

Research Article

Caribbean Amphipoda (Crustacea) of Panama. Part II: parvorder Hadziidira

Kristine N. White¹⁰, Sally J. Sir¹⁰

1 Georgia College & State University, Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Aquatic Sciences Center, Milledgeville, GA 31061, USA Corresponding author: Kristine N. White (kristine.white@gcsu.edu)

Abstract

Amphipods in the parvorder Hadziidira are typically associated with algae, sponges, or coral rubble. Members of the parvorder have a gnathopod 2 that is stouter than gnathopod 1, a pair of dorsal robust setae on urosomite 2, and a basofacial robust seta on the uropod 1 peduncle. Within the parvorder, six families are documented from Bocas del Toro, Panama, represented by 26 species. This research documents range extensions for all 26 species and an identification key to the species of Caribbean Hadziidira of Panama is provided.

Resumen

Los anfípodos del parvorden Hadziidira suelen estar asociados con algas, esponjas o escombros de coral. Los miembros del parvorden tienen un gnatópodo 2 que es más robusto que el gnatópodo 1, un par de setas dorsales robustas en el urosomita 2 y una seta basofacial robusta en el pedúnculo del urópodo 1. Dentro del parvorden se documentan seis familias de Bocas del Toro, Panamá, representadas por 26 especies. Esta investigación documenta extensiones de rango para las 26 especies y se proporciona una clave de identificación para las especies de Hadziidira caribeña de Panamá.

Key words: Bocas del Toro, Hadziidae, Hornellidae, identification key, Maeridae, Megaluropidae, Melitidae, Pontogeneiidae

Introduction

Parvorder Hadziidira S. Karaman, 1943 is comprised of 1159 species around the world (Lowry and Myers 2013). Members of the parvorder have a gnathopod 2 that is stouter than gnathopod 1, a pair of dorsal robust setae on urosomite 2, and a basofacial robust seta on the uropod 1 peduncle (Lowry and Myers 2013). The parvorder contains 14 families of amphipods: Crangoweckeliidae Lowry & Myers, 2012 (three spp.), Eriopisidae Lowry & Myers, 2013 (87 spp.), Gammaroporeiidae Bousfield, 1979 (one sp.), Hadziidae S. Karaman, 1943 (93 spp.), Maeridae Krapp-Schickel, 2008 (421 spp.), Melitidae Bousfield, 1973 (184 spp.), Metacrangonyctidae Boutin & Messouli, 1988 (20 spp.), Nuuanuidae Lowry & Myers, 2013 (25 spp.), Calliopiidae Sars, 1893 (105 spp.), Cheirocratidae d'Udekem d'Acoz, 2010 (19 spp.), Hornelliidae d'Udekem d'Acoz, 2010 (13 spp.),



This article is part of: *Caribbean Amphipoda of Panama Edited by Kristine N. White*

Academic editor: Rachael Peart Received: 1 December 2023 Accepted: 5 February 2024 Published: 18 March 2024

ZooBank: https://zoobank. org/4868E773-FA18-4196-B207-5A691987CC8C

Citation: White KN, Sir SJ (2024) Caribbean Amphipoda (Crustacea) of Panama. Part II: parvorder Hadziidira. ZooKeys 1195: 249–296. https://doi. org/10.3897/zookeys.1195.116721

Copyright: © Kristine N. White & Sally J. Sir. This is an open access article distributed under terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (Attribution 4.0 International – CC BY 4.0). Megaluropidae Thomas & Barnard, 1986b (16 spp.), Pontogeneiidae Stebbing, 1906 (171 spp.), and Magnovidae Alves, Lowry & Johnson, 2020 (one sp.). Just more than 200 species in the parvorder have been reported from the Caribbean Sea, representing ten families, but none of the species have been previously reported from Caribbean Panama (LeCroy et al. 2009; Martín et al. 2013).

Within the parvorder Hadziidira, 26 species of amphipods were collected from Bocas del Toro, Panama, with representatives from families Hadziidae, Hornellidae, Maeridae, Megaluropidae, Melitidae, and Pontogeneiidae. All species are diagnosed herein. An identification key is provided to distinguish between the Hadziidira species known from the Caribbean waters of Panama.

Materials and methods

Various substrates were collected by hand and placed into buckets or plastic bags from various sites around Bocas del Toro, Panama at depths of 0.2–12 m. Coral rubble was elutriated with freshwater to remove amphipods and other samples were sorted through by hand. Live amphipods were sorted to morphospecies, placed in clove oil for imaging, and preserved in 99.5% EtOH for later examination. Preserved specimens were transferred to glycerol, measured from the tip of the rostrum to the base of the telson, and dissected under a stereomicroscope. Specimens were illustrated using a Meiji MT5900L phase contrast microscope with an Olympus U-DA drawing tube attached or an Olympus BH2 differential interference contrast microscope with an Olympus BH2-DA drawing tube attached. Illustrations were digitally inked following Coleman (2003) in Adobe Illustrator 2020 using a Wacom[®] Intuos Pro Pen Tablet. Specimens are deposited in the Smithsonian Institution, U.S. National Museum of Natural History (**USNM**) and the Gulf Coast Research Laboratory Museum (**GCRL**).

Results

Parvorder Hadziidira S. Karaman, 1943 Superfamily Hadzoidea S. Karaman, 1943 Family Hadziidae S. Karaman, 1943

Genus Dulzura J.L. Barnard, 1969

Diagnosis. Antenna 1 accessory flagellum 2-articulate; lower lip lacking inner lobes; gnathopod 1 smaller than gnathopod 2; coxa 4 not excavate posteriorly; pleonites 1–3 smooth; uropod 3 inner ramus minute, outer ramus greatly elongated, 2-articulate, article 2 short; telson deeply cleft.

Dulzura schoenerae (Fox, 1973)

Figs 1, 27A

Eriopisa schoenerae Fox, 1973: 153–159, figs 5–8. *Protohadzia schoenerae*: Zimmerman and Barnard 1977: 571–579, figs 1–5; Thomas 1993: 45, figs 48, 58; LeCroy 2000: 69, fig. 101.



Figure 1. *Dulzura schoenerae*, female, 2.8 mm, epimeron 3, coxa 6, telson, uropod 3, gnathopod 2 medial. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

Material examined. PANAMA • 2.8–5 mm • 1 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Hospital Bight; 9.304483°N, 82.131617°W; depth 1.5 m, surface of dead coral; 7 Aug 2005; S.E. LeCroy leg.; GCRL 6627 • 2 \Diamond , 1 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Isla Solarte; 9.244333°N, 82.250733°W; depth 0.5 m, *Halimeda* and *Thalassia*; 9 Aug 2005; S.E. LeCroy leg.; GCRL 6628 • 5 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Isla Solarte; 9.290110°N, 82.189732°W; depth 1–5 m, among coral rubble; 8 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703494.

Diagnosis. Male gnathopod 2 propodus palm with acute apical protrusion, much larger than female gnathopod 2; epimeron 3 with simple small posteroventral tooth; telson apically acute with apical spines.

Distribution. USA: Florida from Biscayne Bay to the Dry Tortugas (Thomas 1993); Bahama Islands: Bimini (Fox 1973); Puerto Rico: La Parguera (Zimmerman and Barnard 1977); Cuba: Caleta de San Lázaro (Ortiz et al. 2001); Mexico: Puerto Morelos National Park (Winfield et al. 2023); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with coral rubble, algae, and seagrass near coral reefs at depths of 1–5 m. Panamanian specimens agree closely with previous descriptions of the species and are easily distinguishable based on the uropod 3, gnathopod 2, and epimeron 3, even in smaller specimens.

Family Maeridae Krapp-Schickel, 2008

Genus Ceradocus Costa, 1853

Diagnosis. Eyes oval. Maxilla 2 inner plate with dense facial setae. Coxa 1 produced anteroventrally. Pereopod 7 basis weakly expanded, posterior margin serrate. Urosomite segments usually serrate. Uropod 3 rami foliaceous, apically truncate, extending beyond tips of uropods 1 and 2. Telson deeply cleft.

Ceradocus sheardi Shoemaker, 1948

Figs 2, 27B

Ceradocus sheardi Shoemaker, 1948: 7–9, fig. 2; Thomas 1993: 43, figs 51, 56; LeCroy 2000: 73, fig. 124.

Material examined. PANAMA • 5–10 mm • 1 ♀; Bocas del Toro, Swan Cay; 9.4536°N, 82.300033°W; depth 2 m, coral rubble; 24 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703495 • 1 ♂; Bocas del Toro, Cayo Zapatilla 1; 9.269967°N, 82.0587°W; depth 10–11 m, coral rubble; 28 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703496.

Diagnosis. Antenna 1 accessory flagellum 7- or 8-articulate. Gnathopod 2 not sexually dimorphic, right and left sides similar; propodus enlarged, palm



Figure 2. *Ceradocus sheardi*, male, 5.2 mm, gnathopod 2 medial, gnathopod 2 palm with setae removed, telson, epimeron and urosome uropod 3, maxilla 2, mandibular palp. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

convex, defined by notch. Pereopod 7 basis without posterodistal lobe. Pleosome and urosome dorsally serrate. Epimera 1–3 posterior margins serrate. Telson approximately as long as wide with strong apical spines.

Distribution. USA: South Florida from Biscayne Bay to the Dry Tortugas (Thomas 1993); Puerto Rico (LeCroy 2000); Cuba (Shoemaker 1948; Varela et al. 2003); Mexico: Yucatan (Shoemaker 1948; Thomas 1993); Belize (Thomas 1993); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are common among coral rubble and under rocks at depths of 1–52 m. Panamanian specimens agree closely with previous descriptions of the species and are easily distinguishable based on the uropod 3, gnathopod 2, and heavily serrate epimeron and urosome.

Ceradocus shoemakeri Fox, 1973 Figs 3, 27C

Ceradocus shoemakeri Fox, 1973: 147–152, figs 1–4; LeCroy 2000: 73, fig. 121.

Material examined. Рамама • 2.2–7 mm • 1 ♀; Bocas del Toro, Hospital Point; 9.3336°N, 82.218833°W; depth 15- m, coral rubble and *Halimeda*; 6 Aug 2005;



Figure 3. *Ceradocus shoemakeri*, male, 2.2 mm, gnathopod 2 weak side lateral, weak gnathopod 2 propodus palm enlarged with setae removed, gnathopod 2 strong side lateral, strong gnathopod 2 propodus palm enlarged with setae removed, epimeron and urosome. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

S. DeGrave leg.; GCRL 6629 • 1 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, San Cristobal; 9.284977°N, 82.294533°W; depth 1–3 m, *Halimeda*; 21 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703497 • 1 \bigcirc , 2 \bigcirc ; Cayo Zapatilla 1; 9.269967°N, 82.0587°W; depth 10–11 m, coral rubble; 28 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703498.

Diagnosis. Antenna 1 accessory flagellum 4- or 5-articulate. Gnathopod 2 sexually dimorphic, right and left sides dissimilar; enlarged side palm oblique with two subquadrate and one triangular projection. Pereopod 7 basis with small posterodistal lobe. Pleosome and urosome dorsally smooth. Epimera 1–3 posterior margins serrate. Telson approximately as long as wide with subapical setae.

Distribution. U.S.A.: South Florida from Biscayne Bay to the Dry Tortugas; Apalachee Bay, Perdido Key, Florida (LeCroy 2000); Bahama Islands: Bimini (Fox 1973); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with coral rubble, algae, and sponges at depths of 0.5–15 m. Panamanian specimens have a dorsally smooth pleosome and urosome; Fox (1973) mentioned that occasionally there is a mid-dorsal posterior tooth on pleonites 4 and/or 5.

Genus Elasmopus Costa, 1853

Diagnosis. Antenna 1 elongate, at least 1/3 of body length; accessory flagellum 2- or 3- articulate. Mandibular palp article 3 falcate, anterior margin with pectinate setae. Uropod 1 peduncle with basofacial spine; uropod 3 rami subequal in length.

