridae, Euxestidae, Mycetaeidae, and Teredidae (Coccinelloidea). Efforts are underway to generate DNA barcodes for these families based on Canadian specimens. The family Histeridae, which has more than 130 species in Canada, is particularly underrepresented, with only 22 BINs (i.e., approximately 16% of the known diversity) currently available in BOLD. Most members of this family are small, and live in microhabitats that are not sampled frequently such as mammal and bird nests, or under bark (Bousquet and Laplante 2006). This lack of representation could also be partly due to sequencing bias against Histeridae resulting from primer mismatch, or differences in DNA preservation at the collecting and archiving stages. For example, only 3% (8/256) of a diverse sample of Histeridae specimens

from the Canadian National Collection of Insects, Arachnids and Nematodes (CNC, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada) yielded barcode-compliant (and therefore BIN-compatible) sequences, versus 22% of submitted CNC Staphylinidae (522/2356).

Based on the number of BINs in BOLD for Canadian specimens, sixteen beetle families are more diverse in Canada than would appear from the recorded number of species (Table 1). The families where the number of BINs most greatly exceeds the number of species reported in Canada are: Mordellidae (+32 BINs) and Scraptiidae (+28 BINs) (Tenebrionoidea), Latridiidae (+23 BINs) (Coccinelloidea), Ptiliidae (+21 BINs) (Staphylinoidea), and Scirtidae (+21 BINs) (Scirtoidea). These families, generally with poorly known and small-sized species, require focused taxonomic studies because they may contain many undescribed species or described species yet unreported from Canada. This work should reconcile the unidentified BIN clusters with available names and describe any species new to science to adequately document the Canadian fauna. Researchers at the CNC and the Canadian Museum of Nature have made numerous contributions to the knowledge of Canadian Coleoptera. However, because most federal employees in Canada focus their research on agriculturally-significant taxa (see Bouchard et al. (2017) for plant-feeding taxa with high economic concern in Canada and in agroecosystems of our trading partners), beetle groups without either plant pests or well-known beneficial species have been given a lower taxonomic research priority. Canadian universities have until recently included taxonomic research on non-economically important beetles, although they currently support a minute fraction of research on Canadian Coleoptera.

The total estimated number of undescribed and unreported beetle species for Canada is 1080 to 1280 species (Table 1) based on expert estimates and species predicted by BINs including Canadian specimens in BOLD. The beetle families with the greatest number of taxonomist-estimated unrecognised diversity in Canada include the Staphylinidae, Carabidae, Ptiliidae, Curculionidae and Chrysomelidae, most of which include either plant pests or beneficial predators and parasitoids. These numbers represent the best available estimate of unrecorded Coleoptera diversity, although they must be interpreted with respect to limitations of expert opinion, BOLD database sampling, potential inaccuracies of the most current checklist (Bousquet et al. 2013), and BIN calculation methods. While we expect the exact numbers to change with further taxonomic research, the general trends reported herein should not.

Reconciling BINs with morphological data - a Canadian example

Barcode reference libraries for beetles and bees in taxonomically well-studied Central Europe (Hendrich et al. 2014, Schmidt et al. 2015) show that most BIN clusters are highly congruent with taxa already recognised by science. There, most discordance between BINs and recognised taxa likely reflects cases of unrecognised species diversity or species pairs with very similar COI sequences that, while considered one BIN due to shallow divergence, still clustered into species (Hendrich et al. 2014). However, it

will be important to assess whether BINs closely approximate real taxa in other regions. We anticipate that barcoding will be similarly effective for characterising the Canadian fauna since both regions are climatologically and topographically similar with shared glaciation history, and with many beetle genera in common.

One DNA barcoding-related discovery is a cryptic species of burying beetle (Silphidae: *Nicrophorus* Fabricius) that was discovered in North America based on congruent evidence from ecological data, mating studies, morphology and DNA barcode data (Sikes et al. 2016). *Nicrophorus* is considered taxonomically very well studied in North America but the cryptic lineage was first highlighted by a different BIN than specimens from the Palaearctic and Alaska (Sikes et al. 2016). We anticipate that the taxonomic integration of DNA barcode data will provide many other insights about the Canadian fauna. The pressing need to carefully and authoritatively link Linnaean taxonomy with molecular reference databases such as BOLD through taxonomic research was stressed by Somervuo et al. (2017) and is re-emphasised here. This need was recently recognised in Canada, with over 5000 beetle species (summarised by Bouchard et al. 2017) added to BOLD in recent years. Although a general, species-focused analysis of the Canadian beetle fauna (similar to Hendrich et al. 2014) is premature, it is possible to examine the congruence of BINs with the taxonomy of a group of well-revised but diverse beetles.

