A peer-reviewed open-access journal ZOOKeys Launched to accelerate biodiversity research

# Review of tapeworms of rodents in the Republic of Buryatia, with emphasis on anoplocephalid cestodes

Voitto Haukisalmi<sup>1</sup>, Heikki Henttonen<sup>1</sup>, Lotta M. Hardman<sup>1</sup>, Michael Hardman<sup>1</sup>, Juha Laakkonen<sup>2</sup>, Galina Murueva<sup>3</sup>, Jukka Niemimaa<sup>1</sup>, Stanislav Shulunov<sup>4</sup>, Olli Vapalahti<sup>5</sup>

I Finnish Forest Research Institute, Vantaa Research Unit, Finland 2 Department of Basic Veterinary Sciences, University of Helsinki, Finland 3 Buryatian Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Ulan-Ude, Buryatia, Russian Federation 4 Institute of Epidemiology and Microbiology, Russian Academy of Medical Sciences, Irkutsk, Russian Federation 5 Haartman Institute, Department of Virology, University of Helsinki, Finland

Corresponding author: Voitto Haukisalmi (voitto.haukisalmi@metla.fi)

Academic editor: Boyko Georgiev | Received 30 October 2008 | Accepted 27 February 2009 | Published 28 April 2009

**Citation:** Haukisalmi V, Henttonen H, Hardman LM, Hardman M, Laakkonen J, Murueva G, Niemimaa J, Shulunov S, Vapalahti O (2009) Review of tapeworms of rodents in the Republic of Buryatia, with emphasis on anoplocephalid cestodes. ZooKeys 8: 1-18. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.8.58

# Abstract

Examination of ca. 500 rodents [*Microtus* spp., *Myodes* spp., *Cricetulus barabensis* (Pallas), *Apodemus peninsulae* Thomas] from 14 localities in the Republic of Buryatia (Russian Federation) revealed a minimum of 11 cestode species representing *Anoplocephaloides* Baer, 1923 *s. str.* (1 species), *Paranoplocephala* Lühe, 1910 *s.l.* (5 species), *Catenotaenia* Janicki, 1904 (2 species), *Arostrilepis* Mas-Coma & Tenora, 1997 (at least 2 species) and *Rodentolepis* Spasskii, 1954 (1 species). At least 5 of these species are previously unknown from the region. The taxonomic and phylogenetic position of Buryatian *Paranoplocephala* species was defined by cytochrome oxidase I (COI) sequences (mtDNA). The phylogenetic analysis also confirmed the status of *Parandrya* Gulyaev & Chechulin, 1996 as a junior synonym of *Paranoplocephala s.l.* The species) than in Europe (17 species). The connections of the anoplocephalid fauna of Buryatia seem to be closer with Beringia (North-East Siberia and Alaska) than with Europe. The present study demonstrated high spatial variation (patchiness) among study sites in cestodes of Buryatian rodents, with the exception of the ubiquitous *Arostrilepis horrida* (von Linstow, 1901)-complex.

# Keywords

Cestoda, Anoplocephalidae, Catenotaeniidae, Hymenolepididae, Paranoplocephala, voles, Buryatia

Copyright Voitto Haukisalmi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

# Introduction


The rodent fauna of the Republic of Buryatia (Russian Federation) represents a mixture of Asian, northern Palaearctic and Holarctic species. Of the Buryatian rodents, the grey-sided vole *Myodes rufocanus* (Sundevall) (syn. *Clethrionomys rufocanus*), the red vole *Myodes rutilus* (Pallas) (syn. *Clethrionomys rutilus*) and the root vole *Microtus oeconomus* (Pallas) are distributed throughout northern Eurasia, the latter two extending into north-western North America. More southern Palaearctic elements include the reed vole *Microtus fortis* Büchner, Maximowicz's vole *Microtus maximowiczii* (Schrenk), the striped dwarf hamster *Cricetulus barabensis* (Pallas) and the Korean field mouse *Apodemus peninsulae* Thomas. Biogeographically the location of Buryatia is interesting because the east-west taiga corridor between the Lake Baikal and Mongolian steppe has probably been a major migration pathway for the Palaearctic fauna and flora (de Lattin 1967; Mikkola 1988).

The helminths of rodents in the Lake Baikal basin, including Buryatia, have been the subject of two major faunistical studies, i.e. those of Machul'skii (1958) and Zhaltsanova (1992). In addition, four recent descriptions of new species of anoplocephalid cestodes have included material from Buryatia (Chechulin and Gulyaev 1998; Gulyaev and Chechulin 1996; Gulyaev and Krivopalov 2003; Haukisalmi et al. 2007a). In terms of species diversity, anoplocephalid cestodes are the dominant helminth group in arvicoline rodents in Buryatia and elsewhere. However, some of the anoplocephalid cestodes previously reported from Buryatian rodents [i.e. Paranoplocephala macrocephala (Douthitt, 1915) and P. microti (Hansen, 1947)] are now known to be restricted to the Nearctic region (Haukisalmi and Henttonen 2003; Haukisalmi et al. 2004). Moreover, the apparently widespread Paranoplocephala omphalodes (Hermann, 1783) has been shown to include multiple species (Haukisalmi et al. 2004), and it is not known which of these species occur in Buryatia. Similar taxonomical problems are present in catenotaeniid cestodes. For example, Catenotaenia pusilla (Goeze, 1782) probably does not occur in Microtus Schrank and Apodemus Kaup in Buryatia, because it is presently regarded as a specific parasite of Mus musculus (L.) (Tenora and Murai 1975).

The present study reviews the cestode fauna of Buryatian rodents based on new extensive collections and includes recent advances in the taxonomy of anoplocephalid cestodes. The Buryatian cestode fauna of rodents is compared with those of Europe and north-eastern Siberia. Mitochondrial DNA sequences are used for defining the taxonomic and phylogenetic position of the *Paranoplocephala* species of Buryatian voles.

# Material and methods

Rodents were trapped at 14 study sites of Buryatia in August in 2004 and 2005. The location of Buryatia, study sites therein, and the rodent material sampled from each locality are given in Fig. 1 and Table 1.