Elasmopus balkomanus Thomas & Barnard, 1988 Figs 4, 27D

Elasmopus balkomanus Thomas & Barnard, 1988: 838–842, figs 1–3; LeCroy 2000: 86, fig. 133.

Material examined. PANAMA • 1.6–8 mm • 1 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Crawl Cay; 9.2475°N, 82.1290°W; depth 5 m, among coral rubble; 12 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703499 • 1 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Crawl Cay; 9.245967°N, 82.136867°W; depth 1–4 m, among sand; 25 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703500.

Diagnosis. Gnathopod 1 male propodus subovate, palm oblique, female propodus slender, ventral margin straight. Gnathopod 2 male propodus palm densely setose with crenulate longitudinal ridge on medial surface, female propodus with 2 spines at palmar angle. Pereopod 5 basis posterior margin concave. Pereopod 7 basis posterior margin without long setae, articles 4 and 5 of male unexpanded, slender. Epimeron 3 posteroventral margin with small tooth. Telson inner lobes shorter than outer lobes, apically acute.

Distribution. U.S.A.: South Florida, Looe Key (Thomas and Barnard 1988; Thomas 1993), Biscayne Bay (LeCroy 2000); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with algal turf and coral rubble at depths of 1–5 m. Panamanian specimens have a less setose gnathopod 2 propodus and less concave pereopod 5 basis than described by Thomas and Barnard (1988). The gnathopod 2 propodus is also less inflated than



Figure 4. *Elasmopus balkomanus*, male 1.6 mm, pereopod 5, telson, gnathopod 2 medial, epimeron 3 posterovental corner; female, 3.7 mm, gnathopod 1 lateral. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

previously described. This suggests variation in these characters with size as the Panamanian specimens were smaller than those described by Thomas and Barnard (1988). Other characters agree with previous descriptions of this species.

Elasmopus elieri Ortiz, Lalana & Varela, 2004 Figs 5, 27E

Elasmopus elieri Ortiz, Lalana & Varela, 2004: 36–39, figs 1, 2.

Material examined. PANAMA • $3.5-6 \text{ mm} \cdot 9 \text{ }$, 9; Bocas del Toro, Lime Point; 9.4149°N, 82.33225°W; depth 0.2–0.5 m, among red algae and coral rubble; 5 Aug 2005; S. DeGrave leg.; GCRL 6630.

Diagnosis. Gnathopod 1 propodus subrectangular, palm oblique. Gnathopod 2 male propodus elongate, palm concave, with large distal triangular tooth, medial surface with two subtriangular processes; female propodus elongate, narrow, palm oblique with two spines at palmar angle. Pereopod 5 basis posterior margin evenly convex. Pereopod 7 basis posterior margin without long setae. Epimeron 3 posteroventral margin with small tooth. Telson inner lobes subequal in length with outer lobes, apically rounded.



Figure 5. *Elasmopus elieri*, male, 4.2 mm, epimeron 3, telson, gnathopods 1 and 2 medial, manidibular palp, uropod 3. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

Distribution. Cuba: Cayo Diego Pérez (Ortiz et al. 2004); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with algae, and coral rubble at depths of 0-3 m. Panamanian specimens closely resemble specimens described by Ortiz et al. (2004) and can be readily distinguished from other species based on the unique shape of the gnathopod 2 propodus in males.

Elasmopus levis (Smith, 1873)

Figs 6, 27F

Maera levis Smith, 1873: 559. Elasmopus laevis: LeCroy 2000: 87, fig. 137.

Material examined. PANAMA • 5–10 mm • 1 3; Bocas del Toro, Playa Bluff; 9.3905°N, 82.23725°W; depth 0 m, among algae; 5 Aug 2005; T.A. Haney leg.; GCRL 6631 • 1 2; Bocas del Toro, Hospital Point; 9.3336°N, 82.218883°W; depth 15 m, among coral rubble; 6 Aug 2005; S. DeGrave leg.; GCRL 6632 • 2 2, 2 3, 3 juvenile; Pigeon Key Reef; depth 0.5–1 m, among *Halimeda*; 9 Aug 2005; T.A. Haney leg.; GCRL 6633 • 1 3; Bocas del Toro, STRI Point; 9.34872°N,



Figure 6. Elasmopus levis, male, 4.2 mm, gnathopod 1 lateral, gnathopod 2 medial, epimeron 3, telson. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

82.26258°W; depth 12 m, among coral rubble; 6 Aug 2021, K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703501 • 1 \bigcirc , 1 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Drago; 9.418056°N, 82.3375°W; depth 2–3 m, among coral rubble, 9 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703502 • 2 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Cayo Zapatilla 1; 9.269967°N, 82.0587°W; depth 10–11 m, among coral rubble; 28 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703503.

Diagnosis. Gnathopod 1 propodus subrectangular, palm transverse. Gnathopod 2 male propodus with deep medial depression, lined with groups of long setae along ventral margin, with one small medial tooth, female propodus with two spines at palmar angle slightly longer than spines on palmar margin. Pereopod 5 basis posterior margin evenly convex. Pereopod 7 basis posterior margin without long setae, articles 4 and 5 of male expanded, articles 5 and 6 with long posterior setae. Epimeron 3 posteroventral margin with small tooth, sometimes with serrated edge. Telson inner lobes longer than outer lobes, apically subacute.

Distribution. U.S.A.: Cape Cod, Massachusetts (Bousfield 1973) to South Florida (LeCroy 2000); Mexico: Yucatan (McKinney 1977); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with seagrass, algae, and coral rubble at depths of 0-11 m. Panamanian specimens show variation in the level of acuteness of the telson apices and the posterior margin of epimeron 3. Gulf of Mexico and western Atlantic specimens are described as

having acute telson lobes (subacute in Panamanian specimens) and all Panamanian specimens show the posteroventral tooth on epimeron 3, whereas this species is sometimes described as having an entire epimeron 3.

Elasmopus longipropodus Senna & Souza-Filho, 2011 Figs 7, 27G

Elasmopus rapax (non Elasmopus rapax Costa, 1851): Soares et al. 1987/89: 244, pl. 3, figs 1–12; Wakabara et al. 1991: 73.
Elasmopus aff. rapax: Souza-Filho and Senna 2009: 67.
Elasmopus longipropodus Senna & Souza-Filho, 2011: 59–66, figs 1–6.

Material examined. PANAMA • 2.5–7 mm • 3 \bigcirc , 3 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Swan Cay; 9.453333°N, 82.298333°W; depth 2–3 m, among algae; 4 Aug 2005; S. DeGrave leg.; GCRL 6634 • 2 \bigcirc , 5 \bigcirc , 2 juvenile; Bocas del Toro, Hospital Point; 9.3336°N, 82.218883°W; depth 15 m, among coral rubble; 6 Aug 2005; S. DeGrave leg.; GCRL 6635 • 2 \bigcirc , 7 \bigcirc , 2 juvenile; Bocas del Toro, Isla Solarte channel; 9.294574°N, 82.173114°W; depth 2 m, among *Halimeda*, 8 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703504 • 1 \bigcirc , 3 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, San Cristobal; 9.2625°N,



Figure 7. *Elasmopus longipropodus*, female, 2.5 mm, epimeron 3, pereopod 5, gnathopod 1 lateral; male, 4.5 mm, telson, gnathopod 2 lateral, uropod 3. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

82.235°W; depth 15 m, among coral rubble, 10 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703505 • 1 3, 2 9, 1 juvenile; Bocas del Toro, Crawl Cay; 9.2376°N, 82.1438°W; depth 1.5–3 m, among *Halimeda*, 11 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM1703506 • 1 3, 2 9; Bocas del Toro, Hospital Point; 9.331967°N, 82.214817°W; depth 1–3 m, among *Halimeda*, 22 Jun 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM1703507 • 4 3, 1 9; Bocas del Toro, Swan Cay; 9.4536°N, 82.300033°W; depth 1–4 m, among red algae, 24 Jun 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703508 • 1 3, 1 juvenile, Bocas del Toro, Crawl Cay; 9.245967°N, 82.136867°W; depth 1–4 m, among green algae; 25 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703509 • 2 3; Bocas del Toro, Crawl Cay; 9.24756°N, 82.12901°W; depth 5–8 m, among coral rubble, 26 Jun 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703510 • 1 3, 8 9, 1 juvenile; Bocas del Toro, Hospital Point; 9.33383°N, 82.218467°W; depth 11 m, among coral rubble, 26 Jun 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703511.

Diagnosis. Gnathopod 1 propodus subovate, palm oblique. Gnathopod 2 propodus elongate, male palm shorter than posterior margin with two large, rounded processes and one large subacute process at palmar angle. Pereopod 7 basis posterior margin with long setae, articles 4 and 5 of male unexpanded. Epimeron 3 posterior margin serrate. Uropod 3 rami subequal or slightly unequal in length. Telson inner lobes longer than outer lobes, apically rounded.

Distribution. Brazil: from Rio Grande do Norte State to Rio de Janeiro State (Senna and Souza-Filho, 2011); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with algae, sponges, and coral rubble at depths of 1.5–15 m. Panamanian specimens agree closely with the description provided by Senna and Souza-Filho (2011) and can be readily distinguished from other species by the shape of the gnathopod 2 propodus.

Elasmopus pocillimanus (Bate, 1862) Figs 8, 28A

Maera pocillimanus Bate, 1862: 191, pl. 34, fig. 7.

Elasmopus pocillimanus: Della Valle 1893: 733, pl. 1, fig. 4, pl. 22, figs 23–25; LeCroy 2000: 89, fig. 138.

Material examined. PANAMA • 4–8 mm • 1 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Isla Solarte; 9.2475°N, 82.1290°W; depth 1–4 m, among coral rubble; 8 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703512 • 1 \triangleleft ; Bocas del Toro, Drago; 9.418056°N, 82.3375°W; depth 2–4 m, among coral rubble; 9 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703513 • 1 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Hospital Point; 9.331967°N, 82.214817°W; depth 1–3 m, among coral rubble, 22 Jun 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703514 • 1 \triangleleft ; Bocas del Toro, Swan Cay; 9.4536°N, 82.300033°W; depth 1–4 m, among coral rubble, 24 Jun 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703515.

Diagnosis. Gnathopod 1 propodus subrectangular, palm transverse. Gnathopod 2 male propodus with deep medial depression, lined with groups of long setae along ventral margin, with one small medial tooth, female propodus with two spines at palmar angle slightly longer than spines on palmar margin. Pereopod 5, basis posterior margin evenly convex. Pereopod 7 basis posteroventral margin with long setae, articles 4 and 5 of male expanded, articles 5 and



Figure 8. *Elasmopus pocillimanus*, female, 5.0 mm, telson; male, 6.1 mm, pereopod 7, gnathopod 1 lateral, setae removed from propodus, gnathopod 1 propodus medial enlarged, epimeron 3 (two variations), gnathopod 2 medial. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

6 with long posterior setae. Epimeron 3 posteroventral margin entire, sometimes with small tooth or weakly crenulate. Telson inner lobes longer than outer lobes, apically rounded.

Distribution. Cosmopolitan distribution in warm temperate and tropical waters (McKinney 1977; Karaman 1982; Thomas 1993) most likely refers to multiple species. U.S.A.: New England through Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean (LeCroy 2000); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with algae and coral rubble at depths of 0-30 m. Panamanian specimens show variation in the amount of crenulation of epimeron 3 posterior margin, but consistently have rounded apices on the telson. Other characters align well with previous descriptions of *E. pocillimanus*. See LeCroy (2000) for a discussion of the status of the species.

Elasmopus thomasi Ortiz & Lalana, 1994

Figs 9, 28B

Elasmopus thomasi Ortiz & Lalana, 1994: 297-301, figs 4-6.

