



A new species of Chilicola from Bahia, Brazil (Hymenoptera, Colletidae), with a key to the species of the megalostigma group

Favízia Freitas de Oliveira^{1,†}, Thiago Mahlmann^{1,‡}, Michael S. Engel^{2,§}

l Laboratório de Bionomia, Biogeografia e Sistemática de Insetos (BIOSIS), Departamento de Zoologia, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Rua Barão de Jeremoabo, s/n, Campus Universitário de Ondina, Salvador, CEP 40170-115, Bahia, Brazil 2 Division of Entomology, Natural History Museum, and Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, 1501 Crestline Drive — Suite 140, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045, USA

- † urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:6960CB08-30C5-4A9A-8374-9D30D460D79D
- # urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:89C58412-B525-4183-8155-7DEA375FD0C2
- § urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:3714A7FF-E19E-495A-AAF9-98D2F597B757

Corresponding author: Favízia Freitas de Oliveira (favosgyrl@gmail.com)

Academic editor: M. Ohl | Received 2 October 2011 | Accepted 28 November 2011 | Published 9 December 2011

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:E5D1C44A-1252-4959-A9A3-E930ACE23514

Citation: de Oliveira FF, Mahlmann T, Engel MS (2011) A new species of *Chilicola* from Bahia, Brazil (Hymenoptera, Colletidae), with a key to the species of the *megalostigma* group. ZooKeys 153: 81–90. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.153.2188

Abstract

The bee genus *Chilicola* Spinola (Xeromelissinae) is recorded from the State of Bahia, Brazil for the first time, based on a new species of the *megalostigma* group of the subgenus *Hylaeosoma* Ashmead. *Chilicola* (*Hylaeosoma*) *kevani* **sp. n.** is described and figured from males collected in Wesceslau Guimarães, Bahia. The species can be distinguished on the basis of coloration, size, integumental sculpturing, and structure of the hidden metasomal sterna and genitalia. A revised key to the species of the *megalostigma* group is provided.

Keywords

Apoidea, Anthophila, Colletidae, Xeromelissinae, taxonomy, new species, Chilicola, Hylaeosoma, Brazil

Introduction

The Neotropical genus *Chilicola* Spinola (Xeromelissinae) comprises small (ca. 3–8 mm in length), slender bees. The body is typically very long and usually black, without

dense pubescence, and superficially resembling bees of the genus *Hylaeus* Fabricius (Hylaeinae). The genus occurs from Mexico to Chile, with its greatest diversity in Chile (Michener 1992, 1995, 2002, 2007; Packer and Genaro 2007). There are nearly 100 described species assigned to *Chilicola* and these are segregated into 15 subgenera (Packer 2008). While these subgenera appear to be good monophyletic units, the relationships among them are not entirely stable (Packer 2008).

The subgenus *Hylaeosoma* Ashmead has been thoroughly characterized by Michener (1992, 1995, 2002, 2007) and, unlike other subgenera, is not found in the temperate regions of South America, but occurs widely from Peru to northern Mexico (*e.g.*, Michener 2002, 2007). Hitherto the subgenus has included 16 living and two fossil species (Table 1: Moure and Urban 2008). Michener (1992) divided the subgenus into two distinct groups of species, with those of the *megalostigma* group consisting of bees with polished and very shiny integument, elongate heads, and a flared and prominent preoccipital carina. Five species have been included in the group: *Chilicola (Hylaeosoma) megalostigma* (Ducke), *C. (H.) polita* Michener, *C. (H.) stenocephala* Brooks and Michener, *C. (H.) yanezae* Hinojosa-Díaz and Michener, and *C. (H.) muruimuinane* Smith-Pardo and Gonzalez. Four of the five species occur from Colombia to Mexico (Table 1), while *C. megalostigma* is known from Peru, Bolivia, and Brazil (Moure and Urban 2008).