We can partially test BIN congruence using the subtribe Quediina (sensu Brunke et al. 2016), a diverse lineage of rove beetles (Staphylinidae) and the subject of modern taxonomic revision in North America, including critical examination of male genitalia for species concepts (Smetana 1971a, b, 1973, 1976, 1978, 1981, 1990). They are generalist predators, may be important predators of pest insects and are often abundant in decaying organic matter (Smetana 1971a). Currently, 64 species of Quedius and Quedionuchus are recorded from Canada (Bousquet et al. 2013) and of these, 42 (66%) are represented in BOLD by sequences of authoritatively identified specimens. A total of 52 BINs represent Canadian Quediina in BOLD and most BIN incongruence with existing taxonomy is due to unrecognised species diversity. Although four 'well-known' species are currently considered Holarctic in distribution, Nearctic specimens form separate BINs from their Palaearctic counterparts in three of these. One Canadian Quedius has two traditionally recognised subspecies for which BINs are 6% divergent and will likely be considered morphologically diagnosable species. Another four BINs correspond to still unidentified species and further work is needed to discern whether they belong to described or undescribed taxa. Two Palaearctic Quedius species appear present but unverified and unreported from Canada. Four cases exist where a valid species of Quedius contains two BINs that do not correspond to morphological differences. In these cases, BOLD may have oversplit species due to algorithm artifacts based on material limited in number and geographic coverage, and BINs may be later combined in BOLD when additional sequences are included. Taxonomic research involving these putative lineages, including study of type specimens for available names, is in progress. No described species of Quediina shared BINs with any other species, indicating that the species are not 'oversplit', likely due to informative variation in male genitalia. Thus, only 7.7% of BINs were incongruent with species level clusters after cases of unrecognised species diversity were removed (a further 11.5%). A similar result was found for the well-studied Quediina of Central Europe where similar diversity (71 species, Assing and Schülke 2012) was represented by about 51% coverage (36 species with 39 BINs) in BOLD and only 7.7% of BINs (involving two species) were incongruent with prevailing species concepts (Hendrich et al. 2014).

The utility of BOLD as a proxy for biodiversity should be demonstrated over a broader taxonomic and geographic scale (Bergsten et al. 2012), as the BOLD database is highly skewed toward Canadian specimens. However, it is promising that gaps in the variation of male genitalia of rove beetles, typically used by taxonomists, correspond remarkably well to gaps in sequence variation identified by BINs. This suggests that BINs may provide a proxy for beetle diversity in North America and could be useful for highlighting taxonomic groups needing research attention (as done above by family).

Future priorities

The number of known species from Canada will continue to increase as new species are described, new populations of described species are discovered and species arrive as a result of climate change or global trade. While further taxonomic work on Staphylinoidea, Cucujoidea, Chrysomeloidea and Curculionoidea will continue to add many species to the Canadian fauna, new biosystematics work on several poorly studied families (e.g., Mordellidae, Scraptiidae, Latridiidae, Ptiliidae, and Scirtidae) is greatly needed. Although recent collecting in eastern Canada has yielded many discoveries, these biomes and, especially, those of central and western Canadian provinces remain inadequately sampled. A renewed effort toward exploring the Canadian beetle fauna will be critical for the documentation of the more than 1000 unrecorded or undescribed species that are estimated to be undetected or undescribed in Canada. Since DNA barcoding is a useful tool for assessing species diversity and appears to be highly compatible and synergistic with traditional morphological taxonomy, knowledge of beetle diversity in Canada will further benefit from continued development of the DNA barcode library through focused collecting, authoritative vouchering and continued integrative taxonomic research. However, improved and continued documentation of the Canadian fauna can only be achieved with new funding for surveys, including a variety of sampling methods, and by hiring or otherwise supporting scientists that include taxonomic work on the Canadian fauna as part of their research profile.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the following colleagues who devoted time to provide estimates of undescribed or unrecorded Canadian beetle species: R. Anderson, Y. Bousquet, A. Davies, B. Gill, S. Laplante, S. Peck and A. B. T. Smith (Ottawa, Ontario); D. Chandler (Durham, New Hampshire); S. Clark (Provo, Utah); A. Cline (Sacramento, California);