Material examined. PANAMA • 2−5 mm • 2 ♀, 4 juvenile.; Bocas del Toro, Swan Cay; 9.453333°N, 82.298333°W; depth 3 m, among algae; 4 Aug 2005;

T.A. Haney leg.; GCRL 6636 • 1 \Diamond ; Bocas del Toro tah2005.001; Aug 2005; T.A. Haney leg.; GCRL 6638 • 2 \Diamond , 3 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Mangrove Inn; depth 1–1.5 m, among *Halimeda*; 3 Aug 2005; M. Faust leg.; GCRL 6637 • 1 \Diamond , 1 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, San Cristobal; 9.284977°N, 82.294533°W; depth 1–3 m, among sponges; 21 Jun 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703516 • 4 \bigcirc , 1 \Diamond ; Bocas del Toro, Hospital Point; 9.331967°N, 82.214817°W; depth 1–3 m, among sand and coral rubble; 22 Jun 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703517.

Diagnosis. Gnathopod 1 propodus subovate, palm oblique. Gnathopod 2 propodus subovate, male palm with three processes and two notches; dactylus resting in notch at palmar angle. Pereopod 5 basis posterior margin evenly convex. Pereopod 7 basis posterior margin without long setae, articles 4 and 5 of male unexpanded. Epimeron 3 posterior margin serrate. Uropod 3 inner ramus shorter than outer ramus. Telson inner lobes longer than outer lobes, apically rounded.

Distribution. Cuba: North coast (Ortiz and Lalana 1994); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with algae and coral rubble at depths of 0-3 m. Panamanian specimens closely resemble specimens described from Cuba and can be readily distinguished by the shape of gnathopod 2 propodus and the rounded apices of the telson.



Figure 9. *Elasmopus thomasi*, male, 3.0 mm, pereopod 5, uropod 3, telson, gnathopod 2 medial, epimeron 3. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

Genus Meximaera J.L. Barnard, 1969

Diagnosis. Antenna 1 accessory flagellum 4-articulate. Mandibular palp article 2 longer than articles 1 or 3, article 3 slender, linear. Lower lip inner lobes present. Maxilla 1 and 2 inner plates lacking or with scarce medial setae. Gnathopods 1 and 2 small, subchelate. Epimeral plates smooth. Uropod 3 rami subequal in length, outer ramus minutely bi-articulate. Telson cleft, lobes apically excavated.

Meximaera diffidentia J.L. Barnard, 1969

Figs 10, 28C

Meximaera diffidentia Barnard, 1969b: 209–210, figs 21–22; Krapp-Schickel and Vader 2009: 2082–2085, fig. 10.

Maera caroliniana: Bynum and Fox 1977: 11–14, figs 6, 7; LeCroy 2000: 99, fig. 143.

Material examined. PANAMA • 4–6 mm • 1 ♂; Bocas del Toro, STRI Point; among coral rubble; 7 Aug 2005; S. DeGrave leg.; GCRL 6639 • 1 ♀; Bocas del Toro, Pandora; 9.327769°N, 82.222207°W; depth 10 m, among coral rubble, 10 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703518.



Figure 10. *Meximaera diffidentia*, male, 2.5 mm, telson; female, 3.9 mm, pereopod 7, uropods 2 and 3, mandibular palp, gnathopod 1 medial, gnathopod 2 propodus with setae removed. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

Diagnosis. Eyes oval. Gnathopod 1 carpus subequal to propodus. Gnathopod 2 propodus palm oblique without U-shaped excavation. Pereopods with simple dactyls; pereopod 7 basis slimmer than long, without posterodistal lobe. Uropod 3 rami three times length of peduncle, distally truncated, outer ramus with minute second article. Telson cleft, with one long and one short apical spine.

Distribution. U.S.A.: North Carolina (Bynum and Fox 1977), Apalachee Bay to South Florida (LeCroy 2000), West Florida (Krapp-Schickel and Vader 2009); Pacific California (Barnard 1969b); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with sand and coral rubble at depths of 0-12 m. Panamanian specimens agree closely with the description provided by Barnard (1969b), particularly in the ornamentation and spination of the male gnathopod 2 propodus. The spines on the telson are spaced slightly differently in Panamanian specimens, but still show the same pattern.

Genus Quadrimaera Krapp-Schickel & Ruffo, 2000

Diagnosis. Mandibular palp article 1 not lengthened or tooth-like; article 3 narrow. Gnathopod 1 carpus with dorso-distal excavation. Gnathopod 2 propodus palmar corner at a right angle; dactylus outer margin bare or with one seta. Pereopod dactyli bifid; pereopod 7 basis with posterodistal lobe.

Quadrimaera ceres (Ruffo, Krapp & Gable, 2000) Figs 11, 28D

Maera ceres Ruffo, Krapp & Gable, 2000: 11-13, figs 4-6.

Material examined. PANAMA \cdot 2–3 mm \cdot 3 \Diamond , 5 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Drago; 9.418056 N, 82.3375°W; depth 3 m, among coral rubble; 9 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703519.

Diagnosis. Antenna 1 accessory flagellum 7-articulate. Gnathopod 1 coxa anteroventrally rounded; carpus with dorsal depression and two short and four long facial setal rows. Gnathopod 2 propodus palmar margin with shallow U-shaped excavation between two subquadrate projections, palm defined by large projection; dactylus medially expanded, smooth. Telson inner corner acutely produced, each lobe with one medium and three long apical spines.

Distribution. Bermuda: St. George's Parish (Ruffo et al. 2000); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with algae and coral rubble at depths of 0-3 m. Panamanian specimens closely resemble previously described specimens and can readily identified based on the gnathopod 2 propodus palm ornamentation.

Quadrimaera cristianae Krapp-Schickel & Ruffo, 2000 Figs 12, 28E

Quadrimaera cristianae Krapp-Schickel & Ruffo, 2000: 199-203, figs 3, 4.



Figure 11. *Quadrimaera ceres*, male, 3.4 mm, pereopod 7, gnathopod 1 propodus and carpus medial, gnathopod 2 medial, telson, coxa 1. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.



Figure 12. *Quadrimaera cristianae*, male, 4.9 mm, gnathopod 1 propodus, carpus, dactylus medial, telson, gnathopod 2 lateral, coxa 1. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

Material examined. PANAMA • 2–3.5 mm • 1 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Playa Bluff; 9.3905°N, 82.23725°W; depth 0 m, among algae; 5 Aug 2005; T.A. Haney leg.; GCRL 6640 • 1 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Hospital Point; 9.3336°N, 82.218883°W; depth 15 m, among coral rubble; 6 Aug 2005; S. DeGrave leg.; GCRL 6641 • 3 \bigcirc , 5 \bigcirc , 1 juvenile; Bocas del Toro, Hospital Point; depth 2–3 m, in sponge *Aplysinia cauliformis*; 15 June 2009; K.N. White leg.; GCRL 6642 • 1 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Hospital Point; 9.331967°N, 82.214817°W; depth 1–3 m, among *Halimeda*; 22 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703520 • 2 \bigcirc , 2 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Crawl Cay; 9.245967°N, 82.136867°W; depth 1–4 m, among *Halimeda* and coral rubble; 25 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703521.

Diagnosis. Antenna 1 accessory flagellum 6-articulate. Gnathopod 1 coxa anteroventrally rounded; carpus with slight dorsal depression and two short and four long facial setal rows. Gnathopod 2 propodus palmar margin with three U-shaped excavations increasing in size distally, two subtriangular projections, and one subrectangular projection, palm defined by large projection; dactylus medially expanded. Telson lobes inner corner acutely produced, each with four apical spines and one medio-distal plumose seta.

Distribution. Turks and Caicos, Fort George Cay; Netherlands Antilles: Curaçao; Lesser Antilles: Bonaire and St. Martin; Venezuela: Margarita Island; Cayman Islands: Grand Cayman Island; Mexico: Yucatán; Brazil: Ceará State; Pernambuco State, Bahia State; Rio Grande do Norte State (Krapp-Schickel and Ruffo 2000); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with algae and coral rubble at depths of 0–15 m. Panamanian specimens closely resemble previously described specimens, with the main difference being fewer plumose setae on the telson. This species can be readily identified by the gnathopod 2 propodus palm ornamentation.

Quadrimaera miranda (Ruffo, Krapp-Schickel & Gable, 2000) Figs 13, 28F

Maera miranda Ruffo, Krapp & Gable, 2000: 15–19, figs 7, 8; LeCroy 2000: 101, fig. 148.

Quadrimaera miranda: Krapp-Schickel and Ruffo 2000: 195–196. *Maera quadrimana* (non Dana 1853): Ledoyer 1986) 190–191, fig. 11.

Material examined. PANAMA • 4–7 mm • 1 \Diamond , 3 \heartsuit ; Bocas del Toro, Swan Cay; 9.453333°N, 82.298333°W; depth 2–3 m; among coral rubble; 4 Aug 2005; S. DeGrave leg.; GCRL 6643 • 1 \Diamond , 1 \heartsuit ; Bocas del Toro, Hospital Bight; 9.304483°N, 82.172317°W; depth 0.5 m, among coral rubble; 7 Aug 2005; T.A. Haney leg.; GCRL 6644 • 2 \heartsuit ; Bocas del Toro, 100 m west of STRI dock; depth 14 m, light trap; 8 Aug 2005; T.A. Haney leg.; GCRL 6645 • 1 \heartsuit ; Bocas del Toro, STRI mangroves; 9.353333°N, 82.2578°W; depth 1 m, among *Ecteinascidia turbinata* ascidians, 11 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703522 •1 \Diamond ; Bocas del Toro, Crawl Cay; 9.245967°N, 82.136867°W; depth 1–4 m, among *Halimeda*, 25 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703523 • 3 \heartsuit ; Bocas del Toro, Hospital Point; 9.333383°N, 82.218467°W; depth 0 m, buoy scraping, 26 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703524 • 1 \Diamond , 3 \heartsuit ; Bocas del Toro, STRI dock; 9.351183°N,



Figure 13. *Quadrimaera miranda*, male, 3.3 mm, pereopod 7, gnathopod 1 propodus, carpus, dactylus medial, telson; male, 2.8 mm, gnathopod 2 lateral, coxa 1. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

82.257033°W; depth 0-1 m, dock scraping, 27 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703525.

Diagnosis. Antenna 1 accessory flagellum 6-articulate. Gnathopod 1 coxa anteroventrally rounded; carpus with minute dorsal depression and two short and three long facial setal rows. Gnathopod 2 propodus palmar margin with subrectangular projection followed by a small U-shaped excavation and one short, truncate process, palm defined by small projection; dactylus medially expanded with median point. Telson lobes apically truncate, each with five long apical spines.

Distribution. Bermuda: St. George's Parish, Sandy's Parish (Ruffo et al. 2000); U.S.A.: Pigeon Key, FL; Turks and Caicos, Twin Cay; Netherlands Antilles: Bonaire; Lesser Antilles: St. Martin; Venezuela: Tobago Island, Los Roques Island; Brazil: Rio de Janeiro, Ilha de Fortaleza; Mexico: Yucatán (Krapp-Schickel and Ruffo 2000), Laguna de Terminos (Ledoyer 1986); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with algae, sponges, ascidians, and coral rubble at depths of 0–15 m. Panamanian specimens closely resemble previously described specimens of this species and can be readily distinguished from other species based on the gnathopod 2 palm ornamentation and broadened dactylus.

Quadrimaera quadrimana (Dana, 1852)

Figs 14, 28G

Gammarus quadrimanus Dana, 1852: 955–956, pl. 65, fig. 9. Maera quadrimana: Schellenberg 1938: 45–48, figs 21, 22; LeCroy 2000: 101, fig. 147; Ruffo et al. 2000: 6–11, figs 1, 2.

Material examined. PANAMA • 2–3.5 mm • 7 3, 2 \bigcirc , 10 juvenile; Bocas del Toro, Drago; 9.418056°N, 82.3375°W; depth 2–4 m, among coral rubble; 9 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703526 • 1 juvenile; Bocas del Toro, Swan Cay; 9.4536°N, 82.300033°W; depth 1–4 m, among coral rubble; 24 Jun 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703527 • 1 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Crawl Cay; 9.245967°N, 82.136867°W; depth 1–4 m, among *Halimeda*; 25 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703528.