**Fig. 1.** Study sites in Buryatia. 1-6, Kamensk, Pasolskaya, Ganzurinov, Nizhnaya Ivolga, Verhnaya Berezovka, Utochkina Pad; 7, Maloje Kolesova; 8-10, Barguzin River, Shapen'kovo, Nesteriha; 11-13, Muhorshibir, Sharaldai, Zabaikalsk; 14, Tseremushki.

**Table 1.** Prevalence (%) of cestodes in various host species and study sites in Buryatia (if  $N \ge 10$ ). If N < 10, presence has been shown by "+". Cestode species are: Anoplocephaloides cf. dentata (Aden), Paranoplocephala cf. omphalodes (Pomp), P. blanchardi (Pbla), P. feodorovi (Pfeo), P. buryatiensis (Pbur), P. longivaginata (Plon), Catenotaenia sp. 1 (Cat1), Catenotaenia sp. 2 (Cat2), Arostrilepis horrida s.l. (Ahor) and Rodentolepis sp. (Rod).

Host species	Aden	Pomp	Pbla	Pfeo	Pbur	Plon	Cat1	Cat2	Ahor	Rod
Locality										
Apodemus peninsulae (n=51)								2		
Kamensk (n=8)								+		
Verhnaya Berezovka (n=8)										
Utochkina Pad (n=9)										
Barguzin River (n=8)										
Shapen'kovo (n=7)										
Muhorshibir (n=11)										
Cricetulus barabensis (n=83)							6		1	24
Ganzurinov (n=22)							5			14
Nizhnaya Ivolga (n=1)										
Shapen'kovo (n=4)									+	

Host species Locality	Aden	Pomp	Pbla	Pfeo	Pbur	Plon	Cat1	Cat2	Ahor	Rod
Muhorshibir (n=4)										
Sharaldai (n=49)							8			33
Zabaikalsk (n=3)							0			+
Myodes rufocanus (n=132)	2				14				22	т 
Kamensk (n=7)					+				+	
Pasolskaya (n=35)	6				43				+ 6	
Maloje Kolesova (n=1)	0				45				0	
Verhnaya Berezovka (n=6)	+								+	
Utochkina Pad (n=10)	T				10				20	
Shapen'kovo (n=8)					10				+	
Muhorshibir (n=44)					3				30	
Tseremushki (n=21)					5				33	
Myodes rutilus (n=56)						11			<b>30</b>	
Pasolskaya (n=6)						+			50	
Maloje Kolesova (n=9)						+				
Verhnaya Berezovka (n=3)										
Utochkina Pad (n=1)						+			+	
Shapen'kovo (n=21)						+			52	
Muhorshibir (n=19)						5			42	
Tseremushki (n=7)						-			42	
	3	12	2	1	2	+			29	
Microtus fortis (n=129)	3		2	1	2				-	
Kamensk (n=24)		13			4				50	
Pasolskaya (n=2)		05	17	0					+	
Maloje Kolesova (n=12)		25	17	8					17	
Barguzin River (n=30)	,	20							(0)	
Shapen'kovo (n=25)	4								60	
Nesteriha (n=13)	23								23	
Muhorshibir (n=16)		6			13				6	
Zabaikalsk (n=7)		+							+	
Microtus oeconomus (n=26)		12							27	
Ganzurinov (n=8)		+								<u> </u>
Nizhnaya Ivolga (n=9)		+							+	<u> </u>
Utochkina Pad (n=1)										
Shapen'kovo (n=5)									+	
Tseremushki (n=3)										

We used snap-traps (Finnish metal mouse trap), which were set in the late afternoon and evening, and checked early next morning. In the field, rodents were placed in a Styrofoam box with coolers, kept in a refrigerator in a laboratory, and dissected the same day. The cestodes found were first allowed to relax in tap water, then fixed flat (without pressure) in 70% ethanol, and those used for morphology stained later with Mayer's haemalum, Semichon's acetocarmine or ironacetocarmine, cleared in eugenol and mounted in Canada balsam. Voucher specimens (whole-mounts) of all identified cestode species from Buryatia have been deposited in the Museum of Southwestern Biology, University of New Mexico, USA (MSB) and the United States National Parasite Collection, Maryland, USA (USNPC).

Most of the comparative cestode materials from Chukotka, North-East Siberia, were collected in connection with the Beringian Coevolution Project (BCP; see Cook et al. 2005; Hoberg et al. 2003).

Seven specimens of *Paranoplocephala* Lühe, 1910 from Buryatia were sequenced for the partial cytochrome oxidase I (COI) gene and embedded in a larger COI sequence data set of *Paranoplocephala* spp., originating primarily from Wickström et al. (2005). GenBank numbers for the COI sequences are given in Fig. 2. For the extraction, amplification and sequencing of DNA, see Wickström et al. (2003, 2005) and Haukisalmi et al. (2004).

Altogether 43 COI sequences (569-679 bp) of *Paranoplocephala* spp. were aligned using Clustal X (Thompson et al. 1997) with sequences of *Andrya rhopalocephala* (Riehm, 1881) and *Neandrya cuniculi* (Blanchard, 1891), the latter two species from lagomorphs used as an outgroup. A neighbour-joining distance phylogram was constructed in PAUP\* (version 4.0 b10; Swofford 2002) using Kimura 2-parameter distances. Bootstrap support for the topology was estimated through 10 000 pseudoreplicates.


# Results

# Anoplocephalidae

# Anoplocephaloides cf. dentata (Galli-Valerio, 1905)

The names *Paranoplocephala dentata* (Galli-Valerio, 1905), *Anoplocephaloides dentata* (Galli-Valerio, 1905) and *Paranoplocephala brevis* Kirshenblat, 1938 have been variously used for small, wedge-shaped cestodes parasitizing voles of the genera *Microtus* and *Chionomys* Miller (and occasionally other hosts) in the Palaearctic region. The position of *A. dentata* within the genus *Anoplocephaloides* Baer, 1923, as suggested by Rausch (1976), has been generally accepted. Following Spasskii (1951), *P. brevis* has been consistently regarded as a junior synonym of *A. dentata*. Thus, all *A. dentata*-like cestodes in the Palaearctic voles have been considered a single species, with the exception of *Anoplocephaloides* Gato, Kamiya, Tenora & Kamiya, 1993 from *Myodes rufocanus* from Hokkaido, Japan (see Sato et al. 1993).