Table 1. Species of *Chilicola* subgenus *Hylaeosoma*.

| Taxon | Sex known | Distribution | |
|---|-----------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Subgenus <i>Hylaeosoma</i> Ashmead | | | |
| megalostigma species group (= Group B, Michener 1992) | | | |
| C. megalostigma (Ducke, 1908) | \$ 3 | Bolivia, Brazil, Peru | |
| C. polita Michener, 1992 [1994] | Q3 | Mexico to Costa Rica | |
| C. stenocephala Brooks & Michener, 1999 | \$ 3 | Colombia: Amazonas | |
| C. yanezae Hinojosa-Díaz & Michener, 2005 | Q3 | Mexico: Morelos | |
| C. muruimuinane Smith-Pardo & Gonzalez, 2007 | \$ 3 | Colombia: Caquetá, Putumayo | |
| C. kevani sp. n. | 8 | Brazil: Bahia | |
| longiceps species group (= Group A, Michener 1992) | | | |
| C. longiceps (Ashmead, 1900) | \$ 3 | Mexico: Jalisco; St. Vincent | |
| C. huberi (Ducke, 1908) | \$ | Brazil: Ceará | |
| C. aequatoriensis Benoist, 1942 | \$ 3 | Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, Venezuela | |
| C. mexicana Toro & Michener, 1975 | \$ 3 | Mexico: México, Hidalgo, Morelos | |
| C. griswoldi Michener, 1992 [1994] | \$ 3 | Mexico: México, Michoacán | |
| C. gracilis Michener & Poinar, 1996 | 8 | Dominican amber (Miocene) | |
| C. electrodominica Engel, 1999 | \$ | Dominican amber (Miocene) | |
| C. belli Michener, 2002 | Q3 | Colombia, Venezuela | |
| C. canei Michener, 2002 | Q3 | Colombia: Antioquia | |
| C. involuta Michener, 2002 | Q3 | Ecuador: Azuay | |
| C. smithpardoi Michener, 2002 | Q3 | Colombia: Antioquia | |
| C. umbonata Michener, 2002 | 8 | Colombia: Valle; Ecuador: Loja | |
| C. bochica Gonzalez in Gonzalez and Giraldo 2009 | 2 8 | Colombia: Boyacá | |

The present paper describes a sixth species of the *megalostigma* group, which also represents the first record of the genus *Chilicola* for the State of Bahia in Brazil. In addition, we provide an expanded and updated identification key to species for the *megalostigma* group.

Material and methods

Morphological terminology used herein is adapted from Engel (2001) and Michener (2007), while the format for the description is taken from those of Hinojosa-Díaz and Michener (2005) and Smith-Pardo and Gonzalez (2007). Abbreviations used for common morphological terms are: S, metasomal sternum; T, metasomal tergum; F, flagellomere; DS, diameter of the antennal scape; and OD, ocellar diameter (based on the median ocellus). Measurements and proportions are adapted from Moure and Sakagami (1962): body length, head length and width, upper and lower ocular distances, and ocellocipital distance. Photomicrographs were prepared using a Nikon D1x digital camera attached to an Infinity K-2 long-distance microscope lens.

Systematics

Genus *Chilicola* Spinola Subgenus *Hylaeosoma* Ashmead

Chilicola (*Hylaeosoma*) *kevani* Oliveira, Mahlmann & Engel, sp. n. urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:E90007EC-B88D-40D2-ABDD-CD5E5BB34D30 http://species-id.net/wiki/Chilicola_kevani Figs 1–8

Holotype. &, Brazil, Bahia (Wesceslau Guimarães, Estação Ecológica, 18.I.2011 [18 January 2011], Rede Entomológica, P. Ferreira *Leg. //* Colletidae: *Chilicola (Hylaeosoma)* sp. n. ?, Det. Oliveira & Mahlmann, 2011 // Coletada na flor: Cyperaceae: *Scleria arundinacea* Kunth. The specimen is in excellent condition and is deposited in the Entomological Collection of the Zoological Museum of the Federal University of Bahia (MZUFBA), in Salvador, Bahia, Brazil.

Paratype. \circlearrowleft , with same label data as holotype. Paratype deposited in the Division of Entomology (Snow Entomological Collections), University of Kansas Natural History Museum (SEMC), Lawrence, Kansas, USA.