Diagnosis. Antenna 1 accessory flagellum 6-articulate. Gnathopod 1 coxa anteroventrally rounded; carpus with distinct dorsal depression and two short and three long facial setal rows. Gnathopod 2 propodus palmar margin with three U-shaped excavations, increasing in size distally, two small subtriangular projections, and one large truncate projection, palm defined by large projection; dactylus smooth, not expanded. Telson lobes apically truncate, inner margins acute, each with four or five medium-to-long apical spines.



Figure 14. *Quadrimaera quadrimana,* male, 3.8 mm, gnathopod 1 propodus, carpus, dactylus medial, gnathopod 2 lateral, coxa 1, telson. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

Distribution. Fiji Islands (Dana 1853); Red Sea (Ruffo 1969); Madagascar (Ledoyer 1972, 1982); Great

Barrier Reef, Australia (Berents 1983); Gilbert Islands (Schellenberg 1938); Micronesia (J.L. Barnard 1965); Hawaiian Islands (J.L. Barnard 1970, 1971); Bermuda: St. George's Parish, Devonshire Parish (Ruffo et al. 2000); U.S.A.: Florida (Nelson 1995; Thomas 1993; LeCroy 2000); Mexico: Yucatán (McKinney 1977); Brazil (Wakabara et al. 1991; Wakabara and Serejo 1998); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with algae and coral rubble at depths of 0-10 m. Panamanian specimens closely resemble the description of specimens from Bermuda, with the gnathopod 2 propodus palmar margin showing a slightly more truncate projection than illustrated by Ruffo et al. (2000).

Quadrimaera sarae Krapp-Schickel & Ruffo, 2000 Figs 15, 29A

Quadrimaera sarae Krapp-Schickel & Ruffo, 2000: 206-213, figs 8-10.

Material examined. Рамама • 4−5 mm • 2 ♂, 2 ♀; Bocas del Toro, Swan Cay; 9.453333°N, 82.298333°W; depth 2−3 m; among coral rubble; 4 Aug 2005; S.



Figure 15. *Quadrimaera sarae*, male, 4.2 mm, gnathopod 1 propodus, carpus, dactylus medial, pereopod 3 dactylus, pereopod 5 dactylus, gnathopod 2 medial, coxa 1, telson. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

DeGrave leg.; GCRL 6646 • 1 \Diamond ; Bocas del Toro, Drago; 9.418056 N, 82.3375°W; depth 3 m, among coral rubble; 9 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703529.

Diagnosis. Antenna 1 accessory flagellum 7-articulate. Gnathopod 1 coxa anteroventrally produced; carpus elongate with slight dorsal depression and two short and three long facial setal rows. Gnathopod 2 propodus palmar margin with U-shaped excavation surrounded by one subquadrate and one quadrate projection, palm defined by small projection; dactylus medially expanded. Pereopods 3 and 4 dactyli simple; pereopods 5–7 dactyli bifid. Telson, lobes apically excavated, each with four long apical spines.

Distribution. Turks and Caicos, Fort George Cay; Mexico: Yucatán; Venezuela: Tobago Island (Krapp-Schickel and Ruffo 2000); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with coral rubble at depths of 0.3–3 m. Panamanian specimens closely resemble previously described specimens, including the characteristic gnathopod 2 propodus palm, simple pereopods 3 and 4 dactyli, and bifid pereopods 5–7 dactyli, which are unique to this species. The excavation on the gnathopod 2 propodus is larger in our 4.2 mm male than shown in the holotype (4.7 mm male) and there are more than three spines on the pereopods 3 and 4 bases in Panamanian specimens, but given the striking similarity in every other character, we are considering this as a regional variation.

Quadrimaera yemanjae Alves, Neves & Johnson, 2018

Figs 16, 29B

Quadrimaera yemanjae Alves, Neves & Johnson, 2018: 569–575, figs 2–7.

Material examined. PANAMA • 2–4.5 mm • 10 3, 4 9; Bocas del Toro, Swan Cay; 9.453333°N, 82.298333°W; depth 2–3 m, among coral rubble; 4 Aug 2005; S. De-Grave leg.; GCRL 6647 • 1 9, 9 juvenile; Bocas del Toro, TAH 001, Aug 2005; T.A. Haney leg.; GCRL 6648 • 1 3, Bocas del Toro, Drago; 9.418056°N, 82.3375°W; depth 2–4 m, among coral rubble; 9 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703530 • 2 9; Bocas del Toro, Crawl Cay; 9.245967°N, 82.136867°W; depth 1–4 m, among coral rubble; 25 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703531.

Diagnosis. Antenna 1 accessory flagellum 6-articulate, distal article minute. Gnathopod 1 coxa anteroventrally rounded; carpus with deep dorsal depression and two short and three long facial setal rows. Gnathopod 2 propodus palmar margin with two small U-shaped excavations separated by a subacute process, followed distally by a large truncate process and one large U-shaped excavation, palm defined by large projection; dactylus medially smooth, slightly expanded. Telson lobes apically truncate, inner margins acute, each with four long apical spines.

Distribution. Brazil: Rio Grande do Norte State (Alves et al. 2018); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with *Halimeda* and coral rubble at depths of 0-4 m. Panamanian specimens closely resemble specimens described from Brazil (4.5 mm), with slightly less acute projections on the palm of gnathopod 2 propodus. This can most likely be attributed to the smaller size of the Panamanian specimens (3.8 mm).





Family Melitidae Bousfield, 1973

Genus Dulichiella Stout, 1912

Diagnosis. Male gnathopod 2 large, asymmetrical, propodus with distolateral crown of spines; female gnathopod 2 equal in size. Pereopods 5–7 dactyli each with accessory spine. Pleosome and urosome with dorsolateral spines. Uropod 3 inner ramus minute; outer ramus 2-articulate. Telson, deeply cleft, tapering to an acute point.

Dulichiella anisochir (Krøyer, 1845)

Figs 17, 29C

Melita anisochir Krøyer, 1845: 317, pl. II, fig. 1a-p; Dana 1852: 968, pl. 66, fig. 8a-d.

Dulichiella anisochir: Lowry and Springthorpe 2007: 10–12, figs 3–6.

Material examined. PANAMA • $4-6 \text{ mm} • 4 \triangleleft 2 \triangleleft$, 4.0 mm; Bocas del Toro, Crawl Cay; 9.2475°N, 82.1290°W; depth 5 m, among coral rubble; 12 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703532.





Diagnosis. Gnathopod 1 coxa anteroventral corner produced, rounded, anterior margin concave. Gnathopod 2 propodus distolateral crown with three rounded spines; dactylus apically blunt, overlapping corner of propodus. Pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus without bunches of long slender setae. Epimeron 1 posteroventral corner acute; epimeron 3 posterodistal margin serrate.

Distribution. Brazil: Rio de Janeiro to Lagoa dos Patos (Krøyer 1845; Lowry and Springthorpe 2007); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with soft bottoms and coral rubble at depths of 0-30 m. Panamanian specimens closely resemble previously described specimens, with the exception of a less serrate distal margin on epimeron 3. This difference can most likely be attributed to the size difference of our specimens (4.4 mm) and the lectotype (10.7 mm) described by Lowry and Springthorpe (2007).

Dulichiella lecroyae Lowry & Springthorpe, 2007 Figs 18, 29D

Melita fresnelli Kunkel 1910: 31–34, fig. 11; Pearse 1912: 371. *Dulichiella* sp. A: LeCroy 2000: 78, fig. 126. *Dulichiella lecroyae* Lowry & Springthorpe, 2007: 34–38, figs 25–28. Material examined. Рамама • 5−10 mm • 1 ♂, 1 ♀; Bocas del Toro, Swan Cay; 9.453333°N, 82.298333°W; depth 2-3 m, in orange sponge; 4 Aug 2005; S. DeGrave leg.; GCRL 6649 • 4 ♂, 1 ♀; Bocas del Toro, Crawl Cay; 9.250467°N, 82.131617°W; depth 10 m, in sponge; 7 Aug 2005; S. DeGrave leg.; GCRL 6650 • 1 2; Bocas del Toro, Punta Caracol; depth 1 m, in Lissodendoryx columbiensis sponge, 9 June 2009; K. Hultgren leg.; GCRL 6651 • 5 ♂, 4 ♀; Bocas del Toro, STRI Point; 9.34872°N, 82.26258°W; depth 12 m, among coral rubble, 6 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703533• 1 ♂, 3 ♀; Bocas del Toro, Juan Point; 9.3015°N, 82.29404°W; depth 10 m, among coral rubble, 7 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703534 • 12 ♂, 5 ♀; Drago; 9.418056°N, 82.3375°W; depth 2-3 m, among coral rubble, 9 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703535 • 1 ♀; Bocas del Toro, San Cristobal; 9.2625°N, 82.235°W; depth 15 m, among coral rubble, 10 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703536 • 1 ♂; Bocas del Toro, Pandora; 9.327769°N, 82, 222207°W; depth 10 m, among coral rubble, 10 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703537 • 1 ♂; Bocas del Toro, Crawl Cay; 9.2376°N, 82.1438°W; depth 1.5-3 m, among coral rubble, 11 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703538.

Diagnosis. Gnathopod 1 coxa anteroventral corner not produced, anterior margin straight. Gnathopod 2 propodus distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines; dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal corner



Figure 18. *Dulichiella lecroyae*, male, 3.6 mm, pereopods 6 and 7, epimeron and urosome, gnathopod 2 lateral, head, coxa 1. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

of propodus. Pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus without bunches of long slender setae. Epimeron 1 posteroventral corner subquadrate; epimeron 3 posterodistal margin smooth.

Distribution. U.S.A.: Gulf of Mexico, South Florida, Cedar Keys, Dry Tortugas, South Carolina, Georgia (LeCroy 2000; Lowry and Springthorpe 2007); Bermuda: Flatts Village, Castle Harbor, Harrington Sound (Kunkel 1910); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with sponges and coral rubble at depths of 0-12 m. Panamanian specimens closely resemble previously described specimens, with slight variation in the anteroventral margin of the head. Panamanian specimens show a minutely bifid notch rather than a single acute point.

Genus Melita Leach, 1814

Diagnosis. Male gnathopod 2 large, symmetrical; female gnathopod 2 smaller than in male. Pereopods 5–7, dactyli without accessory spines. Pleosome without serrations. Uropod 3 inner ramus minute; outer ramus 1-articulate. Telson deeply cleft, sides straight or convex, tapering to a point, with apical spines.

Melita planaterga Kunkel, 1910

Figs 19, 29E

Melita planaterga Kunkel, 1910: 34-37, fig. 12; LeCroy 2000: 115, fig. 149.

Material examined. PANAMA • 4–9 mm • 7 \Diamond , 5 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Swan Cay; 9.453333°N, 82.298333°W; depth 2–3 m, among algae; 4 Aug 2005; S. DeGrave leg.; GCRL 6652 • 1 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, San Cristobal; 9.284977°N, 82.294533°W; depth 1–3 m, among *Dictyota*; 21 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703539 • 6 \Diamond , 5 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Drago; 9.413433°N, 82.33335°W; depth 1–3 m, among *Halimeda*, red algae and coral rubble; 23 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703540 • 1 \Diamond , 1 \bigcirc Bocas del Toro, Swan Cay; 9.4536°N, 82.300033°W; depth 2 m, among coral rubble; 24 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703541.

Diagnosis. Male antennae without bottle-brush setae. Male gnathopod 2 propodus ovate, palm densely setose, setae shorter than propodus length; female gnathopod 2 smaller and less setose than in male. Female coxa 6 with lateral ridge at base of hook, anteroventral angle flattened or notched. Urosome segment 1 posterodorsal margin with single median process; urosome segment 2 posterior margin smooth, each side with single dorsolateral spine. Telson lobes apically subacute with long terminal spines.