A recent molecular phylogenetic analysis (Haukisalmi et al. 2009) suggested that *A. dentata*-like cestodes include at least five species (plus *A. dentatoides*) in the Holarctic region: four species in western Eurasia and one in the rest of Eurasia and Beringia (north-eastern Siberia and Alaska). Based on the cytochrome oxidase I (mtDNA) sequences, the *A. dentata*-like cestodes from Buryatia (hosts *Myodes rufocanus* and *Microtus fortis*) group strongly, and are therefore conspecific, with the Holarctic species



**Fig. 2.** A neighbour-joining reconstruction of partial cytochrome oxidase I (mtDNA) sequences of *Par-anoplocephala* spp., *Andrya rhopalocephala* and *Neandrya cuniculi* from lagomorphs were used as an outgroup. The labels show the GenBank number for each sequence. Values at nodes show the percentage from 10000 bootstrap replicates.

(Haukisalmi et al. 2009). However, it is not yet known if this Holarctic species is conspecific with either of the two related species described in North America, i.e. *Anoplocephaloides infrequens* (Douthitt, 1915) and *A. troeschi* (Rausch, 1946) from *Geomys bursarius* (Shaw) (Geomyidae) and *Microtus pennsylvanicus* (Ord), respectively.

In the Palaearctic, *A. dentata*-like cestodes are characteristically parasites of voles of the genera *Microtus* and *Chionomys*. However, in addition to *Microtus gregalis* (Pallas), *M. maximowiczii* and *M. oeconomus*, Machul'skii (1958) and Zhaltsanova (1992) reported *P. brevis* or *P. dentata* from *Myodes rufocanus*, *M. rutilus*, *Apodemus peninsulae* and *Cricetulus barabensis* in Buryatia. In the present study, *A. cf. dentata* was found from *Microtus fortis* and *Myodes rufocanus*. It is probable that all *A. dentata*-like cestodes in Buryatia represent a single species, with the occurrences in *Myodes*, *Apodemus* and *Cricetulus* being accidental.

Because there are few morphological features useful for the diagnosis of *A. dentata*like cestodes, sequence data (COI, 28S rRNA) have proved to be necessary tools in the taxonomy of this species complex (Haukisalmi et al. 2009).

*Anoplocephaloides* cf. *dentata* was significantly more prevalent in Nesteriha (23%) than in the other study sites (0-6%) (Table 1).

Voucher specimen: MSB Endo 152 from Microtus fortis (Nesteriha).

# Paranoplocephala cf. omphalodes (Hermann, 1783)

It has been recently shown that *P. omphalodes sensu stricto* is primarily a parasite of *Microtus agrestis* (L.) and *M. arvalis* (Pallas) in Europe and probably elsewhere in western Eurasia (Haukisalmi et al. 2004). There is another, rather host-specific species in *M. oeconomus* (i.e. *Paranoplocephala jarrelli* Haukisalmi, Henttonen & Hardman, 2006) that occurs in the root/tundra vole throughout its Holarctic range. The *P. omphalodes*-like taxon occurring in the eastern Beringian endemic *Microtus miurus* Osgood is also specifically distinct (i.e. *Paranoplocephala batzlii* Haukisalmi, Henttonen & Hardman, 2006; see Haukisalmi et al. 2006).

The present molecular phylogenetic analysis shows unequivocally that the *P. om-phalodes*-like cestodes in Buryatia do not represent the true *P. omphalodes* (Fig. 1).

The Buryatian specimens form a monophyletic group that is sister to the divergent North Alaskan subclade of *P. jarrelli*. The topology and high statistical support of the tree suggests that these two clades are both independent species, forming a sister group for the true, Holarctic *P. jarrelli*.

In the present material, the Buryatian *P.* cf. *omphalodes* occurred exclusively in *Microtus fortis* and *M. oeconomus. Paranoplocephala omphalodes* has earlier been reported from *M. maximowiczii*, *M. oeconomus, Myodes rufocanus* and *Apodemus peninsulae* in Buryatia and adjacent regions (Machul'skii 1958; Zhaltsanova 1992). The earlier Buryatian records of *P. omphalodes* from *Myodes rufocanus* probably represent another, recently described species (i.e. *Paranoplocephala buryatiensis* Haukisalmi, Hardman, Hardman, Laakkonen, Niemimaa & Henttonen, 2006; see below).

*Paranoplocephala* cf. *omphalodes* from Buryatia may be primarily associated with the Asian *Microtus* species, i.e. *M. fortis* and *M. maximowiczii*, because it is not known from *M. oeconomus* outside Buryatia. *Paranoplocephala* cf. *omphalodes* will be later described as a new species.

*Paranoplocephala* cf. *omphalodes* was present in seven of the 12 study sites, highest prevalences being 20-23% (Table 1).

Voucher specimen: MSB Endo 153 from *M. oeconomus* (Nizhnaya Ivolga).

#### Paranoplocephala blanchardi (Moniez, 1891)

*Paranoplocephala blanchardi* (Moniez, 1891) *sensu* Tenora et al. (1985b) is primarily a parasite of *Microtus* spp. in Europe (Haukisalmi et al. 1994; Tenora et al. 1985b) and western Siberia, extending at least to the Novosibirsk region in the east (Chechulin 1998). The conspecificity of two Buryatian specimens of *P. blanchardi* (from *Microtus fortis*) with the European specimens is confirmed by the present molecular phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 2). The Buryatian records thus represent the easternmost finding of this species. Despite considerable sampling effort, *P. blanchardi* has not been found in north-eastern Siberia (Magadan region, Chukotka; unpublished BCP records).

P. blanchardi was found at a single study site (prevalence 17%) (Table 1).

Voucher specimen: MSB 154 from *M. fortis* (Maloje Kolesova).