Diagnosis. This species is quite similar to other species of its group but differs from them in the markedly larger body size (ca. 7.6 mm), the largely honey yellow integument (Figs. 1, 3) (except dark brown on head, flagellum, disc and sides of pronotum, mesoscutum, mesoscutellum, metanotal disc, basal dorsal surface of propodeum, most of the mesepisternum, and large portions of T4–T7 and S5–S6:



Figures 1–2. Photomicrographs of paratype (SEMC) male of *Chilicola (Hylaeosoma) kevani* Oliveira, Mahlmann, and Engel sp. n. I Lateral habitus **2** Facial aspect.

refer to Description, *infra*), and the form of the hidden sterna (Figs. 4, 5) and genital capsule (Figs. 6–8).

Description. δ : *Structure*: Total body length 7.60 mm; forewing length 4.70 mm. Head elongate (Fig. 2), length 2.12 mm, width 1.05 mm; compound eyes converging below (Fig. 2), upper ocular distance 0.65 mm, lower ocular distance 0.25 mm; paraocular depressed area well developed for reception of scape, terminating at level of upper tangent of compound eyes; area adjacent area to inner edge of depression above antennal alveoli with prominent gray oval spot, with diameter about 1OD and 2.5×longer than wide; F1 slightly longer than pedicel and about 2× longer than wide; F2 and F3 as long as broad; F11 approximately 3× longer than wide; malar area about 2× wider than long; occeloccipital distance about 2.5OD as measured from apex of preoccipital carina; preoccipital carina markedly laminate (Figs. 1, 2); metasomal T1 longer than wide, length 0.90 mm, width 0.80 mm; T2 and T3 weakly constricted in basal half in profile; apex of T7 slightly truncate; distal margin of S6 terminating in two lateral lamellate projections from which arise a tuft of relatively long and thick bristles; S7, S8, and genitalia as in figures 4-8: S7 with two lateral projections between basal apodemes and more apical ventral and dorsal lobes, each lateral projection about 2× longer than wide (Fig. 4); apex of inferior lobe of S7 strongly narrowed and curved inward apically, outer edge with a comb of very long bristles (Fig. 4); superior lobe of



Figures 3–8. Photomicrographs of male paratype (SEMC) of *Chilicola (Hylaeosoma) kevani* Oliveira, Mahlmann, and Engel sp. n. **3** Dorsal habitus **4** Seventh metasomal sternum **5** Eighth metasomal sternum **6** Genital capsule, dorsal view **7** Genital capsule, lateral view **8** Genital capsule, ventral view.

S7 broad (Fig. 4); S8 basad of apodemes strongly narrowed, not forming a broad triangular area (Fig. 5); apical bifurcation of S8 nearly orthogonal to central axis of sternum, bearing small bilobed process between diverging processes, each lobe with prominent setae (Fig. 5); genital capsule as in figures 6–8, with gonostylus broadly rounded apically and curved ventrally near apex.

Sculpturing: Integument strongly polished and shining (as in other species of the megalostigma group), generally smooth or with very faint imbricate microsculpture,

with scattered shallow punctures, most punctures separated by more than $2-3\times$ a puncture width; two small, half-moon-shaped foveae on each side of head near concavity of compound eyes; dorsal base of propodeum with approximately 18 longitudinal carinae set in slight depression and radiating from basal margin.

Coloration: Integument predominantly honey yellow or amber colored (Figs. 1, 3); head dark brown except labiomaxillary complex, labrum, apical margin of clypeus, scape, and pedicel honey yellow; F1 and F2 lighter brown than remainder of flagellum; pronotum largely brown with anterior margin and pronotal lobe honey yellow to amber colored; mesoscutum and mesoscutellum brown; metanotum and dorsal base of propodeum light brown; tegula translucent, honey yellow; axillary sclerites and base of C+Sc honey yellow, otherwise wing venation brown, pterostigma prominent and slightly darker brown than other veins; wing membrane hyaline, slightly and faintly infumate apically; majority of preëpisternum and mesepisternum brown; lower portion of metepisternum light brown; outer surface of metatibia slightly brownish, particularly in apical half; outer surface of metabasitarsus slightly brownish; distal margin of T3 with brown band interrupted medially (absent in paratype); T4–T7 brown except some honey yellow present at lateral extremities of T4; S5–S6 brown, with slightly brownish area apically on S4.