Distribution. U.S.A.: Gulf of Mexico, Florida Keys (Lazo-Wasem and Gable 1987; LeCroy 2000); Bermuda: Flatts Village (Kunkel 1910); Mexico: Terminos Lagoon, Bay of Campeche, Mexico (Ledoyer 1986); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with algae and coral rubble at depths of 0-3 m. Panamanian specimens closely resemble previously described specimens. Females can be identified easily by the structure





of coxa 6 and males have a heavily setose gnathopod 2 propodus. The dark pigmentation is also characteristic of this species. Panamanian specimens ranged from having dark pigment bands as described by Kunkel (1910) to being almost completely dark blue (more so than in Fig. 29E).

Superfamily Calliopioidea Sars, 1895 Family Hornelliidae d'Udekem d'Acoz, 2010

Genus Hornellia Walker, 1904

Diagnosis. Head, without rostrum. Antenna 1 accessory flagellum present. Gnathopods 1 and 2 subequal and similar. Pereopods 5–7 long and slender. Pleosome and urosome with postero-dorsal teeth. Uropod 3 biramous, rami subequal in length. Telson long and deeply cleft.

Hornellia tequestae Thomas & J.L. Barnard, 1986 Figs 20, 29F

Hornellia (Metaceradocus) tequestae Thomas & J.L. Barnard, 1986a: 478–483, figs 1–3; LeCroy 2007: 591.

Material examined. PANAMA • 2–3 mm 1 3; Bocas del Toro, Crawl Cay; 9.237675°N, 82.143833°W; depth 2–3 m, among *Halimeda*; 11 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703542 • 1 3, 2 \bigcirc , Bocas del Toro, Hospital Point; 9.331967°N, 82.214817°W; depth 1–3 m, coral rubble; 22 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703543 • 2 3, 2 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Crawl Cay; 9.245967°N, 82.136867°W; depth 1–4 m, coral rubble; 25 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703544 • 2 3, 4 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Crawl Cay; 9.250217°N, 82.131767°W; depth 5–13 m, coral rubble; 29 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703545.

Diagnosis. Antenna 1 accessory flagellum 4-articulate. Gnathopod 1 carpus posterior margin densely setose. Gnathopod 2 not sexually dimorphic, propodus elongate, palm oblique, smooth. Pereopods 5–7 bases posterior margins strongly serrate; pereopod 7 basis without posterodistal lobe. Epimera 1–3 posterior margins smooth, each with well-developed posteroventral tooth. Telson $1.4 \times$ longer than broad, nearly cleft to base, lobes apically acute with two setae.

Distribution. U.S.A.: Southeastern Gulf of Mexico (LeCroy 2007), Florida Keys (Thomas and Barnard 1986a); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with algae and coral rubble at depths of 0–45 m. Panamanian specimens closely resemble previously described specimens and are readily identified by the distinctly serrate posterodorsal margins of the pleosome and urosome, large posteroventral tooth on each epimeron, and the shape of the telson.



Figure 20. *Hornellia tequestae,* male, 1.8 mm, epimeron and urosome; female, 2.2 mm, telson, gnathopods 1 and 2 medial. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

Family Megaluropidae Thomas & J.L. Barnard, 1986

Genus Gibberosus Thomas & J.L. Barnard, 1986

Diagnosis. Head rostrum short; ocular lobe with acute cusp. Antenna 1 accessory flagellum 2-articulate. Gnathopod 2 merus with large distal lobe. Uropod 1 peduncle with interramal tooth. Telson with long spines.

Gibberosus devaneyi Thomas & J.L. Barnard, 1986 Figs 21, 30A

Gibberosus devaneyi Thomas & J.L. Barnard, 1986b: 469–475, figs 11, 13–15.

Material examined. PANAMA • 2–3 mm • 6 \Diamond , 56 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Cayo Solarte;; 9.3336°N, 82.218883°W; depth 0.1–1 m, in sand; 7 Aug 2005; S.E. LeCroy leg.; GCRL 6653 • 1 \Diamond ; Bocas del Toro, Drago; 9.413433°N, 82.33335°W; depth 1–3 m, among *Halimeda*, red algae and coral rubble; 23 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703546 • 1 \Diamond , 5 \bigcirc ; Bocas del Toro, Crawl Cay; 9.250217°N, 82.131767°W; depth 5–13 m, coral rubble; 29 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703547.

Diagnosis. Head ocular lobe with subacute cusp. Pleosome segment 3 and urosome segment 2 with dorsal serrations, other segments smooth, lacking



Figure 21. *Gibberosus devaneyi*, female, 3.7 mm, pereopod 7, telson, epimeron and urosome, head, uropod 1; male, 3.0 mm, gnathopod 2, setae removed, uropod 3. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

dorsal spines. Epimeron 3 smooth. Uropod 3 rami continually lined with spines. Telson lobes with several dorsal and two apical spines.

Distribution. U.S.A.: La Jolla, California; Peru: Chincha Island (Thomas and Barnard 1986b); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are found in sand at depths of 0–18 m. Panamanian specimens closely resemble specimens described from the eastern Pacific with slight variation in the following characters: subacute anterior head margin (acute in Pacific material) and slightly more serrate posterodorsal margin on pleon segment 3. All other characters match well, specifically the smooth posterior margin of epimeron 3 and the continually spinose margins of uropod 3 rami.

Gibberosus myersi (McKinney, 1980)

Figs 22, 30B

- Megaluropus longimerus: Barnard 1962: 103, figs 17o-q (non Megaluropus longimerus Schellenberg, 1925).
- Megaluropus sp.: Camp et al. 1977: 17–18.
- Megaluropus myersi McKinney, 1980: 93-98, figs 5-7.
- *Gibberosus myersi*: Thomas and Barnard 1986b: 464–469, figs 6, 12; LeCroy 2007: 590.

Gibberosus sp. A: Rakocinski et al. 1993: 102.

Gibberosus cf. myersi: Rakocinski et al. 1996: 350.

Material examined. PANAMA • 2–5 mm • 2 ♀; Bocas del Toro, Crawl Cay; 9.237675°N, 82.143833°W; depth 2–3 m, in sand; 11 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703548 • 1 ♂, 3 ♀, Bocas del Toro, Drago; 9.413433°N, 82.33335°W; depth 1–3 m, in sand; 23 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703549 • 1 ♂, 8 ♀; Bocas del Toro, Drago; 9.417183°N, 82.324783°W; depth 0–1 m in sand; 27 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703550.

Diagnosis. Head ocular lobe with acute cusp. Pleosome segment 3 and urosome segments 1 and 2, with dorsal serrations; urosome segment 2, with one or two dorsal spines. Epimeron 3 serrate. Uropod 3 peduncle with facial spines; rami with sparse marginal spines. Telson each lobe with one dorsal and two apical spines.

Distribution. U.S.A.: South Carolina to the Florida Keys; southwestern Gulf of Mexico, Tampa Bay, Perdido Key, British Columbia to La Jolla, California (Thomas and Barnard 1986b; Rakocinski et al. 1993, 1996; LeCroy 2007); Peru: Afuera; Costa Rica: Puerto Culebra; Brazil: Ilha Sao Sebastiao (Thomas and Barnard 1986b); Panama: Culebra Island (Thomas and Barnard 1986b), Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are found in sand at depths of 0-29 m. Panamanian specimens closely resemble previously described specimens. See Thomas and Barnard (1986b) for a discussion of the variation in this species. Caribbean Panamanian specimens most closely resemble specimens from Brazil, Peru, Costa Rica, and Queen Charlotte, and Coronados based on having a smooth dorsal margin on pleonites 2 and 5, a thin posterior most facial spine on peduncle of uropod 1, and epimeron 2 without facial spines.



Figure 22. *Gibberosus myersi*, female, 2.1 mm, head, uropods 1 and 3, telson, epimeron and urosome, gnathopod 2 lateral. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

Genus Resupinus Thomas & J.L. Barnard, 1986

Diagnosis. Head rostrum long; ocular lobe rounded. Antenna 1 accessory flagellum 1-articulate. Gnathopod 2 merus without distal lobe. Uropod 1 peduncle without interramal tooth. Telson with small spines (if present).

Resupinus spinicaudatus Thomas & J.L. Barnard, 1986 Figs 23, 30C

Resupinus spinicaudatus Thomas & J.L. Barnard, 1986b: 445-454, figs 1-5.

Material examined. PANAMA • 2−2.5 mm • 2 ♂, 6 ♀; Bocas del Toro, Drago; 9.413433°N, 82.33335°W; depth 0−1 m, in sand; 23 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703551.

Diagnosis. Head eye not filling entire ocular lobe. Pleosome segments 2 and 3 with dorsal serrations. Urosome segments dorsally smooth. Epimera 1–3 with sparse facial setae; epimeron 3 posterior margin with sparse, shallow serrations. Telson covered with dorsal prickle spines.

Distribution. Belize: Sitee Point (Thomas and Barnard 1986b); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).



Figure 23. *Resupinus spinicaudatus,* male, 2.4 mm, epimeron and urosome, head, uropod 1, telson, gnathopod 2 lateral. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are found in sand at depths of 0.75–1.2 m. Panamanian specimens closely resemble previously described specimens and can be easily identified based on having smooth pleonites 4 and 5, sparsely serrate epimeron 3, and dorsally spinose telson.

Family Pontogeneiidae Stebbing, 1906

Genus Eusiroides Stebbing, 1888

Diagnosis. Antenna 1 accessory flagellum 1-articulate. Rostrum short. Gnathopods 1 and 2 propodus palmar margins lined with stout peg-like spines. Epimeron 3 posterior margin serrate. Uropod 2 rami subequal with length of uropods 1 and 3.

Eusiroides yucatanensis McKinney, 1980 Figs 24, 30D

Eusiroides yucatanensis McKinney, 1980: 89–93, figs 3, 4; Diaz and Martin 2000: 767.

Material examined. PANAMA • 5–7 mm • 1 \Diamond , 1 \bigcirc , 2 juvenile; Bocas del Toro, Crawl Cay; 9.2376°N, 82.1438°W; depth 1.5–3 m, among coral rubble, 11 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703552.



Figure 24. *Eusiroides yucatanensis*, male, 6.9 mm, telson, gnathopod 2 propodus medial, gnathopod 2 propodus medial enlarged, setae removed, epimeron 3, uropod 3. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

Diagnosis. Pereopods 5–7 basis crenulate; propodus with spine formula 2, 2, 2, 2, and two locking spines. Epimera 1 and 2 smooth, posteroventral corner with acute point; epimeron 3 posterior margin with three serrations. Uropod 3 peduncle 1/2 as long as rami. Telson subtriangular, longer than wide, apices of lobes subacute.

Distribution. Mexico: Yucatan (McKinney 1980); Venezuela: Puerto Viejo (Diaz and Martin 2000); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with algae and coral rubble at depths of 0-3 m. Panamanian specimens closely resemble previously described specimens and can be easily identified based on the smooth epimera 1 and 2, epimeron 3 having three distinct serrations, and the length of uropod 3 peduncle.

Genus Nasageneia Barnard & Karaman, 1987

Diagnosis. Antenna 1 without accessory flagellum. Rostrum reaching ~ 1/2 length of first article of antenna 1 peduncle. Gnathopods 1 and 2 propodus relatively small, palmar margin lined with slender spines. Epimeron 3 posterior margin serrate. Telson subrectangular, slightly longer than wide, apices of lobes rounded or subtruncate.

Nasageneia bacescui Ortiz & Lalana, 1994

Figs 25, 30E

Nasageneia bacescui Ortiz & Lalana, 1994: 285–291, figs 1–5; LeCroy 2007: 512, fig. 451.

Material examined. PANAMA • 2.5–5 mm • 1 $\stackrel{>}{\circ}$ Bocas del Toro, Drago; 9.413433°N, 82.33335°W; depth 1–3 m, among red algae; 23 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703553 • 1 $\stackrel{>}{\circ}$, 2 $\stackrel{>}{\circ}$; Bocas del Toro, Swan Cay; 9.4536°N, 82.300033°W; depth 2 m, among red algae and coral rubble; 24 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703554.