# Paranoplocephala feodorovi (Gulyaev & Chechulin, 1996)

Paranoplocephala feodorovi was originally described as Parandrya feodorovi Gulyaev & Chechulin, 1996 from Arvicola terrestris (L.) (primary host), Microtus agrestis and M. maximowiczii from the Novosibirsk region in the south of West Siberia (type locality) and Zabaikalsk in Buryatia (Gulyaev and Chechulin 1996; see Chechulin 1998 for further records in the latter region). Only a single specimen from Microtus fortis conforming to the description of P. feodorovi was encountered during the present study, which supports the secondary role of Microtus spp. in the epidemiology of this cestode.

Morphologically, *P. feodorovi* is closely related to *P. blanchardi*, the main difference being the antiporal extent of the testes (extending across the antiporal ventral longitudinal osmoregulatory canal in *P. blanchardi*, but not in *P. feodorovi*). However, *P. feodorovi* is not genetically related to *P. blanchardi*. In the COI phylogram, *P. feodorovi* was associated with *P. oeconomi*, appearing as one of the basal lineages within *Paranoplocephala* (Fig. 2). The phylogenetic position of *P. feodorovi* supports the hypothesis that *Parandrya* Gulyaev & Chechulin, 1996 is a synonym of *Paranoplocephala s.l.*, as suggested by Haukisalmi and Henttonen (2000) and Haukisalmi and Wickström (2005).

Voucher specimen: MSB Endo 155 from *M. fortis* (Maloje Kolesova).

# *Paranoplocephala buryatiensis* Haukisalmi, Hardman, Hardman, Laakkonen, Niemimaa & Henttonen, 2006

*P. buryatiensis*, described from Buryatian material, is primarily a parasite of *Myodes ru-focanus* (Haukisalmi et al. 2007a), although it was found occasionally in *Microtus fortis* in the present material. Its known range spans from Buryatia to north-eastern Siberia. However, it does not occur in the westernmost populations of *Myodes rufocanus* in northern Fennoscandia (Haukisalmi et al. 2007a).

*P. buryatiensis* is morphologically and genetically closely related to *P. longivaginata* Chechulin & Gulyaev, 1998 from *Myodes rutilus* (below); they form a strongly supported clade in the mtDNA phylogenies (Fig. 2 and Haukisalmi et al. 2007a). Haukisalmi et al. (2007a) provided evidence for phylogenetic codivergence of *P. buryatiensis* and *P. longivaginata* with their primary hosts.

*P. buryatiensis* occurred in *Myodes rufocanus* at four of the eight study sites, the prevalence in Pasolskaya (43%) being higher than in the other sites (0-10%). It also occurred in *Microtus fortis* at two study sites, where it also parasitized the primary host *Myodes rufocanus*.

Type specimen: USNPC 97137 from *Myodes rufocanus* (Pasolskaya); paratype specimen: USNPC 97138 from *M. rufocanus* (Pasolskaya); voucher specimen: USNPC 97139 from *Microtus fortis* (Kamensk).

# Paranoplocephala longivaginata Chechulin & Gulyaev, 1998

*P. longivaginata* was described from *Myodes rutilus* and, secondarily, from *Sciurus vulgaris* L., in Buryatia and the neighbouring Amurskaya Oblast (Chechulin and Gulyaev 1998). In addition to Buryatia and adjacent regions, it has been found from *Myodes rutilus* in north-eastern Siberia (Magadan region; Haukisalmi et al. 2007a). However, *P. longivaginata* does not occur in *M. rutilus* in north-western North America (unpublished BCP data).

*P. longivaginata* occurred at five of the seven study sites, but always at a low prevalence.

Voucher specimen: MSB Endo 156 from Myodes rutilus (Verhnaya Berezovka).

# Catenotaeniidae

# Catenotaenia sp. 1

The specimens from *Cricetulus barabensis* resemble *Catenotaenia dendritica* (Goeze, 1782), *C. laguri* Smith, 1954, *C. linsdalei* McIntosh, 1941, *C. reggiae* Rausch, 1951 and *C. ris* Yamaguti, 1942 in having numerous uterine branches (39-43 in the present specimens). However, these species have either significantly more numerous (*C. den-*

dritica, C. reggiae, C. ris) or less numerous (C. laguri, C. linsdalei) testes than the present specimens (ca. 80). Moreover, three of these species are specific parasites of sciurids (C. dendritica, C. reggiae, C. ris), two other have been found only from Lemmiscus Thomas (C. laguri) and Thomomys Wied-Neuwied (C. linsdalei), and three of them are known only from North America (C. reggiae, C. laguri, C. linsdalei). We conclude that the present specimens from C. barabensis represent an undescribed species of Catenotaenia Janicki, 1904.

Zhaltsanova (1992) reported *Catenotaenia cricetorum* Kirshenblat, 1949 from *C. barabensis* from Buryatia, but did not provide any morphological data for the cestode. We assume that the specimens of Zhaltsanova (1992) from *C. barabensis* actually represent the same undescribed species as found by us from Buryatian hamsters.

Voucher specimen: MSB Endo 157 from C. barabensis (Ganzurinov).

#### Catenotaenia sp. 2

The cestodes from Apodemus peninsulae had 29-34 uterine branches, which corresponds with Catenotaenia afghana Tenora, 1977, C. californica Dowell, 1953, C. neotomae Babero & Cattan, 1983 and C. peromysci Smith, 1954. However, the cestodes found by us have a clearly divided testicular field, a feature which occurs in C. californica but not in the three other species mentioned above. Compared with the present material, C. californica has markedly wider body (0.75-0.83 mm in the present specimens) and smaller scolex (ca. 0.38 mm in the present specimens). Since C. californica, C. neotomae and C. peromysci are Nearctic parasites of heteromyid and sigmodontid (Neotominae) rodents, it is unlikely that they would occur in a murid rodent in Eurasia. The brief original description of C. afghana was based on material from two unrelated host species, Cricetulus migratorius (Pallas) and Alticola sp. from Afghanistan, and it was not stated which was the type host. Moreover, no type specimen was designated (Tenora 1977). Ganzorig et al. (1999) later redescribed C. afghana from Lasiopodomys brandtii (Radde) from Mongolia but it is probable that the specimens assigned to C. afghana include more than one species. The specimens from Buryatian A. peninsulae may therefore represent another undescribed species of Catenotaenia.