K. Floate (Lethbridge, Alberta); E. Jendek (Slovakia); P. Johnson (Brookings, South Dakota); J. Háva (Prague, Czechia); J. Klimaszewski (Quebec City, Quebec); D. Larson (Maple Creek, Saskatchewan); J. Leavengood (Tampa, Florida); R. Leschen (Auckland, New Zealand); S. Lingafelter (Nogales, Arizona); T. MacRae (Chesterfield, Missouri); T. McElrath (Athens, Georgia); W. Opitz (Salina, Kansas); K. Philips (Bowling Green, Kentucky); E. Riley (College Station, Texas); and M. Sörensson (Lund, Sweden). Andrew B. T. Smith (Canadian Museum of Nature, Ottawa, Canada) assisted with efforts to generate DNA barcodes of Canadian beetle species in the Canadian National Collection of Insects, Arachnids and Nematodes. We also thank Jeremy deWaard (University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada) for assistance with BOLD data. We thank the editors and two anonymous reviewers for the opportunity to improve this manuscript.

References

- Assing V, Schulke M (2012) Freude-Hard-Lohse-Klausnitzer Die Kafer Mitteleuropas. Band 4. Staphylinidae I. Zweite neubearbeitete Auflage. Spektrum Akademischer Verlag, Heidelberg, 560 pp.
- Barber KN, Bouchard PB (2017) The European *Euglenes pygmaeus* (De Geer) (Coleoptera: Aderidae) in North America. The Coleopterists Bulletin 71: 204–206. https://doi.org/10.1649/0010-065X-71.1.204
- Bergsten J, Bilton DT, Fujisawa T, Elliot M, Monaghan MT, Balke M, Hendrich L, Geijer J, Herrmann J, Foster GN et al. (2012) The effect of geographical scale of sampling on DNA barcoding. Systematic Biology 61: 851–869. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys037
- Bouchard P, Smith ABT, Douglas HB, Gimmel ML, Brunke AJ, Kanda K (2017) Biodiversity of Coleoptera. In: Foottit RG, Adler PH (Eds) Insect Biodiversity: Science and Society. Second edition. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., West Sussex, 337–417. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118945568.ch11
- Bousquet Y [Ed.] (1991) Checklist of beetles of Canada and Alaska. Agriculture Canada, Ottawa, 430 pp.
- Bouquet Y, Laplante S (2006) Coleoptera: Histeridae. The Insects and Arachnids of Canada. Part 24. NRC Research Press, Ottawa, 485 pp.
- Bousquet Y, Bouchard P, Davies AE, Sikes DS (2013) Checklist of beetles (Coleoptera) of Canada and Alaska. Second edition. Penoft, Sofia, 402 pp.
- Bousquet Y, Laplante S, Hammond HEJ, Langor DW (2017) Cerambycidae (Coleoptera) of Canada and Alaska: identification guide with nomenclatural, taxonomic, distributional, host-plant, and ecological data. Prague, Nakladatelství Jan Farkač, 300 pp.
- Bousquet Y, Thomas DB, Bouchard P, Smith AD, Aalbu RL, Johnston MA, Steiner Jr WE (2018) Catalogue of Tenebrionidae (Coleoptera) of North America. ZooKeys 728: 1–455. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.728.20602
- Brousseau P-M, Gravel D, Handa IT (2014) First record in Canada of *Onthophilus pluricos-tatus* LeConte (Coleoptera: Histeridae) and a new mention for the rare species *Lordithon*