Diagnosis. Rostrum narrow, curved, distally acute. Gnathopods 1 and 2 propodus palm each with four spines and several setae. Epimeron 3 posterior margin regularly serrate. Uropod 3 inner ramus slightly shorter than outer ramus Telson cleft ½ of length, lobes not narrowing distally, apically rounded.

Distribution. U.S.A.: Tampa Bay to the Florida Keys (Ortiz and Lalana 1996); Cuba: Gulf of Batabano (Ortiz and Lalana 1994), Cayo Mendoza (Ortiz and Lalana 1996); Columbia: south of Cartagena (Ortiz and Lemaitre 1994); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with algae and coral rubble at depths of 0-4 m. Panamanian specimens closely resemble previously described specimens. This species can be distinguished from the closely



Figure 25. Nasageneia bacescui, female, 3.1 mm, head, telson, epimeron 3, uropod 3; male, 1.9 mm, gnathopods 1 and 2 medial. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

related *Tethygeneia longleyi* based on the narrow, distally acute rostrum, regularly serrate epimeron 3, and wide telson lobes. See LeCroy (2007) for discussion of these species.

Genus Tethygeneia J.L. Barnard, 1972

Diagnosis. Rostrum reaching ~ 3/4 length of first article of antenna 1 peduncle, linguiform. Gnathopods 1 and 2 propodus relatively small, palmar margin lined with slender spines. Epimeron 3 posterior margin smooth or weakly serrate. Telson subrectangular, slightly longer than wide, apices of lobes rounded or subtruncate.

Tethygeneia longleyi (Shoemaker, 1933) Figs 26, 30F

Pontogeneia longleyi Shoemaker, 1933: 253–254, figs 6, 7. Tethygeneia longleyi: LeCroy 2007: 513, fig. 452.

Material examined. PANAMA • $3-5 \text{ mm} \cdot 1 \text{ }$; Bocas del Toro, Mangrove Inn; depth 1 m, among *Caulerpa*; 3 Aug 2005; M. Faust leg.; GCRL 6654 • 2 , 1 ; Bocas del Toro, Drago; 9.418056°N, 82.3375°W; depth 2–3 m, among coral rubble, 9 Aug 2021; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703555 • 3 , 7 , 1 juvenile; Bocas



Figure 26. *Tethygeneia longleyi*, male, 2.1 mm, head, telson, epimeron 3, gnathopods 1 and 2 medial, uropod 3. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.



Figure 27. Photographs of live specimens A Dulzura schoenerae B Ceraocus sheardi C Ceradocus shoemakeri D Elasmopus balkomanus E Elasmopus elieri (ethanol preserved specimen) F Elasmopus levis G Elasmopus longipropodus. Scale bars: 1.0 mm.



Figure 28. Photographs of live specimens unless noted A Elasmopus pocillimanus B Elasmopus thomasi C Meximaera diffidentia D Quadrimaera ceres (ethanol preserved specimen) E Quadrimaera cristianae F Quadrimaera miranda G Quadrimaera quadrimana. Scale bars: 1.0 mm.



Figure 29. Photographs of live specimens unless noted A *Quadrimaera sarae* B *Quadrimaera yemanjae* C *Dulichiella aniso-chir* (ethanol preserved specimen) D *Dulichiella lecroyae* E *Melita planaterga* F *Hornellia tequestae*. Scale bars: 1.0 mm.



Figure 30. Photographs of live specimens unless noted **A** *Gibberosus devaneyi* **B** *Gibberosus myersi* **C** *Resupinus spinicaudatus* (ethanol preserved specimen) **D** *Eusiroides yucatanensis* **E** *Nasageneia bacescui* **F** *Tethygeneia longleyi*. Scale bars: 1.0 mm.

del Toro, Drago; 9.413433°N, 82.33335°W; depth 1–3 m; among *Halimeda* and *Dictyota*, 23 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703556 • 2 3, Bocas del Toro, Swan Cay; 9.4536°N, 82.300033°W; depth 2 m; among red algae, 24 June 2023; K.N. White leg.; USNM 1703557 • 2 3, 1 2, 3 juvenile; Bocas del Toro, Cayo Zapa-tilla 2; depth 0 m, buoy scraping; 29 June 2023; L. Hughes leg.; USNM 1703558.

Diagnosis. Rostrum wide, curved, distally rounded. Gnathopods 1 and 2 propodus palm each with one to three spines and several setae. Epimeron 3 posterior margin smooth or slightly serrate. Uropod 3 inner ramus subequal in length to outer ramus Telson cleft 3/4 of length, lobes narrowing distally, apically rounded.

Distribution. U.S.A.: Hutchinson Island to the Dry Tortugas, Florida (Shoemaker 1933; Camp et al. 1977; LeCroy 2007); Cuba: Archipélago Sabana-Camagüey (Ortiz and Lalana 1996); Venezuela: Maiguetia and Porlamar (Ruffo 1950); Brazil: Sao Paulo and Paraná (Wakabara and Serejo 1998); Panama: Bocas del Toro (present study).

Ecology and remarks. These amphipods are associated with algae and sand at depths of 0–11 m. Panamanian specimens closely resemble previously described specimens in all aspects, and have the rounded telson apices as described by Shoemaker (1933) rather than the more subtruncate apices described by LeCroy (2007).

Identification Key to the Caribbean Hadziidira of Panama

1	Gnathopod 2 simple; pereopod 7 elongate, distal articles extremely slender; uropod 3 rami broadly paddle-shaped (Fig. 21) 2
-	Gnathopod 2 subchelate; pereopod 7 not elongate, or if elongate, distal articles not unusually slender; uropod 3 rami not broadly paddle-shaped (Fig. 10)
2	Head rostrum short, ocular lobe with cusp; antenna 1 accessory flagellum 2-articulate; gnathopod 2 merus with large distal lobe; uropod 1 peduncle with interramal tooth (Fig. 21) 3
-	Head rostrum long, ocular lobe rounded; antenna 1 accessory flagellum 1-articulate; gnathopod 2 merus without distal lobe; uropod 1 peduncle without interramal tooth (Fig. 23)
3	Head ocular lobe with subacute cusp; only pleosome segment 3 and uro- some segment 2 with dorsal serrations; epimeron 3 smooth; uropod 3 rami continually lined with spines (Fig. 21)
-	Head ocular lobe with acute cusp; pleosome segment 3 and urosome seg- ments 1 and 2 with dorsal serrations; epimeron 3 serrate; uropod 3 rami with sparse marginal spines (Fig. 22)
4	Gnathopod 2 similar in size to gnathopod 1, not strongly sexually dimorphic; uropod 1 peduncle without basofacial spine(s), outer ramus distinctly short- er than inner ramus 5
-	Gnathopod 2 larger than gnathopod 1, strongly sexually dimorphic; uropod 1 peduncle with basofacial spine(s), outer ramus subequal to or shorter than inner ramus
5	Antenna 1 accessory flagellum present (may be 1-articulate); telson lobes relatively narrow, apices acute or subacute (Fig. 24) 6 Antenna 1 lacking accessory flagellum; telson lobes relatively wide, apices rounded or subquadrate (Fig. 25) 7

Antenna 1 accessory flagellum 1-articulate; gnathopods 1 and 2 propodus
palmar margin lined with peg-like robust setae; epimeron 3 posterior mar-
gin serrate, posteroventral corner not produced; telson distinctly longer than
wide, apices of lobes subacute (Fig. 24) Eusiroides yucatanensis
Antenna 1 accessory flagellum 5-articulate; gnathopods 1 and 2 propo-
dus palmar margin lined with slender setae; epimeron 3 posterior margin
smooth, posteroventral corner produced; telson slightly longer than wide,
apices of lobes bifid and acute (Fig. 20) Hornellia tequestae
Rostrum narrow, distally acute; epimeron 3 regularly serrate; telson cleft ~ $\frac{1}{2}$
of length, lobes not narrowing distally; uropod 3 inner ramus slightly shorter
than outer ramus (Fig. 25)
Rostrum wide, distally rounded; epimeron 3 smooth or with small serration;
telson cleft ¾ of length, lobes narrowing distally; uropod 3 inner ramus sub-
equal to outer ramus (Fig. 26) Tethygeneia longleyi
Uropod 3 inner ramus minute (Fig. 1)9
Uropod 3 rami subequal or slightly unequal in length (Figs 5, 7)12
Gnathopod 2 of male, sides similar; posterodorsal margins of pleon seg-
ments 1–3 without serrations or teeth (Fig. 19) 10
Gnathopod 2 of male, sides dissimilar, significantly different in size, larger
side chelate; posterodorsal margins of pleon segments 1–3 with serrations
or teeth (Fig. 17)
Coxa 6 of female anterior lobe with lateral ridge at base of hook; uropod 3
outer ramus 1-articulate (Fig. 19)
Coxa 6 of female unmodified; uropod 3 outer ramus 2-articulate (Fig. 1)
I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4 th
I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4 th spine well developed, dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal cor-
I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4 th spine well developed, dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal corner; pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus with bunches of long slender
I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4 th spine well developed, dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal corner; pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus with bunches of long slender setae; epimeron 1 posteroventral corner subquadrate; epimeron 3 postero-
I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4 th spine well developed, dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal corner; pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus with bunches of long slender setae; epimeron 1 posteroventral corner subquadrate; epimeron 3 postero- distal margin smooth (Fig. 18)
I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4 th spine well developed, dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal corner; pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus with bunches of long slender setae; epimeron 1 posteroventral corner subquadrate; epimeron 3 postero- distal margin smooth (Fig. 18)
I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4 th spine well developed, dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal corner; pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus with bunches of long slender setae; epimeron 1 posteroventral corner subquadrate; epimeron 3 postero- distal margin smooth (Fig. 18)
I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4 th spine well developed, dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal corner; pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus with bunches of long slender setae; epimeron 1 posteroventral corner subquadrate; epimeron 3 postero- distal margin smooth (Fig. 18)
I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4 th spine well developed, dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal corner; pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus with bunches of long slender setae; epimeron 1 posteroventral corner subquadrate; epimeron 3 postero- distal margin smooth (Fig. 18)
I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4 th spine well developed, dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal corner; pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus with bunches of long slender setae; epimeron 1 posteroventral corner subquadrate; epimeron 3 postero- distal margin smooth (Fig. 18)
I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4 th spine well developed, dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal corner; pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus with bunches of long slender setae; epimeron 1 posteroventral corner subquadrate; epimeron 3 postero- distal margin smooth (Fig. 18)
I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4 th spine well developed, dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal corner; pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus with bunches of long slender setae; epimeron 1 posteroventral corner subquadrate; epimeron 3 postero- distal margin smooth (Fig. 18)
I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4 th spine well developed, dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal corner; pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus with bunches of long slender setae; epimeron 1 posteroventral corner subquadrate; epimeron 3 postero- distal margin smooth (Fig. 18)
I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4 th spine well developed, dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal corner; pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus with bunches of long slender setae; epimeron 1 posteroventral corner subquadrate; epimeron 3 postero- distal margin smooth (Fig. 18)
I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4 th spine well developed, dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal corner; pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus with bunches of long slender setae; epimeron 1 posteroventral corner subquadrate; epimeron 3 postero- distal margin smooth (Fig. 18)
I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4 th spine well developed, dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal corner; pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus with bunches of long slender setae; epimeron 1 posteroventral corner subquadrate; epimeron 3 postero- distal margin smooth (Fig. 18)
I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4 th spine well developed, dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal corner; pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus with bunches of long slender setae; epimeron 1 posteroventral corner subquadrate; epimeron 3 postero- distal margin smooth (Fig. 18)
 I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4th spine well developed, dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal corner; pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus with bunches of long slender setae; epimeron 1 posteroventral corner subquadrate; epimeron 3 posterodistal margin smooth (Fig. 18)
 I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4th spine well developed, dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal corner; pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus with bunches of long slender setae; epimeron 1 posteroventral corner subquadrate; epimeron 3 posterodistal margin smooth (Fig. 18)
I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4 th spine well developed, dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal corner; pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus with bunches of long slender setae; epimeron 1 posteroventral corner subquadrate; epimeron 3 postero- distal margin smooth (Fig. 18)
 I Gnathopod 2 distolateral crown with four rounded or subacute spines, 4th spine well developed, dactylus apically hooked, fitting into posterodistal corner; pereopods 6 and 7 carpus and propodus with bunches of long slender setae; epimeron 1 posteroventral corner subquadrate; epimeron 3 posterodistal margin smooth (Fig. 18)