Voucher specimen: MSB Endo 158 from A. peninsulae (Kamensk).

# Hymenolepididae

#### Arostrilepis horrida (von Linstow, 1901) s.l.

In the present material, *Arostrilepis horrida*-like cestodes occurred commonly in all *Microtus* and *Myodes* species and accidentally in *C. barabensis* but were absent in *A. peninsulae*. The data of Zhaltsanova (1992) show an equally wide host spectrum

for Arostrilepis horrida-like cestodes in Buryatia. Three species have been described within the A. horrida-complex, i.e. A. horrida from an unknown rodent host, A. beringiensis Kontrimavichus & Smirnova, 1991 from Lemmus sibiricus and A. microtis Gulyaev & Chechulin, 1997 from Microtus spp. (see Kontrimavichus and Smirnova 1991 and Gulyaev and Chechulin 1997). However, applying molecular methods, the BCP has demonstrated a complex of at least 10 species of A. horrida-like cestodes that are poorly differentiated morphologically (Cook et al. 2005, and K. Galbreath and E. P. Hoberg, unpublished). For example, there may be five Arostrilepis-species in Myodes spp. (species previously assigned to Clethrionomys) and two species in Microtus spp. in the Holarctic region. The situation is complicated by the fact that it is not known which one (if any) of them is the true A. horrida. Therefore, it is not possible to assign the present specimens to a particular species of Arostrilepis. However, it is probable that the Buryatian material includes at least one undescribed species, i.e. that/those occurring in Myodes spp.

Voucher specimens: MSB Endo 159 from *Microtus fortis* (Kamensk), MSB Endo 160 from *M. oeconomus* (Nizhnaya Ivolga), MSB Endo 161 from *Myodes rufocanus* (Kamensk), MSB Endo 162 from *Myodes rutilus* (Muhorshibir).

#### Rodentolepis sp.

*Rodentolepis* sp. from *Cricetulus barabensis* was characterized by 22-24 fraternoid hooks. In this respect it resembles *Rodentolepis fraterna* (Stiles, 1906) (see Genov 1984) and *R. sinensis* (Oldham, 1929) (see Ryzhikov et al. 1978), although the hooks of the present species are somewhat longer (0.020-0.032 mm) than those of *R. fraterna*. In addition, the scolex of the present cestode is significantly larger (0.24-0.26 mm) than that of *R. sinensis*, and its eggs are significantly longer (0.060-0.075 mm) than those of *R. fraterna*. It is probable that *Rodentolepis* sp. from Buryatia represents an undescribed, host-specific species of *C. barabensis*. The high variation in hook length suggests that the material may include more than one species.

Zhaltsanova (1992) reported *R. straminea* (Goeze, 1782) from *C. barabensis* and *Myodes rufocanus* from Buryatia, but that identification is dubious, because many forms, including *R. fraterna* and *R. nana* (Siebold, 1852), have been synonymized with *R. straminea* in the Soviet/Russian literature (see Ryzhikov et al. 1978).

Voucher specimen: MSB Endo 163 from C. barabensis (Sharaldai).

#### **Diversity and distribution**

The present study shows that there are at least 11 species of cestodes in the Buryatian rodents (assuming 2 species in the *A. horrida*-complex), of which at least five species are previously unreported from the region. The anoplocephalid cestode fauna of Buryatian arvicoline rodents (voles and lemmings) includes a minimum of seven species

(Table 2). The species diversity of the corresponding fauna is equally low in North-East Siberia (six species), whereas the European fauna consists of at least 17 species. Additionally, almost half (eight species) of European anoplocephalid species are endemic

**Table 2.** Host and geographic distribution of anoplocephalid cestodes of voles and lemmings in Europe, Buryatia and North-East Siberia. The host species are: *Myopus schisticolor (sch)*, *Ondatra zibethicus (zib)*, Arvicola amphibius (amb), Chionomys nivalis (niv), Microtus agrestis (agr), M. arvalis (arv), M. cabrerae (cab), M. fortis (for), M. guentheri (gue), M. oeconomus (oec), M. subterraneus (sub), Myodes glareolus (gla), My. rufocanus (rufo) and My. rutilus (ruti). The Arctic lemmings of the genera Lemmus and Dicrostonyx have been excluded, because they are absent in Buryatia. The primary host species in each region (when evident) have been in indicated in bold.

	Europe	Buryatia	North-East Siberia	General distibution	Sources	
Anoplocephaloides dentata	niv, arv	-	-	Europe	1, 2, 3	
A. cf. dentata	oec	for; rufo	oec	Holarctic	1, 2, 3	
A. cf. dentata 2	agr, oec	-	-	Europe	1, 2, 3	
A. cf. dentata 3	arv	-	-	Europe	1, 2, 3	
Anoplocephaloides mascomai	cab	-	-	Europe	4	
Microcephaloides sp. 1	agr, oec	-	-	Europe	2	
Microcephaloides sp. 2	gue		-	Europe	2	
Microcephaloides sp. 3	-	- 0ec		Holarctic?	2	
M. tenoramuraiae	niv, sub	-	-	Europe	5	
Paranoplocephala aquatica	amb, zib	-	-	Europe	6	
P. blanchardi	<b>agr, arv</b> , oec; gla	for	-	Palearctic	7, 8	
P. buryatiensis	-	<b>rufo</b> ; for	rufo	Palearctic	8, 9	
P. feodorovi	-	amb; for	-	Palearctic	8,10	
P. gubanovi	-	sch	-	Palearctic	20	
P. gracilis	<b>agr</b> , arv, oec; gla, rufo, ruti	-	-	Palearctic	7, 8, 11	
P. janickii	arv	-	-	Palearctic	7	
P. jarrelli	oec	-	oec	Holarctic	8, 12, 13	
P. kalelai	<b>rufo</b> , gla	-	-	Palearctic	14,15	
P. longivaginata	-	ruti	ruti	Palearctic	8, 9, 16	
P. oeconomi	oec	-	-	Palearctic	17	
P. omphalodes	<b>arv</b> , <b>agr</b> ; gla	-	-	Palearctic	12, 14	
P. cf. omphalodes	-	for, oec	-	Palearctic	8	
P. primordialis (s.l.)	-	-	ruti; oec	Holarctic	18	
P. yoccozi	niv	-	-	Palearctic	19	