- *niger* (Gravenhorst) (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae). The Coleopterists Bulletin 68: 343–344. https://doi.org/10.1649/0010-065X-68.2.343
- Brunke AJ, Chatzimanolis S, Schillhammer H, Solodovnikov A (2016) Early evolution of the hyperdiverse rove beetle tribe Staphylinini (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae: Staphylininae) and a revision of its higher classification. Cladistics 32: 427–451. https://doi.org/10.1111/cla.12139
- Campbell JM, Ball GE, Becker EC, Bright DE, Helava J, Howden HF, Parry RH, Peck SB, Smetana A (1979) 40. Coleoptera. In: Danks HV (Ed.) Canada and its Insect Fauna. Memoirs of the Entomological Society of Canada No. 108, 357–387. https://doi.org/10.4039/entm111108357-1
- Cline AR, Smith TR, Miller K, Moulton M, Whiting M, Audisio P (2014) Molecular phylogeny of Nitidulidae: assessment of subfamilial and tribal classification and formalization of the family Cybocephalidae (Coleoptera: Cucujoidea). Systematic Entomology 39: 758–772. https://doi.org/10.1111/syen.12084
- De Clerck-Floate R, Cárcamo H (2011) Biocontrol arthropods: new denizens of Canada's grassland agroecosystems. In: Floate KD (Ed.) Arthropods of Canadian Grasslands (Volume 2): Inhabitants of a Changing Landscape. Biological Survey of Canada, 291–321.
- de Tonnancour P, Anderson RS, Bouchard P, Chantal C, Dumont S, Vigneault R (2017) New Curculionoidea (Coleoptera) records for Quebec, Canada. ZooKeys 681: 95–117. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.681.12469
- Hendrich L, Moriniere J, Haszprunar G, Hebert PDN, Hausmann A, Kohler F, Balke M (2014) A comprehensive DNA barcode database for Central European beetles with a focus on Germany: Adding more than 3,500 identified species to BOLD. Molecular Ecology Resources 15: 795–818. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12354
- Klimaszewski J, Brunke A (2018) Chapter 5: Canada's adventive rove beetle (Coleoptera, Staphylinidae) Fauna: a long-term case study on the detection, origin, introduction pathways, and dynamic distribution of non-native beetles. In: Betz O, Irmler U, Klimaszewski J (Eds) Biology of Rove Beetles (Staphylinidae). Springer, UK, 65–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-70257-5_5
- Langor DW (2019) The diversity of terrestrial arthropods in Canada. In: Langor DW, Sheffield CS (Eds) The Biota of Canada A Biodiversity Assessment. Part 1: The Terrestrial Arthropods. ZooKeys 819: 9–40. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.819.31947
- Lewis JH, Webster RP, McAlpine DF (2015) First occurrence in Canada of *Carabus auratus* L. (Coleoptera: Carabidae), an adventive ground beetle of European origin. Coleopterists Bulletin 69: 264–266. https://doi.org/10.1649/0010-065X-69.2.264
- Lyons DB, Ryall KL, Paiero SM, Jones GC, Van Seggelen L (2014) First records of *Ptosima walshii* (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) in Canada. Journal of the Entomological Society of Ontario 145: 45–49.
- Marshall SA, Paiero SM (2016) *Cassida viridis* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae), a Palaearctic leaf beetle newly recorded from North America. The Canadian Entomologist 148: 140–142. https://doi.org/10.4039/tce.2015.36