Pubescence: Mostly consisting of golden setae (Figs. 1-3); head with scattered, largely simple setae, those on supraclypeal area, above compound eyes, vertex, gena, and postgena longer; setae dorso-apically on scape longer, ca. 1DS, remainder much shorter; a few short, branched setae on face near concavity of compound eyes. Mesosomal setae generally simple except more plumose around pronotal lobe; posterior margin of pronotum and lateral margins of mesoscutum with numerous, minute, pale, branched setae, becoming more whitish around pronotal lobe; discs of mesoscutum and mesoscutellum with relatively short and sparse setae; posterior margin of metanotum with minute whitish plumose setae interspersed with longer, gold setae, laterally with long setae, about 1.5DS, such setae apically curved; meso- and metapleura and lateral surface of propodeum generally with long, largely simple setae scattered over surface, although setae more numerous than on mesosomal dorsum, setae of preëpisternum slightly shorter and distinctly branched; pro- and metacoxae, protrochanter, and ventral surface of profemur with dense, long branched setae, such setae about 0.5× length of scape, density of setae on posterior of metacoxa about one-half that of procoxa; setae longer on inner surfaces of metatibia and metabasitarsus. Metasoma generally with sparsely scattered, long setae, mostly apically on terga and sterna, setae becoming progressively longer on more apical segments; lateral areas of S5 with very long, thick setae, extending to apex of metasoma (Fig. 1), such setae typically curved apically; S6 with lateral setae about one-third shorter, apically curved; setae distribution on S7–S8 and genitalia as in figures 4–8.

♀: Unknown.

Etymology. The specific epithet is a patronym honoring Dr. Peter G. Kevan, University of Guelph, who has encouraged the study and highlighted the importance of pollinators in Brazil, particularly through field courses on pollination biology and ecology.

Key to species of the megalostigma species group

Modified and updated from keys provided by Brooks and Michener (1999) and Smith-Pardo and Gonzalez (2007).

| 1 | Body size small (4.5–6 mm); integument predominantly dark brown to black; male S7 with inferior apical processes broad, without prominent comb |
|-------|--|
| | of strong bristles along margin; male S8 with broad triangular area surrounding spiculum, without constriction at base of elongate apical extension, apical bifurcating processes with acute angle between them, without small bilobed |
| | area between diverging processes2 |
| _ | Body size relatively large (ca. 7.60 mm); integument predominantly honey yellow (Figs. 1, 3); male S7 with inferior apical processes tapering rapidly to thin, elongate processes bearing prominent comb of strong bristles along |
| | margin (Fig. 4); male S8 basally narrowed around spiculum, strongly constricted at base of apical process, with diverging apical processes nearly or- |
| | thogonal to longitudinal axis of sternum, with small bilobed area between |
| - (.) | diverging processes (Fig. 5) (Brazil: Bahia) |
| 2(1) | Minimum distance between compound eyes about that of width of com- |
| | pound eye; malar area short, almost one-half or less than its maximum width; female basal metatarsomeres with apical process variable, ranging from al- |
| | most straight to curved; male S8 more typical for subgenus and <i>megalostigma</i> |
| | group, elongate extension bifurcate apically, with thin diverging processes |
| | separated by an acute angle |
| _ | Minimum distance between compound eyes about three-fourths width of |
| | compound eye; malar area long, more than one-half of its maximum width; |
| | female basal metatarsomeres with apical process distinctly curved; male S8 |
| | unique for subgenus, not bifurcate apically, apical extension spatulate, with |
| | broad apical area bearing prominent setae (Colombia: Amazonas) |
| 3(2) | C. stenocephala Brooks & Michener |
| 3(2) | Frontal line without depression; female basal metatarsomeres with apical process variable, with or without long thicker setae |
| _ | Frontal line with conspicuous depression about 1OD in size just above level |
| | of antennal toruli, with antennal toruli forming a triangle of equal sides |
| | (isosceles); female basal metatarsomeres with apical process almost straight, |
| | terminating in a prominent setae thicker than other tarsal setae (Bolivia, |
| | Brazil, Peru) |
| 4(3) | Total length ca. 4.5–5.2 mm; pronotal coloration variable; male S7 with |
| | more elongate processes relatively broad5 |
| _ | Total length ca. 5.5 mm; pronotum dark brown; female basal metatarsomeres |
| | with apical process almost straight, terminating in setae similar to other tarsal |
| | setae; male S7 with more elongate processes narrower, particularly in basal |
| | half (Mexico to Costa Rica) |