1, Wickström et al. 2005. 2, Haukisalmi et al. 2008. 3, L.M. Hardman et al., unpublished. 4, Murai et al. 1980. 5, Genov and Georgiev 1988. 6, Genov et al. 1996. 7, Tenora et al. 1985b. 8, present study. 9, Haukisalmi et al. 2007a. 10, Gulyaev and Chechulin 1996. 11, Tenora and Murai 1980. 12, Haukisalmi et al. 2004. 13, Haukisalmi et al. 2006. 14, Tenora et al. 1985a. 15, Haukisalmi et al. 2007b. 16, Chechulin and Gulyaev 1998. 17, Gubányi and Murai 2002. 18, V. Haukisalmi and R. L. Rausch, unpublished. 19, Haukisalmi and Henttonen 2005. 20, Gulyaev and Krivopalov 2003.

13

to this region. Anoplocephalid cestodes of Buryatian rodents consist predominantly of Palaearctic species; only two of them may be endemic to Buryatia or Central Eurasia. Endemism is equally low in North-East Siberia. It should be noted that one of the most specious anoplocephalid lineages of arvicoline rodents, *Microcephaloides* spp. (earlier known as *Anoplocephaloides variabilis*-like cestodes), appears to be absent in Buryatia, although it occurs widely in Europe and North America, and sporadically in North-East Siberia (Haukisalmi et al. 2008).

The high species diversity and endemism in Europe is partly due to the high taxonomic diversity of voles in that region; of the 14 vole and lemming species considered in the present comparison, seven occur exclusively in Europe or western Eurasia. If we restrict the comparison to the vole species with a wide Palaearctic or Holarctic distribution (Microtus oeconomus, Myodes rufocanus and M. rutilus), Europe (eight spp.) still shows somewhat higher species diversity than Buryatia (four species) and North-East Siberia (six spp.). The differences in species diversity may also reflect the more extensive research and use of molecular methods for the European fauna. However, high European species diversity has also been indicated for anoplocephalid cestodes of lagomorphs (Spasskii 1951), suggesting that this phenomenon may have a more general biogeographical and/or historical explanation. The existing phylogenetic data indicate a possible European origin for Microcephaloides Haukisalmi, Hardman, Hardman, Rausch & Henttonen, 2008 (see Haukisalmi et al. 2008) and Paranoplocephala s. str. (see Haukisalmi et al. 2004), suggesting that Europe may have acted as a centre of origin for several independent anoplocephalid lineages. However, the phylogenetic relationships among various anoplocephalid lineages are still unresolved, which impedes more comprehensive analyses on the historical biogeography of anoplocephalid cestodes of arvicoline rodents.

The faunistic connections of Buryatia seem to be slightly closer to North-East Siberia than to Europe; Buryatian anoplocephalids shared three and two species with these regions, respectively. Moreover, an unexpected connection is seen between Buryatia and eastern Beringia; namely, the sister clade/species of the Buryatian *P. cf. omphalodes* occurs in the northernmost Alaska, but probably not elsewhere in North America. Neither clade has been found in extensive studies in the North-East Siberia (BCP), suggesting that the present distribution represents a relict of an earlier continuous distribution. On the continental level, the Buryatian taiga corridor has probably not played a significant role as a migration pathway among anoplocephalid cestodes of rodents; only one species with a continent-wide distribution (*A. cf. dentata*) occurred in Buryatia. Moreover, *A. cf. dentata* also occurs also in North-Central Siberia (Tunguska river region; Haukisalmi et al. 2009) and may not necessarily have utilized the Buryatian corridor.

The present and earlier studies (Haukisalmi et al. 2008, Haukisalmi et al. 2004, Hu et al. 2005) show that molecular markers, such COI sequences, are necessary tools in the taxonomy of anoplocephalid cestodes, which lack a rostellum and hooks and which can be devoid of reliable distinguishing features. It can be estimated that 50-60% of species diversity of anoplocephalid cestodes of voles and lemmings in the

Holarctic region would have remained undetected if the taxonomy had been based on morphological features only (Table 2 and unpublished records of the BCP and present authors). No molecular systematic studies exist for catenotaeniids but because they also lack anchoring devices, additional, cryptic species diversity is expected to occur also within this family.

The present results demonstrate high variation among study sites in cestodes of Buryatian rodents, with the exception of the ubiquitous *A. horrida*-complex (Table 1). Haukisalmi and Henttonen (1999) showed that patchiness is a predictable feature of certain helminths of rodents and that such patches may be remarkably persistent. The BCP studies also reveal fine-scaled spatial variation in many anoplocephalid cestodes of voles and lemmings in Alaska and adjacent regions (Haukisalmi et al. 2009, Haukisalmi et al. 2004, Wickström et al. 2003). Patchiness has an obvious implication for assessment of the biodiversity cestodes: species and genetic diversity may be much underestimated in samples that are not spatially comprehensive. In addition, helminths may be very specialized in a certain cohort(s) and/or sex of the host, related to seasonally changing heterogeneity of rodent populations (Haukisalmi et al. 1995), which should also be considered in the estimation of parasite diversity.

#### Acknowledgements

The field collection of Buryatian material has been financed by a grant from University of Helsinki (Clean Baikal project, coordinated by OV). Our long-term studies on anoplocephalid tapeworms in the Holarctic have been financed by the Finnish Academy (Research Council for Biosciences and Environment, grants 40813 and 50474). Oskar Öflund foundation has supported VH. We thank the Buryatian Academy for Agricultural Sciences and local veterinary stations for all the help, transportation and working facilities in Buryatia. We are particularly indebted to Zoya Yampilova for her help as a translator and organizer in Buryatia. Eric P. Hoberg and J. Cook provided the *Paranoplocephala*-specimens collected in connection with the Beringian Coevolution Project, funded by the US National Park Service and National Science Foundation (DEB 0196095 and 0415668).