- Peck SB, Newton AF (2017) An annotated catalog of the Leiodidae of the Nearctic Region (Continental North America North of Mexico). The Coleopterists Bulletin 71: 211–258. https://doi.org/10.1649/0010-065X-71.2.211
- Ratnasingham S, Hebert PDN (2013) A DNA-based registry for all animal species: the Barcode Index Number (BIN) system. PLoS ONE 8(7): e66213. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066213
- Ratzlaff CG, Needham KM, Scudder GGE (2016) Notes on insects recently introduced to Metro Vancouver and other newly recorded species from British Columbia. Journal of the Entomological Society of British Columbia 113: 79–89.
- Robertson JA, Ślipiński A, Moulton M, Shockley FW, Gorgi A, Lord NP, McKenna DD, Tomazewska W, Forrester J, Miller KB, Whiting MF, McHugh JV (2015) Phylogeny and classification of Cucujoidea and the recognition of a new superfamily Coccinelloidea (Coleoptera: Cucujiformia). Systematic Entomology 40: 745–778. https://doi.org/10.1111/syen.12138
- Schmidt S, Schmid-Egger C, Moriniere J, Haszprunar G, Hebert C (2015) DNA barcoding largely supports 250 years of classical taxonomy: identifications for Central European bees (Hymenoptera, Apoidea partim). Molecular Ecology Resources 15: 985–1000. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12363
- Shin S, Clarke DJ, Lemmon AR, Lemmon EM, Aitken AL, Haddad S, Farrell BD, Marvaldi AE, Oberprieler RG, McKenna D (2018) Phylogenomic Data Yield New and Robust Insights into the Phylogeny and Evolution of Weevils. Molecular Biology and Evolution 35: 823–836. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx324
- Short AEZ, Fikáček M (2013) Molecular phylogeny, evolution and classification of the Hydrophilidae (Coleoptera). Systematic Entomology 38: 723–752. https://doi.org/10.1111/syen.12024
- Sikes DS, Trumbo ST, Peck SB (2016) Cryptic diversity in the New World burying beetle fauna: *Nicrophorus hebes* Kirby; new status as a resurrected name (Coleoptera: Silphidae: Nicrophorinae). Arthropod Systematics and Phylogeny 74: 299–309.
- Somervuo P, Yu DW, Xu CCY, Ji Y, Hultman, J, Wirta H, Ovaskainen O (2017) Quantifying uncertainty of taxonomic placement in DNA barcoding and metabarcoding. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 8: 398–407. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12721
- Smetana A (1971a) Revision of the tribe Quediini of North America north of Mexico (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae). Memoirs of the Entomological Society of Canada No. 79, 1–303. https://doi.org/10.4039/entm10379fv
- Smetana A (1971b) Revision of the tribe Quediini of America North of Mexico (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae). Supplementum 1. The Canadian Entomologist 103: 1833–1848. https://doi.org/10.4039/Ent1031833-12
- Smetana A (1973) Revision of the tribe Quediini of America North of Mexico (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae). Supplementum 2. The Canadian Entomologist 105: 1421–1434. https://doi.org/10.4039/Ent1051421-11
- Smetana A (1976) Revision of the tribe Quediini of America North of Mexico (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae). Supplementum 3. The Canadian Entomologist 108: 169–184. https://doi.org/10.4039/Ent108169-2

- Smetana A (1978) Revision of the tribe Quediini of America North of Mexico (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae). Supplementum 4. The Canadian Entomologist 110: 815–840. https://doi.org/10.4039/Ent110815-8
- Smetana A (1981) Revision of the tribe Quediini of America North of Mexico (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae). Supplementum 5. The Canadian Entomologist 113: 631–644. https://doi.org/10.4039/Ent113631-7
- Smetana A (1990) Revision of the tribe Quediini of America North of Mexico (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae). Supplementum 6. The Coleopterists Bulletin 44: 95–104.
- van Vondel BJ, Alarie Y (2016) A New Species of *Haliplus* Latreille, 1802 (Coleoptera: Adephaga: Haliplidae) from Canada. The Coleopterists Bulletin 70: 801–804. https://doi.org/10.1649/0010-065X-70.4.801
- Webster RP, Bouchard P, Klimaszewski J [Eds] (2016a) The Coleoptera of New Brunswick and Canada: providing baseline biodiversity and natural history data. ZooKeys 573: 1–512.
- Webster RP, Webster VL, Alderson CA, Hughes CC, Sweeney JD (2016b) Further contributions to the Coleoptera fauna of New Brunswick with an addition to the fauna of Nova Scotia, Canada. In: Webster RP, Bouchard P, Klimaszewski J (Eds) The Coleoptera of New Brunswick and Canada: Providing Baseline Biodiversity and Natural History Data. ZooKeys 573: 265–338.
- Webster RP, Anderson RS, Webster VL, Alderson CA, Hughes CC, Sweeney JD (2016c) New Curculionoidea records from New Brunswick, Canada with an addition to the fauna of Nova Scotia. In: Webster RP, Bouchard P, Klimaszewski J (Eds) The Coleoptera of New Brunswick and Canada: Providing Baseline Biodiversity and Natural History Data. ZooKeys 573: 367–386.