Discussion

Among the species of the *megalostigma* group perhaps one of the most unusual is C. stenocephala. In this species the form of the terminalia differs dramatically from other members of the group and, indeed, from other Hylaeosoma as well. While species of the group tend to have S8 bifid apically, with the bifurcation comprising thin, diverging processing at the apex of a narrow elongate extension of the disc (e.g., Fig. 5), C. stenocephala instead has a broad apical expansion bearing prominent setae [refer to figures in Brooks and Michener (1999)]. The gonostyli are also considerably different in this species in which they are narrowed apically, elongate, and curved mesally (Brooks and Michener 1999) in contrast to the otherwise broad and weakly or not curved mesally (typically curved ventrally) in the others species [e.g., Figs. 6-8; and figures in Michener (1992, 2002), Hinojosa-Díaz and Michener (2005), Smith-Pardo and Gonzalez (2007)]. The remaining species have terminalia that are more or less of a similar structure. The S8 of C. megalostigma, C. polita, C. yanezae, and C. muruimuinane are the most similar in that each have a broadly triangular base encompassing the basal spiculum and extending to the lateral apodemes, while in C. kevani this is greatly narrowed and the lateral apodemes are more prominent (e.g., Fig. 5). Chilicola muruimuinane perhaps comes closest in form to that of *C. kevani* in that the sides of this triangular base are distinctly concave (Smith-Pardo and Gonzalez 2007), albeit not nearly as strongly so as in C. kevani. In addition, while the aforementioned species have the discal process tapering rapidly to a narrow and elongate extension becoming bifid apically (a general structure somewhat characteristic of the megalostigma group), in C. kevani there is a prominent constriction between the extreme base of the disc and the remainder of the apical portion of the sternum. Immediately apicad of the constriction the sternum flares outward, curves apicad and tapers rapidly to the narrow neck of the extension (Fig. 5). Apically the extension bifurcates with the thin processes diverging more strongly such that they are nearly orthogonal with the longitudinal axis of the sternum (Fig. 5). Between the processes is a small, apically bilobed structure which bears prominent setae at the apex of each lobe (Fig. 5), a unique autapomorphy among the subgenus. It is too

early to comment on the possible interrelationships of these species as most features are autapomorphic and there are undoubtedly additional species to be discovered in the vast areas of suitable habitat throughout South America and southern Central America. Continued collecting in Bahia should be undertaken in order to discover the female of *C. kevani*, to better document the distributions of the diversity of bee species in the region, and to document any new species that may come to light.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Patrícia Alves Ferreira (doctoral student of UFBA) and her advisors for providing the specimens referred herein. The material was collected as part of Patricia's PhD project entitled "Influence of habitat loss on the networks interactions between plants and pollinators in fragmented landscapes in Bahia" and under the guidance of Prof. Dr. Blandina Felipe Viana (UFBA) and co-led by Dr. Danilo Boscolo (UNIFESP). Their project was supported by the Foundation for Research of the State of Bahia - FAPESB and as part of "Effect of reduced vegetation cover and biogeographic history of extinction thresholds", itself a subset of the principal project "Integrating Levels of Organization in Ecological Predictive Models: Contributions of Epistemology, Modeling and Empirical Research" supported by the Support Program for Centers of Excellence PRONEX/FAPESB/CNPq and coordinated by Prof. Charbel El-Hani (UFBA). We are indebted to the institutions supporting these interdisciplinary projects which made possible the collections in which the new species of Chilicola was discovered. We are additionally grateful to two anonymous reviewers and Dr. Michael Ohl, the last as editor, for comments and assistance with the manuscript. This is also a contribution of the Division of Entomology, University of Kansas Natural History Museum and partially supported by US NSF DBI-1057366 (to MSE).