# References

- Chechulin AI (1998) Paraziticheckie chervi gryzunov smeshannyh lesov yuga Zapadnoi Sibiri. In: Bespozvonochnye zhivotnye Yuzhnogo Zaural'ya i sopredel'nyh territorii. Kurgan, 348-352.
- Chechulin AI, Gulyaev VD (1998) *Paranoplocephala longivaginata* sp. n. (Cyclophyllidea: Anoplocephalidae) – novaya cestoda ot gryzunov Vostochnoi Sibiri. Parazitologiya 32: 352-356.

- Cook JA, Hoberg EP, Koehler A, Henttonen H, Wickström L, Haukisalmi V, Galbreath K, Chernyavski F, Dokuchaev N, Lahzuhtkin A, MacDonald SO, Hope A, Waltari E, Runck A, Veitch A, Popko R, Jenkins E, Kutz S, Eckerlin R (2005) Beringia: Intercontinental exchange and diversification of high latitude mammals and their parasites during the Pliocene and Quaternary. Mammal Study 30: S33-S44.
- de Lattin G (1967) Grundiss der Zoogeographie. Gustav Fischer Verlag, Jena, 602 pp.
- Ganzorig S, Tenora F, Kamiya M (1999) New records of catenotaeniid cestodes from rodents in Mongolia, with notes on the taxonomy of the *Catenotaenia* Janicki, 1904 and *Hemicatenotaenia* Tenora, 1977 (Cestoda: Catenotaeniidae). Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis 47: 33-38.
- Genov T (1984) Helminti na nasekomoyadnite bozajnici i grizachite v Bulgariya (Helminths of insectivorous mammals and rodents in Bulgaria). Izdatelstvo na Bulgarskata Akademiya na Naukite, Sofia, 348 pp.
- Genov T, Georgiev BB (1988) Review of the species of the genus *Anoplocephaloides* Baer, 1923 emend Rausch, 1976 (Cestoda: Anoplocephalidae) parasitizing rodents in Bulgaria, with an analysis of the taxonomic structure of the genus. Parasitologica Hungarica 21: 31-52.
- Genov T, Vasileva GP, Georgiev BB (1996) *Paranoplocephala aquatica* n. sp. (Cestoda, Anoplocephalidae) from *Arvicola terrestris* and *Ondatra zibethica* (Rodentia), with redescriptions and comments on related species. Systematic Parasitology 34: 135-152.
- Gubányi A, Murai É (2002) New anoplocephalid parasites (Cestoda: Anoplocephalidae, *Paranoplocephala*) from the Fertö-Hanság National Park. In: Mahunka S (Ed) The fauna of the Fertö-Hanság National Park. Hungarian Natural History Museum, Budapest, 111-120.
- Gulyaev VD, Chechulin AI (1996) *Parandrya feodorovi* gen. n., sp. n. novaya cestoda (Cyclophyllidea: Anoplocephalidae) ot polevok Sibiri. Parazitologiya 30: 132-140.
- Gulyaev VD, Chechulin AI (1997) *Arostrilepis microtis* n. sp. (Cyclophyllidea: Hymenolepididae), a new cestode species from Siberian rodents. Research and Reviews in Parasitology 57: 103-107.
- Gulyaev VD, Krivopalov AV (2003) Novyj vid cestody Paranoplocephala gubanovi sp. n. (Cyclophyllidea: Anoplocephalidae) ot lesnogo lemminga Myopus schisticolor Vostochnoj Sibiri. Parazitologiya 37: 488-495.
- Haukisalmi V, Hardman LM, Hardman M, Laakkonen J, Niemimaa J, Henttonen H (2007a) Morphological and molecular characterisation of *Paranoplocephala buryatiensis* n. sp. and *P. longivaginata* Chechulin & Gulyaev, 1998 (Cestoda: Anoplocephalidae) in voles of the genus *Clethrionomys*. Systematic Parasitology 66: 55-71.
- Haukisalmi V, Hardman LM, Hardman M, Rausch RL, Henttonen H (2008) Molecular systematics of the Holarctic Anoplocephaloides variabilis (Douthitt, 1915) complex, with the proposal of Microcephaloides n. g. (Cestoda: Anoplocephalidae). Systematic Parasitology 70: 15-26.
- Haukisalmi V, Hardman LM, Henttonen H, Laakkonen J, Niemimaa J, Hardman M, Gubányi A (2009) Molecular systematics and morphometrics of *Anoplocephaloides dentata* (Galli-

Valerio) (Cestoda: Anoplocephalidae) and related species in voles and lemmings. Zoologica Scripta 38: 199-220.