15 Gnathopod 2 propodus palm with few setae; telson inner lobes apically
rounded (Fig. 5)
acute (Fig. 4)
16 Male gnathopod 2 propodus palm concave with one triangular process; epi-
meron 3 posteroventral margin with small tooth; telson inner lobes subequal
in length with outer lobes (Fig. 5)
- Male gnathopod 2 propodus palm with 3 processes and 2 notches; epimer-
on 3 posterior margin serrate; telson inner lobes longer than outer lobes
(Fig. 9) Elasmopus thomasi
I / Pereopod 5 basis posterior margin concave; teison inner lobes shorter than
Outer lobes (Fig. 4)
- Pereopod 5 basis posterior margin eveniy convex, teison inner lobes sub-
equal to outer topes (Fig. 6)
dense oblique row of facial setae: uropod 3 rami broad foliaceous tins sub-
acute (Fig. 2)
- Mandible palp article 1 without small distal tooth (Fig. 10): maxilla 2 inner
plate without dense oblique row of facial setae (Fig. 16); uronod 3 rami slen-
der tips subtruncate (Fig. 10) 20
19 Male gnathopod 2 left and right sides dissimilar: pleon segments 1–3 pos-
terodorsal margins without strong teeth or serrations: urosome segments 1
and 2 posterodorsal margins each with single tooth (Fig. 3)
- Male gnathopod 2 left and right sides similar; pleon segments 1–3, posterodor-
sal margins with many strong teeth or serrations; urosome segments 1 and 2
posterodorsal margins with many strong teeth (Fig. 2) Ceradocus sheardi
20 Eyes oval; gnathopod 1 carpus subequal to propodus, without dorsal ex-
cavation; gnathopod 2 propodus palm oblique; pereopods with simple
dactyli; pereopod 7 basis slimmer than long, without posterodistal lobe
(Fig. 10) Meximaera diffidentia
- Eyes round; gnathopod 1 carpus longer than propodus, with dorso-distal ex-
cavation; gnathopod 2 propodus palm with right angle; pereopods with bifid
dactyli; pereopod 7 basis as slim as long, with posterodistal lobe (Fig. 11) 21
21 Male gnathopod 2 propodus palm with deep excavations, palmar angle de-
fined by elongate process below deep U-shaped notch (Fig. 14)22
 Male gnathopod 2 propodus palm with shallow excavations, palmar angle
defined by short process below shallow v-shaped notch (Fig. 15)
apically truncate (Fig. 14)23
- Gnathopod 2 dactyl inner margin inflated in males and females; telson inner
Iobes Inner corner acutely produced (Fig. 12)
23 Gnathopod 1 propodus palm with short-to-medium setae; gnathopod 2
propodus paim with two large U-shaped excavations; telson with lateral
piumose setae (Fig. 14) Quadrimaera quadrimana
- Gradinopod i propodus paim with long setae; gnathopod 2 propodus paim
(Eig 16)
(Fig. 10)Quadrimaera yemanjae

- Gnathopod 2 propodus palm transverse with smaller, deeper concavity and broad processes; dactylus not strong (Fig. 11)......25
- 25 Gnathopod 2 dactylus inner margin inflated with median point (Fig. 13)......
 Quadrimaera miranda Gnathopod 2 dactylus inner margin inflated without median point (Fig. 11).
 Quadrimaera ceres

Discussion

The results of this study represent range extensions for 26 species of hadziidirid amphipods to include Caribbean waters of Panama. Several species have a distribution pattern spanning the eastern Pacific and western Caribbean (*Elasmopus pocillimanus, Meximaera diffidentia, Quadrimaera quadrimana, Gibberosus devaneyi*, and *Gibberosus myersi*). Without examining material from every collection, it is impossible to be sure the species in the literature were identified correctly or if the specimens may represent a different species. Assuming proper identification, these distribution patterns may suggest that the species were established more than 3 mya, before the isthmus of Panama closed.

Several hadzidiiran species demonstrate variation in key characters or are identified based on males only. Several *Elasmopus* species are differentiated based on epimeron 3 serration patterns and the apices of telson lobes, both of which can vary among individual specimens. As discussed by LeCroy (2007) *Tethygeneia* and *Pontogeneia* show variation in epimeron 3 serration, which is a key character for identification of these species. Our identification key allows identification despite variation of both males and females of the Caribbean Hadziidira of Panama.

Acknowledgements

Logistical support and facilities were provided by Georgia College & State University Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences and the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute (STRI). Special thanks to Carolina Cesar and Valentina Cardona for assistance with diving and collecting in Bocas del Toro. The authors also wish to thank Dr. Lauren Hughes and the Amphipod Taxonomy Course members for their collecting assistance in 2023. Special thanks go to Sara LeCroy for her loan of specimens collected in 2005.

Additional information

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Ethical statement

No ethical statement was reported.

Funding

Funding for this study was provided by a National Science Foundation grant: Collaborative Research: ARTS: Understanding Tropical Invertebrate Diversity Through Integrative Revisionary Systematics and Training (1856421). Publication costs were provided by a GC Journeys mini-grant from Georgia College & State University.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: KNW. Data curation: KNW. Formal analysis: SJS, KNW. Funding acquisition: KNW. Investigation: SJS, KNW. Project administration: KNW. Supervision: KNW. Writing - original draft: KNW. Writing - review and editing: SJS, KNW.

Author ORCIDs

Kristine N. White https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5203-1656 Sally J. Sir https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1270-1192

Data availability

All of the data that support the findings of this study are available in the main text or Supplementary Information.

References

- Alves J, Neves E, Johnson R (2018) A review of *Quadrimaera* Krapp-Schickel & Ruffo, 2000 (Amphipoda: Senticaudata) from Brazil. Zootaxa 4532(4): 567–593. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4532.4.8
- Alves J, Lowry JK, Johnson R (2020) A new superfamily and family of Hadziida (Amphipoda: Senticaudata), with a description of a new genus and new species from the Brazilian continental shelf. Zootaxa 4779(4): 573–583. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4779.4.8
- Barnard JL (1962) Benthic marine Amphipoda of southern California: Families Tironidae to Gammaridae. Pacific Naturalist 3: 70–115.
- Barnard JL (1965) Marine Amphipoda of atolls in Micronesia. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 117(3516): 459–551. https://doi.org/10.5479/ si.00963801.117-3516.459
- Barnard JL (1969a) Gammaridean Amphipoda of the rocky intertidal of California: Monterey Bay to La Jolla. United States National Museum Bulletin 258: 1–230. https://doi.org/10.5479/si.03629236.258.1
- Barnard JL (1969b) A biological survey of Bahia de Los Angeles Gulf of California, Mexico, IV. Benthic Amphipoda (Crustacea). Transactions of the San Diego Society of Natural History 15: 175–228. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.12057
- Barnard JL (1970) Sublittoral Gammaridea (Amphipoda) of the Hawaiian Islands. Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology 34(34): 1–286. https://doi.org/10.5479/ si.00810282.34
- Barnard JL (1971) Keys to the Hawaiian marine Gammaridea, 0–30 meters. Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology 58(58): 1–135. https://doi.org/10.5479/si.00810282.58
- Barnard JL (1972) Gammaridean Amphipoda of Australia, Part 1. Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology 103: 1–333. https://doi.org/10.5479/si.00810282.103
- Barnard JL, Karaman GS (1987) Revisions in Classification of Gammaridean Amphipoda (Crustacea). Part 3. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 100(4): 856–875.

- Bate CS (1862) Catalogue of the specimens of Amphipodus Crustacea in the collection of the British Museum. Taylor and Francis, London, 399 pp.
- Berents PB (1983) The Melitidae of Lizard Island and adjacent reefs, the Great Barrier Reef, Australia (Crustacea: Amphipoda). Records of the Australian Museum 35(3): 101–143. https://doi.org/10.3853/j.0067-1975.35.1983.313
- Bousfield EL (1973) Shallow-water Gammaridean Amphipoda of New England. Cornell University Press, New York, 312 pp.
- Bousfield EL (1979) A revised classification and phylogeny of amphipod crustaceans. Transactions of the Royal Society of Canada, Series 4 16: 343–390.
- Boutin C, Messouli M (1988) *Metacrangonyx gineti* n. sp, d'une source du Haut-Atlas marocain et la famille des Metacrangonyctidae n. fam. (Crustacés amphipodes stygobies). Vie et Milieu 38: 67–84.
- Bynum KH, Fox RS (1977) New and noteworthy amphipod crustaceans from North Carolina, U.S.A. Chesapeake Science 18(1): 1–33. https://doi.org/10.2307/1350362
- Camp DK, Whiting NH, Martin RE (1977) Nearshore marine ecology at Hutchinson Island, Florida: 1971–1974. V. Arthropods. Florida Marine Research Publications 25: 1–63.
- Coleman CO (2003) "Digital inking": How to make perfect line drawings on computers. Organisms, Diversity & Evolution 3(14): 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1078/1439-6092-00081
- Costa A (1851) Caratteeri di alcuni de'generi e specie nouve segnete nel presente catalogo. In: Hope FW (Ed.) Catalogo dei Crostacei Italiani e di Molti Altri del Mediterraneo, Naples, 1–48.
- Costa A (1853) Relazione sulla memoria del Dottor Achille Costa, di ricerche su' crostacei amfipodi del regno di Napoli. Rendiconto della Societa Reale Borbonica, Accademia delle Scienze, new series 2: 167–178. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.2070
- d'Udekem d'Acoz C (2010) Contribution to the knowledge of European Liljeborgiidae (Crustacea, Amphipoda), with considerations on the family and its affinities. Bulletin de l'Institut royal des sciences naturelles de Belgique. Entomologie & biologie 80: 127–259.
- Dana JD (1852) Conspectus crustaceorim quae in orbis terrarium circumnavigatione, Carlo Wikles e classe Reipublicae Faederatae Duce, lexit et descripsit Jacobus D. Dana. Pars III (Amphipoda no 1). Proceedings of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences 2: 201–220.
- Dana JD (1853) Crustacea. Part II. United States Exploring Expedition 14: 689-1618.
- Della Valle A (1893) Gammarini del Golfo di Napoli. Fauna und Flora des Golfes von Neapel 20: 1–948.
- Diaz YJ, Martin A (2000) *Eusiroides yucatanensis* (Amphipoda: Eusiridae) en las costas de Venezuela. Revista de Biología Tropical 49(2): 767.
- Fox RS (1973) Ceradocus shoemakeri and Eriopisa schoenerae, new amphipods (Crustacea: Gammaridae) from the Bahama Islands. Journal of the Elisha Mitchell Scientific Society 89: 147–159.
- Karaman SL (1943) Die unterirdischen Amphipoden Südserbiens. Srpska Akademya Nauka, Posebna Izdana, 135 (Prirodn'achki i Mathematichki Spici) 34(4): 161–312.
- Karaman G (1982) Family Gammaridae. In: Ruffo S (Ed.) The Amphipoda of the Mediterranean, Part 1. Gammaridea (Acanthonotozomatidae to Gammaridae). Mémoires de l'Institut océanographique, Monaco 13: 245–364.
- Krapp-Schickel T (2008) What has happened with the *Maera* clade (Crustacea, Amphipoda) during the last decades? Bolletino del Museo di Storia Naturale di Verona 32: 3–32.