References

- Ashmead WH (1900) Report upon the aculeate Hymenoptera of the islands of St. Vincent and Grenada, with additions to the parasitic Hymenoptera and a list of the described Hymenoptera of the West Indies. Transactions of the Entomological Society of London 48(2): 207–367. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2311.1900.tb02379.x
- Benoist R (1942) Les Hyménoptères qui habitent les tiges de ronce aux environs de Quito (Equateur). Annales de la Société Entomologique de France 111: 75–90.
- Brooks RW, Michener CD (1999) The *Chilicola megalostigma* species group and notes on two lost types of *Chilicola* (Hymenoptera: Colletidae, Xeromelissinae). Journal of Hymenoptera Research 8(2): 132–138.
- Ducke A (1908) Contribution à la connaissance de la faune hyménoptérologique du Nord-Est du Brésil. Revue d'Entomologie 27: 57–87.

- Engel MS (1999) A new xeromelissine bee in Tertiary amber of the Dominican Republic (Hymenoptera: Colletidae). Entomologica Scandinavica 30(4): 453–458. doi: 10.1163/187631200X00561
- Engel MS (2001) A monograph of the Baltic amber bees and evolution of the Apoidea (Hymenoptera). Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 259: 1–192. doi: 10.1206/0003-0090(2001)259<0001:AMOTBA>2.0.CO;2
- Gonzalez VH, Giraldo C (2009) New Andean bee species of *Chilicola* Spinola (Hymenoptera: Colletidae, Xeromelissinae) with notes on their biology. Caldasia 31(1): 145–154.
- Hinojosa-Díaz IA, Michener CD (2005) A new bee of the genus *Chilicola* Spinola (Hymenoptera: Colletidae: Xeromelissinae) from central Mexico. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 107(1): 1–6.
- Michener CD (1992 [1994]) Mexican and Central American species of *Chilicola* (Hymenoptera: Colletidae). Folia Entomológica Mexicana 85: 77–93.
- Michener CD (1995) A classification of the bees of the subfamily Xeromelissinae (Hymenoptera: Colletidae). Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society 68(3): 332–345.
- Michener CD (2002) The bee genus *Chilicola* in the tropical Andes, with observations on nesting biology and a phylogenetic analysis of the subgenera (Hymenoptera: Colletidae, Xeromelissinae). Scientific Papers, Natural History Museum, University of Kansas 26: 1–47.
- Michener CD (2007) The Bees of the World [2nd Edition]. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, xvi+[i]+953 pp., +20 pls.
- Michener CD, Poinar G, Jr (1996) The known bee fauna of the Dominican amber. Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society, Supplement 69(4): 353–361.
- Moure JS, Sakagami SF (1962) As mamangabas sociais do Brasil (*Bombus* Latreille) (Hymenoptera, Apoidea). Studia Entomologica 5(1–4): 65–194.
- Moure JS, Urban D (2008) Xeromelissini Cockerell, 1926. In: Moure JS, Urban D, Melo GAR (Eds) Catalogue of Bees (Hymenoptera, Apoidea) in the Neotropical Region, 743–756. Available and updated online at http://www.moure.cria.org.br/catalogue [accessed 1 October 2011].
- Packer L (2008) Phylogeny and classification of the Xeromelissinae (Hymenoptera: Apoidea, Colletidae) with special emphasis on the genus *Chilicola*. Systematic Entomology 33(1): 72–96.
- Packer L, Genaro JA (2007) Fifteen new species of *Chilicola* (Hymenoptera: Apoidea; Colletidae). Zootaxa 1468: 1–55.
- Smith-Pardo AH, Gonzalez VH (2007) Abejas *Chilicola* (*Hylaeosoma*) Ashmead (Colletidae: Xeromelissinae) del grupo *megalostigma*: Una especie nueva de Colombia y clave para las especies. Neotropical Entomology 36(6): 910–913. doi: 10.1590/S1519-566X2007000600012
- Toro H, Michener CD (1975) The subfamily Xeromelissinae and its occurrence in Mexico (Hymenoptera: Colletidae). Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society 48(3): 351–357.