- Haukisalmi V, Hardman LM, Niemimaa J, Henttonen H (2007b) Taxonomy and genetic divergence of *Paranoplocephala kalelai* (Tenora, Haukisalmi & Henttonen, 1985) (Cestoda: Anoplocephalidae) in the grey-sided vole *Myodes rufocanus* in northern Fennoscandia. Acta Parasitologica 52: 335-341.
- Haukisalmi V, Henttonen H (1999) Determinants of helminth aggregation in natural host populations: individual differences or spatial heterogeneity? Ecography 22: 629-636.
- Haukisalmi V, Henttonen H (2000) Description and morphometric variability of *Paranoplocephala serrata* n. sp. (Cestoda: Anoplocephalidae) in collared lemmings (*Dicrostonyx* spp., Arvicolinae) from Arctic Siberia and North America. Systematic Parasitology 45: 219-231.
- Haukisalmi V, Henttonen H (2003) What is *Paranoplocephala macrocephala* (Douthitt, 1915) (Cestoda: Anoplocephalidae)? Systematic Parasitology 54: 53-69.
- Haukisalmi V, Henttonen H (2005) Description of *Paranoplocephala yoccozi* n. sp. (Cestoda: Anoplocephalidae) from the snow vole *Chionomys nivalis* in France, with a review of anoplocephalid cestodes of snow voles in Europe. Parasite 12: 203-211.
- Haukisalmi V, Henttonen H, Batzli GO (1995) Helminth parasitism in the voles *Microtus oeconomus* and *M. miurus* on the North Slope of Alaska: host specificity and the effects of host sex, age and breeding status. Annales Zoologici Fennici 32: 193-201.
- Haukisalmi V, Henttonen H, Hardman LM (2006) Taxonomy and diversity of *Paranoplocephala* spp. (Cestoda: Anoplocephalidae) in voles and lemmings of Beringia, with a description of three new species. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 89: 277-299.
- Haukisalmi V, Henttonen H, Pietiäinen H (1994) Helminth parasitism does not increase the vulnerability of the field vole *Microtus agrestis* to predation by the Ural owl *Strix uralensis*. Annales Zoologici Fennici 31: 263-269.
- Haukisalmi V, Wickström LM (2005) Morphological characterisation of *Andrya* Railliet, 1893, *Neandrya* n. g. and *Paranoplocephala* Lühe, 1910 (Cestoda: Anoplocephalidae) in rodents and lagomorphs. Systematic Parasitology 62: 209-219.
- Haukisalmi V, Wickström LM, Henttonen H, Hantula J, Gubányi A (2004) Molecular and morphological evidence for multiple species within *Paranoplocephala omphalodes* (Cestoda, Anoplocephalidae) in *Microtus* voles (Arvicolinae). Zoologica Scripta 33: 277-290.
- Hoberg EP, Kutz SJ, Galbreath KE, Cook JA (2003) Arctic biodiversity: from discovery to faunal baselines – revealing the history of a dynamic ecosystem. Journal of Parasitology 89 (Suppl.): S84-S95.
- Hu M, Gasser RB, Chilton NB, Beveridge I (2005) Genetic variation in the mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit 1 within three species of *Progamotaenia* (Cestoda: Anoplocephalidae) from macropodid marsupials. Parasitology 130: 117-129.
- Kontrimavichus VL, Smirnova LV (1991) Hymenolepis beringiensis n. sp. ot Sibirskogo lemminga (Lemmus sibiricus Kerr) i problema vidov-dvoinikov v gel'mintologii. In: Krasnoshchekov GP, V.A. R, Sonin MD, Chesnova LV (Eds) Evoljutsija parazitov. Materialy pervogo Vsesojuznogo Simpoziuma. Akademiya Nauk SSSR, Tol'yatti, 90-104.

- Machul'skii SN (1958) Gel'mintofauna gryzunov Buryatskoj ASSR. In: Raboty po gel'mintologii (K 80-letiyu akad. Skryabina K. I.). 219-224.
- Mikkola K (1988) The subarctic and boreal components of the noctuid communities of the southern Siberian mountains, as indicators of postglacial movements of noctuid faunas (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae). In: Zlobin VV (Ed) The connections between entomofaunas of the North Europe and Siberia. Zoological Institute, Leningrad, 104-111.
- Murai É, Tenora F, Rocamora JM (1980) Paranoplocephala mascomai sp. n. (Cestoda: Anoplocephalidae) a parasite of Microtus cabrerae (Rodentia) in Spain. Parasitologica Hungarica 13: 35-37.
- Rausch RL (1976) The genera *Paranoplocephala* Lühe, 1910 and *Anoplocephaloides* Baer, 1923 (Cestoda: Anoplocephalidae), with particular reference to species in rodents. Annales de Parasitologie Humaine et Comparée 51: 513-562.
- Ryzhikov KM, Gvozdev EV, Tokobaev MM, Shaldybin LS, Macaberidze GV, Merkusheva IV, Nadtochii EV, Hohlova IG, Sharpilo LD (1978) Opredelitel' gelmintov gryzunov fauny SSSR. Cestody i trematody. Nauka, Moskva, 231 pp.
- Sato H, Kamiya H, Tenora F, Kamiya M (1993) Anoplocephaloides dentatoides sp. n. from the gray-backed vole, Clethrionomys rufocanus bedfordiae, in Hokkaido, Japan. Journal of the Helminthological Society of Washington 60: 105-110.
- Spasskii AA (1951) Anoplocephalate tapeworms of domestic and wild animals (English translation). The Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow, 783 pp.
- Swofford DL (2002) PAUP\*. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (\*and Other Methods), version 4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- Tenora F (1977) Reorganization of the system of cestodes of the genus *Catenotaenia* Janicki, 1904. Evolutionary implications. Acta Universitatis Agriculturae, Brno 25: 163-170.
- Tenora F, Haukisalmi V, Henttonen H (1985a) Andrya kalelai sp. n. and (?) Anoplocephaloides sp., Cestoda, Anoplocephalidae, parasites of Clethrionomys-rodents in Finland. Annales Zoologici Fennici 22: 411-416.
- Tenora F, Murai É (1975) Cestodes recovered from rodents (Rodentia) in Mongolia. Annales Historico-Naturales Musei Nationalis Hungarici 67: 65-70.
- Tenora F, Murai É (1980) The genera *Anoplocephaloides* and *Paranoplocephala* (Cestoda) parasites of Rodentia in Europe. Acta Zoologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae 26: 263-284.
- Tenora F, Murai É, Vaucher C (1985b) On some *Paranoplocephala* species (Cestoda: Anoplocephalidae) parasitizing rodents (Rodentia) in Europe. Parasitologica Hungarica 18: 29-48.
- Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG (1997) The ClustalX windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleid Acid Research 24: 4876-4882.
- Wickström LM, Haukisalmi V, Varis S, Hantula J, Fedorov VB, Henttonen H (2003) Phylogeography of the circumpolar *Paranoplocephala arctica* species complex (Cestoda: Anoplocephalidae) parasitizing collared lemmings (*Dicrostonyx* spp.). Molecular Ecology 12: 3359-3371.

- Wickström LM, Haukisalmi V, Varis S, Hantula J, Henttonen H (2005) Molecular phylogeny and systematics of anoplocephaline cestodes in rodents and lagomorphs. Systematic Parasitology 62: 83-99.
- Zhaltsanova D-SD (1992) Gel'minty mlekopitayuschih basseina Ozera Baikal. Nauka, Moskva, 204 pp.