- Krapp-Schickel T, Ruffo S (2000) The Maera quadrimana-complex (Crustacea Amphipoda, Melitidae) demands a new concept: Quadrimaera n. gen. (with description of three new species from Western Atlantic. Bollettino del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona 24: 193–214.
- Krapp-Schickel T, Vader W (2009) On some Maerid genera (Crustacea, Amphipoda, Maeridae) collected by the Hourglass Cruises (Florida). Part 1: Genera Anamaera, Ceradocus, Clessidra gen. nov., Jerbarnia, Maera, Meximaera, with a key to world Ceradocus. Journal of Natural History 43(33): 2057–2086. https://doi. org/10.1080/00222930903091007
- Krøyer H (1845). Karcinologiske Bidrag. Naturhistorisk Tidsskrift Ser. II. 1: 283–345.
- Kunkel BW (1910) The Amphipoda of Bermuda. Transactions of the Connecticut Academy of Arts and Sciences 16: 1–116.
- Lazo-Wasem EA, Gable MF (1987) A review of recently discovered type specimens of Bermuda Amphipoda (Crustacea: Peracarida) described by B.W. Kunkel (1882–1969). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 100(2): 321–336.
- Leach WE (1814) Crustaceology. In: Brewster D (Ed.) The Edinburgh Encyclopedia. Blackwood, Edinburgh 7(2): 383–437.
- LeCroy SE (2000) An Illustrated Identification Guide to The Nearshore Marine and Estuarine Gammaridean Amphipoda of Florida Vol. 1: Families Gammaridae, Hadziidae, Isaeidae, Melitidae and Oedicerotidae. Florida Department of Environmental Protection Annual Report Contract No. WM724 1: 1–195.
- LeCroy SE (2007) An Illustrated Identification Guide to The Nearshore Marine and Estuarine Gammaridean Amphipoda of Florida Volume 4: Families Anamixidae, Eusiridae, Hyalellidae, Hyalidae, Iphimediidae, Ischyroceridae, Lysianassidae, Megaluropidae, and Melphidippidae. Florida Department of Environmental Protection Annual Report Contract No. WM724 1: 1–195.
- LeCroy SE, Gasca R, Winfield I, Ortiz M, Escobar-Briones E (2009) Amphipoda (Crustacea) of the Gulf of Mexico. In: Felder DL, Camp DK (Eds) Gulf of Mexico: Origin, Waters, and Biota. Texas A&M University Press, Texas, 941–972.
- Ledoyer M (1972) Amphipodes Gammariens vivant dans les alvéoles des constructions organogènes récifales intertidales de la région de Tuléar (Madagascar). Etude systématique et écologique. Tethys. Supplement 3(2): 165–286.
- Ledoyer M (1982) Crustacés amphipodes gammariens. Familles des Acanthonotozomatidae à Gammaridae. Faune de Madagascar 59: 1–598.
- Ledoyer M (1986) Faune mobile des herbiers de phanérogames marines (*Halodule* et *Thalassia*) de la Laguna de Términos (Mexique, Campeche). II. Les Gammariens (Crustacea). Anales del Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnologia. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México 13: 171–200.
- Lowry JK, Myers AA (2012) New, mainly southern hemisphere, freshwater families of Amphipoda (Crustacea), together with a description of the first freshwater calliopiid, *Lutriwita bradburyi* gen. nov. et sp. nov. Zootaxa 3499(1): 27–45. https://doi. org/10.11646/zootaxa.3499.1.2
- Lowry JK, Myers AA (2013) A Phylogeny and Classification of the Senticaudata subord. nov. (Crustacea: Amphipoda). Zootaxa 3610(1): 1–80. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3610.1.1
- Lowry JK, Springthorpe RT (2007) A revision of the tropical/temperate amphipod genus *Dulichiella* Stout, 1912, and the description of a new Atlantic genus *Verdeia* gen. nov. (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Melitidae). Zootaxa 1424(1): 1–62. https://doi. org/10.11646/zootaxa.1424.1.1

- Martín A, Díaz Y, Miloslavich P, Escobar-Briones E, Guerra-García JM, Ortiz M, Valencia B, Giraldo A, Klein E (2013) Regional diversity of Amphipoda in the Caribbean Sea. Revista de Biología Tropical 61(4): 1681–1720. https://doi.org/10.15517/rbt.v61i4.12816
- McKinney LD (1977) The origin and distribution of shallow water gammaridean Amphipoda in the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea with notes on their ecology. PhD Dissertation, Texas A&M University, Texas, U.S.A.
- McKinney LD (1980) Four new and unusual amphipods from the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 93(1): 83–103.
- Nelson WG (1995) Amphipod crustaceans of the Indian River Lagoon: Current status and threats to biodiversity. Bulletin of Marine Science 57(1): 143–152.
- Ortiz M, Lalana R (1994) Two new species of the genus *Elasmopus* (Amphipoda: Gammaridea), from the Cuban marine waters. Travaux du Muséum d'Histoire naturelle. Grigore Antipa 34: 293–302.
- Ortiz M, Lalana R (1996) The amphipods of the first joint Cuba-USA expedition, aboard the B/I Ulises, to the waters of the Sabana-Camagüey Archipelago, Cuba in 1994. Annals of the Institute of Biology, National Autonomous University of Mexico. Zoology series 67 (1): 89–101.
- Ortiz M, Lemaitre R (1994) Crustaceos Anfipodos (Gammaridea) Colectados en Las Costas del Caribe Colombiano, al sur de Cartagena. Anales del Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas de Punta de Betin 23: 119–127. https://doi.org/10.25268/bimc. invemar.1994.23.0.391
- Ortiz M, Lalana R, Lio V (2001) First record of the genus *Protohadzia* (Amphipoda, Gammaridea), for the Cuban archipelago. Avicennia 14: 129–132.
- Ortiz M, Lalana R, Varela C (2004) A new species of marine amphipod crustacean of the genus *Elasmopus* (Amphipoda, Melitidae) from the Cuban Archipelago. Avicennia 17: 35–40.
- Pearse AS (1912) Notes on certain amphipods from the Gulf of Mexico, with descriptions of new genera and new species. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 43(1936): 369–379. https://doi.org/10.5479/si.00963801.43-1936.369
- Rakocinski CF, Heard RW, LeCroy SE, McLelland JA, Simons T (1993) Seaward change and zonation of the sandy shore macrofauna at Perdido Key, Florida, U.S.A. Estuarine. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 36(1): 81–104. https://doi.org/10.1006/ ecss.1993.1007
- Rakocinski CF, Heard RW, LeCroy SE, McLelland JA, Simons T (1996) Responses by macrobenthic assemblages to extensive beach restoration at Perdido Key, Florida, U.S.A. Journal of Coastal Research 12(1): 326–353.
- Ruffo S (1950) Studi sui crostacei anfipodi 22. Anfipodi del Venezuela raccolti dal Dott. G. Marcuzzi. Memorie del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona 2: 49–65. https:// doi.org/10.1080/11250005009436799
- Ruffo S (1969) Studi sui Crostacei anfipodi 67. Terzo contributo alla conoscenza degli anfipodi del Mar Rosso. Memorie del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale, Verona 17: 1–77. https://doi.org/10.1080/03749444.1982.10736661
- Ruffo S, Krapp T, Gable MF (2000) The genus *Maera* (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Melitidae) from Bermuda. Postilla 221: 3–35.
- Sars GO (1893) Amphipoda. Part XIX. Pardaliscidae (concluded), Eusiridae. An account of the Crustacea of Norway, with short descriptions and figures of all the species. 1: 413–432 Cammermeyer, Christiania, Oslo.
- Sars GO (1895) An Account of the Crustacea of Norway. Vol. 1. Amphipoda. Alb. Cammermyer Forlag, Copenhagen, 363 pp.

- Schellenberg A (1925) Die Gammariden Spitzbergens nebst einer Übersicht der von Römer & Schaudinn 1898 im nördlichen Eismeer gesammelten Arten. Mitteilungen aus dem Zoologischen Museum in Berlin 11: 195–231.
- Schellenberg A (1938) Litorale Amphipoden des tropischen Pazifiks. Kungliga Svenska Vetenskapsakademiens Handlingar (Ser. 3) 16(6): 1–105.
- Senna AR, Souza-Filho JF (2011) A new species of the *Elasmopus rapax* complex (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Maeridae) from Brazilian waters. Cahiers de Biologie Marine 52: 57–70.
- Shoemaker CR (1933) Two new genera and six new species of Amphipoda from Tortugas. Papers of the Tortugas Laboratory. Carnegie Institute of Washington 28: 245–256.
- Shoemaker CR (1948) The Amphipoda of the Smithsonian-Roebling Expedition to Cuba in 1937. Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections 110(3): 1–15. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.9028
- Smith SI (1873) Crustacea, ex. Isopoda. In: Verrill AE (Ed.) Report upon the invertebrate animals of Vineyard Sound and adjacent waters. U.S. Commission on Fish and Fisheries. Part 1. Report on the Condition of the Sea Fisheries of the South Coast of New England in 1871 and 1872, 295–778.
- Soares CMA, Santos JP, Farias MCL (1987/89) Revisão de algumas espécies do gênero *Elasmopus* Coast, 1853 que ocorrem no litoral brasileiro (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Gammaridae). Trabalhos Oceanográficos da Universidade Federal de Pernambuco 20: 235–258. https://doi.org/10.5914/tropocean.v20i1.2636
- Souza-Filho JF, Senna AR (2009) Two new species of the genus *Elasmopus* Costa, 1853 (Amphipoda: Gammaridea: Maeridae) from the Northeast Brazilian coast. Zootaxa 2301(1): 55–68. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.2301.1.3
- Stebbing TRR (1888) Report on the Amphipoda collected by H.M.S. Challenger during the years 1873–1876. Zoology : Analysis of Complex Systems, ZACS 29: 1–1737.
- Stebbing TRR (1906). Amphipoda I. Gammaridea. Das Tiereich 21: 1-806.
- Stout VR (1912) Studies in Laguna Amphipoda. Annual Report of the Laguna Marine Laboratory 1: 134–149.
- Thomas JD (1993) Identification manual for marine Amphipoda (Gammaridea): I. Common coral reef and rocky bottom amphipods of South Florida. Florida Department of Environmental Protection Final Report Contract No. SP290: 1–83.
- Thomas JD, Barnard JL (1986a) Two species of *Hornellia* (Subgenus *Metaceradocus*) from the Florida Keys and Belize (Amphipoda, Melphidippoidea). Bulletin of Marine Science 38(3): 477–487.
- Thomas JD, Barnard JL (1986b) New genera and species of the *Megaluropus* group (Amphipoda, Megaluropidae). Bulletin of Marine Science 38(3): 442–476.
- Thomas JD, Barnard JL (1988) *Elasmopus balkomanus*, a new species from the Florida Keys (Crustacea: Amphipoda). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 101(4): 838–842.
- Varela C, Ortiz M, Lalana R (2003) Crustáceos (Peracarida y Decapoda), de la costa sur de la Península de Guanahabibes, Cuba. Revista de Investigaciones Marinas 24: 73–76.
- Wakabara Y, Serejo CS (1998) Malacostraca Peracarida. Amphipoda, Gammaridea and Caprellidea. In: Young PS (Ed.) Catalog of Crustacea of Brazil. Rio de Janairo: Museu Nacional 6: 561–594.
- Wakabara Y, Tararam AS, Valerio-Berardo MT, Duleba W (1991) Gammaridean and caprellidean fauna from Brazil. Hydrobiologia 223: 69–77. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF00047629

- Walker AO (1904) Report on the Amphipoda collected by Professor Herdman, at Ceylon, in 1902. Ceylon Pearl Oyster Fisheries. Supplementary Reports 17: 229–300.
- Winfield I, Ortiz M, Cházaro-Olvera S (2023) Biodiversity and new records of benthic amphipods (Crustacea: Peracarida: Amphipoda) from coral reef protected natural areas in the Mexican Caribbean Sea. Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad 94: e944975. https://doi.org/10.22201/ib.20078706e.2023.94.4975
- Zimmerman RJ, Barnard JL (1977) A new genus of primitive marine hadziid (Amphipoda) from Bimini and Puerto Rico. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 89(50): 565–580.

Supplementary material 1

Locality table

Authors: Kristine N. White, Sally J. Sir

Data type: xlsx

Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

Link: https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1195.116721.suppl1